Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Heliyon



journal homepage: www.cell.com/heliyon

Bacillus thuringiensis pesticidal toxins: A global analysis based on a scientometric study (1980–2021)

Abdul Aziz Karim^{a,*}, Abeer Babiker Idris^b, Semih Yilmaz^c

^a School of Agriculture, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana

^b University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan

^c Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, Rciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

CelPress

Keywords: Bacillus thuringiensis Cry protein CiteSpace VOSviewer Biopesticide Scientometric Toxin

ABSTRACT

Several studies have been conducted on Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) pesticidal toxins due to their successful environmentally friendly biopesticide activity against various insect pest orders, protozoa, mites, and nematodes. However, no existing study has systematically examined the trends and evolution of research on Bt pesticidal toxins from a scientometric perspective. This study aimed to analyze the trends and hotspots of global research in this field. 5757 publications on Bt pesticidal toxins were extracted from the Web of Science Core Collection (WoS) from 1980 to 2021. Statistical and scientometric analyses were performed using Excel, CiteSpace, and VOSviewer visualization tools to evaluate research evolution, journal contribution and subject categories, contributing countries and institutions, highly influential references, and most used author keywords. The 5757 publications featured in 917 journals spanning 116 subject categories. The top 5 subject categories ranked as Entomology, Biotechnology & Applied Microbiology, Microbiology, Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, and Agriculture. Out of these publications, the USA contributed the most, with 1562 publications, 72,754 citations, and 46.58 average citations per paper (ACPP); however, Belgium had the highest (106.43) ACPP among the top 20 contributing countries. The Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences is the leading institution with 298 publications and 21.20 ACPP. The Pasteur Institute is ranked first (90.04) in terms of ACPP. Keywords analyses revealed that recent studies are inclined toward the evolution of insect resistance against Bt toxins. In future, studies related to the development of resistance mechanisms by insects against Bt pesticidal toxins and ways to overcome them will likely receive more attention. This study highlights the past and current situations and prospective directions of Bt pesticidal toxins-related research.

1. Introduction

Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) is a Gram-positive, rod-shaped, motile, and aerobic or facultative anaerobic bacterium that produces insecticidal crystal inclusions, known as δ -endotoxins or Cry proteins, during its sporulation phase of growth. These Cry proteins have been proven to be effective against important crop pests, and also against mosquitoes that are vehicles of serious human diseases such as malaria and dengue [1].

Bt is known as the most successful environmentally friendly insecticidal microbe which acts against different orders of insect pests,

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* abdziz002@gmail.com (A.A. Karim).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e18730

Received 21 July 2022; Received in revised form 16 July 2023; Accepted 25 July 2023

Available online 27 July 2023

^{2405-8440/© 2023} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

such as Lepidoptera, Coleoptera, Diptera, Homoptera, Hymenoptera, Mallophaga and Orthoptera [2]. Also, certain Bt strains have revealed activity against protozoa, mites, and nematodes [3–5]. Unfortunately, many insect pests have shown poorly controlled or no susceptibility to the known Cry proteins [5]. Furthermore, the emergence of insect resistance to Bt crops in the field, which represents a major threat to using Cry toxins, has been reported in five different insect species [6–10]. Therefore, discovering novel Cry toxin proteins in nature or through in vitro genetic evolution to enhance their toxicity against specific pest insects is of utmost importance [11–13]. During the past decade, a considerable number of studies on Bt have been published, with pesticidal Cry proteins being the subject of intensive research [2,14,15]. These efforts have yielded large literature and plentiful data about the Cry proteins' structure, mechanism of action, genetics, ecological role, performance in agricultural and other natural settings, and the evolution of resistance mechanisms in target pests [1,2,16,17]. Given the importance of Cry proteins, many scholars and academic journals have focused on specific subfields of Cry proteins (which are mentioned above), with conclusions being drawn from systematic reviews or descriptive analysis. However, to our knowledge, no existing study has systematically examined this field from a scientometric perspective.

Scientometric is a branch of informatics that combines information visualization technology and mathematical methods to quantitatively analyze scientific literature and understand emerging trends and the knowledge structure of a scientific research field [18,19]. It evaluates the contributions of authors, institutions, countries, and journals in specific fields; and primarily it concentrates on the quantification properties of literature, such as publication numbers, citation frequency, and cooperative relationships [20]. Therefore, a scientometric study can help researchers to identify core entities and development trends in a research domain or a specific subject and provide new insights and directions for future research [20,21]. Currently, an array of science mapping and visualization tools, including CiteSpace, HistCite, VOSviewer, and R-bibliometrix [22–25], are made freely available for scientometric analysis, and they have been widely applied in various scientific fields [26–29]. In this study, scientometric analysis was employed on published *Bt* pesticidal toxins' literature (from 1980 to 2021) using CiteSpace and VOSviewer servers. This study aims to summarize the knowledge structure and identify emerging trends, leading countries and organizations/institutions, the main research directions, and potential hotspots in this area in order to provide insights and guide further studies.



Fig. 1. Flow chat of main methods.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Search strategy and data collection

A sum of 5819 documents ranging from the period 1980 to 2021 was retrieved from the Web of Science (WoS) Core Collection on December 31, 2021. The data acquisition strategy which reflects studies related to *Bt* biopesticide toxins was executed as follows: (TS= ("Bacillus thuringiensis" OR "B. thuringiensis")) AND TS=("cry protein*" OR "cry toxin*" OR "cry gene*" OR "crystal gene*" OR "crystal protein*" OR "crystal toxin*" OR "cyt toxin*" OR "cyt protein*" OR "cyt gene*" OR "cytolytic toxin*" OR "delta endotoxin*" OR "insecticidal protein*" OR "biological insecticide gene*" OR "pesticidal gene*" OR "pesticidal protein*" OR "biological insecticide*" OR "biopesticide*" OR "bioinsecticide*" OR "vip toxin*" OR "vip protein*" OR "vegetative insecticidal toxin*" OR "vegetative insecticidal protein*"). TS in WoS represents Topic Search, and it executes a search of the query provided within a bibliographic record in the fields of Title, Abstract, Author Keywords, and Keywords Plus. The wildcard asterisk (*) indicates that any string of character(s) should be considered, for example, cry protein* can find cry *proteins*, biopesticide* finds *biopesticides*, etc. Quotation marks are used to enclose terms that are phrases (e.g., "delta endotoxin*"). The document types were refined to include only articles and reviews, thus, book chapters, proceedings papers, early access, retracted publications, and data papers were exempted. Moreover, subject areas which were not relevant to the field were excluded from the search results. Then documents' full records and cited references were downloaded in plain text format for further analysis.

2.2. Scientometric analysis

In this study, CiteSpace (version 5.8.R3) and VOSviewer (version 1.6.17) were used for the scientometric analyses, as illustrated in Fig. 1. CiteSpace and VOSviewer are Java software for creating and visualizing bibliometrics [30,31]. CiteSpace performs Co-Citation Analysis (CA) which is subdivided into Author Co-Citation Analysis (ACA), Document Co-Citation Analysis (DCA), and Journal Co-Citation Analysis (JCA). In this study, CiteSpace was employed to perform documents and keywords co-citation analyses. The map of co-cited papers related to pesticidal toxins of *Bt* was generated in CiteSpace by selecting cited references. The reference citation analysis was employed to determine the quality of academic literature in this field by identifying documents with the most-cited references and the corresponding highly influential authors. In the analysis, time-slicing was set as 6 years per slice from 1980 to 2021 and only the top 50 references of each year slice were included, pathfinder was selected, and merged networks were pruned to reduce network density in order to improve the readability of the network [30], the rest of the parameters were set to default.

VOSviewer was employed to map and visualize the contributions of countries and organizations/institutions to *Bt* pesticidal toxins research. The default settings of VOSviewer were used in this study. Co-authorships of countries and organizations/institutions are represented by labels and circles. The size of a circle reflects the degree of contribution of a particular country or organization. The colors in the network maps represent a network of clusters of cooperation, and the distance between items represents the strength of the connection.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Document volume and annual growth

After screening the data, 5757 qualified documents related to studies conducted on *Bt* and its biopesticide toxins were selected from the Web of Science Core Collection. The document type and the publication language are shown in Table 1 below. Out of the 5757 documents, 5375 (93.36%) were research articles whilst 382 (6.64%) were review articles. Majority of the documents were published in English (5665, 98.228%), followed by Japanese (20, 0.347%), Portuguese (20, 0.347%), Spanish (19, 0.330%), French (12,

Table 1

Document type and publication language of studies related to Bt biopesticide toxins.

Distribution of publication by document type			Distribution of publication by language			
Туре	Quantity	% of 5757	Language	Quantity	% of 5,757	
Article	5375	93.36	English	5655	98.228	
Review	382	6.64	Japanese	20	0.347	
			Portuguese	20	0.347	
			Spanish	19	0.330	
			French	12	0.208	
			Russian	10	0.174	
			Chinese	8	0.139	
			Polish	8	0.139	
			Croatian	1	0.017	
			Czech	1	0.017	
			German	1	0.017	
			Italian	1	0.017	
			Turkish	1	0.017	

0.208%), Russian (10, 0.174%), Chinese (8, 0,139%), Polish (8, 0.139%), and a document (1, 0.017%) each in Croatian, Czech, German, Italian and Turkish. Most of the documents were research articles published in English. This could be attributed to authors' acknowledgement of English as the dominant language to communicate their scientific findings globally, which increases the visibility and impact of their studies.

3.2. Evolution of Bt pesticidal toxins literature over the years

The annual publications and citations distribution on *Bt* pesticidal toxins from 1980 to 2021 is displayed in Fig. 2. The number of publications from 1980 to 1989 witnessed a slow growth trend with less than 30 articles published per year. With increasing interest and deepening research on toxins produced by *Bt*, the number of publications has grown steadily since 1990. As studies on *Bt* biocontrol pesticidal toxins gathered pace from 1990, they are reflected with heightened annual citation frequency from 1991 onwards. The upsurge of research during this period can be attributed to several influential factors in the early 1990s; the evolution of *Helicoverpa armigera* resistance to chemical insecticides [31] prompted the need for alternative pest management strategies, the introduction of novel *Bt* strains and formulations [32] offered alternative prospects for pest control, the anticipated mass adoption of transgenic *Bt* crops [33], the specificity of *Bt* Cry proteins against target pest insects made it suitable as an eco-friendly and effective alternative to chemical insecticides, as well as advancements in genetic engineering technologies facilitated the production of genetically manipulated *Bt* products, further driving research extension in this field. Another trend of increasing volatility is witnessed by annual publications exceeding 100 articles from 1994 to 2021. The peak of publications over the 42 years was recorded in 2017 when 254 articles were published. From 2017 to 2021, the average annual citation exceeded 10000, dwarfing the years from 1980 to 1992, where the average annual citation did not reach 1000. This demonstrates the continued growth of studies in this area.

3.3. Journal and subject category distribution

Journal analysis is a useful topic because it helps to determine the most suitable journals to publish significant studies. This analysis can be beneficial to researchers who are planning to publish their findings in this field. The 5757 publications featured in 917 journals spanning across 116 subject categories. Out of the 917 journals, 120 (13%) had published 10 or more articles in studies related to *Bt* pesticidal proteins. The top 20 journals, as illustrated in Fig. 3, accounted for approximately 36.69% of all published articles.

Applied and Environmental Microbiology with an impact factor of 4.792 published the most articles (320), followed by Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, Journal of Economic Entomology, and Current Microbiology with publications of 267, 263, 147 and impact factors of 2.841, 2.381, and 2.188, respectively. In terms of journal impact factor, Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry ranks first with a 2021 impact factor of 5.279. This is followed by Journal of Biological Chemistry, Pest Management Science, and Applied and Environmental Microbiology with impact factors of 5.157, 4.845, and 4.792, respectively.

Journals covered by the Web of Science Core Collection are assigned to one or multiple subject categories. Each published document indexed by WoS are attributed to at least one of these categories. Table 2 highlights the top 20 subject categories on studies *Bt* pesticidal toxins published documents from 1980 to 2021. Among these the top 7 categories include Entomology (1329 papers), Biotechnology and Applied Microbiology (1227), Microbiology (1143), Biochemistry & Molecular Biology (1041), Agriculture (668), Agronomy (390), and Zoology (336). Fig. 4 gives an overview and the evolution of the top 7 categories in different year ranges. In the last decade, an increasing number of articles in the subject areas of entomology, Biotechnology & Applied Microbiology, Microbiology, and Agriculture have been published. Analysis of the subject category suggests research focused on *Bt* exhibits a multidisciplinary



Fig. 2. The trend in the number of publications and total citations per year of studies related to Bt pesticidal toxins.



Fig. 3. The top 20 Web of Science indexed Journals with the most published articles on Bt pesticidal toxins research, and their impact factors.

nature and has attracted significant attention from the global academic community in these respective areas. Entomology focuses on the effects of *Bt* toxins on insects and their efficacy in pest management. Biotechnology & Applied Microbiology encompasses research on the production, modification, and application of *Bt* toxins, particularly in genetically engineered crops. Microbiology investigates the microbiological aspects of *Bt*, including its isolation, characterization, and interactions with other microorganisms. Agriculture

Table 2 Top 20 Web of Science Categories for *Bt* pesticidal toxins.

Subject Category	Count
Entomology	1329
Biotechnology & Applied Microbiology	1227
Microbiology	1143
Biochemistry & Molecular Biology	1041
Agriculture	668
Agronomy	390
Zoology	336
Science & Technology - Other Topics	336
Multidisciplinary Sciences	323
Plant Sciences	281
Environmental Sciences & Ecology	277
Food Science & Technology	243
Toxicology	229
Environmental Sciences	210
Agriculture, Multidisciplinary	208
Chemistry	207
Biophysics	172
Genetics & Heredity	134
Biology	126
Life Sciences & Biomedicine - Other Topics	126

encompasses the use of *Bt* toxins in pest control, their impact on crop yield and quality, resistance development, and sustainable strategies for their application in agriculture. These categories collectively contribute to understanding the use, effectiveness, and implications of *Bt* pesticidal toxins in insect pest management and agricultural practices. This shows the relevance of *Bt* pesticidal toxins research towards a particular subject area within specific year ranges. It is worth mentioning that articles or journals may feature in more than one subject category, further indicating the multidisciplinary nature of research in this field [34,35].

3.4. Document Co-Citation network

Fig. 5 shows a map of the co-citation network related to publication on *Bt* pesticidal toxins from 1980 to 2021. The network consisted of 242 nodes and 7883 citation links, which means that 242 authors cooperated through 7883 links. The citation threshold was set to 140 displaying only references with 140 or more citations and their respective author and publication year. The size of a node reflects the importance of a reference in the network, whereas the links connecting the nodes indicate their co-occurrence strength. The purple colors surrounding the nodes indicate node centrality which represents the prominence of a node in connecting other pairs of nodes in the network. Higher thickness indicates higher centrality.

Table 3 highlights the top 20 influential and most cited references on studies related to *Bt* biopesticide toxins, ranked based on the number of times they have been cited. It can be seen from Fig. 5 and Table 3 that the most influential reference is written by Schnepf et al. [2], having the highest citation of 1406. This review paper emphasized extensive topics covering *Bt* genome, the expression of insecticidal cry genes, the structure and functions of Cry toxins, the mechanism of action of Cry proteins, and insect resistance to *Bt* Cry toxins. The second most-cited document, written by Höfte & Whiteley [36], is also a review article that focuses on the nomenclature and classification scheme of crystal proteins produced by *Bt* based on the protein structure and effectiveness of their host range. Although Schnepf et al. [2], has the most citation count, the higher betweenness centrality of Höfte & Whiteley (1989) makes it more revolutionary, gives it a higher impact factor, and plays a more important role within the network. The third [4], and the fourth [37], most-cited documents talk about the nomenclature of *Bt* pesticidal crystal proteins, and the crystal structures of δ -endotoxins respectively. These and other articles in the list were crucial to the early stages of research into *Bt* pesticidal crystal toxins, and they continue to shape studies in this field today.

3.5. Reference citation burst

Citation burst detection in CiteSpace depends on Kleinburg's algorithm to estimate an abrupt change of frequency over a period of time [38,39]. Burst detection is used in this study to determine cited references that received an outstanding degree of attention within a specific time range and to determine the current trend of studies by detecting references that have attracted much attention in recent years. Out of the 5757 documents, 218 burst items were found. Table 4 lists the top 20 references with the strongest citation burst sorted by the beginning year of burst, with the right columns representing the duration of each burst – beginning of deep green lines



Fig. 4. Evolution of the top 7 subject categories over the 42 years period.



Fig. 5. References co-citation map of documents on Bt pesticidal toxins from 1980 to 2021.

Table 3
Top 20 most-cited references of studies related to Bt pesticidal proteins.

Rank	Cited reference	Count	Centrality	Year	DOI
1	Schnepf et al. (1998)	1406	0.29	1998	doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.62.3.775-806.1998
2	Höfte & Whiteley (1989)	1247	0.81	1989	doi.org/10.1128/MR.53.2.242-255.1989
3	Crickmore et al. (1998)	559	0.29	1998	doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.62.3.807-813.1998
4	J. Li et al. (1991)	434	0.27	1991	doi.org/10.1038/353815a0
5	Bravo et al. (2007)	403	0.07	2007	doi.org/10.1016/J.TOXICON.2006.11.022
6	Ferré & Van Rie (2002)	368	0.00	2002	doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.47.091201.145234
7	Gill et al. (1992)	347	0.02	1992	doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.37.010192.003151
8	Bravo et al. (2011)	239	0.00	2011	doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2011.02.006
9	Estruch et al. (1996)	326	0.03	1996	doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.11.5389
10	Tabashnik BE (1994)	322	0.35	1994	doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.39.010194.000403
11	Grochulski et al. (1995)	292	0.07	1995	doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1995.0630
12	Gould F (1998)	291	0.31	1998	doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.43.1.701
13	Pigott CR (2007)	290	0.02	2007	doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00034-06
14	Pardo-Lopez L (2013)	284	0.08	2013	doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2012.00341.x
15	Knowles BH (1987)	261	0.08	1987	doi.org/10.1016/0304-4165(87)90167-X
16	de Maagd RA (2001)	254	0.00	2001	doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9525(01)02237-5
17	Thomas WE (1983)	252	0.07	1983	doi.org/10.1242/jcs.60.1.181
18	Tabashnik BE (1990)	246	0.05	1990	doi.org/10.1093/jee/83.5.1671
19	Gahan LJ (2001)	241	0.37	2001	doi.org/10.1126/science.1060949
20	Hofmann C (1988)	223	1.00	1988	doi.org/10.1073/pnas.85.21.7844

represent publication year, and red lines segment represent burst duration. References with the same burst duration are considered to belong to the same group.

Hofmann et al. (1988), is the first reference to witness citation burst, which started from 1988 to 2003. This reference is related to the specificity of *Bt* delta-endotoxins to binding sites in the brush border membrane of target insects [40]. A year later a study by Hofte & Whiteley [36], about the classification of *Bt* toxins started to witness a burst and continued until 2003. However, the top-ranked reference by burst strength is Bravo et al. [41], with a strength of 114.92, which began in 2010 and continues to experience burst.

Table 4

Top 20 references with the strongest citation bursts from 1980 to 2021.

References	Year	Strength	Begin	End	1980–2021
HOFMANN C, 1988, P NATL ACAD SCI USA, V85, P7844, DOI 10.1073/ pnas.85.21.7844, DOI	1988	79.04	1988	2003	
HOFTE H, 1989, MICROBIOL REV, V53, P242, DOI 10.1128/mr.53.2.242- 255.1989, DOI	1989	86	1989	2003	
VANRIE J, 1990, APPL ENVIRON MICROB, V56, P1378, DOI 10.1128/ AEM.56.5.1378-1385.1990, DOI	1990	71.94	1992	2003	
VANRIE J, 1989, EUR J BIOCHEM, V186, P239, DOI 10.1111/j.1432- 1033.1989.tb15201.x, DOI	1989	68.19	1992	2003	
VANRIE J, 1990, SCIENCE, V247, P72, DOI 10.1126/science.2294593, DOI	1990	66.68	1992	2003	
FERRE J, 1991, P NATL ACAD SCI USA, V88, P5119, DOI 10.1073/pnas. 88.12.5119, DOI	1991	65.13	1992	2003	
Schnepf E, 1998, MICROBIOL MOL BIOL R, V62, P775, DOI 10.1128/ MMBR.62.3.775-806.1998, DOI	1998	89.11	1998	2015	
GROCHULSKI P, 1995, J MOL BIOL, V254, P447, DOI 10.1006/jmbi.1995. 0630, DOI	1995	58.8	1998	2015	
Bravo A, 2004, BBA-BIOMEMBRANES, V1667, P38, DOI 10.1016/j. bbamem.2004.08.013, DOI	2004	64.29	2004	2015	
Bravo A, 2007, TOXICON, V49, P423, DOI 10.1016/j.toxicon.2006.11. 022, DOI	2007	114.92	2010	2021	
Pardo-Lopez L, 2013, FEMS MICROBIOL REV, V37, P3, DOI 10.1111/j. 1574-6976.2012.00341.x, DOI	2013	109.76	2013	2021	
Bravo A, 2011, INSECT BIOCHEM MOLEC, V41, P423, DOI 10.1016/j. ibmb.2011.02.006, DOI	2011	108.93	2011	2021	
Pigott CR, 2007, MICROBIOL MOL BIOL R, V71, P255, DOI 10.1128/ MMBR.00034-06, DOI	2007	82.15	2010	2021	
Sanahuja G, 2011, PLANT BIOTECHNOL J, V9, P283, DOI 10.1111/j. 1467-7652.2011. 00595.x, DOI	2011	70.68	2011	2021	
Vachon V, 2012, J INVERTEBR PATHOL, V111, P1, DOI 10.1016/j.jip. 2012.05.001, DOI	2012	57.61	2012	2021	
van Frankenhuyzen K, 2009, J INVERTEBR PATHOL, V101, P1, DOI 10. 1016/j.jip.2009.02.009, DOI	2009	54.41	2010	2021	
Palma L, 2014, TOXINS, V6, P3296, DOI 10.3390/toxins6123296, DOI	2014	86.08	2016	2021	
Tabashnik BE, 2013, NAT BIOTECHNOL, V31, P510, DOI 10.1038/nbt. 2597, DOI	2013	65.6	2016	2021	
Adang MJ, 2014, ADV INSECT PHYSIOL, V47, P39, DOI 10.1016/B978-0- 12-800197-4.00002-6, DOI	2014	55.4	2016	2021	
Tabashnik BE, 2017, NAT BIOTECHNOL, V35, P926, DOI 10.1038/nbt. 3974, DOI	2017	55.25	2017	2021	

In this paper, Bravo et al. [41], discussed the mode of action of three-domain Cry toxins and cytolytic toxins in selected lepidopteran insects pests and mosquitoes. The second-ranked (Pardo-López et al., 2013) and the third (Bravo et al., 2011) references have close citation burst strengths of 109.76 and 108.93 respectively [1,42]. These two papers stressed on the mechanism of action of *Bt* Cry and Cyt toxins but went further to highlight the resistance mechanisms certain insects have developed against these toxins and the strategies to overcome them. 11 out of the top 20 references continue to have burst and we cannot conclude when they will end. Recent papers with references citation bursts are mostly focused on the resistance of insects to *Bt* toxins.

3.6. Keywords co-occurrence network

The keywords co-occurrence analysis function in CiteSpace uses Co-occurring Author Keywords (DE) and KeyWords Plus (ID) in published documents to generate a network map of the most occurring keywords. Keyword analysis was performed to determine viral topics and trends of development in research related to toxins produced by *Bt*. Clustering analysis of the keywords was further performed to explore the potential hidden congruence between the keywords. Table 5 lists the top 20 co-occurring keywords. Fig. 6 displays the map of the keyword co-occurrence network showing keywords with at least 100 appearances. The sizes of the rectangles in the figure are proportional to the frequency of occurrence of their corresponding keywords. The purple colors surrounding the nodes indicate the strength of centrality.

3.6.1. Categorization of top 20 keywords

Based on the data presented in Fig. 6 and Table 5, the top 20 co-occurring keywords can be categorized as follows:

The first group of keywords, such as "Bacillus thuringiensis (2750 appearances in all keywords with a centrality of 0.20), "strain (459, 0.30)", and "identification (388, 0.00), is related to the identification of *Bt* strains that produce the insecticidal toxins. In 1901, a Japanese bacteriologist Shigetane Ishiwata discovered the first endospore-forming *Bt* reported it as the causal agent of sotto disease in silkworms following the ingestion of the bacterium by the silkworm larvae [43]. However, Lepidopteran insects (moths and butterflies)



Fig. 6. Keyword co-occurrence map.

were popularly considered the only targets of *Bt* until the 1970s [44], when Goldberg and Margalit identified a new subspecies of *Bt* (*Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis – Bti*) that was active against mosquito and blackfly larvae (Dipteran insects) [45]. Until now, several *Bt* strains have been isolated throughout the world from various sources such as soil, diseased insects, water, grain dust, and leaf surface of many plants [46,47]. These strains produce over 300 crystal proteins that demonstrate specific activity against several insect orders including Lepidoptera, Diptera, Coleoptera, Hymenoptera, Homoptera, Orthoptera, Mallophaga [2], and other invertebrates [44].

The keywords "delta endotoxin (1545, 0.070)", "toxin (787, 0.18)", "protein (684, 0.00)", "gene (615, 0.03)", "crystal protein (599, 0.10)", and "toxicity (539, 0.00)", form the second group of keywords and are related to the insecticidal protein toxins produced by *Bt* and the genes encoding these proteins. When there is a shortage of nutrients to *Bt*, it forms a dormant spore or large parasporal

Table 5
Top 20 keywords with their frequency and centrality in pesticidal toxins of <i>Bt</i> research (1980–2021).

Rank	Frequency	Centrality	Year	Keyword
1	2750	0.20	1991	Bacillus thuringiensis
2	1544	0.07	1987	delta endotoxin
3	792	0.03	1990	resistance
4	786	0.18	1987	toxin
5	684	0.00	1991	protein
6	614	0.03	1987	gene
7	598	0.10	1987	crystal protein
8	539	0.07	1991	expression
9	537	0.00	1987	toxicity
10	472	1.00	1991	brush border membrane
11	467	0.42	1991	insect resistance
12	459	0.30	1991	strain
13	442	0.03	1991	lepidoptera
14	421	0.03	1992	Heliothis virescens
15	409	0.13	1991	binding
16	388	0.00	1991	identification
17	385	0.35	1991	Manduca sexta
18	323	0.10	2004	Helicoverpa armigera
19	299	0.07	1991	larvae
20	294	0.07	1991	plant

crystalline inclusions. These crystal inclusions are oftentimes referred to as δ -endotoxins (delta endotoxins), and they contain insecticidal Cry proteins that are deadly when ingested by specific susceptible insects [48]. These protein toxins are coded by a family of genes called cry genes [2,4,49].

The third group of keywords consists of, "expression (539, 0.07)", "brush border membrane (472, 1.00)", and "binding (388, 0.00). This group of keywords is related to the mechanism of action of *Bt* insecticidal toxins which involves the expression of certain cry genes such as *cry*1Ab, *cry*9C etc. [50], by binding to the brush border membrane vesicles of specific insects. The crystal proteins of *Bt* consist of inactive protoxins. Upon ingestion, the crystals are solubilized under the alkaline conditions of the susceptible insect midgut and protoxins are processed by the proteases of the midgut to become activated [2]. The activated crystal toxin binds to a specific receptor on the brush border membrane of midgut microvillae. This causes pore formation in the insect midgut, cell lyses, and the eventual death of the insect [2,51].

The keywords "resistance (792, 0.03)", and "insect resistance (467, 0.42), constitute the fourth group, and they are related to the evolution of insect resistance to certain *Bt* toxins. Due to the coevolution of *Bt* and insects, there were optimisms in the past that insects would not develop resistance against *Bt* toxins. However, several insect species displaying different levels of resistance to *Bt* Cry proteins by laboratory selection experiments using insects collected from wild populations or laboratory-reared insects have been reported, starting in the mid-1980s [2,52,53]. Several studies have reported different levels of field-evolved resistance to *Bt* toxins by different major insect pests [54–57].

The final set of keywords comprising "lepidoptera (442, 0.03)", "Heliothis virescens (409, 0.13)", "Manduca sexta (385, 0.35)", "Helicoverpa armigera (323, 0.10)", and "larvae (299, 0.07)", can be attributed to major insect pests against which *Bt* toxins have been actively deployed.

3.6.2. Timeline clusters of keywords and keywords burst

Keywords are clustered and visualized in the "timeline" mode to generate a map depicting the relationship between a cluster of keywords and the lifespan of most co-occurring keywords in a cluster. Frequently co-occurring keywords are first clustered in Cite-Space and an appropriate cluster label is designated to each cluster. Nodes of the same cluster are aligned on the same horizontal line in accordance with the timespan, displaying the historical accomplishment of a cluster [58]. Keywords with a higher frequency of occurrence show that those keywords were Bt toxin research-related hotspots within that period. CiteSpace utilized the clustering modularity index (Q value) and silhouette index (S value) to compute the clustering efficacy of the map. Q value ranges from 0 to 1,



Fig. 7. Timeline co-citation map of high-frequency keywords.

Q>0.3 indicates a significant network structure. A network with a mean silhouette value above 0.5 is considered rational, and if it is closer to 1, it indicates higher homogeneity of the network [38,59]. Thus, the Q value of 0.8083, and S value of 0.9675 denote reasonable divided keywords into loose clusters and a higher degree of consistency among members in a cluster.

Fig. 7 shows timeline visualization of keywords co-citation analysis, divided into 11 timelines of clusters by the Log-Likelihood Ratio (LLR) clustering method. High-frequency keywords usually appeared between 1990 and 1992. The largest cluster (#0 bacillus thuringiensis subsp. israelensis) has 15 members and a Mean Silhouette value of 0.936. Keywords in this cluster were hotspots in 1990, but the timeline expired around 1992. The second (#1 insecticidal toxin) and the third (#2 biological control) largest clusters have 14 members of keywords each, with a Mean Silhouette value of 1.00 each and these timelines lasted until around 2008 and 2016 respectively. This means that the keywords related to these clusters appeared early and had great influence in those periods, their popularity has declined recently.

Also, "#2 biological control", "#3 helicoverpa armigera", and "#4 field-evolved resistance are three highly connected clusters. The significant overlap of keywords in these clusters can be partly attributed to the detection of field-evolved resistance mechanisms in *H. armigera* to certain *Bt* toxins (*Cry*1Ac) in *Bt* cotton fields [60]. The timelines of "#4 field-evolved resistance", "#5 bacillus thuringiensis delta-endotoxin", and "#6 plutella xylostela" are still active to this day. Thus, research related to keywords in these clusters has been ongoing since their emergence and they are still popular today, indicating the current research focus.

In addition to the timeline view of keywords, keyword burst detection was carried out to add context in understanding the historical outlook and to explore the latest research trends of *Bt* pesticidal toxins. The correlation between trending keywords and timeframe can indicate a certain research frontier in a particular field [61].

In the last four years, a total of 10 burst keywords were identified as displayed in Fig. 8. Similar to the results described in the timeline co-occurrence of keywords above, the evolution of burst keywords over the past four years shows that latest research frontiers are transitioned towards the study of resistance evolution in *Bt* crops. The emergence and continuation of active keyword bursts such as "diamondback moth", "bt maize", efficacy", and "evolution" are closely related to studies on the effectiveness of pesticidal proteins produced by *Bt* crops and the evolutionary dynamics of pest resistance to these proteins. While the reported cases of pest resistance to *Bt* Cry proteins produced by transgenic crops was only 3 in 2005, these cases jumped to 26 in 2020 [62]. As a result, research topics regarding pest resistance to *Bt* transgenic crops have received great attention and could potentially continue to be the focus and frontiers of research in the near future.

3.7. Most active countries

VOSviewer was used to examine and visualize the contributions and collaborations of different countries in *Bt* pesticidal toxins related research. Only countries with a minimum of 5 documents were included. Out of the 105 countries involved, 63 met the threshold, and the visualization result is illustrated in Fig. 9. The size of a node represents the number of documents published by a particular country and the nodal linkage denote the degree of cooperation. Articles co-authored by authors from more than one country were not ignored.

As shown in Table 6, the United States of America is the lead country in terms of the number of published articles on studies related to *Bt* pesticidal toxins as of 2021. Articles published by researchers from the United States have been cited 72754 times, with an average citation of 46.58 per article. Given the United States' substantial adoptions of *Bt* crops, with over 75% hectares of cultivated corn and cotton from 2009 to 2020 estimated to be *Bt* varieties, combined with the fact that the country accounts for half of the documented cases of insect resistance worldwide [63], it comes as no surprise that it takes a leading role in research within this field. Also, the broad research collaborations of United States-based institutions with other institutions across the world play a substantial role.

The Peoples Republic of China had the second-highest published articles (933), with a mean citation of 17.96 per paper. This is followed by India (449), Mexico (308), and Brazil (290), with an average citation of 14.66, 32.42, 16.34 per paper respectively.

Keywords	Year	Strength	Begin	End	2017 - 2021
cloning	2017	4.89	2017	2018	
spodoptera exigua	2017	3.47	2017	2018	
plants	2017	2.52	2017	2019	
crystal structure	2018	5	2018	2019	_
transgenic cotton	2018	4.11	2018	2019	_
bacillus thuringiensis toxin	2018	3.82	2018	2019	_
diamondback moth	2018	2.21	2018	2021	_
bt maize	2019	6.48	2019	2021	
efficacy	2019	2.43	2019	2021	
evolution	2019	2.12	2019	2021	

Fig. 8. Top 10 keywords with the strongest burst of Bt pesticidal toxins research from 2017 to 2021.



Fig. 9. The co-authorship map of countries.

Table 6

Top 20 influential countries in Bt pesticidal toxin-related research from 1980 to 2021.

Rank	Country	Documents	Citations	Mean citation/document
1	United States of America	1562	72754	46.58
2	Peoples Republic of China	933	16760	17.96
3	India	449	6583	14.66
4	Mexico	308	9986	32.42
5	Brazil	290	4740	16.34
6	England	289	17737	61.37
7	France	284	15280	53.80
8	Canada	283	10703	37.82
9	Japan	249	4945	19.86
10	Spain	245	8578	35.01
11	Germany	131	4257	32.50
12	Tunisia	123	1746	14.20
13	Switzerland	122	5320	43.61
14	Australia	113	5089	45.04
15	South Korea	111	1835	16.53
16	Pakistan	109	1243	11.40
17	Thailand	102	1463	14.34
18	Belgium	99	10537	106.43
19	Italy	98	2383	24.31
20	Turkey	79	796	10.07

Although Belgium is ranked eighteenth (99 documents) regarding the number of publications, it has the highest (106.43) average citation per document among the top 15 most contributing countries. This shows the quality and relative importance of articles published by researchers from Belgium in this field.

3.8. Top contributing institutions

The data used contain 2899 institutions that have contributed to research publications on *Bt* pesticidal toxins from 1980 to 2021. Out of the 2899 institutions, 66 of them have published at least 25 documents. The number of published documents and the average citation number per document of an institution reflect the influence of an institution in this field. Fig. 10 shows the network map of co-cited institutions with at least 25 publications.

Table 7 shows the top 20 institutions/organizations that have published the most documents on *Bt* pesticidal toxins related studies from 1980 to 2021. CAAS is ranked first with 298 publications and an average citation of 21.20 per document. The subsequent institutions are the National Autonomous University of Mexico, Huazhong Agricultural University, and University of Valencia, with 177, 161, and 150 publications, and average citations of 46.58, 19.51, and 36.83 per document, respectively. Among the top 20 institutions, the Pasteur Institute in France, Ohio State University in the United States, and the University of Cambridge in England had the highest average citation per document of 90.04, 78.20, and 66.21, respectively. These institutions seem to have paid relatively less attention to research in this field, however, the global impact of their research is high. Although CAAS held the position as the institute with the highest publication output, it is worth mentioning that articles affiliated with the National Autonomous University of Mexico accumulated the most citation count. This indicates that the research findings from the National Autonomous University of Mexico are of significant value and are deemed a reference point for scholars around the world. Also, it is important to note that the majority of institutions that have contributed the most to *Bt* pesticidal toxins research are located in the United States.

4. Conclusions

In this study, data of global scientific research publications on *Bt* pesticidal toxins from 1980 to 2021 were extracted from the Web of Science Core Collection and analyzed using CiteSpace and VOSviewer scientometric visualization tools. After refining the data, 5757 documents were extracted. It was found that the 5757 publications featured in 917 journals spanning across 116 subject categories. Research output gained momentum in the early 1990s and peaked at 2017. Also, 2899 institutions in 105 countries contributed to studies on *Bt* biopesticide toxins. As the top cultivator of *Bt* crops, the United States of America is the leading country in this field. Other countries including the People's Republic of China, India, and Mexico have also made significant contributions to this field. The Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, the National Autonomous University of Mexico, and the Huazhong Agricultural University of Mexico have received the most citation, indicating their impact on the global scientific community. Although the leading institution is the China-based CAAS, the United States leads the way in publication output due to the presence of its many institutions among the top contributing institutions.

Also, Schepf et al. (1998), Höfte & Whiteley (1989), and Crickmore et al. (1998) were the most influential references based on the number of times they have been cited. Documents related to these references have contributed immensely to shaping research in this



Fig. 10. The co-authorship map of organizations/institutions.

Table 7

Top 20 institutions/organizations with the most published articles in Bt related research from 1980 to 2021.

Rank	Institution	Documents	Citations	Mean Citation/document
1	Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences	298	6317	21.20
2	National Autonomous University of Mexico	177	8244	46.58
3	Huazhong Agricultural University	161	3141	19.51
4	University of Valencia	150	5524	36.83
5	University of California, Riverside	145	6932	47.81
6	The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)	134	5451	40.68
7	University of Georgia	112	5578	49.80
8	University of Arizona	92	4171	45.34
9	University of Cambridge	89	5893	66.21
10	Mahidol University	85	1288	15.15
11	French National Research Institute of Agriculture (INRA)	82	4084	49.80
12	Chinese Academy of Sciences	81	1426	17.60
13	Agricultural Research Services (ARS)	79	2903	36.75
14	Cornell University	78	4411	56.55
15	Monsanto Company	76	4676	61.53
16	Ohio State University	75	5865	78.20
17	Pasteur Institute	73	6573	90.04
18	Kyushu University	70	1365	19.50
19	Iowa State University	67	2967	44.28
20	Nanjing Agricultural University	62	1739	28.04

field. These references focused on topics such as the structure and functions of Cry proteins, nomenclature and classification of Cry toxins, expression and mode of action of Cry toxins in insects, and the evolution of resistance to Cry toxins in insects. Reference burst citation analysis revealed the most influential references and current references that are receiving the most attention [2,4,36]. Bravo et al. [41], had the highest citation burst of 115.06, followed by Pardo-López et al. [42] and Bravo et al. [1] with citation burst strengths of 109.83 and 109.03 respectively. Out of the top 20 references with the strongest citation burst, 11 of them are still receiving attention to this day. Most of these references are related to research on the evolution of insect resistance to *Bt* pesticidal toxins.

Keyword co-occurrence analysis revealed the most frequently occurring keywords. After the analysis, some of the most highly occurring keywords were "*Bacillus thuringiensis*", "delta endotoxin, resistance", "toxin", "protein", "gene", "crystal protein" etc. In addition, timeline visualization of the keywords and keywords burst showed that keywords related to cluster labels such as "field-evolved resistance", "*Bacillus thuringiensis* delta endotoxin", and "*Plutella xylostella*", "diamondback moth", "bt maize", "efficacy", and "evolution" are the latest trending hotspots. Thus, the current trend of research in this field is more focused on the study of evolution of pest resistance mechanisms in transgenic crops.

Research hotspots in recent years have mainly focused on the development of resistance among certain insects against *Bt* pesticidal toxins. With the latest development of research on *Bt* toxins, researchers should concentrate more on understanding the mechanisms of resistance among certain insects and put in an effort to discover novel pesticidal toxins that are effective against these pest insects.

5. Limitations

This scientometric study utilized CiteSpace and VOSviewer to analyze data on *Bacillus thuringiensis* pesticidal toxins' publications from 1980 to 2021, based on the Web of Science Core Collection database. The data used in this study were extracted from only one database. Although Web of Science consists of many journals, it is difficult to achieve full coverage of all documents on *Bt* pesticidal toxins, especially those in other databases such as Scopus, PubMed, etc. Also, data used in this study were restricted to only research and review articles, and the query terms used to search the articles. Future studies can expand the data collection to include publication types such as book chapters, proceedings papers, early access etc., and include search terms such as parasporins and binary (Bin) toxins etc.

Author contribution statement

Abdul Aziz Karim: Conceived and designed the experiments; Performed the experiments; Analyzed and interpreted the data; Contributed reagents, materials, analysis tools or data; Wrote the paper.

Abeer Babiker Idris and Semih YILMAZ: Conceived and designed the experiments; Contributed reagents, materials, analysis tools or data; Wrote the paper.

Data availability statement

Data associated with this study has been deposited at All relevant data are available in figshare: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9. figshare.19166171.

Funding statement

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Additional information

No additional information is available for this paper.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the authors.

References

- A. Bravo, S. Likitvivatanavong, S.S. Gill, M. Soberón, Bacillus thuringiensis: a story of a successful bioinsecticide, Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 41 (2011) 423–431, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IBMB.2011.02.006.
- [2] E. Schnepf, N. Crickmore, J. Van Rie, D. Lereclus, J. Baum, J. Feitelson, D.R. Zeigler, D.H. Dean, Bacillus thuringiensis and its pesticidal crystal proteins, Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 62 (1998) 775–806.
- [3] J.-Z. Wei, K. Hale, L. Carta, E. Platzer, C. Wong, S.-C. Fang, R. V Aroian, Bacillus thuringiensis crystal proteins that target nematodes, National Acad Sciences 100 (2003) 2760–2765, https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.0538072100.
- [4] N. Crickmore, D.R. Zeigler, J. Feitelson, E. Schnepf, J. Van Rie, D. Lereclus, J. Baum, D.H. Dean, Revision of the nomenclature for the Bacillus thuringiensis pesticidal crystal proteins, Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 62 (1998) 807–813.
- [5] R.A. De Maagd, A. Bravo, N. Crickmore, How Bacillus thuringiensis has evolved specific toxins to colonize the insect world, Trends Genet. 17 (2001) 193–199, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9525(01)02237-5.
- [6] A.J. Gassmann, J.L. Petzold-Maxwell, R.S. Keweshan, M.W. Dunbar, Field-evolved resistance to bt maize by western corn rootworm, PLoS One 6 (2011), e22629, https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0022629.
- [7] N.P. Storer, J.M. Babcock, M. Schlenz, T. Meade, G.D. Thompson, J.W. Bing, R.M. Huckaba, Discovery and characterization of field resistance to bt maize: spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: noctuidae) in Puerto Rico, J. Econ. Entomol. 103 (2010) 1031–1038, https://doi.org/10.1603/EC10040.
- [8] P. Bagla, Hardy cotton-munching pests are latest blow to GM crops, Science 327 (2010) 1439, https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.327.5972.1439/ASSET/ AC97DF9A-058C-41B2-BC3C-A3468ADC5BB0/ASSETS/SCIENCE.327.5972.1439.
- [9] B.E. Tabashnik, A.J. Gassmann, D.W. Crowder, Y. Carrière, Insect resistance to Bt crops: evidence versus theory, Nat. Biotechnol. 26 (2008) 199–202, https:// doi.org/10.1038/nbt1382.
- [10] J.B.J. van Rensburg, First report of field resistance by the stem borer, Busseola fusca (Fuller) to Bt-transgenic maize. https://doi.org/10.1080/02571862.2007. 10634798, 2013.
- [11] S. Yılmaz, A. Babiker Idris, A. Ayvaz, A. Çetin, F. Ülgen, M. Çetin, B. Saraymen, M.A. Hassan, New insights into molecular basis identification of three novel strains of the Bacillus subtilis group produce cry proteins isolated from soil samples in adana, Turkey, Biorxiv.Org. (2021), https://doi.org/10.1101/ 2021.12.24.474129.
- [12] A. Bravo, I. Gómez, H. Porta, B.I. García-Gómez, C. Rodriguez-Almazan, L. Pardo, M. Soberón, Evolution of Bacillus thuringiensis Cry toxins insecticidal activity, Microb. Biotechnol. 6 (2013) 17–26, https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1751-7915.2012.00342.X.
- [13] L. Pardo-López, C. Muñoz-Garay, H. Porta, C. Rodríguez-Almazán, M. Soberón, A. Bravo, Strategies to improve the insecticidal activity of Cry toxins from Bacillus thuringiensis, Peptides (N.Y.). 30 (2009) 589–595, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PEPTIDES.2008.07.027.
- [14] K. Nair, R. Al-Thani, D. Al-Thani, F. Al-Yafei, T. Ahmed, S. Jaoua, Diversity of Bacillus thuringiensis strains from Qatar as shown by crystal morphology, δ-Endotoxins and Cry gene content, Front. Microbiol. 9 (2018) 708, https://doi.org/10.3389/FMICB.2018.00708/BIBTEX.
- [15] M. Rabha, S. Sharma, S. Acharjee, B.K. Sarmah, Isolation and characterization of Bacillus thuringiensis strains native to Assam soil of North East India, Biotech 7 (2017) 1–9, https://doi.org/10.1007/S13205-017-0935-Y/FIGURES/8.
- [16] D. Pinos, A. Andrés-Garrido, J. Ferré, P. Hernández-Martínez, Response mechanisms of invertebrates to Bacillus thuringiensis and its pesticidal proteins, Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 85 (2021), https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00007-20.
- [17] Q. Peng, Q. Yu, F. Song, Expression of cry genes in Bacillus thuringiensis biotechnology, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 103 (2019) 1617–1626, https://doi.org/ 10.1007/S00253-018-9552-X.
- [18] C. Chen, Z. Hu, S. Liu, H. Tseng, Emerging Trends in Regenerative Medicine: a Scientometric Analysis in CiteSpace, 2012, pp. 593-608.
- [19] L. Rizzi, Í.K. Aventurato, M.L.F. Balthazar, Neuroimaging research on dementia in Brazil in the last decade: scientometric analysis, challenges, and peculiarities, Front. Neurol. 12 (2021), https://doi.org/10.3389/FNEUR.2021.640525/FULL.
- [20] H. Wu, Y. Zhou, L. Xu, L. Tong, Y. Wang, B. Liu, H. Yan, Z. Sun, Mapping knowledge structure and research frontiers of ultrasound-induced blood-brain barrier opening: a scientometric study, Front. Neurosci. 15 (2021) 834, https://doi.org/10.3389/FNINS.2021.706105/BIBTEX.
- [21] C. Liu, Z. Liu, Z. Zhang, Y. Li, R. Fang, F. Li, J. Zhang, A scientometric analysis and visualization of research on Parkinson's disease associated with pesticide exposure, Front. Public Health 8 (2020), https://doi.org/10.3389/FPUBH.2020.00091/FULL.
- [22] Y. Guo, Z. Hao, S. Zhao, J. Gong, F. Yang, Artificial intelligence in health care: bibliometric analysis, J. Med. Internet Res. 22 (7) (2020), E18228, https://doi. org/10.2196/18228.
- [23] N.J. van Eck, L. Waltman, Software survey: VOSviewer, a computer program for bibliometric mapping, Scientometrics 2 (2010) 523–538, https://doi.org/ 10.1007/S11192-009-0146-3.
- [24] L. Ke, C. Lu, R. Shen, T. Lu, B. Ma, Y. Hua, Knowledge mapping of drug-induced liver injury: a scientometric investigation (2010–2019), Front. Pharmacol. 11 (2020), https://doi.org/10.3389/FPHAR.2020.00842/FULL.
- [25] M.B. Synnestvedt, C. Chen, J.H. Holmes, CiteSpace II: visualization and knowledge discovery in bibliographic databases, AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings (2005) 724.
- [26] X. Liu, X. Wu, J. Tang, L. Zhang, X. Jia, Trends and development in the antibiotic-resistance of acinetobacter baumannii: a scientometric research study (1991–2019), Infect. Drug Resist. 13 (2020) 3195, https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S264391.
- [27] R.H.G. Teles, H.F. Moralles, M.R. Cominetti, Global trends in nanomedicine research on triple negative breast cancer: a bibliometric analysis, Int. J. Nanomed. 13 (2018) 2321, https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S164355.

- [28] J. Zhao, G. Yu, M. Cai, X. Lei, Y. Yang, Q. Wang, X. Zhai, Bibliometric analysis of global scientific activity on umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells: a swiftly expanding and shifting focus, Stem Cell Res. Ther. 9 (2018) 1–9, https://doi.org/10.1186/S13287-018-0785-5/FIGURES/2.
- [29] Y. Wang, N. Zhao, X. Zhang, Z. Li, Z. Liang, J. Yang, X. Liu, Y. Wu, K. Chen, Y. Gao, Z. Yin, X. Lin, H. Zhou, D. Tian, Y. Cao, J. Hao, Bibliometrics analysis of butyrophilins as immune regulators [1992–2019] and implications for cancer prognosis, Front. Immunol. 11 (2020), https://doi.org/10.3389/ FIMMU 2020 01187/FILL
- [30] X. Li, D. Guo, J. Cheng, Study on Map Knowledge Domains of Transgenic Maize: Based on Citespace, 2016, pp. 618–624, https://doi.org/10.1142/ 9789813145870 0087.
- [31] K.M. Wu, Y.Y. Guo, The evolution of cotton pest management practices in China, Annu. Rev. Entomol. 50 (2005) 31–52, https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV. ENTO.50.071803.130349.
- [32] A. Navon, Bacillus thuringiensis insecticides in crop protection reality and prospects, Crop Protect. 19 (2000) 669–676, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-2194 (00)00089-2.
- [33] M.S.T. Abbas, Genetically engineered (Modified) crops (bacillus thuringiensis crops) and the world controversy on their safety, Egypt J Biol Pest Control 28 (2018) 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1186/S41938-018-0051-2/FIGURES/4.
- [34] B. Elango, Y.S. Ho, Top-cited Articles in the Field of Tribology: A Bibliometric Analysis, 12, Taylor & Francis, 2018, pp. 289–307, https://doi.org/10.1080/ 09737766.2018.1529125.
- [35] B. Elango, Y.-S. Ho, A bibliometric analysis of highly cited papers from India in Science Citation Index Expanded, Curr. Sci. (2017) 1653–1658.
- [36] H. Hofte, H.R. Whiteley, Insecticidal crystal proteins of Bacillus thuringiensis, Microbiol. Rev. 53 (1989) 242–255, https://doi.org/10.1128/MR.53.2.242-255.1989.
- [37] J. Li, J. Carroll, D.E. Nature, undefined, Crystal structure of insecticidal δ-endotoxin from Bacillus thuringiensis at 2.5 Å resolution, Nature.Com (1991), https:// doi.org/10.1038/353815a0.
- [38] C. Chen, The citespace manual, College of Computing and Informatics (2014) 1-84.
- [39] B. Sohrabi, I.R. Vanani, S. Mohammad, J. Jalali, E. Abedin, J. Jalali, Evaluation of Research Trends in Knowledge Management: a Hybrid Analysis through Burst Detection and Text Clustering, 18, World Scientific, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219649219500436.
- [40] C. Hofmann, H. Vanderbruggen, H. Hofte, J. Van Rie, S. Jansens, H. Van Mellaert, Specificity of Bacillus thuringiensis delta-endotoxins is correlated with the presence of high-affinity binding sites in the brush border membrane of target insect midguts, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85 (1988) 7844–7848, https://doi.org/ 10.1073/PNAS.85.21.7844.
- [41] A. Bravo, S.S. Gill, M. Soberón, Mode of action of Bacillus thuringiensis Cry and Cyt toxins and their potential for insect control, Toxicon 49 (2007) 423–435, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TOXICON.2006.11.022.
- [42] L. Pardo-López, M. Soberón, A. Bravo, Bacillus thuringiensis insecticidal three-domain Cry toxins: mode of action, insect resistance and consequences for crop protection, FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 37 (2013) 3–22, https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1574-6976.2012.00341.X.
- [43] S. Ishiwata, On a kind of severe flacherie (sotto disease), Ci.Nii.Ac.Jp. 114 (1901) 1-5.
- [44] V. Sanchis, From microbial sprays to insect-resistant transgenic plants: history of the biospesticide Bacillus thuringiensis, A review, Agronomy for Sustainable Development 31 (2011) 217–231.
- [45] L.J. Goldberg, J. Margalit, A bacterial spore demonstrating rapid larvicidal activity against Anopheles sergentii, Uranotaenia unguiculata, Culex univitattus, Aedes aegypti and Culex pipiens, Mosq. news 37 (1977) 355–358.
- [46] P.A.W. Martin, R.S. Travers, Worldwide abundance and distribution of Bacillus thuringiensis isolates, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 55 (1989) 2437–2442, https:// doi.org/10.1128/AEM.55.10.2437-2442.1989.
- [47] G.G. Khachatourians, Insecticides, Microbial, 2020.
- [48] B.H. Knowles, Mechanism of action of Bacillus thuringiensis insecticidal δ-endotoxins, Adv. Insect Physiol 24 (1994) 275–308, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2806(08)60085-5.
- [49] M. Ibrahim, N. Griko, M. Junker, L.B.-B. bugs, undefined, Bacillus Thuringiensis: a Genomics and Proteomics Perspective, 1, Taylor & Francis, 2010, pp. 31–50, https://doi.org/10.4161/bbug.1.1.10519.
- [50] G. Hua, L. Masson, J.L. Jurat-Fuentes, G. Schwab, M.J. Adang, Binding analyses of Bacillus thuringiensis Cry δ-endotoxins using brush border membrane vesicles of Ostrinia nubilalis, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67 (2001) 872–879, https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.2.872-879.2001/ASSET/93AFDC52-17F5-46F6-9EB3-1AF3C1123279/ASSETS/GRAPHIC/AM0210914005.
- [51] J. Ferré, J. Van Rie, Biochemistry and genetics of insect resistance to Bacillus thuringiensis, Annu. Rev. Entomol. 47 (2002) 501–533, https://doi.org/10.1146/ ANNUREV.ENTO.47.091201.145234.
- [52] B.E. Tabashnik, Evolution of Resistance to Bacillus Thuringiensis, 39, 1994, https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV.EN.39.010194.000403.
- [53] J. Ferré, B. Escriche, Y. Bel, J. van Rie, Biochemistry and genetics of insect resistance to Bacillus thuringiensis insecticidal crystal proteins, FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 132 (1995) 1–7, https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1574-6968.1995.TB07802.X.
- [54] B.E. Tabashnik, ABCs of insect resistance to bt, PLoS Genet. 11 (2015), e1005646, https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1005646.
- [55] B.E. Tabashnik, T. Brévault, Y. Carrière, Insect resistance to Bt crops: lessons from the first billion acres, Nat. Biotechnol. 31 (2013) 510–521, https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nbt.2597.
- [56] H. Zhang, W. Tian, J. Zhao, L. Jin, J. Yang, C. Liu, Y. Yang, S. Wu, K. Wu, J. Cui, B.E. Tabashnik, Y. Wu, Diverse genetic basis of field-evolved resistance to Bt cotton in cotton bollworm from China, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109 (2012) 10275–10280, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1200156109.
- [57] R. Monnerat, E. Martins, C. Macedo, P. Queiroz, L. Praça, C.M. Soares, H. Moreira, I. Grisi, J. Silva, M. Soberon, A. Bravo, Evidence of field-evolved resistance of Spodoptera frugiperda to Bt corn expressing Cry1F in Brazil that is still sensitive to modified Bt toxins, PLoS One 10 (2015), https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0119544.
- [58] J. Wu, X. Wu, J. Zhang, Development trend and frontier of stormwater management (1980–2019): a bibliometric overview based on CiteSpace, Water 11 (2019) 1908, https://doi.org/10.3390/W11091908.
- [59] H. Liu, W. Tan, H. Li, X. Wu, T. Han, Y. Xu, L. Jing, X. Ruyu, S. Anling, H. Thi, M. Hanh, T. Hoang, M. Khue, A. Hai, H. Liu, W. Tan, H. Li, L. Jing, X. Ruyu, S. Anling, Analysis on research frontiers and hotspots of "artificial intelligence Plus education" in China Visualization research based on citespace V, IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng. 569 (2019), 052073, https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/569/5/052073.
- [60] R.V. Gunning, H.T. Dang, F.C. Kemp, I.C. Nicholson, G.D. Moores, New resistance mechanism in Helicoverpa armigera threatens transgenic crops expressing Bacillus thuringiensis Cry1Ac toxin, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71 (2005) 2558–2563, https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.5.2558-2563.2005.
- [61] J. Liu, Y. Wang, Q. Zhang, J. Wei, H. Zhou, Scientometric analysis of public health emergencies: 1994–2020, Int. J. Environ. Res. Publ. Health 19 (2022) 640, https://doi.org/10.3390/IJERPH19020640.
- [62] B.E. Tabashnik, J.A. Fabrick, Y. Carrière, Global patterns of insect resistance to transgenic bt crops: the first 25 years, J. Econ. Entomol. 116 (2023) 297–309, https://doi.org/10.1093/JEE/TOAC183.
- [63] B.E. Tabashnik, J.A. Fabrick, Y. Carrière, Global patterns of insect resistance to transgenic bt crops: the first 25 years, J. Econ. Entomol. 116 (2023) 297–309, https://doi.org/10.1093/JEE/TOAC183.