



Article Maternal Lineages of Gepids from Transylvania

Alexandra Gînguță ^{1,2}, Bence Kovács ^{2,3}, Balázs Tihanyi ^{3,4}, Kitti Maár ², Oszkár Schütz ², Zoltán Maróti ^{3,5}, Gergely I. B. Varga ³, Attila P. Kiss ⁶, Ioan Stanciu ⁷, Tibor Török ^{2,3,*} and Endre Neparáczki ^{2,3}

- ¹ Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Faculty of Biology and Geology, Babeş-Bolyai University, 400006 Cluj-Napoca, Romania; gingutaalexandra@gmail.com
- ² Department of Genetics, University of Szeged, H-6726 Szeged, Hungary; kovacs.bence.gabor@mki.gov.hu (B.K.); kitti.maar@gmail.com (K.M.); schutzoszi@gmail.com (O.S.); endre.neparaczki@bio.u-szeged.hu (E.N.)
- ³ Department of Archaeogenetics, Institute of Hungarian Research, H-1014 Budapest, Hungary; tihanyi.balazs@mki.gov.hu (B.T.); zmaroti@gmail.com (Z.M.); varga.gergely@mki.gov.hu (G.I.B.V.)
- ⁴ Department of Biological Anthropology, University of Szeged, H-6726 Szeged, Hungary
- ⁵ Department of Pediatrics and Pediatric Health Center, University of Szeged, H-6725 Szeged, Hungary
- ⁶ Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Institute of Archaeology, Pázmány Péter Catholic University, H-1088 Budapest, Hungary; lordkisss@gmail.com
- ⁷ Institute of Archaeology and Art History, Romanian Academy, Cluj-Napoca Branch, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania; istanciu2001@yahoo.fr
- * Correspondence: torokt@bio.u-szeged.hu; Tel.: +36-62-544-104

Abstract: According to the written historical sources, the Gepids were a Germanic tribe that settled in the Carpathian Basin during the Migration Period. They were allies of the Huns, and an independent Gepid Kingdom arose after the collapse of the Hun Empire. In this period, the Carpathian Basin was characterized by so-called row-grave cemeteries. Due to the scarcity of historical and archaeological data, we have a poor knowledge of the origin and composition of these barbarian populations, and this is still a subject of debate. To better understand the genetic legacy of migration period societies, we obtained 46 full mitogenome sequences from three Gepid cemeteries located in Transylvania, Romania. The studied samples represent the Classical Gepidic period and illustrate the genetic make-up of this group from the late 5th and early 6th centuries AD, which is characterized by cultural markers associated with the Gepid culture in Transylvania. The genetic structure of the Gepid people is explored for the first time, providing new insights into the genetic makeup of this archaic group. The retrieved genetic data showed mainly the presence of Northwestern European mitochondrial ancient lineages in the Gepid group and all population genetic analyses reiterated the same genetic structure, showing that early ancient mitogenomes from Europe were the major contributors to the Gepid maternal genetic pool.

Keywords: mitogenome; Gepids; ancient DNA; NGS; migration period; population genetics

1. Introduction

The history of the so-called barbarian populations of the Migration Period is a subject of increasing interest, however, the reconstruction of the population history of these groups has been limited by the low number of reliable written and archaeological sources. From the migration period groups, Gepids had a great impact on the history of Central Europe, yet the investigation of this population so far has been marginal as their legacy is not claimed by any modern nations in Europe [1].

Gepids were one of the East Germanic groups which settled in the Carpathian Basin. Written sources first mention them in the second half of the 3rd century CE, and, based on archaeological data, they originated from the Wielbark Culture, from territory that is today Poland [1–3]; however, their presence in the Carpathian Basin can be evidenced only from the 5th century CE, related to the expansion of the Hun Empire they became a part of [1–5]. After the death of Attila (453 CE) and the collapse of the Hun power center in the



Citation: Gînguță, A.; Kovács, B.; Tihanyi, B.; Maár, K.; Schütz, O.; Maróti, Z.; Varga, G.I.B.; Kiss, A.P.; Stanciu, I.; Török, T.; et al. Maternal Lineages of Gepids from Transylvania. *Genes* **2022**, *13*, 563. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes13040563

Academic Editor: Bastien Llamas

Received: 21 February 2022 Accepted: 21 March 2022 Published: 23 March 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Carpathian Basin, the Gepids rose and established a kingdom by acquiring territories on the Great Hungarian Plain, Sirmium, and Transylvania [1–3]. In the 6th century CE, their tense relationship with the Byzantine Empire and the Langobards of Transdanubia resulted in many battles. Finally, they were defeated by a Langobard–Avar alliance in 567 CE, and, consequently, the newly established Avar Khaganate occupied the former territory of the Gepid Kingdom [1]. From the archaeological point of view, the 5th-century-CE horizon of the Carpathian Basin is characterized by small grave groups and solitary burials with heterogeneous cultural backgrounds. The low number of graves was explained by a populational decrease connected to migration events and the collapse of the power center. Later, a demographic increase was assumed as the number of the 6th-century-CE findings is significantly higher. In the Gepid period, so-called row-grave cemeteries appeared showing analogues with the Merovingian Age burial grounds of Western Europe. Certain elements (artefact types and burial customs) of these burials were also detected in early Avar period cemeteries suggesting local populational continuity between the Gepid and Avar periods [1,3].

In the Transylvanian Basin, the same archaeological tendencies were registered, and two main types of row-grave cemeteries were distinguished [6]. The first, is usually referred to as the Morești group, represented by the early phase cemeteries dating to the 6th century CE. These series contain classic grave goods associated with the Gepid period population (e.g., bow-brooches with five knobs and chip-carved decoration, eagle-head buckles, spatha, long seax, and pear-shaped ceramic vessels) [6]. The second category, known as the Band–Vereșmort group is composed of mainly late row-grave cemeteries dating to the last third of the 6th century CE and first half of the 7th century CE. The use of these cemeteries extended into the early Avar period which is reflected in the characteristics of the archaeological material (e.g., lower number of bow-brooches, higher proportions of weapons, belt-sets, and pottery; appearance of horse burials). In addition, regional differences were registered concerning the archaeological material of the Gepid period cemeteries [6]. Unfortunately, many of these cemeteries are only partially excavated and published, therefore the sample selection and evaluation of the data is limited.

The European migration period is still underrepresented from the genetic point of view, restricted to a few data from populations associated with Avars [7–11], Lombards [12–16], Goths [17] and Huns [10]. So far, there is no genetic data available from the Gepid period.

In this study we reveal the first 46 mitogenomes from three Gepid cemeteries located in Transylvania, Romania (Figure 1). All cemeteries are composed of burials that contained grave goods connected to the classic Gepid period population. Our aim was to investigate the genetic make-up of the Gepid period population in order to reveal their origin, population structure, and relationship with other ancient and modern Eurasian populations. To do so, we compared the full mtDNA sequences obtained in this study to all available ancient sequences from the public databases. Phylogenetic and population genetic analysis of the Gepid maternal lineages indicated Northwestern European affinity.



Figure 1. Location of the Gepid cemeteries under study. Sample size is indicated next to cemetery names. The map was generated using QGIS (references: QGIS Development Team QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project 2020.).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Archaeological Background

The late 5th century in the Transylvanian Basin was characterized by the appearance of row-grave cemeteries, or Reihengräberfelder, which were strongly connected to the Gepidic expansion [6]. In Transylvania, the archaeological research of the Gepidic period settlements began in the 1950s and since then, more than 100 sites were identified [18], but hitherto there is no genetic data available from any of these cemeteries.

Migration Period cemeteries were often systematically robbed in attempt to recover their rich inventory. Gepid burials were frequently victims of near immediate robbing and disturbing of the deceased, which narrowed our sampling procedure considerably. Another problem regarding the Gepid cemeteries is that there are few cemeteries fully excavated and very limited information is published. In an attempt to carry out a representative sampling from the Classical Gepidic period, we chose 3 cemeteries which were excavated in the 21st century, using modern techniques. One of the cemeteries (Vlaha) is fully excavated and the other two are partially investigated. We collected teeth or petrous bones for DNA extraction from 50 individuals dated to the Classical Gepidic period from three row-grave cemeteries from Transylvania. We selected 7 individuals from the Carei-Bobald cemetery neighboring Northwestern Transylvania, 25 samples from the Şardu cemetery and 18 samples from Vlaha/Magyarfenes-Pad cemetery of which 14 mitogenomes were determined, both located in the North-Western Transylvanian Basin (Table 1). The selected graves include both females and males and burials with rich and poor grave goods. The archaeological description of the cemeteries and details of the archaeological and anthropological context together with the summary of phylogeographic affinity of the

samples are presented in Table S1 and Appendix A. More details about the anthropological analyses and demographic structures of the Vlaha/Magyarfenes-Pad and Carei–Bobald cemeteries can be found in [19].

Table 1. Summary of the studied sample size from each cemetery.

Archaeological Site	Century AD	No. of Graves	No. of Collected Samples	No. of Mitogenomes Obtained
Carei–Bobald	6th	24	7	7
Şardu	5th–6th	49	25	25
Vlaha/Magyarfenes-Pad	6th	308	18	14

2.2. Sample Preparation and DNA Extraction

All methods preceding NGS were performed in the sterile laboratories dedicated for aDNA work at the Department of Archaeogenetics of the Institute of Hungarian Research and Department of Genetics, University of Szeged, Hungary.

Sampling was carried out using gloves and facemasks to minimize the risk of contamination with modern human DNA. From each individual, multi-root teeth or petrous bone was collected for the molecular analyses (Table S2a). Teeth were decontaminated with bleach and DNA was extracted from the teeth using the soaking method, which preserves the morphological integrity of teeth [20]. A pre-digestion step was performed in 3 mL extraction buffer containing 0.5 M EDTA and 100 μ g/mL Proteinase K. Samples were incubated with the pre-digestion buffer for 30 min at 48 °C, followed by a 72-h digestion in extraction buffer containing 0.45 EDTA, 250 μ g/mL Proteinase K, and 1% Triton X-100 at 48 °C. Then 12 mL of binding buffer containing 5 M GuHCl, 90 mM NaOAc, 40% isopropanol, 0.05% Tween-20 was added to the extract and DNA was purified on Qiagen MinElute columns. Quantity of DNA extracts were measured with Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen) using the dsDNA High Sensitivity Assay kit.

2.3. NGS Library Preparation, Sequencing, and Hg Assignment

Library preparation, mitochondrial DNA capture, sequencing, and sequence analysis was done as described in [21]. Libraries were constructed from partial uracil-DNA glycosylase (UDG)-treated DNA extracts [22] using the double stranded library protocol [23] with double indexing [24] with the following modifications: the preamplification step was omitted and after adapter fill-in the libraries were directly double indexed in one PCR-step using Accuprime Pfx Supermix, containing 10 mg/mL BSA and 200 nM indexing P5 and P7 primers, in the following cycles: 95 °C 5 min, 12 times, 95 °C 15 s, 60 °C 30 s and 68 °C 3 s, followed by 5 min extension at 68 °C.

All libraries were purified using MinElute columns and eluted in 20 μ L EB buffer (Qiagen). DNA concentration was measured with Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer using the ds-DNA High Sensitivity Assay kit and fragment size was determined using the TapeStation automated electrophoresis system (Agilent).

The endogenous human DNA content of each library was estimated by low coverage shotgun sequencing (Table S2a). Libraries with similar human DNA concentrations were combined, then mitogenomes were enriched as described in [25] and sequenced.

The Cutadapt software [DOI:10.14806/ej.17.1.200] was used to trim the adapters from paired-end reads [26] and the quality of the reads was assessed with FastQC [27]. We conducted the further analysis only with the sequences that were longer than 25 nucleotides. The reads were mapped to the GRCh37.75 human genome reference sequence which contains the mtDNA revised Cambridge Reference Sequence (rCRS, NC_012920.1) using the Burrows Wheeler Aligner (BWA) v.0.7.9 software [28] with the BWA mem algorithm in paired mode and default parameters. The exogenous DNA was removed by applying an additional filter and we considered only molecules above 90% identity threshold to the reference genome. The binary alignment map (BAM) files were sorted and indexed using the Samtools v1.1 [29] and the PCR duplicates were removed using Picard Tools v1.113 [30].

Ancient DNA damage patterns were assessed using mapDamage 2.0 [31] with the read quality scores modified to account for post-mortem damage and sequences were visualized in Integrative Genomics Viewer v1.10.0 to identify potential errors during the SNP calling. Contamination was estimated using the Schmutzi algorithm [32] (Table S2b). Sample Vlaha1244 was removed from the analysis because of its high contamination, but the Vlaha1243 sample with 17% contamination was not removed, as its FASTA sequence from the "contaminating" molecules was assigned to the same Hg, indicating that all transitions were removed from these aDNA molecules due to UDG overtreatment. Mitochondrial haplogroups (Hg) were determined using HaploGrep2 (v2.4.0) [33] (Table S3a). The biolog-ical sex of the individuals was determined by two methods that uses shotgun sequencing reads, the X/Y ratio [34] and the endogenous DNA assigned to autosomes [35] (Table S2a). Additionally, we took extra care to exclude low MAPQ and pseudo autosomal regions of X and Y chromosomes. All details of mitogenome NGS data are reported in Table S2b. In just a few cases, the two methods did not give the same results.

The raw nucleotide sequence data of the 46 Gepid mitogenomes were deposited to the European Nucleotide Archive (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena, accessed on 21 February 2022) under the accession number: PRJEB50517.

2.4. Phylogenetic Study and Population Genetic Analyses

For the population genetic analysis, we merged all Gepids samples into one population. In order to determine the genetic distances between ancient populations mtDNA distribution of the studied samples were compared to populations from an archaic database containing 4324 ancient Eurasian mitogenomes [36], from which we used 92 populations based on time range and archaeological information (Table S4). The database was augmented with the sequences obtained in this study.

For building the median joining (MJ) networks [37], the selected sequences were aligned using MAFFT version 7 [38,39] with progressive G-INS-1 setting and converted to Nexus format using MEGA [40]. Phylogeographic connections were inferred from the geographic origin of the closest matching samples from the database (Figure S1, summarized in Table S1). The phylogenetic analysis was preferably done with ancient DNA data, except for haplogroups for which ancient data were not available, where we used modern data.

We used three independent methods for measuring the genetic similarity of Gepids to other ancient populations. In the first approach, we reduced the Hgs number to major Hgs, which decreased our population dataset to 45 dimensions, which is enough for showing the main correlations. The major Hgs frequencies were calculated and the principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using the "prcomp" function in R 3.6.3 [41].

In the second approach, we applied the traditional sequence-based method calculating pair-wise population differentiation values (Fst) with Arlequin 3.5.2.2 [42] from the whole mtDNA sequences, as described in [25]. For the Fst values calculation, a previously published database was used [36]. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) was applied on the matrix of linearized Slatkin Fst values [43] and visualized in a two-dimensional space using the cmdscale function implemented in R 4.1.2 [41].

Our third approach was measuring the so called shared haplogroup distance (SHD) values, as the presence of identical terminal sub-Hgs between populations testifies shared ancestry or past admixture events [44]. Pair-wise SHD distances were calculated between all the 93 ancient populations using the frequencies of the sub-Hgs, as described in [25].

3. Results

3.1. Sequencing Results and Haplogroup Assignment

Here we report 46 new mitogenome sequences (Table S3a) which were retrieved using the NGS method combined with mitogenome enrichment. Mitogenome DNA could not be obtained from 4 samples. The mitochondrial coverage was $0.2-2208 \times$, with average coverage $375 \times$ (Table S2b). We obtained 38 mitogenomes above $5 \times$ coverage and 8 mitogenomes with less than $5 \times$ coverage (Table S2b). The lowest coverage sample, Vlaha0450, had 10.7%

of the mitogenome covered $5 \times$ but Haplogrep could unambiguously assign the Hg of this sample, with all Hg defining SNP-s identified (Table S3). Contamination was negligible (0–2%) in most of the samples, significant 17% contamination was indicated just in one sample. The 46 samples belong to 36 Hgs and 37 different haplotypes (Table S3a). We found a few identical haplotypes within cemeteries, which may indicate a potential direct maternal relationship of these individuals (Table S3b).

3.1.1. Haplogroup Composition and Phylogenetic Analysis

Gepid sequences cover almost the entire range of Western Eurasian Major-Hgs with the following frequencies: H 38%, HV 5%, I 8%, J 4%, N 3%, T 20% (T1 5% and T2 15%), U 11% (U3 3%, U 4 5% and U5 3%), and V 5% (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Major haplogroup distribution in the Gepid population from Transylvania.

Phylogenetic relations were illustrated for each Hg using MJ Networks (Figure S1). The phylogenetic trees confirmed that the Transylvanian Gepid population belonged to western Eurasian lineages, most of them characteristic for Northwestern European regions. For example the following Hg-s cluster to the same node: The H1a Hg of Şardu03 and Şardu05 and a 7–9th century AD Anglo-Saxon individual (Network 3); the J1c2c1 Hg of Şardu26 and two 16th century AD samples excavated in Finland (Network 17); the T2f1a1 Hg of Sardu29 and several modern individuals from Denmark (Network 25); the T2b Hg of Carei111and a Langobard from Italy (Network 24); and the H1ba Hg of Carei35per2 and an Early Bronze Age sample from Germany (Network 4), indicating close maternal relation of at the Gepid samples to these Northwestern European individuals.

A few lineages indicated Near Eastern affinity, such as individual Ṣardu18 (H13a2b), Carei35per1, (N1a1a1a*3) Vlaha0574, and Vlaha1216 (T1) and Vlaha0637 (T1a), which had the closest parallels from Bronze Age Anatolia and Late Chalcolithic Turkey. This Near Eastern affinity is most likely explained as the legacy of early European farmers [45,46].

The only lineage indicating east Eurasian affinity is the H6a1b Hg of the Carei34 individual (Figure S1, Network 7), which probably arrived with eastern immigrants of the Migration Period.

Phylogenetic trees also revealed that many of the lineages had been present in the Carpathian Basin and the surrounding region from the Neolithic to the Middle Ages, which may indicate a potential population continuity of the 6th century population with earlier medieval groups in Transylvania, but a larger dataset is needed to prove this assumption.

3.1.2. Kinship in the Gepid Cemeteries

We detected several identical haplotypes within all three cemeteries, which suggest possible direct maternal kinship between distant or neighboring burials.

In the Vlaha/Magyarfenes–Pad cemetery we found 3 pairs of individuals with the same haplotype. All individuals came from an isolated group of burials in the northern part of the cemetery, which suggest a horizon of family burials in that area. We found rare subclades in these individuals, Vlaha1285 and Vlaha1293 belonging to H1ay*2 (Figure S1, Network 3), Vlaha1276 and Vlaha1288 belonging to H5a1g1a (Figure S1, Network 6), and Vlaha0547 and Vlaha1276 belonging to the T1 haplogroup (Figure S1, Network 22). The low frequency of these haplogroups makes it more likely that these individuals could be related.

In the Şardu cemetery, we found a group of four individuals (Sardu08, 15, 76, and 77) with the same haplotype, belonging to the T2b5 subclade (Figure S1, Network 24), two individuals (Sardu03 and 05) belonging to the H1a subclade (Figure S1, Network 3) and other two individuals (Sardu80 and 89) belonging to the HV9 + 152 subclade (Figure S1, Network 13). The graves are positioned close to each other, suggesting that the burial place was used by a small community of related individuals.

In Carei–Bobald cemetery, from the 7 investigated individuals we found two identical haplotypes (Carei40 and 41), from neighboring graves, belonging to the T2b4 subclade (Figure S1, Network 24), which were also very likely related.

3.1.3. Population Genetic Analysis

We merged all 5–6th century Gepid lineages into a single population and measured their genetic distances from all ancient populations available in the public databases. We compared our data to published mitochondrial data [36] from 92 ancient Eurasian populations (Table S4). PCA obtained from the major Hg frequencies places the Gepid population among Europeans (Figure 3). On Figure 3 our ancient samples map closest to Neolithic Europeans (EU_N), Central European Copper Age (HU_CA) and Bell Baker populations (BellBHu_CA), Poland Iron Age (Pol_IA) and Iceland Medieval (Ice_M).

To further confirm the genetic relationships of Gepids, an MDS plot was drawn from the linearized Slatkin Fst Values of the same populations, but for better resolution of the European side, 14 Asian populations were left out (Figure 4, Tables S4 and S5a). The MDS plot also shows a clear grouping of Gepids with European populations, as they are closely clustered together in the left part of the figure with European Neolithic, Copper Age, and Iron Age groups, similar to the PCA plot results.

The SHD approach gave similar results, as the Gepids shared most identical Hg-s with ancient samples from Neolithic–Iron Age Europe (Table S5b).

Fst and SHD analysis gave comparable results (Table S5c), as both indicated closest links to Anatolian Bronze Age (An_BA), the Balkan Neolithic (Balk_N) and Copper–Bronze Age (Balk_CBA) populations, Mediterranean Copper–Bronze Age (Mdt_CBA), Bell Baker groups from Germany (BellBGB_CA) and Great Britain (BellBG_CA), Near East Iran–Turan Copper Age (IrTur_CA), Near East Copper Age–Bronze Age (NE_CBA), Globular Amphora culture (GlobA_CA), Bronze Age Hungary (Hu_BA) and 10th century commoner population from Hungary (ConqC_M). Latter findings suggest that the overall mtDNA composition of the Gepid group from Transylvania may not differ significantly from other ancient groups of the Carpathian Basin.



Figure 3. The principal component analysis (PCA) drawn from the major mtDNA haplogroup distributions of 93 Eurasian populations. The abbreviations of the population names are given in Table S4.



Figure 4. A multi-dimensional scaling (MDS) plot from the linearized Slatkin Fst values of Table S5a. For better resolution 14 Asian populations were left out from the analysis. The abbreviations of the population names are given in Table S4.

4. Discussion

Using NGS technology combined with target enrichment, we described the maternal lineages associated with the Gepid culture in Transylvania. The genetic structure of a Gepid group is explored for the first time, providing new insights into the genetic structures of this archaic people.

The retrieved genetic data showed mainly the presence of Northwestern European mitochondrial ancient lineages in the Gepid group, in line with the historically known ancient European geographic origin of these Germanic people. Regarding this, it is interesting to mention the affinities of the analyzed group with the population of the Wielbark culture described in [17], whose data were included in the present analysis under the name of the Poland Iron Age (Pol_IA) and the Langobards (Lang_M), Germanic groups with available mitogenome data. In Figures 3 and 4 these groups map not very far from our Gepids, as their Fst and SHD values indicate close distances (Table S5), though some of the values were not significant, probably due to sample size. These results are in agreement with the possible Wielbark origin of the Gepidic people, that they could have arrived to Transylvania from the northern region of East-Central Europe, today North and Central Poland [4].

The most frequent macrohaplogroup was H, followed by T, U, I, and HV. We detected just a single Asian lineage, indicating that the studied population did not significantly admix with immigrants from Asia. The Central-Northern European ancestry is dominant in the Gepid group and it is closest to Bronze Age populations from nearby regions. Due to the lack of genetic data from other periods in Transylvania we could not assess the relation with other local ancient individuals, but their affinity to the local population of the Carpathian Basin seems significant.

Each population genetic analysis reiterated the same genetic structure, showing that early ancient mitogenomes from Europe were the major contributors to the Gepid maternal genetic pool. Population genetic results also imply that the Gepid maternal ancestry may have derived mostly from local residents. This seems to contradict historical data, which describe the Gepids as Germanic immigrants from Northern Europe, however, these data do not exclude the possibility that many of the individuals could be immigrants from Northern Europe, as a comparable European maternal gene pool was present in both regions.

The resolution provided by the mitochondrial genomes presented in this study cannot provide a full perspective of the demographic events. In order to depict with better resolution the migration and admixture events, we need to study these groups at the whole genome level, preferably with other contemporary samples from Transylvania and the surrounding regions.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/ 10.3390/genes13040563/s1, Figure S1: Median Joining Networks for mtDNA sequences of the Gepid group, Table S1: Archaeological and genetic details of studied samples, Table S2: NGS data, Table S3: Mitogenomes haplotypes, Table S4: Population database, Table S5: Population genetic analysis details.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.G., E.N. and T.T.; methodology, B.K. and E.N.; software, Z.M. and O.S.; formal analysis, E.N. and Z.M.; investigation, A.G., K.M., B.T., I.S. and G.I.B.V.; resources, E.N. and T.T.; data curation, A.G., Z.M., E.N. and O.S.; writing—original draft preparation, A.G.; writing—review and editing, B.T., A.P.K., E.N. and T.T.; visualization, A.G.; supervision, E.N. and T.T.; funding acquisition, E.N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by grants from the National Research, Development and Innovation Office, Hungary (TUDFO/5157-1/2019-ITM; TKP2020-NKA-23 to E.N.).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The raw nucleotide sequences data were deposited to the European Nucleotide Archive (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena, accessed on 21 February 2022) under the accession number: PRJEB50517.

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to the Alpár Dobos from National Museum of Transylvanian History, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, Robert Gindele from County Museum in Satu Mare, Romania, Sorin Nemeti from Faculty of History and Philosophy, Babeş-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania and Andrei Dorian Soficaru from "Francisc I. Rainer" Institute of Anthropology, Romanian Academy, Bucharest, Romania for providing samples for this study. We also thank Horia L. Banciu from Faculty of Biology and Geology, Babeş-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania for encouraging this work and making observations on the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Appendix A. Archaeological Background of the Studied Cemeteries

1. Carei–Bobald (Satu Mare County)

A more detailed description of the Carei–Bobald settlement and cemetery is given in [13]. The archaeological site is located in the Northwestern region of Romania, near the border with Hungary. The Gepid settlement of Carei geographically marks the northeastern limit of the block of Gepidic settlements from the Tisza Plain, lying on the territory of the earlier border between the Germanic populaces and the Sarmatians, on Germanic territory. The Gepid settlement and cemetery from Carei, termed site Bobald I, was partially investigated due to an infrastructure project taken place in that area. The terrace's water side was often inhabited by populaces starting with the Stone Age until the medieval date abandonment of the place of Bobald. The excavations revealed 18 Gepid features, 10 Bronze Age features, 16 features of Celtic date—of which 2 pottery firing workshops, 24 Gepid period burials and 27 features from 16–17th century.

From the Gepidic cemetery, 24 inhumations were investigated and recovered. Anthropological investigations could be carried out for 19 individuals who had a good preservation of the skeletal material. Sex of the individuals, age and pathological changes were determined (Table S1) [19].

Graves are oriented W-E, with little variation. Both their orientation and parallel row position include them in the 6th century A.D. row-grave burial horizon.

Based on the discoveries, Carei–Bobald settlement and cemetery features and burials have become the reference point for the Tisza Plain area, by the northern border of the block of Gepid finds [13,38]. Undoubtedly, the cemetery and settlement are contemporary, common pieces including ware finds and the bone combs. So far, it may be concluded that the settlement and cemetery of Carei–Bobald have parallels rather in the Tisza Plain phenomena and seem not to endure past the Avar conquest (A.D. 567/68) of the eastern Carpathian Basin. Carei–Bobald burial ground functioned more or less in parallel with those from the Tisza Plain, in the last third of the 5th century and first two thirds of the 6th century AD.

2. Şardu (Cluj County)

The site is located in central-western part of Romania, in the historical province of Transylvania. The archaeological research at Şardu was carried out in 2021 in the frame of the rescue excavations for the construction site of the future highway A3, section 3A2 (Nădășelu–Mihăiești). In total, 49 graves were unearthed, belonging to a larger cemetery. The extension of the cemetery could be delimited in three directions (North, South and West), the only uncertain edge remaining the eastern one. The graves were arranged roughly in rows oriented N–S with certain groups consisting of 2–4 graves.

The funerary rite is exclusively inhumation in graves oriented W–E. Almost all burials were reopened in a short period of time after the moment of the funeral and therefore most of the bones and grave-goods were discovered in secondary position. Because of the high degree of grave disturbing, the burials contained only few, and many times fragmentary grave-goods. At a first examination, the objects as well as the burial customs indicate that

the cemetery was in use during the Gepidic Age (the second half of the 5th century–first two thirds of the 6th century AD).

The anthropological material is rather poorly preserved and fragmentary, first of all due to the high degree of grave reopening, but also as a result of the unfavorable soil characteristics. Because it is a recent excavation, the anthropological examination of the skeletal remains has not been executed yet.

3. Vlaha/Magyarfenes-Pad (Cluj County)

The archaeological site Vlaha/Magyarfenes-Pad is located North-West of Transylvania, on a collateral valley of the Someșul Mic River, near Cluj-Napoca (Kolozsvár) city, located on the site of the former Roman city, Napoca.

Archaeological excavations took place between 2004–2007 due to the National Highway Archaeological Research Program that preceded the construction of Transylvania Highway and were led by I. Stanciu from the Institute of Archeology and Art History, Cluj Branch of the Romanian Academy. An area of 1.1 ha was completely investigated, being identified, and researched a total of 1340 archaeological structures, of which 308 graves from the Gepid period. On the same place there were settlements from the Late Bronze Age and Early Iron Age II (Ha B2), with dwellings and other various archaeological features, they frequently intersected by burials intervening much later.

Vlaha necropolis belongs to the eastern area of necropolises "with tombs arranged in parallel rows", respectively graves oriented W–E (Reihengräberfelder), whose appearance—in Transylvania at least—can be fixed for the time being at the crossroads between the 5th and 6th centuries AD. The best Transylvanian parallel for the Vlaha cemetery is supported by the more well-known necropolis from Morești, which ceased with the intervention of the Avars inside the Carpathian basin. The components of the funerary inventories, as long as they have been preserved, allow the dating of the Vlaha cemetery during the first two thirds of the sixth century, without the possibility of extending this chronological positioning in one direction or another.

It is important to specify that the necropolis was fully researched (308 graves in total), possibly only a few of the graves could not be observed. The horizontal distribution of the graves indicates a core of the necropolis, on a ca. 49×34 m rectangular surface (out of the total surface of ca. 96×34 m), with its long axis-oriented NNV–SSE, where most of the graves were concentrated in at least 10 parallel rows, having an orientation in W–E direction. In the north-eastern half of the cemetery, several groups of graves, probably made up of family criteria, exceed the perimeter of this regular plan.

In the case of necropolises of this kind the graves robbery is a common occurrence, but in Vlaha one, the phenomenon registered a special magnitude, because around 95% of the graves were looted. Usually, from the initial funerary inventory were found only clay vessels (often broken from antiquity or even incomplete), horn composite combs, iron artifacts or their remains, beads in women's graves. Of course, in relation to the economic and social position of the deceased, in the case of many of the graves, the inventory must have been a common one. Sometimes, in the upper part of the filling soil, pottery fragments of the vessels were found, which were initially deposited with the deceased. In some cases, fragments of the same vessel were found in different graves, which suggest that more graves were robbed at the same time. The robberies predilection was towards bronze or precious metal pieces, as indicated by traces of bronze patina kept on pelvis or hands bones (but such traces were seldom caught). It would be assumed that, at least in those graves where shield umbo, arrows or spearheads were discovered, there should have been other weapons present (spatha, sax, knives). It is noteworthy that the inventory of few graves that have not been looted is poor, except burials number 0450 from which a pair of bow fibulae (gilded silver) was found.

The graves (and robbery pits) were identified at a shallow depth, at the base of the soil layer or just be-low it, which meant the chance of a detailed documentation. Due to the specificity of the soil on the spot, it was sometimes possible indicated the filling imprint of the coffins, along with the remains of rotten wood. Unfortunately, due to the wet and acidic soil, in general, the skeletons were poorly preserved, in some cases (especially children's graves) they were completely decomposed or just fragile traces of them were seen.

References

- Kiss, A.P. "… ut strenui viri … " A Gepidák Kárpát-Medencei Története. In Szegedi Középkorász Műhely és a Szegedi Tudományegyetem Történelmtudományi Doktori Iskolájának Medievisztika alprogramja; SZTE Történelemtudományi Doktori Isk. Szegedi Középkorász Műhely: Szeged, Hungary, 2015; ISBN 978-963-306-468-9.
- Zsófia, R. Who were the Gepids and Ostrogoths on the Middle Danube in the 5th Century? An Archaeological Perspective. In *The Migration Period between the Oder and the Vistula*; Brill: Leiden, The Netherlands; Boston, MA, USA, 2020; pp. 771–789.
- 3. Rácz Zsófia, V.T. Germánok Keletről és Nyugatról: A Gepidák és Langobardok Régészete [Germanen von Osten und Westen: Die Archäolo-Gie der Gepiden und Langobarden]; Verlag Bernhard Albert Greiner: Remshalden, Germany, 2018; ISBN 978-3-86705-085-2.
- 4. Kiss, A.P. Which came first, the chicken or the egg? The ethnic interpretations of the hoards of Simleu Silvaniei/Szilágysomlyó: A case study in mixed argumentation. In *Attila's Europe? Structural Transformation and Strategies of Success in the European Hun Period*; Rácz, Z., Szenthe, G., Eds.; Hungarian National Museum, Eötvös Loránd University: Budapest, Hungary, 2021; pp. 477–500.
- 5. Bierbrauer, V. Miscellena Romao-Barbarica. In honorem septagenarii magistri Ion Ioniăța oblata. In *Gepiden im 5. Jahrhundert—Eine Spurensuche*; București: Academia Romana, Romania, 2006; pp. 167–216.
- 6. Dobos, A. On the edge of the merovingian culture. Row-grave cemeteries in the transylvanian basin in the 5th–7th centuries. In *Proceedings of the Gepids after the Fall of the Hun Empire*; Stiftung Archaeolingua: Budapest, Hungary, 2019.
- Csáky, V.; Gerber, D.; Koncz, I.; Csiky, G.; Mende, B.G.; Szeifert, B.; Egyed, B.; Pamjav, H.; Marcsik, A.; Molnár, E.; et al. Genetic Insights into the Social Organisation of the Avar Period Elite in the 7th Century AD Carpathian *Basin. Sci. Rep.* 2020, *10*, 948. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Csosz, A.; Szécsényi-Nagy, A.; Csákyová, V.; Langó, P.; Bódis, V.; Köhler, K.; Tömöry, G.; Nagy, M.; Mende, B.G. Maternal Genetic Ancestry and Legacy of 10th Century AD Hungarians. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 33446. [CrossRef]
- Šebest, L.; Baldovič, M.; Frtús, A.; Bognár, C.; Kyselicová, K.; Kádasi, Ľ.; Beňuš, R. Detection of mitochondrial haplogroups in a small avar-slavic population from the eigth–ninth century AD. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 2018, 165, 536–553. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Neparáczki, E.; Maróti, Z.; Kalmár, T.; Maár, K.; Nagy, I.; Latinovics, D.; Kustár, Á.; Pálfi, G.; Molnár, E.; Marcsik, A.; et al. Y-chromosome haplogroups from Hun, Avar and conquering Hungarian period nomadic people of the Carpathian Basin. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 16569. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Maróti, Z.; Neparáczki, E.; Schütz, O.; Maár, K.; Varga, G.I.B.; Kovács, B.; Kalmár, T.; Nyerki, E.; Nagy, I.; Latinovics, D.; et al. Whole genome analysis sheds light on the genetic origin of Huns, Avars and conquering Hungarians. *bioRxiv* 2022. [CrossRef]
- Alt, K.W.; Knipper, C.; Peters, D.; Ller, W.M.; Maurer, A.F.; Kollig, I.; Nicklisch, N.; Ller, C.M.; Karimnia, S.; Brandt, G.; et al. Lombards on the Move—An integrative study of the migration period cemetery at szó lá d, Hungary. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e110793. [CrossRef]
- 13. Vai, S.; Ghirotto, S.; Pilli, E.; Tassi, F.; Lari, M.; Rizzi, E.; Matas-Lalueza, L.; Ramirez, O.; Lalueza-Fox, C.; Achilli, A.; et al. Genealogical relationships between early medieval and modern inhabitants of piedmont. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0116801. [CrossRef]
- Amorim, C.E.; Vai, S.; Posth, C.; Modi, A.; Koncz, I.; Hakenbeck, S.; La Rocca, M.C.; Mende, B.; Bobo, D.; Pohl, W.; et al. Understanding 6th-Century Barbarian Social Organization and Migration through Paleogenomics. *Nat. Commun.* 2018, *6*, 3547. [CrossRef]
- 15. Poma, A.; Cesare, P.; Bonfigli, A.; Vecchiotti, G.; Colafarina, S.; Savini, F.; Redi, F.; Zarivi, O. Analysis of ancient mtDNA from the medieval archeological site of *Amiternum* (L'Aquila), central Italy. *Heliyon* **2019**, *5*, e02586. [CrossRef]
- 16. Vai, S.; Brunelli, A.; Modi, A.; Tassi, F.; Vergata, C.; Pilli, E.; Lari, M.; Rosa Susca, R.; Giostra, C.; Pejrani Baricco, L.; et al. A genetic perspective on Longobard-Era migrations. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **2019**, *27*, 647–656. [CrossRef]
- Stolarek, I.; Handschuh, L.; Juras, A.; Nowaczewska, W.; Kóčka-Krenz, H.; Michalowski, A.; Piontek, J.; Kozlowski, P.; Figlerowicz, M.; Kocka-Krenz, H.; et al. *Goth Migration Induced Changes in the Matrilineal Genetic Structure of the Central-East European Population*; Nature Publishing Group: Berlin, Germany, 2019; Volume 9.
- Gindele, R. Robert Gindele: The Gepidic Settlement and Cemetery from Carei-Bobald; Jósa András Múzeum: Nyíregyháza, Hungary, 2020; ISBN 9786155619205.
- 19. Radu, C. Health, Life Style and Identity. The Bioarchaeological Study of the Population from Transylvania in Migrations Period (5th–7th Centuries AD); Babeş-Bolyai University: Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 2021.
- Harney, É.; Cheronet, O.; Fernandes, D.M.; Sirak, K. A Minimally Destructive Protocol for DNA Extraction from Ancient Teeth. Genome Res. 2020, 31, 472–483. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Neparáczki, E.; Kocsy, K.; Tóth, G.E.; Maróti, Z.; Kalmár, T.; Bihari, P.; Nagy, I.; Pálfi, G.; Molnár, E.; Raskó, I.; et al. Revising mtDNA haplotypes of the ancient Hungarian conquerors with next generation sequencing. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0174886. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Rohland, N.; Harney, E.; Mallick, S.; Nordenfelt, S.; Reich, D. Partial uracil—DNA—Glycosylase treatment for screening of ancient DNA. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 2015, *370*, 20130624. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Meyer, M.; Kircher, M. Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly multiplexed target capture and sequencing. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* **2010**, *5*, pdb-prot5448. [CrossRef]

- 24. Kircher, M.; Sawyer, S.; Meyer, M. Double indexing overcomes inaccuracies in multiplex sequencing on the Illumina platform. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2012**, 40, e3. [CrossRef]
- Neparáczki, E.; Maróti, Z.; Kalmár, T.; Kocsy, K.; Maár, K.; Bihari, P.; Nagy, I.; Fóthi, E.; Pap, I.; Kustár, Á.; et al. Mitogenomic data indicate admixture components of Central-Inner Asian and Srubnaya origin in the conquering Hungarians. *PLoS ONE* 2018, 13, e0205920. [CrossRef]
- Martin, M. Cutadapt Removes Adapter Sequences from High-Throughput Sequencing Reads. EMBnet. J. 2011, 17, 10–12. [CrossRef]
- 27. "FastQC" 2015. Available online: https://qubeshub.org/resources/fastqc (accessed on 1 February 2022).
- Li, H.; Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 2009, 25, 1754–1760. [CrossRef]
- Li, H.; Handsaker, B.; Wysoker, A.; Fennell, T.; Ruan, J.; Homer, N.; Marth, G.; Abecasis, G.; Durbin, R. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 2009, 25, 2078–2079. [CrossRef]
- 30. Broad Institute Picard Tools. 2016. Available online: https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/ (accessed on 1 February 2022).
- Jónsson, H.; Ginolhac, A.; Schubert, M.; Johnson, P.L.F.; Orlando, L. mapDamage2.0: Fast approximate Bayesian estimates of ancient DNA damage parameters. *Bioinformatics* 2013, 29, 1682–1684. [CrossRef]
- 32. Renaud, G.; Slon, V.; Duggan, A.T.; Kelso, J. Schmutzi: Estimation of contamination and endogenous mitochondrial consensus calling for ancient DNA. *Genome Biol.* **2015**, *16*, 224. [CrossRef]
- Weissensteiner, H.; Pacher, D.; Kloss-Brandstatter, A.; Forer, L.; Specht, G.; Bandelt, H.-J.; Kronenberg, F.; Salas, A.; Schonherr, S. HaploGrep 2: Mitochondrial haplogroup classification in the era of high-throughput sequencing. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016, 44, W58–W63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Skoglund, P.; Storå, J.; Götherström, A.; Jakobsson, M. Accurate sex identification of ancient human remains using DNA shotgun sequencing. J. Archaeol. Sci. 2013, 40, 4477–4482. [CrossRef]
- Mittnik, A.; Wang, C.-C.; Svoboda, J.; Krause, J. A Molecular Approach to the Sexing of the Triple Burial at the Upper Paleolithic Site of Dolní Věstonice. *PLoS ONE* 2016, 11, e0163019. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Maár, K.; Varga, G.I.B.; Kovács, B.; Schütz, O.; Maróti, Z.; Kalmár, T.; Nyerki, E.; Nagy, I.; Latinovics, D.; Tihanyi, B.; et al. Maternal lineages from 10-11th century commoner cemeteries of the carpathian basin. *Genes* 2021, 12, 460. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Bandelt, H.J.; Forster, P.; Röhl, A. Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **1999**, *16*, 37–48. [CrossRef]
- Katoh, K.; Misawa, K.; Kuma, K.; Miyata, T. MAFFT: A novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2002, 30, 3059–3066. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Katoh, K.; Standley, D.M. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2013, 30, 772–780. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, S.; Stecher, G.; Tamura, K. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2016, 33, 1870–1874. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing; R Foundation for Statistical Computinge: Vienna, Austria, 2020. Available online: https://www.r-project.org (accessed on 1 February 2022).
- Excoffier, L.; Lischer, H.E.L. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: A new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 2010, 10, 564–567. [CrossRef]
- 43. Slatkin, M. A measure of population subdivision based on microsatellite allele frequencies. *Genetics* **1995**, *139*, 457–462. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Maróti, Z.; Török, T.; Neparáczki, E.; Raskó, I.; Nagy, I.; Maróti, M.; Varga, T.; Bihari, P.; Boldogkői, Z.; Tombácz, D.; et al. MITOMIX, an Algorithm to Reconstruct Population Admixture Histories Indicates Ancient European Ancestry of Modern Hungarians. *bioRxiv* 2018, 13, 247395. [CrossRef]
- Haak, W.; Balanovsky, O.; Sanchez, J.J.; Koshel, S.; Zaporozhchenko, V.; Adler, C.J.; der Sarkissian, C.S.I.; Brandt, G.; Schwarz, C.; Nicklisch, N.; et al. Ancient DNA from European early Neolithic farmers reveals their near eastern affinities. *PLoS Biol.* 2010, *8*, e1000536. [CrossRef]
- Fu, Q.; Rudan, P.; Pääbo, S.; Krause, J. Complete Mitochondrial Genomes Reveal Neolithic Expansion into Europe. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e32473. [CrossRef] [PubMed]