



ISSN 2056-9890

Received 3 August 2020 Accepted 13 August 2020

Edited by J. Ellena, Universidade de Sâo Paulo, Brazil

Keywords: viologen; crystal structure; atropisomer; synthesis.

CCDC reference: 2023167

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

4,15-Dimethyl-7,12-diazoniatricyclo[10.4.0.0^{2,7}]hexadeca-1(12),2,4,6,13,15-hexaene dibromide monohydrate

Edward J. Behrman,^a* Alexandar L. Hansen,^b Chunhua Yuan^b and Sean Parkin^c

^aDepartment of Chemistry & Biochemistry, The Ohio State University, 484 W. 12th Avenue, Columbus, Ohio, 43210, USA, ^bCampus Chemical Instrument Center, The Ohio State University, 496 W. 12th Avenue, Columbus, Ohio, 43210, USA, and ^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Kentucky, 505 Rose Street, Lexington, Kentucky, 40506, USA. *Correspondence e-mail: behrman.1@osu.edu

The title compound, $C_{16}H_{20}N_2^{2^+}\cdot 2Br^-\cdot H_2O$ (1) is a member of the class of compounds called viologens. Viologens are quaternary salts of dipyridyls and are especially useful as redox indicators as a result of their large negative one-electron reduction potentials. Compound 1 consists of a dication composed of a pair of 4-methylpyridine rings mutually joined at the 2-position, with a dihedral angle between the pyridine rings of 62.35 (4)°. In addition, the rings are tethered *via* the pyridine nitrogen atoms by a tetramethylene bridge. Charge balance is provided by a pair of bromide anions, which are hydrogen bonded to a single water molecule [$D_{O\cdots Br} = 3.3670$ (15) and 3.3856 (15) Å]. The crystal structure of 1, details of an improved synthesis, and a full analysis of its NMR spectra are presented.

1. Chemical context

The title compound (1) is a member of the class of compounds called viologens. Viologens are quaternary salts of dipyridyls, which have proven useful as redox indicators as a result of their large negative one-electron reduction potentials (Anderson & Patel, 1984). The herbicides, paraquat, and diquat are viologens. We found that the literature synthesis of 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-dipyridyl-N,N'-tetramethylene dibromide, *i.e.*, **1** (Spotswood & Tanzer, 1967) could be improved by a change in the solvent. We report details of our improved synthesis of **1** along with the crystal structure and a full analysis of its NMR spectra.









Figure 1

A view of 1 showing the atom-labeling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen bonds between water and Br^- are shown as dashed lines.

o-dichlorobenzene, b.p. 453 K, produced a good deal of HBr, which protonated the dipyridyl, rendering it unreactive. Carrying out the reaction in refluxing xylene (mixed isomers, b.p. ca 413 K) does not produce HBr, but the reaction is slow; after five h, about 50% of the starting dipyridyl was recovered. The quaternization of tertiary amines is known as the Menschutkin reaction (Menschutkin, 1890). The velocity of this reaction shows a strong dependence on solvent (Abraham

& Grellier, 1976), with about a 65,000-fold increase from hexane to DMSO. The addition of nitrobenzene to the solvent gave satisfactory yields of the product in a reasonable time (see *Synthesis and crystallization* section).

2. Structural commentary

The molecular structure of **1** is shown in Fig. 1. It consists of a dication composed of a pair of 4-methylpyridine rings mutually joined at their 2-positions, with a dihedral angle between the pyridine rings of 62.35 (4)°. In addition, the rings are tethered *via* the pyridine nitrogen atoms by a tetra-methylene bridge. There are no unusual bond lengths or angles. As a result of the two bridges between the pyridine rings, **1** occurs as two optical isomers, and therefore provides an example of atropisomerism (Eliel *et al.*, 1994; Alkorta *et al.*, 2012; Mancinelli *et al.*, 2020). Crystals of **1**, however, were centrosymmetric, with space group $P2_1/n$, and are thus strictly racemic. Charge balance is provided by a pair of bromide anions, which are hydrogen bonded to a single water molecule of crystallization $[D_{O...Br} = 3.3670 (15) \text{ and } 3.3856 (15) \text{ Å}]$ (Table 1).

3. Supramolecular features

Aside from the hydrogen bonds between the water molecule and bromide anions, the only other notable intermolecular contacts are interactions of type $C-H\cdots Br$ (Fig. 2, Table 1), with distances that range between 3.5765 (17) and 3.7762 (18) Å for type $C_{pyridyl}\cdots Br$ and 3.6581 (18) to 3.7700 (19) Å for type $C_{methylene}\cdots Br$. For comparison, the



Figure 2

A packing plot of **1** viewed down the crystallographic *a* axis. Hydrogen bonds between water and Br^- are shown as dashed lines, while weaker C-H···Br interactions are shown as dotted lines.

Table 1Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C1 - H1 \cdots Br2^{i}$	0.95	2.68	3,5929 (18)	161
$C2-H2\cdots Br1^{ii}$	0.95	2.86	3.7762 (18)	161
$C7-H7B\cdots Br1^{i}$	0.99	2.96	3.7700 (19)	139
$C1' - H1' \cdots Br2^{iii}$	0.95	2.64	3.5765 (17)	170
$C2' - H2' \cdots Br1^{iv}$	0.95	2.82	3.6285 (17)	143
$C4' - H4' \cdots Br1$	0.95	2.74	3.6735 (17)	167
$C7' - H7B' \cdots Br1^v$	0.99	3.04	3.6581 (18)	122
$O1W - H1W \cdot \cdot \cdot Br1$	0.81 (2)	2.58 (2)	3.3856 (15)	177 (3)
$O1W - H2W \cdot \cdot \cdot Br2$	0.81 (2)	2.56 (2)	3.3670 (15)	175 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2};$ (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z; (iii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iv) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2};$ (v) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}.$

Table 2

Percentage of atom $\cdot \cdot \cdot$ atom contacts between asymmetric units in 1.

H···H	57.0
H···Br	26.2
$H \cdots C$	9.0
H···O	4.7
C···Br	1.7
N···Br	1.1
$\mathbf{C} \cdots \mathbf{C}$	0.4
$N \cdots N$	0.0
00	0.0
$Br \cdot \cdot \cdot Br$	0.0

Contact percentages were derived from Hirshfeld-surface fingerprint plots (Spackman & McKinnon, 2002; McKinnon *et al.*, 2004) using *CrystalExplorer 17.5* (Turner *et al.*, 2017). Reciprocal contacts are included in the totals. The sum of all percentages in the table is 100.1% due to accumulation of rounding errors.

standard van der Waals radii of C, H, and Br (Bondi, 1964) are 1.2, 1.7, and 1.85 Å, respectively. The percentages of atom···atom contact types between asymmetric units were obtained from Hirshfeld-surface fingerprint plots (Figs. S1 and S2 in the supporting information; Spackman & McKinnon, 2002; McKinnon *et al.*, 2004) using *CrystalExplorer 17.5* (Turner *et al.*, 2017), and are presented in Table 2.

4. Database survey

The most similar structures to 1 in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, V5.41, update of November 2019; Groom et al., 2016) are BIYTEL, BIYTUB, BIYTOV, BIYVAJ, and BIYTIP (Sanchez et al., 2019). BIYTEL has a trimethylene bridge, BIYTUB has a dimethylene bridge, BIYTOV has a trimethylene bridge but lacks the 4-Me substituents, BIYVAJ has a trimethylene bridge but 5-Me groups instead of 4-Me, and BIYTIP has a dimethylene bridge but is a methanol solvate. CSD entry TMEPYR (Derry & Hamor, 1970) contains a tetramethylene bridge, but lacks 4-Me subsituents. CSD entries DIQUAT (Derry & Hamor, 1969) and DQUATB (Sullivan & Williams, 1976), have dimethylene bridges but also lack the 4-Me substituents. Atomic coordinates for TMEPYR, DIQUAT and DQUATB are, however, not present in the CSD. CSD entry PICGAM (Talele et al., 2018) has a -CH₂C₆H₄CH₂- linker and is an acetonitrile solvate. These crystal structures have Br⁻ anions for charge balance and (unless otherwise stated) include water of crystallization. The tetramethylene bridge is present in CSD entries HIJGAI (Hofbauer *et al.*, 1996), YOBWAN (Schmauch *et al.*, 1995), and YUFCOR (Knoch *et al.*, 1995), but these crystal structures feature complex organometallic anions rather than bromide and are not hydrates. The dihedral angle between the two pyridine rings in each structure is strongly dependent on the length of the bridging tether. These range between 15.78–19.01° for dimethylene, 49.40–53.96° for trimethylene, and 63.87–67.15° for tetramethylene [*cf.* 62.35 (4)° in **1**]. In PICGAM, the dihedral angle is 72.64°, presumably as a result of the increased rigidity of the tether.

5. NMR spectroscopic analysis

The low-field NMR spectrum has been well analyzed by Spotswood & Tanzer (1967), with whose data we agree. However, the instruments available in 1967 were not able to resolve the bridge protons. Thummel *et al.* (1985) reported data on the bipyridyl analog, that is, without the 4,4'-methyl groups. Our data closely match theirs (see especially Fig. 3 of Thummel *et al.*, 1985), showing an identical complex splitting pattern for the four resolved signals. The protons of the



Figure 3

Analysis of 2-D NMR spectra: (a) HSQC and HMBC resonance assignments, (b) COSY resonance assignments. Peaks marked by an asterisk correspond to water or multiple quantum artifacts. 1-D traces are shown to the left and top of the figure.

If and the row operation of the order in D ₂ O at 250K.					
¹³ C (ppm)	¹ H (ppm)	Couplings (Hz)			
146.25	8.99	$^{3}J(\mathrm{H^{1}H^{2}})$ 6.4			
131.70	8.14	${}^{4}J(H^{2}H^{4})$ 1.4			
162.63					
131.66	8.07				
142.78					
58.26	$H^{6A,6B}$ 4.73, 4.03	${}^{2}J(\mathrm{H}^{6a}\mathrm{H}^{6B})$ 14.5, ${}^{3}J(\mathrm{H}^{6A}\mathrm{H}^{7A})$ 6.1, ${}^{3}J(\mathrm{H}^{6B}\mathrm{H}^{7B})$ 11.3			
26.72	H ^{7A,7B} 2.35, 2.05	${}^{2}J(\mathrm{H}^{7A}\mathrm{H}^{7B})$ 11.1			
21.62	2.68				
208.5					
	¹³ C (ppm) 146.25 131.70 162.63 131.66 142.78 58.26 26.72 21.62 208.5	13C (ppm) 1 H (ppm) ^{13}C (ppm) ^{1}H (ppm) 146.25 8.99 131.70 8.14 162.63 131.66 131.66 8.07 142.78 58.26 58.26 H ^{6A,6B} 26.72 H ^{7A,7B} 21.62 2.68 208.5 1000000000000000000000000000000000000			

Table 3				
¹ H and ¹³ C NMR s	pectroscopic dat	a for 1 recorded	in D ₂ O	at 298K.

The errors were estimated to be ± 0.02 ppm, ± 0.002 ppm, and ± 0.3 Hz, respectively, for the ¹³C chemical shifts, ¹H chemical shifts, and J coupling constants.

methyl group exchange with deuterons in a base-catalyzed reaction (Zoltewicz & Jacobson, 1978). Our NMR sample, which also showed exchange, was neutral. Exchange was prevented by adjusting the 'pH' to \sim 1 with DCl. This exchange with solvent deuterium led to some deuterium couplings with both protons and carbon and hence multiplicities in the NMR spectra, which were initially puzzling. Calder *et al.* (1967) discuss the effect of the length of the bridging group on the NMR spectra and the mobility of the structures.

There are eight resonance signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum recorded in D₂O, including one on the downfield shoulder of the residual water resonance. All but one of the signals are of equal intensity and the one at 2.68 ppm is about three times larger. The ¹³C NMR spectrum shows eight signals (C1-C8), two of which (C2 and C4) are barely separated. Quantitative measurement using inverse-gated decoupling with a long recycle delay (60 s) shows that the carbon signals are of equal intensity. The 1-D ¹³C DEPT (Distortionless Enhancement by Polarization Transfer) and 2-D multiplicity-edited ¹H-¹³C HSQC (Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherence) establish a ratio of 3:2:1 for CH, CH₂, and CH₃, respectively. Further analysis of 2-D ¹H COSY (Correlation Spectroscopy) and 2-D ¹H-¹³C HMBC (Heteronuclear Multiple-Bond Correlation spectroscopy) spectra led to the NMR assignments summarized in Table 3. A selective HMBC focusing on the C2/C4 region was recorded for unambiguous assignments of multiple-bond ¹H-¹³C correlations related to these two carbons. These details together with the 2-D ¹H-¹⁵N HMBC, which reveals stronger H2/N9 and H4/N9 cross-peaks than H1/ N9, clearly establish a symmetric three-ring molecular structure, as shown in Fig. 3, in full agreement with the crystal structure (Fig. 1).

The stereospecific assignment of the methylene protons was achieved by a systematic recording of 1-D selective NOESY (Nuclear Overhauser Effect Spectroscopy) and COSY spectra. A stronger NOE was observed between the proton at 4.73 ppm and H1, and thus this resonance was assigned to H6*A* while the geminal one at 4.03 ppm to H6*B*. The 1-D selective homonuclear decoupling ¹H NMR spectra led to the extraction of *J*-coupling constants between these methylene protons (Table 3). A large ³J coupling exists between H6*B* and H7*B* (11.3 Hz), followed by a sizable ³J coupling between H6*A*

and H7*A* (6.1 Hz). As a result of the complexity of the spectra, the ${}^{3}J(\mathrm{H}^{6A}\mathrm{H}^{7B})$ and ${}^{3}J(\mathrm{H}^{6B}\mathrm{H}^{7A})$ could not be determined, but were estimated to be less than 2 Hz. Also, the 11.1 Hz coupling between H7*A* and H7*B* was tentatively assigned to the geminal coupling rather than the one across the C7–C7' bond.

All NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Ascend 700 MHz spectrometer equipped with a TXO cryoprobe at 298 K. Spectra were indirectly referenced to the deuterium lock frequency, set to 4.7 ppm.

6. Synthesis and crystallization

The starting materials were standard commercial samples of 95-98% purity. 4,4'-Dimethyl-2,2'-dipyridyl (0.92 g, 5 mmol) and 1,4-dibromobutane (0.6 mL, 1.08g, 5 mmol) were added to a mixture of 5 mL each of xylene (mixed isomers, b.p. ca 413 K) and nitrobenzene (b.p. 483 K). The mixture was refluxed for about 5 h, during which time a heavy precipitate formed. After cooling, the crude material was filtered and washed with acetone to yield 1.1 g of a tan-colored powder. Paper electrophoresis of this material at pH 7.5 showed (via UV) a small amount of starting material at R_p ca zero and product at R_p –2.2 (R_p is movement relative to picric acid). Crystallization from methanol-acetone gave 0.5-0.6 g (ca 50%) of reddish crystals, m.p. 528-530 K [lit. 528-533 K; Spotswood & Tanzer (1967)], UV_{max}(water) 271 nm. IR(Nujol): 3456, 3414, 3372, 1632, 1582, 1566, 1514 1312, 1159, 1032.853 cm^{-1} .

7. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 4. All hydrogen atoms were found in difference-Fourier maps. Those attached to carbon were subsequently included in the refinement using riding models, with constrained distances set to 0.95 Å (Csp^2H), 0.98 Å (RCH_3), and 0.99 Å (R_2CH_2). Water hydrogen coordinates were refined, but subject to a restraint on the O-H distances (*SHELXL* command SADI). $U_{iso}(H)$ parameters were set to values of either 1.2 U_{eq} or 1.5 U_{eq} (RCH_3 only) of the attached atom. Table 4Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{16}H_{20}N_2^{2+}\cdot 2(Br^-)\cdot H_2O$
$M_{ m r}$	418.17
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$
Temperature (K)	90
a, b, c (Å)	7.6402 (2), 13.7578 (3), 16.7691 (3)
β (°)	101.162 (1)
$V(Å^3)$	1729.30 (7)
Ζ	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	4.69
Crystal size (mm)	$0.16 \times 0.12 \times 0.07$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 Venture dual source
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.562, 0.746
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	26025, 3959, 3527
P	0.020
$(\sin \theta/1)$ $(\dot{\Lambda}^{-1})$	0.629
$(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{\max}(A)$	0.050
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.020, 0.043, 1.06
No. of reflections	3959
No. of parameters	198
No. of restraints	1
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of
	independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ (e Å ⁻³)	0.38, -0.38

Computer programs: APEX3 (Bruker, 2016), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2015b), XP in SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELX (Sheldrick, 2008), CIFFIX (Parkin, 2013), and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

Acknowledgements

The D8 Venture diffractometer was funded by the NSF (MRI CHE1625732), and by the University of Kentucky.

Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by: NSF (grant No. CHE1625732 to Sean Parkin).

References

Abraham, M. H. & Grellier, P. L. (1976). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin II 1735–1741.

- Alkorta, I., Elguero, J., Roussel, C., Vanthunyne, N. & Piras, P. (2012). Adv. Heterocycl. Chem., 105, 1-188. Anderson, R. F. & Patel, K. B. (1984). J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1, 80 2693-2702 Bondi, A. (1964). J. Phys. Chem. 68, 441-451. Bruker (2016). APEX3 Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA. Calder, I. C., Spotswood, M. & Tanzer, C. I. (1967). Aust. J. Chem. 20, 1195-1212. Derry, J. E. & Hamor, T. A. (1969). Nature, 221, 464-465. Derry, J. E. & Hamor, T. A. (1970). J. Chem. Soc. D, pp. 1284-1286 Eliel, E. L., Wilen, S. H. & Mander, L. N. (1994). Stereochemistry of Organic Compounds. New York: Wiley. Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171-179. Hofbauer, M., Möbius, M., Knoch, F. & Benedix, R. (1996). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 247, 147-154. Homer, R. F. & Tomlinson, T. E. (1960). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 2498-2503. Knoch, F., Schmauch, G. & Kisch, H. (1995). Z. Kristallogr., 210, 76-77. Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3-10. Mancinelli, M., Bencivenni, G., Pecorari, D. & Mazzanti, A. (2020). Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2020, 4070-4086. McKinnon, J. J., Spackman, M. A. & Mitchell, A. S. (2004). Acta Cryst. B60, 627-668. Menschutkin, N. (1890). Z. Physik. Chem. 5, 589-600. Parkin, S. (2013). CIFFIX, https://xray.uky.edu/Resources/scripts/ ciffix Sanchez, M. L. K., Wu, C. H., Adams, M. W. W. & Dyer, R. B. (2019). Chem. Commun. 55, 5579-5582. Schmauch, G., Knoch, F. & Kisch, H. (1995). Chem. Ber. 128, 303-307. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122. Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8. Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8. Spackman, M. A. & McKinnon, J. J. (2002). CrystEngComm, 4, 378-392 Spotswood, T. M. & Tanzer, C. I. (1967). Aust. J. Chem. 20, 1213-1225. Sullivan, P. D. & Williams, M. L. (1976). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 1711-1716.
- Talele, H. R., Koval, D., Severa, L., Reyes-Gutiérrez, P. E., Císařová, I., Sázelová, P., Šaman, D., Bednárová, L., Kašička, V. & Teplý, F. (2018). *Chem. Eur. J.* 24, 7601–7604.
- Thummel, R. P., Lefoulon, F. & Mahadevan, R. (1985). J. Org. Chem. 50, 3824–3828.
- Turner, M.J., Mckinnon, J.J., Wolff, S.K., Grimwood, D.J., Spackman, P.R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M.A. (2017). *Crystal Explorer* 17.5. The University of Western Australia.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Zoltewicz, J. A. & Jacobson, H. L. (1978). J. Org. Chem. 43, 19-23.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2020). E76, 1467-1471 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989020011147]

4,15-Dimethyl-7,12-diazoniatricyclo[10.4.0.0^{2,7}]hexadeca-1(12),2,4,6,13,15hexaene dibromide monohydrate

Edward J. Behrman, Alexandar L. Hansen, Chunhua Yuan and Sean Parkin

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2016); cell refinement: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2016); data reduction: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2016); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT* (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2018/3* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *XP* in *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELX* (Sheldrick, 2008), *CIFFIX* (Parkin, 2013), and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

4,15-Dimethyl-7,12-diazoniatricyclo[10.4.0.0^{2,7}]hexadeca-1(12),2,4,6,13,15-hexaene dibromide monohydrate

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{16}H_{20}N_2{}^{2+}\cdot 2(\text{Br}^-)\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\\ M_r = 418.17\\ \text{Monoclinic, }P2_1/n\\ a = 7.6402 \ (2) \ \text{\AA}\\ b = 13.7578 \ (3) \ \text{\AA}\\ c = 16.7691 \ (3) \ \text{\AA}\\ \beta = 101.162 \ (1)^\circ\\ V = 1729.30 \ (7) \ \text{\AA}^3\\ Z = 4 \end{array}$

Data collection

Bruker D8 Venture dual source	26025 1
diffractometer	3959 in
Radiation source: microsource	3527 re
Detector resolution: 7.41 pixels mm ⁻¹	$R_{\rm int}=0$
φ and ω scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 2$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	h = -9-
(SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)	k = -17
$T_{\min} = 0.562, \ T_{\max} = 0.746$	l = -21

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.020$ $wR(F^2) = 0.043$ S = 1.063959 reflections 198 parameters 1 restraint Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods F(000) = 840 $D_x = 1.606 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9914 reflections $\theta = 2.8-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 4.69 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 90 KIrregular shard, pink $0.16 \times 0.12 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}$

26025 measured reflections 3959 independent reflections 3527 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.029$ $\theta_{max} = 27.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.5^{\circ}$ $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -17 \rightarrow 17$ $l = -21 \rightarrow 21$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0121P)^2 + 1.4994P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.002$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.38 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.38 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Special details

Experimental. The crystal was mounted using polyisobutene oil on the tip of a fine glass fibre, which was fastened in a copper mounting pin with electrical solder. It was placed directly into the cold gas stream of a liquid-nitrogen based cryostat (Hope, 1994; Parkin & Hope, 1998).

Diffraction data were collected with the crystal at 90K, which is standard practice in this laboratory for the majority of flash-cooled crystals.

Geometry. All s.u.s (except the s.u. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell s.u.s are taken into account individually in the estimation of s.u.s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between s.u.s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell s.u.s is used for estimating s.u.s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement progress was checked using *Platon* (Spek, 2009) and by an *R*-tensor (Parkin, 2000). The final model was further checked with the IUCr utility *checkCIF*.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Br1	0.38758 (2)	0.69802 (2)	0.11426 (2)	0.01809 (5)	
Br2	0.09621 (2)	0.58825 (2)	0.34885 (2)	0.01872 (5)	
N1	0.54040 (19)	0.38619 (10)	0.23392 (9)	0.0157 (3)	
C1	0.5310 (2)	0.34004 (13)	0.16231 (11)	0.0193 (4)	
H1	0.470042	0.279632	0.153665	0.023*	
C2	0.6074 (2)	0.37819 (13)	0.10161 (11)	0.0190 (4)	
H2	0.598713	0.344145	0.051717	0.023*	
C3	0.6973 (2)	0.46646 (13)	0.1128 (1)	0.0164 (3)	
C4	0.7082 (2)	0.51161 (13)	0.18795 (10)	0.0159 (3)	
H4	0.771186	0.571265	0.198231	0.019*	
C5	0.6300 (2)	0.47175 (12)	0.24753 (10)	0.0140 (3)	
C6	0.4467 (2)	0.34092 (13)	0.29478 (11)	0.0188 (4)	
H6A	0.337285	0.307919	0.266189	0.023*	
H6B	0.410854	0.392247	0.329762	0.023*	
C7	0.5666 (2)	0.26732 (13)	0.34768 (12)	0.0215 (4)	
H7B	0.489315	0.219545	0.368381	0.026*	
H7A	0.635324	0.231572	0.312750	0.026*	
C8	0.7795 (3)	0.51217 (14)	0.04805 (11)	0.0232 (4)	
H8A	0.750594	0.473388	-0.001783	0.035*	
H8B	0.909326	0.514930	0.066178	0.035*	
H8C	0.732611	0.578163	0.037298	0.035*	
N1′	0.72247 (18)	0.4864 (1)	0.39609 (8)	0.0136 (3)	
C1′	0.7337 (2)	0.53707 (13)	0.46545 (10)	0.0172 (4)	
H1'	0.792782	0.508916	0.515149	0.021*	
C2′	0.6619 (2)	0.62861 (13)	0.46625 (10)	0.0170 (3)	
H2′	0.673762	0.663389	0.515955	0.020*	
C3′	0.5719 (2)	0.67031 (13)	0.39448 (11)	0.0161 (3)	
C4′	0.5608 (2)	0.61627 (12)	0.32318 (10)	0.0154 (3)	
H4′	0.500590	0.642672	0.272967	0.018*	
C5′	0.6360 (2)	0.52515 (12)	0.32479 (10)	0.0135 (3)	
C6′	0.8162 (2)	0.39082 (12)	0.40077 (11)	0.0169 (4)	
H6A′	0.925433	0.393784	0.443492	0.020*	
H6B′	0.852567	0.377516	0.348290	0.020*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

supporting information

C7′	0.6976 (2)	0.30844 (13)	0.41992 (11)	0.0198 (4)	
H7A′	0.629120	0.332138	0.460449	0.024*	
H7B′	0.775237	0.254877	0.445511	0.024*	
C8′	0.4859 (2)	0.76805 (13)	0.39343 (12)	0.0210 (4)	
H8A′	0.500384	0.803271	0.344334	0.031*	
H8B′	0.542276	0.804908	0.441597	0.031*	
H8C′	0.358509	0.760037	0.393689	0.031*	
O1W	0.1964 (2)	0.49717 (11)	0.17678 (9)	0.0297 (3)	
H1W	0.240 (3)	0.5445 (16)	0.1598 (16)	0.044*	
H2W	0.168 (3)	0.5155 (19)	0.2183 (13)	0.044*	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U ³³	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Br1	0.01962 (9)	0.02036 (9)	0.01455 (8)	0.00295 (7)	0.00397 (6)	0.00357 (7)
Br2	0.01603 (9)	0.01993 (9)	0.01935 (9)	-0.00218 (7)	0.00129 (6)	0.00533 (7)
N1	0.0134 (7)	0.0157 (7)	0.0178 (7)	-0.0010 (6)	0.0022 (6)	-0.0013 (6)
C1	0.0155 (8)	0.0185 (9)	0.0229 (9)	-0.0014 (7)	0.0012 (7)	-0.0065 (7)
C2	0.0166 (8)	0.0215 (9)	0.0181 (8)	0.0020 (7)	0.0012 (7)	-0.0056 (7)
C3	0.0146 (8)	0.0189 (9)	0.0153 (8)	0.0046 (7)	0.0020 (6)	0.0001 (7)
C4	0.0158 (8)	0.0140 (8)	0.0173 (8)	0.0006 (6)	0.0017 (6)	0.0005 (7)
C5	0.0110 (7)	0.0144 (8)	0.0157 (8)	0.0020 (6)	0.0004 (6)	-0.0005 (7)
C6	0.0147 (8)	0.0205 (9)	0.0220 (9)	-0.0043 (7)	0.0054 (7)	0.0003 (7)
C7	0.0199 (9)	0.0166 (9)	0.0288 (10)	-0.0027 (7)	0.0064 (8)	0.0033 (8)
C8	0.028 (1)	0.0254 (10)	0.0170 (8)	0.0006 (8)	0.0064 (7)	0.0000 (8)
N1′	0.0117 (7)	0.0138 (7)	0.0148 (7)	0.0000 (5)	0.0018 (5)	0.0018 (6)
C1′	0.0135 (8)	0.0234 (9)	0.0138 (8)	-0.0030(7)	0.0005 (6)	0.0008 (7)
C2′	0.0153 (8)	0.0218 (9)	0.0142 (8)	-0.0034 (7)	0.0037 (6)	-0.0048 (7)
C3′	0.0111 (8)	0.0164 (8)	0.0213 (9)	-0.0035 (6)	0.0045 (7)	-0.0017 (7)
C4′	0.0130 (8)	0.0175 (8)	0.0153 (8)	-0.0009 (6)	0.0017 (6)	0.0013 (7)
C5′	0.0110 (7)	0.0151 (8)	0.0143 (8)	-0.0025 (6)	0.0021 (6)	0.0002 (7)
C6′	0.0125 (8)	0.0157 (8)	0.0213 (9)	0.0027 (6)	0.0006 (7)	0.0032 (7)
C7′	0.0164 (8)	0.0184 (9)	0.0246 (9)	0.0016 (7)	0.0044 (7)	0.0068 (7)
C8′	0.0194 (9)	0.0172 (9)	0.0266 (9)	-0.0003 (7)	0.0053 (7)	-0.0036 (8)
O1W	0.0309 (8)	0.0252 (8)	0.0342 (8)	-0.0016 (6)	0.0096 (6)	-0.0014 (7)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

N1-C1	1.348 (2)	N1′—C1′	1.344 (2)
N1—C5	1.359 (2)	N1′—C5′	1.358 (2)
N1—C6	1.491 (2)	N1′—C6′	1.492 (2)
C1—C2	1.372 (3)	C1′—C2′	1.375 (2)
C1—H1	0.9500	C1′—H1′	0.9500
С2—С3	1.390 (2)	C2'—C3'	1.389 (2)
C2—H2	0.9500	C2'—H2'	0.9500
C3—C4	1.393 (2)	C3'—C4'	1.396 (2)
С3—С8	1.494 (2)	C3′—C8′	1.495 (2)
C4—C5	1.374 (2)	C4′—C5′	1.377 (2)

supporting information

C4—H4	0.9500	C4'—H4'	0.9500
C5—C5′	1.482 (2)	C6′—C7′	1.523 (2)
C6—C7	1.528 (3)	C6'—H6A'	0.9900
С6—Н6А	0.9900	C6'—H6B'	0.9900
С6—Н6В	0.9900	C7'—H7A'	0.9900
C7—C7′	1.523 (3)	C7'—H7B'	0.9900
C7—H7B	0.9900	C8' - H8A'	0.9800
С7—Н7А	0.9900	C8' - H8B'	0.9800
C8—H8A	0.9900	C8' - H8C'	0.9800
	0.9800	O1W $H1W$	0.9000
	0.9800	O1W - H1W	0.809(19)
Co—noC	0.9800	OI W—H2 W	0.807 (19)
C1—N1—C5	119.74 (15)	C1'—N1'—C6'	117.50 (14)
C1—N1—C6	117.59 (15)	C5'—N1'—C6'	122.62 (14)
C5—N1—C6	122.66 (14)	N1′—C1′—C2′	121.64 (16)
N1—C1—C2	121.59 (17)	N1′—C1′—H1′	119.2
N1—C1—H1	119.2	C2'—C1'—H1'	119.2
C2-C1-H1	119.2	C1' - C2' - C3'	120.09(16)
C1 - C2 - C3	120.29 (16)	C1' - C2' - H2'	120.09 (10)
C1 - C2 - H2	119.9	C3' - C2' - H2'	120.0
$C_3 - C_2 - H_2$	119.9	C2' - C2' - C4'	117 37 (16)
$C_2 = C_2 = C_2$	116.04 (16)	C^{2} C^{3} C^{8}	121.61 (16)
$C_2 = C_3 = C_4$	110.94(10) 122.41(16)	$C_2 - C_3 - C_8$	121.01(10) 121.00(16)
$C_2 = C_3 = C_8$	122.41(10) 120.65(16)	C4 - C3 - C8	121.00(10) 120.70(16)
$C_4 - C_3 - C_8$	120.03 (10)	$C_3 = C_4 = C_3$	120.79 (10)
C_{5}	121.45 (16)	$C3^{\prime}$ $C4^{\prime}$ $H4^{\prime}$	119.6
C5—C4—H4	119.3	C3'-C4'-H4'	119.6
C3—C4—H4	119.3	N1' - C5' - C4'	120.31 (15)
N1—C5—C4	119.97 (15)	N1′—C5′—C5	120.15 (15)
N1—C5—C5′	120.37 (15)	C4′—C5′—C5	119.46 (15)
C4—C5—C5′	119.58 (15)	N1'—C6'—C7'	111.60 (14)
N1—C6—C7	111.16 (14)	N1'—C6'—H6A'	109.3
N1—C6—H6A	109.4	C7'—C6'—H6A'	109.3
С7—С6—Н6А	109.4	N1'—C6'—H6B'	109.3
N1—C6—H6B	109.4	C7'—C6'—H6B'	109.3
С7—С6—Н6В	109.4	H6A'—C6'—H6B'	108.0
H6A—C6—H6B	108.0	C6'—C7'—C7	115.78 (15)
C7′—C7—C6	116.31 (15)	C6'—C7'—H7A'	108.3
С7′—С7—Н7В	108.2	C7—C7′—H7A′	108.3
С6—С7—Н7В	108.2	C6'—C7'—H7B'	108.3
С7′—С7—Н7А	108.2	C7—C7′—H7B′	108.3
С6—С7—Н7А	108.2	H7A'—C7'—H7B'	107.4
H7B—C7—H7A	107.4	C3'—C8'—H8A'	109.5
С3—С8—Н8А	109.5	C3'—C8'—H8B'	109.5
C3—C8—H8B	109.5	H8A'—C8'—H8B'	109.5
H8A—C8—H8B	109.5	C3'—C8'—H8C'	109.5
C3—C8—H8C	109.5	H8A' - C8' - H8C'	109.5
H8A-C8-H8C	109.5	H8B' - C8' - H8C'	109 5
H8B-C8-H8C	109.5	H1W - O1W - H2W	104 (3)

C1'—N1'—C5'	119.79 (15)		
C5—N1—C1—C2	-1.2 (3)	C1'—C2'—C3'—C4'	-0.8 (2)
C6—N1—C1—C2	177.51 (16)	C1'—C2'—C3'—C8'	177.56 (16)
N1—C1—C2—C3	0.0 (3)	C2'—C3'—C4'—C5'	0.2 (2)
C1—C2—C3—C4	1.3 (2)	C8'—C3'—C4'—C5'	-178.18 (16)
C1—C2—C3—C8	-178.70 (17)	C1'—N1'—C5'—C4'	0.4 (2)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-1.5 (2)	C6'—N1'—C5'—C4'	-176.07 (15)
C8—C3—C4—C5	178.50 (16)	C1'—N1'—C5'—C5	177.22 (15)
C1—N1—C5—C4	1.0 (2)	C6'—N1'—C5'—C5	0.8 (2)
C6—N1—C5—C4	-177.65 (15)	C3'—C4'—C5'—N1'	0.0 (2)
C1—N1—C5—C5′	177.79 (15)	C3'—C4'—C5'—C5	-176.85 (15)
C6—N1—C5—C5′	-0.8 (2)	N1—C5—C5′—N1′	66.1 (2)
C3—C4—C5—N1	0.4 (3)	C4—C5—C5′—N1′	-117.12 (18)
C3—C4—C5—C5′	-176.45 (15)	N1—C5—C5′—C4′	-117.09 (18)
C1—N1—C6—C7	87.25 (19)	C4—C5—C5′—C4′	59.7 (2)
C5—N1—C6—C7	-94.09 (19)	C1'—N1'—C6'—C7'	88.23 (18)
N1—C6—C7—C7′	83.16 (19)	C5'—N1'—C6'—C7'	-95.23 (19)
C5'—N1'—C1'—C2'	-1.0 (2)	N1′—C6′—C7′—C7	82.48 (19)
C6'—N1'—C1'—C2'	175.61 (15)	C6—C7—C7′—C6′	-52.2 (2)
N1'-C1'-C2'-C3'	1.3 (3)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>	D—H	H···A	D····A	D—H···A
C1—H1···Br2 ⁱ	0.95	2.68	3.5929 (18)	161
C2—H2···Br1 ⁱⁱ	0.95	2.86	3.7762 (18)	161
C7— $H7B$ ···Br1 ⁱ	0.99	2.96	3.7700 (19)	139
C1′—H1′····Br2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95	2.64	3.5765 (17)	170
C2'—H2'…Br1 ^{iv}	0.95	2.82	3.6285 (17)	143
C4′—H4′···Br1	0.95	2.74	3.6735 (17)	167
C7'— $H7B'$ ···Br1 ^v	0.99	3.04	3.6581 (18)	122
O1 <i>W</i> —H1 <i>W</i> ···Br1	0.81 (2)	2.58 (2)	3.3856 (15)	177 (3)
O1 <i>W</i> —H2 <i>W</i> ···Br2	0.81 (2)	2.56 (2)	3.3670 (15)	175 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1/2, y-1/2, -z+1/2; (ii) -x+1, -y+1, -z; (iii) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (iv) x+1/2, -y+3/2, z+1/2; (v) -x+3/2, y-1/2, -z+1/2.