

Clinical and sociodemographic profiles of persons with spinal cord injury

Berigai P. Nirmala¹, Pallerla Srikanth², Janardhana¹, Vranda MN³, Kanmani TR⁴, Meeka Khanna⁵

¹Additional Professor, ²PhD Scholar, ³Associate Professor, ⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Psychiatric Social Work, National Institute of Mental Health and Neuro Sciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka, ⁵Additional Professor, Department of Neurological Rehabilitation, National Institute of Mental Health and Neuro Sciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

ABSTRACT

Aim: To collect and correlate the sociodemographic and clinical details of persons with spinal cord injury who were admitted to the Department of Neurological Rehabilitation. **Objectives:** To assess the sociodemographic characteristics and collect the clinical profiles of persons with spinal cord injury and to correlate their sociodemographic and clinical details. **Materials and Methods:** A retrospective file review was done over a period of 2 years from January 2017 to December 2018 to study patients with spinal cord injury who were admitted to the Neurological Rehabilitation ward of NIMHANS, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India. **Results:** A total of 60 patients were admitted with spinal cord injury. The mean age was 32.39 years and majority of the patients were young married males. Most of them belong to the low socioeconomic status and are housewives and daily wage laborers. Falls and road traffic accidents are the causes for the injury. Anxiety and depression are high among traumatic spinal cord injury patients. **Conclusion:** This information may contribute to prevent SCI and to improve the quality of life of patients with SCI. It has implications for the primary care physicians who are at first contact to identify and refer them for specialized super speciality district hospitals for further treatment as they pose a great threat to public health and their proportions are increasing. It is imperative that trauma care is included in graduate medical training as well to facilitate early intervention after initial screening.

Keywords: Clinical profile, sociodemographic profile, spinal cord

Introduction

Spinal cord injury (SCI) is a life-changing event and has profound effects on various domains like physical, emotional, and social life. It can be either traumatic or nontraumatic and complete or incomplete. The mortality risk increases with injury level and severity and is strongly influenced by the availability of timely and quality medical care and medical professionals. SCI alters the previous lifestyle of the person, causing a high biosocial and

> Address for correspondence: Dr. Berigai P. Nirmala, Department of Psychiatric Social Work, NIMHANS, Bengaluru - 560 029, Karnataka, India. E-mail: drbpnirmala@gmail.com

> > Revised: 25-04-2020

Published: 30-09-2020

Received: 20-03-2020 **Accepted:** 29-05-2020

| Access this article online | | |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| Quick Response Code: | Website: www.jfmpc.com | |
| | DOI: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_427_20 | |

economic impact both in the short- and long-term of the young persons during their productive age.^[1]

Insights about the data of the spinal cord injury are important to provide the clinical and community services and will help us in categorizing the resources, allocating to the needy, and to regulate the interventions for better outcomes. The studies on spinal cord injury from the developing countries are limited but it is important to understand the risk factors in order to reduce the incidence rate. Investments in manpower, equipment, infrastructure, and supplies are phenomenal and occupy 70–80% of the total cost of the care. However, the allocated budget for trauma care is in sufficient.^[2]

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Nirmala BP, Srikanth P, Janardhana, Vranda MN, Kanmani TR, Khanna M. Clinical and sociodemographic profiles of persons with spinal cord injury. J Family Med Prim Care 2020;9:4890-6.

Due to lack of research, no comprehensive data are available from India on the prevalence of injuries. The absence of emergency and trauma care and inadequate care provided by local practitioners combined with various dangerous home remedies aggravate injuries and complications, especially in rural areas and districts.^[2]

Methods and Materials

Data collection

Data were collected from all the patients admitted to the DNR from January 2017 to December 2018. Only those patients with complete information were included in this study. Data that were recorded consisted of the sociodemographic profiles and clinical profiles of the patients. The sociodemographic profile includes the variables like gender, age, education, occupation, marital status, religion, SES, community, and domicile. The clinical profile includes the variables like diagnosis, type of injury, DOI, DOS, comorbidity, HADS, and cause of injury.

Setting and participants

NIMHANS is recognized as a tertiary super speciality hospital and it receives all types of spinal injury patients either directly or through reference from different hospitals and from all over India for rehabilitation.

Results

Table 1 displays the information related to the sociodemographic background variables such as gender, education, occupation, marital status, religion, socioeconomic status, community, and domicile of the respondents.

Of the total 60 patients, 36 (60%) were male; 23 (38.3%), 19 (31.7%), and 7 (11.7%) patients have completed secondary, primary, and graduate level education, respectively, and 6 (10.0%) patients were illiterates. 17 (28.3%), 16 (26.7%), and 13 (21.7%) patients were students, daily wage laborers, and housewives, respectively. 35 patients (58.3%) were married. 27 (45.0%) were from low-income families and 32 (53.3%) were from middle-income families. Most of them are from rural community (37; 61.7%) and hail from Karnataka (29; 48.3%) followed by West Bengal (13; 21.7%) and Andhra Pradesh (10; 16.7%).

Table 2 displays information related to the type of injury, etiology of nontraumatic SCI, cause for the illness, duration of illness, duration of stay, co-morbidity, and HADS of the respondents.

Among all the patients, 41 (68.3%) were diagnosed with nontraumatic SCI; of the 41 nontraumatic SCI patients, 27 (45.0%) developed the illness due to the inflammation of the spinal cord whereas 7 (11.7%) patients developed it both due to infection and tumor of the spinal cord. The cause for the illness was due to falling in 11 patients (18.3%), followed by RTA in

| | Frequency (n=60) | Percent (%) | |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------|--|
| Gender | | | |
| Male | 36 | 60.0 | |
| Female | 24 | 40.0 | |
| Education | | | |
| Illiterate | 6 | 10.0 | |
| Primary | 19 | 31.7 | |
| Secondary | 23 | 38.3 | |
| PUC | 4 | 6.7 | |
| Degree | 7 | 11.7 | |
| Post graduate | 1 | 1.7 | |
| Occupation | | | |
| Student | 17 | 28.3 | |
| Private employee | 9 | 15.0 | |
| Daily wage laborers | 16 | 26.7 | |
| House wife | 13 | 21.7 | |
| Farmer | 5 | 8.3 | |
| Marital status | | | |
| Single | 25 | 41.7 | |
| Married | 35 | 58.3 | |
| Religion | | | |
| Muslim | 8 | 13.3 | |
| Hindu | 52 | 86.7 | |
| SES | | | |
| Low | 27 | 45.0 | |
| Middle | 32 | 53.3 | |
| High | 1 | 1.7 | |
| Community | | | |
| Rural | 37 | 61.7 | |
| Urban | 23 | 38.3 | |
| Domicile | | | |
| Karnataka | 29 | 48.3 | |
| Andhra Pradesh | 10 | 16.7 | |
| West Bengal | 13 | 21.7 | |
| Other | 8 | 13.3 | |

6 (10.0%) and assault in 2 (3.3%). Most of the patients (18; 30.0%) have had illness for 2–4 weeks, 16 (26.7%) patients for less than a week, 12 (20.0%) patients for 1–3 months, and 6 (10.0%) patients for 3–6 months and more than a year. Most of the patients (24; 40.0%) have stayed in the hospital for 2–3 weeks, 18 (30.0%) for 1–2 weeks, and 4 (6.7%) for more than a month. 16 (26.7%) patients have reported the co-morbidity. Most of the patients (24; 40.0%) have had the borderline range of anxiety and depression and 20 (33.3%) have reported abnormal scores.

Table 3 displays the information about the cross tabulation of different variables like HADS and gender, marital status, SES, and type of injury to understand the nature of the relationship between each other.

Compared to women, men have reported high score in HADS; among all the patients, 24 patients (15 male and 9 female) have reported borderline HADS, 20 (13 male and 7 female) patients were under the abnormal category, and 16 (8 male and 8 female) were in the mild category. Among all, married

| | Frequency | Percent (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Type of injury | | |
| Traumatic | 19 | 31.7 |
| Non traumatic | 41 | 68.3 |
| Etiology of Non-T SCI | | |
| Tumor | 7 | 11.7 |
| Infection | 7 | 11.7 |
| Inflammation | 27 | 45.0 |
| Cause | | |
| Assault | 2 | 3.3 |
| RTA | 6 | 10.0 |
| Fall | 11 | 18.3 |
| Duration of illness | | |
| Less than a week | 16 | 26.7 |
| 2-4 weeks | 18 | 30.0 |
| 1-3 months | 12 | 20.0 |
| 3-6 months | 6 | 10.0 |
| 6-12 months | 2 | 3.3 |
| More than a year | 6 | 10.0 |
| Duration of stay | | |
| Less than a week | 8 | 13.3 |
| 1-2 weeks | 18 | 30.0 |
| 2-3 weeks | 24 | 40.0 |
| 3-4 weeks | 6 | 10.0 |
| More than a month | 4 | 6.7 |
| Comorbidity | | |
| No | 44 | 73.3 |
| Yes | 16 | 26.7 |
| HADS | | |
| Mild | 16 | 26.7 |
| Borderline | 24 | 40.0 |
| Abnormal | 20 | 33.3 |

| Table 3: Cross tabulation of the variables | Table 3: | Cross | tabulation | of the | variables |
|--|----------|-------|------------|--------|-----------|
|--|----------|-------|------------|--------|-----------|

| | HADS (Frequency) | | |
|----------------|------------------|------------|----------|
| | Mild | Borderline | Abnormal |
| Gender | | | |
| Male | 8 | 15 | 13 |
| Female | 8 | 9 | 7 |
| Marital status | | | |
| Single | 7 | 9 | 9 |
| Married | 9 | 15 | 11 |
| SES | | | |
| Low | 7 | 11 | 9 |
| Middle | 9 | 13 | 10 |
| High | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Туре | | | |
| Traumatic | 5 | 10 | 4 |
| Nontraumatic | 11 | 14 | 16 |

patients have reported high scores in HADS when compared with the unmarried patients. Most of the married patients fall under the category of borderline (15 married and 9 unmarried) and abnormal (11 married and 9 unmarried). Both the low and middle socioeconomic status of the patients have reported high scores in HADS. Compared with the low-income status, middle-income patients have high scores in HADS. Nontraumatic SCI patients have reported a high score in HADS when compared with traumatic SCI patients. 16 nontraumatic SCI patients have reported abnormal range of HADS whereas only four traumatic SCI patients have reported the same. 11 nontraumatic SCI patients have reported mild HADS while only five traumatic SCI patients have reported the same.

Table 4 displays the information about the cross tabulation of different variables like the type of injury and gender, marital status, community, occupation, and duration of stay to understand the nature of the relationship between each other.

Compared to females (3), males (16) have reported the more number of traumatic SCI cases, whereas there is mostly an equal chance of having the nontraumatic SCI in both males (20) and females (21). Most of the nontraumatic SCI patients were married (25) and traumatic SCI has been reported in equal number by both single (9) and married (10) patients. Both traumatic (11) and nontraumatic (26) SCI have been reported mostly by the rural community when compared with the urban community. Eight daily wage laborers reported traumatic SCI when compared to patients with other occupations and one housewife reported low traumatic SCI. While 19 nontraumatic SCI patients have stayed for 2–3 weeks, 12 patients have stayed for 1–2 weeks in the hospital.

Discussion

The present study explores the demographic characteristics, cause of injury, and clinical conditions of patients with SCI, who have availed the neurorehabilitation services. Patients were referred from neurology and neurosurgery units also. The mean age of patients in the present study was 32.39 years, which indicates that SCI affects their productivity and makes them dependent. In a systematic review by^[3] Rahimi *et al.* (2009) that analyzed the epidemiological characteristics of patients with SCI in developed countries, it was found that the male gender predominated. The average age at presentation was 32.4 years.

The main cause of SCI was automobile accidents followed by falls. Complete injuries and paraplegia predominated. The male to female ratio is 3: 2 whereas in Turkey^[4] it was 2.5:1 and^[5] (5.8:1). In our study, the male (36) population is higher than the females (24) population which is similar to the studies from both Turkey and across the globe.^[6-10] It is because the male population are engaged in various high-risk occupations compared to female.

Mathur and colleagues (2015) have also reported that the major cause for the spinal cord injury is occupational hazards like fall from heights (53%) and road traffic accidents (23%), carrying heavy object overhead (3.0%), and fall following electric shock (4.0%). The respondents of the study have reported similar reasons. Compared to singles, married people were at high risk for spinal cord injuries. The current study findings reveal that 58.3% were married which is similar to the studies from the Western countries (57.7%)^[11]. A study from India has also reported that most of the patients were married people^[12] (70%).

| | Type (Frequency) | | |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------|--|
| | Traumatic | Non-traumatic | |
| Gender | | | |
| Male | 16 | 20 | |
| Female | 3 | 21 | |
| Marital status | | | |
| Single | 9 | 16 | |
| Married | 10 | 25 | |
| Community | | | |
| Rural | 11 | 26 | |
| Urban | 8 | 15 | |
| Occupation | | | |
| Student | 4 | 13 | |
| Private employee | 4 | 5 | |
| Daily wage laborers | 8 | 8 | |
| House wife | 1 | 12 | |
| Farmer | 2 | 3 | |
| Duration of stay | | | |
| Less than a week | 6 | 2 | |
| 1-2 weeks | 6 | 12 | |
| 2-3 weeks | 5 | 19 | |
| 3-4 weeks | 1 | 5 | |
| More than a month | 1 | 3 | |

This is because males were the breadwinners of the family and they receive immediate attention as family sustenance depends on them.

A significantly higher percentage of SCI, 58.3%, were married at the time of injury in our study. It would affect their sexual life and impact the marital quality and the burden of caring falls on the spouse. More family support from their families of orientation and extended relatives would strengthen the spouse to take the role of the caregiver and to deal with the various psychosocial issues. The present study reveals that 26.3% of the patients were daily wage laborers; a study from India has reported that 46.7% patients were labourers^[13] and another study from India^[12] has reported that students were the second highest (23.33%) category.

While in this study most of the patients have completed primary (31.7%) and secondary education (38.3%), another study conducted in México has found that 63% of the population have a low education level (less than 9 years). The level of education is an important indicator as it has been regarded as a key determinant of the return to work in patients with SCI, (pagliacci *et al.* 2003^[14], and Fekete *et al.*, 2014^[15]). Lower education levels are associated with jobs that demand an excess of physical activity and, when it comes to SCI patients, their physical capacity is limited and it becomes difficult to rehabilitate them to remunerative jobs (Ku 2007^[16]).

In the current study, falls (18.3%) were the highest reason of SCI followed by RTA (10.0%) which is similar to the studies conducted in Bangladesh (34.8%), other Asian countries (Hoque *et al.*, 1999^[17]; Islam *et al.*, 2011^[18]; Razzak *et al.*, 2011^[19]) such as

India (Chhabra and Arora, $2012^{[20]}$), Nepal (68.2% according to Lakhey *et al.*, $2005^{[21]}$), and Pakistan (63% according to Cripps *et al.*, $2014^{[22]}$ and Rathore *et al.*, $2008^{[23]}$), and studies from Finland (64.9% according to Koskinen *et al.*, $2014^{[24]}$) and Nigeria (Nwankwo and Uche, $2013^{[25]}$).

In the current study, 68.3% of the patients were diagnosed with non-TSCI, the possible reason is that NIMHANS being a premier hospital with specialities of neurology and neurosurgery receive referrals for infection, inflammation, tumour, osteoporosis, and arthritis. Over a period, the number of non-TSCI cases is increasing. In the current study, there is significant difference in the anxiety and depression levels associated with the type of injury. The prevalence of anxiety and depression is high among persons with SCI^[26-28] and the current study also has revealed the same. While most of the non-TSCI patients have reported high HADS score, a study from Australia^[11] have also reported similar findings.

The probability of depression, anxiety, or stress in respondents with NT-SCI did not differ from persons with T-SCI (P > 0.05). Depressive symptoms are associated with a myriad of negative outcomes among persons with SCI including lower functional independence (Malec 1983^[29]), more secondary complications (Herrik *et al.*, 1994^[30]), poorer community and social integration (Elliot *et al.*, 1995^[31], Fuhrer *et al.*, 1993^[32], Macdonald 1987^[33]), and lower self-appraised health (Schulz 1985^[34]).

Given the critical role of depression in the health and well-being of persons with SCI, mandatory screening for depression and anxiety are crucial for comprehensive treatment. Arango-Lasprilla *et al.* (2011)^[35] have examined the prevalence of depression among people with SCI during the first 5 years after their injuries and found that prevalence of depression ranged from 11.9% at 1 year to 9.7% at 5 years post-SCI. They concluded that demographic characteristics, injury causes, and rehabilitation discharge factors were the possible factors that influence depression. The prevalence of depressive mood was high (68%) among person with TSCI and it was significantly associated with gender, education, lesion type, and duration since the injury.^[36]

Another longitudinal study was conducted by Kennedy and Roger (2000)^[37] conducted to investigate 104 patients with SCI using Beck Depression Inventory, Beck Hopelessness Scale, State Anxiety Inventory, and Social Support Questionnaire. Their results demonstrated a significant correlation between anxiety and depression in individuals with SCI. Furthermore, the longitudinal results provided an indicator of subtle changes in anxiety and depression over time because of the long-term psychosocial care and rehabilitation programme.

Providing the early rehabilitation services including physiotherapy and occupational therapy within 90 days after the injury was associated with a lowered risk of affective and other psychiatric disorders including depression, anxiety, and bipolar disorder in the TSCI cohort.^[38] The paucity of prehospital care, improper/ inadequate emergency medical services in the community providing initial treatment, and the delay in reaching the hospital may be some of the factors responsible for the increased disability and burden of care.

Implications

Majority of them were victims of falls from a height and road traffic accidents. The primitive conditions of prehospital trauma care and lack of occupational safety increase their suffering. Therefore, the priority should be to establish and strengthen preventive measures. The public should be aware of SCI and the provision of occupational safety measures need to be initiated and adhered to. To ensure better rehabilitation of SCI clients, a comprehensive SCI management be launched as soon as possible across the country.

There is an urgent need for intensive public awareness and increased government support for the prevention of SCI. Measures includes environmental modification, legislation for change in people's behaviour, and education about occupational safety of persons at risk for injury. There is an urgent need for convergence between International and national professional organizations, nongovernment organizations, and rehabilitation experts who not only need to work together for comprehensive effort but also to advocate and strengthen the health system.

Study limitations

Data were included only for people with SCI who availed neurorehabilitation services. Many people with SCI do take treatment in the neurosurgery OPD at NIMHANS but were not included in the study. Therefore, generalizing the results would be one of the limitations of the study.

Although the unit is a national referral center, people with SCI do not get representation from the entire country. People with SCI from few states utilize the services mainly due to accessibility and affordability.

Our study provides information, which gives insight into the demographics of SCI in our country. It would be worthwhile to have information from different regions as well. It would also be useful to study the pre-hospital care and survival rate. Similarly, information about the long-term outcomes upon follow-up including social and vocational outcomes is desirable. We hope to look into these aspects in subsequent studies. Another limitation of our study would be that this study had a small sample size, lack of longitudinal assessment, and consecutive sampling due to the time constraints.

Recommendations

- 1. Multidisciplinary teamwork approach is the need of the hour to improve the comprehensive care for people with SCI.
- 2. Information regarding disability to be well disseminated far and wide for the early detection of disabilities and timely intervention to reduce disability and facilitate early rehabilitation programme.

- 3. As deficits are in physical functioning with bowel bladder dysfunction, difficulties in sexual performance, difficulties in engaging in remunerative jobs etc., a holistic perspective is encouraged.
- 4. In general, disability is found to be present, and the need for individualizing the biopsychosocial intervention like supportive intervention, neuroeducation, employment, and vocational training are mandatory for a better outcome.
- 5. Partnering with the community for recovery and rehabilitation.
- 6. Further longitudinal study with larger sample size including other variables is needed to assess the impact of SCI on the lives of people with SCI.

Conclusion

SCI affects the QoL and well-being of both the injured individuals and their families. Our population has a basic level of education. Men are affected in a higher proportion and occupational involvement is the main cause of injury. The main mode of injury was falls and accidents. Individuals with SCI have a higher risk of anxiety or depression. Thus, physicians and family members should pay attention to the mental health of patients and their relatives.

The primary care physician will get an insight about the sociodemographic and clinical profiles of SCI. Since they are in the first line of treatment, this information will help them to act fast in providing emergency care and refer them to specialized district hospitals or trauma care centers for continuous care. This study also reports the anxiety and depression of the patients, which indicates that the primary physicians have to provide emotional first aid along with routine care for distressed patients.

New message

The goal of treatment must be to improve psychosocial functioning through comprehensive care addressing the biopsychosocial perspective of persons with spinal cord injury.

Key points

The current study reveals the sociodemographic and illness profiles of persons with spinal cord injury in India. It highlights the importance of routine psychological assessment throughout the spinal rehabilitation process. The focus should be on the preventive and promotive strategies to deal with the consequences of SCI.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

This is a part of the project which was ethically approved in NIMHANS, (NIMHANS/EC (BEH.SC.DIV.) 18THMEETNG/2019).

References

- 1. van den Berg ME, Castellote JM, Mahillo-Fernandez I, de Pedro-Cuesta J. Incidence of spinal cord injury worldwide: A systematic review. Neuroepidemiology 2010;34:184-92. discussion 92.
- Gururaj G. Injuries in India: A national perspective. Background Papers: Burden of Disease in India Equitable Development-Healthy Future. New Delhi: National Commission on Macroeconomics and Health, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India; 2005. p. 325-47.
- 3. Rahimi-Movaghar V, Saadat S, Rasouli MR, Ganji S, Ghahramani M, Zarei MR, *et al.* Prevalence of spinal cord injury in Tehran, Iran. J Spinal Cord Med 2009;32:428-31.
- 4. Karacan I, Koyuncu H, Pekel Ö, Sümbüloğlu G, Kırnap M, Dursun H, *et al.* Traumatic spinal cord injuries in Turkey: A nation-wide epidemiological study. Spinal Cord 2000;38:697-701.
- 5. Karamehmetoğlu SS, Nas K, Karacan I, Sarac AJ, Koyuncu H, Ataoğlu S, *et al.* Traumatic spinal cord injuries in southeast Turkey: an epidemiological study. Spinal Cord 1997;35:531-3.
- Noe BB, Mikkelsen EM, Hansen RM, Thygesen M, Hagen EM. Incidence of traumatic spinal cord injury in Denmark, 1990-2012: A hospital-based study. Spinal Cord 2015;53:436-40.
- 7. Ahoniemi E, Alaranta H, Hokkinen EM, Valtonen K, Kautiainen H. Incidence of traumatic spinal cord injuries in Finland over a 30-year period. Spinal Cord 2008;46:781-4.
- 8. Rahimi-Movaghar V, Sayyah MK, Akbari H, Khorramirouz R, Rasouli MR, Moradi-Lakeh M, *et al.* Epidemiology of traumatic spinal cord injury in developing countries: A systematic review. Neuroepidemiology 2013;41:65-85.
- Güzelküçük Ü, Kesikburun S, Demir Y, Aras B, Özyörük E, Yılmaz B, *et al.* Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with traumatic cervical spinal cord injury: A Turkish hospital-based study. Spinal Cord 2015;53:441-5.
- 10. Sridharan N, Uvaraj N, Dhanagopal M, Gopinath N, Anuswedha A. Epidemiologic evidence of spinal cord injury in Tamil Nadu, India. Int J Res Med Sci 2015;3:220-3.
- 11. Migliorini CE, New PW, Tonge BJ. Comparison of depression, anxiety and stress in persons with traumatic and non-traumatic post-acute spinal cord injury. Spinal Cord 2009;47:783-8.
- 12. Rai S, Ganvir S. A retrospective study of demographic profile of patients with spinal cord injury admitted in a tertiary care hospital in Ahmadnagar, India. Int J Physiother Res 2019;7:1034-9.
- 13. Goel SA, Modi HN, Dave BR, Patel PR, Patel R. Socioeconomic impact of cervical spinal cord injury operated in patients with lower income group. Indian Spine J 2018;1:46-50.
- 14. Pagliacci MC, Celani MG, Zampolini M, Spizzichino L, Franceschini M, Baratta S, *et al.* An Italian survey of traumatic spinal cord injury. The Gruppo Italiano studio epidemiologico Mielolesioni study. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2003;84:1266-75.
- 15. Fekete C, Siegrist J, Reinhardt JD, Brinkhof MW. Is financial hardship associated with reduced health in disability? The case of spinal cord injury in Switzerland. PLoS One 2014;9:e90130.
- 16. Ku JH. Health-related quality of life in patients with spinal cord injury: Review of the short form 36-health

questionnaire survey. Yonsei Med J 2007;48:360-70.

- 17. Hoque MF, Grangeon C, Reed K. Spinal cord lesions in Bangladesh: An epidemiological study. Spinal Cord 1999;37:858-61.
- Islam MS, Hafez MA, Akter M. Characterisation of spinal cord lesion in patients attending a specialised rehabilitation centre in Bangladesh. Spinal Cord 2011;49:783-6. doi: 10.1038/sc. 2011.36. PMid: 21502957.
- 19. Razzak A, Helal SU, Nuri RP. Life expectancy after Spinal Cord Injury in a developing country – A retrospective study at CRP, Bangladesh. Disabil CBR Inclusive Dev 2011;22:114-23.
- 20. Chhabra HS, Arora M. Demographic profile of traumatic spinal cord injuries admitted at Indian Spinal Injuries Centre with special emphasis on mode of injury: A retrospective study. Spinal Cord 2012;50:745-54. doi: 10.1038/sc. 2012.45. PMid: 22584285.
- 21. Lakhey S, Jha N, Shrestha BP, Niraula S. Aetioepidemiological profile of spinal injury patients in Eastern Nepal. Trop Doct 2005;35:231-3. doi: 10.1258/004947505774938756. PMid: 16354480.
- 22. Cripps RA, Lee BB, Fitzharris M, Wing PC. The global map for traumatic spinal cord injury epidemiology: Update 2011, global incidence rate. Spinal Cord 2014;52:110-6. doi: 10.1038/sc. 2012.158. PMid: 23439068.
- 23. Rathore MF, Hanif S, Farooq F, Ahmad N, Mansoor SN. Traumatic spinal cord injuries at a tertiary care rehabilitation institute in Pakistan. J Pak Med Assoc 2008;58:53-7. PMid: 18333519.
- 24. Koskinen EA, Alen A, Väärälä EM, Rellman J, Kallinen M, Vainionpää A. Centralised spinal cord injury in Finland: Unveiling the hidden incidence of traumatic injuries. Spinal Cord 2014;52:779-84. doi: 10.1038/sc. 2013.163, https:// doi.org/10.1038/sc. 2014.131. PMid: 25112968.
- 25. Nwankwo OE, Uche EO. Epidemiological and treatment profiles of spinal cord injury in southeast Nigeria. Spinal Cord 2013;51:448-52. doi: 10.1038/sc. 2013.10. PMid: 23478671.
- 26. Furlan JC, Sakakibara BM, Miller WC, Krassioukov AV. Global incidence and prevalence of traumatic spinal cord injury. Can J Neurol Sci 2013;40:456-64.
- 27. Mitchell MC, Burns NR, Dorstyn DS. Screening for depression and anxiety in spinal cord injury with DASS-21. Spinal Cord 2008;46:547-51.
- 28. Sakakibara BM, Miller WC, Orenczuk SG, Wolfe DL. A systematic review of depression and anxiety measures used with individuals with spinal cord injury. Spinal Cord 2009;47:841-51.
- 29. Malec J, Neimeyer R. Psychologic prediction of duration of inpatient spinal cord injury rehabilitation and performance of self-care. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1983;64:359-63.
- 30. Herrick S, Elliott T, Crow F. Social support and the prediction of health complications among persons with spinal cord injuries. Rehabil Psychol 1994;39:231-50.
- 31. Elliott T, Shewchuck R. Social support and leisure activities following severe physical disability: Testing the mediating effects of depression. Basic ApplSoc Psych 1995;16:471-87.
- 32. Fuhrer JM, Rintala DH, Hart KA. Depressive symptomatology in persons with spinal cord injury who reside in the community. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1993;74:255-60. Measuring Depression in SCI 21.
- 33. MacDonald M, Nielson W, Cameron M. Depression and

activity patterns of spinal cord injured persons living in the community. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1987;68:339-43.

- 34. Schulz R, Decker S. Long-term adjustment to physical disability: The role of social support, perceived control, and self-blame. J PersSoc Psychol 1985;48:1162-72.
- 35. Arango-Lasprilla JC, Ketchum JM, Starkweather A, Nicholls E, Wilk AR. Factors predicting depression among persons with spinal cord injury 1 to 5 years post injury. NeuroRehabilitation 2011;29:9-21. doi: 10.3233/ NRE-2011-0672 PMID: 21876291.
- 36. Wan FJ, Chien WC, Chung CH, Yang YJ, Tzeng NS.

Association between traumatic spinal cord injury and affective and other psychiatric disorders-a nationwide cohort study and effects of rehabilitation therapies. J Affect Disord 2020;265:381-8.

- 37. Kennedy P, Rogers BA. Anxiety and depression after spinal cord injury: A longitudinal analysis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2000;81:932-7.doi: 10.1053/apmr. 2000.5580 PMID: 10896007.
- 38. Adhikari SP, Gurung G, Khadka B, Rana C. Factors influencing depression in individuals with traumatic spinal cord injury and caregivers' perceived burden in a low-income country: A cross-sectional study. Spinal Cord 2020:1-7.