StRAP: An Integrated Resource for Profiling High-Throughput Cancer Genomic Data from Stress Response Studies

Seth Johnson^{1,2}, Biju Issac³, Shuping Zhao^{1,2}, Mohit Bisht¹, Orieta Celiku¹, Philip Tofilon¹, Kevin Camphausen¹, Uma Shankavaram¹*

1 Radiation Oncology Branch, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, United States of America, 2 SAIC-Frederick, Inc., National Cancer Institute-Frederick, Frederick, Maryland, United States of America, 3 Division of Bioinformatics, Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Miami, Florida, United States of America

Abstract

The increasing availability and maturity of DNA microarray technology has led to an explosion of cancer profiling studies for identifying cancer biomarkers, and predicting treatment response. Uncovering complex relationships, however, remains the most challenging task as it requires compiling and efficiently querying data from various sources. Here, we describe the Stress Response Array Profiler (StRAP), an open-source, web-based resource for storage, profiling, visualization, and sharing of cancer genomic data. StRAP houses multi-cancer microarray data with major emphasis on radiotherapy studies, and takes a systems biology approach towards the integration, comparison, and cross-validation of multiple cancer profiling studies. The database is a comprehensive platform for comparative analysis of gene expression data. For effective use of arrays, we provide user-friendly and interactive visualization tools that can display the data and query results. StRAP is web-based, platform-independent, and freely accessible at http://strap.nci.nih.gov/.

Citation: Johnson S, Issac B, Zhao S, Bisht M, Celiku O, et al. (2012) StRAP: An Integrated Resource for Profiling High-Throughput Cancer Genomic Data from Stress Response Studies. PLoS ONE 7(12): e51693. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051693

Editor: Sui Huang, Institute for Systems Biology, United States of America

Received August 9, 2012; Accepted November 5, 2012; Published December 17, 2012

This is an open-access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the Creative Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

Funding: This work was supported by Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute, Center for Cancer Research. The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked advertisement in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: SJ and SZ are affiliated to SAIC, Frederick, Inc. Authors KC and PT are PLOS ONE Editorial Board members. There are no patents, products in development or marketed products to declare. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all the PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials, as detailed online in the guide for authors.

* E-mail: uma@mail.nih.gov

Introduction

DNA microarrays are successfully being used to classify tumors and identify novel biomarkers associated with cancer (for some recent reviews see [1]). Genetic variants and differences in personal genomes not only impact cancer profiles but are often responsible for how the patient and the cancer respond to treatment. In particular, the response to cellular stress, whether induced by cytotoxic drugs, hypoxia, or ionizing radiation can vary greatly, and its genetic basis is subject of much interest. We are especially interested in elucidating the genetic basis of radiotherapy response in search of highly-predictive genetic signatures. Radiotherapy is a core component of cancer treatment [2] but has been relatively under-studied: a glimpse at public resources like Pubmed or array databases shows that radiotherapy studies constitute less than 1% of the total number of records.

Typically, each individual study involves a number of statistical and quantitative analysis steps (see [3] for a summary of typical steps), and can point to gene and gene products that are crucial for disease and treatment. However, the sparse, high-dimensional nature of the microarray data space [4], and the large number of genes involved in often subtle and complex pathways, necessitate meta analyses for comparing and aggregating results from different studies. Cross-platform compatibility can only be achieved once within-platform consistency issues have been fully addressed and the results of such studies are as good as the gene identification method. MAQC consortium has generally found that proper sample preparation is sufficient to dramatically enhance multilab and multiplatform correlations [5]. The utility of such analyses was documented in the implementation of the CellMiner tool, a web based program for the integration of molecular profiling data at DNA, RNA, protein, and pharmacological levels on the widely studied NCI-60 cancer cells [6]. Several other studies found added complexity for meta analysis due to considerable diversity in source, sample, and platform types [7-9]. The two major technologies of microarrays differ in the basic design, cDNA microarrays use full-length transcripts printed onto the slides and oligonucleotide based arrays constitute a shorter- oligonucleotides synthesized in situ. A major design question is whether to measure the expression levels from each sample on a different microarray (using single-color, or single-channel, arrays), or instead to compare relative expression levels between a pair of samples on each microarray (two-color or two-channel arrays). There are tradeoffs between the two approaches. Single-color arrays allow for more flexibility in analysis, while two-color arrays can control for some technical issues by allowing a direct comparison in a single hybridization [10]. A recent comparison of single- and twocolor methods on the same platforms found good overall agreement in the data produced by the two methods [11]. The Z score transformation procedure for normalizing data is a familiar statistical method in both neuroimaging and psychological studies and recently been used in the meta analysis of microarray datasets from different platforms [12], and is especially suited for database development [13].

The wealth of data has also brought about the creation of a wide range of resources. On one end of the spectrum, data repositories like Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) [14] provide access to raw experimental data; on the other end, tools like ONCOMINE [15] more ambitiously, but typically at a cost, provide facilities for meta analysis of array data. However, to our knowledge, none of the existing free resources focus on stress response or radiotherapy studies combined with visualization outputs.

We develop StRAP, a free web-accessible resource to address the need to query, compare, profile, and visualize results from different microarray experiments. StRAP hosts data from diverse cancer studies (currently from 12 different tissue types), and will be further extended in the future. We used Z scoring method to standardize data, since the internally normalized values do not change with subsequent addition of new datasets. All data are mapped to Entrez Gene identifiers for consistency in comparison. The user-friendly interface facilitates exploration by a wide-range of researchers, including those with little expertise in bioinformatics.

In the remainder of this paper we briefly describe StRAP's construction and core features.

Materials and Methods

Architecture

The runtime architecture of StRAP is described in Figure 1. The architecture is 3-tiered. The basic design of the architecture is an enhancement of our previously published CellMiner tool [6]. The bottom tier represents the sources of experimental (microarray), meta (cell line) data, and external tools that are invoked to visualize the data. The middle tier represents how the data are processed, stored, and made available to the user. The preprocessing steps were performed before deployment. At this stage, data from the lower tier were accessed, processed (using R scripting), and stored in the StRAP data repository (comprised of a MySQL database, and other files stored on the server file system). The right hand side of the middle tier represents the analysis "services" that are available at runtime to the user. These include filtering of data (according to user constructed queries), visualization of results, and the options to download the data. These services are made available as web-services and are hosted on an Apache server. The top tier represents the user interface (implemented using PHP, Javascript, AJAX, and HTML), and is organized around three main modules (Genes, Cell lines, and Arrays).

Data Repositories

Four main data repositories reside at the backend of StRAP: (1) Gene associated annotation information derived from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI, http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/), (2) Pre-processed gene expression microarray molecular profile data (including pre-computed statistics), (3) Metadata on cell lines, and (4) Metadata on platform-associated information.

The structured layout of the tables promotes efficient querying and integration of phenotypic data, metadata and molecular profile information from various studies. The database supports multiple concurrent query sessions.

The repositories are stored as a MySQL relational database (http://www.mysql.com).

Data Preparation

The microarray data were obtained as raw files whenever available or else as author deposited normalized files from the GEO database [14], ArrayExpress [16], or in-house experiments. Two platform types are predominantly used in these studies: cDNA two-color (National Cancer Institute- ROSP 8K Human Array and Agilent whole human genome microarrays), and single color arrays (currently we house Affymetrix and Illumina gene chip data).

The raw data were assessed for quality and normalized by the Lowess [17], or MAS5 [18]methods for cDNA, and Affymetrix arrays, respectively. Z-score transformation was used to obtain a uniform scale across different studies and platforms, which is necessary for comparing data from different studies. Pre-computed statistical tests were performed at three nested-level complexity.

- At the top level, each study is subjected to ANOVA analysis performed between all controls and cases to give an overall significance of the study design.
- A tissue level ANOVA analysis is implemented as a second tier of comparison between all the controls and cases for each tissue type in a study.
- At the experiment level, for each cell-line/sample, a casecontrol comparison is performed by t-test analysis.

Pre-processing and computation of statistical tests are performed in the R environment (http://www.r-project.org/).

Interface

The front end interface is a web-based application implemented using **R**, PHP (http://www.php.net/) and Python (http://www. python.org/). The application is deployed on an Apache HTTP server (http://httpd.apache.org/) at the National Cancer Institute (NCI).

Core Features

Data access and presentation is organized around three main concepts or modules: (1) Genes, (2) Cell lines, and (3) Arrays. Flexible user-defined data queries can be initiated from any of the modules; the data visualization options for the results are displayed in integrated views and may, depending on the query, involve cross-talk between modules. Several links to external resources promote a systems biology approach. Table 1 gives a summary of core features for each module. Pre-computed statistics (as described in the previous section) enable display of efficient and intuitive graphs.

Genes

The genes module enables gene-centric queries of the StRAP microarray studies. Queries can be based on gene or protein identifiers, synonyms, gene descriptions, or chromosome location. The results include associated arrays and studies, and a compilation of gene-annotation information, spatial localization within the genome visualized in the UCSC Genome browser [19], and network neighborhood maps generated from protein-protein interaction networks [20]. Queries can also be constructed using gene lists defined by the user or generated, for example, from Gene Ontology (GO) terms [21].



Figure 1. StRAP architecture diagram. The diagram represents a runtime view of the architecture of StRAP. The lower tier represents the sources of experimental data, meta data, and external tools that are invoked to visualize the data. The middle tier represents how the data are processed, stored, and made available to the user. The right hand side of the middle tier represents the analysis "services" that are available at runtime to the user. The higher tier represents the user interface, and is organized around three main modules (Genes, Cell lines, and Arrays). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051693.g001

A typical gene-centric query (see Figure 2 for an example workflow) starts by identifying studies profiling the expression of a gene (list) of interest. The expression profiles and their statistical significance are then visualized via boxplots, and barplots (showing study-level, and experimental-level case-control differences). If the input involves a list of genes, an interactive heatmap option enables viewing expressions of genes in selected studies. The heatmap is visualized using the Java Treeview program [22].

As an added convenience, the genes module includes a gene identifier conversion utility, which can be used to map from one type of gene identifier (for example, Entrez gene symbol) to another (for example, Entrez geneid).

Cell Lines

The cell lines module provides metadata on available cell lines and associated studies. Queries in this module are tailored to allow selection of complete studies, by tissue of origin, or individual cell line. Comparisons can be made for samples within a study or across studies. (See Figure 3 for an example workflow.) Differentially expressed genes in studies of interest are identified based on case-control t-test analyses (cell line selection) and ANOVA analysis (studies with more than one group). The default filter is set to $p \leq 0.05$, but can be customized by the user.

Arrays

The arrays module provides an overview of the current contents of the database, including the number of studies, information on platforms, contributors, and available meta-information. Preprocessed data or data from the original source can be downloaded from this module. Integrated queries from this module allow performing comparison of studies by common samples or union of genes within the selected studies.

An example workflow is shown in Figure 4. Arrays can be filtered by the select stimulus used in the study. Given our interest in effects of ionizing radiation, most of the arrays in the repository have "radiation" as stimulus.

Validation

Radiation therapy is a core component of cancer treatment. However, radiation response often varies considerably among different patients [23]. Therefore, it is important to identify genes predictive of radiation response. Equally important is to validate

functionality.	
modules	
1. StRAP	
Table	

	Gene module			Cell line module		Array module		
Description	Gene level comparis queried by gene rels identifier, or GO terr	on of one or more studies ated keyword search, ms.	Gene identifier conversion utility	Cell line based con selected individuall from a list of all av	nparison of one or more studies ly, by tissue type, or manually ailable studies	Overview of all studi studies by common downloading of data	lies, or studies by tissue cell lines, or union of a.	e. Enables comparison of genes. Enables
Results	List of associated studies	Gene information	Mapping of gene identifiers of one type to another	List of selected studies	Cell line information	Option to compare studies by cell line	Option to compare studies by gene	Study information
Details of meta informatio	E	UCSC genome browser, Pubmed references, GO terms, and Pathway Commons networks	Table of mapped gene identifiers		Description of origin and source, experimental details, and source reference information			Experimental details, study reference information, contributors, and data download
Visualization of multi-geni query. A multi-study comparison by Metamap, or a single or multi-study comparison by Heatmap	e Yes			Yes		Yes	Yes	
Visualization of Single gen query. A single or multi- study comparison at study level by Boxplot, and at Experiment level by Barplot	eYes y			Yes		Yes	Yes	
doi-10 1371/journal none 0	051693 +001							

Step 2: Select studies of interest

Step 1: Enter gene of interest

ABL1 gene details **Gene Search** Option: Option Arrays&Studies UCSC Browser Gene Info GO Terms GeneRIFs Search by Keyword PubMed Info Pathways Enter any keyword, such as Entrez ID Number: "14", or Symbol: "AAMP", or any part of a Description: "Cancer Studies featuring the gene Search by List of Terms Step 2: Input a List of Terms Study Name Step 1: Select Input All Choose File No file chosen # Туре K562-Me45 RadiationInducedTranscriptomeChanges: 1 gene Gene Symbol 2 1 OR C Entrez Geneid V MCF7 RadiationInducedMarkersOfPrematureSenescence: 1 gene 2 Gene Description 3 H9hESCs RadiationEffect: 1 gene Chromosome GO description GenBank Accession Г 4 Lymphocytes LateRadiationTherapyToxicity; 1 gene Г THP1_RadiationEffectOnProcoagulantActivity; 1 gene 5 Г 6 Lymphoblastoid RadiationTherapyToxicity; 1 gene Search Reset SCC_RadioresistantTumorResponse; 1 gene 7 Г 8 ALL_RadiationSensitivityAndResistance; 1 gene Show/Hide Conversion Too 9 SCC_TimeCourseRadioresistantTumorResponse; 1 gene П 10 MCF10A_EMT-initiation; 1 gene -11 MulticancerCells_RadiationEffectOnPolysomeRNA; 1 gene Г 12 Lymphocytes_ATM-regulationOfDNADamageResponse; 1 gene Step 3: Select visualization of interest Г 13 GliomaCells_HypoxiaEffectOnTotalRNA; 1 gene 14 StemCells_HypoxiaEffectOnTotalRNA; 1 gene 15 U251-U87_ComparisonOfGrowthModelsUnderRadiation; 1 gene Visualization Options 16 NCI60_RadiationResponse; 1 gene 17 Lymphocytes_TranscriptionalResponseToUVAandIR; 1 gene Instructions: Please click an image to choose visualization type 18 MNCs_SignaturesForRadiationBiodosimetry; 1 gene П 19 LCL_LowDoseRadiationResponse; 1 gene 20 SCC61_STAT1-modulationOfWarburgEffectinTumorGrowthAnd Г Radioresistance: 1 gene 21 SCC RadiationInducedCell-deathSignalingPathwayActivation; 1 gene Barplot: Boxplot: Con oare Show/Hide Help

Steps 4+: Display visualizations



Figure 2. Example of a workflow initiated from the Genes module. Typically, a workflow initiated from the Genes module involves 1) entering a gene of interest (or list of genes), 2) displaying and selecting studies featuring the gene, 3) choosing a visualization option, and 4+) displaying and inspecting the chosen visualization. The example shown is for gene "ABL1.". doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051693.g002

the results of an analysis in independent data with similar experimental design.

To illustrate the functionality of StRAP, we used a study by Rieger and colleagues [24] on peripheral blood lymphoblastoid cells derived from patients with acute radiation toxicity and control group of patients with mild toxicity. Using gene expression profiling, the authors reported 24 highly predictive genes of radiation response. We sought to explore the expression of these 24 genes in several independent studies from StRAP database, and found 18 genes significantly changed among the selected studies.

Step 1: Display available cell lines and select cell line of interest

Optio	ns:	Select Tissue:	•	General Search:	Sea	irch			
For G Refere	eneral s ince: "C	Gearch enter any keyv ell")	vord, such as Ce	II Line Name: "MCF" or Tissue: "breast" or Histolo	gy: "gliosarcoma	" or Instituti	ion: "Duke" or	Contributor:	"Lieber" or
	Study a	# Available Studies				Av	ailable Cell Li	nes	
	1	K562-Me45_Radiati	onInducedTrans	criptomeChanges; 2 cell lines	786.O	A498	A549	ACHN	ALL
	2	MCF7_RadiationInd	ucedMarkersOfF	rematureSenescence; 1 cell line	AsPC1	BJ	<u>BT.549</u>	BxPC3	CAKI.1
	3	H9hESCs_Radiation	Effect; 1 cell line)	CCRF.CEM	COLO.205	DU145	EKVX	GM02184
	4	Lymphocytes_LateR	adiationTherapy	Toxicity; 1 cell line	GM03332	H9.hESCs	HCC.2998	HCT.116	HCT15
	5	THP1_RadiationEffe	ctOnProcoagula	ntActivity; 1 cell line	HL60	HOP62	HOP92	HS578T	<u>HT29</u>
	6	Lymphoblastoid_Rad	diationTherapyT	oxicity; 2 cell lines	IGR.OV1	K562	KM12	LCL	LOXIMVI
	Z	SCC_Radioresistant	TumorResponse	a; 2 cell lines	M14	MALME.3N	MCF.10A	MCF.7	MDA.MB.231
	8	ALL_RadiationSensi	tivityAndResista	nce; 1 cell line	MDA.MB.43	5 MDAN	MDNSC11	MDNSC20	MDNSC23
	9	SCC_TimeCourseR	adioresistantTun	torResponse; 2 cell lines	Me45	MEC	MiaPaca.2	MNCs	MOLT.4
	10	MCF10A_EMT-initia	tion; 1 cell line		MRC5	MRC9	NCI.H226	NCI.H23	NCI.H322M
	11	MulticancerCells_Ra	diationEffectOn	PolysomeRNA; 18 cell lines	NCI.H460	NCI.H522	NCIADR.RES	Nu61	OVCAR.3
	12	Lymphocytes_ATM-	regulationOfDNA	DamageResponse; 2 cell lines	OVCAR.4	OVCAR.5	OVCAR.8	PANC.1	PC.3
	13	GliomaCells_Hypoxi	EffectOnTotalR	NA; 2 cell lines	RPMI.8226	RXF.393	SCC61	SF126	SF268
	14	StemCells_HypoxiaE	ffectOnTotalRN	A; 3 cell lines	SF295	SF539	SK.MEL.2	SK.MEL.28	SK.MEL.5
	15	U251-U87_Comparis	sonOfGrowthMo	delsUnderRadiation; 2 cell lines	SK.OV.3	SkCa	SN12C	SNB.19	SNB.75
	16	NCI60_RadiationRes	sponse; 60 cell li	nes	SR	SW.620	T47D	THP1	TK.10
	17	Lymphocytes_Trans	criptionalRespor	seToUVAandIR; 1 cell line	U118	U251	<u>U373</u>	U87	UACC.257
	18	MNCs_SignaturesFo	rRadiationBiodo	simetry; 1 cell line	UACC.62	UO.31			
	19	LCL_LowDoseRadia	tionResponse; 1	cell line					
	20	SCC61_STAT1-mod	ulationOfWarbu	rgEffectInTumorGrowthAnd Radioresistance; 1 cell	ine (Dark backgro	ound indicate	es cell fines th any microarray	at are not a s)	ssociated wit
П	21	SCC RadiationInduc	edCell-deathSig	nalingPathwayActivation; 1 cell line			,	-,	

Step 2: Select studies of interest

Step 3: Display comparison visualization



Steps 4+: Focus on individual genes and display associated visualizations



Figure 3. Example of a workflow initiated from the Cell lines module. The Cell lines initiated workflow typically starts with 1) selection of a cell line (or tissue) of interest (here "LCL"), 2) inspection of the cell line metadata, and associated studies, 3) comparison of studies of interest with a metamap showing significance of differential expression of individual genes for the given cell line, and 4+) inspecting individual genes via barplots and boxplots.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051693.g003

Step 1: Display available array studies and select study of interest

Direc	tory	of Array Studies								
Options	:	Select Stimulus:								
Select All	Study #	v Study Name	Stimulus	Cell Lines	Genes	Туре	Institution	Contributor	Reference	Downloa
	1	K562-Me45_RadiationInducedTranscriptomeChanges	Radiation	2	<u>12509</u>	Affymetrix	Silesian University of Technology	Jaksik, R	E-MEXP- 2623	Data File
	2	MCF7_RadiationInducedMarkersOfPrematureSenescence	Radiation	1	18081	Illumina	Korea Institute	Kim, BC et al.	20596626	Data File
	3	H9hESCs_RadiationEffect	Radiation	1	16046	Agilent	Stanford	Wilson, KD et al.	20530673	Data File
	4	Lymphocytes_LateRadiationTherapyToxicity	Radiation	1	12704	Affymetrix	Leiden University Medical Center	Svensson, JP et al.	17076557	Data File
	5	THP1_RadiationEffectOnProcoagulantActivity	Radiation	1	<u>19621</u>	Affymetrix	Max Planck Institute	Goldin-Lang, P et al.	<u>17640852</u>	Data File
	6	Lymphoblastoid_RadiationTherapyToxicity	Radiation	2	8038	Affymetrix	Stanford	Rieger, KE et al.	15096622	Data File
	7	SCC_RadioresistantTumorResponse	Radiation	2	12704	Affymetrix	The University of Chicago	Khodarev, NN et al.	17909027	Data File
	8	ALL_RadiationSensitivityAndResistance	Radiation	1	12704	Affymetrix	University of Birmingham	Stankovic, T et al.	<u>18941120</u>	Data File
	9	SCC_TimeCourseRadioresistantTumorResponse	Radiation	2	12704	Affymetrix	The University of Chicago	Khodarev, NN et al.	17909027	Data File
	10	MCF10A_EMT-initiation	Radiation	1	<u>12704</u>	Affymetrix	Lawrence Berkeley	Andarawewa, KL et al.	<u>17875706</u>	Data File
	11	MulticancerCells_RadiationEffectOnPolysomeRNA	Radiation	<u>18</u>	5593	cDNA	Lee Moffitt Cancer Center	Tofilon, PJ	18483266	Data File
	12	Lymphocytes_ATM-regulationOfDNADamageResponse	Radiation	2	<u>11430</u>	Illumina	NIA	Mazan-Mamczarz, K et al.	21209379	Data File
	13	GliomaCells_HypoxiaEffectOnTotalRNA	Hypoxia	2	13147	Affymetrix	Lee Moffitt Cancer Center	Tofilon, PJ	<u>19372578</u>	Data File
	14	StemCells_HypoxiaEffectOnTotalRNA	Hypoxia	3	13147	Affymetrix	Lee Moffitt Cancer Center	Tofilon, PJ	19372578	Data File
	15	U251-U87_ComparisonOfGrowthModelsUnderRadiation	Radiation	2	<u>4283</u>	cDNA	ROB	Camphausen, K et al.	<u>15928080</u>	<u>Data File</u>
	16	NCI60_RadiationResponse	Radiation	<u>60</u>	2826	cDNA	Columbia University Medical Center	Amundson, S et al.	18199535	Data File
	17	Lymphocytes_TranscriptionalResponseToUVAandIR	Radiation	1	<u>8818</u>	cDNA	Stanford	Rieger, KE & Chu, G	<u>15356296</u>	Data File

Step 2: View experimental conditions and choose p-value



Step 3: View heatmap of genes with significant differential expression



Steps 4+: Back to overview and compare several studies



Figure 4. Example of a workflow initiated from the Arrays module. The Arrays workflow typically starts with 1) inspection of available arrays and selection of a study of interest, 2) viewing of experimental conditions and selection of a p-value threshold for significance of gene expression differentiation, and 3) study of expressions heatmap. Comparison of several arrays can also be initiated from the overview page. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051693.g004

Step 1: View multi-study heatmap



Figure 5. StRAP validation. Differential expression of twenty-four genes identified by Rieger and colleagues [24] to be important for radiation response. In Step 1 shows a multi-study heatmap (for Studies 4, 6, and 14). In Step 2 CDKN1A gene profile was compared in studies with (Studies 2–5), and without radiation as stimulus (Study 14 with hypoxia response). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051693.g005

To test if we can reproduce the authors findings, we first selected 3 studies, 2 studies (studies 4 and 6) containing lymphoblastoid cells treated with different doses of radiation, and as a negative control, we chose 1 study (Study 14) with stem cells from CNS tissue with hypoxia stimulus. A multi-study heatmap (Figure 5, Step 1) on the gene subset showed a selective up regulation of the gene subset in studies 4 and 6 but, not in study 14, confirming the role of these genes in response to radiation. Of particular, CDKN1A is a DNA damage response, cell cycle regulating gene reported to be induced by radiation [25,26]. We explored the comparative profiling of CDKN1A gene in a range of studies with diverse cell lines from our database that are treated with (Studies 2-5) or without radiation as stimulus (Study 14). A comparative gene profiling across multiple studies (Figure 5, Step 2) showed a significant induction of the gene selectively in radiation treated studies. In addition the induction is found to have no effect at low dose radiation (0.4 Gy in Study 3) indicating cellular response to radiation is dependent on dose rate used.

Conclusions

StRAP is an open-access resource developed primarily to support research on the effects of stress with major emphasis on ionizing radiation on cancer in a systems-biology context.

References

- Chon HS, Lancaster JM (2011) Microarray-based gene expression studies in ovarian cancer. Cancer Control 18: 8–15.
- Burnet NG, Benson RJ, Williams MV, Peacock JH (2000) Improving cancer outcomes through radiotherapy. Lack of UK radiotherapy resources prejudices cancer outcomes. BMJ 320: 198–199.
- Zhang Y, Szustakowski J, Schinke M (2009) Bioinformatics analysis of microarray data. Methods Mol Biol 573: 259–284.
- Clarke R, Ressom HW, Wang A, Xuan J, Liu MC, et al. (2008) The properties of high-dimensional data spaces: implications for exploring gene and protein expression data. Nat Rev Cancer 8: 37–49.
- Stafford P, Brun M (2007) Three methods for optimization of cross-laboratory and cross-platform microarray expression data. Nucleic Acids Res 35: e72.

Currently data from twenty one studies have been integrated and made accessible through extensive query options, and a userfriendly web-based interface. Supported by statistical and quantitative analysis methods in the background, the resource overcomes the limits of databases dedicated to raw data exploration, making it possible to infer nontrivial knowledge (such as the differentially expressed genes in multiple studies).

Step 2: Inspect profiles of individual genes

Currently because of the limitation of the number of studies available, it may have limited biological significance. However, the framework of the database is flexible and would allow extensions with data from other types of cancer studies that will help in novel findings.

The database will be periodically updated with new studies and features. We plan, for example, to enable construction of interaction networks using literature text-mining, and information from the Human Protein Reference Database (HPRD) [27] and gene set enrichment analyses and visualizations.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: US KC PT. Performed the experiments: SJ BI MB SZ OC. Analyzed the data: SJ BI SZ OC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SJ BI OC MB. Wrote the paper: OC US.

- Shankavaram UT, Varma S, Kane D, Sunshine M, Chary KK, et al. (2009) CellMiner: a relational database and query tool for the NCI-60 cancer cell lines. BMC Genomics 10: 277.
- Jarvinen AK, Hautaniemi S, Edgren H, Auvinen P, Saarela J, et al. (2004) Are data from different gene expression microarray platforms comparable? Genomics 83: 1164–1168.
- Mah N, Thelin A, Lu T, Nikolaus S, Kuhbacher T, et al. (2004) A comparison of oligonucleotide and cDNA-based microarray systems. Physiol Genomics 16: 361–370.
- Tan PK, Downey TJ, Spitznagel EL, Jr., Xu P, Fu D, et al. (2003) Evaluation of gene expression measurements from commercial microarray platforms. Nucleic Acids Res 31: 5676–5684.

- Altman NS, Hua J (2006) Extending the loop design for two-channel microarray experiments. Genet Res 88: 153–163.
- Patterson TA, Lobenhofer EK, Fulmer-Smentek SB, Collins PJ, Chu TM, et al. (2006) Performance comparison of one-color and two-color platforms within the MicroArray Quality Control (MAQC) project. Nat Biotechnol 24: 1140–1150.
- Bevilacqua V, Pannarale P, Abbrescia M, Cava C, Paradiso A, et al. (2012) Comparison of data-merging methods with SVM attribute selection and classification in breast cancer gene expression. BMC Bioinformatics 13 Suppl 7: S9.
- Bargaje R, Hariharan M, Scaria V, Pillai B (2010) Consensus miRNA expression profiles derived from interplatform normalization of microarray data. RNA 16: 16–25.
- Edgar R, Domrachev M, Lash AE (2002) Gene Expression Omnibus: NCBI gene expression and hybridization array data repository. Nucleic Acids Res 30: 207–210.
- Rhodes DR, Yu J, Shanker K, Deshpande N, Varambally R, et al. (2004) ONCOMINE: a cancer microarray database and integrated data-mining platform. Neoplasia 6: 1–6.
- Parkinson H, Sarkans U, Kolesnikov N, Abeygunawardena N, Burdett T, et al. (2011) ArrayExpress update-an archive of microarray and high-throughput sequencing-based functional genomics experiments. Nucleic Acids Res 39: D1002–1004.
- Yang YH, Dudoit S, Luu P, Lin DM, Peng V, et al. (2002) Normalization for cDNA microarray data: a robust composite method addressing single and multiple slide systematic variation. Nucleic Acids Res 30: e15.
- Hubbell E, Liu WM, Mei R (2002) Robust estimators for expression analysis. Bioinformatics 18: 1585–1592.

- Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, et al. (2002) The human genome browser at UCSC. Genome Res 12: 996–1006.
- Cerami EG, Gross BE, Demir E, Rodchenkov I, Babur O, et al. (2011) Pathway Commons, a web resource for biological pathway data. Nucleic Acids Res 39: D685–690.
- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, et al. (2000) Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. Nat Genet 25: 25–29.
- Saldanha AJ (2004) Java Treeview–extensible visualization of microarray data. Bioinformatics 20: 3246–3248.
- Chon BH, Loeffler JS (2002) The effect of nonmalignant systemic disease on tolerance to radiation therapy. Oncologist 7: 136–143.
 Rieger KE, Hong WJ, Tusher VG, Tang J, Tibshirani R, et al. (2004) Toxicity
- Rieger KE, Hong WJ, Tusher VG, Tang J, Tibshirani R, et al. (2004) Toxicity from radiation therapy associated with abnormal transcriptional responses to DNA damage. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101: 6635–6640.
- Amundson SA, Grace MB, McLeland CB, Epperly MW, Yeager A, et al. (2004) Human in vivo radiation-induced biomarkers: gene expression changes in radiotherapy patients. Cancer Res 64: 6368–6371.
- Rodningen OK, Overgaard J, Alsner J, Hastie T, Borresen-Dale AL (2005) Microarray analysis of the transcriptional response to single or multiple doses of ionizing radiation in human subcutaneous fibroblasts. Radiother Oncol 77: 231– 240.
- Prasad TS, Kandasamy K, Pandey A (2009) Human Protein Reference Database and Human Proteinpedia as discovery tools for systems biology. Methods Mol Biol 577: 67–79.