

# Bacteriophages in sewage: abundance, roles, and applications

Elisenda Ballesté<sup>1\*</sup>, Anicet R. Blanch<sup>1</sup>, Maite Muniesa<sup>1</sup>, Cristina García-Aljaro<sup>1</sup>, Lorena Rodríguez-Rubio<sup>1</sup>, Julia Martín-Díaz<sup>1</sup>, Miriam Pascual-Benito<sup>1</sup> and J. Jofre<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departament de Genètica, Microbiologia i Estadística, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>2</sup>Reial Acadèmia de Ciències i Arts de Barcelona, La Rambla, 115, 08002 Barcelona, Spain

\*Corresponding author: Departament de Genètica, Microbiologia i Estadística, Universitat de Barcelona, Av Diagonal 643, Barcelona, Spain.

E-mail: [eballeste@ub.edu](mailto:eballeste@ub.edu)

**One sentence summary:** This paper reviews the abundance, roles, and applications of bacteriophages from sewage.

**Editor:** Warish Ahmed

## Abstract

The raw sewage that flows through sewage systems contains a complex microbial community whose main source is the human gut microbiome, with bacteriophages being as abundant as bacteria or even more so. Phages that infect common strains of the human gut bacteriome and transient bacterial pathogens have been isolated in raw sewage, as have other phages corresponding to non-sewage inputs. Although human gut phages do not seem to replicate during their transit through the sewers, they predominate at the entrance of wastewater treatment plants, inside which the dominant populations of bacteria and phages undergo a swift change. The sheer abundance of phages in the sewage virome prompts several questions, some of which are addressed in this review. There is growing concern about their potential role in the horizontal transfer of genes, including those related with bacterial pathogenicity and antibiotic resistance. On the other hand, some phages that infect human gut bacteria are being used as indicators of fecal/viral water pollution and as source tracking markers and have been introduced in water quality legislation. Other potential applications of enteric phages to control bacterial pathogens in sewage or undesirable bacteria that impede the efficacy of wastewater treatments, including biofilm formation on membranes, are still being researched.

**Keywords:** bacteriophages, sewage, somatic phages, microbial source tracking, transduction, faecal indicators

## Introduction

Bacteriophages, viruses that infect bacteria, are commonly acknowledged to have played an important role in the development of molecular biology science, and their properties are being increasingly harnessed in various biotechnological applications.

Viral ecology studies in diverse environments indicate that phages are highly ubiquitous and essential elements of natural microbial systems (Weinbauer 2004), where they are agents of bacterial mortality (Fuhrman and Schwalbach 2003), nutrient regeneration (Weitz et al. 2015), and horizontal gene transfer (Canchaya et al. 2003). Therefore, they are key drivers of bacterial abundance, activity and community composition in natural ecosystems, including animal guts (Rodríguez-Valera et al. 2009, Mills et al. 2013, Minot et al. 2013).

The key role played by phages in shaping the bacterial microbiota of the human gut ecosystem has been extensively researched (Mills et al. 2013, Scanlan 2017, Guerin and Hill 2020). Recent studies show that the gene flux generated by phages is not restricted to a single bacterial species or genus, but that they create gene flow networks across phylogenetically distinct bacteria (Camarillo-Guerrero et al. 2021). Phages dominate the viral fraction of human gut microbiota (Letarov and Kulikov 2009, Reyes et al. 2012), and up to 10<sup>12</sup> virus-like particles (VLPs) per ml have been reported in human faeces (Hoyle et al. 2014). Regarding diversity, more than 142 000 non-redundant viral genomes,

mostly those of phages, have been detected in the human gut based on data in the Gut Phage Database (Camarillo-Guerrero et al. 2021). Among all this variety, the worldwide predominance of crAssphage and crAss-like phages has emerged (Dutilh et al. 2014, Edwards et al. 2019). CrAss-like phages are associated with the *Bacteroidetes*, which is the most abundant bacterial phylum in the human gut microbiome.

The aim of this review is to picture the gut phages occurrence in sewage and their role as vectors of horizontal gene transfer, to show their potential application as faecal indicators, microbial source trackers or to be applied in internal processes of a WWTP.

## Bacteriophages in raw sewage

The raw sewage circulating in sewage systems harbours a complex microbial community, largely of human origin. Faecal bacteria do not replicate in raw sewage, with the exception of a few genera of the phylum *Proteobacteria*, which are infrequent in the gut (García-Aljaro et al. 2019). Even when an autochthonous population derived from non-sewage inputs proliferates in the sewers, the bacterial and phage profile of sewage before it reaches a wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) continues to be shaped mainly by the human gut microbiome.

As in faeces, phages are an important component of the microbial content of sewage, as demonstrated by various methods. Total viral particles can be determined by electron microscopy,

Received: December 12, 2021. Revised: March 3, 2022. Accepted: March 12, 2022

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of FEMS. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact [journals.permissions@oup.com](mailto:journals.permissions@oup.com)

epifluorescence microscopy or flow cytometry, while a metagenomics approach sheds light on the composition and diversity of the sewage phageome, also providing information about host bacterial species, including pathogenic strains.

Values in the literature for VLPs in the sewage entering WWTPs vary considerably, which is likely due to inter-site (Gulino et al. 2020) or intra-site variability (Brown et al. 2019) and the variable efficiency of methods used for VLP detection (Wu and Liu 2009). Nevertheless, most reported concentrations of VLPs (corresponding mainly to phages) in sewage systems range from  $10^8$  to  $10^9$  per ml (Wu and Liu 2009, Tamaki et al. 2012), which is much higher than in any other water environment studied so far. These values are similar to those reported for faeces (Hoyle et al. 2014) considering that daily each person defecates 150–200 g and contributes an average of 200 l of water to urban sewage.

Phages infecting strains of diverse species of cultivable gut bacteria have been reported in raw sewage, including *Escherichia coli* (Jebri et al. 2017), *Shigella* (Muniesa et al. 2003), *Klebsiella* (Muniesa et al. 2003, Wangkahad et al. 2015), *Enterobacter* (Wangkahad et al. 2015), *Salmonella* (Carey-Smith et al. 2006), *Pseudomonas* (Essouh et al. 2015), *Aeromonas* (Wangkahad et al. 2015), *Staphylococcus* (Synnott et al. 2009), *Enterococcus* (Bonilla et al. 2010, Vijayavel et al. 2014) and *Bacteroides* (Jebri et al. 2017). However, relatively few studies have quantified infectious phages, except those infecting *E. coli*, commonly known as coliphages, with reported values from 4.0 to 5.0 log<sub>10</sub> units per ml (Jebri et al. 2017). Other cultivable phages are those infecting the most abundant bacteria in the gut: the phylum *Bacteroidetes*. For example phage infecting *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*, show values ranging from 2.0 to 3.0 log<sub>10</sub> units per ml (Jebri et al. 2017).

Non-cultivable phages in sewage have also been studied by electron microscopy and metagenomics, the results revealing a huge diversity. Electron microscopy images show a wide morphological range, with *Myoviridae*, *Syphoviridae*, *Pedoviridae*, and *Microviridae* being the principle forms (Wu and Liu 2009, Brown et al. 2019). Metagenomics studies report a great variability in the metagenomic composition of raw sewage samples, with a high percentage of sequences not identified in databases, as well as a predominance of DNA phages (Cantalupo et al. 2011, Tamaki et al. 2012, Gulino et al. 2020). The collection of the existing metagenomic data of viromes from sewage and joining analysis efforts will provide more comprehensive insight into sewage virome profiles. However, as the human microbiota is the main source of the raw sewage microbial population (García-Aljaro et al. 2019), it can be assumed that most phages in sewage are found in the human gut. Indeed, the most abundant phage in human faeces, crAssphage, was identified in wastewater in all the 14 WWTPs tested in New York, showing a far greater ubiquity than any other identified phage (Gulino et al. 2020). Moreover, using a real time qPCR approach, Ballesté et al. (2021) reported about  $10^6$  and  $10^7$  crAssphage genomic copies per ml of municipal wastewater, ten thousand times more than the highest number of phages detected so far by culture of *Bacteroides* host strains (Payan et al. 2005). Besides, in the study of WWTPs in New York, a low percentage of samples were found to contain phages infecting bacteria involved in carbon and sulphur cycling, which can grow in biofilms formed in the sewers (Gulino et al. 2020).

The number of phage particles in activated sludge liquor is significantly higher than in raw sewage (Ewert and Paynter 1980, Wu and Liu 2009, Brown et al. 2019), indicating that phage replication occurs in the reactors, which is the most common biological secondary treatment of wastewater in high-income countries. Differences in the microbiota of raw sewage and activated sludge determined by metagenomics (Ye and Zhang 2013, Caiv 2014,

Liu et al. 2017) indicate a shift in the composition of microbial communities in the effluents versus influents. As most phages are associated with specific host taxa, and the evolution of bacterial and viral communities is therefore closely linked (Fuhrman and Schwalbach 2003), the phageome of activated sludge also differs from that of raw sewage (Ottawa et al. 2007, Parsley et al. 2010, Tamaki et al. 2012), with variations in abundance and diversity over time (Brown et al. 2019). Clear phage-host associations have been reported in activated sludge plants during bulking episodes (Liu et al. 2017).

As emphasised by this brief overview, phages are present in raw sewage and WWTPs in great abundance and variety. However, their study is still in its infancy and many questions remain to be answered, such as whether this density of phages has any significance for public health and if they have any useful applications.

Phage populations in the gut have been reported to differ substantially between healthy and diseased cohorts (Mills et al. 2013, Norman et al. 2015, Manriquev2017), a finding that has generated considerable interest in how phages shape our gut microbiome. Although great numbers of VLPs, mostly phages, have been detected in potable, well and reclaimed water, their metagenomics do not coincide with those found in the human gut or sewage (Rosario et al. 2009). Therefore, the potential role of gut phages that can reach humans through the consumption of faecally polluted water remains to be elucidated and will not be discussed here further.

In contrast, there is growing evidence that phages can act as vehicles of gene transfer, including those encoding toxins and antibiotic resistance, and in this manner they can have an undesirable impact on gut microbiota, including pathogens. This subject, one of increasing concern, is covered in the review.

Regarding potential applications of phages, we will look at their use as indicators of faecal/viral contamination and as faecal source markers, as well as their potential use in wastewater treatment processes.

## Bacteriophage-mediated gene transfer in sewage

There is mounting evidence that phages in sewage may play a significant role in gene transfer as mobile genetic elements (MGEs). These are segments of DNA that encode enzymes and other proteins involved in the movement of DNA within genomes (intracellular mobility) or between bacterial cells (intercellular mobility) (Frost et al. 2005). MGEs act as vectors of horizontal gene transfer, which is the main evolutionary mechanism of prokaryotes (Darmion and Leach 2014, Vos et al. 2015). The set of MGEs within a cell, known as the mobilome (Siefert 2009), includes plasmids, transposons, integrons, genomic islands, conjugative integration elements, gene transfer agents, and phages.

The ubiquity, abundance, persistence, and versatility of phages makes them ideal vehicles for the transfer of genes between bacteria, even between those of different taxa or from different biomes. Phages found in sewage also show similar persistence, as discussed in other sections (Muniesa et al. 1999, Allué-Guardia et al. 2012, Calero-Cáceres and Muniesa 2016). Unlike other horizontal gene transfer mechanisms, transduction does not require contact between the donor and the recipient cell.

Phages infecting intestinal bacteria are released by defecation, either as free phages or some lysogens after the induction of prophages located within the bacterial chromosomes, remaining free in extra-intestinal environments (Muniesa et al. 2011). Consequently, phages of faecal origin encoding genes related with virulence can be found in raw sewage or animal wastewater, including those encoding the *E. coli* Shiga toxin type 1 (Dumke et al. 2006, Grau-Leal et al. 2015), Shiga toxin type 2 (Muniesa and Jofre 1998,

Tanji et al. 2002, Muniesa et al. 2004a, Dumke et al. 2006, Imamovic et al. 2010), new Shiga toxin 2 subtypes (García-Aljaro et al. 2006) and the cytolethal distending toxin (Allué-Guardia et al. 2011).

More recently, interest has grown in phages that mobilize antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs), far less studied than ARG transfer mediated by plasmids and transposons. Early studies detected  $\beta$ -lactamases in phages isolated from sewage (Muniesa et al. 2004b) and their abundance was subsequently quantified in sewage (Colomer-Lluch et al. 2011a, 2014, Marti et al. 2014), animal wastewater (Colomer-Lluch et al. 2011b) and sludges produced in WWTPs (Calero-Cáceres et al. 2014). Other groups of ARGs, such as those encoding resistances to sulfonamides (*sul1*) (Calero-Cáceres et al. 2014), quinolones (*qnrA*, *qnrS*) (Colomer-Lluch et al. 2014) and tetracycline (*tetW*) (Anand et al. 2016) have also been abundantly detected in phages, whereas those carrying methicillin resistance genes (*mecA*) (Colomer-Lluch et al. 2011a,b) and aminoglycoside resistance genes (*armA*) (Colomer-Lluch et al. 2014) are less common. Metagenomic analysis of the viral fraction isolated from sewage samples allows a more general overview of ARG diversity in sewage viromes (Subirats et al. 2016, Lekunberri et al. 2017).

The abundance of phages encoding virulence genes and ARGs increases the chances of their transduction to the resident bacteria in the sewage environment. Moreover, some bacterial populations in WWTPs, although not those of faecal origin, are metabolically active during the treatment process, a necessary condition for transduction to occur. Transduction was traditionally considered a rare event, thought to happen about once every  $10^7$ – $10^9$  phage infections. Yet other studies (Evans et al. 2010, Kenzaka et al. 2010), and some recently described mechanisms of transduction (Chen et al. 2018), suggest a higher frequency, possibly several orders of magnitude greater. In this scenario, gene transfer by transduction in sewage environments could be taking place every second at an exceptionally high rate (Muniesa et al. 2013).

Transduction in a wastewater environment has been observed in *Proteobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Actinomycetales*, and *Firmicutes* (Del Casale et al. 2011a,b), but not in other classes of bacteria (e.g., *Deltaproteobacteria*, *Nitrospira*, *Planctomycetes*), indicating that not all bacterial groups are equally involved in gene transfer in a wastewater environment. Phages from wastewater (Gunathilaka et al. 2017) and biosolids (Ross et al. 2015) have shown the potential to transduce ARGs to the bacterial population, although the extent of ARG transduction events within the WWTP remains to be elucidated.

In environments with high concentrations of phages and their bacterial hosts, such as the gut and sewage, genetic material can be exchanged in other ways. Indeed, the constant lysis and turnover of bacterial populations during the natural life cycle of a lytic phage may be underestimated as a mechanism for the liberation of DNA, including plasmids and antibiotic resistance determinants. Subsequent genetic exchange may involve mechanisms such as transformation and delivery by outer membrane vesicles (Fulsundar et al. 2014, Keen et al. 2017, Crippen et al. 2020), which would be important among unrelated bacteria in environments that receive inputs from several sources, such as sewage.

## Bacteriophages as indicators of viral/faecal pollution

Phages were proposed as indicators of faecal contamination as early as the 1940s, when they were studied by Guelin and Collaborators (1948) in the marine waters of the French Atlantic coasts (Guelin 1948). Using clearly defined methodologies, they estab-

lished a correlation between phages and the proximity of faecal discharge of urban origin, considering the dilution effect associated with tide kinetics.

In the following decades, numerous studies enumerated and evaluated the diversity of phages infecting enteric bacteria, mainly *E. coli*, in wastewater and faeces (Dhillon et al. 1970, Ayres 1977). The relationship of these phages with bacterial indicators (Kott et al. 1974, Bell 1976) and enteric viruses in water (Simková and Cervenka 1981, Stetler 1984) began to be investigated. During this period, studies were initiated on the resistance of phages infecting enteric bacteria to different water treatments (Friberg and Hammarström 1956, Weber-Schutt 1966, Joyce and Weiser 1967, Vaughn and Ryther 1974), and data on their environmental persistence in different ecosystems were provided (Kott et al. 1974, Gerba and Schaiberger 1975). However, until the late 1980s, information on the ecology of these phages remained limited, which led to unsubstantiated assumptions about phage behaviour. For example, it was claimed that somatic coliphages can replicate in the water environment and consequently cannot accurately reflect faecal microbial contamination (Vaughn and Metcalf 1975, Seeley and Primrose 1980, Grabow et al. 1981). As reviewed by Jofre (2009), it was subsequently demonstrated that such replication is highly unlikely and if it were to occur, the effect on the concentration of somatic coliphages in the environment would be negligible (Jofre 2009).

As research in the field progressed, four groups of phages found in sewage attracted attention as potential determinants of microbial water quality: somatic coliphages, which infect *E. coli* through the cell wall, F-specific coliphages, which infect *E. coli* through the sex pili, phages infecting *Bacteroides* and those infecting enterococci (IAWPRC 1991, Bonilla et al. 2010). The proportions in which these proposed viral indicators of faecal pollution occur in sewage has been extensively studied (Contreras-Coll et al. 2002, Rose et al. 2004, Blanch et al. 2006), and it has been consistently documented that urban wastewater contains more somatic than F-specific coliphages or phages infecting *Bacteroides* or enterococci (Jebri et al. 2017, Nappier et al. 2019, Jofre et al. 2021).

As phages that infect enteric bacteria are always present in wastewater, they can be considered as indicators of faecal contamination. Moreover, as phages are affected by inactivation processes in a similar way to human or animal viruses, the presence of phages of faecal origin in the treated water can generally predict human faecal viruses. A correlation between human viruses and phages has been demonstrated in samples of surface water, groundwater, sediments and shellfish (Jofre et al. 1989, Havelaar et al. 1993, Brion et al. 2005), although other studies report a lack of clear association (Haramoto et al. 2005, Lodder et al. 2010, Rezaeinejad et al. 2014). In any case, coliphages are more strongly associated with pathogenic viruses than traditional bacterial markers and even more so than other groups of human viruses (Wu et al. 2011, Ballesté et al. 2021). Although coliphages are not index microorganisms for specific virus groups, their presence indicates faecal contamination, potentially including pathogenic faecal human viruses (Jofre et al. 2016).

Now established in regulations for water quality management, coliphages meet the operational requirements to be selected as microbial indicators (World Health Organization 2017): they are not a pathogenic microorganism; they are universally present in human and animal faeces in high numbers; under natural conditions they do not multiply in water; they are more numerous than faecal pathogens; they persist, are inactivated and respond to disinfection treatments in a similar way to faecal pathogens;

and can be easily detected by simple and inexpensive culture methods.

Before an indicator can be included in national or international regulations, standardized protocols need to be established that can be applied in different laboratories to provide robust and comparable results (IAWPRC 1991). In the case of phage indicators, it was not until the late 1990s that methodology began to be standardized for the enumeration of somatic and F-specific coliphages and phages infecting *Bacteroides* spp.

Standardized methods were developed, almost simultaneously, by the International Standardization Organization (ISO) and the United States Environment Protection Agency (the US EPA) for detecting somatic coliphages (International Standardization Organization 2000, US EPA 2001a,b) and F-specific coliphages (International Standardization Organization 1995, US EPA 2001a,b). A standardized protocol for phages infecting enterococci is not yet available, but an ISO method exists for phages infecting *Bacteroides*, which uses *B. fragilis* RYC2056 (ATCC 700786) as a host strain (International Standardization Organization 2001). This method can also be applied with phages associated with other *Bacteroides* spp. that effectively discriminate between sources of faecal contamination in water and have been used for microbial source tracking (MST) studies, as described in the following section.

The standardized ISO and EPA protocols for somatic and F-specific coliphage analysis, which are very similar and produce comparable results, have been widely applied in the last decades. They outline a qualitative procedure (a presence/absence spot test) that can be adapted to quantitative approaches based on a most probable number test or the enumeration of plaque forming units (PFUs) on host bacteria layers. Additionally, the ISO has defined a validation procedure for methods that concentrate phages from water when volumes higher than 10 mL need to be analysed (International Standardization Organization 2003).

The US EPA standardized protocols for somatic and F-specific coliphage detection also include two different methods applicable to both types of phages. Method 1601 is a quantitative approach based on single agar layer (SAL) assays for PFU enumeration (US EPA 2001a), whereas the qualitative method 1602 uses presence/absence assays (US EPA 2001b). The US EPA has recently revised and adapted these methods for application in recreational water and wastewater, using ultrafiltration and SAL assays (US EPA 2018a), and for the analysis of secondary wastewater, also using SAL procedures (US EPA 2018b).

Although ISO and the US EPA methods can be easily implemented in routine microbiology laboratories without experience in phages, they can be cumbersome in laboratories with limited equipment and insufficiently trained staff. Although the protocols from both organizations are similar, they use different host strains for the various groups of targeted phages. Among the few comparative studies carried out, some authors report that methods with the DAL technique provide slightly higher values than those using SAL assays (Mooijman et al. 2001).

As indicated by the ISO and the US EPA standardized protocols, origin traceability of the host strains and positive control coliphages is important, as is strain quality control and maintenance, so that the genotypic and phenotypic properties that characterize the stipulated strains are not lost. For example, both ISO and the US EPA methods use nalidixic acid-resistant variants to minimize the growth of accompanying microbiota in polluted water samples, which frequently interferes with visualization of plaques. Otherwise, a previous step of filtration through membrane filters

of 0.22  $\mu\text{m}$  pore diameter made of materials that do not adsorb proteins is advised.

In the case of F-specific coliphage enumeration, in both the ISO and the US EPA standardized methods, host strains must express the sexual pili, encoded in the F plasmid or F-derived plasmids and are not synthesized below 32°C. In addition, markers are used in these host strains to improve their selection and stability: the capacity to degrade lactose in *Salmonella enterica* WG49 in the ISO method and ampicillin resistance in the *E. coli* HS/Famp strain in the US EPA methods.

Despite efforts to standardize protocols, a small percentage of divergent results is reported for phage total numbers and the proportions of phage groups. It cannot be ruled out that some of the notable variations in wastewater analysis outcomes between studies in different laboratories around the world could be related to insufficient quality control of the host strains and how long they are maintained (Wu et al. 2011, Nappier et al. 2019).

Of the aforementioned four types of enteric phages, somatic coliphages are the most frequently used as viral indicators of water quality, as they have the highest concentrations in wastewater and are simple to analyse. However, there is still some debate regarding which type of coliphage is the most suitable for application as a faecal/viral indicator. As F-specific coliphages are usually more resistant to ultraviolet light than somatic coliphages, they are used to monitor and validate ultraviolet-disinfection water treatments (Leclerc et al. 2000, Montemayor et al. 2008). However, F-specific coliphages have lower persistence in surface waters, especially in warmer climates, and are less resistant to inactivating heat or high pH treatments (Jofre 2007). It was therefore proposed to detect both types simultaneously, and standardized methods based on modified host strains were devised for total coliphage enumeration in a single analysis. Initially, the *E. coli* strain C3000 (ATCC 15597), extensively used in conjugation studies of the 1960s, was employed, but it was observed that this modified strain detects lower amounts of somatic coliphages than the standardized strains. The problem was subsequently overcome by the engineering of *E. coli* strain CB390 (CECT9198) (Guzmán et al. 2008), which can match the results of established methods that enumerate F-specific and somatic coliphages separately (Guzmán et al. 2008, Bailey et al. 2017).

Consequently, coliphages have been used to assess the quality of drinking water, wastewater sanitation, water reuse treatments, and hygienization of sludge, biosolids and sediments, and the safety of some foods (mainly shellfish). Since the beginning of the millennium, mainly somatic, but also F-specific coliphages, have been progressively incorporated in national and international regulations and guidelines, including those of the WHO, for the management of microbiological quality (Table 1).

Despite the availability of feasible and cost-effective presence/absence and quantitative (PFU) methods standardized by regulatory agencies, the routine analysis of coliphages as viral indicators will require faster and more user-friendly methods. Different analytical procedures have been proposed, including those that can be adapted to 100 mL of water, thus avoiding the need to concentrate phages from smaller volumes (Blanch et al. 2020).

## Bacteriophages as microbial source tracking markers

Faecal indicators have been used to determine water quality for over a century, and now they are also being employed to determine the origin of the pollution. Microbial source tracking (MST) (Malakoff 2002) is based on the detection of particular



**Table 1.** List of national and International regulations and guidelines including coliphages as viral indicators for different water matrices, biosolids, sludge, and food (mainly shellfish).

Year	Country	Regulation sector	Description
1989	USA	Integrity membranes and UV	USEPA. 1989. Drinking Water; national Primary Drinking Water Regulations; Filtration; Disinfection; Turbidity, <i>Giardia lamblia</i> ; Viruses; Legionella; and Heterotrophic Bacteria; Final Rule. 40CFR Parts 141 and 142. Federal register 54: 27486-27541. Washington D.C.
1999	UK	Integrity membranes and UV	DWI. 1999. The Water Supply (Water Quality) (Amendment) Regulations 1999: Cryptosporidium in Water Supplies. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. Statutory Instruments No. 1524. United Kingdom Legislation (available at <a href="http://united-kingdom-legislation.vlex.co.uk/vid/water-supply-quality-amendment-28393731">http://united-kingdom-legislation.vlex.co.uk/vid/water-supply-quality-amendment-28393731</a> )
2001	Canada (Quebec)	Drinking Water	Loi sur la qualité de l'environnement : règlement sur la qualité de l'eau potable c. Q.-2, r. 18.1.1. Gazette Officielle du Québec 24, 3561. Government of Quebec, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
2005	Australia (Queensland)	Reclaimed water	Queensland Government. 2005. Queensland Water Recycling Guidelines. Queensland Environmental Protection Agency. Brisbane. Australia.
2006	Australia (Northern Territory)	Reclaimed water	DRAFT Northern Territory Interim Guidelines for Management of Recycled Water Schemes 2006
2006	Australia (Northern Territory)	Reclaimed water	Australian Guidelines for Water Recycling: Managing Health and Environmental Risks (Phase 1), 2006
2006	Australia (Western Australia)	Reclaimed water	Australian Guidelines for Water Recycling: Managing Health and Environmental Risks (Phase 1) 2006
2006	USA	Groundwater	40 CFR Parts 9, 141, and 142 National Primary Drinking Water Regulations: Ground Water Rule; Final Rule.
2001	USA	Integrity membranes and UV	USEPA. 2001. Low-pressure membrane filtration for pathogen removal: application, implementation and regulatory issues. EPA 815-C-01-001. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington D.C.
2007	Australia (New South Wales)	Recycled Water	Interim NSW Guidelines for Management of Private Recycled Water Schemes, 2007
2008	Australia	Recreational Water	Guidelines for Managing Risks in Recreational Water (Emerging interest)
2008	Canada	Recreational Water	Guidelines for Canadian Recreational Water Quality (Third edition 2012)
2009	Australia (Western Australia)	Recycled Water	Draft Government of Western Australia Department of Health. Draft Guidelines for the Use of Recycled Water in Western Australia. Initial External Consultation
2009	USA	Molluscan shellfish	Guide for the control of Molluscan Shellfish. National shellfish Sanitation program. 2017 Revised
2011	Australia	Drinking Water	National Water Quality Management Strategy. Australian Drinking Water Guidelines 6 (version 3.2 updated February 2016)
2011	Canada	Drinking Water	Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality: Guideline Technical Document - Enteric Viruses
2011	USA (North Caroline)	Reclaimed water	North Carolina Environmental Quality. North Carolina Adm. Code 15A NCAC 2U Reclaimed Water; North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources. Regulatory Review of North Caroline.
2012	Australia (Western Australia)	Biosolids	Western Australian guidelines for biosolids management. Department of Environment and Conservation
2012	India	Drinking water	Indian Standard. ISO 10500: 2012. Drinking Water – Specification. Bureau of Indian Standards. Manak Bhavan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2014	Colombia	Biosolids	Decreto Número 1287 por el cual se establecen criterios para el uso de los biosólidos generados en plantas de tratamiento de aguas residuales municipales,
2014	France	Reclaimed water	Arrêté du 25 juin 2014 modifiant l'arrêté du 2 août 2010 relatif à l'utilisation d'eaux issues du traitement d'épuration des eaux résiduaires urbaines pour l'irrigation de cultures ou d'espaces verts.
2017	WHO	Drinking Water	Guidelines for Drinking - water Quality. Fourth Edition Incorporating the First Addendum. 7 810 Microbial Microbial aspects.
2017	WHO	Drinking Water	Potable reuse. Guidance for producing safety drinking water.
2018	USA	Recreational water	Review of coliphages as possible indicators of fecal contamination for ambient water quality. EPA 820-R-15-098
2020	Europe	Reclaimed Water	Regulation (EU) 2020/741 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 May 2020 on minimum requirements for water reuse. OJ L 177, 5.6.2020, p. 32–55
2020	Europe	Drinking Water	DIRECTIVE (EU) 2020/2184 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption. OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1–62
2021	France	Sludge	Arrêté du 20 avril 2021 modifiant l'arrêté du 30 avril 2020 précisant les modalités d'épandage des boues issues du traitement des eaux usées urbaines pendant la période de covid-19. Journal Oficial de la République Française, Texte 4 sur 188. 27 Mai 2021.

host-associated bacteria, mainly *Bacteroidetes* and *Bifidobacterium* (Bernhard and Field 2000, Shanks et al. 2008, Mieszkin et al. 2009, Gomez-Donate et al. 2012, Green et al. 2014), or viruses, either phages or host pathogens, as discussed below. By identifying the potential source of faecal pollution, these markers allow a better management of water and the application of effective restoration measures.

F-specific RNA phages, a fraction of F-specific coliphages, were the first phage candidates suggested as a potential MST tool. These viruses belong to the family *Fiersviridae* (Francki et al. 1991) and are divided into two genera, *Emesvirus* and *Qubevirus* (Friedman et al. 2009, Callanan et al. 2021), each of which are classified in two serotypes or genogroups: genogroups I and II for *Emesvirus* and III and IV for *Qubevirus* (Hsu et al. 1995, Beekwilder et al. 1996). The proportions of these genogroups in faecal pollution can serve to discriminate between human and warm-blooded animal inputs, given that groups II and III predominate in the former, and groups I and IV in the latter (Furuse 1987, Havelaar et al. 1990, Hsu et al. 1995, Schaper et al. 2002).

F-specific RNA phages can be detected either by culture, as explained in the previous section, or by molecular methods such as real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). After obtaining plaques by culture, the genotypes can be distinguished by plaque hybridization using specific probes (Schaper et al. 2002), or analysing a phage suspension from the plaques by RT-qPCR (Ogorzaly and Gantzer 2006, Wolf et al. 2008). RT-qPCR can also be carried out directly on the viral fraction of a sample (Wolf et al. 2008).

However, different phage groups display variable resistance to environmental stressors and inactivation treatments such as disinfection. Several studies on both laboratory and environmental phages have shown that phages belonging to genogroups I and II (*Levivirus*) are more resistant than those of III and IV (*Allolevivirus*) (Schaper et al. 2002, Long and Sobsey 2004, Muniesa et al. 2009, Haramoto et al. 2015). Consequently, the variability in genogroup proportions after natural or human-driven inactivation impairs their suitability for MST.

Even before or parallel to the growing use of host-specific *Bacteroidetes* as MST markers, phages infecting *Bacteroides* strains isolated from humans or animals were also being promoted as pollution source trackers (Tartera et al. 1989, Payan et al. 2005, Gómez-Doñate et al. 2011). As the sensitivity of *Bacteroides* strains used to detect phages varies considerably between regions, a suitable strain needs to be isolated from each area (Payan et al. 2005). As a result, several *Bacteroides* strains have been isolated for use in MST in different countries (e.g., Spain, Colombia, UK), successfully discriminating between human and animal (mainly cattle, swine, poultry, and horse) faecal pollution (Tartera and Jofre 1987, Ebdon et al. 2007, Gómez-Doñate et al. 2011, Venegas et al. 2015). Numbers of *Bacteroides* phages infecting *B. thetaiotaomicron* GA17 strain range from  $10^3$ – $10^5$  PFU/100 mL in municipal sewage to 50 to  $10^4$  PFU/100 mL in secondary effluents, being rarely detected in wastewaters from animal slaughterhouses (Muniesa et al. 2012, Venegas et al. 2015, Yahya et al. 2015). On the other hand, numbers of *Bacteroides* phages of animal origin range between  $10^3$  and  $10^4$  PFU/100 mL for cattle and poultry (phages infecting *B. thetaiotaomicron* CW18 and *B. fragilis* PL122, respectively) and  $10^4$  to  $10^5$  PFU/100 mL for swine (phages infecting *B. fragilis* PG76 and *B. fragilis* PG1226) (Gomez-Donate et al. 2012).

The great majority of phages infecting *B. fragilis* detected in sewage belong to the *Siphoviridae* family and have flexible tails and dsDNA (Tartera and Jofre 1987, Lasobras et al. 1997, Ogilvie et al. 2012); for example, phage B40-8, which infects *B. fragilis* strain GA17, and phage FB124-14, which infects *B. fragilis* strain GB-124,

isolated in Spain and the UK, respectively. These phages have a very narrow host range and infect through receptors in the cell wall (Ogilvie et al. 2012, Jofre et al. 2014), which situates them in the group of somatic phages. As phages infecting *Bacteroides* have similar persistence to somatic coliphages, the ratio of both phage types (i.e., host-specific and a general indicator) was proposed as a good marker for MST (Muniesa et al. 2012).

Recent studies have identified a new group of phages infecting *Bacteroides*, known as crAssphage, which metagenomic studies have revealed to be the most abundant phage in sewage (Dutilh et al. 2014). This phage family has a worldwide distribution, having been found in sewage in all the continents except Antarctica, and has therefore been proposed as a human-associated molecular marker for MST (Stachler and Bibby 2014, García-Aljaro et al. 2017, Cinek et al. 2018). Four crAssphage have been isolated so far, all with *Podoviridae* morphology, although only two of them have been partially characterized,  $\Phi$ crAss001 (Shkoporov et al. 2018) and  $\Phi$ crAss002 (Guerin et al. 2021), whose hosts are *B. intestinalis* and *B. xylanisolvens*, respectively. The other two phages were isolated in *B. thetaiotaomicron* (Hryckowian et al. 2020). Moreover, the replication cycles of these phages are still mostly unknown. As culture methods are difficult to be implemented, to date only molecular techniques have been developed for crAssphage detection and quantification. The worldwide use of qPCR-based analysis has yielded average contents of between  $10^4$  and  $10^9$  GC/100 mL (García-Aljaro et al. 2017, Stachler et al. 2017, Ahmed et al. 2018a, Farkas et al. 2019, Kongprajug et al. 2019, Malla et al. 2019, Crank et al. 2020, Wu et al. 2020) and a high correlation with other bacterial and viral MST markers has been observed (Ahmed et al. 2018b, Ballesté et al. 2019, Edwards et al. 2019). These values are higher than those of other known human viruses, such as adenoviruses, noroviruses or polyomaviruses (Bofill-Mas et al. 2006, Ballesté et al. 2021), or bacterial MST markers, such as human *Bifidobacteria* (Gomez-Donate et al. 2012) or human *Bacteroidetes* (Green et al. 2014).

Finally, host-specific phages infecting enterococci have been postulated as another alternative MST indicator (Bonilla et al. 2010), although no standardized method for their detection is available. Several bacterial hosts have been isolated from human, cattle and pigs, which showed high specificity and abundances between  $10^2$ – $10^4$  PFU 100 mL<sup>-1</sup> in animal runoff or sewage in England (Purnell et al. 2011) and Thailand (Wangkahad et al. 2017).

## Prospective applications of bacteriophages in wastewater treatment processes

Phage-mediated bacterial mortality may improve the performance of wastewater treatments by controlling the abundance of harmful bacteria. Laboratory studies have tested phage efficacy against pathogenic bacteria, as well as filamentous bacteria causing bulking and foaming in activated sludge and membrane-fouling bacteria, among others. A few examples are given here.

The application of phages infectious for specific strains of pathogenic bacteria is envisaged as an additional tool to the range of treatments already used by WWTPs to reduce pathogen numbers in sewage (Curtis 2003). Laboratory tests have shown that communities of *Salmonella* (Turki et al. 2012) and *E. coli* (Beheshti Maal et al. 2015) are negatively affected by specific phage treatment.

Activated sludge treatment plants quite frequently suffer from the presence of filamentous bacteria that cause bulking and foaming on the surfaces of aeration reactors. A clear phage-host association has been reported in activated sludge plants during these episodes (Liu et al. 2015). Therefore, the addition of specific

lytic phages should reduce the numbers of problematic bacteria. In laboratory-scale experiments, isolated phages that infect foam-causing bacteria have prevented foam stabilization (Petrovski et al. 2011, Liu et al. 2015).

Membrane-based treatments are increasingly being used in WWTPs, but their operation can be impaired by the formation of bacterial biofilms, which results in a loss of flow rate (Wu et al. 2017). Addressing this issue, treatments with lytic phages assayed in the laboratory have shown promising results in inhibiting bacterial fouling of membranes (Goldman et al. 2009, Bhattacharjee et al. 2015, Ayyaru et al. 2018).

However, although promising results have been obtained on a laboratory level, the application of phages in WWTPs in the real world is more complex, due to a number of shortcomings (Ji et al. 2020). These include narrow host specificity, the difficulty of isolating and producing suitable phages, the emergence of resistant hosts, non-specific adsorption, phage decay, and last, but not least, as already discussed, the potential role of phages in gene transfer. This approach to controlling undesirable bacteria in wastewater treatments is, however, still in its infancy and considerable research remains to be done to render the procedures applicable in real-life settings. The progress made in phage therapy for bacterial infections in human medicine and husbandry (Gordillo Altamirano and Barr 2019, Kortright et al. 2019) may help to resolve some of the present limitations.

## Conclusions

The aim of this review is to show the importance of bacteriophages in sewage and uncover their potential applications. Their great abundance and diversity in sewage mirrors the diversity observed in faeces and for the moment there is no information whether the water way has any role in shaping the gut virome and hence the gut microbiome. However, currently there are already some applications of gut phages. Thus, some of them like somatic coliphages and F-RNA phages are being used as faecal indicators together with bacterial indicators like *E. coli* and *Enterococci*. Since they have a similar persistence and environmental behaviour than animal viruses, they can act as a better proxy for them, allowing a better prediction of viral presence in water. In fact, some regulatory agencies have already included them in their regulations regarding water quality. For this reason, their use as microbial source trackers to determine the source of a pollution is also increasing, specially after the discovery of crAssphage as the most abundant bacteriophage in human faeces. Before its detection, just culture techniques were used to detect *Bacteroides* phages and molecular techniques as qPCR have also been developed to detect human and animal specific F-RNA phages. Also, the use of lytic phages in WWTPs can control the concentration of pathogenic bacteria or those impairing the treatment like bacteria causing bulking. However, currently these applications are still in laboratory stages. On the other hand, bacteriophages role in gene transfer as mobile genetic elements between bacteria like virulence related genes or antibiotic resistance genes has to be considered. In fact, sewage includes abundant autochthonous bacterial populations growing in sewage which could, by transduction, interchange these genes with bacteria of the human gut, including pathogens.

## Funding

This work has been partially supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Innovación y Ciencia (PID2020-11335GB-I00 and PID2019-

108957GA-I00) the Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI) the European regional fund (ERF), the Generalitat de Catalunya (2017SGR170) and the Union Iberoamericana de Universidades (UIU-OHOW). LR-R is a Serra Húnter Lecturer.

**Conflicts of interest statement.** The authors declare no conflict of interests.

## References

- Ahmed W, Lobos A, Senkbeil J et al. Evaluation of the novel crAssphage marker for sewage pollution tracking in storm drain outfalls in Tampa, Florida. *Water Res* 2018;**131**:142–50.
- Ahmed W, Payyappat S, Cassidy M et al. Novel crAssphage marker genes ascertain sewage pollution in a recreational lake receiving urban stormwater runoff. *Water Res* 2018;**145**:769–78.
- Allué-Guardia A, García-Aljaro C, Muniesa M. Bacteriophage-encoding cytolethal distending toxin type V gene induced from nonclinical *Escherichia coli* isolates. *Infect Immun* 2011;**79**:3262–72.
- Allué-Guardia A, Jofre J, Muniesa M. Stability and infectivity of cytolethal distending toxin type V gene-carrying bacteriophages in a water mesocosm and under different inactivation conditions. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2012;**78**:5818–23.
- Anand T, Bera BC, Vaid RK et al. Abundance of antibiotic resistance genes in environmental bacteriophages. *J Gen Virol* 2016;**97**:3458–66.
- Ayres P. Coliphages in sewage and the marine environment. *Soc Appl Bacteriol Symp* 1977:275–98.
- Ayyaru S, Choi J, Ahn Y-H. Biofouling reduction in a MBR by the application of a lytic phage on a modified nanocomposite membrane. *Environ Sci Water Res Technol* 2018;**4**:1624–38.
- Bailey ES, Price M, Casanova LM et al. *E. coli* CB390: An alternative *E. coli* host for simultaneous detection of somatic and F+ coliphage viruses in reclaimed and other waters. *J Virol Methods* 2017;**250**:25–8.
- Ballesté E, Blanch AR, Mendez J et al. Bacteriophages are good estimators of human viruses present in water. *Front Microbiol* 2021;**12**:1–9.
- Ballesté E, Pascual-Benito M, Martín-Díaz J et al. Dynamics of crAssphage as a human source tracking marker in potentially faecally polluted environments. *Water Res* 2019;**155**:233–44.
- Beekwilder J, Nieuwenhuizen R, Havelaar AH et al. An oligonucleotide hybridization assay for the identification and enumeration of F-specific RNA phages in surface water. *J Appl Bacteriol* 1996;**80**:179–86.
- Beheshti Maal K, Soleimani Delfan A, Salmanizadeh S. Isolation and identification of two novel *Escherichia coli* bacteriophages and their application in wastewater treatment and coliform's phage therapy. *Jundishapur J Microbiol* 2015;**8**:e14945.
- Bell RG. The limitation of the ratio of fecal coliforms to total coliphage as a water pollution index. *Water Res* 1976;**10**:745–8.
- Bernhard AE, Field KG. A PCR assay to discriminate human and ruminant feces on the basis of host differences in *Bacteroides-Prevotella* genes encoding 16S rRNA. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2000;**66**:4571–4.
- Bhattacharjee AS, Choi J, Motlagh AM et al. Bacteriophage therapy for membrane biofouling in membrane bioreactors and antibiotic-resistant bacterial biofilms. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2015;**112**:1644–54.
- Blanch AR, Belanche-Munoz L, Bonjoch X et al. Integrated analysis of established and novel microbial and chemical methods for microbial source tracking. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2006;**72**:5915–26.
- Blanch AR, Lucena F, Muniesa M et al. Fast and easy methods for the detection of coliphages. *J Microbiol Methods* 2020;**173**:105940.

- Bofill-Mas S, Albinana-Gimenez N, Clemente-Casares P et al. Quantification and stability of human Adenoviruses and Polyomavirus JCpV in wastewater matrices. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2006;**72**:7894–6.
- Bonilla N, Santiago T, Marcos P et al. Enterophages, a group of phages infecting *Enterococcus faecalis*, and their potential as alternate indicators of human faecal contamination. *Water Sci Technol* 2010;**61**:293–300.
- Brion G, Viswanathan C, Neelakantan TR et al. Artificial neural network prediction of viruses in shellfish. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2005;**71**:5244–53.
- Brown MR, Baptista JC, Lunn M et al. Coupled virus - bacteria interactions and ecosystem function in an engineered microbial system. *Water Res* 2019;**152**:264–73.
- Cai L, Ju F, Zhang T. Tracking human sewage microbiome in a municipal wastewater treatment plant. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2014;**98**:3317–26.
- Calero-Cáceres W, Melgarejo A, Colomer-Lluch M et al. Sludge as a potential important source of antibiotic resistance genes in both the bacterial and bacteriophage fractions. *Environ Sci Technol* 2014;**48**:7602–11.
- Calero-Cáceres W, Muniesa M. Persistence of naturally occurring antibiotic resistance genes in the bacteria and bacteriophage fractions of wastewater. *Water Res* 2016;**95**:11–8.
- Callanan J, Stockdale S, Adriaenssens E. et al. Rename one class (Leviviricetes-formerly Allassoviricetes), rename one order (Norzivirales-formerly Levivirales), create one new order (Timlovirales), and expand the class to a total of six families, 420 genera and 883 species. *ICTV TaxoProp* 2021.
- Camarillo-Guerrero LF, Almeida A, Rangel-Pineros G et al. Massive expansion of human gut bacteriophage diversity. *Cell* 2021;**184**:1098–1109.
- Canchaya C, Fournous G, Chibani-Chennoufi S et al. Phage as agents of lateral gene transfer. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2003;**6**:417–24.
- Cantalupo PG, Calgua B, Zhao G et al. Raw sewage harbors diverse viral populations. *MBio* 2011;**2**. DOI: 10.1128/mBio.00180-11.
- Carey-Smith G V, Billington C, Cornelius AJ et al. Isolation and characterization of bacteriophages infecting *Salmonella* spp. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2006;**258**:182–6.
- Chen J, Quiles-Puchalt N, Chiang YN et al. Genome hypermobility by lateral transduction. *Science* 2018;**362**:207–12.
- Cinek O, Mazankova K, Kramna L et al. Quantitative CrAssphage real-time PCR assay derived from data of multiple geographically distant populations. *J Med Virol* 2018;**90**:767–71.
- Colomer-Lluch M, Calero-Cáceres W, Jebri S et al. Antibiotic resistance genes in bacterial and bacteriophage fractions of Tunisian and Spanish wastewaters as markers to compare the antibiotic resistance patterns in each population. *Environ Int* 2014;**73**:167–75.
- Colomer-Lluch M, Imamovic L, Jofre J et al. Bacteriophages carrying antibiotic resistance genes in fecal waste from cattle, pigs, and poultry. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2011b;**55**:4908–11.
- Colomer-Lluch M, Jofre J, Muniesa M. Antibiotic resistance genes in the bacteriophage DNA fraction of environmental samples. *PLoS One* 2011a;**6**:e17549.
- Contreras-Coll N, Lucena F, Mooijman K et al. Occurrence and levels of indicator bacteriophages in bathing waters throughout Europe. *Water Res* 2002;**36**:4963–74.
- Crank K, Li X, North D et al. CrAssphage abundance and correlation with molecular viral markers in Italian wastewater. *Water Res* 2020;**184**:116161.
- Crippen CS, Jr MJR, Sanchez S et al. Multidrug resistant *Acinetobacter* isolates release resistance determinants through contact-dependent killing and bacteriophage lysis. *Front Microbiol* 2020;**11**:1918.
- Curtis T. 30 - Bacterial pathogen removal in wastewater treatment plants. In: Mara D, Horan NBT-H of W and WM (eds). London: Academic Press, 2003, 477–90.
- Darmon E, Leach DRF. Bacterial Genome Instability. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2014;**78**:1–39.
- Del Casale A, Flanagan P V, Larkin MJ et al. Analysis of transduction in wastewater bacterial populations by targeting the phage-derived 16S rRNA gene sequences. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2011;**76**:100–8.
- Del Casale A, Flanagan P V, Larkin MJ et al. Extent and variation of phage-borne bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences in wastewater environments. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2011;**77**:5529–32.
- Dhillon TS, Chan YS, Sun SM et al. Distribution of Coliphages in Hong Kong Sewage. *Appl Microbiol* 1970;**20**:187–91.
- Dumke R, Schröter-Bobsin U, Jacobs E et al. Detection of phages carrying the Shiga toxin 1 and 2 genes in waste water and river water samples. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2006;**42**:48–53.
- Dutilh BE, Cassman N, McNair K et al. A highly abundant bacteriophage discovered in the unknown sequences of human faecal metagenomes. *Nat Commun* 2014;**5**:4498.
- Ebdon J, Muniesa M, Taylor H. The application of a recently isolated strain of *Bacteroides* (GB-124) to identify human sources of faecal pollution in a temperate river catchment. *Water Res* 2007;**41**:3683–90.
- Edwards RA, Vega AA, Norman HM et al. Global phylogeography and ancient evolution of the widespread human gut virus crAssphage. *Nat Microbiol* 2019;**4**:1727–36.
- Essoh C, Latino L, Midoux C et al. Investigation of a large collection of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteriophages collected from a single environmental source in Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. *PLoS One* 2015;**10**:e0130548.
- Evans TJ, Crow MA, Williamson NR et al. Characterization of a broad-host-range flagellum-dependent phage that mediates high-efficiency generalized transduction in, and between, *Serratia* and *Pantoea*. *Microbiology* 2010;**156**:240–7.
- Ewert DL, Paynter MJ. Enumeration of bacteriophages and host bacteria in sewage and the activated-sludge treatment process. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1980;**39**:576–83.
- Farkas K, Adriaenssens EM, Walker DI et al. Critical evaluation of crAssphage as a molecular marker for human-derived wastewater contamination in the aquatic environment. *Food Environm Virol* 2019;**11**:113–9.
- Francki RIB, Fauquet CM, Knudson DL et al. The Viruses. In: Francki RIB, Fauquet CM, Knudson DL et al. (eds). *Classification and Nomenclature of Viruses: Fifth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses*. Vienna: Springer Vienna, 1991, 53–89.
- Friberg L, Hammarström E. The action of free available chlorine on bacteria and bacterial viruses. *Acta Pathologica Microbiologica Scandinavica* 1956;**38**:127–34.
- Friedman SD, Genthner FJ, Gentry J et al. Gene mapping and phylogenetic analysis of the complete genome from 30 single-stranded RNA male-specific coliphages (family Leviviridae). *J Virol* 2009;**83**:11233–43.
- Frost LS, Leplae R, Summers AO et al. Mobile genetic elements: the agents of open source evolution. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2005;**3**:722–32.
- Fuhrman JA, Schwalbach M. Viral influence on aquatic bacterial communities. *Biol Bull* 2003;**204**:192–5.
- Fulsundar S, Harms K, Flaten GE et al. Gene transfer potential of outer membrane vesicles of *Acinetobacter baylyi* and effects of stress on vesiculation. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2014;**80**:3469–83.
- Furuse K. Distribution of coliphages in the environment: general considerations. *Phage Ecol* 1987:87–124.





- Kenzaka T, Tani K, Nasu M. High-frequency phage-mediated gene transfer in freshwater environments determined at single-cell level. *ISME J* 2010;**4**:648–59.
- Kongprajug A, Mongkolsuk S, Sirikanchana K. CrAssphage as a potential human sewage marker for microbial source tracking in Southeast Asia. *Environ Sci Technol Lett* 2019;**6**:159–64.
- Kortright KE, Chan BK, Koff JL et al. Phage Therapy: A renewed approach to combat antibiotic-resistant bacteria. *Cell Host & Microbe* 2019;**25**:219–32.
- Kott Y, Roze N, Sperber S et al. Bacteriophages as viral pollution indicators. *Water Res* 1974;**8**:165–71.
- Lasobras J, Muniesa M, Frías J et al. Relationship between the morphology of bacteriophages and their persistence in the environment. *Water Sci Technol* 1997;**35**:129–32.
- Leclerc H, Edberg S, Pierzo V et al. Bacteriophages as indicators of enteric viruses and public health risk in groundwaters. *J Appl Microbiol* 2000;**88**:5–21.
- Lekunberri I, Subirats J, Borrego CM et al. Exploring the contribution of bacteriophages to antibiotic resistance. *Environ Pollut* 2017;**220**:981–4.
- Letarov A, Kulikov E. The bacteriophages in human- and animal body-associated microbial communities. *J Appl Microbiol* 2009;**107**:1–13.
- Liu M, Gill JJ, Young R et al. Bacteriophages of wastewater foaming-associated filamentous *Gordonia* reduce host levels in raw activated sludge. *Sci Rep* 2015;**5**:13754.
- Liu R, Qi R, Wang J et al. Phage-host associations in a full-scale activated sludge plant during sludge bulking. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2017;**101**:6495–504.
- Lodder WJ, van den Berg HHJL, Rutjes SA et al. Presence of enteric viruses in source waters for drinking water production in the Netherlands. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2010;**76**:5965–71.
- Long SC, Sobsey MD. A comparison of the survival of F+RNA and F+DNA coliphages in lake water microcosms. *J Water Health* 2004;**2**:15–22.
- Malakoff D. Microbiologists on the trail of polluting bacteria. *Science* 2002;**295**:2352–3.
- Malla B, Ghaju Shrestha R, Tandukar S et al. Performance evaluation of human-specific viral markers and application of Pepper Mild Mottle Virus and crAssphage to environmental water samples as fecal pollution markers in the Kathmandu Valley, Nepal. *Food Environ Virol* 2019. DOI: 10.1007/s12560-019-09389-x.
- Manrique P, Dills M, Young MJ. The human gut phage community and its implications for health and disease. *Viruses* 2017;**9**:141. DOI: 10.3390/v9060141.
- Marti E, Variatza E, Balcázar JL. Bacteriophages as a reservoir of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase and fluoroquinolone resistance genes in the environment. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2014;**20**:O456–9.
- Mieszkis S, Furet JP, Corthier G et al. Estimation of pig fecal contamination in a river catchment by real-time PCR using two pig-specific *Bacteroides* 16S rRNA genetic markers. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2009;**75**:3045–54.
- Mills S, Shanahan F, Stanton C et al. Movers and shakers. *Gut Microbes* 2013;**4**:16–4.
- Minot S, Bryson A, Chehoud C et al. Rapid evolution of the human gut virome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2013;**110**:12450–5.
- Montemayor M, Costan A, Lucena F et al. The combined performance of UV light and chlorine during reclaimed water disinfection. *Water Sci Technol* 2008;**57**:935–40.
- Mooijman KA, Bahar M, Contreras N et al. Optimisation of the ISO-method on enumeration of somatic coliphages (draft ISO 10705-2). *Water Sci Technol* 2001;**43**:205–8.
- Muniesa M, Colomer-Lluch M, Jofre J. Could bacteriophages transfer antibiotic resistance genes from environmental bacteria to human-body associated bacterial populations? *Mobile Genetic Elements* 2013;**3**:e25847.
- Muniesa M, García A, Miró E et al. Bacteriophages and diffusion of beta-lactamase genes. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2004b;**10**:1134–7.
- Muniesa M, Imamovic L, Jofre J. Bacteriophages and genetic mobilization in sewage and faecally polluted environments. *Microb Biotechnol* 2011;**4**:725–34.
- Muniesa M, Jofre J. Abundance in sewage of bacteriophages that infect *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and that carry the Shiga toxin 2 gene. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1998;**64**:2443–8.
- Muniesa M, Lucena F, Blanch AR et al. Use of abundance ratios of somatic coliphages and bacteriophages of *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* GA17 for microbial source identification. *Water Res* 2012;**46**:6410–8.
- Muniesa M, Lucena F, Jofre J. Comparative Survival of Free Shiga Toxin 2-Encoding Phages and *Escherichia coli* Strains outside the Gut. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1999;**65**:5615–8.
- Muniesa M, Mocé-Llivina L, Katayama H et al. Bacterial host strains that support replication of somatic coliphages. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 2003;**83**:305–15.
- Muniesa M, Payan A, Moce-Llivina L et al. Differential persistence of F-specific RNA phage subgroups hinders their use as single tracers for faecal source tracking in surface water. *Water Res* 2009;**43**:1559–64.
- Muniesa M, Serra-Moreno R, Jofre J. Free Shiga toxin bacteriophages isolated from sewage showed diversity although the stx genes appeared conserved. *Environ Microbiol* 2004a;**6**:716–25.
- Nappier SP, Hong T, Ichida A et al. Occurrence of coliphage in raw wastewater and in ambient water: A meta-analysis. *Water Res* 2019;**153**:263–73.
- Norman JM, Handley SA, Baldridge MT et al. Disease-specific alterations in the enteric virome in inflammatory bowel disease. *Cell* 2015;**160**:447–60.
- Ogilvie LA, Caplin J, Dedi C et al. Comparative (meta)genomic analysis and ecological profiling of human gut-specific bacteriophage  $\phi$ B124-14. Badger JH (ed). *PLoS One* 2012;**7**:e35053.
- Ogorzaly L, Gantzer C. Development of real-time RT-PCR methods for specific detection of F-specific RNA bacteriophage genogroups: application to urban raw wastewater. *J Virol Methods* 2006;**138**:131–9.
- Otawa K, Lee SH, Yamazoe A et al. Abundance, diversity, and dynamics of viruses on microorganisms in activated sludge processes. *Microb Ecol* 2007;**53**:143–52.
- Parsley LC, Consuegra EJ, Thomas SJ et al. Census of the viral metagenome within an activated sludge microbial assemblage. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2010;**76**:2673–7.
- Payan A, Ebdon J, Taylor H et al. Method for isolation of *Bacteroides* bacteriophage host strains suitable for tracking sources of fecal pollution in water. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2005;**71**:5659–62.
- Petrovski S, Seviour RJ, Tillett D. Prevention of *Gordonia* and *Nocardia* stabilized foam formation by using bacteriophage GTE7. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2011;**77**:7864–7.
- Purnell SE, Ebdon JE, Taylor HD. Bacteriophage lysis of *Enterococcus* host strains: a tool for microbial source tracking? *Environ Sci Technol* 2011;**45**:10699–705.
- Reyes A, Semenov NP, Whiteson K et al. Going viral: next-generation sequencing applied to phage populations in the human gut. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2012;**10**:607–17.
- Rezaeinejad S, Vergara GGRV, Woo CH et al. Surveillance of enteric viruses and coliphages in a tropical urban catchment. *Water Res* 2014;**58**:122–31.

- Rodriguez-Valera F, Martin-Cuadrado A-B, Rodriguez-Brito B et al. Explaining microbial population genomics through phage predation. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2009;**7**:828–36.
- Rosario K, Nilsson C, Lim YW et al. Metagenomic analysis of viruses in reclaimed water. *Environ Microbiol* 2009;**11**:2806–20.
- Rose JB, Levine A et al. *Reduction of Pathogens, Indicator Bacteria, and Alternative Indicators by Wastewater Treatment and Reclamation Processes*. WERF Report: Water for Reuse (00-PUM-2T). London, UK: International Water Association Publishing, 2004.
- Ross J, Topp E, Schaffner DW. Abundance of antibiotic resistance genes in bacteriophage following soil fertilization with dairy manure or municipal biosolids, and evidence for potential transduction. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2015;**81**:7905–13.
- Scanlan PD. Bacteria–bacteriophage coevolution in the human gut: implications for microbial diversity and functionality. *Trends Microbiol* 2017;**25**:614–23.
- Schaper M, Duran AE, Jofre J. Comparative resistance of phage isolates of four genotypes of F-specific RNA bacteriophages to various inactivation processes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2002;**68**:3702–7.
- Schaper M, Jofre J, Uys M et al. Distribution of genotypes of F-specific RNA bacteriophages in human and non-human sources of faecal pollution in South Africa and Spain. *J Appl Microbiol* 2002;**92**:657–67. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2672.2002.01600.x.
- Seeley ND, Primrose SB. The effect of temperature on the ecology of aquatic bacteriophages. *J Gen Virol* 1980;**46**:87–95.
- Shanks OC, Atikovic E, Blackwood AD et al. Quantitative PCR for detection and enumeration of genetic markers of bovine fecal pollution. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2008;**74**:745–52.
- Shkoporov AN, Khokhlova E V, Fitzgerald CB et al.  $\Phi$ CrAss001 represents the most abundant bacteriophage family in the human gut and infects *Bacteroides intestinalis*. *Nat Commun* 2018;**9**:4781.
- Siefert JL. Defining the Mobilome. In: Gogarten MB, Gogarten JP, Olendzenski LC (eds). *Horizontal Gene Transfer: Genomes in Flux*. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press, 2009, 13–27.
- Simková A, Cervenka J. Coliphages as ecological indicators of enteroviruses in various water systems. *Bull World Health Organ* 1981;**59**:611–8.
- Stachler E, Bibby K. Metagenomic evaluation of the highly abundant human gut bacteriophage crAssphage for source tracking of human fecal pollution. *Environ Sci Technol Lett* 2014;**1**:405–9.
- Stachler E, Kely C, Sivaganesan M et al. Quantitative crAssphage PCR assays for human fecal pollution measurement. *Environ Sci Technol* 2017;**51**:9146–54.
- Stetler RE. Coliphages as indicators of enteroviruses. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1984;**48**:668–70.
- Subirats J, Sánchez-Melsió A, Borrego CM et al. Metagenomic analysis reveals that bacteriophages are reservoirs of antibiotic resistance genes. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2016;**48**:163–7.
- Synnott AJ, Kuang Y, Kurimoto M et al. Isolation from sewage influent and characterization of novel *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteriophages with wide host ranges and potent lytic capabilities. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2009;**75**:4483–90.
- Tamaki H, Zhang R, Angly FE et al. Metagenomic analysis of DNA viruses in a wastewater treatment plant in tropical climate. *Environ Microbiol* 2012;**14**:441–52.
- Tanji Y, Mizoguchi K, Akitsu T et al. Fate of coliphage in waste water treatment process and detection of phages carrying the Shiga toxin type 2 gene. *Water Sci Technol* 2002;**46**:285–9.
- Tartera C, Jofre J. Bacteriophages active against *Bacteroides fragilis* in sewage-polluted waters. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1987;**53**:1632–7.
- Tartera C, Lucena F, Jofre J. Human origin of *Bacteroides fragilis* bacteriophages present in the environment. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1989;**55**:2696–701.
- Turki Y, Ouzari H, Mehri I et al. Evaluation of a cocktail of three bacteriophages for the biocontrol of *Salmonella* of wastewater. *Food Res Int* 2012;**45**:1099–105.
- US EPA. Method 1601: Male-Specific (F+) and Somatic Coliphage in Water by Two-Step Enrichment Procedure April 2001. EPA Document 821-R-01-030. 2001a.
- US EPA. Method 1602: Male-Specific (F+) and Somatic Coliphage in Water by Single Agar Layer (SAL) Procedure. EPA 821-R-01-029. 2001b.
- US EPA. Method 1642: Male-Specific (F+) and Somatic Coliphage in Recreational Waters and Wastewater by Ultrafiltration (UF) and Single Agar Layer (SAL) Procedure. EPA 820-R-18-001. 2018a.
- US EPA. Method 1643: Male-Specific (F+) and Somatic Coliphage in Secondary (No Disinfection) Wastewater by the Single Agar Layer (SAL) Procedure. EPA 820-R-18-003. 2018b.
- Vaughn JM, Metcalf TG. Coliphages as indicators of enteric viruses in shellfish and shellfish raising estuarine waters. *Water Res* 1975;**9**:613–6.
- Vaughn JM, Ryther JH. Bacteriophage survival patterns in a tertiary sewage treatment Aquaculture model system. *Aquaculture* 1974;**4**:399–406.
- Venegas C, Diez H, Blanch AR et al. Microbial source markers assessment in the Bogotá River basin (Colombia). *J Water Health* 2015;**13**:801–10.
- Vijayavel K, Byappanahalli MN, Ebdon J et al. Enterococcus phages as potential tool for identifying sewage inputs in the Great Lakes region. *J Great Lakes Res* 2014;**40**:989–93.
- Vos M, Hesselman MC, te Beek TA et al. Rates of lateral gene transfer in prokaryotes: high but why? *Trends Microbiol* 2015;**23**:598–605.
- Wangkahad B, Bosup S, Mongkolsuk S et al. Occurrence of bacteriophages infecting *Aeromonas*, *Enterobacter*, and *Klebsiella* in water and association with contamination sources in Thailand. *J Water Health* 2015;**13**:613–24.
- Wangkahad B, Mongkolsuk S, Sirikanchana K. Integrated multivariate analysis with nondetects for the development of human sewage source-tracking tools using bacteriophages of *Enterococcus faecalis*. *Environ Sci Technol* 2017;**51**:2235–45.
- Weber-Schutt G. Experimental studies with bacteriophages in sewage purification plants under special consideration of hygienic aspects. *Zentralblatt für Bakteriologie Parasitenkunde, Infekt und Hyg* 1966;**200**:212–34.
- Weinbauer MG. Ecology of prokaryotic viruses. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2004;**28**:127–81.
- Weitz JS, Stock CA, Wilhelm SW et al. A multitrophic model to quantify the effects of marine viruses on microbial food webs and ecosystem processes. *ISME J* 2015;**9**:1352–64.
- Wolf S, Hewitt J, Rivera-Aban M et al. Detection and characterization of F+ RNA bacteriophages in water and shellfish: application of a multiplex real-time reverse transcription PCR. *J Virol Methods* 2008;**149**:123–8.
- World Health Organization. *Potable Reuse: Guidance for Producing Safe Drinking-Water*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017.
- Wu B, Wang R, Fane AG. The roles of bacteriophages in membrane-based water and wastewater treatment processes: A review. *Water Res* 2017;**110**:120–32.
- Wu J, Long SC, Das D et al. Are microbial indicators and pathogens correlated? A statistical analysis of 40 years of research. *J Water Health* 2011;**9**:265–78.

- Wu Q, Liu W-T. Determination of virus abundance, diversity and distribution in a municipal wastewater treatment plant. *Water Res* 2009;**43**:1101–9.
- Wu Z, Greaves J, Arp L et al. Comparative fate of CrAssphage with culturable and molecular fecal pollution indicators during activated sludge wastewater treatment. *Environ Int* 2020;**136**:105452.
- Yahya M, Hmaied F, Jebri S et al. Bacteriophages as indicators of human and animal faecal contamination in raw and treated wastewaters from Tunisia. *J Appl Microbiol* 2015;**118**:1217–25.
- Ye L, Zhang T. Bacterial communities in different sections of a municipal wastewater treatment plant revealed by 16S rDNA 454 pyrosequencing. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2013;**97**:2681–90.