

Original Research Paper

Efficacy and safety of dimethyl fumarate in treatment-naïve Japanese patients with multiple sclerosis: Interim analysis of the randomized placebo-controlled study

Masahiro Mori **D**[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9258-184X) Takashi Ohashi, Yasuhiro Onizuka **D**, Katsutoshi Hiramatsu, Masakazu Hase, Jang Yun, André Matta and Shinichi Torii

Abstract

Background: The use of dimethyl fumarate has not been reported in treatment-naïve Japanese patients with relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis.

Objectives: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of dimethyl fumarate in treatment-naïve Japanese patients with relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis.

Methods: APEX was a phase 3, multinational trial, which consisted of a 24-week, randomized (1:1), double-blind study where patients received dimethyl fumarate 240 mg or placebo twice daily, followed by an open-label extension where all patients received dimethyl fumarate 240 mg. The primary endpoints were the total number of new gadolinium-enhancing $(Gd+)$ lesions in Weeks 12–24 (Part I) and long-term safety (Part II). This post-hoc subgroup analysis evaluated the efficacy and safety of dimethyl fumarate in treatment-naïve Japanese patients with relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis $(n=52)$ up to Week 72 (24 weeks Part I and 48 weeks Part II).

Results: Dimethyl fumarate reduced the mean total number of new gadolinium-enhancing lesions at Weeks 12–24 by 94% versus placebo; the number of patients who had a relapse over 24 weeks was reduced by 72%. Adverse events leading to discontinuation of the study drug were reported in 9% of patients receiving placebo/dimethyl fumarate and 4% of patients in dimethyl fumarate/dimethyl fumarate.

Conclusions: Dimethyl fumarate demonstrated sustained efficacy and acceptable tolerability in treatment-naïve Japanese patients with relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis for 72 weeks.

Keywords: Dimethyl fumarate, multiple sclerosis, randomized controlled, Japanese, treatment-naïve

Date received: 28 September 2018; Revised received 26 March 2019; accepted: 1 April 2019

Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the central nervous system (CNS) with an estimated prevalence of 30 per 100,000 persons.¹ MS is characterized by auto-immune lymphocytic infiltration of the blood-brain barrier and oxidative stress causing demyelination.^{2,3} These adjustments manifest as episodes of neurological dysfunction, followed by recovery. Relapsing–remitting MS (RRMS) accounts for approximately 80–85% of MS cases at diagnosis.⁴ In the later stages of MS, extensive neuronal loss and gliosis are evident resulting in neurodegeneration and reduced recovery.⁵ Therefore, the initiation of disease-modifying drugs (DMDs) early in the disease course could slow the accumulation of neurological damage and may contribute to clinically significant results in delayed disease progression.⁶

Multiple Sclerosis Journal— Experimental, Translational and Clinical

April-June 2019, 1–11

[DOI: 10.1177/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2055217319852727) [2055217319852727](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2055217319852727)

 \odot The Author(s), 2019. Article reuse guidelines: [sagepub.com/journals](http://uk.sagepub.com/en-gb/journals-permissions)[permissions](http://uk.sagepub.com/en-gb/journals-permissions)

Correspondence to: Masahiro Mori, Department of Neurology, Graduate School of Medicine, Chiba University, 1-8-1, Inohana, Chuo-ku, Chiba-shi, Chiba, 260-8670 Japan.

morim@faculty.chiba-u.jp

Masahiro Mori, Department of Neurology, Chiba University, Japan

Takashi Ohashi,

Department of Neurology, Tokyo Women's Medical University Yachiyo Medical Center, Japan

Yasuhiro Onizuka, Katsutoshi Hiramatsu, Masakazu Hase, Biogen Japan Ltd, Japan

Jang Yun, André Matta Biogen Inc., USA

Shinichi Torii, Biogen Japan Ltd, Japan In Japan, DMDs currently available include subcutaneous or intramuscularly administered selfinjections of interferon (IFN)- β and glatiramer acetate, intravenous natalizumab, and oral fingolimod and dimethyl fumarate (DMF). DMF has anti-inflammatory, cytoprotective and immunomodulating properties that appear to be mediated through activation of the nuclear factor (erythroidderived 2)-like 2 (Nrf2) and the hydroxycarboxylic acid receptor 2 $(HCAR2)$.^{7,8} DMF has been approved in the USA and EU for the treatment of RRMS, including use as first-line therapy; 9 approval in Japan was granted for MS in December 2016.¹⁰ Two phase 3, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multinational studies (DEFINE and CONFIRM), have assessed the efficacy and safety of DMF compared with placebo; individual results and the corresponding integrated analysis of these studies demonstrated a significant reduction in relapse rates and improved measures of disease progression, including the number of gadolinium-enhancing $(Gd+)$ and T2 hyperintense lesions with DMF compared with placebo.^{11–13} Furthermore, in a five-year interim analysis of ENDORSE (a long-term extension of DEFINE/CONFIRM), DMF demonstrated an acceptable safety profile and the incidence of adverse events (AEs), serious AEs, and discontinuations due to AEs in patients who continued DMF was similar among those receiving DMF/DMF and placebo/DMF.14

This interim subgroup analysis aimed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of DMF for up to 72 weeks in treatment-naïve Japanese patients with RRMS enrolled in the APEX study which consisted of an initial 24-week randomized, double-blind and placebo-controlled trial (Part I) and an open-label extension (Part II).

Materials and methods

Study design and participants

APEX (ClinicalTrials.gov record: NCT01838668) was a phase 3, two-part study, conducted in 54 locations in Japan, South Korea, Taiwan, Czech Republic and Poland. Patients in Part I were randomized (1:1) to receive 24 weeks of either oral DMF 240 mg or placebo twice daily. Treatment was titrated in Part I of this study, with patients initiating treatment with one capsule (DMF 120 mg or placebo) twice daily. After eight days, patients received two capsules twice daily. Part II was an open-label extension phase to determine the safety profile of DMF in patients with RRMS who completed Part I

(Supplemental Material Figure S1), the cut-off date for this interim analysis was 29 April 2016. Patients who received DMF in Part I followed by DMF in Part II were classified as DMF/DMF; those who received placebo in Part I and DMF in Part II were known as placebo/DMF.

Part I of the study included patients aged 18– 55 years old with a diagnosis of RRMS according to the revised McDonald criteria,¹⁶ who had an Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) score of 0.0–5.0 and had experienced at least one relapse during the 12 months prior to randomization or had a Gd lesion within six weeks prior to randomization. The main exclusion criteria were primary or secondary progressive or progressive relapsing MS,¹⁷ diagnosis or history of neuromyelitis optica. Only treatment-naïve Japanese patients with RRMS were included in this interim subgroup analysis.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) measurements of the brain (with and without Gd +) were conducted at baseline and every four weeks during the treatment period. Magnetic resonance (MR) images were not performed within 28 days after a course of steroids to minimize any confounding effects of corticosteroids on Gd+ lesions. Validation of MRI capabilities and personnel were carried out by a central MRI reading center (NeuroRx Research) to ensure quality and consistency. MRI technicians at study sites and the central MRI reading center were blinded to patients' treatment assignments.

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and complied with guidelines and regulations (local and international). The study protocol was approved by the ethics committees of the participating institutions. All participants provided written consent before any evaluations or procedures were carried out.

Outcomes

This analysis was undertaken using the same endpoints as the APEX study, as such, the primary endpoint of Part I of this analysis was the total number of new Gd+ lesions on MRI scans at Weeks 12, 16, 20, and 24, compared with placebo. Secondary endpoints of this analysis were the cumulative number of new $Gd+$ lesions from baseline to Week 24 and the number of new or newly enlarging T2 hyperintense lesions at Week 24. Additional exploratory endpoints included the total number of new T1 hypointense lesions at Week 24, as well as clinical endpoints, such as EDSS and annualized

relapse rate (ARR), which was defined as the total number of relapses in each treatment group divided by the total number of days on treatment for the group, and the ratio multiplied by 365. In Part II, patients undertook MRI scans at Week 48 and at each yearly visit. The total number of new $Gd+$ lesions, number of new or newly enlarging T2 hyperintense lesions and the number of new T1 hypointense lesions were evaluated. EDSS was evaluated at Weeks 36, 48, and every 24 weeks thereafter. The cut-off for this interim analysis was at Week 72.

Assessments of safety included reported AEs, physical and neurological examination, and laboratory tests. These assessments were carried out every four weeks in Part I of the study, except physical and neurological examinations, which were conducted every 12 weeks. In Part II, neurological examinations were carried out every four weeks until 48 weeks; physical examination and hematology were conducted every 12 weeks. After that, all assessments were carried out every 12 weeks until Week 72. Safety endpoints included incidences of AEs, serious adverse events (SAEs) and changes in clinical laboratory parameters. Lymphocyte counts were defined by the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events Code (CTCAE) version 4.0 as Grade 0 (\geq 910/mm³), Grade 1 (\geq 800 to $\langle 910/nm^3 \rangle$, Grade 2 (≥ 500 to $\langle 800/nm^3 \rangle$, Grade $3 \text{ } (\geq 200 \text{ to } < 500/\text{mm}^3)$ and Grade 4 ($< 200/\text{mm}^3$). The upper limit of normal (ULN) of aspartate aminotransferase (AST) was defined as 34 and 36 U/L for females and males, respectively, the ULN of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) was 34 and 43 U/L for females and males; severe elevation of AST and ALT was defined as $>10\times$ ULN.

Statistical analysis

The total (cumulative) number of new $Gd+$ lesions at Week 12, 16, 20, and 24, the number of $Gd+$ lesions at Week 24, new or newly enlarging T2 hyperintense and T1 hypointense lesions were summarized using descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation (SD), median, and range) for each treatment group. The total number of $Gd+$ lesions at Weeks 12 to 24 was analyzed by negative binominal regression, which was also used to analyze ARR over 24 weeks. The proportion of patients who relapsed was estimated as the cumulative probability of relapses from the Kaplan-Meier curve of the time to the first relapse during the study. Hazard ratios (HRs) were based on Cox proportional hazards model, adjusted for baseline EDSS (\leq 2.0 vs $>$ 2.0),

baseline age (\leq 40 vs \geq 40) and number of relapses in the one year prior to study entry.

Results

From the APEX study population, this post-hoc analysis was conducted on treatment-naïve Japanese patients with RRMS treated with placebo $(n=27)$ and DMF $(n=25)$. Baseline characteristics of the study population were relatively well matched between treatment groups; however, patients in the DMF group tended to have less $Gd+$ lesions and lower T2 lesion volume and a longer disease duration at baseline (Table 1). During Part I, five patients in the placebo group and two patients from the DMF group discontinued treatment prematurely due to AEs and consent withdrawal; all remaining patients entered Part II (placebo/DMF: $n=22$; DMF/ DMF: $n=23$).

Efficacy

In Part I, DMF reduced the mean total number of new Gd+ lesions in Weeks $12-24$ by 94% (95%) confidence interval (CI): 78.8 to 98.5), compared with placebo (0.16 vs 2.82 total new $Gd+$ lesions, respectively; Table 2, Figure 1(a)). The onset of effect on new $Gd+$ lesions was apparent as early as four weeks after initiating treatment (0.20 vs 0.81; $p=0.0148$; Figure 2). DMF also reduced the mean total number of new/newly enlarging T2 hyperintense lesions at Week 24 by 70% (95% CI: 42.1 to 84.1; 0.84 vs 2.76, respectively; Table 2). The clinical endpoints were also improved with the risk of relapse up to Week 24 reduced by 72% (95% CI: 20.7 to 90.2; 6 vs 15 patients relapsed; Table 2) and an overall reduction in adjusted ARR of 62% (95% CI:–1.0 to 85.8; 0.55 vs 1.44; Table 2, Figure 1(b)), compared with placebo.

In Part I/II (72 weeks), unadjusted ARR reduced probability of relapse in the both group(DMF/DMF and placebo/DMF) (Figure $3(a)$). The number of $Gd+$ lesions was also decreased in the placebo/ DMF treatment group and maintained in the DMF/ DMF treatment group (Figure 3(b)).

The risk of relapse was reduced by 72% with DMF compared with placebo (HR 0.28; 95% CI: 0.10 to 0.79) in Part I (24 weeks). As shown in the Kaplan-Meier curve, the probability of relapse was lower with DMF from as early as Week 8 (Figure 4(a)). After Week 24 (Part II), the probability of relapse increased slightly in both groups and the estimated proportion of patients with relapses at Week 72 was 0.605 (placebo/DMF) and 0.347 (DMF/DMF).

	Part I		Part II	
	Placebo $(n=27)$	DMF $(n=25)$	Placebo/DMF $(n=22)$	DMF/DMF $(n=23)$
Age (years)	35.6 ± 7.6	37.8 ± 9.0	34.8 ± 7.8	38.0 ± 9.3
Female, n $(\%)$	22(81)	20(80)	18(82)	18(78)
BMI (kg/m^2)	21.3 ± 3.0	21.3 ± 3.5	21.4 ± 3.2	21.4 ± 3.6
Years from onset of MS (years)	1.8 ± 3.5	3.6 ± 5.7		
Relapses in prior year	1.3 ± 0.5	1.4 ± 0.6		
Relapses in last 3 years	1.9 ± 1.2	2.2 ± 0.9		
Time since last relapse (months)	$7.3 + 9.9$	4.9 ± 2.9		
EDSS score	1.4 ± 1.0	1.3 ± 1.1	1.3 ± 1.1	1.5 ± 1.3
Number of Gd+ lesions	1.4 ± 3.5	$0.8 + 1.5$	$0.3 \pm 0.7*$	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{\dagger}$
Number of Gd+ lesions, n (%)				
$\overline{0}$	15(56)	17(68)		
$1 - 4$	11(41)	6(24)		
$5 - 8$	θ	2(8)		
≥ 9	1(4)	θ		
T2 lesion volume $(cm3)$	3.9 ± 4.0^a	2.8 ± 3.3^{b}	3.2 ± 3.4^a	2.7 ± 3.6^b
T2 lesion volume, n (%)				
0 cm^3	θ	1(4)		
$>0-0.907$ cm ³	6(22)	7(28)		
$>0.907-1.9855$ cm ³	5(19)	7(28)		
$>1.9855-4.813$ cm ³	7(26)	6(24)		
$>4.813-16.054$ cm ³	8(30)	4(16)		
Unknown	1(4)	θ		
T1 hypointense lesion volume cm^3)	0.7 ± 0.8 °	$0.9 + 1.6$		
T1 hypointense lesion volume, n (%)				
0 cm^3	2(7)	3(12)		
$>0-0.1$ cm ³	7(26)	5(20)		
$>0.1 - 0.4145$ cm ³	6(22)	5(20)		
$>0.4145 - 1.242$ cm ³	4(15)	8(32)		
$>1.242 - 6.547$ cm ³	7(26)	4(16)		
Unknown	1(4)	$\overline{0}$		

Table 1. Baseline demographic characteristics of treatment-naïve Japanese patients enrolled in APEX.

BMI: body mass index; DMF: dimethyl fumarate; EDSS: expanded disability status scale; Gd+: gadoliniumenhancing; MS: multiple sclerosis; SD: standard deviation.

Values are reported as mean \pm standard deviation unless otherwise stated.

 $n=18$; $\frac{b}{n}=19$; $\frac{c}{n}=26$.

Figure 4(b) shows the mean of EDSS score during the course of the study. Statistical analysis was not performed.

Safety

The incidence of AEs was 86% (19/22) in the placebo/DMF group and 91% (21/23) in the DMF/DMF group while the incidence of SAEs was 18% (4/22) and 9% (2/23), respectively (Table 3). The rate of discontinuation of study drug due to an AE was 9% $(2/22)$ in the placebo/DMF group and 4% $(1/23)$ in the DMF/DMF group. Reasons for treatment discontinuation included abdominal pain and increased ALT/AST for patients in the placebo/ DMF group and MS relapse for one patient in the DMF/DMF group. Common AEs included nasopharyngitis (59% (13/22) in the placebo/DMF group and 57% (13/23) in DMF/DMF group) and MS relapse (18% (4/22) and 30% (7/23), respectively). In the placebo/DMF group, MS relapse, abdominal pain upper and pruritus (18% (4/22) each), and abdominal pain and vomiting (14% (3/22) each) occurred. Rash $(22\% (5/23))$ and pruritus $(13\% (3/23))$ occurred most frequently in the DMF/DMF group.

Table 2. Summary of MRI and clinical endpoints in treatment-naïve Japanese patients with relapsing– remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS) over 24 weeks.

APR: adjusted percentage reduction; ARR: annualized relapse rate; CI: confidence interval; DMF: dimethyl fumarate; $Gd+$: gadolinium-enhancing.

^aOne patient experienced relapse twice.

Clinical laboratory testing found 18% (4/22) of patients in the placebo/DMF group and 26% (6/ 23) of patients in the DMF/DMF group experienced Grade 1 or Grade 2 lymphocyte count reduction; none experienced a severe reduction in lymphocyte count (Table 4). In Part I of the study, two patients in the DMF group had AST and ALT elevation $>10\times$ ULN (one patient each) and one patient in the placebo/DMF in Part II had an ALT elevation $>10\times$ ULN (Table 4).

Discussion

This interim analysis of APEX evaluated the efficacy and safety of DMF in treatment-naïve Japanese patients with RRMS. The results demonstrated sustained efficacy of DMF on MRI lesions $(Gd +$ and T2) and clinical endpoints, assessed by the number of relapses throughout 72 weeks. Furthermore, the

study indicated that DMF was well tolerated in this patient population.

In Part I of the study, DMF reduced total numbers of new Gd+ lesions at Weeks $12-24$ (94%) and new or newly enlarging T2 hyperintense lesions at Week 24 (70%). The onset of effect on new Gd+ lesions was apparent as early as four weeks. Adjusted ARR and the risk of relapse were also reduced, by 62% (95% CI: –1.0 to 85.8) and 72% versus placebo at Week 24, respectively. The efficacy of DMF in treatment-naïve Japanese patients with RRMS observed in this subgroup analysis seemed to be comparable with prior pivotal studies. In a global phase 2b trial, patients receiving DMF 240 mg three times daily had a 69% reduction of Gd+ lesions, 48% reduction of T2 hyperintense lesions and 32% reduction in ARR at Week 24 compared with those receiving

Figure 1. (a) The total number of new gadolinium-enhancing $(Gd+)$ lesions in treatment-naïve Japanese patients with RRMS treated with placebo and dimethyl fumarate 240 mg twice daily at Weeks 12–24. (b) Adjusted ARR for each treatment group. Mean \pm 95% CI.

ARR, annualized relapse rate; CI, confidence interval; DMF, dimethyl fumarate.

Figure 2. Total number of new gadolinium-enhancing $(Gd+)$ lesions by study visit in treatment-naı̈ve Japanese patients with RRMS treated with placebo and dimethyl fumarate (DMF) 240 mg twice daily. CI: confidence interval.

placebo.¹⁸ Like our analysis, a subgroup analysis of the phase 2b trial showed that the Gd + response was apparent early after initiating treatment, with a significant response observe at 12 weeks $(p = 0.007)$.¹⁹ Similarly, a subgroup analysis of newly diagnosed patients in the integrated data from DEFINE and CONFIRM studies reduced Gd+ and T2 hyperintense lesions by 92% and 80%, respectively. ARR and risk of relapse were also reduced by 56% and 54% , respectively.²⁰

Figure 3. (a) Unadjusted annualized relapse rate (ARR) for Parts I (0–24 weeks) and II (24–72 weeks) of APEX, and (b) the mean total number of Gd+ lesions at Week 24 and 48 for placebo/dimethyl fumarate (DMF) and DMF/DMF treatment groups.

The effect of DMF in this subgroup analysis of treatment-naïve Japanese population was also numerically larger than those seen in the overall Japanese population. The reduction of the total number of new Gd+ lesions at Weeks 12–24 was 85% (95%) CI: 69.5 to 92.9) and the reduction in new or newly enlarging T2 hyperintense lesions was 63% in the total Japanese population. There was fewer treatment-naïve Japanese patients who experienced a relapse compared with the total Japanese population where the proportion of patients was 44% (95% CI: 13.1 to 77.4) and the ARR was 48% (95% CI: 7.4 to 71.2).

The strong efficacy of DMF in treatment-naïve patients may be explained from both a neuropathological and clinical perspective. In the early stages of disease, axonal transection, $2¹$ increased frequency of relapse, and a higher lesion load were observed and associated with poorer long-term outcomes.²² Hence, newly diagnosed patients may benefit from early intervention to slow the accumulation of damage and progression of disability. Furthermore, the relationship between MS disease activity and long-term clinical prognosis weakens with time,

suggesting early intervention to maximize therapeutic opportunity.22,23

In this subgroup analysis, the overall incidence of AEs was similar between placebo/DMF (86%) and DMF/DMF (91%) groups. Common AEs in the DMF and placebo groups included gastrointestinal disorders, rash, and pruritus. Nasopharyngitis was also a common AE but affected placebo/DMF and DMF/DMF groups equally (59% and 57%, respectively), which suggests that the incidence may be independent of study treatment. The safety results of this subgroup analysis were similar to pivotal phase 3 studies (DEFINE and CONFIRM). The overall incidence of AEs were 96% and 94% in the DEFINE and CONFIRM trials. $11,12$ Common AEs found in DEFINE and CONFIRM were gastrointestinal (GI) events (31% of patients receiving placebo and 40% in patients receiving DMF), flushing and related symptoms $(8\%$ versus $45\%)$ in the integrated analysis of these studies, and nasopharyngitis (16% versus 17%) in CONFIRM.11,24 Incidence of GI events and flushing and related symptoms were highest in the first month after DMF was initiated.²³

Figure 4. (a) Kaplan-Meier estimates of the proportion of patients who relapsed within 72 weeks, and (b) Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) of patients in placebo/dimethyl fumarate (DMF) and DMF/DMF treatment groups over 72 weeks (mean \pm standard deviation (SD)).

In this interim post-hoc part of the APEX study, AEs leading to discontinuation were limited (12% of patients total), and included one occurrence of abdominal pain and increased ALT/AST levels (placebo/DMF) and another of MS relapse (DMF/DMF). However, in the clinical practice of DMF, management of GI events and flushing is crucial for the continuation of DMF.²⁵ Some reports recommended patient education, dosing with food, slow titration, dose reduction, and use of symptomatic therapy to mitigate GI events. $24-27$

In this subgroup analysis, the proportion of patients who, post-baseline, had at least one Grade 1 or

Grade 2 lymphocyte count was 26% in the DMF/ DMF group and 18% in the placebo/DMF group. No Grade 3/4 lymphocyte count was observed . The majority of the patients remained within normal limits. This was consistent with currently published literature which suggested that mean and median absolute lymphocyte counts decreased by 30% during the first year of treatment and remained within normal limits.²⁸ The analysis suggested that being treatment-naïve may not put patients with RRMS at higher risk of a reduced lymphocyte count. In addition, the AST and ALT levels increased in the DMF group in Part I of the study and in the placebo/DMF group in Part II of the study.

Table 3. Summary of adverse and serious adverse events in treatment-naïve Japanese patients with relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS) over 72 weeks.

AE: adverse event; DMF: dimethyl fumarate; MS: multiple sclerosis; SAE: serious adverse event. Values are reported as number of patients (percentage of patients).

DMF: dimethyl fumarate; LLN: lower limit of normal ULN: upper limit of normal.

^aLymphocyte counts were defined by the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events Code (CTCAE) version 4.0 as Grade 0 (\geq 910/mm³), Grade 1 (\geq 800 to <910/mm³), Grade 2 (\geq 500 to <800/mm³), Grade 3 (\geq 200 to <500/ mm³), and Grade 4 ($\langle 200/\text{mm}^3$); $\frac{b_n}{21}$.

Three patients had AST or ALT levels exceeding 10 times the ULN in Part I or Part II of the study; however, none of these cases met the criteria for Hy's law diagnosed by elevations in ALT or AST \geq 3×ULN that were concurrent with an elevated total bilirubin $>2\times$ ULN. To optimize DMF treatment, therapeutic monitoring of adverse drug events and laboratory parameters, such as lymphocyte count and ALT/AST is advised.

The main limitation of this analysis was the limited sample size, which resulted in the lack of statistical power of clinical endpoints and reduced ability to detect rare AEs. Another limitation was the posthoc analysis. Although clinical disease activity appeared to be well-matched between treatment arms, it seemed that there were differences between the patient groups in terms of the baseline radiological profiles. MS is a rare disease in Japan, and these limitations are expected to be addressed in the ongoing real-world studies in a wider population of Japanese patients with MS.

In conclusion, the results of this interim post-hoc analysis of treatment-naïve Japanese patients with RRMS in APEX demonstrated sustained efficacy for MRI lesions and risk of relapse throughout 72 weeks. Furthermore, the safety profile was favorable and, as such, it is appropriate to use DMF as first-line for treatment-naïve Japanese patients with RRMS.

Acknowledgements

Biogen Japan Ltd provided funding for medical writing support in the development of this article; Mimi Chan from inScience Communications, Springer Healthcare, wrote the first draft of this manuscript based on input from authors. Biogen reviewed and provided feedback on the paper to the authors. The authors had full editorial control of the paper, and provided their final approval of all content.

Conflict of Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: MM has received speaking fees from Biogen Japan Ltd, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Co. Ltd, and Takeda Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. TO has received speaking fees and consulting fees from Biogen Japan Ltd and Takeda Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. YO and ST are employees of Biogen Japan Ltd and stockholders of Biogen Inc. MH and KH were employees of Biogen Japan Ltd and stockholders of Biogen Inc. at the end of this study. JY was an employee of Biogen Inc. and a

stockholder of Biogen Inc. at the end of this study. AM was an employee of Biogen Inc. and a stockholder of Biogen Inc.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This study was funded by Biogen.

ORCID iD

Masahiro Mori **D** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8767-255X> [Yasuhiro Onizuka](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8767-255X) **h**ttps://orcid.org/0000-0001-[9258-184X](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9258-184X)

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Atlas: Multiple sclerosis resources in the world, [http://www.who.int/mental_](http://www.who.int/mental_health/neurology/Atlas_MS_WEB.pdf) [health/neurology/Atlas_MS_WEB.pdf](http://www.who.int/mental_health/neurology/Atlas_MS_WEB.pdf) (2008, accessed 7 February 2018).
- 2. Haider L, Fischer MT, Frischer JM, et al. Oxidative damage in multiple sclerosis lesions. Brain 2011; 134: 1914–1924.
- 3. Nylander A and Hafler D. Multiple sclerosis. J Clin Invest 2012; 122: 1180–1188.
- 4. Multiple Sclerosis International Federation. Atlas of MS 2013: Mapping multiple sclerosis around the world, [http://www.msif.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/](http://www.msif.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/Atlas-of-MS.pdf) [09/Atlas-of-MS.pdf](http://www.msif.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/Atlas-of-MS.pdf) (2013, accessed 7 February 2018).
- 5. Compston A and Coles A. Multiple sclerosis. Lancet 2008; 372: 1502–1517.
- 6. Menge T, Weber MS, Hemmer B, et al. Disease-modifying agents for multiple sclerosis. Drugs 2008; 68: 2445–2468.
- 7. Johnson JA, Johnson DA, Kraft AD, et al. The Nrf2- ARE pathway: An indicator and modulator of oxidative stress in neurodegeneration. Ann NY Acad Sci 2008; 1147: 61–69.
- 8. Parodi B, Rossi S, Morando S, et al. Fumarates modulate microglia activation through a novel HCAR2 signaling pathway and rescue synaptic dysregulation in inflamed CNS. Acta Neuropathol 2015; 130: 279–295.
- 9. Burness CB and Deeks ED. Dimethyl fumarate: A review of its use in patients with relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis. CNS Drugs 2014; 28: 373–387.
- 10. AdisInsight. Dimethyl fumarate Biogen, [http://adisin](http://adisinsight.springer.com/drugs/800019706) [sight.springer.com/drugs/800019706](http://adisinsight.springer.com/drugs/800019706) (2018, accessed 7 February 2018).
- 11. Fox RJ, Miller DH, Phillips JT, et al. Placebo-controlled phase 3 study of oral BG-12 or glatiramer in multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med 2012; 367: 1087–1097.
- 12. Gold R, Kappos L, Arnold DL, et al. Placebo-controlled phase 3 study of oral BG-12 for relapsing multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med 2012; 367: 1098–1107.
- 13. Viglietta V, Miller D, Bar-Or A, et al. Efficacy of delayed-release dimethyl fumarate in relapsingremitting multiple sclerosis: Integrated analysis of the phase 3 trials. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 2015; 2: 103–118.
- 14. Gold R, Arnold DL, Bar-Or A, et al. Long-term effects of delayed-release dimethyl fumarate in multiple sclerosis: Interim analysis of ENDORSE, a randomized extension study. Mult Scler J 2017; 23: 253–265.
- 15. Saida T, Yamamura T, Kondo T, et al. Placebocontrolled phase 3 study of delayed-release dimethyl fumarate in patients with relapsing multiple sclerosis from Asia-Pacific and other countries. Mult Scler J 2016; 22: 280.
- 16. Polman CH, Reingold SC, Edan G, et al. Diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: 2005 Revisions to the "McDonald Criteria". Ann Neurol 2005; 58: 840–846.
- 17. Lublin FD and Reingold SC. Defining the clinical course of multiple sclerosis: Results of an international survey. National Multiple Sclerosis Society (USA) Advisory Committee on Clinical Trials of New Agents in Multiple Sclerosis. Neurology 1996; 46: 907–911.
- 18. Kappos L, Gold R, Miller DH, et al. Efficacy and safety of oral fumarate in patients with relapsing– remitting multiple sclerosis: A multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase IIb study. Lancet 2008; 372: 1463–1472.
- 19. Kappos L, Gold R, Miller DH, et al. Effect of BG-12 on contrast-enhanced lesions in patients with relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis: Subgroup analyses from the phase 2b study. Mult Scler 2012; 18: 314–321.
- 20. Gold R, Giovannoni G, Phillips JT, et al. Efficacy and safety of delayed-release dimethyl fumarate in patients newly diagnosed with relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS). Mult Scler 2015; 21: 57–66.
- 21. Trapp BD, Peterson J, Ransohoff RM, et al. Axonal transection in the lesions of multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med 1998; 338: 278–285.
- 22. Confavreux C, Vukusic S and Adeleine P. Early clinical predictors and progression of irreversible disability in multiple sclerosis: An amnesic process. Brain 2003; 126: 770–782.
- 23. Gold R, Giovannoni G, Phillips JT, et al. Sustained effect of delayed-release dimethyl fumarate in newly diagnosed patients with relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis: 6-Year interim results from an extension of the DEFINE and CONFIRM studies. Neurol Ther 2016; 5: 45–57.
- 24. Phillips JT, Selmaj K, Gold R, et al. Clinical significance of gastrointestinal and flushing events in patients with multiple sclerosis treated with delayedrelease dimethyl fumarate. Int J MS Care 2015; 17: 236–243.
- 25. Phillips JT, Hutchinson M, Fox R, et al. Managing flushing and gastrointestinal events associated with delayed-release dimethyl fumarate: Experiences of an international panel. Mult Scler Relat Disord 2014; 3: 513–519.
- 26. Phillips JT, Agrella S and Fox RJ. Dimethyl fumarate: A review of efficacy and practical management strategies for common adverse events in patients with multiple sclerosis. Int J MS Care 2017; 19: 74-83.
- 27. Phillips JT, Erwin AA, Agrella S, et al. Consensus management of gastrointestinal events associated with delayed-release dimethyl fumarate: A Delphi study. Neurol Ther 2015; 4: 137–146.
- 28. Fox RJ, Chan A, Gold R, et al. Characterizing absolute lymphocyte count profiles in dimethyl fumarate-treated patients with MS: Patient management considerations. Neurol Clin Pract 2016; 6: 220–229.