metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Poly[hemi(ethylenediammonium) [di-*u*oxalato-indium(III)] dihydrate]

Qiaozhen Sun,* Yang Liu, Hongwu Li and Zhi Luo

Department of Materials Chemistry, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Key Laboratory of Non-ferrous Metals of the Ministry of Education, Central South University, Changsha 410083, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: rosesungz@yahoo.com.cn

Received 22 February 2009; accepted 7 March 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.006 Å; disorder in solvent or counterion; R factor = 0.018; wR factor = 0.046; data-toparameter ratio = 10.5.

In title compound, $\{(C_2H_{10}N_2)_{0.5}[In(C_2O_4)_2] \cdot 2H_2O\}_n$, the unique In^{III} ion is coordinated by eight O atoms from four oxalate ligands in a distorted square-antiprismatic environment. The doubly bis-chelating oxalate ligands act as bridging ligands connecting symmetry-related In^{III} ions and forming a three-dimensional open framework structure. Ethylenediammonium cations and water molecules occupy the voids within the structure. The unique ethylenediammonium cation and one water molecule both lie on a twofold rotation axis. One of the other two water molecules residing on general crystallographic sites was refined as disordered with half occupancy. In the crystal structure, cations and water molecules are linked to the anionic framework via intermolecular $O-H \cdots O$ and $N-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds.

Related literature

For background information on open-framework materials, see: Fang et al. (2004); Li et al. (2008); Serre et al. (2006); Sun et al. (2006). For related materials containing the oxalate ligand, see: Audebrand et al. (2001, 2004); Kokunov et al. (2004); Stock et al. (2000); Chakrabarti & Natarajan (2002); Evans & Lin (2001); Vaidhyanathan et al. (2001); Gavilan et al. (2007); Bataille et al. (2000); Trombe et al. (2001); Yuan et al. (2004). For indium oxaltes, see: Audebrand et al. (2003); Bulc et al. (1983); Bulc & Golič (1983); Chen et al. (2003); Huang & Lii (1998); Jeanneau et al. (2003); Yang et al. (2005); For the bondvalence method, see: Brown (1996). For bond distances and angles for bridging bidentate oxalate groups, see: Hann (1957).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $(C_2H_{10}N_2)_{0.5}[In(C_2O_4)_2]\cdot 2H_2O$ $M_r = 357.95$ Orthorhombic, Fdd2 a = 15.8498 (4) Å b = 31.1643 (8) Å c = 8.6618 (2) Å

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2001) $T_{\min} = 0.426, T_{\max} = 0.467$ (expected range = 0.387 - 0.424)

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.018$	
$wR(F^2) = 0.046$	
S = 1.06	
1679 reflections	
160 parameters	
13 restraints	

V = 4278.48 (18) Å³ Z = 16Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 2.26 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 K $0.40 \times 0.38 \times 0.38$ mm

7189 measured reflections 1679 independent reflections 1673 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.025$

H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.45 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}^ \Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.71 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 668 Friedel pairs Flack parameter: 0.00 (3)

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1 - H1C \cdots OW1^{i}$	0.89	2.35	2.880 (8)	118
$N1 - H1B \cdot \cdot \cdot O7^{ii}$	0.89	2.47	2.956 (5)	115
$N1 - H1B \cdots OW3$	0.89	2.21	2.825 (8)	126
$N1-H1C \cdot \cdot \cdot O4^{i}$	0.89	2.44	3.140 (6)	136
$N1-H1C \cdot \cdot \cdot O5^{iii}$	0.89	2.38	3.166 (5)	147
$OW1 - HW1A \cdots O2^{iv}$	0.85	2.04	2.889 (5)	180
$OW2-HW2B\cdots O1^{iii}$	0.85	2.46	3.241 (15)	153
$OW2-HW2A\cdots O3$	0.85	2.39	3.12 (3)	145
$OW3 - HW3B \cdots O8^{v}$	0.85	2.19	2.870 (6)	137
OW3−HW3A···O7	0.85	2.26	2.971 (7)	141
OW3−HW3A···O3 ⁱⁱ	0.85	2.40	2.962 (6)	124
Symmetry codes: (i) r y	z = 1 (ii) x	$+\frac{1}{2}-\nu+\frac{3}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$ (iii) $-x - y$	$+\frac{1}{2}$ $z - \frac{1}{2}$ (iv)

 $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z;$ (v) $x - \frac{1}{4}, -y + \frac{3}{4}, z - \frac{3}{4}.$

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The authors acknowledge financial support from the Innovation Program for College Students of Central South University (grant No. 081053308).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LH2779).

metal-organic compounds

References

- Audebrand, N., Jeanneau, E., Bataille, T., Raite, S. & Louër, D. (2004). Solid State Sci. 6, 579–591.
- Audebrand, N., Raite, S. & Louër, D. (2003). Solid State Sci. 5, 783-794.
- Audebrand, N., Vaillant, M. L., Auffréidc, J. P. & Louër, D. (2001). Solid State Sci. 3, 483–494.
- Bataille, T., Louër, M., Auffrédic, J. P. & Louër, D. (2000). J. Solid State Chem. 150, 81–95.
- Brown, I. D. (1996). J. Appl. Cryst. 29, 479-480.
- Bruker (2001). SMART, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bulc, N. & Golič, L. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 174-176.
- Bulc, N., Golič, L. & Šiftar, J. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 176-178.
- Chakrabarti, S. & Natarajan, S. (2002). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 4156-4161.
- Chen, Zh. X., Zhou, Y. M., Weng, L. H., Zhang, H. Y. & Zhao, D. Y. (2003). J. Solid State Chem. 173, 435–441.
- Evans, O. R. & Lin, W. (2001). Cryst. Growth Des. 1, 9-11.
- Fang, Q. R., Zhu, G. S., Shi, X., Wu, G., Tian, G., Wang, R. W. & Qiu, S. L. (2004). J. Solid State Chem. 177, 1060–1066.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.

- Gavilan, E., Audebrand, N. & Jeanneau, E. (2007). Solid State Sci. 9, 985–999. Hann, T. (1957). Z. Kristallogr. 109, 438–466.
- Huang, Y.-F. & Lii, K.-W. (1998). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 4085–4086. Jeanneau, E., Audebrand, N., Le Floch, M., Bureau, B. & Louër, D. (2003). J.
- Solid State Chem. 170, 330–338. Kokunov, Y. V., Gorbunova, Y. E. & Detkov, D. G. (2004). Russ. J. Inorg.
- *Chem.* **49**, 1000–1006.
- Li, Y. W., Wang, Y. H., Li, Y. G. & Wang, E. B. (2008). J. Solid State Chem. 181, 1485–1491.
- Serre, C., Millange, F., Devic, T., Audebrand, N. & Van Beek, W. (2006). Mater. Res. Bull. 41, 1550–1557.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Stock, N., Stucky, G. D. & Cheetham, A. K. (2000). Chem. Commun. pp. 2277– 2278.
- Sun, D. F., Collins, D. J., Ke, Y., Zuo, J. L. & Zhou, H. C. (2006). Chem. Eur. J. 12, 3768–3776.
- Trombe, J. C., Thomas, P. & Cabarrecq, C. B. (2001). Solid State Sci. 3, 309-319.
- Vaidhyanathan, R., Natatajan, S. & Rao, C. N. R. (2001). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 699–706.
- Yang, S., Li, G., Tian, S., Liao, F. & Lin, J. (2005). J. Solid State Chem. 178, 3703–3707.
- Yuan, Y. P., Song, J. L. & Mao, J. G. (2004). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 7, 24-26.

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, m394-m395 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809008381]

Poly[hemi(ethylenediammonium) [di-*µ*-oxalato-indium(III)] dihydrate]

Q. Sun, Y. Liu, H. Li and Z. Luo

Comment

The synthesis of open-framework materials has emerged as an important area of research because of their potential applications in separation processes, ion exchange and catalysis. In the past few years, there has been considerable effort in designing open-framework structures formed by metal organic carboxylates because of its interesting structural features and the quality for apt design (Fang *et al.*, 2004; Li *et al.*, 2008; Serre *et al.*, 2006; Sun *et al.*, 2006) of which the oxalate ligand plays a major role in the assembly of metal-organic porous frameworks. Many metal oxalate structures are reported such as tin (Audebrand *et al.*, 2001; Kokunov *et al.*, 2004; Stock *et al.*, 2000), zinc (Chakrabarti & Natarajan, 2002; Rvans & Lin, 2001; Vaidhyanathan *et al.*, 2001), zirconium (Audebrand *et al.*, 2004; Gavilan *et al.*, 2007), rare earth (Bataille *et al.*, 2000; Trombe *et al.*, 2001; Yuan *et al.*, 2004). The structures of these compounds vary from monomers, dimmers, chains, layered honeycomb networks to three dimensional frameworks. In this paper, we selected indium and synthesized the three-dimensional indium oxalate compound [($C_2N_2H_{10}$)_0.5In(C_2O_4)_2.2H₂O]_n (Fig. 1). Although many indium oxalates have been reported (Audebrand *et al.*, 2003; Bulc *et al.*, 1983; Chen *et al.*, 2003; Huang & Lii, 1998; Jeanneau *et al.*, 2003; Yang *et al.*, 2005), relatively a few of them are three dimensional open frameworks (Chen *et al.*, 2003; Huang & Lii, 1998; Yang *et al.*, 2005).

In the title structure, the In ion is coordinated by eight O atoms from four tetradentate oxalate groups, forming a distorted square antiprismatic arrangement (Fig. 2) in which atoms O1, O2, O5A and O6A (Symmetry code A: -x, 0.5 - y, 1/2 + z) are approximately in the same plane with a deviation of ca. 0.01 Å, while the other plane (formed by atoms O3, O4, O7B and O8B; Symmetry code B: x - 1/4, 0.75 - y, 1/4 + z) is significantly distorted, with a deviation of ca. 0.24 Å. The eight In—O bond distances vary between 2.168 (3) and 2.423 (3) Å (average 2.279 Å), which agrees well with the value 2.265 Å calculated for an eightfold coordinated indium atom with the bond valence method using the program VALENCE (Brown, 1996).

The indium ions are linked by the oxygen atoms of oxalate, giving rise to a three-dimensional interdependent porous framework (Fig. 3). The protonated ethylenediammonium and water molecules occupy the voids, interacting with oxalate anions through N—H···O and O—H···O hydrogen bonds. Without water molecules and cations, the framework exhibits voids possessing approximate dimensions of 6.9×14.5 Å along the crystallographic *c* axis and an analysis of the void shows that *ca* 44% of the space is empty. Thus, the ethylenediammonium and water molecules assigned to these cavities act as not only charge-compensating cations but also organic templates. The bond distances and angles for the bridging bidentate oxalate groups are in good agreement with the mean values reported by Hann (1957) for oxalate compounds, *i.e.*, 1.24 and 1.52 Å, 118 and 123° for the C1—O1 and C1—C2 bond lengths and O1—C1—C2 and O1—C1—O5 angles, respectively.

Experimental

A mixture of Ti(SO₄)₂ (0.2 g, 0.84 mmol), $H_2C_2O_4.2H_2O$ (1.0 g, 7.93 mmol), $InCl_3.4H_2O$ (2 ml, 0.5 mol/*L*) and $H_2N(CH_2)_2NH_2$ (0.2 ml, CR) in H_2O (5.0 ml) was sealed in a 20 ml stainless-steal reactor with Teflon liner and heated

at 393 K for 2 days under autogenously pressure. Colorless crystals were isolated after the reaction solution was cooled gradually and washed with water.

Refinement

H atoms bonded to C and N atoms were inlcuded in calculated positions with C-H = 0.97 and N-H = 0.89Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ or $1.5U_{eq}(N)$. The H atoms bonded to O atoms were either included in calculated positions [O-H = 0.85Å] based on 'as found' locations or based on the most efficient H-bonding location and with $U_{iso}(H)=1.0-1.2U_{eq}(C)$.

Figures



Fig. 1. The asymmetric unit showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Fig. 2. The distorted square antiprismatic environment of Indium. Symmetry codes A: -x, 0.5 - y, 1/2 + z; B: x - 1/4, 0.75 - y, 1/4 + z.



Fig. 3. Part of the crystal structure viewed crystal along the c axis, the ethylenediammonium and water molecules reside in the voids.

Poly[hemi(ethylenediammonium) [di-µ-oxalato-indium(III)] dihydrate]

 Crystal data

 $(C_2H_{10}N_2)_{0.5}[In(C_2O_4)_2] \cdot 2H_2O$
 $M_r = 357.95$
 $D_x = 2.223 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

 Orthorhombic, Fdd2

 Hall symbol: F 2 -2d

 Cell parameters from 7228 reflections

a = 15.8498 (4) Å	$\theta = 2.6 - 27.9^{\circ}$
<i>b</i> = 31.1643 (8) Å	$\mu = 2.26 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 8.6618 (2) Å	T = 293 K
$V = 4278.48 (18) \text{ Å}^3$	Block, colourless
Z = 16	$0.4\times0.38\times0.38~mm$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer	1679 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1673 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.025$
T = 293 K	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^{\circ}$
ϕ and ω scans	$\theta_{\min} = 2.6^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2001)	$h = -18 \rightarrow 18$
$T_{\min} = 0.426, T_{\max} = 0.467$	$k = -36 \rightarrow 36$
7189 measured reflections	$l = -10 \rightarrow 10$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
Least-squares matrix: full	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.018$	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0322P)^{2} + 7.4478P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.046$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
<i>S</i> = 1.06	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.45 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
1679 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.71 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
160 parameters	Extinction correction: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008), $Fc^*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
13 restraints	Extinction coefficient: 0.00087 (5)
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 668 Friedel pairs
Secondary atom site leastion: difference Fourier man	Electropy parameter: $0.00(2)$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Flack parameter: 0.00 (3)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$	Occ. (<1)
In1	0.013694 (15)	0.314689 (7)	0.33266 (6)	0.01510 (11)	
01	-0.06449 (17)	0.27864 (9)	0.1683 (4)	0.0277 (6)	
O2	0.10165 (19)	0.26861 (9)	0.1750 (4)	0.0290 (7)	
O3	0.04152 (19)	0.35822 (9)	0.1416 (3)	0.0241 (6)	
O4	0.14920 (17)	0.34173 (8)	0.3713 (3)	0.0220 (6)	
C1	-0.0334 (2)	0.25113 (11)	0.0817 (7)	0.0194 (7)	
C2	0.0620(2)	0.24552 (11)	0.0823 (7)	0.0204 (7)	
C3	0.1128 (2)	0.37559 (10)	0.1357 (4)	0.0165 (7)	
C4	0.1740 (2)	0.36645 (11)	0.2696 (5)	0.0180 (8)	
O5	-0.07692 (18)	0.22769 (9)	-0.0061 (3)	0.0259 (7)	
O6	0.09025 (18)	0.21856 (9)	-0.0112 (4)	0.0302 (7)	
07	0.13838 (16)	0.39927 (8)	0.0302 (3)	0.0223 (6)	
08	0.24530 (18)	0.38498 (9)	0.2625 (4)	0.0231 (6)	
C5	0.2294 (3)	0.2715 (2)	-0.2277 (8)	0.0535 (16)	
H5C	0.1687	0.2676	-0.2275	0.064*	
H5A	0.2444	0.2864	-0.1332	0.064*	
N1	0.2527 (3)	0.29883 (17)	-0.3608 (6)	0.0502 (12)	
H1A	0.3035	0.2913	-0.3949	0.075*	
H1B	0.2534	0.3262	-0.3316	0.075*	
H1C	0.2150	0.2954	-0.4360	0.075*	
OW1	0.2500	0.2500	0.3570 (7)	0.0504 (16)	
HW1A	0.2938	0.2445	0.3040	0.050*	
OW2	0.0119 (6)	0.3117 (3)	-0.173 (3)	0.069 (2)	0.50
HW2B	0.0188	0.2848	-0.1847	0.083*	0.50
HW2A	0.0010	0.3173	-0.0793	0.083*	0.50
OW3	0.1418 (3)	0.36723 (19)	-0.2928 (7)	0.1000 (17)	
HW3B	0.1123	0.3788	-0.3632	0.120*	
HW3A	0.1542	0.3857	-0.2244	0.120*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
In1	0.01446 (16)	0.01545 (14)	0.01540 (15)	-0.00012 (8)	-0.00093 (14)	0.00069 (11)
01	0.0185 (13)	0.0268 (13)	0.0378 (17)	-0.0013 (11)	0.0018 (14)	-0.0157 (13)
O2	0.0224 (15)	0.0289 (15)	0.0358 (17)	0.0008 (12)	-0.0078 (14)	-0.0104 (13)
O3	0.0187 (14)	0.0299 (14)	0.0237 (15)	-0.0058 (12)	-0.0031 (12)	0.0086 (11)
O4	0.0264 (15)	0.0220 (12)	0.0176 (15)	-0.0037 (10)	-0.0021 (11)	0.0065 (10)
C1	0.0209 (18)	0.0154 (15)	0.0218 (17)	-0.0017 (14)	0.001 (2)	0.0002 (16)
C2	0.0220 (19)	0.0194 (16)	0.0199 (17)	0.0002 (14)	0.000 (2)	-0.0018 (17)
C3	0.0177 (19)	0.0139 (15)	0.0179 (17)	0.0038 (13)	0.0005 (15)	0.0001 (14)
C4	0.019 (2)	0.0132 (15)	0.0214 (17)	0.0010 (14)	-0.0015 (16)	-0.0011 (14)
O5	0.0193 (15)	0.0251 (13)	0.0333 (17)	-0.0004 (11)	-0.0037 (13)	-0.0135 (13)
O6	0.0213 (15)	0.0324 (15)	0.0369 (19)	0.0024 (12)	0.0032 (14)	-0.0131 (14)
O7	0.0224 (13)	0.0229 (12)	0.0217 (14)	-0.0042 (10)	-0.0032 (11)	0.0066 (10)

08	0.0180 (13)	0.0273 (15)	0.0239 (15	5)	-0.0057 (11)	-0.0039 (1	3)	0.0084 (12)
C5	0.031 (3)	0.080 (4)	0.049 (4)		-0.020 (2)	0.006 (3)		-0.016 (3)
N1	0.028 (2)	0.074 (3)	0.049 (3)		-0.006 (2)	-0.011 (2)		-0.001 (2)
OW1	0.026 (2)	0.086 (4)	0.039 (4)		0.024 (2)	0.000		0.000
OW2	0.084 (4)	0.067 (4)	0.055 (4)		0.013 (4)	-0.002 (5)		-0.006 (4)
OW3	0.083 (3)	0.146 (4)	0.072 (3)		0.016 (3)	-0.030 (3)		-0.045 (3)
Coom strice manage	en atours (Å 9)							
Geometric paran	nelers (A, ⁻)							
In1—O5 ¹		2.168 (3)	(C4—O8			1.270 (5)
In1—O3		2.185 (3)	(O5—In1 ¹	111		2.168 (3)
In1—O1		2.196 (3)	(06—In1 ⁱ	iii		2.370 (3)
In1—O8 ⁱⁱ		2.230 (3)	(07—In1 ⁱ	iv		2.327 (3)
In1—O7 ⁱⁱ		2.327 (3)	(08—In1 ⁱ	iv		2.230 (3)
In1—O4		2.331 (3)	(C5—N1			1.480 (8)
In1—O6 ⁱ		2.370 (3)	(C5—C5 ^v	7		1.492 (12)
In1—O2		2.423 (3)	(С5—Н50	C		0.9700	
O1—C1		1.242 (5)	(С5—Н5А	4		0.9700	
O2—C2		1.248 (6)	1	N1—H1	A		0.8900	
O3—C3		1.253 (5)	1	N1—H1I	В	0.8900		
O4—C4		1.235 (5)	1	N1—H10	C	0.8900		
C1—O5		1.260 (6)	(OW1—H	IW1A		0.8500	
C1—C2		1.522 (6)	(OW2—HW2B			0.8502	
C2—O6		1.250 (6)	OW2—HW2A			0.8200		
C3—O7		1.243 (4)	OW3—HW3B			0.8498		
		1.539 (5)	(OW3—E	IW3A		0.8500	-
O5 ¹ —In1—O3		140.63 (11)	(C4—O4-	—Inl		114.7 (2)
O5 ¹ —In1—O1		111.52 (10)	(01—C1-	05		123.2 (4)
O3—In1—O1		86.60 (12)	(01—C1-	C2		118.2 (4)
$O5^{1}$ —In1— $O8^{11}$		92.22 (11)	(05—C1-	C2		118.7 (4)
O3—In1—O8 ⁱⁱ		96.82 (11)	(02—C2-	—O6		128.6 (4)
O1—In1—O8 ⁱⁱ		137.63 (10)	(02—C2-	C1		115.8 (4)
O5 ⁱ —In1—O7 ⁱⁱ		144.54 (11)	(06—C2-	C1		115.5 (4)
O3—In1—O7 ⁱⁱ		74.02 (11)	(07—C3-	03		125.5 (4)
O1—In1—O7 ⁱⁱ		68.82 (10)	(07—C3-	—C4		117.2 (3)
O8 ⁱⁱ —In1—O7 ⁱⁱ		71.65 (10)	(O3—C3-	—C4		117.3 (3)
O5 ⁱ —In1—O4		72.66 (9)	(04—C4-	O8		127.0 (4)
O3—In1—O4		72.43 (9)	(04—C4-	—C3		116.8 (3)	
O1—In1—O4		142.91 (10)	(08—C4-	—C3		116.1 (3)
O8 ⁱⁱ —In1—O4		76.46 (10)	(C1—O5-	—In1 ⁱⁱⁱ		119.2 (3)
O7 ⁱⁱ —In1—O4		129.79 (9)	(C2—O6-	—In1 ⁱⁱⁱ		114.5 (3)
O5 ⁱ —In1—O6 ⁱ		71.78 (10)	(С3—07-	—In1 ^{iv}		115.0 (2)
O3—In1—O6 ⁱ		147.56 (11)	(C4—O8-	—In1 ^{iv}		118.0 (3)
O1—In1—O6 ⁱ		75.75 (11)	N1—C5—C5 ^v		114.0 (4)		4)	

O8 ⁱⁱ —In1—O6 ⁱ	79.52 (11)	N1—C5—H5C	108.7	
O7 ⁱⁱ —In1—O6 ⁱ	74.28 (10)	C5 ^v —C5—H5C	108.7	
O4—In1—O6 ⁱ	135.78 (10)	N1—C5—H5A	108.7	
O5 ⁱ —In1—O2	74.70 (10)	C5 ^v —C5—H5A	108.7	
O3—In1—O2	79.93 (11)	H5C—C5—H5A	107.6	
O1—In1—O2	69.90 (11)	C5—N1—H1A	109.5	
O8 ⁱⁱ —In1—O2	152.36 (10)	C5—N1—H1B	109.5	
O7 ⁱⁱ —In1—O2	131.86 (10)	H1A—N1—H1B	109.5	
O4—In1—O2	76.41 (9)	C5—N1—H1C	109.5	
O6 ⁱ —In1—O2	117.54 (10)	H1A—N1—H1C	109.5	
C1—O1—In1	121.4 (3)	H1B—N1—H1C	109.5	
C2—O2—In1	114.5 (3)	HW2B—OW2—HW2A	109.8	
C3—O3—In1	118.7 (2)	HW3B—OW3—HW3A	109.8	
Symmetry codes: (i) - <i>x</i> , - <i>y</i> +1/2, <i>z</i> .	z+1/2; (ii) $x-1/4$, $-y+3/4$, z	+1/4; (iii) $-x$, $-y+1/2$, $z-1/2$; (iv) $x+1/4$,	-y+3/4, $z-1/4$; (v) $-x+1/2$	2, -y+1/

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
N1—H1C···OW1 ^{vi}	0.89	2.35	2.880 (8)	118
N1—H1B···O7 ^{iv}	0.89	2.47	2.956 (5)	115
N1—H1B…OW3	0.89	2.21	2.825 (8)	126
N1—H1C···O4 ^{vi}	0.89	2.44	3.140 (6)	136
N1—H1C···O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.89	2.38	3.166 (5)	147
OW1—HW1A····O2 ^v	0.85	2.04	2.889 (5)	180
OW2—HW2B…O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.85	2.46	3.241 (15)	153
OW2—HW2A···O3	0.85	2.39	3.12 (3)	145
OW3—HW3B···O8 ^{vii}	0.85	2.19	2.870 (6)	137
OW3—HW3A····O7	0.85	2.26	2.971 (7)	141
OW3—HW3A····O3 ^{iv}	0.85	2.40	2.962 (6)	124
Symmetry address (vi) $u = 1$; (iv) $u + 1/4$	1/2/4 = 1/4 (iii)	1/2 = 1/2, (11)	(1/2) $(1/2)$ $(1/2)$	1/4 $1/4 = 2$

Symmetry codes: (vi) x, y, z-1; (iv) x+1/4, -y+3/4, z-1/4; (iii) -x, -y+1/2, z-1/2; (v) -x+1/2, -y+1/2, z; (vii) x-1/4, -y+3/4, z-3/4.









Fig. 3