ARTICLE

Open Access

Associating H₂O₂₋and NO-related changes in the proteome of *Mycobacterium smegmatis* with enhanced survival in macrophage

Naadir Ganief¹, Jessica Sjouerman¹, Claudia Albeldas¹, Kehilwe C. Nakedi¹, Clemens Hermann¹, Bridget Calder¹, Jonathan M. Blackburn^{1,2} and Nelson C. Soares¹

Abstract

Mycobacterium manages to evade the host cell immune system, partially owing to its ability to survive redox stress after macrophage engulfment. Exposure to redox stress has been linked to later replication, persistence, and latent infection. In this work, mass spectrometry was used to elucidate the cell-wide changes that occur in response to sublethal doses of hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide over time, with *Mycobacterium smegmatis* being used as a model organism. A total of 3135 proteins were confidently assigned, of which 1713, 1674, and 1713 were identified under NO, H₂O₂, and control conditions, respectively. Both treatment conditions resulted in changes of protein expression from the DosR regulon as well as those related to lipid metabolism. Complementary to the changes in the proteome, sublethal exposure to NO and H₂O₂ improved the survival of the bacteria after macrophage infection. Our data indicate that pre-exposure to sublethal doses of these redox stressors causes an alteration in the expression of proteins related to lipid metabolism and enhanced survival in macrophages.

Introduction

Mycobacterium tuberculosis, the causative agent of tuberculosis (TB), presents a major health-care problem worldwide, with a global incidence of ~100 per 100,000 people and as much as 1/3 of the world's population carrying a latent TB infection that can later reactivate. The success of *M. tuberculosis* is partially owing to the pathogen's ability to evade the host immune system, lying dormant until the host becomes immunocompromised. Understanding the mechanisms of mycobacterial immune system evasion is necessary to combat the disease, which

²Institute of Infectious Disease & Molecular Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

© The Author(s) 2018

grows increasingly more elusive as multidrug-resistant strains emerge.

Mycobacteria are well recognized for their remarkable ability to sense engulfment by macrophages and then survive the numerous and different forms of macrophage bactericidal attack¹. After macrophage engulfment, a mycobacterium is exposed to antimicrobial redox stresses, including reactive oxygen species (ROS); hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂); superoxide (O₂⁻⁻); hydroxyl radicals (OH), mainly produced by NADPH oxidase (the main generator of ROS inside host cells), and reactive nitrogen species (RNS), specifically nitric oxide (NO), which is generated mainly by inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS)¹. The importance of ROS in controlling mycobacterial infection has been shown by the observation that children with defective NADPH oxidase (NOX2) suffer from chronic granulomatous disease, are susceptible to TB, and are

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Correspondence: Jonathan M. Blackburn (jonathan.blackburn@uct.ac.za) or Nelson C. Soares (nelson.dacruzsoares@uct.ac.za)

¹Division of Chemical & System Biology, Department of Integrative Biomedical Science, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

These authors contributed equally: Naadir Ganief, Jessica Sjouerman

likely to develop serious complications after vaccination with Bacillus Calmette–Guérin². A body of evidence indicates that survival of the bacilli to early macrophage redox actions is essential for later mycobacterial replication, persistence, and ultimately the establishment of latent infection^{1,3,4}. The role of NO during mycobacterial infection of human macrophages has until recently been unclear, partly as a result of the low amounts of NO produced by these cells. Increasingly, evidence suggests that iNOS and the production of RNS play a significant role during the mycobacterial infection of human macrophages⁵.

Mycobacteria have evolved complex redox-sensing pathways to monitor both the intra- and extracellular redox environment. In this context, ROS and NO can also act as signaling molecules, promoting recognition at the atomic level⁶. In mycobacteria, ROS and NO exposure modulate multiple redox-sensing pathways, including the SigH/RshA, DosR/S/T, MosR, and WhiB families, to maintain redox homeostasis^{7–11}. Both ROS and RNS, in a dose-dependent manner, can act as signaling molecules that trigger certain responses that determine mycobacterial intracellular survival and growth. Whether these molecules act synergistically by interfering at independent points within the same signal network or whether they activate completely different signal pathways in mycobacteria is unknown. A 2-dimensional gel-based study indicated that little overlap was observed between the H₂O₂- and NO-induced responses in *M. tuberculosis* cell cultures when exposed to either stress¹². In contrast, recent transcriptomic analyses showed that NO exposure initiated much the same transcriptional responses as H₂O₂. However, unlike H₂O₂ exposure, NO exposure induced dormancy-related genes and caused dosedependent bacteriostatic activity without killing¹³. Although some compelling transcriptomic data¹⁴ exist regarding mycobacterium responses to different concentrations of ROS or RNS, equivalent data at the proteome level still remain scarce. In this context, when comparing the protein fold changes obtained to the mRNA fold changes, Aebersold et al.^{15,16} reported that global correlations between mRNA and protein regulation in *M. tuberculosis* were surprisingly low, with R^2 ranging between 0.06 and 0.52; furthermore, Cortes et al.¹⁷ found that protein expression is delayed compared with expression at the level of the transcriptome. These findings suggest that protein levels are essentially decoupled from gene expression^{13,15,16}. This argues strongly for the need to gain a detailed understanding of ROS- and RNSinduced mycobacterial responses at the proteome level. However, M. tuberculosis is a slow-growing pathogen that must be cultured in BSL3 containment facilities, with culture times measured in months; furthermore, strict biosafety considerations now require that all new experimental procedures on *M. tuberculosis* need to be first demonstrated on a nonpathogenic organism in a BSL2 laboratory. The fast-growing, nonpathogenic M. smegmatis is therefore often used by researchers in the TB field as a model organism on which a wider range of experiments can be conducted more rapidly, before continuing the studies with *M. tuberculosis*^{18–20}. For example, previous work in our laboratory employed a proteomic approach to investigate the effects of sublethal doses of rifampicin and vitamin C on M. smegmatis^{21,22}. As a complementary approach to the growing transcriptomic data of mycobacterial response to NO and H₂O₂, we have carried out a large-scale, label-free, mass spectrometrybased study to investigate proteome dynamics at three distinct time points of *M. smegmatis* growth in vitro after exposure to sublethal concentrations of NO and H₂O₂. In addition, informed by our proteomics findings, we investigated the effect of pre-exposure to sublethal NO and H_2O_2 on the survival of *M. smegmatis* to macrophage attack, which to the best of our knowledge, is the first time that prior phenotypic adaption to oxidative stress has been directly associated with increased mycobacterial survival within the macrophage.

Results

Determination of sublethal concentrations of diethylenetriamine/nitric oxide (DETA-NO) and H_2O_2 treatment with DETA-NO

The DETA/NO adduct breaks down in solution and exhibits a half-life of ca. 20 h at pH 7.4, with an NO release duration > 24 h, to give a low, quasi-steady-state concentration of NO²³. A previous study in *M. tuber-culosis* by Voskuil et al.¹³ reported that exposure to 0.05 mM DETA-NO introduced no growth defects but resulted in subsequent specific NO-related transcriptomic perturbation. On the other hand, higher concentrations of DETA-NO-induced general oxidative stress responses. Our results confirm that in *M. smegmatis* 0.05 mM DETA-NO confers no noticeable growth defect (Supplementary Figure 2), and based on our finding as well as previous observations, 0.05 mM was selected as the sublethal concentration for the current study.

Treatment with H₂O₂

Mycobacterium smegmatis batch (shake flask) cultures at mid-log phase were treated with concentrations of H_2O_2 ranging from 10 mM to 200 mM (Fig. 1a). When treated with 200 mM H_2O_2 , *M. smegmatis* culture suffered from an unrecoverable growth defect, whereas cultures treated with 50 and 100 mM H_2O_2 showed recovery of growth 120 min after treatment. Cultures treated with



10 mM H_2O_2 showed a growth defect within 30 min and had recovered to normal growth by 120 min. The sublethal dose selected for this study was therefore 10 mM H_2O_2 . Based on growth curves of *M. smegmatis* treated with 10 mM H_2O_2 , three time points after treatment were selected for cell harvesting and subsequent proteomic analysis (30, 75, and 150 min; T1, T2, and T3, respectively) (Fig. 1b). These three time points represent the onset of (T1), recovery from (T2), and post-recovery of (T3) H_2O_2 exposure. Note that cultures treated with DETA-NO (Supplementary Figure 2) were harvested at the same time points after treatment to maintain comparability between both conditions.

Proteome dynamics

From a total of 1,357,381 spectra that were submitted, 797,173 were identified (58.7%). This resulted in the identification of 31,270 nonredundant peptides, of which 31,127 were unique to a protein group. The peptide identifications had a false discovery rate of 0.26%. From these peptides, a total of 3336 proteins were inferred, at a false discovery rate of 0.99%. For more details on the search engine parameters, see Supplementary Table 1. Protein groups were identified by at least one unique peptide, and at least two peptides were taken forward, which resulted in 3135 confident protein group assignments. To insure a reliable label-free quantification, we considered only those protein groups quantified in all experiments within a treatment for further analysis. After applying these filtering criteria, a total of 1713, 1674, and 1713 protein groups for the DETA-NO, H₂O₂ and untreated treatment groups, respectfully, remained. For details on the quantifiable proteins and their overlap between treatment conditions, see Supplementary Tables 2–5.

To assess the reproducibility of the MaxQuant labelfree quantification, we assessed the Pearson correlation between biological replicates. Multiscatter plots of each biological triplicate were then generated in Perseus²⁴ (Supplementary Figure 3). The Pearson correlation scores for each comparison were >0.97, indicating a highly reproducible label-free quantitation. Principle component analysis (PCA) plots indicated that T3 of the treated samples (panel A and B) form a much more distinct cluster, whereas differences between the T1 and T2 samples seem to be less pronounced (Fig. 2). In contrast, PCA plots from the untreated group (panel C) did not cluster in a well-defined manner. In the case of the treated conditions, the relatively short time between sampling (45 min) may account for the increased similarity between the first and second time points, whereas the longer time between sampling for the second and third time points (75 min) may explain why the third time points form a more distinct cluster. In the case of the untreated condition, the absence of an introduced stress to drive the bacteria toward a distinct phenotype may account for the lack of clustering observed.

Statistical analysis

Subsequent label-free differential protein abundance analysis was carried out, and proteins with a fold change of one standard deviation greater or less than the median fold change were considered for a t test (see Table 1 for more details). The fold change cutoff reduced the protein group list further to between 156 and 354 proteins per comparison (for a full list see Supplementary Tables 6–11). Significant differences were assessed using a two-tailed t test, and a p value of <0.05 was considered significantly different. For full details, see Supplementary Tables 12 and 13.



Stars, circles, and squares represent samples from T1, T2, and T3, respectively. T1 and T2 are less distinct from each other in both treatment conditions (**a**—DETA-NO and **b**—hydrogen peroxide), and in the untreated condition there is little notable clustering of the first two time points (**c**—untreated). The treatment conditions samples from T3 are more distinct from the other time points. Untreated samples at T3 are notably less distinct from samples from T1 and T2. In the treated conditions, as the time of exposure increases, the proteomic signature becomes more distinct

Bioinformatics

Differentially expressed proteins unique to DETA-NO or H_2O_2 treatment

Venn diagrams were generated to identify proteins with differential expression that was unique to each treatment. When we compare T1 and T2, of the 95 proteins with differential abundance, 22, 40, and 23 proteins were uniquely different in the DETA-NO, H_2O_2 , and control conditions, respectively (see Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 15). In the comparison between T2 and T3, of the 281 proteins found to have a differential abundance, 81, 35, and 61 proteins were uniquely different in the DETA-NO, H_2O_2 , and control conditions, respectively. Notably, between T2 and T3, 39 proteins showed a change in abundance in both the DETA-NO and H_2O_2 treatment but not in the untreated cultures (see Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 16 for full details).

Changes in *M. smegmatis* proteome induced by exposure to sublethal concentration of DETA-NO

To assess the potential biological implications of the changes seen at the proteome level, we performed more downstream bioinformatics analyses. These included String-db and KEGG pathway mapper, and they revealed that, after DETA-NO treatment from T1 to T2, changes in the proteome were mainly related to acetyl-COA metabolism, including the increased levels of glucose transporters MSMEG_2116 and MSMEG_2117. In addition, the increased abundance of orB and MSMEG_4646 from T2 to T3 suggests a plausible increase in acetyl-CoA synthesis from both pyruvate (a product of glycolysis) and 2-oxoglutarate Figs. 3a and 4a. In addition, during T2 to T3, differential levels of proteins involved in glycolysis were observed, e.g., Pgm (up), MSMEG_4646 (up), MSMEG 2597 (up), MSMEG 1543 (down), adhE1 (up), and MSMEG_5287 (up) (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Figure 4A). These results point toward a possible increased synthesis of acetyl-CoA at T3. Within this context, lipid metabolism is intimately associated with carbohydrate metabolism, as products of glycolysis such as acetyl-CoA, can be used in the synthesis of lipids. Between T1 and T2, several of the proteins that were differentially regulated play a known role in lipid metabolism; for example, KasA, KasB, and FabD levels increased between the time points,

and MSMEG_2536 decreased (Supplementary Figure 6a). Furthermore, from T2 to T3, after treatment, proteins associated with lipid metabolism, such as Des, Glpk, MSMEG_5242, MSMEG_3580, and MSMEG_2597, showed increased abundance, whereas FadD9 (acyl-CoA synthetase) showed decreased abundance. These findings point to a tentative relationship between carbohydrate metabolism, lipid metabolism, and sublethal DETA-NO treatment.

Our analyses indicate that the levels of several proteins involved in signaling were affected between T1 and T2; specifically, the levels of PhoU, which is annotated as a virulence factor, were decreased, and the levels of the TetR transcription factor MSMEG_2553

 Table 1
 The median fold changes for all comparisons as well as the standard deviation of the fold change

Time point comparison	Median fold change	Fold change standard deviation	Fold change cutoff(–) median – SD	Fold change cutoff(+) median+ SD
Untreated				
1 vs 2	0.005	0.154	-0.148	0.159
2 vs 3	0.008	0.297	-0.290	0.305
DETA-NO				
1 vs 2	0.003	0.167	-0.164	0.171
2 vs 3	0.009	0.283	-0.273	0.292
Hydrogen peroxi	de			
1 vs 2	-0.011	0.213	-0.224	0.202
2 vs 3	0.015	0.227	-0.212	0.243

The standard deviation was used to perform a fold change cutoff around the median fold change. Such that any fold change less than the fold change cutoff(–) was accepted as well as any fold change greater than the fold change cutoff(+).

were increased. The trend continued between T2 to T3, with a change in abundance of several virulence factors, such as RegX3, MtrA, DevR, MSMEG_3240, and MSMEG_5424, which are all members of two-component systems (see Fig. 4a). In addition to the increase of DevR abundance, other proteins controlled by the DosR regulon also increased in abundance, such as MSMEG_5243, MSMEG_5733, MSMEG_3945, MSMEG_5246, MSMEG_3940, MSMEG_5245, MSMEG_3942, MSMEG_3952, MSMEG_3950, and HspX (see Fig. 4c).

Among the members of the DosR response proteins, several were annotated as universal stress responders, including MSMEG_3945, MSMEG_3940, MSMEG_3950, MSMEG_5245, and MSMEG_5733. Notably, at T3, the abundance of proteins associated with DNA repair, such as Ku, MSMEG_2778, and MSMEG_5004, increased, suggesting DNA damage is induced by DETA-NO treatment. Evidence of protein expression perturbation from T2 to T3 was observed with the decrease in abundance of 11 ribosomal proteins. Additional accessory proteins involved in gene expression, such as Efp, MSMEG_1930, Rne, Rho, RecA, MSMEG_6892, and RpoC, were all found to decrease in abundance. These data are summarized in Table 2.

Changes in *M. smegmatis* proteome induced by exposure to sublethal concentration of H_2O_2

After treatment with H_2O_2 between T1 and T2, an increase was observed in the abundance of proteins, such as Alpha oxoglutarate ferredoxin oxidoreductase and beta subunit orB, and a decreased level was observed of MSMEG_1543, a protein also involved in acetyl-CoA metabolism (Supplementary Figure 5A). Changes in the proteome during T1 to T2 suggest a potential



Fig. 3 Proteins from each treatment condition that were found to have a differential abundance, as well as the overlap of these proteins between all conditions a 30, 48, and 29 proteins from DETA-NO, hydrogen peroxide, and untreated, respectively, were assessed for the overlap in protein identity. Of these, 22, 40, and 23 proteins were found to be unique to DETA-NO, H_2O_2 , and untreated conditions, respectively. **b** 177, 117, and 130 proteins belonging to the DETA-NO, H_2O_2 , and untreated conditions were assessed for overlap. It was found that 81, 35, and 61 proteins were unique to DETA-NO, H_2O_2 , and untreated conditions, respectively



on a gradient of dark blue to deep red, with dark blue indicating the relatively lowest expression and deep red indicating the relatively highest expression. The color of the ring surrounding the nodes denotes when the protein showed differential expression, with black rings indicating that in both comparisons (T1 and T3) the protein showed altered expression, the yellow rings indicate altered expression in only the first comparison (T1), and the gray rings indicate altered expression in only the second comparison (T3). **a** shows proteins associated with carbohydrate metabolism involving pathways such as glycolysis/gluconeogenesis and the citrate cycle. **b** shows ribosomal proteins and some proteins associated with gene expression, most of which are downregulated. **c** shows the DosR regulon proteins

Process	Uniprot accession	Gene name	Protein name	EC number	Fold change
Glycolysis/ gluconeogenesis	A0QU86	MSMEG_2116	PTS system, glucose-specific IIBC component (EC 2.7.1) (EC 2.7.1.69)	2.7.1; 2.7.1.69	0.22
Glycolysis/ gluconeogenesis	A0QU87	MSMEG_2117	Beta-glucoside-specific Ell permease (PTS system sugar phosphotransferase component IIA) (EC 2.7.1.69)	2.7.1.69	0.22
Glycolysis/ gluconeogenesis	A0R170	orB	Alpha oxoglutarate ferredoxin oxidoreductase, beta subunit	N/A	0.27
Glycolysis/ gluconeogenesis	A0R171	MSMEG_4646	Pyruvate flavodoxin/ferredoxin oxidoreductase-like protein (EC 1.2.7.3) (pyruvate synthase)	1.2.7.3	0.31
Glycolysis/ gluconeogenesis	A0QUA6	pgm	Phosphoglucomutase PgmA (phosphoglucomutase, alpha-d- glucose phosphate-specific) (EC 5.4.2.2)	5.4.2.2	0.29
Glycolysis/ gluconeogenesis	A0QVJ6	MSMEG_2597	Aldehyde dehydrogenase (EC 1.2.1.3) (aldehyde dehydrogenase AldC) (EC 1.2.1)	1.2.1.3; 1.2.1	0.37
Glycolysis/ gluconeogenesis	A0QSN7	MSMEG_1543	Eptc-inducible aldehyde dehydrogenase (EC 1.2.1.3)	1.2.1.3	-0.36
Glycolysis/ gluconeogenesis	A0QNQ5	adhE1	Oxidoreductase, zinc-binding dehydrogenase family protein (zinc-type alcohol dehydrogenase (E subunit) AdhE) (EC 1.1.1.1)	1.1.1.1	0.30
Glycolysis/ gluconeogenesis	AOR2Z4	MSMEG_5287	Alcohol dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.1.1) (dehydrogenase)	1.1.1.1	0.35
Lipid metabolism	AOROB4	kasA	3-oxoacyl-(Acyl-carrier-protein) synthase 1 KasA (3-oxoacyl- [acyl-carrier-protein] synthase 1) (EC 2.3.1.41)	2.3.1.41	0.37
Lipid metabolism	AOROB5	kasB	3-oxoacyl-(Acyl-carrier-protein) synthase 1 KasA (3-oxoacyl- [acyl-carrier-protein] synthase 2) (EC 2.3.1.41)	2.3.1.41	0.28
Lipid metabolism	AOROB2	fabD	Malonyl CoA-acyl-carrier protein transacylase (MCT) (EC 2.3.1.39)	2.3.1.39	0.57
Lipid metabolism	A0QVD5	MSMEG_2536	3-oxoacyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] reductase (EC 1.1.1.100) (short- chain dehydrogenase/reductase SDR)	1.1.1.100	-0.28
Lipid metabolism	AOR4B3	des	Acyl-acyl-carrier protein desaturase DesA1 (EC 1.14.19.2) (Fatty-acid desaturase)	1.14.19.2	0.68
Lipid metabolism	A0R726	glpK	Glycerol kinase (EC 2.7.1.30) (ATP:glycerol 3- phosphotransferase) (glycerokinase) (GK)	2.7.1.30	0.35
Lipid metabolism	A0R2V0	MSMEG_5242	Diacylglycerol o-acyltransferase (EC 2.3.1.20)	2.3.1.20	1.41
Lipid metabolism	A0QY95	MSMEG_3580	Antigen 85-C (EC 2.3.1)	2.3.1	0.48
Lipid metabolism	A0QVJ6	MSMEG_2597	Aldehyde dehydrogenase (EC 1.2.1.3) (Aldehyde dehydrogenase AldC) (EC 1.2.1)	1.2.1.3; 1.2.1	0.37
Lipid metabolism	A0QWI7	fadD9	Fatty-acid-CoA ligase FadD9 (EC 6.2.1.3) (NAD dependent epimerase/dehydratase family protein)	6.2.1.3	-0.49
Response regulators	AOR4B7	phoU	Phosphate-specific transport system accessory protein PhoU	N/A	-0.22
Response regulators	A0QVF2	MSMEG_2553	Transcriptional regulator, TetR family protein	N/A	0.25
Response regulators	Q9F868	regX3	Sensory transduction protein regX3	N/A	0.46
Response regulators	A0QTK2	mtrA	DNA-binding response regulator MtrA	N/A	0.34
Response regulators	A0R2V2	devR	LuxR family two-component response regulator (two- component transcriptional regulatory protein devr)	N/A	0.79
DosR regulon	A0QXB5	MSMEG_3240	DNA-binding response regulator, LuxR family protein	N/A	0.49

Table 2 Summary of a subset of differentially abundant proteins due to DETA-NO treatment, from all time points

Table 2 continued	Tab	le 2	continued
-------------------	-----	------	-----------

Process	Uniprot accession	Gene name	Protein name	EC number	Fold change
DosR regulon	A0R3C6	MSMEG_5424	Transcriptional regulator, TetR family protein	N/A	0.31
DosR regulon	A0R478	MSMEG_5733	Putative universal stress protein UspA (universal stress protein family protein)	N/A	0.58
DosR regulon	A0QZ91	MSMEG_3940	Universal stress protein family protein (UspA)	N/A	0.72
DosR regulon	A0QZ93	MSMEG_3942	Uncharacterized protein	N/A	0.86
DosR regulon	A0QZ96	MSMEG_3945	Universal stress protein family protein	N/A	0.61
DosR regulon	A0QZA2	MSMEG_3952	Uncharacterized protein	N/A	0.87
DosR regulon	A0QZA1	MSMEG_3950	Universal stress protein MSMEG_3950/MSMEI_3859 (USP MSMEG_3950)	N/A	0.90
DosR regulon	A0R2V1	MSMEG_5243	Helix-turn-helix motif (pyridoxamine 5'-phosphate oxidase- related, FMN-binding protein)	N/A	0.45
DosR regulon	A0R2V3	MSMEG_5245	Universal stress protein family protein (UspA)	N/A	0.72
DosR regulon	A0R2V4	MSMEG_5246	Uncharacterized protein	N/A	0.71
DosR regulon	A0QZ83	hspX	14 kDa antigen (Heat shock protein hspX)	N/A	1.05
DNA repair	AOR3S7	ku	Non-homologous end joining protein Ku	N/A	0.28
DNA repair	A0QW21	MSMEG_2778	Putative ribonuclease D (EC 3.1) (Ribonuclease D)	3.1	0.63
DNA repair	A0R267	MSMEG_5004	DNA repair exonuclease (DNA repair exonuclease SbcD)	N/A	0.43
Protein expression	A0QWR4	efp	Elongation factor P (EF-P)	N/A	-0.32
Protein expression	A0QTQ8	MSMEG_1930	DEAD/DEAH box helicase	N/A	-0.38
Protein expression	A0R218	rho	Transcription termination factor Rho (EC 3.6.4) (ATP- dependent helicase Rho)	3.6.4	-0.25
Protein expression	AOR152	rne	Ribonuclease E (RNase E) (EC 3.1.26.12)	3.1.26.12	-0.46
Protein expression	Q59560	recA	Protein RecA (recombinase A)	N/A	-0.33
Protein expression	AOR7F4	MSMEG_6892	Replicative DNA helicase (EC 3.6.4.12)	3.6.4.12	-0.58
Protein expression	A0QS66	rpoC	DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta' (RNAP subunit beta') (EC 2.7.7.6) (RNA polymerase subunit beta') (transcriptase subunit beta')	2.7.7.6	-0.39
Protein expression	A0QSD1	rpIC	50 S ribosomal protein L3	N/A	-0.25
Protein expression	A0QSG4	rplF	50 S ribosomal protein L6	N/A	-0.25
Protein expression	A0QS62	rplJ	50 S ribosomal protein L10	N/A	-0.25
Protein expression	A0QSG8	rplO	50 S ribosomal protein L15	N/A	-0.26
Protein expression	A0QSD6	rplV	50 S ribosomal protein L22	N/A	-0.25
Protein expression	A0QSD3	rplW	50 S ribosomal protein L23	N/A	-0.18
Protein expression	A0QV03	rpmB	50 S ribosomal protein L28	N/A	-0.31
Protein expression	A0QSL7	rpsD	30 S ribosomal protein S4	N/A	-0.25
Protein expression	A0QSG6	rpsE	30 S ribosomal protein S5	N/A	-0.27
Protein expression	A0QSD0	rpsJ	30 S ribosomal protein S10	N/A	-0.30

The process the protein is involved in as well as aliases is shown. The EC number, also displayed on the KEGG pathway diagrams, is shown along with the fold change of each protein

deregulation of alanine, aspartate, and glutamate metabolism. From T2 to T3, an increase was observed in MSMEG_4646, a pyruvate synthase that like orB may suggest an increase in acetyl-CoA synthesis through the reaction 1.2.7.11 (Supplementary Figure 4). However, MSMEG_6297, which is also involved in acetyl-CoA metabolism, was observed to have a decreased abundance. The increased levels of proteins such as KasB and Des (Supplementary Figure 6B) suggest that exposure to H_2O_2 may also alter lipid metabolism and the cell envelope. H_2O_2 also appears to induce signaling responses because, from T1 to T2, a decrease in proteins such as the response regulator MSMEG 6236 (LuxR family transcriptional regulator) and an increased level of two TetR family transcription factors MSMEG 0532 and MSMEG 1611 was observed. From T2 to T3, evidence existed for further signaling perturbation, as indicated by the abundances of two-component system response regulators. Regulators such as RegX3, MtrA, and DevR, which are annotated as virulence factors, showed an increased abundance from T1 to T2, whereas other virulence factors such as LeuD and Mce4B decreased with treatment in the same time frame (Table 3).

Similar to the response with the DETA-NO treatment, between T2 and T3, DevR showed an increase with H_2O_2 treatment, which was accompanied by increased levels of other proteins controlled by the DevR regulon, including MSMEG 5243, MSMEG 5246, MSMEG 3952 (Putative NAD(P)H nitroreductase), MSMEG 5245 (Usp), MSMEG 3945 (Usp), MSMEG 3940 (UspA), MSMEG_3950 (Usp), and MSMEG_3942 (Fig. 5c and Table 3). Some differentially expressed members of the DosR regulon were annotated as universal stress respon-MSMEG 3945, ders such as MSMEG 3940, MSMEG_3950, and MSMEG_5245. Another universal stress responder, MSMEG_5733, not annotated as part of the DevR regulon, also increased in abundance. Finally, the increased levels of proteins annotated with oxidoreductase activity were notable. These enzymes may play a role in restoring/maintaining the appropriate redox potential in the cell. Among this group, some proteins (MSMEG_1885, which shows increased abundance, SufB, and GltD, both show decreased abundances) were annotated as an iron-sulfur cluster binding protein. Proteins that modulate transcription and translation such as RpoC, RbpA, and Rho as well as 10 ribosomal proteins, observed as having decreased abundance between T2 and T3 (Fig. 5b and Table 3).

Pre-exposure to DETA-NO or H₂O₂ enhanced survival during macrophage attack

To evaluate whether pre-exposure to sublethal concentrations of DETA-NO or H_2O_2 would confer a survival advantage during macrophage attack, we assessed macrophage uptake and bacterial survival at the three time points and compared the CFUs of pretreated bacteria and untreated bacteria. At T1, no significant difference occurred between treated and untreated bacteria for uptake into macrophages, as determined by a two-tailed student t test (see Fig. 6a). For survival at T1 in the DETA-NO treatment, no significant change was observed in survival despite a fold change increase of 1.88, whereas the H_2O_2 treatment showed a significantly higher (p =0.02) rate of survival, with a fold increase of 2.94 (Fig. 6b). At T2, the bacteria pretreated with DETA-NO showed significantly decreased (p = 0.015) uptake into macrophages, with a 1.77-fold decrease. The H₂O₂ treatment showed no significant change in uptake (see Fig. 6a). Both DETA-NO and H₂O₂ pretreatments showed significant increases in survival with fold changes of 2.34 and 2.04, respectively (Fig. 6b). At T3, the bacteria treated with DETA-NO or H₂O₂ showed significantly decreased uptake of 1.78- and 1.94-fold decrease (Fig. 6a). At T3, DETA-NO pretreatment showed the greatest increase in survival, increasing 9.67-fold. H₂O₂ treatment resulted in a 2.83-fold increase in surviving bacteria to macrophage attack (Fig. 6b).

Discussion

Previously, Li et al. demonstrated that 30 min of exposure to H₂O₂ was sufficient to induce widespread transcriptional adaptation in *M. smemgmatis*¹⁴. They also observed that 7 mM H₂O₂ was lethal after 3 h of exposure. These observations differ from those reported here. We observed 10 mM H₂O₂ as sublethal and able to induce a proteomic response as early as 30 min post exposure. These differences can be explained by the growth media supplements used in both cases. The supplement oleic acid albumin dextrose complex (OADC) used here contains catalase, which rapidly degrades H₂O₂. The supplement used by Li et al. was lacking catalase. The proteomic changes we observe here in cultures treated with H_2O_2 (Table 3) are the result of brief exposure to H_2O_2 and the likely signaling events associated with this brief exposure.

Redox stresses that mycobacteria encounter within hosts, such as ROS, RNS, hypoxia, and starvation, have been shown to trigger important adaptive changes in mycobacterial physiology that ultimately contribute to survival inside the host^{3,25}. Understanding the mechanistic connection between the initial stimuli activated by signaling molecules such as H_2O_2 or NO and the subsequent events leading to bacterial cell responses requires a global view of the changes occurring at gene expression level^{13,14} as well as those happening at the protein level^{16,26}. From this perspective, we aimed to establish a link between the changes induced in the *M. smegmatis* proteome and enhanced survival to macrophage attack.

Model Model Second dependence of the second defendational in particular (second defendational in particular (second defendational in particular (second defendational in particular (second defendational defendation defendation defendational defendation defendati defandation defendati defandation defendati defandation	Process	Uniprot accession	Gene name	Protein name	EC number	Fold change
0011 0045C. 0046C. 0040C. 0040C. <td>Glycolysis/ gluconeogenesis</td> <td>A0QSN7</td> <td>MSMEG_1543</td> <td>Eptc-inducible aldehyde dehydrogenase (EC 1.2.1.3)</td> <td>1.2.1.3</td> <td>-0.38</td>	Glycolysis/ gluconeogenesis	A0QSN7	MSMEG_1543	Eptc-inducible aldehyde dehydrogenase (EC 1.2.1.3)	1.2.1.3	-0.38
(Geoder) (M3) (M3) (M4)	Glycolysis/ gluconeogenesis	A0R171	MSMEG_4646	Pyruvate flavodoxin/ferredoxin oxidoreductase-like protein (EC 1.2.7.3) (pyruvate synthase)	1.2.7.3	0.28
Gynchysichemente Mit7 oté Alpha anoglutate frencionan controctulotate bras adurant Mit7 2014 2014 Repretencionan 00083 bés 3-consolvutater frencionan controctulotate bras adurant 1141.03 223.44 1141.03 223 Repretencionan 0003 bés 3-consolvutater frencionan controctulotate bras adurant 1141.03 23 32	Glycolysis/ gluconeogenesis	A0R5S7	MSMEG_6297	Aldehyde pehydrogenase (EC 1.2;-) (aldehyde dehydrogenase)	1.2	-0.41
Updit metholion0888isalSconardy-dy-artime-protein genation0816isalSconardy-dy-artime-protein genation114121 </td <td>Glycolysis/ gluconeogenesis</td> <td>A0R170</td> <td>orB</td> <td>Alpha oxoglutarate ferredoxin oxidoreductase, beta subunit</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>0,49</td>	Glycolysis/ gluconeogenesis	A0R170	orB	Alpha oxoglutarate ferredoxin oxidoreductase, beta subunit	N/A	0,49
Indicinum RHR Bits Apply-carries print destance Devil (E.1. (4) 2) (firty-cod facturate) 12/13 12/	Lipid metabolism	A0R0B5	kasB	3-oxoacyl-(Acyl-carrier-protein) synthase 1 KasA (3-oxoacyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] synthase 2) (EC 2.3.1.41)	2.3.1.41	0.33
Response regulation OCOG RANKE, GLSB Renorm regulation ROM COS Response regulation COCG RANKE, GLSB Response regulation RCM COS Response regulation COGS1 RANKE, GLSB Response regulation function/remorphismely parentin RCM COS Response regulation CORS1 Response regulation function/remorphismely formation RCM COS Response regulation CORS1 Response regulation function/remorphismely formation RCM COS Response regulation CORS1 Response regulation function/remorphismely formation RCM COS Response regulation COCS3 Response regulation function/remorphismely formation RCM COS Response regulation COCS3 Response regulation function/remorphismely formation RCM COS Response regulation COCS3 Response regulation function/remorphismely formation RCM COS Response regulation RCMS Response regulation function/remorphismel for function RCM COS Response regulation RCMS Response regulation funcion/remorphis	Lipid metabolism	A0R4B3	des	Acyl-acyl-carrier protein desaturase DesA1 (EC 1.14.19.2) (fatty-acid desaturase)	1.14.19.2	1.23
40301 MARG, 1301 MARG, 1301 </td <td>Response regulators</td> <td>A0QPV5</td> <td>MSMEG_0532</td> <td>Transcriptional regulator, TetR family protein</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>0,27</td>	Response regulators	A0QPV5	MSMEG_0532	Transcriptional regulator, TetR family protein	N/A	0,27
Reponse regulations ORGS MoNEG_LCJ3 Reponse regulations No. -0.01 Reponse regulations ACRS ACRS Reponse regulations NO. NO. -0.01 Reponse regulations ACRS Red Reponse regulations NO. -0.01 -0.01 Reponse regulations ACOLS Response regulations ACOLS No. -0.01 -0.01 Reponse regulations ACOLS Regrosphraget edysformating exponse desponse regulations who recently inducer fractors who femaly protein (2.4.1.3.13) (approtein) No. -0.01 -0.01 Reponse regulations ACOLS Response regulations Reponse regulations NO. NO. -0.01 Reponse regulations ACOLS Response regulations NO. NO. NO. -0.01 Reponse regulations ACOLS Response regulations NO. NO. NO. NO. -0.01 Response regulation ACOLS Response regulation and Response regulation NO. NO. NO. -0.0	Response regulators	A0QSV1	MSMEG_1611	Putative transcriptional regulatory protein (transcriptional regulator, TetR family protein, putative)	N/A	0.32
Regrotie regulation 0402 0468 Lund framm, voc.emponent tenscription in patient (no-component tenscription) NA 0.41 Regrotie regulation 000,00 mod Voc.emponent tenscription 0.413 -0.23 Regrotie regulation 000,01 mod Voc.emponent equipation 0.041 0.043 0.043 Regrotie regulation 000,01 mod Voc.emponent equipation 0.041 0.043 <t< td=""><td>Response regulators</td><td>A0R5L8</td><td>MSMEG_6236</td><td>Response regulator, two-component system (two-component system, regulatory protein)</td><td>N/A</td><td>-0.51</td></t<>	Response regulators	A0R5L8	MSMEG_6236	Response regulator, two-component system (two-component system, regulatory protein)	N/A	-0.51
Response regulators ADDUZ End Sepanse regulators ADDUZ ADDUZ Sepanse regulators ADDUZ Sepanse regulators ADDUZ	Response regulators	A0R2V2	devR	LuxR family two-component response regulator (two-component transcriptional regulatory protein devr)	N/A	0.44
Reporte regulator OBMV media M.E. family protein M.G. family protein M.G. - 0.03 Reporte regulator AOT medi DW-entrol M.G. M.G. family protein M.G. - 0.03 Reporte regulator AOT medi DW-entrol M.G. M.G. - 0.03 Reporte regulator AOD3 M.K.G., 343 Unward area protein many protein m/s/portein N.M. - 0.03 DM entrol M.G. M.K.G., 343 Unward area protein many protein N.M. N.M. N.M. - 0.03 DM entrol M.G. M.M. M.M. M.M. - 0.03 N.M. N.M. - 0.03 DM entrol M.M. M.M. M.M. M.M. M.M. - 0.03 N.M. - 0.03 DM entrol M.M. M.M. M.M. M.M. M.M. - 0.03 N.M. - 0.03 N.M.M.M.M.M.M.M.M.M.M.M.M.M.M.M.M.M.M.M	Response regulators	A0QUZ0	leuD	3-isopropylmalate dehydratase small subunit (EC 4.2.1.33) (alpha-IPM isomerase) (IPMI) (Isopropylmalate isomerase)	4.2.1.33	-0.42
House regulator AOII mix N-M-Indian granter regulator (M-A M-A M-A <td>Response regulators</td> <td>A0R4N7</td> <td>mce4B</td> <td>MCE family protein MCE4b (virulence factor Mce family protein)</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>-0.23</td>	Response regulators	A0R4N7	mce4B	MCE family protein MCE4b (virulence factor Mce family protein)	N/A	-0.23
Regione regulators 0988 reg3 Service variation poten reg3 Constraint fragment of any poten reg3 Constraint fragment of any poten family poten (UpA) 023 NMA NMA 023 NMA	Response regulators	A0QTK2	mtrA	DNA-binding response regulator MtrA	N/A	0.32
Dolf region A0231 Mb/KG_3340 Universal stress ponein family potein (Lyph) NM 051 Dolf region A0233 Mb/KG_3320 Universal stress ponein family potein NM 053 Dolf region A0234 Mb/KG_3350 Universal stress ponein family potein NM 054 Dolf region A0234 Mb/KG_3350 Universal stress ponein family potein NM 054 Dolf region A0234 Universal stress ponein family potein NM 054 054 Dolf region A0234 Universal stress ponein family potein NM 054 054 Dolf region A0874 Mb/KG_335 Universal stress ponein family potein NM 054 054 Dolf region A0873 Mb/KG_336 Holiversal stress ponein family potein NM 053 Dolf region A0873 Mb/KG_3454 Holiversal stress ponein family potein NM 054 054 Dolf region A0874 MD/KG_3454 Holiversal stress ponein family potein NM 054 054 054 054	Response regulators	Q9F868	regX3	Sensory transduction protein regX3	N/A	0.30
Dolf region M0233 M046G_3942 Underacticated poten M1 033 Dolf region A0236 M046G_3930 Minesal areas poten MMG_30300 Minesal areas poten MMG_3000 Minesal areas poten MMG_300	DosR regulon	A0QZ91	MSMEG_3940	Universal stress protein family protein (UspA)	N/A	0.51
OBS Regular A0025b MiXIG_3930 Universi areas potein MiXIC_3930 MiXIC_3	DosR regulon	A0QZ93	MSMEG_3942	Uncharacterized protein	N/A	0.54
Doki region A02X1 MSMEG_390 Universit stress protein MSMEG_3900 Monetal stress protein MSMEG_3900 MV D6I Doki region A02X2 MSMEG_3233 Universit stress protein MSMEG_3930 Universit stress protein MSMEG_3930 Universit stress protein MSMEG_3930 Universit stress protein MSMEG_3940 NVM NVM D68 Doki region A07X3 NSMEG_3743 Universit stress protein MSMEG_3140 NVM NVM D64 Doki region A07X3 NSMEG_3745 Universit stress protein MSMEG_3140 NVM D64 D64 Doki region A07X3 NSMEG_3745 Universit stress protein MSMEG_14404 NVM D64 D64 Doki region A07X3 NSMEG_3745 Universit stress protein MSMEG_14404 NVM NV D64 D64 Redok homeostals A00X3 MSM Fe5 strens/MDPH, smll subunit EC 14.1.1 (Gutamate synthase, small subunit NVM D64 D65 Redok homeostals A00X3 MSMEG_1454 MAVM-MDPH, smll subunit EC 14.1.1 (Gutamate synthase, small subunit NVM D64 D64 Redok homeostals	DosR regulon	A0QZ96	MSMEG_3945	Universal stress protein family protein	N/A	0.43
Dokl regularA022AMKEG.3932Undvarcreted potenNANA0.33Dokl regularAPRVIMRKE.3233Herkurrhiku regularPikururhiku regularNANA0.33Dokl regularAPRVIMKKE.3234Undvarcreted potenNANA0.43Dokl regularAPR2VIMKKE.5234Undvarcreted potenNANA0.43Dokl regularAPR2VIMKKE.5234Undvarcreted potenNANA0.43Dokl regularAPR2VIMKKE.5234Undvarcreted potenNANA0.43Dokl regularAPR2VIMKKE.5234Undvarcreted potenNANA0.43Dokl regularAPR2VIMKKE.5234Undvarcreted potenNANA0.43Dokl regularAPR2VIMKKE.1383Fetarase sprinden (bindvarcreted potenNA0.430.43Redox homeostasisAO(T13)MKKE.1383Fetarase subini (bindvarcreted for (DosR regulon	A0QZA1	MSMEG_3950	Universal stress protein MSMEG_3950/MSMEL_3859 (USP MSMEG_3950)	N/A	0.61
Defi regularARRV1NSMEG_S243Heikkrun-heik morf (pyridoxamire 5:-phosphate oxidas-related, FMN-binding potein)NA0.38Defi regularARRV3NSMEG_S243Heikkrun-heik morf (pyridoxamire 5:-phosphate oxidas-related, FMN-binding potein)NA0.43Defi regularARRV3NSMEG_S243Unchraacterised poteinNANA0.43Defi regularARRV3NSMEG_S245Unchraacterised poteinNA0.43Defi regularARRV3NSMEG_S245Unchraacterised poteinNA0.43Redox homeorasiasADR883a)a)1.410.24Redox homeorasiasADR883a)a)NA0.43Redox homeorasiasADR42ika rest porting domain potein (byd/dotaducase FAD-binding domain potein)NA-0.24Redox homeorasiasADR12NANA-0.24NA-0.24Redox homeorasiasADR13NANA-0.24NA-0.24Redox homeorasiasADR14RNA polymerase suburit beralNA-0.24NA-0.26Protein expressionADD20RNAProtein expressionADD20NA-0.22-0.24Protein expressionADD20RNARNA polymerase suburit beralNA-0.22-0.22Protein expressionADD20RNARNAProtein expressionADD20NA-0.22Protein expressionADD20RNARNAProtein expressionADD20NA-0.22Protein expressionADD20	DosR regulon	A0QZA2	MSMEG_3952	Uncharacterized protein	N/A	0.63
Dosk regulorAR2V3MSMEG_S248Universal stress protein family protein (UspA)NM 0.43 Dosk regulorAR2V4MSMEG_S248Universal stress protein family proteinNM 0.46 Dosk regulorAR82V4MSMEG_S248Universal stress protein family proteinNM 0.46 Dosk regulorAR82V4MSMEG_S248Universal stress protein family proteinNM 0.46 Dosk regulorAR82V4MSMEG_S248Universal stress protein family proteinNM 0.46 Redox homeostasisA0683glUDEeS assembly protein SuffNMNM 0.47 Redox homeostasisA00713MSMEG_ISBSFe's assembly protein SuffNMNM 0.47 Redox homeostasisA00713MSMEG_ISBSFe's assembly protein SuffNMNM 0.02 Redox homeostasisA00713MSMEG_ISBSFe's assembly protein SuffNMNM 0.02 Redox homeostasisA00713MSMEG_ISBSFe's assembly protein SuffNMNM 0.02 Redox homeostasisA00713MSMEG_ISBSFe's assembly protein SuffNM 0.02 0.02 Redox homeostasisA00721MSMEG_ISBSFe's assembly protein SuffNM 0.02 0.02 Redox homeostasisA00713MSMEG_ISBSFe's assembly protein SuffNM 0.02 0.02 Redox homeostasisA00713MSMEG_ISBSFe's assembly protein SuffNM 0.02 0.02 Rotein expressionA00213MSMEG_ISBS<	DosR regulon	A0R2V1	MSMEG_5243	Helix-turn-helix motif (pyridoxamine 5'-phosphate oxidase-related, FMN-binding protein)	N/A	0.38
Dosk regularA0P2V4MSKG_5246Uncharacterized poteinNMA046Dosk regularA0P2V3MSKG_5733Putative unversal stress portein UspA (Universal stress portein family portein)N/A046Dosk regularA0P2V3pitGutaranee synthase, MAP/MADFI, small subunit (EC 1.41) (Gutaranee synthase, subunit bara	DosR regulon	A0R2V3	MSMEG_5245	Universal stress protein family protein (UspA)	N/A	0.43
Dosk regulanA0Ar38MSMEG_5733Putative universal stress protein LigA (Universal stress protein family potein)N/A 037 Redox homeostasisA06633gltDGluramate synthase. AADH/AADPH, small subunit (EC 1.41) (Gluramate synthase. small subunit)1.41 -0.24 Redox homeostasisA00713MSMEG_1885ZFE-25 inorsulfur custer binding domain protein (xidoreductase FAD-binding domain protein)N/A -0.24 Redox homeostasisA00711htpARNA polymease subunit bera?N/A -0.24 Redox homeostasisA00713MSMEG_1885ZFE-25 inorsulfur custer binding domain protein (xidoreductase FAD-binding domain protein)N/A -0.24 Redox homeostasisA00713RNA polymease subunit bera?N/A -0.24 -0.24 Protein expressionA00250rpb2RNA polymease subunit bera?N/A -0.24 Protein expressionA00718rpb2RNA polymease subunit bera? -0.24 Protein expressionA00729rpb2rpb3 -0.23 -0.24 Protein expressionA00718rpb2rpb2 -0.24 -0.24 Protein expressionA00729rpb3 -0.24 -0.24 -0.24 Protein expressionA00520rpb3 -0.24 -0.24 -0.24 </td <td>DosR regulon</td> <td>A0R2V4</td> <td>MSMEG_5246</td> <td>Uncharacterized protein</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>0.46</td>	DosR regulon	A0R2V4	MSMEG_5246	Uncharacterized protein	N/A	0.46
Redox homeostasisA0R683gtDGlutamate synthase, NADH/NADPH, small subunit (EC 14.1-) (Glutamate synthase, small subunit)14.10.24Redox homeostasisA00X23sitlisFa-Ss ion-suffic cluster binding protein SuBFa-Ss ion-suffic cluster binding protein SuB-0.02Redox homeostasisA00T11thpARNA polymerase-binding protein SuBNIA-0.02Protein expressionA00Z11thpARNA polymerase-binding protein KbpANIA-0.02Protein expressionA00S2tpDARNA polymerase subunit bera)NIA-0.02Protein expressionA00S2tpDATarscription termination factor Rho (EC 36.4-) (ATP- dependent helicaæ Rho)2.776-0.21Protein expressionA08Z18rhoTarscription termination factor Rho (EC 36.4-) (ATP- dependent helicaæ Rho)3.640.21Protein expressionA08Z19rpS3.5 ribosomal protein S3NIA-0.22Protein expressionA00SD2rpS3.5 ribosomal protein S3NIA-0.22Protein expressionA00SD3rpS3.5 ribosomal protein S3NIA-0.23Protein expressionA00SD3rpSrpS3.5 ribosomal protein S3NIA-0.23Protein expressionA00SD3rpSrpS3.5 ribosomal protein S3NIA-0.23Protein expressionA00SD3rpSrpS3.5 ribosomal protein S3NIA-0.23Protein expressionA00SD3rpSrpSrpSrpSNIA-0.23Protein expression<	DosR regulon	A0R478	MSMEG_5733	Putative universal stress protein UspA (Universal stress protein family protein)	N/A	0.37
Redox homestasisA00W29suffFe5 assembly protein SuffFe5 assembly protein SuffINA-0.62Redox homestasisA00T13MSMEG_11852 Fe-25 ion-suffur duster binding domain protein (xidoreductase FAD-binding domain protein)NA-0.02Protein expressionA00211rbpARNA polymerase-binding protein RbpANA-0.26Protein expressionA00256rboCDNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta?177.6-0.26Protein expressionA00788rboCDNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta?277.6-0.26Protein expressionA002807rboCDNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta?277.6-0.26Protein expressionA002807rpsB305 ribosomal protein RpANA-0.22Protein expressionA002807rpsC305 ribosomal protein 52NTP-dependent helicase Rho)36.4-0.22Protein expressionA005807rpsC305 ribosomal protein 53NA-0.22NA-0.22Protein expressionA005807rpsI305 ribosomal protein 51NA-0.22NA-0.22Protein expressionA00582rpsI305 ribosomal protein 513NA-0.22NA-0.22Protein expressionA00562rpsI75305 ribosomal protein 110NA-0.22Protein expressionA00588rpU505 ribosomal protein 113NA-0.23Protein expressionA00562rpU505 ribosomal protein 113NA-0.23Protein expres	Redox homeostasis	A0R683	gltD	Glutamate synthase, NADH/NADPH, small subunit (EC 1.4.1) (Glutamate synthase, small subunit)	1.4.1	-0.24
Redox homeostasis A0QTL3 MSMEG_188S 2Fe-25 ion-sufur cluster binding domain protein (oxidoreductase FAD-binding domain protein) NA 0.91 Protein expression A00Z11 rbpA RNA polymerase binding protein RbpA N/A -0.26 Protein expression A00Z12 rbpA RNA polymerase subunit beta) (EX 277.6) (RNA polymerase subunit beta) 2.77.6 -0.26 Protein expression A00Z18 rho Tanscriptase subunit beta) (EX 36.4.5) (RNA pubrint beta) 2.77.6 -0.21 Protein expression A00Z18 rho Tanscriptase subunit beta) 2.77.6) (RNA polymerase subunit beta) 2.77.6) -0.23 Protein expression A00218 rho Tanscriptase subunit beta) 2.77.6) (RNA polymerase subunit beta) 2.77.6) -0.23 Protein expression A00208 rpsB 3.65 rbssomal protein S3 2.64 rssi -0.22 -0.23 Protein expression A00SD rpsI 3.65 rbssomal protein S3 2.67 rssomal protein S3 -0.23 -0.23 Protein expression A00SSG rpsI 3.65 rbssomal protein S1 -0.23	Redox homeostasis	A0QWZ9	sufB	FeS assembly protein SufB	N/A	-0.62
Protein expressionA00211thpARNA polymerase-binding protein RbA 0.16 0.026 Protein expressionA00256rpoCDNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta) 2.776 0.026 Protein expressionA00250rpoCItanscriptase subunit beta) 2.776 0.026 Protein expressionA00251rpoCTranscription termination factor Rho (EC 36.4:) (ATP-dependent helicase Rho) 2.776 -0.22 Protein expressionA00270rpsB305 ribosomal protein S3 0.0767 0.076 0.026 Protein expressionA00289rpsI305 ribosomal protein S3 0.0767 0.076 0.026 Protein expressionA00250rpsI305 ribosomal protein S3 0.0767 0.076 0.026 Protein expressionA00251rpsI305 ribosomal protein S3 0.0767 0.076 0.026 Protein expressionA00552rpJU505 ribosomal protein S13 0.0767 0.026 0.026 Protein expressionA00552rpJU505 ribosomal protein L13 0.0766 0.026 0.026 Protein expressionA00568rpJU505 ribosomal protein L13 0.026 0.026 0.026 Protein expressionA00568rpJU505 ribosomal protein L13 0.026 0.026 Protein expressionA00568rpJU505 ribosomal protein L13 0.026 Protein expressionA00563rpJU505 ribosomal protein L13 0.026 Protein expressionA00563rpJU <td>Redox homeostasis</td> <td>A0QTL3</td> <td>MSMEG_1885</td> <td>2Fe-2S iron-sulfur cluster binding domain protein (oxidoreductase FAD-binding domain protein)</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>0.91</td>	Redox homeostasis	A0QTL3	MSMEG_1885	2Fe-2S iron-sulfur cluster binding domain protein (oxidoreductase FAD-binding domain protein)	N/A	0.91
Protein expressionA0C566rpoCDNA directed RNA polymease subunit beta)C2.77.60 (RNA polymease subunit beta)2.77.6-0.23Protein expressionA0R218rhoTranscription termination factor Rho (EC 36.4.) (ATP-dependent helicase Rho)36.40.21Protein expressionA0R218rhoTranscription termination factor Rho (EC 36.4.) (ATP-dependent helicase Rho)36.40.22Protein expressionA0R219rpsB30 s ribosomal protein S3NA-0.22Protein expressionA00S20rpsI30 s ribosomal protein S3NA-0.22Protein expressionA00S12rpsI30 s ribosomal protein S10NA-0.22Protein expressionA00S12rpsI30 s ribosomal protein S10NA-0.22Protein expressionA00S12rpul30 s ribosomal protein S13NA-0.22Protein expressionA00S12rpul30 s ribosomal protein S13NA-0.22Protein expressionA00S58rpul50 s ribosomal protein L10NA-0.22Protein expressionA00S68rplU50 s ribosomal protein L13NA-0.23Protein expressionA00S63rplU50 s ribosomal protein L13NA-0.23Prot	Protein expression	A0QZ11	rbpA	RNA polymerase-binding protein RbpA	N/A	-0.26
Protein expressionA0R218HoTranscription termination factor Rho (EC 3.6.4) (ATP-dependent helicase Rho)3.6.40.21Protein expressionA0QVB8rps30 s ribosomal protein S2N/H-0.22Protein expressionA0QSD9rps30 s ribosomal protein S3N/H-0.22Protein expressionA0QSD0rps30 s ribosomal protein S3N/H-0.22Protein expressionA0QSD0rps30 s ribosomal protein S3N/H-0.22Protein expressionA0QSD0rps30 s ribosomal protein S10N/H-0.23Protein expressionA0QSD2rps30 s ribosomal protein S10N/H-0.23Protein expressionA0QSE3rps30 s ribosomal protein S10N/H-0.23Protein expressionA0QSE3rpl50 s ribosomal protein L10N/H-0.23Protein expressionA0QSE3rpl50 s ribosomal protein L13N/H-0.23Protein expressionA0QSE3rpl50 s ribosomal protein L13N/H-0.23 <tr<< td=""><td>Protein expression</td><td>A0Q566</td><td>rpoC</td><td>DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta' (RNAP subunit beta') (EC 2.7.7.6) (RNA polymerase subunit beta') (transcriptase subunit beta')</td><td>2.7.7.6</td><td>-0.23</td></tr<<>	Protein expression	A0Q566	rpoC	DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta' (RNAP subunit beta') (EC 2.7.7.6) (RNA polymerase subunit beta') (transcriptase subunit beta')	2.7.7.6	-0.23
Protein expressionAQVB8rpsB30 5 ribosomal protein 52-0.22Protein expressionA0OSD7rpsC30 5 ribosomal protein 53-0.22Protein expressionA0OSD9rps130 5 ribosomal protein 59-0.22Protein expressionA0OSD0rps130 5 ribosomal protein 50-0.22Protein expressionA0OSD3rps130 5 ribosomal protein 510N/A-0.22Protein expressionA0OSD6rps130 5 ribosomal protein 510N/A-0.22Protein expressionA0OSD7rps130 5 ribosomal protein 510N/A-0.23Protein expressionA0OS58rp1U50 5 ribosomal protein 110N/A-0.23Protein expressionA0OS58rp1M50 5 ribosomal protein 113N/A-0.23Protein expressionA0OS58rp1O50 5 ribosomal protein 113N/A-0.23Protein expressionA0OS58rp1O50 5 ribosomal protein 113N/A-0.23Protein expressionA0OS56rp1N50 5 ribosomal protein 113-0.23-0.23Protein expressionA0OS56rp1N50 5 ribosomal protein 113	Protein expression	A0R218	rho	Transcription termination factor Rho (EC 3.6.4) (ATP-dependent helicase Rho)	3.6.4	-0.21
Protein expressionA0GSD7rpsC30 5 ribosomal protein 53Protein expressionA0GSD7rpsC30 5 ribosomal protein 53Protein expressionA0GSD0rps130 5 ribosomal protein 510N/A-0.22Protein expressionA0GSD0rps130 5 ribosomal protein 510N/A-0.23Protein expressionA0GSL5rpJ150 5 ribosomal protein 513N/A-0.23Protein expressionA0GSG8rpJ150 5 ribosomal protein L10N/A-0.23Protein expressionA0GSG8rpJ050 5 ribosomal protein L13N/A-0.23Protein expressionA0GSG3rpR50 5 ribosomal protein L13N/A-0.23Protein expressionA0GSG3rpR-0.23-0.23-0.23	Protein expression	A0QVB8	rpsB	30 S ribosomal protein S2	N/A	-0.22
Protein expression A0GSP rpsl 30 5 ribosomal protein 59 N/A -0.22 Protein expression A0GSL0 rpsl 30 5 ribosomal protein 510 N/A -0.21 Protein expression A0GSL5 rpsM 30 5 ribosomal protein 513 N/A -0.25 Protein expression A0GSL5 rpJU 50 5 ribosomal protein 110 N/A -0.25 Protein expression A0GSG8 rpJU 50 5 ribosomal protein L10 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0GSG8 rpJU 50 5 ribosomal protein L13 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0GSG8 rpJU 50 5 ribosomal protein L13 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0GSG8 rpJU 50 5 ribosomal protein L13 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0GSG8 rpJU 50 5 ribosomal protein L13 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0GSG3 rpR 50 5 ribosomal protein L13 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0GSG3 rpR 50 5 ribosomal protein L13 N/A	Protein expression	A0QSD7	rpsC	30 S ribosomal protein S3	N/A	-0.22
Protein expression A0GSD0 rpsJ 30 S ribosomal protein 510 N/A -0.21 Protein expression A0QSL5 rpsM 30 S ribosomal protein 513 N/A -0.25 Protein expression A0QS62 rpU 50 S ribosomal protein L10 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0QS68 rpU 50 S ribosomal protein L10 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0QS68 rpU 50 S ribosomal protein L13 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0QS68 rpIO 50 S ribosomal protein L15 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0QS65 rpIN 50 S ribosomal protein L15 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0QS63 rpIN 50 S ribosomal protein L16 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0QS60 rpIN 50 S ribosomal protein L18 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0QS60 rpIN 50 S ribosomal protein L18 N/A -0.23	Protein expression	A0QSP9	rpsl	30 S ribosomal protein S9	N/A	-0.22
Protein expression A0GSL5 rpsM 30 S ribosomal protein S13 N/A -0.25 Protein expression A0GS62 rpU 50 S ribosomal protein L10 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0GS68 rpU 50 S ribosomal protein L10 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0GS68 rpIM 50 S ribosomal protein L13 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0GS68 rpIO 50 S ribosomal protein L15 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0GS65 rpIR 50 S ribosomal protein L18 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0GS60 rpIR 50 S ribosomal protein L18 N/A -0.23 Protein expression A0GS60 rpIR 50 S ribosomal protein L18 N/A -0.23	Protein expression	A0QSD0	rpsJ	30 S ribosomal protein S10	N/A	-0.21
Protein expression A0QS62 rpl 50 S ribosomal protein L10 -0.23 Protein expression A0QSF8 rplM 50 S ribosomal protein L13 -0.23 Protein expression A0QSG8 rplO 50 S ribosomal protein L15 -0.23 Protein expression A0QSG5 rplM 50 S ribosomal protein L15 -0.23 Protein expression A0QSG5 rplM 50 S ribosomal protein L18 -0.28 Protein expression A0QSG5 rplM 50 S ribosomal protein L18 -0.28 Protein expression A0QSG0 rplX 50 S ribosomal protein L18 -0.28	Protein expression	A0QSL5	rpsM	30 S ribosomal protein S13	N/A	-0.25
Protein expression A0QSP8 rpIM 50 S ribosomal protein L13 -0.23 Protein expression A0QSG8 rpIO 50 S ribosomal protein L15 N/A -0.25 Protein expression A0QSG5 rpI 50 S ribosomal protein L15 N/A -0.25 Protein expression A0QSG5 rpIR 50 S ribosomal protein L18 N/A -0.28 Protein expression A0QSG0 rpIX 50 S ribosomal protein L18 N/A -0.28	Protein expression	A0Q562	LIDI	50 S ribosomal protein L10	N/A	-0.23
Protein expression A0QSG8 rplO 50 S nibosomal protein L15 -0.25 Protein expression A0QSG5 rplR 50 S nibosomal protein L18 -0.28 Protein expression A0QSG0 rplX 50 S nibosomal protein L18 -0.28 Protein expression A0QSG0 rplX 50 S nibosomal protein L24 -0.23	Protein expression	A0QSP8	rpIM	50 S ribosomal protein L13	N/A	-0.23
Protein expression A0QSG5 rplR 50.5 ribosomal protein L18 -0.28 Protein expression A0QSG0 rplX 50.5 ribosomal protein L24 -0.23	Protein expression	A0Q5G8	rplO	50 S ribosomal protein L15	N/A	-0.25
Protein expression A0QSG0 rplX 50.5 ribosomal protein L24 –0.23	Protein expression	A0Q5G5	rpIR	50.5 ribosomal protein L18	N/A	-0.28
	Protein expression	A0QSG0	rplX	50 S ribosomal protein L24	N/A	-0.23



by String-db). Clusters were determined by the EAGLE algorithm using ClusterVis via Cytoscape. The shape of the node denotes if a protein is a known drug target or virulence factor. Octagons represent known virulence factors and arrowheads represent known drug targets, whereas ellipses represent proteins that are not known to be either. The color of the nodes denotes the protein expression relative to the previous time point on a gradient of dark blue to deep red, with dark blue indicating the relatively lowest expression and deep red indicating the relatively highest expression. The color of the ring surrounding the nodes denotes when the protein showed differential expression, with black rings indicating that in both comparisons (T1 and T3) the protein showed altered expression, the yellow rings indicate altered expression in only the first comparison (T1), and the gray rings indicate altered expression in only the second comparison (T3). **a** shows proteins associated with carbohydrate metabolism, involving pathways such as; glycolysis/gluconeogenesis and the citrate cycle. **b** shows ribosomal proteins and some proteins associated with gene expression, all of which are downregulated. **c** shows the DosR regulon related proteins, all of which are upregulated



Fig. 6 a CFU's of uptake experiments. For T1, no significant differences between treatment conditions were observed. For T2, uptake following DETA-NO exposure was significantly lower than the untreated condition. At T3, both DETA-NO and H_2O_2 pre-exposure resulted in statistically significant lower uptake than the untreated condition. **b** CFU's of survival experiments. For T1, only H_2O_2 pre-exposure resulted in a statistically significant increase in survival, with a 2.94-fold increase. For T2, pre-exposure with both DETA-NO and H_2O_2 pre-exposure resulted in a significant increase in survival, respectively. At T3 both DETA-NO and H_2O_2 pre-exposure resulted in a significant increase in survival, respectively. At T3 both DETA-NO and H_2O_2 pre-exposure resulted in a significant increase in survival compared with the untreated condition, with fold increases of 9.66 and 2.83, respectively. In both panels * represent statistically significant comparisons; * represents a *p* value < 0.05, **** represents a *p* value < 0.001

This was performed by exposing of *M. smegmatis* to sublethal concentrations of DETA-NO or H₂O₂ in liquid culture. Our results clearly indicate that the pretreatment of *M. smegmatis* to sublethal doses of DETA-NO or H_2O_2 conferred resistance to macrophage attack (Fig. 6b). Specifically, mycobacteria pretreated with H₂O₂ showed a higher survival rate at T2 and comparable survival rates between T1 and T3 (Fig. 6b). In contrast, pretreatment with DETA-NO resulted in an increase of bacterial survival throughout the time course assay, with the greatest improvement in survival occurring with bacteria having been exposed for an extended period to DETA-NO before infection (harvested at T3 for infection). Although H₂O₂ is often referred to as a main signaling molecule across species, in liquid culture, this compound degrades over time, either slowly by natural decomposition or more rapidly by the action of H₂O₂-scavenging enzymes. In addition, our complementary assays indicated that, under the studied conditions, 10 mM H₂O₂ persisted in the media at measurable levels for ~15 min (data not shown). This indicates that changes observed in the proteome throughout the time course are most likely owing to the earlier response signal pathways initiated during the first 30 min (T1). Previous work indicated that 40 min of exposure to sublethal concentrations of H₂O₂ (5 and 10 mM) had a strong effect on gene expression of M. *tuberculosis*¹³. By comparison, in *M. smegmatis* exposure to $0.2 \text{ mM H}_2\text{O}_2$ changed the expression of ~10% of the genome, whereas 29% of the genes were significantly changed in response to 7 mM H₂O₂¹⁴. Here, our proteomic data revealed that important transcriptional regulatory proteins showed a change in abundance after exposure to H_2O_2 . For instance, two proteins annotated as TetR transcriptional regulators increased from T1 to T2 after H₂O₂ exposure only. TetR family like proteins control genes whose products are associated with several cellular functions including stress response, multidrug resistance, metabolic modulation, efflux pumps, and pathogenesis²⁷. In addition, the increased abundance of two-component system (TCS) regulatory protein MSMEG_6236 indicates that the initial presence of H_2O_2 altered the phosphorelay signal transduction pathways

also likely to impact gene expression. We therefore hypothesize that during T1, the H₂O₂ triggered cellular responses that promote differential gene expression, preparing *M. smegmatis* for an eventual oxidative stress. In culture, the responses initiated at T1 most likely resulted in some of those protein changes observed later at T2 and T3, including the DevR regulon. Possibly, specific signal pathways initiated by H_2O_2 in vitro are then further propagated once the bacterium is in contact with the macrophage. This would, in part, account for higher survival of T1-treated M. smegmatis in comparison with the untreated control. Interestingly, when compared with the proteome of *M. smegmatis* treated with sublethal doses of rifampicin²¹, 30 of 34 common differentially abundant proteins were found to have opposite changes in abundance. Phenotypically, M. smegmatis pretreated with rifampicin resulted in lower macrophage survival rates when compared with the control (data not shown). This further supports the potential role of the abovementioned proteins in macrophage survival.

Sensors and response regulators of ROS and NO

During T2 and T3, evidence was observed of the regulation of redox sensors that are known to drive important protective response in mycobacteria. Herein, our results strongly indicate that the DevR regulon responds to both NO or H_2O_2 insult at the protein level. In *M*. tuberculosis, the DevR regulon comprises 48 genes whose expression is altered under hypoxic conditions and exposure to $NO^{28,29}$. In *M. smegmatis*, DevR has a key role in adaptation to the oxygen-starved stationary phase and resistance to environmental stresses via the induction of three ubiquitin proteasome system proteins, nitroreductase, and HspX³⁰. By comparison, here we observed the induction of 11 DevR regulated proteins in response to H₂O₂ or DETA-NO, which is consistent with previous observations¹⁷. This subgroup of proteins included five USPs, one LuxR TC response regulator, nitroreductase like proteins MSMEG_3952, MSMEG_5243, one pyridoxamine 5-phosphate oxidase-related PdxH, and hypoxia-induced HspX. As mentioned earlier, treatment with H₂O₂ slowed down the growth rate, whereas DETA-NO had no effect on culture growth, so in *M. smegmatis* the induction of DevR could be part of an early defense mechanism to redox or NO stimuli, whereas mycobacteria are not necessary committed to a dormant state. In this regard, the induction of DevR-regulated defense proteins, such as Rv2623, PdxH, and HspX, certainly contributed to the survival of the pathogen in macrophages^{31,32}.

Notably, evidence exists of transcriptional activity of the DevR regulon together with increased levels of other important mycobacterial responses regulators (RR) such as RegX3 and MtrA. In mycobacteria, the response to environmental stimulus is in part mediated by TCSs. These sensor systems consist of a paired histidine kinase coupled to a respective response regulator RR. The sensing of a signal by the kinase triggers autophosphorylation on a histidine residue, which then transfers the phosphate to an aspartate residue of the cognate RR, facilitating binding to its specific DNA sequence resulting in subsequent transcriptomic changes. SenX3-RegX3 is expressed during phosphate starvation, whereas MtrAB is the only essential TCS known so far. Recent evidence showed that RegX3 and MtrA belong to the OmpR family that shares a conserved DNA-binding motif. Of interest, in M. tuberculosis, the survival of the DNA-binding mutant RegX3 strain is compromised in macrophages³³. Preliminary work in our laboratory indicates that M. smegmatis treated with sublethal doses of rifampicin resulted in a decrease in the abundance of both RegX3 and MtrA²¹, as well as lower rates of survival in macrophages (data not shown). Overall, the information gathered here suggest that sublethal concentrations of NO or H₂O₂ initiate a concerted network of phosphorylation events that ultimately modulate gene expression responses. During the adaptation of *M. tuberculosis* to the macrophage microenvironment, the DosR regulon is upregulated along with genes associated with fatty-acid metabolism, and in addition, ribosomal genes are downregulated³⁴. These findings are similar to our own observations and suggest that a sublethal oxidative or nitrosative stress simulates in part the macrophage microenvironment. Although further research is needed for confirmation, possibly the modulated gene expression may offer an initial advantage to the bacteria during macrophage attack.

At T3, protein changes overlap between the two treatments were greater than those unique to either treatment, although the survival rates were considerably higher for bacteria cells pretreated with DETA-NO. This finding not only reinforces the concept that these two compounds initiate different molecular responses in the mycobacteria but also suggest that, in this case, specific responses to DETA-NO or H_2O_2 are the basis of the differences seen between survival rates of the treated versus the untreated bacteria once inside the macrophage. The bacteria treated with DETA-NO for 150 min (harvested at T3) before infecting the macrophages were found to have the highest survival rate.

DETA-NO pretreatment results in altered expression of proteins involved in lipid metabolism and, potentially, in altered lipid metabolism

A particularly striking observation is that the abundance of several proteins involved in lipid metabolism was altered exclusively at T3 in response to the DETA-NO treatment; these include FabD, KasA, PimA, MSMEG_3580, and MmsA. Both FabD and KasA are involved in the early steps for mycolic acid synthesis³⁵. In *M. tuberculosis*, the FabD transfers a malonate moiety to an acyl-carrier protein before it enters into the fatty-acid synthase---II (FAS-II) pathway for merochain biosynth-esis³⁶. KasA is a member of the FAS-II biosynthetic pathway, where it is responsible for lengthening the merochain of mycolic acids^{35,36}. In addition, it has been shown that Rhodococcus equi KasA mutants have shorter mycolic acid chain lengths, and these mutants were attenuated in both macrophage and mouse infection models³⁷. PimA, an enzyme that transfers a mannosyl residue from GDP-D-mannose across the plasma membrane to phospho-myo-inositol on the inner side of the plasma membrane, has been shown to be essential for growth of *M. tuberculosis* both in vitro and in vivo^{38,39}. In addition, depletion of PimA by gene silencing allowed for infections in mouse lungs to be effectively cleared³⁸. MmsA was shown to be upregulated in a long-term macrophage infection model, coinciding with the increase of *M. tuberculosis* growth, suggesting that MmsA plays a role in the adaptation to the phagosomal environment³⁴. These results tentatively suggest an important role for lipid metabolism during a mycobacterial infection, possibly mediated through the alteration of membrane lipids such as mycolic acids and phosphatidyl-myo-inositol, which can serve to protect the infecting bacterium from macrophage attack. The increased survival rate of DETA-NO pre-exposed bacteria (T3, see Fig. 6b) could be owing to the increased abundance of various proteins involved in lipid synthesis, causing structural changes at the microbial lipid layer and improving survival rates within the macrophage.

Conclusion

Findings presented in this work show a large overlap in the proteome changes in M. smegmatis when exposed to DETA-NO and H₂O₂, as suggested by transcriptomic data. In both treatment conditions, the abundance of proteins involved in lipid metabolism, as well as the DosR response, were affected, and the survival to subsequent macrophage attack was increased as a result of the phenotypic proteome adaption that is characterized here. DETA-NO treatment resulted in the increased abundance of more proteins related to lipid metabolism when compared to H₂O₂ treatment, and the survival rates for the DETA-NO pre-exposed bacteria was higher. These results point to the potential importance of changes in the mycobacterial lipidome that are driven by phenotypic adaption of the proteome in the context of infections. In conjunction with preliminary work in our laboratory, these findings indicate that sublethal concentrations of bactericidal stressors cause different signaling pathways to be activated, leading to varying phenotypic responses.

Materials and methods Bacterial cell culture

M. smegmatis mc (2)155 was grown in shaking liquid batch culture at 37 °C in Middlebrook 7H9 (Beckton Dickinson (BD)) supplemented with 10% (v/v) OADC (BD), 0.5% (v/v) glycerol, and 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20. Liquid cultures were grown from single colonies, cultured on 7H10 (BD) agar plates, supplemented with 0.5% (v/v) glycerol and 10% (v/v) OADC (BD).

Growth curves

Mid-log phase *M. smegmatis* cultures ($OD_{600} \sim 1.2$) were exposed to a single dose of H_2O_2 (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) or the DETA/NO (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA). Doses of 0, 10, 50, 100, and 200 mM H_2O_2 and 0.05 mM DETA/NO were used. The OD₆₀₀ was monitored after exposure of the cultures to respective $H_2O_2/DETA$ concentrations at 15-minute intervals for 2 hours, 30-minute intervals for an additional 2 h, and a final reading 5 h after oxidant exposure.

Protein extraction

M. smegmatis cultures were grown to the mid-log phase in the conditions described above, in biological triplicate for each condition, and then treated with either H_2O_2 or DETA-NO at a concentration of 10 mM and 0.05 mM, respectively. Bacterial pellets were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C, until lysis. Frozen bacterial pellets were thawed on ice, in lysis buffer (1% m/v sodium dodecyl sulfate, 1.5% m/v sodium deoxycholate, 1× protease inhibitor, and 7.5 μ l lysozyme in 0.5 M Tris-HCL at pH 7). The resulting lysate was centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 5 min to sediment the cell debris. The supernatant was passed through a 20 µm filter. Protein was precipitated from the supernatant by chloroform/methanol precipitation, and the protein pellet was resuspended in denaturation buffer (6 M urea, 2 M thiourea in 10 mM Tris at pH 8). Protein was quantified using the modified Bradford assay⁴⁰. Proteins were digested with trypsin (Promega) at a ratio of trypsin to protein of 1:50 w/w at room temperature for 16 h. Peptides were desalted using C18 solid phase extraction.

Liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS analysis)

For label-free quantification, samples were separately injected into a Dionex UltiMate 3500 RSLCnano system (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts) coupled to an Orbitrap Q Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) for analysis. Each sample was loaded onto a 2 cm trap (packed in-house, 5 μ m beads, 100 Å pores, Luna beads by Phenomenex) at a flow rate of 300 nL/min and subsequently run on a 20 cm C18-reversed phase analytical column (packed in-house, 5 μ M beads, 100 Å pores) at 40 °C. Approximately 600 ng of peptides was loaded in each LC/MS run. Elution from the column occurred over a 190 min segmented gradient consisting of an increasing ratio of 2% acetonitrile acidified with 0.1% formic acid (FA) (buffer B), to H₂O acidified with 0.1% FA (buffer A): 1% B from 0 to 10 min, increasing up to 6% at 12 min, to 35% at 130 min, and to 80% at 135 min and continuing at 80% until 150 min, before decreasing from 80 to 2% at 152 min. Each run had a built-in wash at the end, increasing to 50% B at 167 min and continuing at this concentration until 169 min, at which time the gradient decreased to 2% for the final 20 min. Before entering the mass analyzer, the eluents were subjected to electrospray ionization. Mass spectra were acquired in a datadependent manner, with automatic switching between MS and MS/MS scans using a top-10 method. MS spectra were acquired at a resolution of 70,000 with a target value of 3×10^6 or a maximum integration time of 250 milliseconds (ms). The scan range at the MS level was limited to 300–1750 m/z and high-energy collision dissociation used for peptide fragmentation, with the energy set at normalized collision energy 28. Multiple charge exclusion was used (unassigned, 1, 5-8, > 8). MS/MS spectra were acquired at a resolution of 17,500, with a target value of 5×10^{6} or a maximum integration time of 80 ms. The scan range at the MS/MS level was limited from 200 to 2000 m/z. The fixed first m/z was 200 to 2000 m/z, and the isolation window was 2 m/z.

Peptide identification and protein inference

Raw spectral data were processed using MaxQuant^{41,42} software package (version 1.5.7.4) for protein and peptide identification and quantitation with the below-described parameters. Trypsin/P was selected as the protease with a maximum of two missed cleavages allowed. Quantitation by MaxLFQ algorithm was selected with a minimum ratio count of two peptides. Protein ratios were calculated from unique and razor peptides. Protein N-terminal acetylation and methionine oxidation were set as variable modifications, and cysteine carbamidomethylation selected as a fixed modification. The Andromeda search engine was used for identification of the proteins and protein groups from the UniProt M. smegmatis reference proteome (FASTA, downloaded 02/05/2017). Mass tolerance for the precursor ions in the initial search was set at 20 ppm, and in the main search, at 4.5 ppm tolerance. The default protein-level false discovery rate setting of 1% was retained. Only proteins with a minimum of one unique peptide were accepted. The minimum length of acceptable identified peptides was set to seven amino acids. The option to match retention times between runs was selected to maximize identifications. MS/MS spectra were matched against a decoy database and reverse hits (i.e., spectral mapping to the decoy databases) and probable contaminants filtered from the protein list prior to statistical analysis.

Experimental design and statistical rationale

In this study, 27 samples were analyzed, corresponding to three biological replicates of nine conditions. In brief, the nine conditions were divided into three time points and three treatment conditions: 10 mM H_2O_2 , 0.05 mM DETA-NO, and untreated (control). For a graphical representation of the experimental workflow see Supplementary Figure 1.

An expression fold change cutoff was employed, so protein groups that changed by less than one standard deviation around the median of the fold change between conditions were excluded from the *t* test. These values can be seen in Table 1. Protein groups with a *p* value < 0.05 were considered to have a statistically significant change in abundance. Samples within each treatment condition were compared between time points to assess the response over time to treatments with the oxidative stressors. Changes found between corresponding time points within the control were subtracted from the treatment conditions, as those were likely to be related to normal growth. Remaining changes were assumed to be specific to the oxidative stressor condition.

Proteins with altered expression between the first and second time points, as well as between the second and third time points, were analyzed simultaneously while the time dependence was still preserved. Proteome changes owing to treatment were analyzed using String-db⁴³ protein association networks generated in Cytoscape⁴⁴ to visualize the data. The networks were clustered using the EAGLE algorithm via ClusterViz⁴⁵ and were used to query String-db for a general overview of the proteomic changes. To gain further insight into the dysregulation at the metabolic level, we used the KEGG⁴⁶ pathway mapper to visualize and analyze the metabolic changes associated with treatment.

Patients suffering from acute pulmonary tuberculosis expel droplets containing mycobacteria, which are unlikely to be naive to sublethal oxidative and or nitrosative stress^{3,47}. These non-naive bacteria can then be inhaled by others and establish a new infection, or dormant bacilli may undergo reactivation to establish an active infection. In both cases understanding the adaptation to sublethal stresses are important. Our study aims to use *M. smegmatis* as a model organism to study how prior adaptation to sublethal oxidative or nitrosative stress influences bacterial survival within macrophages.

Murine macrophage culture

Raw 264.7 cells were cultured in high-glucose Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM, Sigma), supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO_2 and 95% O_2 . All cells used were between passage 21 and 25. Infection of Raw 264.7 macrophages with H_2O_2 or DETA-NO pretreated *M. smegmatis*

For infection assays 25000 cells were seeded onto 24well plates and incubated for 72 h pre-infection in DMEM, 10% FBS. Murine Interferon-y (mIFN-y, 250 U/ ml) was added 48 h after seeding. After 72 h cells were washed with pre-warmed phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated with DMEM, 10% FBS, 250 U/ml mIFN-y, and M. smegmatis at a multiplicity of infection of 4:1, for 3 hours. The cells were washed five times with prewarmed PBS, to remove extracellular bacteria, and incubated in DMEM, 10% FBS and 250 U/ml mIFN-y. After a further 21 h cells were washed five times with pre-warmed PBS, to once again remove extracellular bacteria, before cell lysis for colony forming unit (CFU) determination. Before infection M. smegmatis cultures were treated with 10 mM H₂O₂ or 0.05 mM DETA-NO, or left untreated as described above. At 30, 75, or 150 min post exposure to the respective treatment condition, M. smegmatis cultures were harvested for infection.

Macrophage infections

After infection, CFUs were used to assess mycobacterial survival. Raw 264.7 cells were washed after incubation with *M. smegmatis* for either three hours (uptake) or 24 h (survival) as described above. The cells were lysed by incubation in PBS with 0.1% triton X-100 for ten min on a rotary shaker at 200 rpm. The lysate was diluted and cultured for 48 h on 7H10 agar (0.5% glycerol and 10% OADC) at 37 °C in a standing incubator. The inoculum was cultured and used to normalize uptake values. Three independent experiments were performed, each experiments was performed in technical triplicate. CFU counts for uptake were used to normalize CFU counts for survival, according to the equations below:

$$Normalised uptake = \frac{CFU_{U} \times DF_{U}}{CFU_{I} \times DF_{I}}$$
(1)

$$Normalised survival = \frac{CFU_S \times DF_S}{CFU_U \times DF_U}$$
(2)

 $CFU_U = colony-forming$ units from uptake experiments, 3 hours post infection

 $DF_{\rm U}\!=\!dilution$ factor used for plating uptake experiments, 5000

 $CFU_{I} =$ colony-forming units for the inoculum used for infections

 $\mathrm{DF}_{\mathrm{I}} = \mathrm{dilution}$ factor used for plating the inoculum, 20000

 $CFU_S = colony$ -forming units for the survival experiments, 24 h post infection

 $\mathrm{DF}_{\mathrm{s}} = \mathrm{dilution}$ factor used for plating survival experiments, 80000

Data availability

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the $PRIDE^{48}$ partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD010020.

Acknowledgements

We thank the NRF for financial support. This work was supported by a research grant from the NRF (grant number 98963 and 95984). JMB thanks the NRF for a South African Research Chair grant. NCS and CH thank the South African Medical Research Council for the Junior Research Fellowship and Postdoc Fellowship respectively. KCN thanks NRF and UCT/CSIR for PhD bursaries. Computations were performed using facilities provided by the University of Cape Town's ICTS High Performance Computing Team: http://hpc.uct.ac.za.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41426-018-0210-2).

Received: 27 July 2018 Revised: 26 October 2018 Accepted: 5 November 2018

Published online: 13 December 2018

References

- Bhat, S. A. et al. The mechanism of redox sensing in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 53, 1625–1641 (2012).
- Lee, P. P. W. et al. Susceptibility to mycobacterial infections in children with X-linked chronic granulomatous disease. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* 27, 224–230 (2008).
- Gengenbacher, M. & Kaufmann, S. H. E. Mycobacterium tuberculosis: success through dormancy. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 36, 514–532 (2012).
- Kumar, A. et al. Redox homeostasis in mycobacteria: the key to tuberculosis control? Expert. Rev. Mol. Med. 13, 1–25 (2011).
- Landes, M. B., Rajaram, M. V. S., Nguyen, H. & Schlesinger, L. S. Role for NOD2 in Mycobacterium tuberculosis-induced iNOS expression and NO production in human macrophages. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 97, 1111–1119 (2015).
- D'Autréaux, B. & Toledano, M. B. ROS as signalling molecules: mechanisms that generate specificity in ROS homeostasis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 8, 813 (2007).
- Bhaskar, A. et al. Reengineering redox sensitive GFP to measure mycothiol redox potential of Mycobacterium tuberculosis during Infection. *PLoS. Pathog.* 10, (2014).
- Brugarolas, P. et al. The oxidation-sensing regulator (MosR) is a new redoxdependent transcription factor in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 37703–37712 (2012).
- Singh, A. et al. Mycobacterium tuberculosis WhiB3 responds to O2 and nitric oxide via its [4Fe-45] cluster and is essential for nutrient starvation survival. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 104, 11562–11567 (2007).
- Singh, A. et al. Mycobacterium tuberculosis WhiB3 Maintains redox homeostasis by regulating virulence lipid anabolism to modulate macrophage response. *PLoS. Pathog.* 5, (2009).
- den Hengst, C. D. & Buttner, M. J. Redox control in actinobacteria. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1780, 1201–1216, 2008.
- Garbe, T. R., Hibler, N. S. & Deretic, V. Response of Mycobacterium tuberculosis to reactive oxygen and nitrogen intermediates. *Mol. Med.* 2, 134–142 (1996).
- Voskuil, M. I., Bartek, I. L., Visconti, K. & Schoolnik, G. K. The response of Mycobacterium tuberculosis to reactive oxygen and nitrogen species. *Front. Microbiol.* 2, 1–12 (2011).

- Li, X., Wu, J., Han, J., Hu, Y. & Mi, K. Distinct responses of mycobacterium smegmatis to exposure to low and high levels of hydrogen peroxide. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0134595 (2015).
- Schubert, O. T. & Aebersold, R. in *Prokaryotic Systems Biology* (eds. Krogan PhD, N. J. & Babu PhD, M.) 235–254 (Springer International Publishing, 2015).
- Schubert, O. T. et al. Absolute proteome composition and dynamics during dormancy and resuscitation of mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Cell. Host. Microbe* 18, 96–108 (2015).
- Cortes, T. et al. Delayed effects of transcriptional responses in Mycobacterium tuberculosis exposed to nitric oxide suggest other mechanisms involved in survival. *Sci. Rep.* 7 1–9 (2017).
- Tyagi, J. S. & Sharma, D. Mycobacterium smegmatis and tuberculosis. *Trends Microbiol.* 10, 68–69 (2002).
- Shiloh, M. U. & Champion, P. A. NIH Public Access. J. Health Commun. 14, 384–399 (2010).
- Reyrat, J. & Kahn, D. Mycobacterium smegmatis: an absurd model for tuberculosis? *Trends Microbiol.* 9, 473–474 (2001).
- Giddey, A. D. et al. A temporal proteome dynamics study reveals the molecular basis of induced phenotypic resistance in Mycobacterium smegmatis at sub-lethal rifampicin concentrations. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 43858 (2017).
- Albeldas, C. et al. Global proteome and phosphoproteome dynamics indicate novel mechanisms of vitamin C induced dormancy in Mycobacterium smegmatis. J. Proteomics. 180, 1–10 (2017).
- He, W. & Frost, M. C. Direct measurement of actual levels of nitric oxide (NO) in cell culture conditions using soluble NO donors. *Redox Biol.* 9, 1–14 (2016).
- Tyanova, S. et al. The Perseus computational platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote)omics data. *Nat. Meth.* **13**, 731–40(2016).
- Lipworth, S. et al. Defining dormancy in mycobacterial disease. 99, 131–42 (2016).
- 26. Schubert, O. T. et al. NIH Public Access. 13, 602-612 (2014).
- Ramos, J. L. et al. The TetR family of transcriptional repressors. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 69, 326–356 (2005).
- Saini, D. K., Malhotra, V. & Tyagi, J. S. Cross talk between DevS sensor kinase homologue, Rvc, and DevR response regulator of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. 565, 75–80 (2004).
- Saini, D. K. et al. DevR-DevS is a bona fide two-component system of Mycobacterium tuberculosis that is hypoxia-responsive in the absence of the DNA-binding domain of DevR. *Microbiology* **150**, 865–875 (2004).
- Toole, R. O. et al. A two-component regulator of universal stress protein expression and adaptation to oxygen starvation in Mycobacterium smegmatis. *Society* 185, 1543–1554 (2003).
- Ankisettypalli, K, Cheng, J. J. Y., Baker, E. N. & Bashiri, G. PdxH proteins of mycobacteria are typical members of the classical pyridoxine/pyridoxamine 5'-phosphate oxidase family. *FEBS Lett.* **590**, 453–460 (2016).

- Monahan, I. M., Betts, J., Banerjee, D. K. & Butcher, P. D. Differential expression of mycobacterial proteins following phagocytosis by macrophages. *Microbiology* 147, 459–471 (2001).
- Banerjee, S. K. et al. Targeting multiple response regulators of Mycobacterium tuberculosis augments the host immune response to infection. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 1–15 (2016).
- Russell, D. G., Rohde, K. H., Veiga, D. F. T. & Caldwell, S. Linking the transcriptional profiles and the physiological states of Mycobacterium tuberculosis during an extended intracellular infection. Plos Pathog 8, e1002769 (2012).
- Nataraj, V. et al. Mycolic acids: deciphering and targeting the Achilles' heel of the tubercle bacillus. *Mol. Microbiol.* 98, 13101 (2015).
- Takayama, K., Wang, C. & Besra, G. S. Pathway to synthesis and processing of mycolic acids in mycobacterium tuberculosis. Genetic analysis of synthesis and processing of mycolic acid. 18, 81–101 (2005).
- Sydor, T. et al. Diversion of phagosome trafficking by pathogenic Rhodococcus equi depends on mycolic acid chain length. *Cell Microbiol.* 15, 458–473 (2013).
- Boldrin, F. et al. The phosphatidyl-myo-inositol mannosyltransferase PimA is essential for Mycobacterium tuberculosis growth in vitro and in vivo. J. Bacteriol. 196, JB.01346–13 (2014).
- Puzo, G., Brennan, P. J., Gicquel, B. & Jackson, M. Definition of the first mannosylation step in phosphatidylinositol mannoside synthesis. PimA is essential for growth of mycobacteria. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 31335–31344 (2002).
- Ramagli, L. S. Quantifying protein in 2-D PAGE solubilization buffers. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **112**, 99–103 (1999).
- Cox, J. & Mann, M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. *Nat. Biotech.* 26, 1367–1372 (2008).
- Tyanova, S., Temu, T. & Cox, J. The MaxQuant computational platform for mass spectrometry-based shotgun proteomics. *Nat. Protoc.* 11, 2301 (2016).
- Szklarczyk, D. et al. STRINGv10: protein–protein interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, D447–D452 (2015).
- 44. Cline, M. S. et al. Integration of biological networks and gene expression data using Cytoscape. *Nat. Protoc.* **2**, 2366–2382 (2007).
- Wang, J., Chen, G., Li, M., Wu, F. & Pan, Y. ClusterViz: a Cytoscape APP for luster analysis of biological. *Network* 5963, 1–8 (2014).
- Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y., Kawashima, M., Furumichi, M. & Tanabe, M. KEGG as a reference resource for gene and protein annotation. *Nucleic Acids. Res.* 44, 457–462 (2016).
- Kaufmann, S. H. E. How can immunology contribute to the control of tuberculosis? *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 1, 20–30 (2001).
- Vizcaíno, J. A. et al. 2016 update of the PRIDE database and its related tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 44, D447–D456 (2016).