Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Meta Gene

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/mgene

Genetic polymorphisms of interleukin genes and the risk of Alzheimer's disease: An update meta-analysis



Myung-Jin Mun^{a,b,c}, Jin-Ho Kim^b, Ji-Young Choi^b, Won-Cheoul Jang^{b,*}

^a Department of Nanobiomedical Science and BK21 PLUS NBM Global Research Center for Regenerative Medicine, Dankook University Graduate School, South Korea

^b Department of Chemistry, School of Natural Science, Dankook University, Cheonan 330-714, South Korea

^c Institute of Tissue Regeneration Engineering (ITREN), Dankook University, Cheonan 330-714, South Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 September 2015 Revised 30 December 2015 Accepted 7 January 2016 Available online 11 January 2016

Keywords: Interleukin Cytokine Polymorphism Meta-analysis Alzheimer's disease

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Recently, several meta-analyses have reported an association between interleukin (IL) gene polymorphisms and the risk of Alzheimer's disease (AD). Several further papers discussing the relationship with the risk of AD have recently been published. The aim of this meta-analysis was to re-evaluate and update the associations between IL gene polymorphisms and the risk of AD.

Methods: The search sources were PubMed, Science Direct, Scopus, and Google Scholar up to July 2015, and the following search terms were used: "interleukin 1 or interleukin 6 or interleukin 10" and "variant or polymorphism or SNP" in combination with "Alzheimer's disease". A meta-analysis using the pooled odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals was carried out to assess the associations between four polymorphisms of IL genes (-889C>T in IL-1 α , -511C>T in IL-1 β , -174G>C in IL-6 and -1082G>A in IL-10) and the risk of AD under the heterozygous, homozygous, dominant, and recessive models with fixed- or random-effects models.

Results: A total of 21,864 cases and 40,321 controls from 93 individual studies were included in this metaanalysis. Our results indicated that the -889C>T polymorphism was strongly associated with the increased risk of AD. However, three polymorphisms were not associated with the risk of AD.

Conclusions: Similar to previous meta-analyses, our updated meta-analysis suggested that the -889C>T polymorphism may be a factor in AD. However, the results of our meta-analysis of the -174G>C polymorphism differed from those of previous meta-analyses. Consequently, we suggest that the -174G>C polymorphism may not be a risk factor for AD.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND licenses (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Dementia is an overall term for conditions characterized by a decline in memory, cognitive and other thinking skills that affect a person's abilities. The total number of people with dementia worldwide was estimated at 35.6 million in 2010, and is projected to be 65.7 million in 2030 and 115.4 million in 2050 (WHO, 2012). Among the several types of dementia, Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common. AD was first identified more than 100 years ago. However, its symptoms, causes and risk factors were only discovered in the last 30 years (Alzheimer's Association, 2014).

Several cytokines including interleukin 1 (IL-1), IL-6, tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β) have been reported to be associated with AD (Wilson et al., 2002). Interleukins

E-mail address: wcjang@dankook.ac.kr (W.-C. Jang).

(ILs) are important components of the immune system, and a deficiency in them may lead to autoimmune disease or immune deficiency. Several studies have suggested that IL-1 is related to the pathogenesis of AD. Griffin et al. reported that IL-1 immunoreactivity was increased in AD compared with non-AD subjects (Griffin et al., 1989). Sheng et al. suggested that overexpression of IL-1 was associated with evolution of neuritic plaques from diffuse amyloid- β (A β) deposits in AD (Sheng et al., 1995). In addition, IL-1 promotes the amyloid precursor protein (APP) cleavage pathway (Buxbaum et al., 1992). Similarly, IL-6 has been reported to be involved in AD pathogenesis. Quintanilla et al. reported that IL-6 was associated with increased levels of hyperphosphorylated tau protein in neurons (Quintanilla et al., 2004). Furthermore, Braida et al. suggested that IL-6 deficiency was associated with learning and memory skills in mice (Braida et al., 2004). These findings suggested ILs to be important factors in AD pathogenesis.

Several epidemiological studies have investigated the association between genetic polymorphisms of IL genes and the risk of AD, including -889C>T (rs1800587) in IL-1 α , -511C>T (rs16944) in IL-1 β , -174C>G (rs1800795) in IL-6 and -1082G>A (rs1800896) in IL-10 (Bagli et al., 2000; Bhojak et al., 2000; Du et al., 2000;

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mgene.2016.01.001

2214-5400/© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; SNP, sing nucleotide polymorphism; AD, Alzheimer's disease; IL, Interleukin.

^{*} Corresponding author at: 119, Dandae-ro, Dongnam-gu, Cheonan-si, Chungnam 330-714, South Korea.

Grimaldi et al., 2000; Minster et al., 2000; Nicoll et al., 2000; Rebeck, 2000; Ki et al., 2001; Prince et al., 2001; Combarros et al., 2002; Fidani et al., 2002; Green et al., 2002; Hedley et al., 2002; Mattila et al., 2002; Pirskanen et al., 2002; Pola et al., 2002; Shibata et al., 2002; Clarimon et al., 2003; Depboylu et al., 2003; Faltraco et al., 2003; Kuo et al., 2003; Licastro et al., 2003; Lio et al., 2003; Ma et al., 2003; McCarron et al., 2003; Sciacca et al., 2003; Tsai et al., 2003; Arosio et al., 2004; Capurso et al., 2004; Depboylu et al., 2004; Hayes et al., 2004; Li et al., 2004; McCulley et al., 2004; Nishimura et al., 2004; Scassellati et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2004; Koivisto et al., 2005; Ma et al., 2005; Seripa et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2005; Culpan et al., 2006; Ramos et al., 2006; Ravaglia et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2006; Bagnoli et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2007; Combarros et al., 2008; Deniz-Naranjo et al., 2008; Paradowski et al., 2008; Dursun et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2009; Klimkowicz-Mrowiec et al., 2009; Serretti et al., 2009; Vural et al., 2009; Capurso et al., 2010; Combarros et al., 2010; Klimkowicz-Mrowiec et al., 2010; Ribizzi et al., 2010; Shawkatova et al., 2010; Cousin et al., 2011; Vendramini et al., 2011; Heun et al., 2012; Mansoori et al., 2012; Payao et al., 2012; Moraes et al., 2013; Rasmussen et al., 2013; Torres et al., 2013; Flex et al., 2014; Kang et al., 2014; Tian et al., 2015; Toral-Rios et al., 2015). However, these epidemiological studies have reported inconsistent results. In addition, several previous meta-analyses have assessed the associations between four polymorphisms of the IL genes and the risk of AD. However, several further papers regarding this relationship between IL gene polymorphisms and the risk of AD have been published recently. It is thus necessary to update the data regarding the association between IL gene polymorphisms and the risk of AD.

Therefore, we have re-evaluated and updated the associations between the polymorphisms of four IL genes and the risk of AD using published studies.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Search strategy

Two clinical researchers independently searched and reviewed the literature. We conducted a meta-analysis of the published literature to analyze the associations between IL gene polymorphisms and Alzheimer's disease. The search sources were the PubMed, Science Direct, Scopus, and Google Scholar databases, the search was conducted up to July 2015, and the following search terms were used: "interleukin 1 or interleukin 6 or interleukin 10" and "variant or polymorphism or SNP" in combination with "Alzheimer's disease". The reference lists in the published articles were reviewed to identify any studies missing from the database search. The workflow of the literature search is shown in Fig 1.

2.2. Selection criteria

All articles reporting the genotype frequencies of the following IL gene single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were included: -889C>T, -511C>T, -174C>G and -1082G>A. As the studies were heterogeneous in terms of the number of cases and controls, racial composition, and the polymorphisms analyzed, we used the following inclusion criteria: hospital-based or population-based case-control studies on the associations of IL gene polymorphisms with AD, genotype frequencies of each polymorphism provided for cases and controls, genotype distribution in the control group confirmed by Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), and English-language articles only. If overlapping cases and controls between studies were identified, only the most-complete study was included in this meta-analysis.

2.3. Data extraction

Data extraction was performed by two reviewers. The following data were extracted from each study: last name of the first author,



Fig. 1. Flow chart of the selection of studies for inclusion in our meta-analysis.

publication year, study region, participants' ethnicity, sample size, genotype distribution of the polymorphisms of four interleukin genes in both cases and controls, and *p*-values for the HWE of genotype distribution of controls (p value less than 0.05 of HWE was considered to indicate significance).

2.4. Statistical analysis

The chi-squared test was used to determine whether the distribution of genotypes in the control group was in agreement with HWE. Pooled odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated to assess the associations between four IL gene polymorphisms (-889C>T, -511C>T, -174C>G and-1082G>A) and AD risk under the heterozygous, homozygous, dominant, and recessive models with fixed-effects (Mantel-Haenszel method) and random-effects models (Mantel-Haenszel method). Statistical heterogeneity between studies was evaluated using the I² statistic. A random-effects model was used to calculate the pooled OR and 95% CI when I^2 values > 50% were considered to indicate significant heterogeneity between studies. A fixedeffects model was used when I² values < 50% were considered to indicate low heterogeneity between studies. We also performed subgroup analyses by ethnicity (Caucasian and Asian). The risk of small study bias, such as publication bias, was measured using funnel plots and further evaluated with Egger's linear regression test. It was assumed that large-sized studies would plot close to the mean in the absence of publication bias, whereas small-sized studies would be spread smoothly on both sides of the mean. All meta-statistical analyses were performed using the RevMan ver. 5.1 software (Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark) and confirmed using the Comprehensive Meta-Analysis trial version. Two-sided *p*-values < 0.05 were considered to indicate significance.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the included studies

A total of 529 papers published before July 2015 was identified in the search of the four databases. Of them, a total of 21,864 cases and 40,321 controls from 93 individual studies were included in our meta-

Table 1

Description of this meta-analysis of the association of four polymorphisms of IL genes with risk of Alzheimer's disease.

IL-1α (-889C>T) study (author/year)	Study region	Ethnicity	Criteria	Sample size (case/control)	Genotype o (case/contr	Genotype distribution (case/control)		HWE (p-value)	Reference
					СС	СТ	TT		
Clarimon et al. (2003)	Spain	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	111/89	61/42	41/34	9/13	0.171	Clarimon et al. (2003)
Combarros et al. (2002)	Spain	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	298/306	161/195	119/104	18/7	0.108	Combarros et al. (2002)
Combarros et al. (2010) (I)	Bonn	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA-CERAD	235/210	123/111	93/78	19/21	0.192	Combarros et al. (2010))
Combarros et al. (2010) (II)	Bristol	Caucasian		198/56	87/24	8629	25/3	0.125	
Combarros et al. (2010) (III)	Nottingham	Caucasian		83/96	36/46	38/38	9/12	0.353	
Combarros et al. (2010) (IV)	OPTIMA	Caucasian		233/237	124/102	80/110	29/25	0.56	
Combarros et al. (2010) (V)	Oviedo	Caucasian		187/109	95/52 195/2574	162/2111	15/7	0.269	
Combarros et al. (2010) (VI)	Santander	Caucasian		302/374	162/220	114/127	26/27	0.789	
Cousin et al. (2011)	France	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	129/190	60/90	61/85	8/15	0.409	Cousin et al. (2011)
Deniz-Naranzo et al. (2008)	Spain	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	282/312	138/168	118/121	26/23	0.85	Deniz-Naranjo et al. (2008)
Du et al. (2000)	Germany	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	259/191	141/126	97/62	21/3	0.131	Du et al. (2000)
Dursun et al. (2009)	Turkey	Caucasian	DSM-IV	104/103	60/45	41/52	3/6	0.07	Dursun et al. (2009)
Fidani et al. (2002)	USA	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	142/119	73/59	59/49	10/11	0.858	Fidani et al. (2002)
Green et al. (2002)	UK/France	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA-DSM-III-R	294/503	134/221	126/217	34/65	0.309	Green et al. (2002)
Grimaldi et al. (2000)	ltaly	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	318/335	140/142	125/163	53/30	0.08	Grimaldi et al. (2000)
Hayes et al. (2004) Hedley et al. (2002)	UK Australian	Caucasian		08/503	30/221 09/152	31/220	7/62	0.528	Hayes et al. (2004)
Hu et al. (2002)	China	Asian	NINCDS-ADRDA-DSM-III-R	344/224	272/183	61/37	29/30 11/4	0.087	Hu et al. (2002)
Ki et al. (2001)	Korean	Asian	NINCDS-ADRDA	126/221	106/184	20/27	0/0	0.321	Ki et al. (2001)
Kuo et al. (2003)	Taiwan	Asian	NINCDS-ADRDA	125/93	104/72	20/21	1/0	0.22	Kuo et al. (2003)
Li et al. (2004)	China	Asian	NINCDS-ADRDA-DSM-IV	145/181	103/128	41/52	1/1	0.076	Li et al. (2004)
Mattila et al. (2002)	Finland	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA-CERAD	110/73	42/33	39/29	29/11	0.281	Mattila et al. (2002)
McCarron et al. (2003)	US/UK	Caucasian	CERAD	232/167	103/82	99/74	30/11	0.291	McCarron et al. (2003)
Minster et al. (2000)	USA	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA-DSM-III-R	297/204	139/102	126/86	32/16	0.717	Minster et al. (2000)
Moraes et al. (2013)	Brazil	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADKDA	120/412	64/209	45/168	11/35	0.88	Moraes et al. (2013)
Nicoli et al. (2000) Nichmura et al. (2004)	US/UK Ianan	Asian	NINCDS_ADRDA	252/107	105/62	99/74 31/37	0/0	0.291	Nicoli et al. (2000) Nichimura et al. (2004)
Pirskanen et al. (2002)	Finland	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	237/513	123/248	91/209	23/56	0.235	Pirskanen et al. (2002)
Prince et al. (2001)	Sweden	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	198/175	89/93	89/65	20/17	0.264	Prince et al. (2001)
Rebeck et al. (2000)	USA	Caucasian	CERAD	247/187	119/97	103/74	25/16	0.725	Rebeck (2000))
Ribizzi et al. (2010)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	19/20	12/7	3/10	4/3	0.852	Ribizzi et al. (2010)
Sciacca et al. (2003)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	353/482	165/229	153/219	35/34	0.057	Sciacca et al. (2003)
Seripa et al. (2005) I)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	225/143	117/83	90/56	18/4	0.128	Seripa et al. (2005)
Serretti (2009) (I)	USA	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADKDA	86/113	52/40 45/66	39/42 34/30	7/8	0.996	Serretti et al (2009)
Serretti (2009) (I)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	24/17	43/00	8/4	4/1	0.304	Selletti et al. (2009)
Tian et al. (2015)	China	Asian	NINCDS-ADRDA	201/257	153/217	45/37	3/3	0.328	Tian et al. (2015)
Tsai et al. (2003)	China	Asian	NINCDS-ADRDA	234/170	212/147	21/22	1/1	0.858	Tsai et al. (2003)
Vendramini et al. (2011)	Brazil	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	199/241	96/136	84/91	19/14	0.811	Vendramini et al. (2011)
Wang et al. (2007)	Taiwan	Asian	NINCDS-ADRDA	219/209	182/174	37/33	0/2	0.756	Wang et al. (2007)
Zhou et al. (2006) (abstract)	China	Asian	-	520/505	369/407	134/92	17/6	0.756	Zhou et al. (2006)
IL-1 β (-511C>T) study	Study	Ethnicity	Criteria	Sample size	Genot	ype distributi	on	HWE	Reference
(author/year)	region			(case/control)	(case/	control)		(p-value)	
					CC	CT	TT		
Deniz-Naranzo et al. (2008)	Spain	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	282/312	117/15	58 127/129	38/25	0.852	Deniz-Naranjo et al. (2008)
Grimaldi et al. (2000)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	317/305	141/12	26 130/144	46/35	0.523	Grimaldi et al. (2000)
Hayes et al. (2004)	UK	Caucasian	CERAD	68/479	34/21	11 24/220	10/48	0.395	Hayes et al. (2004)
Hedley et al. (2002)	Australian	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	220/351	106/15	54 84/160	30/37	0.631	Hedley et al. (2002)
Kang et al. (2014)	Korea	Asian	NINCDS-ADRDA-DSM-IV	86/625	27/20	07 46/320	13/98	0.161	Kang et al. (2014)
et al. (2009)	Poland	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	331/219	152/1	18 147/85	32/16	0.897	et al. (2009)
Li et al. (2004)	China	Asian	NINCDS-ADRDA-DSM-IV	145/181	34/44	4 69/84	42/53	0.35	Li et al. (2004)
Ma et al. (2003)	China	Asian	NINCDS-ADRDA CERAD	90/100	26/22	2 26/33	38/45	0.002	Ma et al. (2003)
McCullev et al. (2002)	TIMATIC	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA-CERAD	32/32 133/156	55/23 65/81) 41/20) 59/59	9/15	0.159	McCullevet al (2002)
Minster et al. (2000)	USA	Caucasian	NINCDS-DSM-III-R-ADRDA	335/203	131/72	2 164/112	40/19	0.009	Minster et al. (2004)
Nishmura et al. (2004)	Japan	Asian	NINCDS-ADRDA	172/163	61/44	4 77/82	34/37	0.919	Nishimura et al. (2004)
Payao et al. (2012)	Brazil	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	188/263	38/48	· 3 107/132	43/83	0.722	Payao et al. (2012)
Ravaglia et al. (2006)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	105/644	52/28	83 46/287	7/74	0.923	Ravaglia et al. (2006)
Ribizzi et al. (2010)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	19/20	5/3	14/12	0/5	0.343	Ribizzi et al. (2010)
Seripa et al. (2005) (I)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	225/143	103/54	4 97/70	25/19	0.62	Seripa et al. (2005)
Wang et al. (2005) (II)	Taiwan	Asian	NINCDS-ADRDA	46/103	30/38 17/37	5 00/40 7 12/50	11/15 16/24	0.419	Wang et al. (2005)
Wang et al. (2007)	Taiwan	Asian	NINCDS-ADRDA-DSM-IV	219/209	74/56	5 107/105	38/48	0.928	Wang et al. (2005)

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

Il-6 (−174g>c) study (author/year)	Study regio	n Ethnicity	Criteria	Sample siz	ze trol)	Genotyp	Genotype distribution (case/control)		HWE (<i>p</i> -value)	Reference
					,	CC	CC.		(I and)	
	*. 1					00	00			
Arosio et al. (2004)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA-DSM-I	V 59/64		17/32	34/27	8/5	0.833	Arosio et al. (2004)
Bagil et al. (2000) Rheisk et al. (2000)	Germany	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	102/351		33/99	20/208	13/44 65/56	< 0.001	Bagil et al. (2000) Rhoisk et al. (2000)
Bilojak et al. (2000)	USA	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	404/337		1/8/120	221/155	05/50	0.478	BIIOJAK EL AL (2000)
Capurso et al. (2004)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	108/220		90/129	/1/82	7/9	0.304	Capurso et al. (2004)
Capulso et al. (2010)	Ropp	Caucasian		149/290		01/1/2	122/05	27/52	0.390	Combarros et al. (2010)
Combarros et al. (2010) (i)	Bristol	Caucasian	NINCD3-ADIADA-CEIAL	120/57		66/0	82/20	J1/J2 40/16	0.033	Combarios et al. (2010)
Combarros et al. (2010) (ii)) Nottingham	Caucasian		84/05		22/22	36/41	15/22	0.457	
Combarros et al. (2010) (in) $ODTIMA$	Caucasian		2/13/2/10		SS/52 88/65	106/1/1	10/22	0.213	
Combarros et al. (2010) (W)	Oviedo	Caucasian		190/119		89/60	82/51	19/8	0.502	
Combarros et al. (2010) (vi) Rotterdam	Caucasian		391/5110		127/182	4 191/242	5 73/860	0.270	
Combarros et al. (2010) (vi	i) Santander	Caucasian		333/381		148/169	137/163	48/49	0.328	
Cousin et al (2011)	France	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	231/470		96/171	100/229	35/70	0.639	Cousin et al (2011)
Depboylu et al. (2004)	Germany	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	113/108		33/26	65/64	15/18	0.046	Depboylu et al. (2004)
Faltraco et al. (2003)	Germany	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	101/133		44/43	47/70	10/20	0.326	Faltraco et al. (2003)
Flex et al. (2014)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	533/713		216/160	241/337	76/216	0.192	Flex et al. (2014)
Klimkowicz-mrowiec	Poland	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	361/200		119/66	185/91	57/43	0.271	Klimkowicz-Mrowiec
et al. (2010				,			,			et al. (2010)
Koivisto et al. (2005)	Finland	Caucasian	-	65/542		18/136	32/260	15/146	0.349	Koivisto et al. (2005)
Licastro et al. (2003)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA-DSM-I	V-R 332/393		137/209	161/165	34/19	0.057	Licastro et al. (2003)
Mansoori et al. (2012)	India	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	80/120		55/88	24/29	1/3	0.743	Mansoori et al. (2012)
Moraes et al. (2013)	Brazil	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA-DSM-I	V 120/412		71/260	38/136	11/16	0.732	Moraes et al. (2013)
Paradowski et al. (2008)	Poland	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	51/36		11/12	31/16	9/8	0.549	Paradowski et al. (2008)
Pola et al. (2002)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	124/134		56/29	51/58	17/47	0.170	Pola et al. (2002)
Rasmussen et al. (2013)	Brazil	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA-DSM-I	V 197/163		88/82	91/65	18/16	0.557	Rasmussen et al. (2013)
Ravaglia et al. (2006)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	105/644		50/251	43/304	12/89	0.842	Ravaglia et al. 2006)
Shawkatová et al. (2010)	Slovakia	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	50/140		23/53	21/66	6/21	0.951	Shawkatova et al. (2010)
Shibata et al. (2002)	Japan	Asian	NINCDS-ADRDA	128/83		4/7	74/23	50/53	0.068	Shibata et al. (2002)
Toral-rios et al. (2015)	Mexico	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	94/100		5/3	23/15	66/82	0.040	Toral-Rios et al. (2015)
Vural et al. (2009)	Turkey	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	101/138		54/76	43/51	4/11	0.556	Vural et al. (2009)
Zhang et al. (2004)	UK	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA-DSM-I	II-R 356/434		132/152	171/213	53/69	0.695	Zhang et al. (2004)
$II_{-10} (-1082C > A)$ study	Study region	Ethnicity	Criteria	Sample size	Ce	notvne die	stribution		HW/E	Reference
(author/year)	Study region	Etimetty	criteria	(case/control)	(c	ase/contro	1)		(<i>n</i> -value)	Reference
(,j)				()			-,		(F :)	
					GC	J L	GA	AA		
Arosio et al. (2004)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA-DSM-IV	63/63		4/14	28/29	31/20	0.573	Arosio et al. (2004)
Bagnoli et al. (2007)	Italy	Caucasian	DSM-IV	222/179	9	8/79	99/74	25/26	0.210	Bagnoli et al. (2007)
Combarros et al. (2008)	Spain	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	231/194	6	60/66	140/99	31/29	0.410	Combarros et al. (2008)
Cousin et al. (2011)	France	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	426/475	9	04/107	205/232	127/136	0.671	Cousin et al. (2011)
Culpan et al. (2006)	Sweden	Caucasian	-	160/92	4	1/24	79/50	40/18	0.380	Culpan et al. (2006)
Depboylu et al. (2003)	Germany	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	233/97	5	6/25	96/54	81/18	0.240	Depboylu et al. (2003)
Heun et al. (2012) (1)	Bonn	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA-CERAD	245/216	5	4/45	118/109	73/62	0.819	Heun et al. (2012)
Heun et al. (2012) (II)	Bristol	Caucasian		162/52	4	1/12	12/25	45/15	0.799	
Heun et al. (2012) (III)	Nottingham	Caucasian		6///6	2	21/22	28/29	18/25	0.040	
Heun et al. (2012) (IV)	OPTIMA	Caucasian		237/241	/	2/58	112/123	53/60	0.747	
Heuri et al. (2012) (V)	Dviedo	Caucasian		180/110	12	24/25 00/1220	97/01	03/24 01/1322	0.252	
Hour et al. (2012) (VI)	Santandor	Caucasian		211/207	12	0/1335	190/2336	01/1255	0.030	
Lie et al. (2012) (VII)	Italy	Caucasian		122/212	ر د	2/96	01/119	0/0	<0.020	Lie at al. (2002)
Ma et al. (2005)	China	Asian	NINCDS-ADRDA	95/117	J	3/5	8/6	84/106	< 0.001	Ma et al. (2005)
Moraes et al. (2013)	Brazil	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	120/412	1	5/35	68/189	37/188	0 192	Moraes et al (2013)
Ramos et al. (2005)	USA	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	265/347	6	5/100	144/156	56/91	0.062	Ramos et al (2006)
Ribizzi et al. (2010)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	19/20	0	8/1	5/12	6/7	0.154	Ribizzi et al. (2010)
Scassellati et al. (2004)	Italy	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	215/153	3	5/26	109/64	71/63	0.168	Scassellati et al. (2004)
Shawkatova et al. (2010)	Slovakia	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	50/140		8/30	20/61	22/49	0.184	Shawkatova et al. (2010)
Toral-Rios et al. (2015)	Mexico	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	94/100		8/9	86/91	0/0	< 0.001	Toral-Rios et al. (2015)
Torres et al. (2013)	Brazil	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA-CERAD	249/98	2	25/12	103/40	121/46	0.476	Torres et al. (2013)
Vural et al. (2009)	Turkey	Caucasian	NINCDS-ADRDA	101/138	2	24/50	65/63	12/25	0.511	Vural et al. (2009)

Bonn, Ethics Review Board of the University of Bonn; Bristol, Frenchay Local Research Ethics committee Bristol; Nottingham, Nottingham Research Committee 2 (NHS); OPTIMA, Central Oxford Ethics Committee No 1656; Oviedo, Ethical Committee of the Hospital Central de Asturias; Rotterdam, Medical Ethical Committee of the Erasmus MC; Santander, Ethical Committee of the University Hospital "Marqués de Valdecilla", Santander; NINCDS-ADRDA, National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer's disease and Related Disorders Association; CERAD, The Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease; DSM, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder. *Zhou et al. data from abstract.

analysis. A total of 8641 cases and 14,214 controls from 34 studies (42 subgroup studies) that reported on the association between the IL-1 α gene polymorphism (-889C>T) and risk of AD were included in the meta-analysis. A total of 3194 cases and 4621 controls from 18 studies (19 subgroup studies) that reported on the association between the IL-1 β gene polymorphism (-511C>T) and risk of AD were included in the meta-analysis. A total of 5755 cases and 12,456 controls from

24 studies (30 subgroup studies) of IL-6 gene polymorphism (-174G>C) were included in the meta-analysis. Seventeen IL-10 gene polymorphism (-1082G>A) studies (23 subgroup studies) involving 4274 cases and 9030 controls were included in the meta-analysis. Most of the studies were performed in Caucasian populations. However, several studies were conducted in Asian populations (nine subgroup studies in IL-1 α , six subgroup studies in IL-1 β , one subgroup

study in IL-6, and one subgroup study in IL-10). The characteristics of the studies are summarized in Table 1.

3.2. IL genes polymorphisms and risk of AD

Forty-two subgroup studies involving 8641 cases and 14,214 controls identified an association between the -889C>T polymorphism and risk of AD. The distributions of the genotypes in the control groups from all studies followed HWE. Our comprehensive meta-analysis indicated that the -889C>T polymorphism was significantly associated with an increased risk of AD by three genetic models. The ORs of the homozygote (CC vs. TT), dominant (TT/CT vs. CC) and recessive (TT vs. CC/CT) models were 1.32, 1.09 and 1.32, respectively (95% CI: 1.18-1.49, 1.03-1.16 and 1.18-1.45, respectively) using a fixed-effects model (Fig. 2). However, heterozygote models (CC vs. TC) were not associated with risk of AD (OR: 1.05, 95% CI: 0.98-1.12). We also assessed the association between the -889C>T polymorphism and risk of AD in Caucasian populations by excluding nine Asian studies (Ki et al., 2001; Kuo et al., 2003; Tsai et al., 2003; Li et al., 2004; Nishimura et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2007; Hu et al., 2009; Tian et al., 2015). Data from the Caucasian studies showed that three genetic models (homozygote, dominant and recessive) were related to an increased risk of AD (OR: 1.30, 95% CI: 1.15-1.47; OR: 1.07, 95% CI: 1.00-1.15; OR: 1.30, 95% CI: 1.16–1.46, respectively). However, the heterozygote model was not related to risk of AD. Nineteen subgroup studies on the -511C>T polymorphism of IL-1B included 3194 cases and 4621 controls. Of these, the distribution of genotypes in the control groups of two studies, Ma et al. 2003 and Minster et al. 2000, deviated from

HWE (p < 0.05). Our meta-analysis with HWE revealed that the -511C>T polymorphism was not associated with risk of AD (homozygote: OR = 0.95, 95% CI = 0.81–1.12 by fixed-effects model; heterozygote: OR = 0.94, 95% CI = 0.84–1.06 by fixed-effects model; dominant: OR = 0.95, 95% CI = 0.86–1.06 by fixed-effects model; recessive: OR = 0.98, 95% CI = 0.75–1.28 by random-effects model). Therefore, our meta-analysis suggested that the -889C>T polymorphism was significantly associated with an increased risk of AD. However, the -511C>T polymorphism was not related to risk of AD.

Thirty subgroup studies on the -174G>C polymorphism included 5755 cases and 12,456 controls. Of them, five studies deviated from HWE (p < 0.05) (Bagli et al., 2000; Depboylu et al., 2004; Combarros et al., 2010; Toral-Rios et al., 2015). The tendency of our meta-analysis indicated that the -174G>C polymorphism was related to a decreased risk of AD. However, this polymorphism was statistically not associated with risk of AD (homozygote: OR = 0.85, 95% CI = 0.64–1.13; heterozygote: OR = 0.89, 95% CI = 0.85–1.15; dominant: OR = 0.95, 95% CI = 0.80–1.13; recessive: OR = 0.83, 95% CI = 0.67–1.03) by a random-effects model. Consequently, our results suggested that the -174G>C polymorphism was not associated with risk of AD.

Twenty-three subgroup studies involving 4274 cases and 9030 controls identified an association between the -1082G>A polymorphism and risk of AD. Two studies of the association between the -1082G>A polymorphism and AD risk were conducted in Asian populations. Among previous studies, the results of four studies departed from HWE (p < 0.05) (Lio et al., 2003; Ma et al., 2003; Heun et al., 2012). Our meta-analysis results showed that the -1082G>A polymorphism of IL-10 was not related to risk of AD. The ORs of four genetic

Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H. Fixed, 95% Cl M-H. Fixed, 95% Cl Clarimon 2003 9 70 13 55 2.6% 0.48 [0.19, 1.22] Combarros 2010 (I) 19 142 21 132 3.9% 0.82 [0.42, 1.60] Combarros 2010 (II) 9 45 12 58 1.7% 0.96 [0.36, 2.52] Combarros 2010 (VI) 15 110 7 59 1.6% 0.95 [0.53, 1.73] Combarros 2010 (VI) 15 110 7 59 1.6% 0.95 [0.53, 1.73] Combarros 2010 (VI) 14 229 425 2999 10.1% 1.44 [1.02, 2.03] Combarros 2010 (VI) 16 188 17 1.38 [0.75, 2.52]		Experim	ental	Contr	ol	Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Clarimon 2003 9 70 13 55 2.6% 0.48 [0.19, 1.22] Combarros 2010 (I) 19 142 21 132 3.9% 0.82 [0.42, 1.60] Combarros 2010 (II) 25 112 3 27 0.8% 2.30 [0.46, 8.27] Combarros 2010 (IV) 29 153 25 127 4.6% 0.95 [0.53, 1.73] Combarros 2010 (V) 29 153 25 127 4.6% 0.95 [0.53, 1.73] Combarros 2010 (V) 29 153 125 127 4.6% 0.95 [0.53, 1.73] Combarros 2010 (V) 44 229 425 2999 10.1% 1.44 [1.02, 2.03] Combarros 2010 (V) 44 229 425 2999 10.1% 1.44 [1.02, 2.03] Combarros 2010 (V) 44 229 425 2999 10.1% 1.44 [1.02, 2.03] Combarros 2010 (V) 44 229 425 2999 10.1% 1.44 [1.02, 2.03] Combarros 2010 (V) 44 26 188 27 247 4.2% 1.31 [0.74, 2.33] Combarros 2010 (V) 26 188 27 247 4.2% 1.31 [0.74, 2.33] Combarros 2010 (V) 21 162 3 129 0.6% 6.26 [1.82, 21.47] Dursu 2009 21 162 3 129 0.6% 6.26 [1.82, 21.47] Dursu 2009 3 6.3 6 51 1.3% 0.38 [0.09, 1.58] Green 2002 10 83 11 70 2.2% 0.73 [0.29, 1.86] Green 2002 34 168 65 286 8.0% 0.38 [0.54, 1.38] Grimali 2000 53 193 30 172 4.8% 1.79 [1.08, 2.97] Hedley 2002 29 127 30 183 3.9% 1.51 [0.85, 2.67] Hedley 2002 19 127 30 183 3.9% 1.51 [0.85, 2.67] Hedley 2002 19 17 105 0 72 0.01% 2.08 [0.08, 51.81] Li 2004 1 104 129 0.2% 1.24 (0.08, 20.11] Mattia 2002 19 77 11 14 41 .7% 2.07 [0.90, 4.75] MicCarron 2003 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Mistrura 2004 0 141 0 126 Not estimable MicCarron 2003 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Mistrura 2004 0 141 0 128 Not estimable MicCarron 2003 35 200 34 263 5.0% 1.43 [0.48, 2.34] Mistrura 2004 0 141 0 128 Not estimable Nishuma 2004 0 141 1 13 0.2% 1.23 [0.13, 4.54] Sincac 2003 35 200 34 263 5.0% 1.43 [0.48, 2.34] Mistrura 2004 0 141 1 13 0.2% 1.23 [0.13, 4.54] Sincac 2003 35 200 34 4.263 5.0% 1.43 [0.48, 2.39] Serretti 2009 (I) 7 52 48 74 1.2% 1.28 [0.43, 3.79] Serretti 2009 (I) 10 62 11 51 2.1% 0.70 [0.27, 1.81] Serretti 2009 (I) 12 61 153 2.2% 0.0% 1.42 [0.28, 7.12] Tai 2015 3 156 3 220 0.5% 1.44 [0.28, 7.12] Tai 2015 3 156 3 220 0.5% 1.44 [0.28, 0.14] Vandramini 2011 19 115 14 150 2.1% 1.92 [0.92, 4.02] Vandramini 2011 19 115	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Combaros 2002 18 179 7 202 1.2% 3.11 1.27, 7.64 Combaros 2010 (I) 19 142 21 132 3.9% 0.82 (0.42, 1.60) Combarros 2010 (II) 9 145 12 58 1.7% 0.96 (0.36, 2.52) Combarros 2010 (V) 15 110 7 59 1.6% 1.17 (0.45, 3.06) Combarros 2010 (V) 26 188 27 247 4.2% 1.31 [0.74, 2.33] Combarros 2010 (VI) 26 188 15 105 2.2% 0.80 (0.32, 2.00] Combarros 2010 (VI) 26 188 129 0.6% 6.26 [1.82, 21.47] Dursun 2009 3 6 61 1.3% 0.38 (0.69, 1.58) Fidani 2002 10 83 11 70 2.2% 0.73 [0.29, 1.85] Fidani 2002 29 127 30 183 39% 151 [0.85, 2.67] Haves 2004 7 37 62 283 2.4% 0.83 [0.35, 1.98] Ki 20203 1 128 4.87 1.0% [0.86, 5.81]	Clarimon 2003	9	70	13	55	2.6%	0.48 [0.19, 1.22]	
Combarros 2010 (I) 19 142 21 132 3.9% 0.82 (0.42, 1.60) Combarros 2010 (II) 25 112 3 27 0.8% 2.30 (0.64, 8.27) Combarros 2010 (IV) 29 153 25 127 4.6% 0.95 (0.53, 1.73) Combarros 2010 (V) 15 110 7 59 16.% 1.17 (0.45, 3.06) Combarros 2010 (V) 44 229 425 2999 10.1% 1.44 (1.02, 2.03) Combarros 2010 (V) 44 229 425 2999 10.1% 1.44 (1.02, 2.03) Combarros 2010 (V) 44 229 425 2999 10.1% 1.38 (0.75, 2.52) Darsun 2009 3 63 6 51 1.3% 0.37 (0.28, 1.85) Grienalci 2000 53 193 30 172 4.8% 1.79 (1.08, 2.97) Halys 2004 7 37 62 283 2.4% 0.63 (0.35, 1.18) Li 2004 1 106 184 105	Combarros 2002	18	179	7	202	1.2%	3.11 [1.27, 7.64]	
Combarros 2010 (III) 25 112 3 27 0.8% 2.30 [0.64, 8.27] Combarros 2010 (IV) 29 153 25 127 4.6% 0.95 [0.53, 1.73] Combarros 2010 (V) 15 110 7 59 1.6% 1.17 [0.45, 3.06] Combarros 2010 (VI) 26 188 27 247 4.2% 1.31 [0.74, 2.33] Cousin 2011 8 68 15 105 2.2% 0.80 [0.32, 2.00] Dursun 2009 3 63 6 51 1.3% 0.38 [0.09, 1.58] Fidani 202 10 83 11 70 2.2% 0.73 [0.29, 1.58] Grimaldi 2000 53 193 30 172 4.8% 0.86 [0.54, 1.38] Grimaldi 2000 53 193 30 172 4.8% 1.97 [1.08, 2.97] Hayes 2044 7 37 62 2.83 2.4% 0.83 [0.56, 5.90] Ki 2001 0 106 0 1.84 Not estimable Not estimable Kuo 2003 1 105 0 72	Combarros 2010 (I)	19	142	21	132	3.9%	0.82 [0.42, 1.60]	
Combarros 2010 (III) 9 45 12 58 1.7% 0.96 [0.36, 2.52] Combarros 2010 (V) 29 153 25 127 4.6% 0.95 [0.53, 1.73] Combarros 2010 (V) 14 229 425 2999 10.1% 1.44 [10.2, 2.03] Combarros 2010 (VI) 44 229 425 2999 10.1% 1.44 [10.2, 2.03] Combarros 2010 (VI) 44 28 427 424 1.31 [0.74, 2.3] Cousin 2011 8 68 15 105 2.2% 0.80 [0.32, 2.00] Denix-Naranzo 2008 26 164 23 191 3.7% 1.38 [0.75, 2.52] Dursun 2009 3 63 6 51 1.3% 0.38 [0.09, 1.58] Grieanidi 2000 53 193 30 172 4.8% 1.79 [1.08, 2.97] Hayes 2004 7 76 2.82 2.4% 0.38 [0.35, 1.98]	Combarros 2010 (II)	25	112	3	27	0.8%	2.30 [0.64, 8.27]	
Combarros 2010 (V) 29 153 25 127 4 6% 0.95 [0.53, 1.73] Combarros 2010 (VI) 15 110 7 59 1.6% 1.17 [0.45, 3.06] Combarros 2010 (VI) 26 188 27 247 4.2% 1.31 [0.74, 2.33] Combarros 2000 21 162 3 191 3.7% 1.38 [0.75, 2.52] Dursun 2009 3 63 6 61 1.3% 0.38 [0.09, 1.58] Fidani 2002 10 83 11 70 2.2% 0.73 [0.29, 1.85] Grimaldi 2000 53 193 30 172 4.8% 0.39 [0.05, 1.58] Fidani 2002 10 83 11 70 2.2% 0.73 [0.29, 1.85] Grimaldi 2000 53 193 30 172 4.8% 0.38 [0.36, 1.98] Hedley 2002 29 127 30 183 39% 1.51 [0.85, 2.67] Hu 2009 11 283 4 187 1.0% 1.58 [0.58, 0.51] Ki 20201 0 106 184 32% 1	Combarros 2010 (III)	9	45	12	58	1.7%	0.96 [0.36, 2.52]	
Combarros 2010 (V) 15 110 7 59 1.6% 1.17 [0.45, 3.06] Combarros 2010 (VI) 44 229 425 299 10.1% 1.44 [1.02, 2.03] Combarros 2010 (VI) 26 188 27 247 4.2% 0.80 [0.32, 2.00] Deniz-Naranzo 2008 26 164 23 191 3.7% 1.38 [0.75, 2.52] Du 2000 21 162 3 129 0.6% 6.26 [1.82, 21.47] Dursun 2009 3 63 6 51 1.3% 0.38 [0.09, 1.86] Grienaldi 2000 53 193 30 172 4.8% 1.79 [1.08, 5, 1.98] Hayes 2004 7 37 62 283 2.4% 0.83 [0.35, 1.98] Hedley 2002 29 127 30 183 3.9% 1.51 [0.85, 5.90] Ki 2001 0 106 184 Not estimable 1.4 Ku 2003 1 105 0.72 0.1% 2.0% [0.90, 4.75] Micarron 2003 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.1% [0.90, 4.75]	Combarros 2010 (IV)	29	153	25	127	4.6%	0.95 [0.53, 1.73]	
Combarros 2010 (VI) 44 229 425 2999 10.1% 1.44 [1.02, 2.03] Combarros 2010 (VII) 26 188 27 247 4.2% 1.31 [0.74, 2.33] Cousin 2011 8 68 15 105 2.2% 0.80 [0.32, 2.00] Deniz-Naranzo 2008 26 164 23 191 3.7% 1.38 [0.75, 2.52] Du 2000 21 162 3 129 0.6% 6.26 [1.82, 21.47] Dursun 2009 3 63 6 51 1.3% 0.86 [0.54, 1.38] Grimaldi 2000 53 193 30 172 4.8% 1.79 [1.08, 2.97] Hayes 2004 7 37 62 283 2.4% 0.83 [0.35, 1.98] Hadeley 2002 29 127 30 183 3.9% 1.51 [0.85, 2.67] Hu 2009 11 283 4 187 1.0% 1.86 [0.58, 5.90] Kuo 2003 1 105 0 72 0.1% 2.07 [0.90, 4.75] Miccarron 2003 30 133 11 93 2.1	Combarros 2010 (V)	15	110	7	59	1.6%	1.17 [0.45, 3.06]	
Combaros 2010 (VII) 26 188 27 247 4.2% 1.31 [0.74, 2.33] Cousin 2011 8 68 15 105 2.2% 0.80 [0.32, 2.00] Durzunaroz 02008 26 164 23 191 3.7% 1.38 [0.75, 2.52] Durzun 2009 3 63 6 51 1.3% 0.38 [0.05, 1.58] Fidani 2002 10 83 11 70 2.2% 0.08 [0.54, 1.38] Grimaldi 2000 53 193 30 172 4.8% 1.79 [1.08, 2.97] Hayes 2004 7 37 62 283 2.4% 0.83 [0.51, 1.8] Hedley 2002 29 127 30 183 3.9% 1.51 [0.85, 5.90] Ku 2004 1 106 0 184 Not estimable Not estimable Ku 2003 1 105 0 72 0.1% 2.08 [0.08, 51.81] Li 2004 1 104 129 0.2% 1.24 [0.08, 2.14] Moraes 2	Combarros 2010 (VI)	44	229	425	2999	10.1%	1.44 [1.02, 2.03]	-
Cousin 2011 8 68 15 105 2.2% 0.80 [0.32, 2.00] Deniz-Naranzo 2008 26 164 23 191 3.7% 1.38 [0.75, 2.52] Durzono 21 162 3 129 0.6% 6.26 [1.82, 21.47] Dursun 2009 3 63 6 51 1.3% 0.38 [0.09, 1.58] Grimaldi 2002 10 83 11 70 2.2% 0.73 [0.29, 1.85] Grimaldi 2000 53 193 30 172 4.8% 1.79 [1.08, 2.97] Hayes 2004 7 37 62 2.83 2.4% 0.83 [0.35, 1.98] Hedley 2002 29 127 30 183 3.9% 1.51 [0.85, 5.90] Kuo 2003 1 106 0 184 Not estimable 1.41 [0.08, 2.01] Kuo 2003 1 105 0 72 0.1% 2.08 [0.08, 51.81] 1.41 [0.76, 2.82] Minster 2000 32 171 16 118 3.2% 1.47 [0.76, 2.82] 1.45 [0.89, 2.14] Nicoll 2000 30 133<	Combarros 2010 (VII)	26	188	27	247	4.2%	1.31 [0.74, 2.33]	
Deniz-Naranzo 2008 26 164 23 191 3.7% 1.38 [0.75, 2.52] Du 2000 21 162 3 129 0.6% 6.26 [1.82, 21.47] Fidani 2009 3 63 6 51 1.3% 0.38 [0.09, 1.58] Fidani 2002 10 83 11 70 2.2% 0.73 [0.29, 1.85] Green 2002 34 168 65 286 8.0% 0.88 [0.54, 1.38] Green 2002 34 168 65 286 8.0% 0.88 [0.54, 1.38] Hedley 2002 29 127 30 183 3.9% 1.57 [1.08, 2.97] Hayes 2004 7 37 62 283 2.4% 0.83 [0.35, 1.98] Hedley 2002 29 127 30 183 3.9% 1.51 [0.85, 2.67] Hayes 2004 7 37 62 283 2.4% 0.83 [0.35, 1.98] Hedley 2002 29 127 30 183 3.9% 1.51 [0.85, 2.67] Hu 2009 11 283 4 187 1.0% 1.88 [0.58, 5.90] Ki 2001 0 106 0 184 Not estimable Kuo 2003 1 1005 0 72 0.1% 2.08 [0.08, 51.81] Li 2004 1 104 1 129 0.2% 1.24 [0.08, 20.11] Mattila 2002 29 71 11 44 1.7% 2.07 [0.90, 4.75] McCaron 2003 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Minster 2000 32 171 16 118 3.2% 1.47 [0.76, 2.82] Moraes 2013 11 75 35 244 2.9% 1.03 [0.49, 2.14] Nicoli 2000 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Minster 2000 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Nishmura 2004 0 141 0 126 Not estimable Pirskanen 2002 23 146 56 304 6.4% 0.83 [0.49, 1.41] Prince 2001 20 109 17 110 2.9% 1.23 [0.61, 2.50] Rebeck 2000 25 144 16 113 3.1% 1.27 [0.64, 2.52] Ribizri 2010 4 16 3 10 0.6% 0.78 [0.13, 4.54] Scripa 2005 (I) 10 62 11 51 2.1% 0.70 [0.27, 1.81] Scripa 2005 (I) 10 62 11 51 2.1% 0.70 [0.27, 1.81] Serreti 2009 (I) 7 52 8 74 1.2% 1.28 [0.43, 3.79] Serreti 2009 (I) 7 52 8 74 1.2% 1.28 [0.43, 3.79] Serreti 2009 (I) 7 11 12 2.1% 0.69 [0.04, 1.17] Vendramini 2011 19 115 14 160 2.1% 0.5% 0.19 [0.01, 4.01] Taa 2015 3 156 3 220 0.5% 1.42 [0.28, 7.12] Taa 2015 1 3 156 3 220 0.5% 1.42 [0.28, 7.12] Taa 2003 1 2 2176 0.5% 0.19 [0.01, 4.01] Total (95% CI) 5547 8798 100.0% 1.32 [1.18, 1.49]	Cousin 2011	8	68	15	105	2.2%	0.80 [0.32, 2.00]	
Du 2000 21 162 3 129 0.6% 6.26 [1.82, 21.47] Dursun 2009 3 63 6 51 1.3% 0.38 [0.09, 1.58] Grimaldi 2002 10 83 11 70 2.2% 0.73 [0.29, 1.85] Grimaldi 2000 53 193 30 172 4.8% 1.79 [1.08, 2.97] Hayes 2004 7 37 62 283 2.4% 0.83 [0.35, 1.98] Hedley 2002 29 127 30 183 3.9% 1.51 [0.85, 2.67] Hu 2009 11 283 4 187 1.0% 1.85 [0.85, 5.00] Ki 2001 0 106 0 184 Not estimable Kuo 2003 1 105 0 72 0.1% 2.08 [0.08, 51.81] Li 2004 1 104 1 129 0.2% 1.24 [0.08, 20.11] Mattila 2002 29 71 11 44 1.7% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Minster 2000 32 171 16 118 3.2% 1.47 [0.76, 2.82]	Deniz-Naranzo 2008	26	164	23	191	3.7%	1.38 [0.75, 2.52]	+
Dursun 2009 3 63 6 51 1.3% 0.38 [0.09, 1.58] Fidani 2002 10 83 11 70 2.2% 0.73 [0.29, 1.85] Grimaldi 2000 53 193 30 172 4.8% 1.79 [1.08, 2.97] Hayes 2004 7 37 62 283 2.4% 0.83 [0.55, 1.98] Hedley 2002 29 127 30 183 3.9% 1.57 [0.58, 5.90] Ku 2003 1 106 0 184 Not estimable Ku 2010 0 106 184 Not estimable McCarron 2003 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Minster 2000 32 171 16 118 3.2% 1.47 [0.76, 2.82] Moraes 2013 11 75 35 244 2.9% 1.03 [0.49, 2.14] Nicoll 2000 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Minster 2000 12 146 56 304 6.4% 0.83 [0.49, 1.41] 1.41 Pr	Du 2000	21	162	3	129	0.6%	6.26 [1.82, 21.47]	
Fidani 2002 10 83 11 70 2.2% 0.73 [0.29, 1.85] Green 2002 34 168 65 286 8.0% 0.86 [0.54, 1.38] Grimaldi 2000 53 193 30 172 4.8% 1.78 [1.08, 2.97] Hayes 2004 7 37 62 283 2.4% 0.83 [0.35, 1.96] Hedley 2002 29 127 30 183 3.9% 1.51 [0.85, 2.67] Hu 2009 11 283 4 187 1.0% 1.85 [0.85, 5.90] Ku 2001 0 06 0 184 Not estimable Ku 2003 1 105 0 72 0.1% 2.08 [0.08, 51.81] Li 2004 1 104 1 129 0.2% 1.03 [0.90, 4.75] Mattia 2002 29 71 11 44 1.7% 2.07 [0.90, 4.75] Mical 2002 29 71 16 118 3.2% 1.47 [0.76, 2.82] 4.28 Mical 2001 30 133 11 93 2.1% [0.49, 2.14] 4.17	Dursun 2009	3	63	6	51	1.3%	0.38 [0.09, 1.58]	
Green 2002 34 168 65 286 8.0% 0.86 [0.54, 1.38] Grimald 2000 53 193 30 172 4.8% 1.79 [1.08, 2.97] Hayes 2004 7 37 62 283 2.4% 0.83 [0.35, 1.98] Hedley 2002 29 127 30 183 3.9% 1.51 [0.85, 2.67] Hu 2009 11 283 4 187 1.0% 1.85 [0.58, 5.90] Ki 2001 0 106 0 184 Not estimable [Fidani 2002	10	83	11	70	2.2%	0.73 [0.29, 1.85]	
Grimaldi 2000 53 193 30 172 4.8% 1.79 [1.08, 2.97] Hayes 2004 7 37 62 283 2.4% 0.83 [0.35, 1.98] Hedley 2002 29 127 30 183 3.9% 1.51 [0.85, 2.67] Hu 2009 11 283 4 187 1.0% 1.85 [0.58, 5.90] Ki 2001 0 106 0 184 Not estimable Kuo 2003 1 105 0 72 0.7% 2.08 [0.08, 51.81] Li 2004 1 104 1 29 0.2% 1.24 [0.08, 20.11] 1.0% Mattila 2002 29 71 11 44 1.7% 2.07 [0.90, 4.75] McGarron 2003 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Moraes 2013 11 75 35 244 2.9% 1.03 [0.49, 2.14] Nicoll 2000 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Pirskanen 2002 23 146 56 304 6.4% 0.83 [0.49, 1.41]	Green 2002	34	168	65	286	8.0%	0.86 [0.54, 1.38]	
Hayes 2004 7 37 62 283 2.4% 0.83 0.35, 1.98 Hedley 2002 29 127 30 183 3.9% 1.51 [0.85, 2.67] Hu 2009 11 283 4 187 1.0% 1.85 [0.55, 5.00] Ku 2010 0 106 0 184 Not estimable Ku 2003 1 105 0 72 0.1% 2.08 [0.08, 51.81] Li 2004 1 104 1 129 0.2% 1.24 [0.08, 20.11] Mattila 2002 29 71 11 44 1.7% 2.07 [0.04, 2.5] Miccarron 2003 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Minster 2000 32 171 16 118 3.2% 1.47 [0.76, 2.82] Moraes 2013 11 75 35 2.44 2.9% 1.03 [0.49, 2.41] Price 2001 20 109 17 110 2.9% 1.23 [0.61, 2.50] Ribizzi 2010<	Grimaldi 2000	53	193	30	172	4.8%	1.79 [1.08, 2.97]	
Hedley 2002 29 127 30 183 3.9% 1.51 10.85, 2.67] Hu 2009 11 283 4 187 1.0% 1.85 [0.58, 5.90] Ki 2001 0 106 0 184 Not estimable Kuo 2003 1 105 0 72 0.1% 2.08 [0.08, 51.81] Li 2004 1 104 1 129 0.2% 1.24 [0.08, 20.11] Mattia 2002 29 71 11 44 1.7% 2.07 [0.90, 4.75] MicCarron 2003 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Minster 2000 32 171 16 118 3.2% 1.47 [0.76, 2.82] Moraes 2013 11 75 35 244 2.9% 1.03 [0.49, 2.14] Nicoll 2000 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Nishmura 2004 0 141 0 126 Not estimable Not estimable Pirskanen 2002 <td>Hayes 2004</td> <td>7</td> <td>37</td> <td>62</td> <td>283</td> <td>2.4%</td> <td>0.83 [0.35, 1.98]</td> <td></td>	Hayes 2004	7	37	62	283	2.4%	0.83 [0.35, 1.98]	
Hu 2009 11 283 4 187 1.0% 1.85 [0.58, 5.90] Ki 2001 0 106 0 184 Not estimable Kuo 2003 1 105 0 72 0.1% 2.08 [0.08, 51.81] Li 2004 1 104 1 129 0.2% 1.24 [0.08, 20.11] Mattila 2002 29 71 11 44 1.7% 2.07 [0.90, 4.75] McCarron 2003 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Minster 2000 32 171 16 118 32% 1.47 [0.76, 2.82] Moraes 2013 11 75 35 244 2.9% 1.03 [0.49, 2.14] Nicoll 2000 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Pirskanen 2002 23 146 56 304 6.4% 0.83 [0.49, 1.41] Price 2001 20 109 17 110 2.9% 1.23 [0.61, 2.50] Rebeck	Hedley 2002	29	127	30	183	3.9%	1.51 [0.85, 2.67]	+
Ki 2001 0 106 0 184 Not estimable Kuo 2003 1 105 0 72 0.1% 2.08 [0.08, 50.81] Li 2004 1 104 1 129 0.2% 1.24 [0.08, 20.11] Mattila 2002 29 71 11 44 1.7% 2.07 [0.90, 4.75] McCarron 2003 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Minster 2000 32 171 16 118 3.2% 1.47 [0.76, 2.82] Moraes 2013 11 75 35 244 2.9% 1.03 [0.49, 1.41] Prickaper 2000 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Nicoll 2000 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Nicoll 2000 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Nicoll 2001 20 109 17 110 2.9% 1.23 [0.61, 2.50] Rebeck 2000 25 144 16 113 3.1% 1.27 [0.64, 2.52] <td>Hu 2009</td> <td>11</td> <td>283</td> <td>4</td> <td>187</td> <td>1.0%</td> <td>1.85 [0.58, 5.90]</td> <td></td>	Hu 2009	11	283	4	187	1.0%	1.85 [0.58, 5.90]	
Kuo 2003 1 105 0 72 0.1% 2.08 [0.08, 51.81] Li 2004 1 104 1 129 0.2% 1.24 [0.08, 0.17] Mattila 2002 29 71 11 44 1.7% 2.07 [0.90, 4.75] McCarron 2003 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Minster 2000 32 171 16 118 3.2% 1.47 [0.76, 2.82] Moraes 2013 11 75 35 244 2.9% 1.03 [0.49, 2.14] Nicoll 2000 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Nishmura 2004 0 141 0 126 Not estimable Pirskanen 2002 23 146 56 304 6.4% 0.83 [0.49, 1.41] Prince 2001 20 109 17 110 2.9% 1.23 [0.61, 2.50]	Ki 2001	0	106	0	184		Not estimable	
Li 2004 1 104 1 129 0.2% 1.24 [0.08, 20.11] Mattila 2002 29 71 11 44 1.7% 2.07 [0.90, 4.75] McCarron 2003 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Minster 2000 32 171 16 118 3.2% 1.47 [0.76, 2.82] Moraes 2013 11 75 35 244 2.9% 1.03 [0.49, 2.14] Nicoll 2000 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Mishmura 2004 0 141 0 126 Not estimable Pirskanen 2002 23 146 56 304 6.4% 0.83 [0.49, 1.41] Prince 2001 20 109 17 110 2.9% 1.23 [0.61, 2.50] Rebeck 2000 25 144 16 113 3.1% 1.27 [0.64, 2.52] Ribibizi 2010 4 16 3 10 0.6% 0.78 [0.13, 4.54] Sciacca 2003 35 200 34 263 5.0% 1.43 [0.86, 2.39] Seripa 2005 (II) 18 135 4 87 0.9% 3.19 [1.04, 9.78] Seripa 2005 (II) 10 62 11 51 2.1% 0.70 [0.27, 1.81] Serretti 2009 (II) 7 52 8 74 1.2% 1.28 [0.43, 3.79] Serretti 2009 (II) 4 16 1 13 0.2% 4.00 [0.39, 41.23] Tian 2015 3 156 3 220 0.5% 1.42 [0.28, 7.12] Tian 2015 13 156 4 0.2% 0.69 [0.04, 11.17] Vendramini 2011 19 115 14 150 2.1% 1.92 [0.92, 4.02] Wang 2007 0 182 2 176 0.5% 0.19 [0.01, 4.01] Zhou 2006 17 386 6 4113 1.2% 3.13 [1.22, 8.01] Total (95% CI) 5547 8798 100.0% 1.32 [1.18, 1.49]	Kuo 2003	1	105	0	72	0.1%	2.08 [0.08, 51.81]	
Mattila 2002 29 71 11 44 1.7% 2.07 [0.90, 4.75] McCarron 2003 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Minster 2000 32 171 16 118 3.2% 1.47 [0.76, 2.82] Mioraes 2013 11 75 35 2.44 2.9% 1.03 [0.49, 2.14] Nicoll 2000 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Nishmura 2004 0 141 0 126 Not estimable Prirskanen 2002 23 146 56 304 6.4% 0.83 [0.49, 1.41] Prince 2001 20 109 17 110 2.9% 1.23 [0.61, 2.50] Rebeck 2000 25 144 16 113 3.1% 1.27 [0.64, 2.52] Sciacca 2003 35 200 34 263 5.0% 1.43 [0.86, 2.39] Seripa 2005 (II) 10 62 11 51 2.1% 0.70 [0.27, 1.81] Serretti 2009 (II) 7 52 8 74 1.2% 0.6	Li 2004	1	104	1	129	0.2%	1.24 [0.08, 20,11]	
McCarron 2003 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 10.3, 4.59] Minster 2000 32 171 16 118 3.2% 1.47 [0.76, 2.82] Moraes 2013 11 75 35 2.44 2.9% 1.03 [0.49, 2.14] Nicoll 2000 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Nishmura 2004 0 141 0 126 Not estimable Pirskanen 2002 23 146 56 304 6.4% 0.83 [0.49, 1.41] Prince 2001 20 109 17 110 2.9% 1.23 [0.61, 2.50] Rebeck 2000 25 144 16 113 3.1% 1.27 [0.64, 2.52] Ribizzi 2010 4 16 3 10 0.6% 0.78 [0.13, 4.54] Sciaca 2003 35 200 34 263 5.0% 1.43 [0.86, 2.39] Seripa 2005 (II) 18 135 4 87 0.9% 3.19 [1.04, 9.78] Serretti 2009 (II) 7 52 8 74 1.2%	Mattila 2002	29	71	11	44	1.7%	2.07 [0.90, 4.75]	
Minster 2000 32 171 16 118 3.2% 1.47 10.76, 2.82 Moraes 2013 11 75 35 244 2.9% 1.03 [0.49, 2.14] Nicoll 2000 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Nishmura 2004 0 141 0 126 Not estimable Pirskanen 2002 23 146 56 304 6.4% 0.83 [0.49, 1.41] Prince 2001 20 109 17 110 2.9% 1.23 [0.61, 2.52] Rebeck 2000 25 144 16 113 3.1% 1.27 [0.64, 2.52] Ribizzi 2010 4 16 3 10 0.6% 0.78 [0.13, 4.54] Sciaca 2003 35 200 34 263 5.0% 1.43 [0.86, 2.39] Seripa 2005 (II) 18 135 4 87 0.9% 3.19 [1.04, 9.78] Serretti 2009 (II) 7 52 8 74 1.2% 1.28 [0.43, 3.79]	McCarron 2003	30	133	11	93	2.1%	2.17 [1.03, 4.59]	
Moraes 2013 11 75 35 244 2.9% 1.03 [0.49, 2.14] Nicoll 2000 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Nishmura 2004 0 141 0 126 Not estimable Piriskanen 2002 23 146 56 304 6.4% 0.83 [0.49, 1.41] Prince 2001 20 109 17 110 2.9% 1.23 [0.61, 2.50] Rebeck 2000 25 144 16 113 3.1% 1.27 [0.64, 2.52] Ribizzi 2010 4 16 3 10 0.6% 0.78 [0.13, 4.54] Sciacca 2003 35 200 34 263 5.0% 1.43 [0.86, 2.39] Seripa 2005 (II) 10 62 11 51 2.1% 0.70 [0.27, 1.81] Serreti 2009 (II) 7 52 8 74 1.2% 1.28 [0.43, 3.79] Serreti 2009 (II) 4 16 1 30 0.2% 0.69 [0.04, 11.17] Vendramini 2011 19 115 1.44 0.2% 0.69 [0.04, 11.17] </td <td>Minster 2000</td> <td>32</td> <td>171</td> <td>16</td> <td>118</td> <td>3.2%</td> <td>1.47 [0.76, 2.82]</td> <td>+</td>	Minster 2000	32	171	16	118	3.2%	1.47 [0.76, 2.82]	+
Nicoli 2000 30 133 11 93 2.1% 2.17 [1.03, 4.59] Nishmura 2004 0 141 0 126 Not estimable Pirskanen 2002 23 146 56 304 6.4% 0.83 [0.49, 1.41] Prince 2001 20 109 17 110 2.9% 1.23 [0.61, 2.50] Rebeck 2000 25 144 16 113 3.1% 1.27 [0.64, 2.52] Ribizzi 2010 4 16 3 10 0.6% 0.78 [0.13, 4.54] Sciaca 2003 35 200 34 263 5.0% 1.43 [0.86, 2.39] Seripa 2005 (II) 10 62 11 51 2.1% 0.70 [0.27, 1.81] Serretti 2009 (I) 7 52 8 74 1.28 [0.43, 3.79]	Moraes 2013	11	75	35	244	2.9%	1.03 [0.49, 2.14]	_
Nishmura 2004 0 141 0 126 Not estimable Pirskanen 2002 23 146 56 304 6.4% 0.83 [0.49, 1.41] Prince 2001 20 109 17 110 2.9% 1.23 [0.61, 2.50] Rebeck 2000 25 144 16 113 3.1% 1.27 [0.64, 2.52] Ribizzi 2010 4 16 3 10 0.6% 0.78 [0.13, 4.54] Sciacca 2003 35 200 34 263 5.0% 1.43 [0.86, 2.39] Seripa 2005 (II) 18 135 4 87 0.9% 3.19 [1.04, 9.78] Seripa 2005 (II) 10 62 11 51 2.1% 0.70 [0.27, 1.81] Serretti 2009 (II) 7 52 8 74 1.2% 1.28 [0.43, 3.79] Serretti 2009 (II) 4 16 1 13 0.2% 4.00 [0.39, 41.23] Tian 2015 3 156 3 220 0.5% 1.42 [0.28, 7.12] Tsai 2003 1 213 1 48 0.2% 0.69 [0.04	Nicoll 2000	30	133	11	93	2.1%	2.17 [1.03, 4.59]	
Pirskanen 2002 23 146 56 304 6.4% 0.83 [0.49, 1.41] Prince 2001 20 109 17 110 2.9% 1.23 [0.61, 2.50] Rebeck 2000 25 144 16 113 3.1% 1.27 [0.64, 2.52] Ribibzi 2010 4 16 3 10 0.6% 0.78 [0.13, 4.54] Sciacca 2003 35 200 34 263 5.0% 1.43 [0.86, 2.39] Seripa 2005 (II) 10 62 11 51 2.1% 0.70 [0.27, 1.81] Serreti 2009 (II) 10 62 11 51 2.1% 0.70 [0.27, 1.81] Serreti 2009 (II) 4 16 1 3 0.2% 4.00 [0.39, 41.23] Tian 2015 3 156 3 220 0.5% 1.42 [0.28, 7.12] Tasi 2003 1 213 1 44 0.2% 0.69 [0.4, 1.17] Vendramini 2011 19 115 14 150 2.1% 1.92	Nishmura 2004	0	141	0	126		Not estimable	
Prince 2001 20 109 17 110 2.9% 1.23 [0.61, 2.50] Rebeck 2000 25 144 16 113 3.1% 1.27 [0.64, 2.52] Ribizzi 2010 4 16 3 10 0.6% 0.78 [0.13, 4.54] Sciacca 2003 35 200 34 263 5.0% 1.43 [0.68, 2.39] Seripa 2005 (II) 18 135 4 87 0.9% 3.19 [1.04, 9.78] Seripa 2005 (II) 10 62 11 51 2.1% 0.70 [0.27, 1.81] Serretti 2009 (II) 7 52 8 74 1.28 [0.43, 3.79] Serretti 2009 (II) 4 16 1 3 0.2% 4.00 [0.39, 41.23] Tian 2015 3 156 3 220 0.5% 1.42 [0.28, 7.12] Tsai 2003 1 213 1 48 0.2% 0.69 [0.04, 11.17] Vendramini 2011 19 115 14 150 2.1% 1.92 0.92 <td>Pirskanen 2002</td> <td>23</td> <td>146</td> <td>56</td> <td>304</td> <td>6.4%</td> <td>0.83 [0.49, 1.41]</td> <td></td>	Pirskanen 2002	23	146	56	304	6.4%	0.83 [0.49, 1.41]	
Rebeck 2000 25 144 16 113 3.1% 1.27 [0.64, 2.52] Ribizzi 2010 4 16 3 10 0.6% 0.78 [0.13, 4.54] Sciacca 2003 35 200 34 263 5.0% 1.43 [0.86, 2.39] Seripa 2005 (I) 18 135 4 87 0.9% 3.19 [1.04, 9.78] Seripa 2005 (II) 10 62 11 51 2.1% 0.70 [0.27, 1.81] Serretti 2009 (I) 7 52 8 74 1.28 [0.43, 3.79] Serretti 2009 (II) 4 16 1 13 0.2% 4.00 [0.39, 41.23] Tian 2015 3 156 3 220 0.5% 1.42 [0.28, 7.12] Tsai 2003 1 213 1 148 0.2% 0.69 [0.04, 11.17] Vendramini 2011 19 115 14 150 2.1% 1.92 [0.92, 4.02]	Prince 2001	20	109	17	110	2.9%	1.23 [0.61, 2.50]	
Ribizzi 2010 4 16 3 10 0.6% 0.78 [0.13, 4.54] Sciacca 2003 35 200 34 263 5.0% 1.43 [0.86, 2.39] Seripa 2005 (I) 18 135 4 87 0.9% 3.19 [1.04, 9.78] Seripa 2005 (II) 10 62 11 51 2.1% 0.70 [0.27, 1.81] Serretti 2009 (II) 7 52 8 74 1.2% 1.28 [0.43, 3.79] Serretti 2009 (II) 4 16 1 13 0.2% 4.00 [0.39, 41.23] Tian 2015 3 156 3 220 0.5% 1.42 [0.28, 7.12] Tsai 2003 1 213 1 48 0.2% 0.69 [0.04, 11.17] Vendramini 2011 19 115 14 150 2.1% 1.92 [0.92, 4.02] Wang 2007 0 182 2 176 0.5% 0.19 [0.01, 4.01] Zhou 2006 17 386 6 413 1.2% 3.13 [1.22, 8.01]	Rebeck 2000	25	144	16	113	3.1%	1.27 [0.64, 2.52]	
Sciacca 2003 35 200 34 263 5.0% 1.43 10.86, 2.39] Seripa 2005 (I) 18 135 4 87 0.9% 3.19 [1.04, 9.78] Seripa 2005 (II) 10 62 11 51 2.1% 0.70 [0.27, 1.81] Serretti 2009 (II) 7 52 8 74 1.2% 1.28 [0.43, 3.79] Serretti 2009 (II) 4 16 1 13 0.2% 4.00 [0.39, 41.23] Tian 2015 3 156 3 220 0.5% 1.42 [0.28, 7.12] Tsai 2003 1 213 1 48 0.2% 0.69 [0.04, 11.17] Vendramini 2011 19 115 14 150 2.1% 1.92 [0.92, 4.02] Wang 2007 0 182 2 176 0.5% 0.19 [0.01, 4.01] Zhou 2006 17 386 6 413 1.2% 3.13 [1.22, 8.01]	Ribizzi 2010	4	16	3	10	0.6%	0.78 [0.13, 4.54]	
Seripa 2005 (I) 18 135 4 87 0.9% 3.19 [1.04, 9.78] Seripa 2005 (II) 10 62 11 51 2.1% 0.70 [0.27, 1.81] Serretti 2009 (II) 7 52 8 74 1.28 [0.43, 3.79] Serretti 2009 (II) 4 16 1 3 0.2% 4.00 [0.39, 41.23] Tian 2015 3 156 3 220 0.5% 1.42 [0.28, 7.12] Tsai 2003 1 213 1 148 0.2% 0.69 [0.04, 11.17] Vendramini 2011 19 115 14 150 2.1% 1.92 [0.92, 4.02] Wang 2007 0 182 2 176 0.5% 0.19 [0.01, 4.01] Zhou 2006 17 386 6 413 1.2% 3.13 [1.22, 8.01]	Sciacca 2003	35	200	34	263	5.0%	1.43 [0.86, 2.39]	+ - -
Seripa 2005 (II) 10 62 11 51 2.1% 0.70 [0.27, 1.81] Serretti 2009 (I) 7 52 8 74 1.2% 1.28 [0.43, 3.79] Serretti 2009 (II) 4 16 1 13 0.2% 4.00 [0.39, 41.23] Tian 2015 3 156 3 220 0.5% 1.42 [0.28, 7.12] Tsal 2003 1 213 1 148 0.2% 0.69 [0.04, 11.17] Vendramini 2011 19 115 14 150 2.1% 1.92 [0.92, 4.02] Wang 2007 0 182 2 176 0.5% 0.19 [0.01, 4.01] Zhou 2006 17 386 6 413 1.2% 3.13 [1.22, 8.01]	Seripa 2005 (I)	18	135	4	87	0.9%	3.19 [1.04, 9.78]	
Serretti 2009 (I) 7 52 8 74 1.2% 1.28 [0.43, 3.79] Serretti 2009 (II) 4 16 1 13 0.2% 4.00 [0.39, 41.23] Tian 2015 3 156 3 220 0.5% 1.42 [0.28, 7.12] Tsai 2003 1 213 1 148 0.2% 0.69 [0.04, 11.17] Vendramini 2011 19 115 14 150 2.1% 1.92 [0.92, 4.02] Wang 2007 0 182 2 176 0.5% 0.19 [0.01, 4.01] Zhou 2006 17 386 6 413 1.2% 3.13 [1.22, 8.01]	Seripa 2005 (II)	10	62	11	51	2.1%	0.70 [0.27, 1.81]	
Serretti 2009 (II) 4 16 1 13 0.2% 4.00 [0.39, 41.23] Tian 2015 3 156 3 220 0.5% 1.42 [0.28, 7.12] Tsai 2003 1 213 1 148 0.2% 0.69 [0.04, 11.17] Vendramini 2011 19 115 14 150 2.1% 1.92 [0.92, 4.02] Wang 2007 0 182 2 176 0.5% 0.19 [0.01, 4.01] Zhou 2006 17 386 6 413 1.2% 3.13 [1.22, 8.01]	Serretti 2009 (I)	7	52	8	74	1.2%	1.28 [0.43, 3.79]	
Tian 2015 3 156 3 220 0.5% 1.42 [0.28, 7.12] Tsai 2003 1 213 1 148 0.2% 0.69 [0.04, 11.17] Vendramini 2011 19 115 14 150 2.1% 1.92 [0.92, 4.02] Wang 2007 0 182 2 176 0.5% 0.19 [0.01, 4.01] Zhou 2006 17 386 6 413 1.2% 3.13 [1.22, 8.01] Total (95% Cl) 5547 8798 100.0% 1.32 [1.18, 1.49] Image: the state stat	Serretti 2009 (II)	4	16	1	13	0.2%	4 00 [0 39 41 23]	
Tsai 2003 1 213 1 148 0.2% 0.69 [0.04, 11.17] Vendramini 2011 19 115 14 150 2.1% 1.92 [0.92, 4.02] Wang 2007 0 182 2 176 0.5% 0.19 [0.01, 4.01] Zhou 2006 17 386 6 413 1.2% 3.13 [1.22, 8.01] Total (95% Cl) 5547 8798 100.0% 1.32 [1.18, 1.49] Image: the second sec	Tian 2015	3	156	3	220	0.5%	1 42 [0 28 7 12]	
Vendramini 2011 19 115 14 150 2.1% 1.92 [0.92, 4.02] Wang 2007 0 182 2 176 0.5% 0.19 [0.01, 4.01] Zhou 2006 17 386 6 413 1.2% 3.13 [1.22, 8.01] Total (95% Cl) 5547 8798 100.0% 1.32 [1.18, 1.49] Image: the second secon	Tsai 2003	1	213	1	148	0.2%	0.69 [0.04, 11, 17]	
Wang 2007 0 182 2 176 0.5% 0.19 [0.01, 4.01] Zhou 2006 17 386 6 413 1.2% 3.13 [1.22, 8.01] Total (95% Cl) 5547 8798 100.0% 1.32 [1.18, 1.49] Image: the second	Vendramini 2011	19	115	14	150	2.1%	1.92 [0.92, 4.02]	—
Total (95% Cl) 5547 8798 100.0% 1.32 [1.18, 1.49]	Wang 2007	0	182	2	176	0.5%	0.19 [0.01, 4 01]	· · · · · ·
Total (95% Cl) 5547 8798 100.0% 1.32 [1.18, 1.49]	Zhou 2006	17	386	6	413	1.2%	3.13 [1.22, 8.01]	
Total (95% CI) 5547 8798 100.0% 1.32 [1.18, 1.49]	2.100 2000		000	0	415	1.2 /0	5.10 [1.22, 0.01]	
Tatal suggets 710 1050	Total (95% CI)		5547		8798	100.0%	1.32 [1.18, 1.49]	♦
10tal events 716 1050	Total events	716		1050				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 50.16, df = 39 (P = 0.11); l ² = 22%	Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 50							
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.66 (P < 0.00001) Favours [experimental] Favours [control]	Test for overall effect: Z	= 4.66 (P	< 0.0000	01)			F	avours [experimental] Favours [control]

Fig. 2. Forest plot for the association between the homozygote model (CC vs. TT) of the - 889C>T polymorphism of the IL-1 α gene and risk of AD using a fixed-effects model.

Table 2

The associations between four polymorphisms of IL genes and AD risk.

	SNP	Genetic models	Pooled OR (95% CI)	Pooled OR (95% CI)		neity	Publication bias
			Fixed effect model	Random effect model	I ² value	p-Value	p-Value
Overall	rs1800587 (IL-1α; -889C>T)	Homozygote model (TT vs. CC)	1.32 (1.18–1.49)*	1.31 (1.13-1.51)	22%	0.110	0.900
		Heterozygote model (CT vs. CC)	1.05 (0.98-1.12)	1.04 (0.97-1.13)	24%	0.080	0.174
		Dominant model (TT/CT vs. CC)	1.09 (1.03-1.16)*	1.08 (1.00-1.17)	31%	0.030	0.164
		Recessive model (TT vs. CC/CT)	1.32 (1.18-1.45)*	1.30 (1.14-1.49)	18%	0.160	0.897
	rs16944 (IL-1β; −511C>T)	Homozygote model (TT vs. CC)	0.95 (0.82-1.11)	0.94 (0.77-1.16)	37%	0.050	0.381
		Heterozygote model (CT vs. CC)	0.93 (0.83-1.03)	0.92 (0.81-1.04)	24%	0.160	0.323
		Dominant model (TT/CT vs. CC)	0.94 (0.85-1.04)	0.93 (0.82-1.05)	27%	0.140	0.223
		Recessive model (TT vs. CC/CT)	0.97 (0.84-1.11)	0.98 (0.77-1.25)	61%	< 0.001	0.735
	rs1800795 (IL-6; -174C>G)	Homozygote model (GG vs. CC)	0.79 (0.71-0.88)	0.83 (0.65-1.06)	74%	< 0.001	0.579
		Heterozygote model (GG vs. GC)	0.95 (0.88-1.02)	0.96 (0.84-1.10)	62%	< 0.001	0.546
		Dominant model (CC/GC vs. GG)	0.92 (0.85-0.99)	0.92 (0.79-1.07)	72%	< 0.001	0.831
		Recessive model (CC vs. GG/GC)	0.80 (0.72-0.88)	0.83 (0.68-1.005)	68%	< 0.001	0.690
	rs1800896 (IL-10; -1082G>A)	Homozygote model (AA vs. GG)	0.99 (0.88-1.13)	1.06 (0.87-1.29)	49%	0.005	0.146
		Heterozygote model (GA vs. GG)	1.11 (1.00-1.23)	1.16 (0.98-1.37)	50%	0.004	0.517
		Dominant model (AA/GA vs. GG)	1.08 (0.97-1.19)	1.13 (0.96-1.33)	51%	0.002	0.331
		Recessive model (AA vs. GG/GA)	0.93 (0.85-1.03)	0.97 (0.83-1.13)	49%	0.005	0.177
Caucasian	rs1800587 (IL-1α; -889C>T)	Homozygote model (TT vs. CC)	1.30 (1.15-1.47)*	1.28 (1.10-1.50)	28%	0.070	0.796
		Heterozygote model (CT vs. CC)	1.03 (0.96-1.10)	1.03 (0.95-1.11)	12%	0.280	0.435
		Dominant model (TT/CT vs. CC)	1.07 (1.00-1.15)*	1.07 (0.99-1.16)	21%	0.150	0.490
		Recessive model (TT vs. CC/CT)	1.30 (1.16-1.46)*	1.28 (1.11-1.48)	26%	0.090	0.780
	rs16944 (IL-1β; −511C>T)	Homozygote model (TT vs. CC)	1.04 (0.87-1.26)	1.02 (0.77-1.35)	47%	0.030	0.438
		Heterozygote model (CT vs. CC)	0.96 (0.85-1.09)	0.96 (0.83-1.11)	25%	0.190	0.378
		Dominant model (TT/CT vs. CC)	0.98 (0.88-1.11)	0.098 (0.85-1.13)	32%	0.130	0.284
		Recessive model (TT vs. CC/CT)	1.02 (0.86-1.21)	1.01 (0.73-1.40)	66%	< 0.001	0.873
	rs1800795 (IL-6; -174C>G)	Homozygote model (GG vs. CC)	0.78 (0.70-0.88)	0.82 (0.64-1.05)	75%	< 0.001	0.521
		Heterozygote model (GG vs. GC)	0.94 (0.87-1.02)	0.94 (0.83-1.08)	60%	< 0.001	0.433
		Dominant model (CC/GC vs. GG)	0.91 (0.85-0.98)	0.91 (0.78-1.06)	73%	< 0.001	0.652
		Recessive model (CC vs. GG/GC)	0.82 (0.74-0.91)	0.86 (0.71-1.04)	66%	< 0.001	0.652
	rs1800896 (IL-10; -1082G>A)	Homozygote model (AA vs. GG)	0.99 (0.87-1.13)	1.06 (0.87-1.29)	51%	0.004	0.229
		Heterozygote model (GA vs. GG)	1.11 (1.00-1.23)	1.15 (0.97-1.36)	52%	0.003	0.628
		Dominant model (AA/GA vs. GG)	1.11 (1.00–1.23)	1.15 (0.97-1.36)	52%	0.003	0.334
		Recessive model (AA vs. GG/GA)	0.93 (0.85–1.03)	0.97 (0.83–1.14)	52%	0.003	0.172

* Statistically significant (*p* < 0.05).

models (homozygote, heterozygote, dominant and recessive) were 1.04, 1.12, 1.10 and 0.97, respectively, using a random-effects model (95% Cls: 0.85–1.28, 0.94–1.33, 0.93–1.29 and 0.83–1.14, respectively). The results of the meta-analysis are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

3.3. Publication bias

Publication bias is shown graphically with a funnel plot (Fig. 3). We confirmed publication bias using Egger's linear regression test, as the funnel plot shapes did not indicate distinct symmetry in all of the genetic models. We did not find any evidence of publication bias in most of the genetic models.

3.4. Heterogeneity and sensitivity

No significant heterogeneity was found among the studies of the -889C>T polymorphism. However, significant heterogeneity was found in the recessive model for the -511C>T polymorphism, all genetic models (homozygote, heterozygote, dominant and recessive) for the -174C>G polymorphism and all genetic models for the -1082G>A polymorphism. Therefore, we applied fixed-effects and random-effects models in the meta-analysis (Tables 2 and 3). We also performed a sensitivity test to assess the stability and reliability of the results by sequentially deleting each subgroup study from the meta-analysis. The sensitivity test results indicated that none of the subgroup studies altered the pooled OR, suggesting that our meta-analysis was stable and reliable.

4. Discussion

Our meta-analysis summarizes the evidence to date regarding the association between four polymorphisms (-889C>T, -511C>T,

-172G>C and -1082G>A) and the risk of AD. The results indicate that -889C>T was significantly associated with an increased risk of AD. However, three polymorphisms (-511C>T, -172G>C and -1082G>A) were statistically not related to the risk of AD.

Over the past decades, many genetic studies and meta-analyses have been performed to investigate the relationship between IL gene polymorphisms and the risk of AD. The most recent meta-analyses of the association between the four IL gene polymorphisms (-889C>T,-511C>T, 174G>C and -1082G>A) and the risk of AD were reported in 2012 and 2013 (Dai et al., 2012; Di Bona et al., 2012; Hua et al., 2012; Oi et al., 2012; Li et al., 2013; Yuan et al., 2013). A previous metaanalysis of - 889C>T polymorphism had included twenty-eight studies and a total 12,817 subjects (Li et al., 2013). They results indicated that - 889C>T polymorphism was significantly associated with increased risk of AD. Furthermore, Caucasian studies revealed that this polymorphism was associated with increased risk of AD. However, most of genetic models (dominant, recessive and T allele vs. C allele) showed that -889C>T polymorphism was not associated with risk of AD in Asian. Similarly, our results showed that -889C>T polymorphism was associated with increased risk of AD in overall and Caucasian subgroup studies. In -511C>T polymorphisms, Yuan et al. reported that -511C>T polymorphism was not associated with risk of AD. Furthermore, subgroup studies demonstrated that -511C>T polymorphism was not related with AD in Europe, non-Europe, Caucasian and non-Caucasian. In addition, many genetic models showed that heterogeneity (Yuan et al., 2013). Similar to previous meta-analysis, our results indicated that -511C>T polymorphism was not associated with risk of AD in overall and Caucasian subgroup studies. In 2012, Bona et al. suggested that GG vs. AG/AA model of -1082G>A polymorphism was modestly associated with risk of AD (OR: 0.82, 95% CI: 0.65-1.02). In addition, results of meta-analysis showed that moderate degree of heterogeneity between studies (Di Bona et al., 2012). In contrast, our

Table 3

Associations between four polymorphisms of IL genes and AD risk in studies in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE).

SNP	Genetic models	Pooled OR (95% CI)	Heterogeneity		Publication bias	Departed from the HWE
		Fixed effect model	Random effect model	I ² value	P-value	P-value	
rs1800587 (IL-1α; −889C>T)	Homozygote model (TT vs. CC)	1.32 (1.18–1.49)*	1.31 (1.13-1.51)	22%	0.110	0.900	/
	Heterozygote model (CT vs. CC)	1.05 (0.98-1.12)	1.04 (0.97-1.13)	24%	0.080	0.174	
	Dominant model (TT/CT vs. CC)	1.09 (1.03–1.16)*	1.08 (1.00-1.17)	31%	0.030	0.164	
	Recessive model (TT vs. CC/CT)	1.32 (1.18–1.45)*	1.30 (1.14-1.49)	18%	0.160	0.897	
rs16944 (IL-1β; −511C>T)	Homozygote model (TT vs. CC)	0.95 (0.81-1.12)	0.94 (0.75-1.18)	42%	0.040	0.284	Ma et al. (2003) and Minster
	Heterozygote model (CT vs. CC)	0.94 (0.84-1.06)	0.94 (0.82-1.08)	29%	0.130	0.924	et al. (2000)
	Dominant model (TT/CT vs. CC)	0.95 (0.86-1.06)	0.94 (0.82-1.08)	32%	0.100	0.528	
	Recessive model (TT vs. CC/CT)	0.96 (0.82-1.11)	0.98 (0.75-1.28)	63%	< 0.001	0.475	
rs1800795 (IL-6; -174C>G)	Homozygote model (GG vs. CC)	0.79 (0.70-0.88)	0.85 (0.64-1.13)	78%	< 0.001	0.670	Bagli et al. (2000), Combarros
	Heterozygote model (GG vs. GC)	0.97 (0.89-1.05)	0.99 (0.85-1.15)	64%	< 0.001	0.953	et al. (2010) (I),
	Dominant model (CC/GC vs. GG)	0.93 (0.86-1.01)	0.95 (0.80-1.13)	76%	< 0.001	0.917	Combarros et al. (2010) (IV),
	Recessive model (CC vs. GG/GC)	0.79 (0.71-0.88)	0.83 (0.67-1.03)	70%	< 0.001	0.616	Depboylu et al. (2004) and
							Toral-Rios et al. (2015)
rs1800896 (IL-10; -1082G>A)	Homozygote model (AA vs. GG)	0.98 (0.86-1.12)	1.04 (0.85-1.28)	51%	0.005	0.158	Heun et al. (2012) (III),
	Heterozygote model (GA vs. GG)	1.07 (0.96-1.20)	1.12 (0.94-1.33)	51%	0.006	0.631	Lio et al. (2003), Ma et al.
	Dominant model (AA/GA vs. GG)	1.04 (0.94-1.16)	1.10 (0.93-1.29)	51%	0.005	0.353	(2005) and Toral-Rios
	Recessive model (AA vs. GG/GA)	0.93 (0.84-1.03)	0.97 (0.83–1.14)	55%	0.002	0.144	et al. (2015)

Combarros et al. (2010) (I), Bonn, Ethics Review Board of the University of Bonn; Combarros et al. (2010) (IV), OPTIMA, Central Oxford Ethics Committee No 1656; Heun et al. (2012), Nottingham, Nottingham Research Committee 2 (NHS).

-889C>T polymorphism of IL-1 α studies were not departed from HWE.

* Statistically significant (p < 0.05).

results suggested that -1082G>A polymorphism was statistically not associated with risk of AD. However, degree of heterogeneity was similar to previous meta-analysis. As mentioned above, meta-analysis results of three polymorphisms (-889C>T, -511 C>T and -1082G>A) were similar to previous meta-analysis. However, the results of the -174G>C polymorphism were different. In 2012, Dai et al. reported an association between the -174G>C polymorphism and the risk of AD in a meta-analysis including 3101 cases and 3860 controls. The overall analysis showed that the -174G>C polymorphism was significantly associated with a decreased risk of AD using a recessive model (OR: 0.70, 95% CI: 0.54–0.90). In addition, the heterozygote model revealed that the -174G>C polymorphism was strongly associated with a decreased risk of AD (OR: 0.83, 95% CI: 0.60–0.96)

(Dai et al., 2012). Similarly, Qi et al.'s meta-analysis (4280 cases and 8788 controls) suggested that the recessive model (CC vs. GC/GG) was significantly associated with a decreased risk of AD (OR: 0.65, 95% CI: 0.52–0.82) (Qi et al., 2012). However, our meta-analysis (5755 cases and 12,456 controls) shows that all genetic models (homozygote, CC vs. GG; heterozygote, GC vs. GG; dominant CC/GC vs. GG; recessive models, CC vs. GC/GG) were significantly not associated with the risk of AD. The conflicting results between Qi et al. and our meta-analysis may be due to the included studies. Our meta-analysis contains an additional eight studies (Ravaglia et al., 2006; Combarros et al., 2010; Shawkatova et al., 2010; Cousin et al., 2011; Moraes et al., 2013; Rasmussen et al., 2013; Flex et al., 2014; Toral-Rios et al., 2015). In addition, we deleted four studies (Infante et al., 2004; Combarros et al.,



Fig. 3. Funnel plot for the association between the -889C>T polymorphism and Alzheimer's disease.

2005; van Oijen et al., 2006; Fontalba et al., 2009). Three studies (Fontalba et al., 2009, Combarros et al., 2005 and Infante et al., 2004) provided deficient genotype data. Also, the genotype data presented by van Oijen et al.'s (2006) group may overlap with that of Combarros et al. 2010 (Rotterdam study). However, the Qi et al. meta-analysis included these four studies.

Three limitations of this meta-analysis should be mentioned. First, most of the cases and controls were Caucasians. Thus, the lack of studies involving Asian populations may limit the general application of our results. Second, the studies included in our meta-analysis were limited to published reports. Unpublished reports or those published in non-international journals could not be included in the analysis. These problems may have affected the stability of the metaanalysis data. Third, AD is a multifactorial disease. However, we did not consider gene–gene or gene–environmental interactions–such as age, smoking, alcohol status, and progression of AD–which may have influenced the associations between IL gene polymorphisms and AD risk. Nevertheless, this meta-analysis improves our understanding of the associations between four polymorphisms of IL genes and the risk of AD.

Many studies have reported the association between several gene polymorphisms and the risk of AD. Coon et al., suggested that $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 4$, $\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 4$ and $\varepsilon 4/\varepsilon 4$ variant types of ApoE significantly increased the risk of AD (odds ratios: 3.49, 4.32 and 25.31, respectively) compared with $\varepsilon_{3}/\varepsilon_{3}$ (Coon et al., 2007). In addition, meta-analysis data suggested that ApoE e4/e4 type was significantly associated with the prevalence of AD. Interestingly, meta-analyses indicated that the highest estimates were in Northern Europe and the lowest estimates were in Asia (prevalence 14.1%, 95% CI: 12.2-16.0 in Northern Europe; prevalence: 7.70%, 95% CI: 5.84-9.55 in Asia) (Ward et al., 2012). In addition, it is known that mutations in the presenilin-1 (PSEN-1) and presenilin-2 (PSEN-2) genes are related to AD. Manotas-Rodriguez et al. reported that the PSEN-1 polymorphism (rs165932) was probably associated with the risk of AD in the European sub-group (fixed effect model. OR: 1.19, 95% CI: 1.02-1.37, p-value < 0.05) (Rodriguez-Manotas et al., 2007). In addition, a meta-analysis by Chen et al. suggested that the rs8383 polymorphism of PSEN-2 was associated with an increased risk of AD (C vs. T, OR: 1.16, 95% CI: 1.00-1.33, p-value: 0.043; CC vs. TT, OR: 1.37, 95% CI: 1.02-1.84, p-value: 0.037) (Chen et al., 2012). Furthermore, genome-wide association studies have provided several polymorphisms of candidate genes and loci for AD (Li et al., 2008; Harold et al., 2009). However, the associations between several polymorphisms of candidate genes and the risk of AD are still unclear. To better understand the genetic risk factors for AD, large scale studies are needed to validate the associations and further investigations should consider the effects of environmental factors and genetic interactions.

5. Conclusions

In summary, our updated meta-analysis of 93 studies showed that the results of -889C>T polymorphism was statistically associated with the risk of AD. In contrast, three other polymorphisms were not associated with the risk of AD. In addition, our results of three polymorphisms (-889C>T, -511C>T and 1082G>A) were similar to those of previous meta-analyses. However, our results for the -174G>C polymorphism differed from those of previous meta-analyses. Consequently, our results suggested that the -889C>T polymorphism may be a potential risk factor in AD. However, the other three polymorphisms may not be a risk factor for AD.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment

The present research was conducted by the research fund of Dankook University in 2014.

References

- Alzheimer's, A., 2014. 2014 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. Alzheimers Dement. 10, e47–e92.
- Arosio, B., Trabattoni, D., Galimberti, L., Bucciarelli, P., Fasano, F., Calabresi, C., Cazzullo, C.L., Vergani, C., Annoni, G., Clerici, M., 2004. Interleukin-10 and interleukin-6 gene polymorphisms as risk factors for Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol. Aging 25, 1009–1015.
- Bagli, M., Papassotiropoulos, A., Jessen, F., Schmitz, S., Rao, M.L., Maier, W., Heun, R., 2000. Identical distribution of the alpha 2-macroglobulin pentanucleotide deletion in subjects with Alzheimer disease and controls in a German population. Am. J. Med. Genet. 96, 775–777.
- Bagnoli, S., Cellini, E., Tedde, A., Nacmias, B., Piacentini, S., Bessi, V., Bracco, L., Sorbi, S., 2007. Association of IL10 promoter polymorphism in Italian Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci. Lett, 418, 262–265.
- Bhojak, T.J., DeKosky, S.T., Ganguli, M., Kamboh, M.I., 2000. Genetic polymorphisms in the cathespin D and interleukin-6 genes and the risk of Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci. Lett. 288, 21–24.
- Braida, D., Sacerdote, P., Panerai, A.E., Bianchi, M., Aloisi, A.M., Iosue, S., Sala, M., 2004. Cognitive function in young and adult IL (interleukin)-6 deficient mice. Behav. Brain Res. 153, 423–429.
- Buxbaum, J.D., Oishi, M., Chen, H.I., Pinkas-Kramarski, R., Jaffe, E.A., Gandy, S.E., Greengard, P., 1992. Cholinergic agonists and interleukin 1 regulate processing and secretion of the Alzheimer beta/A4 amyloid protein precursor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 89, 10075–10078.
- Capurso, C., Solfrizzi, V., D'Introno, A., Colacicco, A.M., Capurso, S.A., Capurso, A., Panza, F., 2004. Interleukin 6-174 G/C promoter gene polymorphism and sporadic Alzheimer's disease: geographic allele and genotype variations in Europe. Exp. Gerontol. 39, 1567–1573.
- Capurso, C., Solfrizzi, V., Colacicco, A.M., D'Introno, A., Frisardi, V., Imbimbo, B.P., Lorusso, M., Vendemiale, G., Denitto, M., Santamato, A., Seripa, D., Pilotto, A., Fiore, P., Capurso, A., Panza, F., 2010. Interleukin 6-174 G/C promoter and variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) gene polymorphisms in sporadic Alzheimer's disease. Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry 34, 177–182.
- Chen, C., Zhou, Z., Li, M., Qu, M., Ma, Q., Zhong, M., Zhang, Y., Yu, Z., 2012. Presenilin-2 polymorphisms and risk of sporadic AD: evidence from a meta-analysis. Gene 503, 194–199.
- Clarimon, J., Bertranpetit, J., Calafell, F., Boada, M., Tarraga, L., Comas, D., 2003. Joint analysis of candidate genes related to Alzheimer's disease in a Spanish population. Psychiatr. Genet. 13, 85–90.
- Combarros, O., Sanchez-Guerra, M., Infante, J., Llorca, J., Berciano, J., 2002. Gene dosedependent association of interleukin-1 [-889] allele 2 polymorphism with Alzheimer's disease. J. Neurol. 249, 1242–1245.
- Combarros, O., Infante, J., Llorca, J., Pena, N., Fernandez-Viadero, C., Berciano, J., 2005. Interaction between interleukin-6 and intercellular adhesion molecule-1 genes and Alzheimer's disease risk. J. Neurol. 252, 485–487.
- Combarros, O., Sanchez-Juan, P., Riancho, J.A., Mateo, I., Rodriguez-Rodriguez, E., Infante, J., Garcia-Gorostiaga, I., Vazquez-Higuera, J.L., Berciano, J., 2008. Aromatase and interleukin-10 genetic variants interactively modulate Alzheimer's disease risk. J. Neural Transm. 115, 863–867.
- Combarros, O., Warden, D.R., Hammond, N., Cortina-Borja, M., Belbin, O., Lehmann, M.G., Wilcock, G.K., Brown, K., Kehoe, P.G., Barber, R., Coto, E., Alvarez, V., Deloukas, P., Gwilliam, R., Heun, R., Kolsch, H., Mateo, I., Oulhaj, A., Arias-Vasquez, A., Schuur, M., Aulchenko, Y.S., Ikram, M.A., Breteler, M.M., van Duijn, C.M., Morgan, K., Smith, A.D., Lehmann, D.J., 2010. The dopamine beta-hydroxylase -1021C/T polymorphism is associated with the risk of Alzheimer's disease in the Epistasis Project. BMC Med. Genet. 11, 162.
- Coon, K.D., Myers, A.J., Craig, D.W., Webster, J.A., Pearson, J.V., Lince, D.H., Zismann, V.L., Beach, T.G., Leung, D., Bryden, L., Halperin, R.F., Marlowe, L., Kaleem, M., Walker, D.G., Ravid, R., Heward, C.B., Rogers, J., Papassotiropoulos, A., Reiman, E.M., Hardy, J., Stephan, D.A., 2007. A high-density whole-genome association study reveals that APOE is the major susceptibility gene for sporadic late-onset Alzheimer's disease. J. Clin. Psychiatry 68, 613–618.
- Cousin, E., Mace, S., Rocher, C., Dib, C., Muzard, G., Hannequin, D., Pradier, L., Deleuze, J.F., Genin, E., Brice, A., Campion, D., 2011. No replication of genetic association between candidate polymorphisms and Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol. Aging 32, 1443–1451.
- Culpan, D., Prince, J.A., Matthews, S., Palmer, L., Hughes, A., Love, S., Kehoe, P.G., Wilcock, G.K., 2006. Neither sequence variation in the IL-10 gene promoter nor presence of IL-10 protein in the cerebral cortex is associated with Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci. Lett. 408, 141–145.
- Dai, L., Liu, D., Guo, H., Wang, Y., Bai, Y., 2012. Association between polymorphism in the promoter region of interleukin 6 (-174 G/C) and risk of Alzheimer's disease: a metaanalysis. J. Neurol. 259, 414–419.
- Deniz-Naranjo, M.C., Munoz-Fernandez, C., Alemany-Rodriguez, M.J., Perez-Vieitez, M.C., Aladro-Benito, Y., Irurita-Latasa, J., Sanchez-Garcia, F., 2008. Cytokine IL-1 beta but not IL-1 alpha promoter polymorphism is associated with Alzheimer disease in a population from the Canary Islands, Spain. Eur. J. Neurol. 15, 1080–1084.
- Depboylu, C., Du, Y., Muller, U., Kurz, A., Zimmer, R., Riemenschneider, M., Gasser, T., Oertel, W.H., Klockgether, T., Dodel, R.C., 2003. Lack of association of interleukin-10

promoter region polymorphisms with Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci. Lett. 342, 132–134.

- Depboylu, C., Lohmuller, F., Gocke, P., Du, Y., Zimmer, R., Gasser, T., Klockgether, T., Dodel, R.C., 2004. An interleukin-6 promoter variant is not associated with an increased risk for Alzheimer's disease. Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord. 17, 170–173.
- Di Bona, D., Rizzo, C., Bonaventura, G., Candore, G., Caruso, C., 2012. Association between interleukin-10 polymorphisms and Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Alzheimers Dis. 29, 751–759.
- Du, Y., Dodel, R.C., Eastwood, B.J., Bales, K.R., Gao, F., Lohmuller, F., Muller, U., Kurz, A., Zimmer, R., Evans, R.M., Hake, A., Gasser, T., Oertel, W.H., Griffin, W.S., Paul, S.M., Farlow, M.R., 2000. Association of an interleukin 1 alpha polymorphism with Alzheimer's disease. Neurology 55, 480–483.
- Dursun, E., Gezen-Ak, D., Ertan, T., Bilgic, B., Gurvit, H., Emre, M., Eker, E., Engin, F., Uysal, O., Yilmazer, S., 2009. Interleukin-1alpha-889C/T polymorphism in Turkish patients with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord. 27, 82–87.
- Faltraco, F., Burger, K., Zill, P., Teipel, S.J., Moller, H.J., Hampel, H., Bondy, B., Ackenheil, M., 2003. Interleukin-6-174 G/C promoter gene polymorphism C allele reduces Alzheimer's disease risk. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 51, 578–579.
- Fidani, L., Goulas, A., Mirtsou, V., Petersen, R.C., Tangalos, E., Crook, R., Hardy, J., 2002. Interleukin-1 a polymorphism is not associated with late onset Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci. Lett. 323, 81–83.
- Flex, A., Giovannini, S., Biscetti, F., Liperoti, R., Spalletta, G., Straface, G., Landi, F., Angelini, F., Caltagirone, C., Ghirlanda, G., Bernabei, R., 2014. Effect of proinflammatory gene polymorphisms on the risk of Alzheimer's disease. Neurodegener. Dis. 13, 230–236.
- Fontalba, A., Gutierrez, O., Llorca, J., Mateo, I., Vazquez-Higuera, J.L., Berciano, J., Fernandez-Luna, J.L., Combarros, O., 2009. Gene–gene interaction between CARD8 and interleukin-6 reduces Alzheimer's disease risk. J. Neurol. 256, 1184–1186.
- Green, E.K., Harris, J.M., Lemmon, H., Lambert, J.C., Chartier-Harlin, M.C., St Clair, D., Mann, D.M., Iwatsubo, T., Lendon, C.L., 2002. Are interleukin-1 gene polymorphisms risk factors or disease modifiers in AD? Neurology 58, 1566–1568.
- Griffin, W.S., Stanley, L.C., Ling, C., White, L., MacLeod, V., Perrot, L.J., White III, C.L., Araoz, C., 1989. Brain interleukin 1 and S-100 immunoreactivity are elevated in Down syndrome and Alzheimer disease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 86, 7611–7615.
- Grimaldi, L.M., Casadei, V.M., Ferri, C., Veglia, F., Licastro, F., Annoni, G., Biunno, I., De Bellis, G., Sorbi, S., Mariani, C., Canal, N., Griffin, W.S., Franceschi, M., 2000. Association of early-onset Alzheimer's disease with an interleukin-1alpha gene polymorphism. Ann. Neurol. 47, 361–365.
- Harold, D., Abraham, R., Hollingworth, P., Sims, R., Gerrish, A., Hamshere, M.L., Pahwa, J.S., Moskvina, V., Dowzell, K., Williams, A., Jones, N., Thomas, C., Stretton, A., Morgan, A.R., Lovestone, S., Powell, J., Proitsi, P., Lupton, M.K., Brayne, C., Rubinsztein, D.C., Gill, M., Lawlor, B., Lynch, A., Morgan, K., Brown, K.S., Passmore, P.A., Craig, D., McGuinness, B., Todd, S., Holmes, C., Mann, D., Smith, A.D., Love, S., Kehoe, P.G., Hardy, J., Mead, S., Fox, N., Rossor, M., Collinge, J., Maier, W., Jessen, F., Schurmann, B., Heun, R., van den Bussche, H., Heuser, I., Kornhuber, J., Wiltfang, J., Dichgans, M., Frolich, L., Hampel, H., Hull, M., Rujescu, D., Goate, A.M., Kauwe, J.S., Cruchaga, C., Nowotny, P., Morris, J.C., Mayo, K., Sleegers, K., Bettens, K., Engelborghs, S., De Deyn, P.P., Van Broeckhoven, C., Livingston, G., Bass, N.J., Gurling, H., McQuillin, A., Gwilliam, R., Deloukas, P., Al-Chalabi, A., Shaw, C.E., Tsolaki, M., Singleton, A.B., Guerreiro, R., Muhleisen, T.W., Nothen, M.M., Moebus, S., Jockel, K.H., Klopp, N., Wichmann, H.E., Carrasquillo, M.M., Pankratz, V.S., Younkin, S.G., Holmans, P.A., O'Donovan, M., Owen, M.J., Williams, J., 2009. Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and PICALM associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nat. Genet. 41, 1088-1093.
- Hayes, A., Green, E.K., Pritchard, A., Harris, J.M., Zhang, Y., Lambert, J.C., Chartier-Harlin, M.C., Pickering-Brown, S.M., Lendon, C.L., Mann, D.M., 2004. A polymorphic variation in the interleukin 1A gene increases brain microglial cell activity in Alzheimer's disease. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 75, 1475–1477.
- Hedley, R., Hallmayer, J., Groth, D.M., Brooks, W.S., Gandy, S.E., Martins, R.N., 2002. Association of interleukin-1 polymorphisms with Alzheimer's disease in Australia. Ann. Neurol. 51, 795–797.
- Heun, R., Kolsch, H., Ibrahim-Verbaas, C.A., Combarros, O., Aulchenko, Y.S., Breteler, M., Schuur, M., van Duijn, C.M., Hammond, N., Belbin, O., Cortina-Borja, M., Wilcock, G.K., Brown, K., Barber, R., Kehoe, P.G., Coto, E., Alvarez, V., Lehmann, M.G., Deloukas, P., Mateo, I., Morgan, K., Warden, D.R., Smith, A.D., Lehmann, D.J., 2012. Interactions between PPAR-alpha and inflammation-related cytokine genes on the development of Alzheimer's disease, observed by the Epistasis Project. Int. J. Mol. Epidemiol. Genet. 3, 39–47.
- Hu, J.L., Li, G., Zhou, D.X., Zou, Y.X., Zhu, Z.S., Xu, R.X., Jiang, X.D., Zeng, Y.J., 2009. Genetic analysis of interleukin-1A C(-889)T polymorphism with Alzheimer disease. Cell. Mol. Neurobiol. 29, 81–85.
- Hua, Y., Zhao, H., Kong, Y., Lu, X., 2012. Meta-analysis of the association between the interleukin-1A – 889C/T polymorphism and Alzheimer's disease. J. Neurosci. Res. 90, 1681–1692.
- Infante, J., Sanz, C., Fernandez-Luna, J.L., Llorca, J., Berciano, J., Combarros, O., 2004. Genegene interaction between interleukin-6 and interleukin-10 reduces AD risk. Neurology 63, 1135–1136.
- Kang, H.J., Kim, J.M., Kim, S.W., Shin, I.S., Park, S.W., Kim, Y.H., Yoon, J.S., 2014. Associations of cytokine genes with Alzheimer's disease and depression in an elderly Korean population. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry.
- Ki, C.S., Na, D.L., Kim, D.K., Kim, H.J., Kim, J.W., 2001. Lack of association of the interleukin-1alpha gene polymorphism with Alzheimer's disease in a Korean population. Ann. Neurol. 49, 817–818.
- Klimkowicz-Mrowiec, A., Marona, M., Wolkow, P., Maruszak, A., Styczynska, M., Barcikowska, M., Zekanowski, C., Szczudlik, A., Slowik, A., 2009. Interleukin-1 gene – 511 CT polymorphism and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in a Polish population. Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord. 28, 461–464.

- Klimkowicz-Mrowiec, A., Wolkow, P., Spisak, K., Maruszak, A., Styczynska, M., Barcikowska, M., Szczudlik, A., Slowik, A., 2010. Interleukin-6 gene (-174 C/G) and apolipoprotein E gene polymorphisms and the risk of Alzheimer disease in a Polish population. Neurol. Neurochir. Pol. 44, 537–541.
- Koivisto, A.M., Helisalmi, S., Pihlajamaki, J., Moilanen, L., Kuusisto, J., Laakso, M., Hiltunen, M., Keijo, K., Hanninen, T., Helkala, E.L., Kervinen, K., Kesaniemi, Y.A., Soininen, H., 2005. Interleukin-6 promoter polymorphism and late-onset Alzheimer's disease in the Finnish population. J. Neurogenet. 19, 155–161.
- Kuo, Y.M., Liao, P.C., Lin, C., Wu, C.W., Huang, H.M., Lin, C.C., Chuo, L.J., 2003. Lack of association between interleukin-1alpha polymorphism and Alzheimer disease or vascular dementia. Alzheimer Dis. Assoc. Disord, 17, 94–97.
- Li, X.Q., Zhang, J.W., Zhang, Z.X., Chen, D., Qu, Q.M., 2004. Interleukin-1 gene cluster polymorphisms and risk of Alzheimer's disease in Chinese Han population. J. Neural Transm. 111, 1183–1190.
- Li, H., Wetten, S., Li, L., St Jean, P.L., Upmanyu, R., Surh, L., Hosford, D., Barnes, M.R., Briley, J.D., Borrie, M., Coletta, N., Delisle, R., Dhalla, D., Ehm, M.C., Feldman, H.H., Fornazzari, L., Gauthier, S., Goodgame, N., Guzman, D., Hammond, S., Hollingworth, P., Hsiung, G.Y., Johnson, J., Kelly, D.D., Keren, R., Kertesz, A., King, K.S., Lovestone, S., Loy-English, I., Matthews, P.M., Owen, M.J., Plumpton, M., Pryse-Phillips, W., Prinjha, R.K., Richardson, J.C., Saunders, A., Slater, A.J., St George-Hyslop, P.H., Stinnett, S.W., Swartz, J.E., Taylor, R.L., Wherrett, J., Williams, J., Yarnall, D.P., Gibson, R.A, Irizarry, M.C., Middleton, L.T., Roses, A.D., 2008. Candidate single-nucleotide polymorphisms from a genomewide association study of Alzheimer disease. Arch. Neurol. 65, 45–53.
- Li, B.H., Zhang, L.L., Yin, Y.W., Pi, Y., Guo, L., Yang, Q.W., Gao, C.Y., Fang, C.Q., Wang, J.Z., Xiang, J., Li, J.C., 2013. Association between interleukin-1alpha C(-889)T polymorphism and Alzheimer's disease: a meta-analysis including 12,817 subjects. J. Neural Transm. 120, 497–506.
- Licastro, F., Grimaldi, L.M., Bonafe, M., Martina, C., Olivieri, F., Cavallone, L., Giovanietti, S., Masliah, E., Franceschi, C., 2003. Interleukin-6 gene alleles affect the risk of Alzheimer's disease and levels of the cytokine in blood and brain. Neurobiol. Aging 24, 921–926.
- Lio, D., Licastro, F., Scola, L., Chiappelli, M., Grimaldi, L.M., Crivello, A., Colonna-Romano, G., Candore, G., Franceschi, C., Caruso, C., 2003. Interleukin-10 promoter polymorphism in sporadic Alzheimer's disease. Genes Immun. 4, 234–238.
- Ma, S.L., Tang, N.L., Lam, L.C., Chiu, H.F., 2003. Lack of association of the interleukin-1beta gene polymorphism with Alzheimer's disease in a Chinese population. Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord. 16, 265–268.
- Ma, S.L., Tang, N.L., Lam, L.C., Chiu, H.F., 2005. The association between promoter polymorphism of the interleukin-10 gene and Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol. Aging 26, 1005–1010.
- Mansoori, N., Tripathi, M., Luthra, K., Alam, R., Lakshmy, R., Sharma, S., Arulselvi, S., Parveen, S., Mukhopadhyay, A.K., 2012. MTHFR (677 and 1298) and IL-6-174 G/C genes in pathogenesis of Alzheimer's and vascular dementia and their epistatic interaction. Neurobiol. Aging 33 (1003), e1–e8.
- Mattila, K.M., Rinne, J.O., Lehtimaki, T., Roytta, M., Ahonen, J.P., Hurme, M., 2002. Association of an interleukin 1B gene polymorphism (-511) with Parkinson's disease in Finnish patients. J. Med. Genet. 39, 400–402.
- McCarron, M.O., Stewart, J., McCarron, P., Love, S., Vinters, H.V., Ironside, J.W., Mann, D.M., Graham, D.I., Nicoll, J.A., 2003. Association between interleukin-1A polymorphism and cerebral amyloid angiopathy-related hemorrhage. Stroke 34, e193–e195.
- McCulley, M.C., Day, I.N., Holmes, C., 2004. Association between interleukin 1-beta promoter (-511) polymorphism and depressive symptoms in Alzheimer's disease. Am. J. Med. Genet. B Neuropsychiatr. Genet. 124B, 50–53.
- Minster, R.L., DeKosky, S.T., Ganguli, M., Belle, S., Kamboh, M.I., 2000. Genetic association studies of interleukin-1 (IL-1A and IL-1B) and interleukin-1 receptor antagonist genes and the risk of Alzheimer's disease. Ann. Neurol. 48, 817–819.
- Moraes, C.F., Benedet, A.L., Souza, V.C., Lins, T.C., Camargos, E.F., Naves, J.O., Brito, C.J., Cordova, C., Pereira, R.W., Nobrega, O.T., 2013. Cytokine gene polymorphisms and Alzheimer's disease in Brazil. Neuroimmunomodulation 20, 239–246.
- Nicoll, J.A., Mrak, R.E., Graham, D.I., Stewart, J., Wilcock, G., MacGowan, S., Esiri, M.M., Murray, L.S., Dewar, D., Love, S., Moss, T., Griffin, W.S., 2000. Association of interleukin-1 gene polymorphisms with Alzheimer's disease. Ann. Neurol. 47, 365–368.
- Nishimura, M., Sakamoto, T., Kaji, R., Kawakami, H., 2004. Influence of polymorphisms in the genes for cytokines and glutathione S-transferase omega on sporadic Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci. Lett. 368, 140–143.
- Paradowski, B., Celczynska, D., Dobosz, T., Noga, L., 2008. Polymorphism 174 G/C of interleukin 6 gene in Alzheimer's disease-preliminary report. Neurol. Neurochir. Pol. 42, 312–315.
- Payao, S.L., Goncalves, G.M., de Labio, R.W., Horiguchi, L., Mizumoto, I., Rasmussen, L.T., de Souza Pinhel, M.A., Silva Souza, D.R., Bechara, M.D., Chen, E., Mazzotti, D.R., Ferreira Bertolucci, P.H., Cardoso Smith Mde, A., 2012. Association of interleukin 1beta polymorphisms and haplotypes with Alzheimer's disease. J. Neuroimmunol. 247, 59–62.
- Pirskanen, M., Hiltunen, M., Mannermaa, A., Iivonen, S., Helisalmi, S., Lehtovirta, M., Koivisto, A.M., Laakso, M., Soininen, H., Alafuzoff, I., 2002. Interleukin 1 alpha gene polymorphism as a susceptibility factor in Alzheimer's disease and its influence on the extent of histopathological hallmark lesions of Alzheimer's disease. Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord. 14, 123–127.
- Pola, R., Flex, A., Gaetani, E., Lago, A.D., Gerardino, L., Pola, P., Bernabei, R., 2002. The 174 G/C polymorphism of the interleukin-6 gene promoter is associated with Alzheimer's disease in an Italian population [corrected]. Neuroreport 13, 1645–1647.
- Prince, J.A., Feuk, L., Sawyer, S.L., Gottfries, J., Ricksten, A., Nagga, K., Bogdanovic, N., Blennow, K., Brookes, A.J., 2001. Lack of replication of association findings in complex disease: an analysis of 15 polymorphisms in prior candidate genes for sporadic Alzheimer's disease. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 9, 437–444.

- Qi, H.P., Qu, Z.Y., Duan, S.R., Wei, S.Q., Wen, S.R., Bi, S., 2012. IL-6-174 G/C and 572 C/G polymorphisms and risk of Alzheimer's disease. PLoS One 7, e37858.
- Quintanilla, R.A., Orellana, D.I., Gonzalez-Billault, C., Maccioni, R.B., 2004. Interleukin-6 induces Alzheimer-type phosphorylation of tau protein by deregulating the cdk5/p35 pathway. Exp. Cell Res. 295, 245–257.
- Ramos, E.M., Lin, M.T., Larson, E.B., Maezawa, I., Tseng, L.H., Edwards, K.L., Schellenberg, G.D., Hansen, J.A., Kukull, W.A., Jin, L.W., 2006. Tumor necrosis factor alpha and interleukin 10 promoter region polymorphisms and risk of late-onset Alzheimer disease. Arch. Neurol. 63, 1165–1169.
- Rasmussen, L., Delabio, R., Horiguchi, L., Mizumoto, I., Terazaki, C.R., Mazzotti, D., Bertolucci, P.H., Pinhel, M.A., Souza, D., Krieger, H., Kawamata, C., Minett, T., Smith, M.C., Payao, S.L., 2013. Association between interleukin 6 gene haplotype and Alzheimer's disease: a Brazilian case–control study. J. Alzheimers Dis. 36, 733–738.
- Ravaglia, G., Paola, F., Maioli, F., Martelli, M., Montesi, F., Bastagli, L., Bianchin, M., Chiappelli, M., Tumini, E., Bolondi, L., Licastro, F., 2006. Interleukin-1beta and interleukin-6 gene polymorphisms as risk factors for AD: a prospective study. Exp. Gerontol. 41, 85–92.
- Rebeck, G.W., 2000. Confirmation of the genetic association of interleukin-1A with early onset sporadic Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci. Lett. 293, 75–77.
- Ribizzi, G., Fiordoro, S., Barocci, S., Ferrari, E., Megna, M., 2010. Cytokine polymorphisms and Alzheimer disease: possible associations. Neurol. Sci. 31, 321–325.
- Rodriguez-Manotas, M., Amorin-Diaz, M., Canizares-Hernandez, F., Ruiz-Espejo, F., Martinez-Vidal, S., Gonzalez-Sarmiento, R., Martinez-Hernandez, P., Cabezas-Herrera, J., 2007. Association study and meta-analysis of Alzheimer's disease risk and presenilin-1 intronic polymorphism. Brain Res. 1170, 119–128.
- Scassellati, C., Zanardini, R., Squitti, R., Bocchio-Chiavetto, L., Bonvicini, C., Binetti, G., Zanetti, O., Cassetta, E., Gennarelli, M., 2004. Promoter haplotypes of interleukin-10 gene and sporadic Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci. Lett. 356, 119–122.
- Sciacca, F.L., Ferri, C., Licastro, F., Veglia, F., Biunno, I., Gavazzi, A., Calabrese, E., Martinelli Boneschi, F., Sorbi, S., Mariani, C., Franceschi, M., Grimaldi, L.M., 2003. Interleukin-1B polymorphism is associated with age at onset of Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol. Aging 24, 927–931.
- Seripa, D., Matera, M.G., Dal Forno, G., Gravina, C., Masullo, C., Daniele, A., Binetti, G., Bonvicini, C., Squitti, R., Palermo, M.T., Davis, D.G., Antuono, P., Wekstein, D.R., Dobrina, A., Gennarelli, M., Fazio, V.M., 2005. Genotypes and haplotypes in the IL-1 gene cluster: analysis of two genetically and diagnostically distinct groups of Alzheimer patients. Neurobiol. Aging 26, 455–464.
- Serretti, A., Olgiati, P., Politis, A., Malitas, P., Albani, D., Dusi, S., Polito, L., De Mauro, S., Zisaki, A., Piperi, C., Liappas, I., Stamouli, E., Mailis, A., Atti, A.R., Morri, M., Ujkaj, M., Batelli, S., Forloni, G., Soldatos, C.R., Papadimitriou, G.N., De Ronchi, D., Kalofoutis, A., 2009. Lack of association between interleukin-1 alpha rs1800587 polymorphism and Alzheimer's disease in two independent European samples. J. Alzheimers Dis. 16, 181–187.
- Shawkatova, I., Javor, J., Parnicka, Z., Vrazda, L., Novak, M., Buc, M., 2010. No association between cytokine gene polymorphism and risk of Alzheimer's disease in Slovaks. Acta Neurobiol. Exp. (Wars) 70, 303–307.
- Sheng, J.G., Mrak, R.E., Griffin, W.S., 1995. Microglial interleukin-1 alpha expression in brain regions in Alzheimer's disease: correlation with neuritic plaque distribution. Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol. 21, 290–301.
- Shibata, N., Ohnuma, T., Takahashi, T., Baba, H., Ishizuka, T., Ohtsuka, M., Ueki, A., Nagao, M., Arai, H., 2002. Effect of IL-6 polymorphism on risk of Alzheimer disease: genotype-phenotype association study in Japanese cases. Am. J. Med. Genet. 114, 436–439.

- Tian, M., Deng, Y.Y., Hou, D.R., Li, W., Feng, X.L., Yu, Z.L., 2015. Association of IL-1, IL-18, and IL-33 gene polymorphisms with late-onset Alzheimers disease in a Hunan Han Chinese population. Brain Res. 1596, 136–145.
- Toral-Rios, D., Franco-Bocanegra, D., Rosas-Carrasco, O., Mena-Barranco, F., Carvajal-Garcia, R., Meraz-Rios, M.A., Campos-Pena, V., 2015. Evaluation of inflammationrelated genes polymorphisms in Mexican with Alzheimer's disease: a pilot study. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 9, 148.
- Torres, K.C., Araujo Pereira, P., Lima, G.S., Bozzi, I.C., Rezende, V.B., Bicalho, M.A., Moraes, E.N., Miranda, D.M., Romano-Silva, M.A., 2013. Increased frequency of T cells expressing IL-10 in Alzheimer disease but not in late-onset depression patients. Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry 47, 40–45.
- Psychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry 47, 40–45.
 Tsai, S.J., Liu, H.C., Liu, T.Y., Wang, K.Y., Hong, C.J., 2003. Lack of association between the interleukin-1alpha gene C(-889)T polymorphism and Alzheimer's disease in a Chinese population. Neurosci. Lett. 343, 93–96.
- van Oijen, M., Arp, P.P., de Jong, F.J., Hofman, A., Koudstaal, P.J., Uitterlinden, A.G., Breteler, M.M., 2006. Polymorphisms in the interleukin 6 and transforming growth factor beta1 gene and risk of dementia. The Rotterdam Study. Neurosci. Lett. 402, 113–117.
- Vendramini, A.A., de Labio, R.W., Rasmussen, L.T., Dos Reis, N.M., Minett, T., Bertolucci, P.H., de Souza Pinhel, M.A., Souza, D.R., Mazzotti, D.R., de Arruda Cardoso Smith, M., Payao, S.L., 2011. Interleukin-8-251T>, Interleukin-1alpha-889C>T and Apolipoprotein E polymorphisms in Alzheimer's disease. Genet. Mol. Biol. 34, 1–5.
- Vural, P., Degirmencioglu, S., Parildar-Karpuzoglu, H., Dogru-Abbasoglu, S., Hanagasi, H.A., Karadag, B., Gurvit, H., Emre, M., Uysal, M., 2009. The combinations of TNFalpha-308 and IL-6 -174 or IL-10 -1082 genes polymorphisms suggest an association with susceptibility to sporadic late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neurol. Scand. 120, 396–401.
- Wang, W.F., Liao, Y.C., Wu, S.L., Tsai, F.J., Lee, C.C., Hua, C.S., 2005. Association of interleukin-I beta and receptor antagonist gene polymorphisms with late onset Alzheimer's disease in Taiwan Chinese. Eur. J. Neurol. 12, 609–613.
- Wang, H.K., Hsu, W.C., Fung, H.C., Lin, J.C., Hsu, H.P., Wu, Y.R., Hsu, Y., Hu, F.J., Lee-Chen, G.J., Chen, C.M., 2007. Interleukin-1alpha and -1beta promoter polymorphisms in taiwanese patients with dementia. Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord. 24, 104–110.
- Ward, A., Crean, S., Mercaldi, C.J., Collins, J.M., Boyd, D., Cook, M.N., Arrighi, H.M., 2012. Prevalence of apolipoprotein E4 genotype and homozygotes (APOE e4/4) among patients diagnosed with Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Neuroepidemiology 38, 1–17.
- WHO. Dementia; a Public Health Priority, 2012.
- Wilson, C.J., Finch, C.E., Cohen, H.J., 2002. Cytokines and cognition-the case for a head-totoe inflammatory paradigm. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 50, 2041–2056.
- Yuan, H., Xia, Q., Ge, P., Wu, S., 2013. Genetic polymorphism of interleukin 1 beta 511C/T and susceptibility to sporadic Alzheimer's disease: a meta-analysis. Mol. Biol. Rep. 40, 1827–1834.
- Zhang, Y., Hayes, A., Pritchard, A., Thaker, U., Haque, M.S., Lemmon, H., Harris, J., Cumming, A., Lambert, J.C., Chartier-Harlin, M.C., St Clair, D., Iwatsubo, T., Mann, D.M., Lendon, C.L., 2004. Interleukin-6 promoter polymorphism: risk and pathology of Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci. Lett. 362, 99–102.
- Zhou, Y.T., Zhang, Z.X., Zhang, J.W., He, X.M., Xu, T., 2006. Association between interleukin-1 alpha-889 C/T polymorphism and Alzheimer's disease in Chinese Han population. Zhongguo Yi Xue Ke Xue Yuan Xue Bao 28, 186–190.