HEPATOLOGY

REVIEW | HEPATOLOGY, VOL. 74, NO. 2, 2021



Advanced Techniques and Awaited Clinical Applications for Human Pluripotent Stem Cell Differentiation into Hepatocytes

Eléanor Luce (D, ^{1,2} Antonietta Messina (D, ^{1,2} Jean-Charles Duclos-Vallée (D, ^{1,2} and Anne Dubart-Kupperschmitt (D, ^{1,2}

Liver transplantation is currently the only curative treatment for several liver diseases such as acute liver failure, endstage liver disorders, primary liver cancers, and certain genetic conditions. Unfortunately, despite improvements to transplantation techniques, including live donor transplantation, the number of organs available remains insufficient to meet patient needs. Hepatocyte transplantation has enabled some encouraging results as an alternative to organ transplantation, but primary hepatocytes are little available and cannot be amplified using traditional two-dimensional culture systems. Indeed, although recent studies have tended to show that three-dimensional culture enables long-term hepatocyte culture, it is still agreed that, like most adult primary cell types, hepatocytes remain refractory to *in vitro* expansion. Because of their exceptional properties, human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) can be amplified indefinitely and differentiated into any cell type, including liver cells. While many teams have worked on hepatocyte differentiation, there has been a consensus that cells obtained after hPSC differentiation have more fetal than adult hepatocyte characteristics. New technologies have been used to improve the differentiation process in recent years. This review discusses the technical improvements made to hepatocyte differentiation protocols and the clinical approaches developed to date and anticipated in the near future. (HEPATOLOGY 2021;74:1101-1116).

iver disorders have a variety of origins (metabolic, alcohol, viral, hereditary, cancer, immune, toxic, or drug-related) and can lead to hepatic insufficiency, causing irreparable damage to the organ and becoming life-threatening. In these cases, the only curative treatment is orthotopic liver transplantation (OLT),⁽¹⁾ but some contraindications such as cardiac or respiratory failure may prevent this approach. Posttransplant immunosuppression is used to overcome any immune conflict between the recipient and the graft and prevent organ rejection. However, this lifelong treatment can also increase the risks of infection, malignancies, and other adverse effects. Operative or postoperative complications may also occur, such as failure of the newly transplanted organ. Finally, the limited number of donor livers remains a key issue; in 2018, 128 out of 1,000 patients died while on the waiting list for liver transplants in France (French Biomedicine Agency, https://www.agencebiomedecine.fr/).

Artificial livers have already been proposed as an alternative or bridge to OLT. However, they have two important drawbacks that prevent their prolonged use: components in the system need to be replaced when they wear out and, more importantly, the patient plasma generated is markedly depleted in important biological substances. Proposals to include a bioreactor containing hepatocytes that might fulfill their detoxifying and synthetic functions have emerged, and such bioartificial livers (BALs) are now under development.

Abbreviations: ALF, acute liver failure; BAL, bioartificial liver; 2D/3D, two-dimensional/three-dimensional; GMP, good manufacturing practices; hESC, human embryonic stem cell; hiPSC, human induced pluripotent stem cell; HLC, hepatocyte-like cell; hPSC, human pluripotent stem cell; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell; OLT, orthotopic liver transplantation; PHH, primary human hepatocyte; PSC, pluripotent stem cell.

Additional Supporting Information may be found at onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/hep.31705/suppinfo.

Supported by the RHU program "iLite" on "Innovations for Liver Tissue Engineering" granted by PAI2 through ANR-16-RHUS-0005.

Published 2021. This article is a U.S. Government work and is in the public domain in the USA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

View this article online at wileyonlinelibrary.com.

DOI 10.1002/hep.31705

Potential conflict of interest: Nothing to report.

Received July 13, 2020; accepted December 19, 2020.

Hepatocyte transplantation might also offer an alternative to OLT, particularly when just liver function is deficient but the structure of the organ has remained unaffected (e.g., in some monogenic hereditary diseases) or as a temporary solution in patients waiting for a graft. However, whatever its type, cell therapy for liver diseases remains poorly developed.

Current Pitfalls Affecting Liver Cell Therapy

Until now, the principal obstacle to developing liver cell therapy was the lack of an unlimited and reliable source of hepatocytes. Several cell types can be used for this approach,⁽²⁾ summarized in Table 1; Supporting Table S1. Although primary human hepatocytes (PHHs) remain the gold standard, their poor availability still limits their use in clinical applications. To overcome this problem, culture techniques are currently being developed, including supporting matrices and three-dimensional (3D) culture systems. Attempts have also been made to immortalize PHHs, but the results obtained so far have not been completely successful because of their tumorigenic tendency.⁽³⁾

Human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) can be differentiated *in vitro* into hepatocytes by the sequential addition of growth factors to the culture medium (Fig. 1) in order to mimic the principal stages of embryonic development and liver organogenesis (Table 2; Supporting Table S2). Human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) were initially proposed as a potential source for cell therapy, but their use is restricted by ethical issues. In 2007, Takahashi and Yamanaka⁽⁵⁾ were able to reprogram human adult somatic cells into embryo-like cells called human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs). Since then, several reprogramming techniques and somatic cell types have been used to obtain hiPSCs (Table 3; Supporting Table S3).

During reprogramming, all epigenetic marks related to somatic cell identity need to be erased. Several studies have demonstrated differences in the epigenetic status of hiPSCs when compared to hESCs, probably due to incomplete erasure of the epigenetic marks during reprogramming. However, there is no clear consensus concerning the potential impact of this epigenetic memory on hiPSC-derived cells. Nishizawa et al. showed that hiPSC lines with epigenetic variations displayed differing abilities to differentiate into blood cells.⁽⁶⁾ By contrast, 28 hiPSC lines differentiated into hepatocytes did not display any impact of tissue-specific donor memory.⁽⁷⁾ These contrasting results may have been due to "transitory" epigenetic memory, which would be observed at early passages but lost after a few passages, because some culture parameters such as the passage number or culture time after cell thawing are rarely taken into account in such studies.⁽⁸⁾

In any case, the hESC⁽⁹⁾ and hiPSC⁽¹⁰⁾ differentiation approach enables a virtually inexhaustible source of hepatocytes, compatible with research and therapeutic applications. It is generally agreed that the hepatocytes obtained after the differentiation of pluripotent stem cells (PSCs) are better at mimicking fetal/neonatal hepatocytes than adult hepatocytes, as shown by their expression of the fetal form of albumin and limited cytochrome activities.⁽¹¹⁾ However, they have been used effectively for transplantation in animal models of chronic liver disease or acute liver failure (ALF), thus indicating that the cells have continued to mature *in vivo*.⁽¹²⁾ These results tend to

ARTICLE INFORMATION:

From the ¹INSERM, Université Paris-Saclay, Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR_S) 1193, Villejuif, France; ²Fédération Hospitalo-Universitaire Hépatinov, Hôpital Paul-Brousse, Villejuif, France.

ADDRESS CORRESPONDENCE AND REPRINT REQUESTS TO:

Eléanor Luce, Ph.D. INSERM, Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR_S) 1193 12 avenue Paul Vaillant Couturier F-94800 Villejuif, France E-mail: eleanor.luce@inserm.fr Tel.: (33)1 45 59 52 64

	11	
Cell Types	Definition and Clinical Applications	Drawbacks for Transplantation
Adult hepatocytes		Loss of functions in vitro
		Very low proliferation capacity under 2D culture conditions
		Cell viability after cryopreservation
	Clinic: transplantation in patients with liver diseases	Limited number of donor livers
		Limited engraftment into the liver
Fetal liver progenitors	Bipotent cells	Fetal origin
	Highly proliferative in vitro	Need of cell purification
	Preclinic: can differentiate into mature hepatocytes after transplantation in animals	
	Less apoptotic and less immunogenic than adult hepatocytes	
	Clinic: transplanted in two patients with advanced liver cirrhosis	
MSCs	Found in bone marrow and adipose tissue	
	Able to differentiate into hepatocytes	
	Enhanced liver regeneration in animals	Phenotypic stability and contribution to long-term tissue homeostasis to be demonstrated
Embryonic stem cells and induced PSCs	Can be differentiated into hepatocytes	Need for GMP-compatible protocols
	Engraftment and rescue of ALF in animal	Careful examination of their genomic integrity needed

TABLE 1. Alternative Hepatocyte Source for Cell Transplantation and Therapeutic Applications. Additional Data to Table 1 are Available in Supplemental Table S1

show that a lack of maturation of the differentiated cells might not necessarily be an obstacle to their use in transplantation. By contrast, drug-screening approaches, toxicological studies, and BALs are reliant on hepatocyte functionality and require fully mature hepatocytes. New strategies have thus been developed, such as the addition of small molecules, coculture, and 3D culture systems (Fig. 2), which were initially developed to improve the culture of functional PHHs.

Improvements to hPSC Differentiation into Hepatocytes Using 2D Culture Systems

ADDITION OF SMALL MOLECULES

Although most of the protocols achieve hepatocyte differentiation using the growth factors or cytokines mentioned in Table 2, the addition of small molecules appears to enhance the efficiency of differentiation (Fig. 3). Examples of such molecules are CHIR99021, a small molecule that activates the WNT/ β -catenin pathway,⁽¹³⁾ and Ly294002, a phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase inhibitor⁽¹⁴⁾ that synergizes with the Activin/Nodal pathway during the first days of differentiation.⁽¹⁵⁾

During endoderm specification, the addition of retinoic acid,⁽¹⁶⁾ the chromatin modifier dimethyl sulfoxide,⁽¹⁷⁾ or the WNT/ β -catenin pathway inhibitor of Wnt response IWR-1⁽¹⁸⁾ also enhances differentiation toward the hepatic lineage.

Finally, inhibition of the NOTCH pathway by compound $E^{(18)}$ or of the TGF β pathway by SB431542⁽¹⁹⁾ can prevent the cholangiocyte differentiation of hepatoblasts and thus favor the hepatocyte lineage.

Their principal value as chemicals is that they are less prone to batch variability and, unlike growth factors, are easily acceptable in good manufacturing practice (GMP) grade protocols.

COCULTURE OF hPSC-DERIVED HEPATOCYTES

Although the functions of PHHs rapidly decline *in vitro*, their coculture with mesenchymal stem cells $(MSCs)^{(20)}$ or liver sinusoidal endothelial cells⁽²¹⁾ appears to improve their albumin production and cytochrome activity.



FIG. 1. Main stages of human embryogenesis/liver organogenesis (left panel) mimicked and recapitulated in protocols for hPSCs into hepatocytes (right panel). Pathways involved during human liver embryogenesis are indicated in green. Abbreviations: BMP, bone morphogenic protein; CK19, cytokeratin 19; CXCR4, chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 4; GATA4, GATA binding protein 4; HGF, hepatocyte growth factor; HNF, hepatocyte nuclear factor; SOX17, SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 17.

	In Vivo		In Vitro
Steps	Embryonic Development	Growth Factors	Markers
Definitive endoderm	Activin/Notch pathway	Activin A (TGFß family)	CXCR4, SOX17, HNF3B, GATA4
Endoderm specification	Factor secretion by the septum transversum	Bone morphogenetic protein 4/2	Alpha-fetoprotein, hepatocyte nuclear factor $4 lpha$
	Factor secretion by the developing heart	FGF2/4	
Hepatoblast differentiation into hepatocytes and maturation	Members of the hepatocyte nuclear factor family	Hepatocyte growth factor	Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α, alpha-1-anti-trypsin, CYP3A7
	Inhibition of Notch and TGF eta pathways	Oncostatin M Dexamethasone	Hepatocyte functions: albumin or urea secretion, energy metabolism, detoxification

TABLE 2. A Nonexhaustive Overview of the Principal Steps in the Liver Embryonic Development and Their Equivalents During the Hepatocyte Differentiation of PSCs

Abbreviations: CXCR4, chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 4; GATA4, GATA binding protein 4; HNF, hepatocyte nuclear factor; SOX17, SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 17. Additional data are available in Supporting Table S2.

Coculture systems have thus been tested during PSC differentiation, in the presence of fibroblasts,⁽²²⁾ liver stromal cells,⁽²³⁾ and nonparenchymal human hepatic stellate cells.⁽²⁴⁾ Interestingly, it has been shown that the improvement to the properties of hepatocyte-like cells (HLCs) was mostly due to the coculture medium rather than the presence of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs), although they are not liver endothelial cells.⁽²⁵⁾

EXTRACELLULAR MATRIX

Because they are epithelial cells with complex polarization and strong adhesion properties, hepatocytes require a complex interplay of various factors to maintain their physiological characteristics and liver functions in vitro. Indeed, cell-to-cell interactions are largely responsible for retention of their xenobiotic metabolism capacity, whereas hepatic transport is mainly dependent on hepatocyte polarization, which is also related to cell-matrix interactions. Artificial constructs that can mimic the cell niche (such as nanofibers, films, or hydrogels) have thus been developed to enhance hepatocyte adhesion, migration, and proliferation. These scaffolds are generally made of natural polymers such as collagen, chitosan, gelatin, alginate, and agarose, or synthetic substances such as poly(ε-caprolactone) and poly(L-lactic acid).⁽²⁶⁾

Culture of PHHs between two layers of collagen I or Matrigel, a widely used matrix composed of numerous basement membrane proteins, enables the formation of cell–cell and cell–matrix contacts similar to those observed *in vivo*.⁽²⁷⁾ These results have been applied to hESCs and enabled better differentiation into definitive endoderm⁽²⁸⁾ and a marked improvement in HLC polarization.⁽²⁹⁾

Finally, several studies have reported the use of decellularized human liver extracellular matrix for hiPSC differentiation, causing the up-regulation of hepatic functions when compared with standard differentiation.⁽³⁰⁾

FLUIDIZED MICROCHIPS

Microchips enable the miniaturization of traditional culture systems. They are generally molded in a transparent, hydrophobic, and biocompatible material such as polydimethylsiloxane that can be treated to modify their surface properties.

ī

TABLE 3. Reprogramming Strategies Developed to Generate Induced PSCs

Additional data are available in Supporting Table S3. Abbreviations: ADSC, adipose-derived stem cell; ASC, adipose stromal cell; CD34, cluster of differentiation 34; GEC, gastric epithelial cell; NPC, neural progenitor cell; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell.

1106



FIG. 2. Improvements to hepatocyte or PSC-derived hepatocyte culture systems. Abbreviations: Hep, hepatocyte; iHep, PSC-derived hepatocyte.

To best mimic the *in vivo* cell environment, they also include a blood flow equivalent, supplied by microfluidic systems which deliver oxygen and nutrients and drain waste. This approach was used with PHHs⁽³¹⁾ and later during hiPSC differentiation,⁽³²⁾ thus confirming that biochips offer a favorable microenvironment for hepatocyte differentiation. Furthermore, the reported creation of a gradient of oxygen concentrations ranging from normoxia to severe hypoxia on a chip made it possible to mimic the physiological oxygen gradient generated in the liver.⁽³³⁾ This could be of considerable interest for the study of liver zonation.



FIG. 3. Pathways involved in PSC differentiation into hepatocytes and cholangiocytes. Abbreviations: βCAT, beta-catenin; BMP, bone morphogenic protein; GAB1, growth factor receptor bound protein 2–associated protein 1; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; OSM, oncostatin M; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3.

3D Culture Systems for hPSC Differentiation into Hepatocytes

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF CELL ADHESION AND AGGREGATION

Organ formation results from the ability of different cell populations to migrate and rearrange themselves. Regulation of these rearrangements has been well established through the study of adhesion molecules and cadherin expression. If a single cell type is involved in aggregate formation without any externally applied force, the homogeneous distribution of adhesion molecules leads to cells grouping like liquid droplets, sticking together to minimize their surface free energy.⁽³⁴⁾ When several cell populations are mixed, the differences in their adhesion and chemotactic properties will produce a structure where less cohesive cells envelop those that are more cohesive because of their lower surface tension (Fig. 4).

AGGREGATES AND SPHEROIDS

The 3D culture systems have been tested on PHHs to define parameters such as the maximum size of spheroids to prevent necrosis or the number of cells to permit their optimal compaction. Culture of PHHs in aggregates enables prolongation of their culture *in vitro* while maintaining the expression of specific markers and CYP activity.⁽³⁵⁾ The same results have been obtained during hESC⁽³⁶⁾ and hiPSC⁽³⁷⁾ hepatocyte differentiation.

ORGANOIDS

Organoids can be defined as self-organizing 3D structures that mimic some of the *in vivo* functions of an organ.⁽³⁸⁾ Because they self-organize *in vitro* from stem cells into tissues, they can mimic many of the cellular interactions of the organ and be used to reconstruct microstructures that more or less resemble liver tissues.⁽³⁹⁾

Takebe et al. were the first to report the formation of a vascularized hepatic bud composed initially of hiPSC-derived endoderm cells, HUVECs,



FIG. 4. Three-dimensional cell aggregation and organization in scaffold-free culture systems.

and human MSCs⁽⁴⁰⁾ and 4 years later was made entirely of hiPSC-derived cells.⁽⁴¹⁾ However, the lack of a biliary network in these coculture systems may prevent the long-term culture of these organoids. Since then, several coculture systems have enabled improvements in terms of protein production when cocultured with stellate cells or cholangiocytes.⁽⁴²⁾

BIOPRINTING

Bioprinting uses computer-controlled printing technology to build, layer by layer or point by point, tissues and organs with cells or cell aggregates as the building blocks.⁽⁴³⁾ hESC-HLCs have been bioprinted in an alginate hydrogel,⁽⁴⁴⁾ or hiPSC-HLCs in gelatin, leading to improved albumin secretion and urea production as well as a higher expression of several cytochrome P450s when compared to a 2D culture system.⁽⁴⁵⁾ Bioprinting approaches have also been used with spheroids as building blocks⁽⁴⁶⁾ or associating different cell types, for example, the bioprinting of liver spheroids derived from hiPSCs with nonparenchymal cells.⁽⁴⁷⁾

MICROCHIPS

Microchips can mimic the *in vivo* cell environment, including a blood flow equivalent. Proof of concept of the long-term perfusion of 3D HepG2 spheroids showed that their use enabled a significant improvement in liver-specific functions and metabolic activity compared to conventional perfusion methods.⁽⁴⁸⁾ hiPSC-HLC organoids have been included in a 3D fluidized chip and displayed a marked enhancement of liver-specific functions, including albumin and urea production as well as metabolic capabilities, when compared to static systems.⁽⁴⁹⁾

Bioprinting approaches can also be used in combination with microchips, using bioprinted HepG2 spheroids instead of single cells.⁽⁵⁰⁾

Despite these promising results, bioprinting and microchips are designed, engineered, and humanmade, thus confining them to the limits of our own knowledge of the organ.

ENCAPSULATION SYSTEMS

Encapsulation consists in entrapping cells in semipermeable spheres made of hydrogels that can be chemically modified to adapt their porosity as necessary. This technology enables the exchange of nutrients, oxygen, CO_2 , and signals through the bead while permitting the diffusion of growth factors, metabolites, and waste but preventing antibody penetration. Encapsulation in alginate poly-L-lysine beads maintained cell viability and allowed the differentiation of hPSCs into hepatocytes, using spheroids or organoids.

In vivo, the successful engraftment of encapsulated aggregates of hiPSC-HLCs led to the secretion of human albumin in mouse blood at levels similar to those attained with PHHs.⁽⁵¹⁾

These encapsulated structures can also be used in BAL applications, for example, the use of HepG2 in alginate beads in a porcine model of liver failure⁽⁵²⁾ and differentiated HepaRG cells self-organized as spheroids.⁽⁵³⁾

Applications for hPSC Differentiation into Hepatocytes

DISEASE MODELING

The differentiation of hiPSCs obtained from patient biopsies into a cell type of interest enables the *in vitro* modeling of genetic diseases (Fig. 5). For example, our team reported on the use of hiPSCs to





model familial hypercholesterolemia and showed that hiPSC-HLCs could be used to study the regulation of cholesterol metabolism.⁽⁵⁴⁾ However, the viability of these models is heavily reliant on the characteristics and functions of the differentiated cells. In the case of hepatocytes, this feature may be limited by incomplete cell maturation.

Cystic fibrosis⁽⁵⁵⁾ and alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency⁽⁵⁶⁾ are examples of genetic diseases modeled using human organoids. However, in the majority of cases, the focus has mostly been on the effects of a given mutation by studying the expression of the protein involved, while downstream networks affected by the mutation are often poorly documented.

More than monogenic diseases, Ouchi et al. showed that treatment of iPSC-HLC organoids with free fatty acids could mimic the lipid accumulation and fibrosis of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.⁽⁵⁷⁾

TOXICOLOGICAL STUDIES

In 2019, drug-induced liver injury had an estimated annual incidence of between 1 per 10,000 and 1 per 100,000 people exposed to medications. In view of the important role of the liver in xenobiotic processing, 3D liver structures have been used during pharmacological studies.

PHHs offer a robust system for long-term studies of drug-induced hepatotoxicity,⁽⁵⁸⁾ but 3D coculture systems using hepatic cell lines have also been used and revealed relevant differences between spheroids of HepG2⁽⁵⁹⁾ and HepaRG⁽⁶⁰⁾ cells.

In view of the shortage of PHHs and the controversial evidence regarding cell lines, liver tissue made from hESC-HLCs⁽⁶¹⁾ and hiPSC-HLCs⁽⁶²⁾ was used and displayed a better response to apoptotic drugs than cell lines.⁽⁶³⁾ However, Godoy and colleagues showed that monohepatocyte cultures have limited abilities to reproduce drug-induced hepatotoxic effects because the toxic response observed *in vivo* is mediated by a complex interplay between different cell types.⁽⁶⁴⁾

DRUG SCREENING

Drug screening is used to develop drugs that can selectively interact with genes and gene products or can interfere with a specific molecular mechanism so that they can be used in human clinical trials. However, they do not always ensure safe and effective treatment in humans. One of the key factors that guides the success or failure of drug screening is a lack of pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic knowledge. In the context of conventional 2D screening, determining a "dose and drug effect" may be hampered by phenomena such as adsorption on plastic.⁽⁶⁵⁾ Spheroids, organoids, and organs-on-chips seem more appropriate to investigate the pharmacokinetic profiles of drugs.⁽⁶⁶⁾

BALs

Because the creation of an artificial device that can fully sustain liver functions remains problematic, considerable attention is being paid at present to BAL systems, where hepatocytes or liver organoids constitute the biological components that are missing from current artificial systems. BALs including bioreactors that host alginate-encapsulated hepatocyte spheroids have thus been developed.⁽⁶⁷⁾ Several systems have been evaluated in patients, but only two have produced promising results: the extracorporeal liver assist device and Hepat-Assist, where the biological components are hepatoma cell lines and porcine hepatocytes, respectively. In 2017, the first GMP clinical-scale BAL containing HepG2 organoids was developed on a porcine model of severe liver failure.⁽⁵²⁾

As a functional BAL requires large quantities of hepatocytes to sustain hemodialysis and hepatic functions in the patient,⁽⁶⁸⁾ PSC-HLCs are emerging as attractive biological components; but their long-term survival and functionality, as well as the high costs of their production, are the most serious drawbacks affecting their rapid application.

CLINICAL APPLICATIONS OF TISSUE ENGINEERING

Regenerative medicine is one of the principal challenges in terms of replacing damaged and/or nonfunctional tissue. The limited supply of healthy donor tissue and the inherent risks of tissue rejection that restrict this application can be overcome using isogenic or human leukocyte antigen (HLA)–compatible hiPSC-HLCs. Two different strategies can be followed. The first consists in using a decellularized liver as a 3D matrix in which differentiated cells are seeded,⁽⁶⁹⁾ and the second consists in using PSC-derived organoids. However, regarding transplantation, a final challenge remains in terms of scalability and reproducibility of protocols to produce large and stable organoids following cryopreservation.⁽⁷⁰⁾

In this context, a recent study reported the development of hESC-derived organoids that could be expanded for 20 passages while stably maintaining the phenotypic features of bipotential progenitor cells.⁽⁷¹⁾ After transplantation in mice, they could differentiate into functional hepatocytes or cholangiocytes and displayed a remarkable capacity for repopulation. This large-scale expansion of progenitor cells could overcome the scalability problem for clinical applications.

Moreover, Takebe et al. demonstrated the possibility of transplanting liver buds entirely composed of hiPSC-derived cells,⁽⁴¹⁾ including endothelial cells that developed vascularization once transplanted in the animal, thus confirming the huge therapeutic potential of this approach. The technology has also been used in an ALF mouse model where animal survival was probably due to the early function of transplanted cells bridging the native recovery of the liver, highlighting the importance of the bridge function potentially assumed by transplanted cells, whatever their subsequent function after transplantation.

Limits to the Therapeutic Use of hPSC-Derived Organoids

PRACTICAL ASPECTS

The autologous transplantation of differentiated cells requires not only reprogramming of the patient's cells into hiPSCs but their characterization, their genetic correction in the case of a patient suffering from a monogenic disease, and their differentiation into hepatocytes. These steps can take from 3 to 4 months, thus limiting this approach to moderate or less severe cases. Moreover, the production cost of clinical-grade hiPSC-HLCs with all safety controls is estimated to be \$200,000/patient, so this must also be taken into account. For these reasons, allogenic strategies are mostly privileged, with the development of banks of frozen HLA-characterized and ready-to-use therapeutic products. The development of cryopreservation methods that sustain prefreezing hepatocyte functions will therefore be necessary. Moreover, the production of organoids at a very large scale is currently difficult as it first requires the mass culture of PSCs. Indeed, for transplantation, 10^8 cells/kg would be necessary, which represents 6 to 12×10^9 cells/transplantation.⁽⁷²⁾ BALs would require even more cells, from 10^{10} to 20^{10} hepatocytes. For these reasons, the standardization and at least partial automation of organoid production will be necessary.⁽⁷³⁾

Finally, the route of hepatocyte administration to the patient also needs to be determined. Four main strategies are currently under investigation and have been reviewed by Anderson and Zarrinpar⁽⁷⁴⁾ Intraportal injection seems to be preferred as it can deliver a large number of cells into the hepatic sinusoids and is well tolerated in patients without fibrosis. However, it can cause elevated portal pressure and a risk of portal vein thrombosis. In any case, the cell anchorage could be improved by encapsulating hepatocytes in alginate beads, which would also protect them from the patient's immune response. This strategy has produced promising results during preclinical studies.⁽⁵¹⁾ Other strategies such as cell sheets have been developed and have already been reviewed in depth.⁽⁷⁵⁾

SAFETY OF hPSC-DERIVED HEPATOCYTES

Although 3D organoids are more physiologically relevant than monolayer cultures, there are some limitations to their therapeutic use. Considerable efforts have been made to develop appropriate GMP guide-lines, in terms of culture media, matrix components, and growth factors, as well as reproducible and validated protocols to culture and differentiate hPSCs. Three GMP PSC lines have already been differentiated into hepatocytes and displayed highly reproducible phenotypes and functionality.⁽⁷⁶⁾ Optimized GMP-grade alginate encapsulation protocols have also been established for the transplantation of human hepatocytes.⁽⁷⁷⁾ Quality controls on the final product, in terms not only of function but also of safety, also need to be defined.

Another major concern inherent to the use of hPSC-derived cells is linked to the potential genetic instability of the cells. The genomic integrity of PSCs must be ensured, although not all mutations

will result in undesirable effects or tumors. Recurrent characteristic abnormalities have been reported in hPSCs but have not been found in corresponding somatic cell samples.⁽⁷⁸⁾ However, the preservation of genomic integrity during differentiation into hiPSC-HLCs remains poorly documented, and no link has yet been established between the differentiation protocol and their genetic integrity. Our experiments evidenced the absence of *de novo* copy number variations during differentiation⁽⁷⁹⁾ and showed that safety mainly depended on hiPSC clone genomic integrity.⁽⁸⁰⁾ However, further studies are required in this area.

The potential presence of residual hPSCs in the final therapeutic product must also be taken into account, even if several studies have already reported the transplantation of differentiated hepatocytes, under either $2D^{(81)}$ or $3D^{(71)}$ conditions, without any formation of teratoma or tumors in mice.

Finally, many groups have investigated the immunogenicity of hPSC-derived cells. Some of them showed that they were well tolerated by the immune system,⁽⁸²⁾ but others reported different immune responses depending on the cell line used.⁽⁸³⁾ However, several cellular therapies have been tested using hiPSC-derived cells according to an autologous⁽⁸⁴⁾ or allogenic⁽⁸⁵⁾ strategy and caused no signs of immune rejection or tumor formation.

ETHICAL CONCERNS RELATIVE TO THE CLINICAL USE OF hPSC-HLCs

The use of hPSC-HLCs in cell therapy involves ethical issues regarding both their human origin and the potential use of hESCs. In this regard, the guidelines applied worldwide range from total prohibition to regulated authorization. However, hiPSCs bypass the ethical concerns of embryo destruction because they are produced from somatic cells. Several guidelines are currently available regarding the use of cells, tissues, and PSC products to treat patients, most of them issued by the American and European authorities (the US Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency, respectively).

In all cases, cell therapy, gene therapy, and tissue engineering require rapid changes to the legislation in line with the advancement of technologies in the fields of biomedicine or regenerative medicine and the emergence of new areas such as PSC research.

Conclusion

Because of the rapid loss of hepatic functions by PHHs in vitro, due in part to their poor spatial organization in a monolayer culture, numerous approaches have been successfully developed to improve cell-cell or cell-matrix interactions in 3D culture systems; but the problem of cell shortage persists. The 3D culture systems have been adapted to PSC differentiation and revealed clear improvements in the efficiency of $hESC^{(86)}$ and $hiPSC^{(62)}$ differentiation into hepatocytes. The use of liver organoids for drug screening and toxicity analyses could reduce the use of animal testing as animals would mainly be used for studies that require wholeorganism readouts. The potential of these new culture techniques is thus exciting, but the analysis of 3D structures requires the development of specific protocols and equipment; for example, microscopic analyses need to be redesigned to generate sufficiently informative images whose processing can rapidly become highly complex.

Therapeutic applications for clinical-grade PSC-HLCs are starting to emerge, and the problem of incomplete HLC maturation appears to be surmountable thanks to the development of 3D culture techniques. Interdisciplinary collaborations are necessary across cell biology, clinical care, bioengineering, and the science of biocompatible materials to enable further advances in the future.

Acknowledgment: We thank Dr. Anne Weber for her scientific expertise as well as her careful reading and revision of the manuscript.

Author Contributions: E.L. was involved in the conceptualization, investigation and visualization of the review as well as the lead writing of the original draft. A.M. participated to the investigation and the supporting writing of the review. J.-C.D.-V. and A.D.-K. acquired the financial support and all authors revised the review and approved the final version.

REFERENCES

- 1) Starzl TE, Putnam CW, Koep LJ. Current status of liver transplantation. South Med J 1977;70:389-390.
- Messina A, Luce E, Hussein M, Dubart-Kupperschmitt A. Pluripotent-stem-cell-derived hepatic cells: hepatocytes and organoids for liver therapy and regeneration. Cells 2020;9:420.

- 3) Hang H-L, Liu X-Y, Wang H-T, Xu N, Bian J-M, Zhang J-J, et al. Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4A improves hepatic differentiation of immortalized adult human hepatocytes and improves liver function and survival. Exp Cell Res 2017;360:81-93.
- Bort R. Hex homeobox gene-dependent tissue positioning is required for organogenesis of the ventral pancreas. Development 2004;131:797-806.
- Takahashi K, Tanabe K, Ohnuki M, Narita M, Ichisaka T, Tomoda K, et al. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. Cell 2007;131:861-872.
- 6) Nishizawa M, Chonabayashi K, Nomura M, Tanaka A, Nakamura M, Inagaki A, et al. Epigenetic variation between human induced pluripotent stem cell lines is an indicator of differentiation capacity. Cell Stem Cell 2016;19:341-354.
- 7) Kajiwara M, Aoi T, Okita K, Takahashi R, Inoue H, Takayama N, et al. Donor-dependent variations in hepatic differentiation from human-induced pluripotent stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012;109:12538-12543.
- Vaskova EA, Stekleneva AE, Medvedev SP, Zakian SM. "Epigenetic memory" phenomenon in induced pluripotent stem cells. Acta Naturae 2013;5:15-21.
- Touboul T, Hannan NRF, Corbineau S, Martinez A, Martinet C, Branchereau S, et al. Generation of functional hepatocytes from human embryonic stem cells under chemically defined conditions that recapitulate liver development. HEPATOLOGY 2010;51:1754-1765.
- Si-Tayeb K, Noto FK, Nagaoka M, Li J, Battle MA, Duris C, et al. Highly efficient generation of human hepatocyte-like cells from induced pluripotent stem cells. HEPATOLOGY 2010;51:297-305.
- 11) Baxter M, Withey S, Harrison S, Segeritz C-P, Zhang F, Atkinson-Dell R, et al. Phenotypic and functional analyses show stem cell-derived hepatocyte-like cells better mimic fetal rather than adult hepatocytes. J Hepatol 2015;62:581-589.
- 12) Fourrier A, Delbos F, Menoret S, Collet C, Thi Thuy LT, Myara A, et al. Regenerative cell therapy for the treatment of hyperbilirubinemic Gunn rats with fresh and frozen human induced pluripotent stem cells–derived hepatic stem cells. Xenotransplantation 2020;27:e12544.
- 13) Mfopou JK, Geeraerts M, Dejene R, Van Langenhoven S, Aberkane A, Van Grunsven LA, et al. Efficient definitive endoderm induction from mouse embryonic stem cell adherent cultures: a rapid screening model for differentiation studies. Stem Cell Res 2014;12:166-177.
- 14) Mathew S, Jaramillo M, Zhang X, Zhang L, Soto-Gutiérrez A, Banerjee I. Analysis of alternative signaling pathways of endoderm induction of human embryonic stem cells identifies context specific differences. BMC Syst Biol 2012;6:154.
- 15) Hay DC, Fletcher J, Payne C, Terrace JD, Gallagher RCJ, Snoeys J, et al. Highly efficient differentiation of hESCs to functional hepatic endoderm requires ActivinA and Wnt3a signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:12301-12306.
- 16) Negishi T, Nagai Y, Asaoka Y, Ohno M, Namae M, Mitani H, et al. Retinoic acid signaling positively regulates liver specification by inducing wnt2bb gene expression in medaka. HEPATOLOGY 2010;51:1037-1045.
- 17) Czysz K, Minger S, Thomas N. DMSO efficiently down regulates pluripotency genes in human embryonic stem cells during definitive endoderm derivation and increases the proficiency of hepatic differentiation. PLoS One 2015;10:e0117689.
- 18) Touboul T, Chen S, To CC, Mora-Castilla S, Sabatini K, Tukey RH, et al. Stage-specific regulation of the WNT/β-catenin pathway enhances differentiation of hESCs into hepatocytes. J Hepatol 2016;64:1315-1326.
- 19) Lv L, Han Q, Chu Y, Zhang M, Sun L, Wei W, et al. Selfrenewal of hepatoblasts under chemically defined conditions by

iterative growth factor and chemical screening. HEPATOLOGY 2015;61:337-347.

- 20) Fitzpatrick E, Wu Y, Dhadda P, Hughes RD, Mitry RR, Qin H, et al. Coculture with mesenchymal stem cells results in improved viability and function of human hepatocytes. Cell Transplant 2015;24:73-83.
- 21) Bale SS, Golberg I, Jindal R, McCarty WJ, Luitje M, Hegde M, et al. Long-term coculture strategies for primary hepatocytes and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells. Tissue Eng Part C Methods 2015;21:413-422.
- 22) Yu Y-D, Kim K-H, Lee S-G, Choi S-Y, Kim Y-C, Byun K-S, et al. Hepatic differentiation from human embryonic stem cells using stromal cells. J Surg Res 2011;170:e253-e261.
- 23) Elham H, Fardin F, Mahmod H. The roles of the co-culture of mEScs with pancreatic islets and liver stromal cells in the differentiation of definitive endoderm cells. Biologicals 2017;45:9-14.
- 24) Javed MS, Yaqoob N, Iwamuro M, Kobayashi N, Fujiwara T. Generation of hepatocyte-like cells from human induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells by co-culturing embryoid body cells with liver non-parenchymal cell line TWNT-1. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2014;24:91-96.
- 25) Freyer N, Greuel S, Knöspel F, Strahl N, Amini L, Jacobs F, et al. Effects of co-culture media on hepatic differentiation of hiPSC with or without HUVEC co-culture. Int J Mol Sci 2017;18:1724.
- 26) Eltom A, Zhong G, Muhammad A. Scaffold techniques and designs in tissue engineering functions and purposes: a review. Adv Mater Sci Eng 2019;2019:1-13.
- 27) Deharde D, Schneider C, Hiller T, Fischer N, Kegel V, Lübberstedt M, et al. Bile canaliculi formation and biliary transport in 3D sandwich-cultured hepatocytes in dependence of the extracellular matrix composition. Arch Toxicol 2016;90:2497-2511.
- 28) Lawton BR, Sosa JA, Roman S, Krause DS. Effect of a Matrigel sandwich on endodermal differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cell Rev 2013;9:578-585.
- 29) Palakkan A, Drummond R, Anderson R, Greenhough S, Tv K, Hay D, et al. Polarisation and functional characterisation of hepatocytes derived from human embryonic and mesenchymal stem cells. Biomed Rep 2015;3:626-636.
- 30) Jaramillo M, Yeh H, Yarmush ML, Uygun BE. Decellularized human liver extracellular matrix (hDLM)–mediated hepatic differentiation of human induced pluripotent stem cells (hIPSCs). J Tissue Eng Regen Med 2018;12:e1962-e1973.
- 31) Vinci B, Duret C, Klieber S, Gerbal-Chaloin S, Sa-Cunha A, Laporte S, et al. Modular bioreactor for primary human hepatocyte culture: medium flow stimulates expression and activity of detoxification genes. Biotechnol J 2011;6:554-564.
- 32) Danoy M, Bernier ML, Kimura K, Poulain S, Kato S, Mori D, et al. Optimized protocol for the hepatic differentiation of induced pluripotent stem cells in a fluidic microenvironment. Biotechnol Bioeng 2019;116:1762-1776.
- 33) Kang YBA, Eo J, Bulutoglu B, Yarmush ML, Usta OB. Progressive hypoxia-on-a-chip: an in vitro oxygen gradient model for capturing the effects of hypoxia on primary hepatocytes in health and disease. Biotechnol Bioeng 2020;117:763-775.
- 34) Foty RA, Pfleger CM, Forgacs G, Steinberg MS. Surface tensions of embryonic tissues predict their mutual envelopment behavior. Dev Camb Engl 1996;122:1611-1620.
- 35) Bell CC, Hendriks DFG, Moro SML, Ellis E, Walsh J, Renblom A, et al. Characterization of primary human hepatocyte spheroids as a model system for drug-induced liver injury, liver function and disease. Sci Rep 2016;6:25187.
- 36) Kim JH, Jang YJ, An SY, Son J, Lee J, Lee G, et al. Enhanced metabolizing activity of human ES cell-derived hepatocytes using a 3D culture system with repeated exposures to xenobiotics. Toxicol Sci 2015;147:190-206.

- 37) Pettinato G, Ramanathan R, Fisher RA, Mangino MJ, Zhang N, Wen X. Scalable differentiation of human iPSCs in a multicellular spheroid-based 3D culture into hepatocyte-like cells through direct Wnt/β-catenin pathway inhibition. Sci Rep 2016;6:32888.
- 38) Lancaster MA, Knoblich JA. Organogenesis in a dish: modeling development and disease using organoid technologies. Science 2014;345:1247125.
- 39) Rossi G, Manfrin A, Lutolf MP. Progress and potential in organoid research. Nat Rev Genet 2018;19:671-687.
- 40) Takebe T, Sekine K, Enomura M, Koike H, Kimura M, Ogaeri T, et al. Vascularized and functional human liver from an iPSCderived organ bud transplant. Nature 2013;499:481-484.
- 41) Takebe T, Sekine K, Kimura M, Yoshizawa E, Ayano S, Koido M, et al. Massive and reproducible production of liver buds entirely from human pluripotent stem cells. Cell Rep 2017;21:2661-2670.
- 42) Vyas D, Baptista PM, Brovold M, Moran E, Gaston B, Booth C, et al. Self-assembled liver organoids recapitulate hepatobiliary organogenesis in vitro. HEPATOLOGY 2018;67:750-761.
- 43) Bishop ES, Mostafa S, Pakvasa M, Luu HH, Lee MJ, Wolf JM, et al. 3-D bioprinting technologies in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine: current and future trends. Genes Dis 2017;4:185-195.
- 44) Faulkner-Jones A, Fyfe C, Cornelissen D-J, Gardner J, King J, Courtney A, et al. Bioprinting of human pluripotent stem cells and their directed differentiation into hepatocyte-like cells for the generation of mini-livers in 3D. Biofabrication 2015;7:044102.
- 45) Ma X, Qu X, Zhu W, Li Y-S, Yuan S, Zhang H, et al. Deterministically patterned biomimetic human iPSC-derived hepatic model via rapid 3D bioprinting. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2016;113:2206-2211.
- 46) Kizawa H, Nagao E, Shimamura M, Zhang G, Torii H. Scaffoldfree 3D bio-printed human liver tissue stably maintains metabolic functions useful for drug discovery. Biochem Biophys Rep 2017;10:186-191.
- 47) Goulart E, de Caires-Junior LC, Telles-Silva KA, Araujo BHS, Rocco SA, Sforca M, et al. 3D bioprinting of liver spheroids derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells sustain liver function and viability in vitro. Biofabrication 2019;12:015010.
- 48) Ma L-D, Wang Y-T, Wang J-R, Wu J-L, Meng X-S, Hu P, et al. Design and fabrication of a liver-on-a-chip platform for convenient, highly efficient, and safe in situ perfusion culture of 3D hepatic spheroids. Lab Chip 2018;18:2547-2562.
- 49) Wang Y, Wang H, Deng P, Chen W, Guo Y, Tao T, et al. In situ differentiation and generation of functional liver organoids from human iPSCs in a 3D perfusable chip system. Lab Chip 2018;18:3606-3616.
- 50) Bhise NS, Manoharan V, Massa S, Tamayol A, Ghaderi M, Miscuglio M, et al. A liver-on-a-chip platform with bioprinted hepatic spheroids. Biofabrication 2016;8:014101.
- 51) Song W, Lu Y-C, Frankel AS, An D, Schwartz RE, Ma M. Engraftment of human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived hepatocytes in immunocompetent mice via 3D co-aggregation and encapsulation. Sci Rep 2015;5:16884.
- 52) Selden C, Bundy J, Erro E, Puschmann E, Miller M, Kahn D, et al. A clinical-scale bioartificial liver, developed for GMP, improved clinical parameters of liver function in porcine liver failure. Sci Rep 2017;7:14518.
- 53) Pasqua M, Pereira U, Messina A, de Lartigue C, Vigneron P, Dubart-Kupperschmitt A, et al. HepaRG self-assembled spheroids in alginate beads meet the clinical needs for bioartificial liver. Tissue Eng Part A 2020;26:613-622.
- 54) Caron J, Pène V, Tolosa L, Villaret M, Luce E, Fourrier A, et al. Low-density lipoprotein receptor–deficient hepatocytes differentiated from induced pluripotent stem cells allow familial hypercholesterolemia modeling, CRISPR/Cas-mediated genetic

correction, and productive hepatitis C virus infection. Stem Cell Res Ther 2019;10:221.

- 55) Sampaziotis F, Cardoso de Brito M, Madrigal P, Bertero A, Saeb-Parsy K, Soares FAC, et al. Cholangiocytes derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells for disease modeling and drug validation. Nat Biotechnol 2015;33:845-852.
- 56) Gómez-Mariano G, Matamala N, Martínez S, Justo I, Marcacuzco A, Jimenez C, et al. Liver organoids reproduce alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency-related liver disease. Hepatol Int 2020;14:127-137.
- 57) Ouchi R, Togo S, Kimura M, Shinozawa T, Koido M, Koike H, et al. Modeling steatohepatitis in humans with pluripotent stem cell-derived organoids. Cell Metab 2019;30:374-384.
- 58) Bell CC, Dankers ACA, Lauschke VM, Sison-Young R, Jenkins R, Rowe C, et al. Comparison of hepatic 2D sandwich cultures and 3D spheroids for long-term toxicity applications: a multicenter study. Toxicol Sci 2018;162:655-666.
- 59) Ramaiahgari SC, den Braver MW, Herpers B, Terpstra V, Commandeur JNM, van de Water B, et al. A 3D in vitro model of differentiated HepG2 cell spheroids with improved liver-like properties for repeated dose high-throughput toxicity studies. Arch Toxicol 2014;88:1083-1095.
- 60) Mueller D, Krämer L, Hoffmann E, Klein S, Noor F. 3D organotypic HepaRG cultures as in vitro model for acute and repeated dose toxicity studies. Toxicol In Vitro 2014;28:104-112.
- 61) Kim JH, Jang YJ, An SY, Son J, Lee J, Lee G, et al. Enhanced metabolizing activity of human ES cell–derived hepatocytes using a 3D culture system with repeated exposures to xenobiotics. Toxicol Sci 2016;149:269.
- 62) Gieseck RLIII, Hannan NRF, Bort R, Hanley NA, Drake RAL, Cameron GWW, et al. Maturation of induced pluripotent stem cell derived hepatocytes by 3D-culture. PLoS One 2014;9:e86372.
- 63) Sjogren A-KM, Liljevald M, Glinghammar B, Sagemark J, Li X-Q, Jonebring A, et al. Critical differences in toxicity mechanisms in induced pluripotent stem cell-derived hepatocytes, hepatic cell lines and primary hepatocytes. Arch Toxicol 2014;88:1427-1437.
- 64) Godoy P, Hewitt NJ, Albrecht U, Andersen ME, Ansari N, Bhattacharya S, et al. Recent advances in 2D and 3D in vitro systems using primary hepatocytes, alternative hepatocyte sources and non-parenchymal liver cells and their use in investigating mechanisms of hepatotoxicity, cell signaling and ADME. Arch Toxicol 2013;87:1315-1530.
- 65) Groothuis FA, Heringa MB, Nicol B, Hermens JLM, Blaauboer BJ, Kramer NI. Dose metric considerations in in vitro assays to improve quantitative in vitro-in vivo dose extrapolations. Toxicology 2015;332:30-40.
- 66) Underhill GH, Khetani SR. Advances in engineered human liver platforms for drug metabolism studies. Drug Metab Dispos 2018;46:1626-1637.
- 67) Figaro S, Pereira U, Rada H, Semenzato N, Pouchoulin D, Paullier P, et al. Optimizing the fluidized bed bioreactor as an external bioartificial liver. Int J Artif Organs. 2017;40:196-203.
- 68) Nibourg GA, Chamuleau RA, van Gulik TM, Hoekstra R. Proliferative human cell sources applied as biocomponent in bioartificial livers: a review. Expert Opin Biol Ther 2012;12:905-921.
- 69) **Takeishi K, Collin de l'Hortet A, Wang Y**, Handa K, Guzman-Lepe J, Matsubara K, et al. Assembly and function of a bioengineered human liver for transplantation generated solely from induced pluripotent stem cells. Cell Rep 2020;31:107711.
- 70) Ding Q, Cowan CA. Liver in a dish. Cell Res 2013;23:1242-1243.
- 71) Wang S, Wang X, Tan Z, Su Y, Liu J, Chang M, et al. Human ESC-derived expandable hepatic organoids enable therapeutic

liver repopulation and pathophysiological modeling of alcoholic liver injury. Cell Res 2019;29:1009-1026.

- 72) Hughes RD, Mitry RR, Dhawan A. Current status of hepatocyte transplantation. Transplantation 2012;93:342-347.
- 73) Yamashita T, Takayama K, Sakurai F, Mizuguchi H. Billionscale production of hepatocyte-like cells from human induced pluripotent stem cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2018;496:1269-1275.
- 74) Anderson TN, Zarrinpar A. Hepatocyte transplantation: past efforts, current technology, and future expansion of therapeutic potential. J Surg Res 2018;226:48-55.
- 75) Tatsumi K, Okano T. Hepatocyte transplantation: cell sheet technology for liver cell transplantation. Curr Transplant Rep 2017;4:184-192.
- 76) Blackford SJI, Ng SS, Segal JM, King AJF, Austin AL, Kent D, et al. Validation of current good manufacturing practice compliant human pluripotent stem cell–derived hepatocytes for cell-based therapy: validation of cGMP hPSCs for liver therapy. Stem Cells Transl Med 2019;8:124-137.
- 77) Jitraruch S, Dhawan A, Hughes RD, Filippi C, Soong D, Philippeos C, et al. Alginate microencapsulated hepatocytes optimised for transplantation in acute liver failure. PLoS One 2014;9:e113609.
- 78) Laurent LC, Ulitsky I, Slavin I, Tran H, Schork A, Morey R, et al. Dynamic changes in the copy number of pluripotency and cell proliferation genes in human ESCs and iPSCs during reprogramming and time in culture. Cell Stem Cell 2011;8:106-118.
- 79) Steichen C, Luce E, Maluenda J, Tosca L, Moreno-Gimeno I, Desterke C, et al. Messenger RNA– versus retrovirus-based induced pluripotent stem cell reprogramming strategies: analysis of genomic integrity. Stem Cells Transl Med 2014;3:686-691.
- Steichen C, Hannoun Z, Luce E, Hauet T, Dubart-Kupperschmitt
 A. Genomic integrity of human induced pluripotent stem cells:

reprogramming, differentiation and applications. World J Stem Cells 2019;11:729-747.

- 81) Takayama K, Akita N, Mimura N, Akahira R, Taniguchi Y, Ikeda M, et al. Generation of safe and therapeutically effective human induced pluripotent stem cell–derived hepatocyte-like cells for regenerative medicine. Hepatol Commun 2017;1:1058-1069.
- 82) de Almeida PE, Meyer EH, Kooreman NG, Diecke S, Dey D, Sanchez-Freire V, et al. Transplanted terminally differentiated induced pluripotent stem cells are accepted by immune mechanisms similar to self-tolerance. Nat Commun 2014;5:3903.
- 83) Liu X, Li W, Fu X, Xu Y. The immunogenicity and immune tolerance of pluripotent stem cell derivatives. Front Immunol 2017;8:645.
- 84) Mandai M, Watanabe A, Kurimoto Y, Hirami Y, Morinaga C, Daimon T, et al. Autologous induced stem-cell-derived retinal cells for macular degeneration. N Engl J Med 2017;376: 1038-1046.
- 85) Sugita S, Mandai M, Hirami Y, Takagi S, Maeda T, Fujihara M, et al. HLA-matched allogeneic iPS cells-derived RPE transplantation for macular degeneration. J Clin Med 2020;9:2217.
- 86) Subramanian K, Owens DJ, Raju R, Firpo M, O'Brien TD, Verfaillie CM, et al. Spheroid culture for enhanced differentiation of human embryonic stem cells to hepatocyte-like cells. Stem Cells Dev 2014;23:124-131.

Author names in bold designate shared co-first authorship.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found at onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/hep.31705/suppinfo.