

doi: 10.1093/omcr/omab025 Case Report

CASE REPORT

Cecum perforation due to a fish bone

Le Thanh Dung^{1,2,†}, Nguyen Minh Duc^{2,3,4,†,*}, Thieu-Thi Tra My², Le Tuan Linh², Vu Dang Luu^{2,5} and Pham Minh Thong^{2,5}

¹Department of Radiology, Viet Duc Hospital, Ha Noi, Vietnam, ²Department of Radiology, Hanoi Medical University, Ha Noi, Vietnam, ³Department of Radiology, Pham Ngoc Thach University of Medicine, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, ⁴Department of Radiology, Children's Hospital 2, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, ⁵Department of Radiology, Bach Mai Hospital, Ha Noi, Vietnam

*Corresponding address. Department of Radiology, Pham Ngoc Thach University of Medicine, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. Tel: +84902886899; Fax: +8402838650025; E-mail: bsnguyenminhduc@pnt.edu.vn

Abstract

Foreign body (FB) ingestion is a common condition encountered in clinical practice, especially among the pediatric age group; however, this occurrence is rare among adults. Some FBs can induce the perforation of the gastrointestinal tract, including fish bones, chicken bones and toothpicks. The ingestion of FBs is rarely associated with bowel perforation, and most FBs are passed spontaneously. The ingestion of sharp and pointed objects typically produces adverse events related to the upper gastrointestinal system, and FBs are rarely retained in the colon. Bowel perforation caused by the ingestion of FBs should be diagnosed and treated in a timely manner. Here, we present the unusual case of a 51-year-old male who presented to the emergency room with complaints of acute abdominal pain secondary to fish bone ingestion, which triggered cecum perforation.

INTRODUCTION

The ingestion of foreign bodies (FBs) is a common occurrence in emergency practice among both children and adults. Most FBs pass through the digestive tract, with < 1% of FBs associated with complications, particularly gastrointestinal perforations [1]. FB perforations often occur at points of acute angulation and narrowing bowel loops, such as the duodenal loop, duodenojejunal junction, ileocecal valve, appendix and sigma colon [2]. Fish bones are very commonly ingested FBs in areas where fish is a common diet component. The clinical symptoms of intestinal perforation are nonspecific. Intestinal perforation can be also misdiagnosed as acute appendicitis or acute diverticulitis [3]. FB ingestion may lead to severe complications such as bleeding, perforation, and these complications are well-correlated with the size, shape and location of the FB within the gastrointestinal tract. Patients with complications due to FBs can typically be managed through observation, surgical intervention

or endoscopy [1]. In this article, we describe a case of fish bone ingestion that resulted in cecum perforation.

CASE REPORT

A 51-year-old male presented with sudden-onset, right lower quadrant pain, with gradual exacerbation over 1 day. The patient complained of mild nausea, loose stools without hematochezia and a slightly elevated body temperature (38.5°C). No abnormalities were noted in the patient's medical history. On abdominal examination, the clinician noticed right lower abdominal tenderness and muscle tension, with normal bowel sounds. The laboratory results were as follows: increased white blood cell count (15 \times 109/l) and increased neutrophils (12 \times 109/l). Because the symptoms and physical examination were suspicious for appendicitis, an abdominal computed tomography (CT) scan was performed. The CT findings revealed a high-density FB inside the

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/
licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

[†]These authors contributed equally to this article as co-first authors.

Received: November 20, 2020. Revised: February 4, 2021. Accepted: March 12, 2021





Figure 1: Abdominal CT scanner showed a high-density FB intra-cecum (A, arrow), with fat stranding around the cecum. Foci of gas extra-cecum and near the FB were also observed (B, arrowhead).





Figure 2: The length of the high-density FB was ~30 mm (A). Laparoscopic cecectomy confirmed a fish bone, measuring ~30 mm, resulting in cecum perforation (B).

cecum (Fig. 1A). The mural of the cecum was thickened, with fat stranding. Foci of gas in the peritoneal cavity adjacent to the object was also observed (Fig. 1B), suggested that the FB had caused cecum perforation. The FB was sharp-pointed, with a density of 900 HU (Fig. 2A). The patient received an emergency operation, and a punctate perforation area was detected on the cecum. The patient was managed successfully with a cecectomy and the removal of the FB, which was confirmed to be a fish bone (30 mm in length) (Fig. 2B). The patient received antibiotic therapy and had no complications during the postoperative period. Upon further investigation, the patient reported eating fish 2 days before symptom onset, which supported the operative findings. The patient was discharged 3 days after surgery.

DISCUSSION

Gastrointestinal FBs are often introduced by ingestion, especially among children and older individuals. Patients with dentures, alcoholics and psychiatric patients are at high risk of FB ingestion [4]. The terminal ileum, ileocecal, the rectosigmoidal region and the cecum are common sites of FB retention in gastrointestinal tract due to the anatomical features at these locations [1]. Complications are often caused by sharp objects such as fish bones or toothpicks, resulting in a high risk of local injuries, including mucosal laceration and perforation. The clinical presentations can vary, and patients present with abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, fever, peritonitis, abscess, fistula, intestinal obstruction and gastrointestinal bleeding, associated with acute abdominal pain. Thus, FB-associated perforations can mimic other emergency conditions, including diverticulitis, appendicitis and gastric ulcer perforations [5]. Moreover, patients generally do not remember swallowing the FBs, which can result in delayed diagnosis or misdiagnosis [3]. Some FBs can become trapped, causing dangerous complications, such as the development of an abscess, an enteric fistula, intestinal obstruction, peritonitis and blood vessel complications [6, 8]. Some case reports have reported the migration of ingested FBs to the liver, urinary bladder or iliac artery, resulting in the formation of an

arterio-enteric fistula [6-8]. Plain radiographic studies can be used to investigate metallic objects and pneumo-peritoneum or bowel obstructions [4]. Although fish bones are radiopaque and generally visible on plain radiography; however, some of them that are low radiopaque and small dimensions, are invisible on plain film. The ultrasound has typically been used to diagnose non-radiopaque FBs, revealing intra-abdominal fluids, which can be useful for excluding other differential diagnoses. CT scans are also helpful for recognizing intestinal FBs and represents the best imaging modality for identifying radiopaque FBs. CT scans can also define the exact location of ingested objects and identify the development of complications [3]. Important signs of perforation on CT scans include fat stranding and thickening of affected bowel segment walls. Air bubbles can often be observed surrounding the perforation site [2]. FB management depends on the location within the digestive tract and the occurrence of other complications, such as perforation, hemorrhage, bleeding, and the involvement of other affected organs. When objects are small and do not injure the gastrointestinal tract, they can be observed and followed-up [5]. Endoscopy is also an effective method, especially for FBs in the upper gastrointestinal tract or during early stages [9]. Surgical interventions are necessary in complicated cases, even when the FB is located in the upper gastrointestinal tract [10].

In this case report, the patient suffered from acute, right lower quadrant abdominal pain and low-grade fever, which could be misdiagnosed as appendicitis, and his medical history was easily dismissed. Fortunately, the fish bone and bowel perforation were easy to detect on CT images. Surgery, in this case, was necessary due to the bowel perforation complication and the site of the fish bone, which was difficult to treat with endoscopy.

FBs typically present with non-specific symptoms that may mimic other acute abdominal pain-inducing complications. Intestinal perforations by fish bones are unusual, especially in the lower segments of the gastrointestinal tract. The clinical and radiological diagnosis of gastrointestinal perforations remains non-specific and challenging. This case indicated the important role played by CT scans for the determination of diagnosis and the identification of potential complications. The primary treatment is surgical intervention for the removal of foreign objects, although endoscopy can be useful when foreign objects are located in the upper gastrointestinal tract.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None to declare.

FUNDING

None.

ETHICS APPROVAL

Our institution does not require ethical approval for reporting individual cases or case series.

CONSENT

A written consent for publication has been obtained from the patient.

GUARANTOR

Faculty of Medicine, Department of Radiology, Hanoi Medical University, Vietnam.

ABBREVIATIONS

CT: computed tomography FB: foreign body

REFERENCES

- 1. Rodríguez-Hermosa JI, Codina-Cazador A, Sirvent JM, Martín A, Gironès J, Garsot E. Surgically treated perforations of the gastrointestinal tract caused by ingested foreign bodies: perforations by ingested foreign bodies. Colorectal Dis 2008;10:701-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2007.01401.x.
- 2. Kuzmich S, Burke CJ, Harvey CJ, Kuzmich T, Andrews J, Reading N, et al. Perforation of gastrointestinal tract by poorly conspicuous ingested foreign bodies: radiological diagnosis. Br J Radiol 2015;88:20150086. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20150086.
- 3. Nicolodi GC, Trippia CR, Caboclo MFFS, de FG C, Miller WP, de RR L, et al. Intestinal perforation by an ingested foreign body. Radiol Bras 2016;49:295-9. doi: 10.1590/0100-3984.2015.0127.

- 4. Bansod A, Mehsare P, Kolpakwar S, Jantli M, Laxminarayan L. Small bowel perforation secondary to unusual foreign body - a case report. Int Surg J 2016;3:2362-4. doi: 10.18203/2349-2902.isj20163637.
- 5. Gachabayov M, Isaev M, Orujova L, Isaev E, Yaskin E, Neronov D. Swallowed dentures: two cases and a review. Ann Med Surg 2015;4:407-13. doi: 10.1016/j.amsu.2015.10.008.
- 6. Kessler AT, Kourtis AP. Liver abscess due to Eikenella corrodens from a fishbone. N Engl J Med 2001;345:e5. doi: 10.1056/ENE-JMicm010433.
- 7. Cho M-K. Fish bone migration to the urinary bladder after rectosigmoid colon perforation. World J Gastroenterol 2014;20:7075-8. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i22.7075.
- 8. Shields HM, Scheid FJ, Pierce TT, Andersson KL, Conrad MF, Rosenthal MG, et al. Case 4-2019: an 18-year-old man with abdominal pain and Hematochezia. N Engl J Med 2019;380:473-85. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcpc1810391.
- Bandyopadhyay SN, Das S, Das SK, Mandal A. Impacted dentures in the oesophagus. J Laryngol Otol 2014;128:468-74. doi: 10.1017/S002221511400070X.
- 10. Ikenberry SO, Jue TL, Anderson MA, Appalaneni V, Banerjee S, Ben-Menachem T, et al. Management of ingested foreign bodies and food impactions. Gastrointest Endosc 2011;**73**:1085–91. doi: 10.1016/j.gie.2010.11.010.