

Cessation of Ciprofloxacin Prophylaxis in Hemato-Oncology Patients

To THE EDITOR—We read with interest the article by Satlin et al [1] and the accompanying editorial [2]. In September 2019, our tertiary stem-cell transplant center in London discontinued routine use of fluoroquinolone (ciprofloxacin) prophylaxis for inpatients anticipated to have prolonged neutropenia. The factors that prompted this change in practice were high rates of ciprofloxacin resistance in gram-negative bloodstream infections (BSIs) both locally (59%, and 75% in extended-spectrum beta-lactamase [ESBL]–producing organisms) and nationally [3], the detrimental impact of broad-spectrum antibiotics on the microbiome in transplant patients [4, 5], and regulatory alerts about disabling side effects [6].

To assess the impact of stopping ciprofloxacin prophylaxis in 2019, we

retrospectively analyzed all cases of *Escherichia coli* bacteremia between April 2017 and April 2021 in patients who received intensive chemotherapy or stem cell transplantation (Table 1). Fifty-nine patients were identified, mean age 51 years, 28.8% women, of whom 30 received ciprofloxacin prophylaxis and 29 did not. Seventeen of these patients had a transplant during their stay, 5 (17%) vs 2 (7%) autografts and 2 (7%) vs 8 (28%) allografts among those on ciprofloxacin vs no prophylaxis, respectively ($P = .063$). Five (16.6%) patients who received prophylaxis were admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) compared with 2 (6.9%) patients not on prophylaxis ($P = .424$). The proportion who survived at 7 and 30 days post-bacteremia onset was 93% and 87% in the prophylaxis group compared with 100% and 96.6% in the no prophylaxis group ($P = .611$). The mean (median) length of stay was 33.7 (30.5)

days for those who took ciprofloxacin and 35.5 (28) days for those who were not on prophylaxis ($P = .611$). Rates of ciprofloxacin-resistant *E. coli* bacteremia were 73% in the prophylaxis group and 29% in the no prophylaxis group ($P = .001$). ESBL resistance mechanisms were more frequent in the prophylaxis group (33%) than the no prophylaxis group (11%; $P = .057$). After controlling for year of admission and receipt of transplant this stay in logistic regression models, those who received prophylaxis were 5.29 times more likely to have ciprofloxacin resistant *E. coli* ($P = .037$; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.11–25.23) and 7.71 times more likely to have ESBL resistance mechanisms detected ($P = .055$; 95% CI, 1.04–57.0).

In summary, stopping ciprofloxacin prophylaxis resulted in significantly lower rates of antimicrobial resistance in *E. coli* isolates that cause BSI, with no significant

Table 1. Bivariate Associations Between Measures of Interest and Ciprofloxacin Prophylaxis Among Adult Hematology Admissions With *Escherichia coli* Bacteremia

	Total n (%), Mean (Median) N = 59	Ciprofloxacin Prophylaxis n (%), Mean (Median) N = 30	No Prophylaxis n (%), Mean (Median) N = 29	P Value
Age, years	51 (53)	54 (55)	48 (53)	.156 ^a
Female (vs male)	17 (28.8)	9 (30.0)	8 (27.6)	.838 ^b
Received transplant this treatment round				.063 ^c
No	42 (71.2)	23 (76.7)	19 (65.5)	
Autograft	7 (11.9)	5 (16.7)	2 (6.9)	
Allograft	10 (17.0)	2 (6.7)	8 (27.6)	
Admitted to intensive care unit	7 (11.9)	5 (16.7)	2 (6.9)	.424 ^c
Length of stay this admission, days	34.5 (28)	33.7 (30.5)	35.5 (28)	.611 ^a
Year of admission				<.001 ^c
2017	11 (18.6)	10 (90.9)	1 (9.1)	
2018	9 (15.3)	8 (88.9)	1 (11.1)	
2019	14 (23.7)	9 (64.3)	5 (35.7)	
2020	19 (32.2)	2 (10.5)	17 (89.5)	
2021	6 (10.2)	1 (16.7)	5 (83.3)	
7-day survival post-bacteremia	57 (96.6)	28 (93.3)	29 (100)	.492 ^c
30-day survival post-bacteremia	54 (93.1)	26 (89.7)	28 (96.6)	.611 ^c
Ciprofloxacin resistant <i>Escherichia coli</i>	30 (50.9)	22 (73.3)	8 (27.6)	.001 ^b
Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase–producing <i>E. coli</i>	13 (22.0)	10 (33.3)	3 (10.3)	.057 ^c

^aWilcoxon rank sum test.

^b χ^2 test.

^cFisher exact test.

increase in mortality, ICU admissions, or length of stay. The sample size was relatively small and focused on a single pathogen but supports the move away from universal fluoroquinolone prophylaxis. We are performing a prospective audit to assess the impact of cessation of antibacterial prophylaxis on the incidence and outcomes of all BSIs, and on the amount and spectrum of antimicrobial use.

In the words of the editorial [2], “the elephant in the room” is indeed the routine use of fluoroquinolone prophylaxis in hemato-oncology. Previous randomized, controlled trials that examined antibacterial prophylaxis in patients with cancer reported reductions in episodes of fever but not mortality[7, 8]. We posit that cessation of universal fluoroquinolone prophylaxis may lead to more febrile episodes but will result in greater diagnostic yield from blood cultures, less resistant isolates, and no increase in mortality or ICU admission.

Note

Potential conflicts of interest. A. B. reports travel support from Gilead. S. M. reports payment/honoraria for educational activities from Janssen, travel support from Gilead, and participation on a Data and Safety Monitoring Board/advisory board for Bayer. L. N. D. reports support from Medical Research Council, National Institute for Health Research, and ViiV for other studies, paid to their institution, and served as chair of the Central Cambridge

Research Ethics Committee for Health Research Authority for work unrelated to this study. L. E. reports honorarium from Wolters-Kluwer. S. G. A. and F. G. J.-E. report institutional grants from Pfizer Ltd; consulting fees from Mundipharma; payment/honoraria for education activities from Pfizer Ltd, Gilead Science Ltd, Shionogi, and AbbVie; travel support from AbbVie; and participation on an advisory board for Shionogi and Mundipharma. All other authors report no potential conflicts. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Louise Caldwell,¹ Anjaneya Bapat,^{2,3}
Lydia N. Drumright,⁴ Jonathan Lambourne,²
Fergus G. Jimenez-England,² James Aries,¹
Lydia Eccersley,¹ Simon Hallam,¹ Silvia Montoto,¹
Heather Oakervee,¹ John Riches,¹ and Samir G. Agrawal^{1,2}

¹Department of Haemato-Oncology, St Bartholomew's Hospital, London, United Kingdom; ²Department of Infectious Diseases & Microbiology, St Bartholomew's Hospital, London, United Kingdom; ³Blizard Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom; and ⁴University of Washington, School of Medicine, Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Harborview Medical Center, Seattle, Washington, USA

References

1. Satlin MJ, Chen L, Douglass C, et al. Colonization with fluoroquinolone-resistant enterobacteriales decreases the effectiveness of fluoroquinolone prophylaxis in hematopoietic cell, transplant recipients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021; 73:1257–65.
2. Pergam SA, Dadwal SS. Can a simple stool swab predict bacteremia in high-risk hematopoietic cell transplant recipients? *Clin Infect Dis* 2021; 73:1266–7.
3. Schelenz S, Nwaka D, Hunter PR. Longitudinal surveillance of bacteraemia in haematology and oncology patients at a UK cancer centre and the impact of ciprofloxacin use on antimicrobial resistance. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2013; 68:1431–8.

4. Jeng RR, Taur Y, Devlin SM, et al. Intestinal blautia is associated with reduced death from graft-versus-host disease. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2015; 21:1373–83.
5. Taur Y, Xavier JB, Lipuma L, et al. Intestinal domination and the risk of bacteremia in patients undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; 55:905–14.
6. US Food and Drug Administration. FDA Drug Safety Communication: FDA updates warnings for oral and injectable fluoroquinolone antibiotics due to disabling side effects. Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-drug-safety-communication-fda-updates-warnings-oral-and-injectable-fluoroquinolone-antibiotics>. Accessed 22 November 2021.
7. Bucaneve G, Micozzi A, Menichetti F, et al. Gruppo Italiano Malattie Ematologiche dell'Adulto (GIMEMA) Infection Program. Levofloxacin to prevent bacterial infection in patients with cancer and neutropenia. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353:977–87.
8. Cullen M, Steven N, Billingham L, et al. Simple Investigation in Neutropenic Individuals of the Frequency of Infection after Chemotherapy +/- Antibiotic in a Number of Tumours (SIGNIFICANT) Trial Group. Antibacterial prophylaxis after chemotherapy for solid tumors and lymphomas. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353:988–98.

Correspondence: S. Agrawal, Department of Haemato-Oncology, St Bartholomew's Hospital, London, UK; Blizard Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK (s.g.agrawal@qmul.ac.uk).

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2022;75(1):178–9

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab1000>