Research Article

Anti-Müllerian Hormone as a Sensitive Marker of Ovarian Function in Young Cancer Survivors

Maryna Krawczuk-Rybak,¹ Elzbieta Leszczynska,¹ Marta Poznanska,¹ Beata Zelazowska-Rutkowska,² and Jolanta Wysocka²

¹ Department of Pediatric Oncology and Hematology, Medical University of Bialystok, Waszyngtona 17, 15-274 Bialystok, Poland ² Department of Pediatric Laboratory Diagnostics, Medical University of Bialystok, Poland

Correspondence should be addressed to Maryna Krawczuk-Rybak; rybak@umb.edu.pl

Received 15 April 2013; Accepted 7 October 2013

Academic Editor: Kai J. Buhling

Copyright © 2013 Maryna Krawczuk-Rybak et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We evaluated ovarian function by measuring the levels of anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH), estradiol, and gonadotropins in 83 young women treated for cancer during childhood and adolescence, and classified according to post-treatment gonadal toxicity versus 38 healthy females. *Results*. The mean AMH values were lower in the entire cohort independently of the risk group as compared to the control, whereas FSH was elevated only in the high risk group. The lowest AMH values were noted in patients after bone marrow transplantation (BMT) and those treated for Hodgkin lymphoma (HL). Nineteen patients (22.9%) had elevated FSH. They all had low AMH values. Lowered AMH values (but with normal FSH and LH) were observed in 43 patients (51.8%). There was no effect of age at the time of treatment (before puberty, during or after puberty) on AMH levels. *Conclusion*. Our results show the utility of AMH measurement as a sensitive marker of a reduced ovarian reserve in young cancer survivors. Patients after BMT and patients treated for HL, independently of age at treatment (prepuberty or puberty), are at the highest risk of gonadal damage and early menopause.

1. Introduction

The use of combined chemo- and radiotherapy for childhood cancer treatment has led to an increased survival rate and posed new challenges concerning health problems, organ damage, and quality of life after anticancer therapy. The function of different tissues and organs may be differently impaired by aggressive therapy. Gonads are particularly exposed to the deteriorating effects of certain chemotherapeutics and radiotherapy; on the other hand, when survivors reach adulthood, they wish to have their own biological children [1–3]. Fertility after anticancer therapy is a very important problem known by oncologists and endocrinologists as well as by cancer survivors themselves.

In women, gonadotoxic therapy damages the primordial follicles in the ovaries, which can lead to premature menopause. Very aggressive therapy such as myeloablative therapy prior to bone marrow transplantation (BMT) or surgery/ ovariectomy can lead to total sterility, whereas indirect irradiation of the ovaries and chemotherapy can result in a lowered ovarian reserve [4-6].

In the last years, the measurement of anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) has been used as an informative marker of the ovarian reserve. AMH is a product of granulosa cells of preantral and early antral follicles, capable of growing. In healthy women, AMH measurement is useful for the determination of the reproductive life span and the time of future menopause [7, 8].

The aim of our study was to determine the ovarian reserve in young women after anticancer treatment during childhood and adolescence, using the protocols with different degrees of gonadotoxicity.

2. Patients and Methods

We recruited 83 young women, cancer survivors, from the Department of Pediatric Oncology and Hematology (outpatient clinic), Medical University of Bialystok. At diagnosis, they were from 0.9 to 17.8 years old ($x = 10.5 \pm 5.21$), and, at examination, they were 18.78 ± 4.98 years old. They had been treated for Hodgkin lymphoma, HL (n = 22), Wilms tumor (n = 11), soft tissue sarcoma, STS (n = 7), neuroblastoma (n = 2) germinal tumors (n = 7), acute myeloblastic leukemia (n = 4), acute lymphoblastic leukemia (n = 22) chronic myeloblastic leukemia (n = 2), and non-Hodgkin lymphoma (n = 6). They were all treated according to international protocols; 20 received irradiation for the infradiaphragmatic area (10 with HL), irradiation for the central nervous system (CNS)—9 patients with leukemia, 6 received bone marrow transplantation (1—total body irradiation, TBI—12 Gy).

All patients were classified according to a possible degree of gonadotoxicity proposed by Wallace et al.; the risk of disturbed fertility or infertility depends on diagnosis, stage, and type of treatment (alkylating agents, radiotherapy to the pelvis/ovaries). The probability of infertility in the low risk group is less than 20% and in the medium risk group between 20 and 80%, whereas, in the high risk group, is greater than 80%.

At diagnosis, forty-five patients were in Tanner stage T1-2, n = 5 in T3, and n = 33 in T4-5. On examination, one female presented with primary amenorrhea, 65 had normal, regular menses, and 17 had irregular menses or oligomenorrhea (11 were classified as high risk group, 2 as low risk, and 4 as middle risk group). Six patients have their biological children (five treated for HL, one treated for non-Hodgkin lymphoma, NHL).

Details concerning diagnosis, age at the time of therapy, type of therapy, the interval between the end of therapy, and measurements of hormone levels are presented in Table 1, taking into consideration the risk groups proposed by Brougham and Wallace [9].

Control group was composed of 38 healthy females aged 20.68 ± 4.34 .

3. Hormone Level Measurements

Serum concentrations of FSH, LH, and E2 were measured in the same laboratory using the commercially available immunoenzymatic kits; serum AMH levels were determined with the EIA AMH/MIS kit (Immunotech, Beckman Coulter Company/Marseille, France). All hormonal measurements were performed in the early follicular phase (2–4 days of menstrual cycle) and stored at -80° C.

The study was approved by the local Medical Ethics Committee. Hormone measurements were made after an informed consent was obtained from the patients.

4. Results

We found higher FSH and lower AMH levels in the entire group as compared to the control group (P = 0.001; P = 0.001, resp.), whereas the mean levels of estradiol and LH were normal. When the study group was subdivided according to the risk of gonadotoxicity, the levels of FSH were elevated only in the high risk group (18.11 ± 28.7 mIU/mL versus 5.36 ± 1.89 mIU/mL, P = 0.005), whereas, in the middle and low risk groups, they were comparable with the control group. AMH values were lower than those in the control group in all the three risk groups (HR group 14.14 \pm 13.26 pmol/L (P = 0.001); MR group 14.82 \pm 16.2 pmol/L (P = 0.019); LR group 19.44 \pm 13.96 pmol/L (P = 0.053)). Mean serum LH and estradiol values did not differ between the risk groups and control (see Table 2).

The HR group was analyzed separately: patients diagnosed with HL irradiated and nonirradiated for the infradiaphragmatic region, patients treated for solid tumors with radiation to the infradiaphragmatic area, and patients after bone marrow transplantation. In these subgroups, AMH values were lower than those in the control group, being the lowest in patients after bone marrow transplantation ($3.37 \pm 2.32 \text{ pmol/mL}$). FSH levels were the highest in females after BMT ($42.55 \pm 26.55 \text{ mIU/mL}$) and elevated in females treated for HL with inverted Y irradiation. The values of LH and estradiol did not differ between the HR group and control (except the patients after BMT) (see Table 3).

There were 19 females (22.9%) in the study group with elevated FSH levels (>10 mIU/mL), AMH lower than 12.5 pmol/L, and normal LH levels; 12/19 derived from the HR group. They all presented low AMH values. Lowered AMH levels (yet with normal FSH and LH) were observed in 43 patients (51.8%).

We found no influence of age at the time of treatment (before puberty, during or after puberty), although AMH was lower in patients treated after puberty $(13.04 \pm 12.06 \text{ pmol/L})$ than during puberty $(15.43 \pm 13.65 \text{ pmol/L})$ and before puberty $(18.52 \pm 14.93 \text{ pmol/L})$.

5. Discussion

Combined anticancer treatment has improved the prognosis for young patients and at the same time has enabled us to recognize different late effects of the treatment. Diminished fertility or infertility and early menopause are the major side effects lowering life quality among cancer survivors. According to Childhood Cancer Survivor Study (CCSS), premature menopause occurs in 8% survivors and depends on age, dose of irradiation to the ovaries, and cumulative dose of alkylating agents [10]. Brougham and Wallace classified the most common cancers treated during childhood and adolescence according to the risk of subfertility resulting from gonadotoxicity. The high risk group includes patients after TBI, megachemotherapy, tumors located in pelvis and irradiated, metastatic soft tissue sarcomas, and Hodgkin lymphomas treated with alkylating agents. The risk of impaired fertility in this group is more than 80%, as compared to less than 20% in the low risk group [9]. Our knowledge concerning the toxicity of anticancer treatment enlarges, and treatment protocols change, not only for better outcome and improved survival but also for a reduction in side effects. We categorized our patients according to the type of malignancy and treatment, mainly the area of radiotherapy and total dose of alkylating agents.

To evaluate ovarian function we analyzed the levels of FSH, LH, estradiol, and AMH. In males, it is easier to evaluate

DiagnosisnAge at exam (r) diagnosis (r)Time of Age at exam (r)Time of cannot (reatment (r))Chemotherapy (does of consolvoix consolvoix consolvoix consolvoix consolvoix consolvoix consolvoixRadiotherapyHR2215.2 ± 2.621.35 ± 4.46.03 ± 3.85Supradiaphragm 20 Gy Infradiaphragm 20 Gy Infradiaphragm 15 GyIIIA73 × MVPP + 3 × B-DOPA Dacathazhne 900 mg/m² Procarbarie 3000 mg/m² Procarbarie 1200 mg/m² Procarbarie							
HR 38 HL 22 15.2 ± 2.6 21.35 ± 4.4 6.03 ± 3.8 $3 \times MVPP + 3 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 3 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 3 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 3 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 3 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 3 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 4 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 4 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 4 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 4 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 4 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 4 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 4 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 4 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 4 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 4 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 4 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 4 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 4 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MVPP + 4 \times B - DOPA$ $3 \times MV + M + DPA + MV + M + M + M + MV + MV + MV + MV +$	Diagnosis	п	Age at diagnosis (y)	Age at exam (y)	Time off treatment (y)	Chemotherapy (doses of gonadotoxic chemotherapeutics)	Radiotherapy
HL 22 15.2 ± 2.6 21.35 ± 4.4 6.03 ± 3.8 MUPP + 3 × B-DOPA Dacarbazine 900 mg/m² Procarbazine 900 mg/m² Nitrogen mustard 3 500 mg/m² Nitrogen mustard 3 500 mg/m² Nitrogen mustard 3 500 mg/m² Miradaphragm 15 Gy Supradiaphragm 20 Gy Infradiaphragm 20 Gy Infradiaphragm 15 Gy IIIB 7 - - Supradiaphragm 20 Gy Infradiaphragm 20 Gy Infradiaphragm 15 Gy BMT 6 - - Supradiaphragm 20 Gy Infradiaphragm 15 Gy SMT 6 - - Supradiaphragm 20 Gy Infradiaphragm 15 Gy SMT 6 - - Supradiaphragm 20 Gy Infradiaphragm 15 Gy SMT 2 - - Supradiaphragm 20 Gy (1 pl) Infradiaphragm 10 Gy (1 pl) ALL 2 - - - STS II/III 1 - - - NHL III 1 - - - MR 2 804 ± 5.5 18.36 ± 5.7 8.7 ± 4.4 - MIR 2 804 ± 5.5 18.36 ± 5.7 8.7 ± 4.4 - MIR 12 8.04 ± 5.5 18.36 ± 5.7 8.7 ± 4.4 - MIR 2 - - - <td>HR</td> <td>38</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>* *</td> <td></td>	HR	38				* *	
HA, IIBI2ISave MVPP + 3 × B-DOPA Procarbazine 900 mg/n² Nitrogen mustard 36 mg/m² Nitrogen mustard 36 mg/m² Nitrogen mustard 36 mg/m²Supradiaphragm 20 Gy Infradiaphragm 15 GyIIIA7 $3 × MVPP + 3 × B-DOPANitrogen mustard 36 mg/m²Pacarbazine 1200 mg/m²Nitrogen mustard 48 mg/m²Busuphane 3 × 16 mg/kg/daySupradiaphragm 20 GyInfradiaphragm 15 GyIIIB33 × MVPP + 4 × B-DOPAPacarbazine 4000 mg/m²Nitrogen mustard 48 mg/m²Busuphane 3 × 16 mg/kg/daySupradiaphragm 20 GyInfradiaphragm 15 GyBMT6V > 0 / 2 / kg/dayTBI 12 Gy (1 pt)ALL2V > 0 / kg/dayTBI 12 Gy (1 pt)STS II/III3V = V > V > 0 / kg/daySupradiaphragm 10 Gy (1 pt)MR1VV = V > 0 / kg/dayInfradiaphragm 15 Gy(Actinomycin D, vincristine)Dacarbazine 4000 mg/m²(Actinomycin D, vincristine)Dacarbazine 225 g/m²Infradiaphragm 10 Gy (1 pt)MR128.04 \pm 5.518.36 \pm 5.78.7 \pm 4.4MII-MR128.04 \pm 5.518.36 \pm 5.78.7 \pm 4.4MII-MR128.04 \pm 5.518.36 \pm 5.78.7 \pm 4.4MII-MR128.04 \pm 5.518.36 \pm 5.78.7 \pm 4.4MII-MR2V = V = V = V = V = V = V = V = V = V =$	HL	22	15.2 ± 2.6	21.35 ± 4.4	6.03 ± 3.8		
IIIA7 $3 \times MVPP + 3 \times B-DOPA$ Supradiaphragm 15 GyIIIB3 $4 \times MVPP + 4 \times B-DOPA$ Supradiaphragm 20 GyIIIB3 $4 \times MVPP + 4 \times B-DOPA$ Supradiaphragm 20 GyBMT6 $2 \times Barghardamaga 20 Gy$ Infradiaphragm 20 GyBMT2 $2 \times Barghardamaga 20 Gy$ Infradiaphragm 20 GyALL2 $2 \times Barghardamaga 20 Gy$ Infradiaphragm 20 GySTS II/III3 $2 \times Barghardamaga 20 Gy (1pt)$ Infradiaphragm 20 Gy (1pt)NHL III1 $2 \times Barghardamaga 20 Gy (1pt)$ Infradiaphragm 20 Gy (1pt)NHL III1 $2 \times Barghardamaga 20 Gy (1pt)$ Infradiaphragm 20 Gy (1pt)NHL III1 $2 \times Barghardamaga 20 Gy (1pt)$ Infradiaphragm 20 Gy (1pt)III-5 $2 \times Barghardamaga 20 Gy (1pt)$ Infradiaphragm 20 Gy (1pt)III-5 $2 \times Barghardamaga 20 Gy (1pt)$ Infradiaphragm 20 Gy (1pt)III-5 $2 \times Barghardamaga 20 Gy (2pt) Spandide 5 g/m²Infradiaphragm 15 GyMR128.04 \pm 5.58.36 \pm 5.78.7 \pm 4.4AML22 \times Barghardamaga 20 Gy (2W arghardamaga 20 Gy (2W arg$	IIA, IIB	12				$3 \times MVPP + 3 \times B$ -DOPA Dacarbazine 900 mg/m ² Procarbazine 3000 mg/m ² Nitrogen mustard 36 mg/m ²	Supradiaphragm 20 Gy
IIIB34 × M-VPP + 4 × B-DORA Dacabazine 1200 mg/m² Nitrogen mustard 48 mg/m² Burlandaphragm 15 Gy Nitrogen mustard 48 mg/m² Burlandaphragm 15 Gy Infradiaphragm 15 Gy Infradiaphragm 15 Gy Infradiaphragm 10 Gy Infradiaphragm 15 GyBMT6CWS 90 Infosfamide 375 g/m² Cyclophosphamide 5 g/m²TBI 12 Gy (1 pt)STS II/III3CWS 90 Infradiaphragm 20 Gy (1 pt) (Actinomycin D, vincristine) Dacabazine 2.55 g/m² (Actinomycin D, vincristine) Displaying 20 Gy (1 pt)Supradiaphragm 20 Gy (1 pt) Infradiaphragm 20 Gy (1 pt) Infradiaphragm 20 Gy (1 pt)NHL III1CWS 90 (Actinomycin D, vincristine) Dacabazine 2.55 g/m² (Cyclophosphamide 5 8 g/m² SIOP III-5Supradiaphragm 15 Gy Infradiaphragm 15 Gy Infradiaphragm 15 Gy Infradiaphragm 15 Gy Infradiaphragm 15 Gy Infradiaphragm 20 Gy (1 pt)MR128.04 \pm 5.18.36 \pm 5.78.7 \pm 4.4AML2CMS 90 (Gy-2 pts) Infosfamide 375 g/m²-1 pt 42 g/m²-1 pt 48 g/m²-1 pt 48 g/m²-1 ptCNS-18 Gy CNS-18 Gy CNS-18 Gy CNS-18 Gy 	IIIA	7				$3 \times MVPP + 3 \times B$ -DOPA	Supradiaphragm 20 Gy Infradiaphragm 15 Gy
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	IIIB BMT	3				$4 \times MVPP + 4 \times B$ -DOPA Dacarbazine 1200 mg/m ² Procarbazine 4000 mg/m ² Nitrogen mustard 48 mg/m ² Busulphane 3×16 mg/kg/day Cyclophosphamide	Supradiaphragm 20 Gy Infradiaphragm 15 Gy
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	DIVIT	Ū				4×0.2 g/kg/day	
AML2TBI 12 Gy (1 pt)ALL2CWS 90STS II/III3CWS 90STS II/III3CWS 90Infradiaphragm (49.6 Gy-1 pt, 25 Gy-1 pt)Infradiaphragm (49.6 Gy-1 pt, 25 Gy-1 pt)NHL III1Cyclophosphamide 5 g/m²Wilms tumor6SIOPIII-5Infradiaphragm 15 GyV-1Infradiaphragm 15 GyMR12 8.04 ± 5.5 AML2Socy-1 ptMR12 8.04 ± 5.5 AML2CWS 96Informide 37.5 g/m²CNS-18 GyGerminal tumors7Socy-1 ptALL22Socy-1 ptALL22Socy-1 ptALL22Socy-1 ptALL23CNS-18 GyCVsophosphamide 37.5 g/m²CNS-18 GyCWS 96CNS-18 GyCWS 96Socy-1 ptALL2Socy-1 ptALLSocy-1 ptALLSocy-2 ptsSocy-1 ptSocy-2 ptsSocy-1 ptSocy-2 ptsCocy-1 ptSocy-2 ptsCocy-1 ptSocy-2 ptsCocy-1 ptSocy-2 ptsCocy-	CML	2					
ALL2STS II/III3CWS 90 Ifosfamide 37.5 g/m2 (Actinomycin D, vincristine) Dacarbazine 2.25 g/m2 Cyclophosphamide 5.8 g/m2 SIOP Ifosfamide 36, actinomycin D, vincristine and epirubicine) III-5 V-1Supradiaphagm 20 Gy (1 pt) Infradiaphragm (Actinomycin D, vincristine) and vincristine 40 Gy-2 pts 20 Gy-2 pts Ifosfamide 36, actinomycin D, 25 Gy-1 pt 20 Gy-2 pts Ifosfamide 36, actinomycin D, 25 Gy-1 pt 40 Gy-2 pts 20 Gy-2 pts Ifosfamide 36, actinomycin D, 25 Gy-1 pt 40 Gy-2 pts 20 Gy-2 ptsMR128.04 \pm 5.518.36 \pm 5.78.7 \pm 4.4AML2AML-BFM 90 Cytarabine 44.7 g/m2 CWS 96CNS-18 Gy 	AML	2					TBI 12 Gy (1 pt)
STS II/III333	ALL	2					
NHL III1LMB $Cyclophosphamide 5.8 g/m^2$ Infradiaphragm 15 GyWilms tumor6SIOP $(Actinomycin D, vincristine, and epirubicine)15 \text{ Gy} - 2 \text{ pts}20 \text{ Gy} - 2 \text{ pts}40 \text{ Gy} - 1 \text{ pt}MR128.04 \pm 5.518.36 \pm 5.78.7 \pm 4.4AML2X = 1AML - BFM 90Cytarabine 44.7 g/m^2CWS 96CNS - 18 \text{ Gy}CWS 96STS II3X = 1AML - BFM 90Cytarabine 44.7 g/m^2CWS 96CNS - 18 \text{ Gy}Cytarabine 44.7 g/m^2CWS 96NBL II2X = 1BFM 90Cyclophosphamide 3 g/m^2 - 1 \text{ pt}48 g/m^2 - 1 \text{ pt}48 g/m^2 - 1 \text{ pt}BFM 95Cyclophosphamide 3 g/m^2-LR337.8 \pm 5.317.25 \pm 5.09.0 \pm 4.8CNS 12 \text{ Gy} - 5 \text{ pts}Cyclophosphamide -3.0 g/m^2ML22X = 1BFM 90Cyclophosphamide -3.0 g/m^2CNS 18 \text{ Gy} - 2 \text{ pts}Without cht -4Germinal tumors7X = 1X = 1Cytiophosphamide, andetoposite)Unilateral ovariectomy (7)etioparticition$	STS II/III	3				CWS 90 Ifosfamide 37.5 g/m ² (Actinomycin D, vincristine) Dacarbazine 2.25 g/m ² Cyclophosphamide 5 g/m ²	Supradiaphagm 20 Gy (1 pt) Infradiaphragm (49.6 Gy—1 pt, 25 Gy—1 pt)
Wilms tumor6Infradiaphagm (Actinomycin D, vincristine, and epirubicine) 25 Gy-2 pts 20 Gy-2 pts 25 Gy-1 pt and vincristineInfradiaphagm 15 Gy-2 pts 20 Gy-2 pts 25 Gy-1 pt and vincristineMR12 8.04 ± 5.5 18.36 ± 5.7 8.7 ± 4.4 AML—BFM 90 Cytarabine 44.7 g/m^2 CWS 96CNS-18 Gy Supradiaphragm 20 GySTS II3 $ 40 \text{ Gy-1 pt}$ 48 g/m ² -1 pt 48 g/m ² -1 pt 48 g/m ² -1 pt BFM 95Supradiaphragm 20 GyNBL II2 $ -$ NBL II5 $ -$ LR33 7.8 ± 5.3 17.25 ± 5.0 9.0 ± 4.8 ALL22 $ -$ Germinal tumors7 $ -$ Wilms tumor5 $ -$ Wilms tumor5 $ -$ Wilms tumor5 $ -$ MR5 $ -$ MR $ -$ MI $ -$	NHL III	1				LMB Cyclophosphamide 5.8 g/m ²	Infradiaphragm 15 Gy
III-5 V-1 Ifosfamide 36, actinomycin D, and vincristine $25 \text{ Gy}-1 \text{ pt}$ 40 Gy-1 pt MR 12 8.04 ± 5.5 18.36 ± 5.7 8.7 ± 4.4 AML 2 $CWS 96$ CNS-18 Gy STS II 3 $CWS 96$ Ifosfamide $37.5 \text{ g/m}^2 - 1 \text{ pt}$ $42 \text{ g/m}^2 - 1 \text{ pt}$ $48 \text{ g/m}^2 - 1 \text{ pt}$ $BFM 95$ Supradiaphragm 20 Gy NBL II 2 $CVS 96$ $-$ NHL II 5 $Cyclophosphamide 3 \text{ g/m}^2$ $-$ IR 33 7.8 ± 5.3 17.25 ± 5.0 9.0 ± 4.8 $CNS 12 \text{ Gy}-5 \text{ pts}$ $Cyclophosphamide -3.0 \text{ g/m}^2$ ALL 22 $CYS 12 \text{ Gy}-5 \text{ pts}$ Cyclophosphamide, andetoposide) $CNS 18 Gy-2 pts$ Without cht-4 TGM 95 (Cisplatin, ifosfamide, and etoposide) Unilateral ovariectomy (7)	Wilms tumor	6				SIOP (Actinomycin D, vincristine, and epirubicine)	Infradiaphagm 15 Gy—2 pts 20 Gy—2 pts
V-1 and vnichstille 40 Gy1 pt MR 12 8.04 ± 5.5 18.36 ± 5.7 8.7 ± 4.4 AML 2 AML AML-BFM 90 Cytarabine 44.7 g/m^2 CNS-18 Gy STS II 3 CWS 96 Ifosfamide $37.5 \text{ g/m}^2-1\text{ pt}$ $42 \text{ g/m}^2-1\text{ pt}$ $48 \text{ g/m}^2-1\text{ pt}$ Supradiaphragm 20 Gy NBL II 2 PACE Cisplatin-2 g/m ² - NHL II 5 CV/clophosphamide 3 g/m^2 - IR 33 7.8 ± 5.3 17.25 ± 5.0 9.0 ± 4.8 ALL 22 SFM 90 Cyclophosphamide-3.0 g/m ² CNS 12 Gy-5 pts CNS 18 Gy-2 pts Germinal tumors 7 Germinal tumors 7 Actionwright D. vincristing	III-5					Ifosfamide 36, actinomycin D,	25 Gy—1 pt
MR12 8.04 ± 5.5 18.36 ± 5.7 8.7 ± 4.4 AML2AML—BFM 90 Cytarabine 44.7 g/m^2 CNS—18 GySTS II3Growth and the state of the s	V-1	12	0.04 + 5 5	10.26 + 5.7	07.44	and vincristine	40 Gy—1 pt
AML2AML—BFM 90 $Cytarabine 44.7 g/m^2$ CNS—18 GySTS II3Ifosfamide 37.5 g/m^2-1 pt $42 g/m^2-1 pt$ Supradiaphragm 20 GyNBL II2PACE $Cyclophosphamide 3 g/m^2$ -NHL II5Cyclophosphamide 3 g/m^2 $Ifosfamide 8 g/m^2$ -LR337.8 ± 5.317.25 ± 5.09.0 ± 4.8ALL22SFM 90 $Cyclophosphamide-3.0 g/m^2$ CNS 12 Gy-5 pts CNS 18 Gy-2 pts Without cht-4 TGM 95 (Cisplatin, ifosfamide, and etoposide)CNS 12 Gy-5 pts CNS 18 Gy-2 pts	MR	12	8.04 ± 5.5	18.30 ± 5.7	8.7 ± 4.4		
STS II3If of MU If osfamide $37.5 \text{ g/m}^2 - 1 \text{ pt}$ $42 \text{ g/m}^2 - 1 \text{ pt}$ $48 \text{ g/m}^2 - 1 \text{ pt}$ PACE Cisplatin -2 g/m^2 Supradiaphragm 20 GyNBL II2PACE Cisplatin -2 g/m^2 -NHL II5Cyclophosphamide 3 g/m^2 -LR33 7.8 ± 5.3 17.25 ± 5.0 9.0 ± 4.8 EFM 90 Cyclophosphamide -3.0 g/m^2 CNS 12 Gy—5 pts CNS 18 Gy—2 ptsALL22Serminal tumors7CNS 12 Gy—5 pts Cyclophosphamide, and etoposide)Unilateral ovariectomy (7) cisplatin, if osfamide, and etoposide)	AML	2				AML—BFM 90 Cytarabine 44.7 g/m ² CWS 96	CNS—18 Gy
NBL II2PACE Cisplatin—2 g/m²—NHL II5 $BFM 95$ Cyclophosphamide 3 g/m²—LR33 7.8 ± 5.3 17.25 ± 5.0 9.0 ± 4.8 ALL22 $BFM 90$ Cyclophosphamide—3.0 g/m² $CNS 12 Gy=5 pts$ Cyclophosphamide—3.0 g/m²Germinal tumors7 $TGM 95$ (Cisplatin, ifosfamide, and etoposide)Unilateral ovariectomy (7)	STS II	3				If osfamide 37.5 g/m^2 —1 pt 42 g/m ² —1 pt 48 g/m ² —1 pt	Supradiaphragm 20 Gy
NHL II 5 Cyclophosphamide 3 g/m ² - LR 33 7.8 ± 5.3 17.25 ± 5.0 9.0 ± 4.8 ALL 22 BFM 90 CNS 12 Gy—5 pts Germinal tumors 7 Cyclophosphamide—3.0 g/m ² CNS 18 Gy—2 pts Wilms tumor II 5 Actinopycin D. vincristing Vincristing	NBL II	2				PACE Cisplatin—2 g/m ² BEM 95	_
LR33 7.8 ± 5.3 17.25 ± 5.0 9.0 ± 4.8 ALL22BFM 90CNS 12 Gy—5 ptsGerminal tumors7CNS 18 Gy—2 ptsWilms tumor II5Actinophysica D vincristing	NHL II	5				Cyclophosphamide 3 g/m ² Ifosfamide 8 g/m ²	_
ALL 22 BFM 90 CNS 12 Gy—5 pts Germinal tumors 7 Cyclophosphamide—3.0 g/m ² CNS 18 Gy—2 pts Wilms tumor II 5 Actinophysica D vincristing Unilateral ovariectomy (7)	LR	33	7.8 ± 5.3	17.25 ± 5.0	9.0 ± 4.8		
Germinal tumors 7 Without cht—4 TGM 95 Unilateral ovariectomy (7) (Cisplatin, ifosfamide, and etoposide) Unilateral ovariectomy (7)	ALL	22				BFM 90 Cyclophosphamide—3.0 g/m ²	CNS 12 Gy—5 pts CNS 18 Gy—2 pts
Wilms tumor II 5 Actinomycin D. vincristing	Germinal tumors	7				Without cht—4 TGM 95 (Cisplatin, ifosfamide, and etoposide)	Unilateral ovariectomy (7)
Actionity in D, vincristine	Wilms tumor II	5				Actinomycin D, vincristine	

TABLE 1: Characteristic of patients classified according to different risk groups and related treatment.

HR: high risk group, MR: medium risk group, LR: low risk group, HL: Hodgkin lymphoma, BMT: bone marrow transplantation, CML: chronic myeloid leukemia, AML: acute myeloid leukemia, ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukemia, STS: soft tissue sarcoma, NHL: non-Hodgkin lymphoma, NBL: neuroblastoma, CNS: central nervous system, and TBI: total body irradiation.

Study group	п	Hormones	X	М	SD	Р
Whole group	83		12.24	7.01	19.41	0.001
HR	38		18.11	7.64	28.71	0.005
MR	12	FSH (mIU/mL)	7.43	7.16	2.77	0.964
LR	33		8.95	6.44	9.63	0.679
Control	34		5.36	5.15	1.89	
Whole group	83		7.73	4.49	11.32	0.449
HR	38		10.23	4.10	17.01	0.106
MR	12	LH (mIU/mL)	5.34	4.42	4.33	1
LR	33		6.44	4.77	5.15	0.924
Control	34		5.52	4.68	4.1	
Whole group	83		45.42	32.5	54.72	0.057
HR	38		52.21	33.29	69.20	1
MR	12	E2 (pg/mL)	51.39	17.60	75.46	0.999
LR	33		38.38	33.20	28.99	0.491
Control	34		53.32	47.39	41.14	
Whole group	83		16.7	11.58	14.13	0.001
HR	38		14.14	9.89	13.26	0.001
MR	12	AMH (pmol/L)	14.82	8.54	16.19	0.019
LR	33		19.44	19.00	13.96	0.053
Control	34		27.03	25.26	12.31	

TABLE 2: Serum levels of FSH, LH, E2, and AMH in female cancer survivors according to risk groups and comparison to control group.

HR: high risk, MR: medium risk, LR: low risk, FSH: follicle-stimulating hormone, LH: luteinizing hormone, E2: estradiol, AMH: anti-Müllerian hormone, X: average value, and M: median.

Analyzed HR group	п	Hormones	X	М	SD	Р
HL rtx –	12		7.07	7.0	2.78	0.647
HL rtx +	10		8.53	6.9	3.25	0.045
BMT	6	FSH (mIU/mL)	42.55	42.55	26.55	0.034
Solid tumors	9		10.55	7.9	14.68	0.068
Control	34		5.35	5.15	1.89	
HL rtx –			6.13	3.85	6.67	0.283
HL rtx +			3.63	3.00	2.05	0.483
BMT		LH (mIU/mL)	26.31	15.20	28.23	0.001
Solid tumors			6.45	7.0	5.93	0.494
Control			5.52	4.68	4.1	
HL rtx –			69.72	42.79	101.82	0.628
HL rtx +			47.34	33.09	32.24	0.578
BMT		E2 (pg/mL)	26.25	22.74	17.26	0.021
Solid tumors			40.91	17.6	47.29	0.670
Control			53.32	47.39	41.14	
HL rtx –			23.17	25.10	15.25	0.042
HL rtx +			14.15	11.90	8.10	0.037
BMT		AMH (pmol/L)	3.37	2.62	2.32	0.001
Solid tumors			19.21	8.14	16.30	0.05
Control			27.03	25.26	12.31	

TABLE 3: Hormone levels in female cancer survivors classified to the high risk group.

HR: high risk, HL: Hodgkin lymphoma, rtx: radiotherapy, BMT: bone marrow transplantation, FSH: follicle-stimulating hormone, LH: luteinizing hormone, E2: estradiol, AMH: anti-Müllerian hormone, X: average value, and M: median.

gonadal function by analyzing spermiograms and hormone measurements; in females, assessment of oocyte depletion and premature ovarian failure is more difficult. AMH seems to be very useful to determine the ovarian reserve, better than the evaluation of the antral follicle count by vaginal ultrasonography or the measurement of FSH and inhibin B. The AMH level is relatively constant from mid-childhood to early adulthood, without fluctuations between pubertal stages [7, 11-13]. Elevated FSH levels were observed only in the HR group, whereas lower AMH (compared to the control group) was found in the total cohort independently of risk group. More than half (51.8%) of the patients had low AMH levels, whereas 22.9% presented with elevated FSH values. Abnormalities were most pronounced in patients after BMT, who had very low AMH values and elevated FSH. Total body irradiation and/or high doses of alkylating agents, such as cyclophosphamide or busulphane, led to ovary dysfunction. Similar results have been reported by Miyoshi et al., who found low AMH levels in 53% and high FSH in 30% of childhood cancer survivors [14]. Fong et al. observed accelerated loss of primordial follicles in females after TBI before stem cell transplantation [15]. The treatment for HL also leads to high risk of premature menopause [16, 17]. De Bruin et al. found a 12-fold higher risk of early (before the age of 40) menopause in HL survivors treated with procarbazine as an element of chemotherapy as compared to those irradiated for the supradiaphragmatic areas or paraaortic nodes [18]. Like in our study, van Beek et al. found that hormone levels were not influenced by age at treatment (before versusduring puberty) [19]. Different results have been presented for women treated at an age older than 30 years since AMH levels fall gradually due to a reduced oocyte pool [20, 21]. In the patients treated for HL, lower AMH values were noted for the irradiated and nonirradiated infradiaphragmatic areas, whereas elevated FSH levels were observed only in irradiated females. Those who are irradiated for the infradiaphragmatic region received 3 or 4 cycles with procarbazine (MOPP), and those who are nonirradiated received only two cycles. In the former, the gonadotoxic effect might result from the combined effect of chemo- and radiotherapy. Patients treated for solid tumors, irradiated for the abdomen, also presented with lower AMH levels; in that group, only one female was irradiated (44 Gy) for the pelvic area-she had primary amenorrhea and received hormonal therapy. Irradiation directly for the ovaries, especially in the total dose >15 Gy, seems to be the most important factor deteriorating gonadal function [22], although according to Wallace et al. the LD50 for human oocyte is <2 Gy [23].

We observed lowered AMH levels not only in the HR group but also in the MR or LR group, which indicates that all types of anticancer treatment affected gonadal function, even when low doses of chemotherapeutics were used. Patients treated for acute lymphoblastic leukemia, classified to the low risk group, show subtle ovarian disorders (lower estradiol levels) [24] and some of them are infertile after anticancer treatment [25]. In a prospective study performed during and after cytotoxic treatment, Brougham et al. observed progressive lowering of AMH during treatment and recovery in the low and middle risk groups between 2 and 12 months following therapy completion, thus indicating possible restoration of the pool of growing oocytes. This recovery was not observed in the high risk group, suggesting a profound loss of the primordial follicle pool [26].

Sixty-five out of 83 survivors had normal regular menstrual cycle, one had primary amenorrhea, and 17 had irregular menses or oligomenorrhea; the latter group included patients after BMT after HL treatment (HR group). The group with normal menstrual cycles contained patients with lower AMH levels and with a diminished ovarian reserve.

We found elevated FSH in the early follicular phase with normal LH and estradiol levels; this situation is characteristic of premature ovarian failure and can appear even 20 years prior to menopause [19, 27, 28]. Taken together, lowered mean AMH values in the entire cohort and a monotropic rise in FSH indicate the possibility of premature menopause [29]. Our results suggest older biological ovarian age as compared to the chronological one. Six patients had their biological children; five were treated for HL and were classified to the HR group. The peak incidence for HL is observed in older adolescents, most often over 15 years of age. Female cancer survivors should be informed that their "fertility window" is shorter than that of the general population [30].

In conclusion, our results show the utility of AMH measurement as an early, sensitive marker of a reduced ovarian reserve in young cancer survivors. Patients after conditioning therapy prior to BMT as well as patients treated for HL, independently of age at treatment (prepuberty or puberty), are at the highest risk of gonadal damage and early menopause.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Medical University of Bialystok, Poland (Grant nos. 4–79575 L/2007 and 123–79667 L/2012).

References

- W. H. B. Wallace, R. A. Anderson, and D. A. Irvine, "Fertility preservation for young patients with cancer: who is at risk and what can be offered?" *The Lancet Oncology*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 209– 218, 2005.
- [2] C. A. Sklar, A. C. Mertens, P. Mitby et al., "Premature menopause in survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study," *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, vol. 98, no. 13, pp. 890–896, 2006.
- [3] G. M. Lantinga, A. H. M. Simons, W. A. Kamps, and A. Postma, "Imminent ovarian failure in childhood cancer survivors," *European Journal of Cancer*, vol. 42, no. 10, pp. 1415–1420, 2006.
- [4] S. L. Fong, J. S. E. Laven, F. G. A. J. Hakvoort-Cammel et al., "Assessment of ovarian reserve in adult childhood cancer survivors using anti-müllerian hormone," *Human Reproduction*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 982–990, 2009.

- [5] A. Borgmann-Staudt, R. Rendtorff, S. Reinmuth et al., "Fertility after allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation in childhood and adolescence," *Bone Marrow Transplantation*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 271–276, 2012.
- [6] K. L. Singh, M. Davies, and R. Chatterjee, "Fertility in female cancer survivors: pathophysiology, preservation and the role of ovarian reserve testing," *Human Reproduction Update*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 69–89, 2005.
- [7] R. A. Anderson, S. M. Nelson, and W. H. B. Wallace, "Measuring anti-müllerian hormone for the assessment of ovarian reserve: when and for whom is it indicated?" *Maturitas*, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 28–33, 2012.
- [8] S. L. Broer, M. J. C. Eijkemans, G. J. Scheffer et al., "Antimüllerian hormone predicts menopause: a long-term followup study in normoovulatory women," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 96, no. 8, pp. 2532–2539, 2011.
- [9] M. F. H. Brougham and W. H. B. Wallace, "Subfertility in children and young people treated for solid and haematological malignancies," *The British Journal of Haematology*, vol. 131, no. 2, pp. 143–155, 2005.
- [10] D. M. Green, C. A. Sklar, J. D. Boice Jr. et al., "Ovarian failure and reproductive outcomes after childhood cancer treatment: results from the childhood cancer survivor study," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 27, no. 14, pp. 2374–2381, 2009.
- [11] O. Shebl, T. Ebner, A. Sir et al., "Age-related distribution of basal serum AMH level in women of reproductive age and a presumably healthy cohort," *Fertility and Sterility*, vol. 95, no. 2, pp. 832–834, 2011.
- [12] J. A. Visser, F. H. de Jong, J. S. E. Laven, and A. P. N. Themmen, "Anti-müllerian hormone: a new marker for ovarian function," *Reproduction*, vol. 131, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2006.
- [13] C. P. Hagen, L. Aksglaede, K. Sørensen et al., "Serum levels of anti-müllerian hormone as a marker of ovarian function in 926 healthy females from birth to adulthood and in 172 turner syndrome patients," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology* and Metabolism, vol. 95, no. 11, pp. 5003–5010, 2010.
- [14] Y. Miyoshi, H. Ohta, N. Namba et al., "Low serum concentrations of anti-müllerian hormone are common in 53 female childhood cancer survivors," *Hormone Research in Paediatrics*, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 17–21, 2013.
- [15] S. L. Fong, P. J. Lugtenburg, I. Schipper et al., "Anti-müllerian hormone as a marker of ovarian function in women after chemotherapy and radiotherapy for haematological malignancies," *Human Reproduction*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 674–678, 2008.
- [16] K. Behringer, K. Breuer, T. Reineke et al., "Secondary amenorrhea after Hodgkin's lymphoma is influenced by age at treatment, stage of disease, chemotherapy regimen, and the use of oral contraceptives during therapy: a report from the German Hodgkin's lymphoma study group," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 23, no. 30, pp. 7555–7564, 2005.
- [17] L. Giuseppe, G. Attilio, D. N. Edoardo, G. Loredana, L. Cristina, and L. Vincenzo, "Ovarian function after cancer treatment in young women affected by Hodgkin disease (HD)," *Hematology*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 141–147, 2007.
- [18] M. L. de Bruin, J. Huisbrink, M. Hauptmann et al., "Treatmentrelated risk factors for premature menopause following Hodgkin lymphoma," *Blood*, vol. 111, no. 1, pp. 101–108, 2008.
- [19] R. D. van Beek, M. M. van den Heuvel-Eibrink, J. S. E. Laven et al., "Anti-müllerian hormone is a sensitive serum marker for gonadal function in women treated for Hodgkin's lymphoma during childhood," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology* and Metabolism, vol. 92, no. 10, pp. 3869–3874, 2007.

- [20] E. C. Larsen, J. Müller, C. Rechnitzer, K. Schmiegelow, and A. N. Andersen, "Diminished ovarian reserve in female childhood cancer survivors with regular menstrual cycles and basal FSH <10 IU/l," *Human Reproduction*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 417–422, 2003.
- [21] S. Gnaneswaran, R. Deans, and R. J. Cohn, "Reproductive late effects in female survivors of childhood cancer," *Obstetrics and Gynecology International*, vol. 2012, Article ID 564794, 7 pages, 2012.
- [22] A. Schuck, V. Hamelman, J. H. Brämswig et al., "Ovarian function following pelvic irradiation in prepubertal and pubertal girls and young adult women," *Strahlentherapie und Onkologie*, vol. 181, no. 8, pp. 534–539, 2005.
- [23] W. H. B. Wallace, A. B. Thomson, and T. W. Kelsey, "The radiosensitivity of the human oocyte," *Human Reproduction*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 117–121, 2003.
- [24] L. E. Bath, W. H. B. Wallace, M. P. Shaw, C. Fitzpatrick, and R. A. Anderson, "Depletion of ovarian reserve in young women after treatment for cancer in childhood: detection by anti-müllerian hormone, inhibin B and ovarian ultrasound," *Human Reproduction*, vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 2368–2374, 2003.
- [25] M. Balcerek, S. Reinmuth, C. Hohmann, T. Keil, and A. Borgmann-Staudt, "Suspected infertility after treatment for leukemia and solid tumors in childhood and adolescence," *Deutsches Ärzteblatt International*, vol. 109, no. 7, pp. 126–131, 2012.
- [26] M. F. Brougham, P. M. Crofton, E. J. Johnson, N. Evans, R. A. Anderson, and W. H. Wallace, "Anti-müllerian hormone is a marker of gonadotoxicity in pre- and postpubertal girls treated for cancer: a prospective study," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 97, no. 6, pp. 2059–2067, 2012.
- [27] L. E. Bath, R. A. Anderson, H. O. D. Critchley, C. J. H. Kelnar, and W. H. B. Wallace, "Hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian dysfunction after prepubertal chemotherapy and cranial irradiation for acute leukaemia," *Human Reproduction*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 1838–1844, 2001.
- [28] N. A. A. Ebbiary, E. A. Lenton, and I. D. Cooke, "Hypothalamicpituitary ageing: progressive increase in FSH and LH concentrations throughout the reproductive life in regularly menstruating women," *Clinical Endocrinology*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 199–206, 1994.
- [29] E. C. Larsen, J. Müller, K. Schmiegelow, C. Rechnitzer, and A. N. Andersen, "Reduced ovarian function in long-term survivors of radiation- and chemotherapy-treated childhood cancer," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 88, no. 11, pp. 5307–5314, 2003.
- [30] H. Hamre, C. E. Kiserud, E. Ruud, P. M. Thorsby, and S. D. Fossa, "Gonadal function and parenthood 20 years after treatment for childhood lymphoma: a cross-sectional study," *Pediatric Blood and Cancer*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 271–277, 2012.