

RESEARCH

7:4

Height outcome of the recombinant human growth hormone treatment in Turner syndrome: a meta-analysis

Ping Li, Fei Cheng and Lei Xiu

Department of Endocrinology, Beijing Shijitan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

Abstract

Objective: This study sought to determine the effect of the recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) treatment of Turner syndrome (TS) on height outcome. *Methods*: We searched in MEDLINE, EMBASE and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials and Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. A literature search identified 640 records. After screening and full-text assessment, 11 records were included in the systematic review. Methodological quality was assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool. RevMan 5.3 software was used for meta-analysis. We also assessed the quality of evidence with the GRADE system.

Results: Compared with controls, rhGH therapy led to increased final height (MD = 7.22 cm, 95% CI 5.27–9.18, P < 0.001, I2 = 4%; P = 0.18), height standard deviation (HtSDS) (SMD = 1.22, 95% CI 0.88–1.56, P < 0.001, I2 = 49%; P = 0.14) and height velocity (HV) (MD 2.68 cm/year; 95% CI 2.34, 3.02; P < 0.001, I2 = 0%; P = 0.72). There was a small increase in bone age (SMD 0.32 years; 95% CI 0.1, 0.54; P = 0.004, I2 = 73%; P = 0.02) after rhGH therapy for 12 months. What is more, the rhGH/oxandrolone combination therapy suggested greater final height (MD 2.46 cm; 95% CI 0.73, 4.18; P = 0.005, I2 = 32%; P = 0.22), increase and faster HV (SMD 1.67 cm/year; 95% CI 1.03, 2.31; P < 0.03, I2 = 80%; P < 0.001), with no significant increase in HtSDS and bone maturation compared with rhGH therapy alone.

Conclusions: For TS patients, rhGH alone or with concomitant use of oxandrolone treatment had advantages on final height.

Key Words

- recombinant human growth hormone
- Turner syndrome
- oxandrolone
- height outcome
- meta-analysis

Endocrine Connections (2018) **7**, 573–583

Introduction

Turner syndrome (TS) affects about one in 1500–2500 liveborn females (1, 2). TS is a genetic disorder characterized by short stature, gonadal dysgenesis, cardiac and renal abnormalities and a particular neurocognitive profile of normally developed language abilities and impaired visual-spatial and/or visual-perceptual abilities. TS is the result of (partial) absence of one X-chromosome. As a chromosomal condition, there is no cure for Turner syndrome. Short stature is a common feature of TS, untreated women are approximately 20–21 cm shorter

http://www.endocrineconnections.org https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-18-0115 ©2018 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd than normal women within their respective populations (3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8).

Recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) has been shown to increase growth and final height in girls who have Turner syndrome (9, 10, 11). rhGH therapy in Turner's syndrome was initiated in 1983 (12). Oxandrolone (OX) is a synthetic non-aromatizable anabolic steroid with weak virilizing effects compared with testosterone, which has been used to increase adult height in TS (13, 14, 15, 16).





Treatment with rhGH, alone or in combination with the anabolic steroid oxandrolone, has been recommended for children with TS to improve final height. However, final height benefit remains uncertain. Some studies have been reported with optimistic responses to rhGH treatment alone (10, 17) or with the concomitant use of oxandrolone (18). However, rhGH alone or in combination with oxandrolone was estimated to have no effect on adult height in some studies (19, 20, 21). To date, whether rhGH alone or in combination with oxandrolone are effective in increasing height is still somewhat controversial. In addition, how much height may be gained in patients with TS is also an important consideration.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate height outcome of rhGH alone or with concomitant use of oxandrolone treatment in patients with TS, by using the meta-analytic approach as previously described.

Methods

Literature search

A comprehensive search of several databases from each database's inception to March 30th, 2017, any language was conducted. The databases included PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) and Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. The search strategies were (growth hormone or somatotropin or somatropin or somatotrophin or somatrophin or Pituitary Growth Hormone or Recombinant Growth Hormones) and (Turner syndrome or Ullrich-Turner Syndrome or Bonnevie Ullrich Syndrome or Turner's syndrome) and publication type (randomized controlled trials) as limiter. We also searched the reference list of all published original articles and several review articles we found for additional references. Publications arising from the same study group on the same patient cohort were considered as a single study for the purpose of this analysis. The study of this protocol-based review was consistent with the PRISMA statement.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies were considered eligible if they met the following criteria: (1) randomized controlled trials (RCTs); (2) the participants had to have TS confirmed by karyotype; (3) the active intervention was rhGH: that is, biosynthetic human growth hormone, with a sequence identical to

©2018 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd that of human growth hormone, marketed under any brand name; (4) intervention and comparison, rhGH alone vs placebo/no treatment, rhGH plus oxandrolone combination therapy vs rhGH alone therapy. We excluded uncontrolled studies, case series, cross-sectional studies and studies with short follow-up duration of less than 1 year. Only full-text articles were included; restriction was placed on the language of studies published in English.

Primary and secondary outcomes

Primary outcomes were final height (final adult height is defined as the height at which epiphyses are closed or height velocity is less than 1 cm/year.) and height standard deviation (HtSDS) (height is often reported in standard deviations relative to a normal population or a population with TS.). Height velocity (HV), defined as increment in height per year in centimeters, and bone age (a measure of skeletal maturity) were secondary outcome measures of this study. Data were abstracted by a single reviewer and checked by a second reviewer.

Data extraction, synthesis and statistical analysis

Two investigators (P L and F C) independently reviewed the articles and selected eligible studies according to the inclusion criteria for eligible studies. Irrelevant studies were excluded. Detailed information from each included studies was recorded by two authors independently. The following information was extracted from each study: name of first author, year of publication, country in which the study was conducted, number of participants of treatment and control group, initial height, final height, HtSDS, bone age and height velocity. Data extraction was done by two reviewers (P L and F C) with any disagreements resolved through discussion with a third reviewer (L X).

Data were summarized statistically if they were available, sufficiently similar and of sufficient quality. All data should be expressed as means with standard deviations (s.D.). When information was reported for more than one subpopulation in one study, each subpopulation was treated as a separate comparison in our meta-analysis. A random-effects model was employed to estimate weighted mean differences for HtSDS due to its different assays, calculated as the mean difference between groups. The heterogeneity of the included studies was evaluated with the Cochran Q and the I^2 statistic. For the Q statistic, P < 0.10 was considered statistically significant for heterogeneity. The I^2 statistic was assessed using the following ranges as guidelines: no heterogeneity





 $(I^2 \ 0-25\%)$; moderate heterogeneity $(I^2 \ 25-50\%)$; large heterogeneity $(I^2 \ 50-75\%)$ and extreme heterogeneity $(I2 \ 75-100\%)$ When either Q statistics (P<0.1) or I^2 statistic (>50%) indicated heterogeneity between studies, the random-effects model was preferred. Otherwise, the fixed-effect model was recommended. Statistical analyses were performed using RevMan 5.3 software.

Risk of bias assessment

Two authors (F C and L X) independently assessed the risk of bias. Risk of bias was assessed by using the Cochrane Collaboration's tool 15. Each study was assessed and scored as 'high', 'low' or 'unclear' risk of bias to the following criteria: random sequence generation; allocation concealment; blinding of participants and personnel; blinding of outcome assessment; incomplete outcome data; selective reporting and other bias. Blinding of patients and clinicians was extremely difficult and generally not feasible in these trials, and we judged that the primary outcome was less prone to be influenced by lack of blinding. Therefore, studies with high risk of bias for any one or more key domains except blinding were considered as at high risk of bias; while studies with low risk of bias for all key domains except blinding were considered as at low risk of bias; otherwise they were considered as at unclear risk of bias. Detailed method for the assessment of risk of bias is described in Fig. 4.

Quality of evidence assessment

Quality of evidence was assessed per outcome, independently by two individuals (P L and L X), using the GRADE guidelines for rating the quality of evidence (22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30). We used the GRADE method to summarize the evidence profile regarding inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision and other sources of bias. GRADE criteria were used to downgrade the quality of evidence based on specific parameters. The quality of evidence for each outcome was rated as high, moderate, low or very low.

Results

Search results

The initial searches identified 640 articles. A total of 586 publications were excluded based on review of the title and abstract for several reasons, including study

type (case report, review or non-interventional study), population (children) or short duration of GH treatment (<12 months). Of the remaining 54 potentially relevant publications, 10 studies were non-randomized controlled study, 2 articles were conference abstracts, 31 of them do not meet our inclusion criteria. After all, 11 publications remained that described the results of 9 trials that met the clinical inclusion criteria (Fig. 1). Nine trials were published individually (10, 13, 16, 17, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35) and the results of 1 others were compiled in 2 publications (14, 36). Baseline characteristics of included RCTs were shown in Table 1.

Effects of rhGH alone on height outcome

We identified five studies (10, 17, 32, 33) investigating the effects of rhGH alone on height outcome (Fig. 2). Among those, two studies (10, 33) provided information on final height, HtSDS and bone age outcomes, and one study (17) did not report information on final height outcome. There were no significant differences in initial height in 2 studies (10, 33) and initial HtSDS in 3 studies (10, 17, 33), While after rhGH treatment, the overall analysis revealed significant difference in final height and HtSDS comparing the GH and control groups (mean difference(MD) = 7.22 cm, 95% CI 5.27–9.18, P<0.001, *I*2=44%; *P*=0.18, final height; standardized mean difference (SMD)=1.22, 95% CI 0.88–1.56, P<0.001, I^2 =49%; P=0.14, HtSDS). Similar to HV, no significant difference was seen in initial HV in two studies (32, 36); however, the result showed a higher HV in GH group than in controls (MD 2.68 cm/year; 95% CI 2.34, 3.02; P<0.001, I2=0%; P=0.72). Three studies (10, 17, 33) showed no significant difference in initial bone age between the two groups. After the 1-year GH treatment, there was a small advance for rhGH-treated subjects (SMD 0.32 year; 95% CI 0.1, 0.54; *P*=0.004, *I*2=73%; *P*=0.02). There was significant heterogeneity, therefore, a random-effects model of analysis was used.

Effects of rhGH plus OX combined therapy on height outcome

As shown in Fig. 3, seven studies were included in the analysis (13, 14, 16, 31, 34, 35, 36). No significant difference was found in initial height, HtSDS, HV and bone age between the rhGH/OX combined and rhGH alone groups (P>0.05). Four studies (14, 16, 34, 35) showed that girls in the combination therapy group ended up taller than girls in the rhGH alone group. Girls in the combination therapy







group grew 2.46 cm taller in final height than those in the rhGH alone group (MD 2.46 cm; 95% CI 0.73, 4.18; P=0.005, I2=32%; P=0.22), although baseline height was similar (MD 1.6 cm; 95% CI -1.23, 4.43; P=0.27). In parallel with the increases in final height, rhGH with OX-treated subjects had significantly greater increases in HV than did the rhGH alone group (SMD 1.67 cm/year; 95% CI 1.03, 2.31; P<0.03, I2=80%; P<0.001). There was significant heterogeneity; therefore, a random-effects model of analysis was used. Meanwhile, we also observed the effects of rhGH in combination with OX on bone age and HtSDS; however, no significant difference was seen between the two groups after treatment (P>0.05).

Risk of bias and quality of evidence assessment

As shown in Fig. 4, all studies mentioned randomization, but eight studies described the method used for sequence generation (10, 13, 14, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36). Five studies reported allocation concealment (17, 18, 33, 34, 35). Six studies described blinding of participants (13, 17, 32, 33, 34, 35), and ten studies had blinding of outcome assessors (10, 13, 14, 16, 17, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35). Ten studies showed incomplete outcome data (10, 13, 14, 17, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36). Quality of evidence was assessed per outcome



(Table 2) using the GRADE guidelines. Imprecision was therefore assessed by comparing the findings of the trials.

Discussion

We have performed a meta-analysis of clinical studies investigating the effect of rhGH therapy in TS patients. The current study was focused on a stringent evaluation of the efficacy of rhGH alone or with concomitant use of oxandrolone in TS. For this reason was limited to randomized controlled trials.

Our present findings suggest that rhGH alone or with concomitant use of oxandrolone therapy has beneficial effects on final height of TS patients. In girls receiving rhGH alone, there was an increase in final height, HtSDS, HV and bone age after treatment. rhGH therapy alone increases final height by 7.22 cm. The present findings regarding height outcome in rhGH-treated populations are consistent with the meta-analyses of Baxter *et al.* (37). They suggested rhGH therapy was effective in improving growth. However, the study of Baxter *et al.* included four RCTs. Among these, only one trial reported final height and two trials reported growth outcomes. Moreover, they



Author	Publication	Design	Country	Interventions (dose)	Controls (dose)	Patients, no.	Duration of treatment (years)	Age at starting GH (year)	Age at starting estrogen (year)	Outcome measures
Davenport, M L	2007	RCT, open label	USA	rhGH (0.35mg/kg/week)	No treatment	89	2	0.75~4	NA	HtSDS, bone age
Stephure, D K	2005	RCT	Canada	rhGH (0.30mg/kg/week)	No treatment	154	5.7	7~13	13	HtSDS, bone age, heicht
Menke, L A	2010	RCT	Netherlands	rh GH (0.43 mg/kg/ week) + oxandrolone (0.03 mg/kg/day) and rh GH + oxandrolone (0.06 mg/kg/day)	rhGH + placebo	133	5.8~6.7	2~15.99	12~16	HtSDS final height
Quigley, C A	2002	RCT open label	USA	rhGH (0.27 mg/kg/ week) + placebo rhGH (0.27 mg/kg/ week) + estrogen rhGH (0.36 mg/kg/week) + placebo rhGH (0.36 mg/ kd/week) + estrogen	Placebo + placebo	232	1.5	6.9~12.5	13.5	Ŷ
Ross, J L	2011	RCT	USA	rhGH (0.3 mg/kg/week) + placebo rhGH (0.3 mg/kg/ week) + estrogen	Placebo + placebo, Placebo + estrogen	149	3~10.1	5~12.5	12	HtSDS, bone age, height
Job, J C	1991	RCT	France	rhGH (0.23 mg/kg/ week) + oxandrolone (0.06 ma/ka/dav)	rhGH	22	m	6.13~14.4	NA	HtSDS, bone age, HV
Rosenfeld, R G	1998	RCT	USA	rhGH (0.375 mg/kg/week) + oxandrolone (0.0625 ~ 0.125 mg/kg/dav)	rhGH	70	6.1~7.6	4.7~12.4	14	Height
Rosenfeld, R G	1986	RCT	USA	rhGH (0.375 mg/kg/week) rhGH (0.375 mg/kg/week) week) + oxandrolone (0.125 mg/kg/dav)	No treatment oxandrolone (0.125mg/kg/day)	70	-	4.7~12.4	AN	Bone age, HV
Gault, E J	2011	RCT	ЯЛ	rhGH (10 mg/m²/ week) + oxandrolone (0.05 mg/kg/dav)	rhGH (10 mg/m²/week) + placebo	106	6.2	7~13	12	Final height HtSDS
Stahnke, N	2002	RCT	German	rhGH (0.2~0.31 mg/kg/ week) + oxandrolone (0.05~0.1 mg/kg/dav)	rhGH (0.2~0.31 mg/kg/week)	91	4.8~5.4	10.2~10.5	14.9	HtSDS, bone age, final height
Zegar, M	2011	RCT	USA	rhGH (0.3 mg/kg/ week) + oxandrolone (0.06 mg/kg/week)	rhGH + placebo (0.3 mg/kg/ week)	76	4	10~14.9	AN	HtSDS

http://www.endocrineconnections.org https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-18-0115 ©2018 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

7:4





А	rhGH Control Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
	Study for study out Test of study out		
	1.1.2 final height Ross, J. L. 2011 145.8 11.2 67 136.1 13.3 70 22.6% 9.70 [5.59, 13.81] Stephure, D. K.2005 147.5 6.1 61 141 5.4 43 77.4% 6.50 [4.28, 8.72] Subtotal (95% Cl) 128 113 100.0% 7.22 [5.27, 9.18] Heterogeneity: Chi² = 1.80, df = 1 (P = 0.18); l² = 44% Test for overall effect: Z = 7.24 (P < 0.00001)	-	
	Test for subaroup differences: Chi² = 22.20. df = 1 (P < 0.00001). l² = 95.5%	<u>-5 0 5 10</u>	
В	rhGH Control Std. Mean Difference Study or Subgroup Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV, Random, 95% Cl 1.2.1 initial HtSDS	Std. Mean Difference IV. Random, 95% Cl	
		 ◆	
С	rhGH Control Mean Difference Study or Subgroup Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV. Fixed. 95% Cl	Mean Difference IV. Fixed. 95% Cl	
	1.3.1 Initial HV Usingley, C. A 2002 3.9246 1.2426 183 4.1 1.2 41 70.7% -0.18 [-0.58, 0.23] Rosenfeld, R. G.1986 4.5 0.8 17 4.2 1.1 18 29.3% 0.30 [-0.33, 0.93] Subtotal (95% CI) 200 59 100.9% -0.04 [-0.38, 0.31] Heterogeneity: Chr = 1.52, df = 1 (P = 0.22); P = 34% 59 100.9% -0.04 [-0.38, 0.31]	−− →	
	1.3.3 >1y HV Quigley, C. A.2002 (1) 6.8481 1.2077 183 4.2 1.1 41 78.9% 2.65 [2.27, 3.03] Rosenfeld, R.G.1986 (2) 6.6 1.2 17 3.8 1 18 2.11% 2.80 [2.07, 3.53] Subtotal (95% CI) 200 59 100.0% 2.68 [2.34, 3.02] Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.13, df = 1 (P = 0.72); P = 0% 58 100.0% 2.68 [2.34, 3.02]		
	Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 122.22, df = 1 (P < 0.00001), l ² = 99.2% <u>Footnotes</u> (1) 1.5yrs (2) 1yr		
D	rhGH Control Std. Mean Difference Study or Subgroup Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV. Fixed, 95% Cl	Std. Mean Difference IV. Fixed. 95% Cl	
	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		
	1.4.2 >1y bone age 0.69 [0.26, 1.12] Davenport, M. L.2007 (1) 4.24 1.35 45 3.38 1.11 43 26.1% 0.69 [0.26, 1.12] Ross, J. L.2011 (2) 15 2.7 67 13.7 3.5 70 42.2% 0.41 [0.07, 0.75] Stephure, D. K.2005 (3) 15.1 1 15.2 1 43 31.7% -0.10 [-0.49, 0.29] Subtotal (85% CI) 173 158 100.0% 0.32 [0.10, 0.54] Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 7.52, df = 2 (P = 0.02); P = 73% Test for owned (affect Z = 27 (P = 0.004); P = 73%		
	- Test for subgroup differences: Chi ^p = 1.09, df = 1 (P = 0.30), I ^p = 8.6% <u>Footnotes</u> (1) 2yrs (2) final	Figure 2 Forest plot of height outcomes in rhG alone therapy vs control in TS girls: (A height, (B) HtSDS, (C) HV, (D) bone ag HtSDS, height standard deviation; HV,	H) e. heigh

- (1) 2918 (2) final (3) final

http://www.endocrineconnections.org https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-18-0115

©2018 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

velocity.



А	rhGH+OX	rhGH Mean Difference	Mean Difference
	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Instant Spir Total Frequencies Freq Freq Frequencies<	i1
	2.1.2 final height 39 Gault,EJ.2011 154.1872 4.7302 39 Menke, L. A.2010 156.6 6.6 78 Rosenfeld, R. G.1998 117.3 104.4 31 Stahnke, N.2002 153.7 4.2 40 Subtotai (B5% C) 200 Heterogeneity: Tau* = 0.99; Chi² = 4.42, df = 3 (P = 1) Test for overall effect: Z = 2.79 (P = 0.005)	149.6209 6.9665 43 28.9% 4.57 [2.01, 7.1 155.6 5.4 42 34.6% 1.00 [-1.19, 3.1 114.6 9.5 17 8.8% 2.70 [-2.78, 8.1 151.7 3.1 7 27.7% 2.00 [-0.64, 4.6 109 100.9% 2.46 [0.73, 4.1 2.2); P = 32% 2% 2%	
	Test for subarous differences: $Chi^2 = 0.26$. df = 1 (P	= 0.61). I ² = 0%	
В	rhGH+0X Study or Subgroup Mean SD Total 2.2.1 Initial HISDS Gault,EJ.2011 -2.0787 0.8035 47	rhGH Std. Mean Difference Mean SD Total Weight IV. Random. 95%. 1 2.2558 0.8498 52 32.9% 0.21 [-0.18, 0.61 -3.05 0.86 10 6.9% -0.69 [-1.56, 0.18] -3 0.84 23 6.9% -0.017 [-0.37, 0.44] -3 0.63 7 8.0% -0.17 [-0.97, 0.64] -3.17 1.05 23 15.7% 0.22 [-0.36, 0.79] 134 100.0% 0.07 [-0.16, 0.30] 0.40]; P = 0%	Std. Mean Difference Cl IV. Random. 95% Cl]]]]]]]
	2.2.2 > Tyr HISDS Gault,EJ.2011 (1) -1.4 0.7795 39 -2.33 0.87 12 Job, J. C.1991 (2) -2.33 0.87 12 78 Menke, I. A.2010 (3) -2.1 1 78 Stahnke, N.2002 (4) 1.69 0.8 40 Zegar,M2011 (5) 1.8 0.9 24 Stubtotal (8% C1) 193 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.16; Chi ² = 11.95; df = 4 (P Test for overall effect: Z = 1.42 (P = 0.16) - 197	2.1977 1.1172 43 23.9% 0.81 [0.36, 1.27 -2.3 0.63 10 14.3% -0.71 [-1.58, 0.16] -2.3 0.8 22.59% 0.21 [-0.16, 0.59] 1.56 0.8 7 15.6% 0.16 [-0.64, 0.96] 1.2 0.7 23 20.3% 0.73 [0.14, 1.32] 125 100.0% 0.32 [-0.12, 0.77] = 0.02); I ² = 67% 12 100.0% 0.32 [-0.12, 0.77]	
	Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 0.99, df = 1 (F <u>Footnotes</u> (1) final (2) 3yrs (3) final (4) final (5) 4yrs	P = 0.32), P = 0%	-2 -1 0 1 2
С	rhGH+OX Study or Subgroup Mean SD Total 2.3.1 initial HV Job, J. C.1991 3.36 0.95 12 Rosenfeld, R. G.1986 A.3 0.9 17 Subtotal (95% CI) 29 Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.01, df = 1 (P = 0.94); P = 1 rest for overall effect Z = 0.79 (P = 0.43) 100 100	rhGH Std. Mean Difference Mean SD Total Weight IV. Fixed, 95% CI 3.56 1.11 10 39.1% -0.19 [-1.03, 0.65] 4.5 0.8 17 60.9% -0.23 [-0.00, 0.45] 9% 27 100.0% -0.21 [-0.74, 0.31]	Std. Mean Difference
	2.3.2 >1y HV Job, J. C.1991 (1) 7.48 1.24 12 Rosenfield, R.G.1986 (2) 9.8 1.4 17 Subtotal (8 ⁵ % Cl) 29 Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 4.89, df = 1 (P = 0.03); l ² = 1 Test for overall effect: Z = 5.14 (P < 0.00001)	6.34 1.01 10 50.5% 0.96 [0.06, 1.86] 6.6 1.2 17 49.5% 2.40 [1.49, 3.30] 27 100.0% 1.67 [1.03, 2.31]	
	Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 19.98, df = 1 <u>Footnotes</u> (1) 3yrs (2) 1yr	$(P < 0.00001), I^2 = 95.0\%$	$\frac{1}{-4}$ $\frac{1}{-2}$ $\frac{1}{0}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{4}$
D	rhGH+OX Study or Subgroup Mean SD Total M 2.4.1 initial bone age Job. J. C. 1991 8.79 1.43 12 Job. J. C. 1991 8.79 1.43 12 Stahnke, N.2002 9.9 1.1 40 Subtotal (95% CI) 52 Heterogeneity: Chi² = 1.24, df = 1 (P = 0.26); i² Test for overall effect: Z = 1.27 (P = 0.21)	rhGH Mean Difference ean SD Total Weight IV, Fixed, 95% Cl 8.3 2 10 10.4% 0.49 [-0.99, 1.97] 10.3 0.5 7 89,6% -0.40 [-0.90, 0.10] 17 100.0% -0.31 [-0.78, 0.17] = 20%	Mean Difference IV. Fixed, 95% Cl
	2.4.2 >1y bone age Job, J. C. 1991 (1) 11.94 1.69 12 Stahnke, N.2002 (2) 14.1 0.9 40 Subtotal (95% C1) 52 Heterogeneity: Chi² = 1.46, df = 1 (P = 0.23); l² Test for overall effect: Z = 0.20 (P = 0.84)	11.2 1.84 10 22.1% 0.74 [-0.75, 2.23] 14.4 1 7 77.9% -0.30 [-1.09, 0.49] 17 100.0% -0.07 [-0.77, 0.63] = 32%	Figure 3 Forest plot of hei
	Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 0.30, df = <u>Footnotes</u> (1) 3yrs (2) final	1 (P = 0.58), I ² = 0%	-2 -1 0 1 2 combination ther girls. (A) Height, (HtSDS, height sta velocity.

Forest plot of height outcomes in rhGH/OX combination therapy vs rhGH alone therapy in TS girls. (A) Height, (B) HtSDS, (C) HV, (D) bone age. HtSDS, height standard deviation; HV, height velocity.

http://www.endocrineconnections.org https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-18-0115 ©2018 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd





Figure 4

Risk of bias assessment. (A) The quality assessment for each included study as 'risk of bias summary.' (B) Outcomes presented as percentages across all meta-analyzed studies, each depicted as a 'risk of bias graph'. (A) Randomized? (B) Allocation concealment? (C) Blinding? (D) Incomplete outcome data addressed? (E): Free of selective reporting? (F) Free of other bias?

did not explore the effect of rhGH/OX combination therapy. The evidence from previous studies has been updated by including new trials, and for the first time, the impact of rhGH/OX combination therapy on height outcome was observed, and baseline height, HtSDS, HV and bone age status have also been taken into account.

Furthermore, we found rhGH/OX-treated girls appeared more elevated final height and fasted HV than rhGH-treated girls. rhGH/OX combination therapy increases final height by 2.46 cm. High heterogeneity was found in HV performed in our study. Since only two studies (31, 36) were included, subgroup analyses or metaregression, could not be performed. This heterogeneity may be due to the inherent differences in duration and dose of treatment. Moreover, no significant changes in HtSDS and bone age were seen between two groups. HtSDS gives an indication of height relative to other children of the same age or relative to other adults or relative to a population with TS. Since the studies used different assays for HtSDS, this might influence any potential trend. Moreover, two trials (13, 31) provided information of Another concern may come from the age and dose for initiation of therapy and the duration of therapy. To date, the optimal age for initiation of rhGH therapy for young children has not been established. Age for initiation of therapy of this meta-analysis has had wide variations (from 9 months to 10.2 years). With respect to rhGH dose, treatment was generally administered at fixed doses, ranging from physiological (0.2 mg/kg/week) to supraphysiological (0.43 mg/kg/week) doses. Similar to rhGH, OX dose ranged from 0.03 to 0.125 mg/kg/day doses. Only small studies compared various rhGH dosages (9, 38, 39); therefore, we were not able to meta-analyze dose–response in TS girls.

A limitation of the present meta-analysis is the relatively small number of available studies and the lack of sufficient data on final height. Subgroup analyses or meta-regression, using study level covariates, could not be performed because of the relatively small number of available investigations. Although a blinded study is less likely to be done for rhGH is approved and standard

©2018 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd



HtSDS after 3 years rhGH treatment; however, three trials (16, 34, 35) provided final HtSDS.

http://www.endocrineconnections.org https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-18-0115



Table 2GRADE evidence profile.

Outcome (number of RC15) Limitations Inconsistency Indirectness Imprecision Publication bias Quality of evidence Hright Initiations Inconsistency Indirectness Imprecision Publication bias Quality of evidence Hright Initiations Ketrogeneity) Indirectness Imprecision Undetected Imprecision Impre			Q	uality assessmen	t		
HrGH vs control Height Initial height Initial height No serious Ilimitations serious (heterogeneity) No serious indirectness No serious indirectness Undetected ⊕⊕⊕ Moderate Baseline No serious Ilimitations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High After 1 year No serious Ilimitations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High Bone age Baseline No serious Ilimitations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕ High HV Baseline No serious Ilimitations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕ High Hitiah height No serious Ilimitations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious indirectness No serious indirectness Undetected ⊕⊕⊕ High Hitiah height No serious inconsistency No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious indirectness No	Outcome (number of RCTs)	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication bias	Quality of evidence
Height Initial heightNo serious limitation No seriousSerious (heterogeneity) No serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected mo serious⊕⊕⊕ HighHHSDS BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected morecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHHSDS BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected morecision⊕⊕⊕ HighBone age BaselineNo serious limitations limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected morecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitations limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessUndetected imprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected imprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHtiDH HeightNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected imprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHtSDS BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected imprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHtSDS BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo ser	rhGH vs control						
Initial height No serious No serious No serious No serious No serious Undetected ⊕⊕⊕ Moderate Final height No serious Imitation No serious No serious No serious Undetected ⊕⊕⊕ Moderate HSDS Baseline No serious Initiations Inconsistency Indirectness Imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕ High After 1 year No serious No serious No serious No serious No serious Indirectness Imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕ High Bone age Baseline No serious No serious No serious No serious Indirectness Imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕ High After 1 year No serious No serious No serious No serious No serious Indirectness Imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕ Moderate HV Baseline No serious No serious No serious No serious Indirectness Imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕ High Initiations Immitations No serious No serious No serious Indirectness Imprecision	Height						
Final heightNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕⊕ HighHtSDS BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕⊕ HighAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighBone age BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ ModerateAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighInitial heightNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighHtSDS BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecis	Initial height	No serious limitation	serious (heterogeneity)	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	⊕⊕⊕ Moderate
HSDS BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency inconsistency inconsistency indirectnessNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected ⊕⊕⊕ HighAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious 	Final height	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	⊕⊕⊕⊕ High
Baseline No serious limitations No serious inconsistency limitations No serious inconsistency limitations No serious inconsistency limitations No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High Bone age Baseline No serious limitations No serious inconsistency limitations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious limitations Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High HV Baseline No serious limitations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious indirectness No serious limitations Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High Height No serious limitations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious indirectness No serious indirectness Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ Migh HtSDS Baseline No serious limitations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ Moderate Bone age Baseline	HtSDS						
After 1 year No serious limitations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High Bone age Baseline No serious limitations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕ High After 1 year No serious limitations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕ High HV Baseline No serious limitations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕ High After 1 year No serious limitations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High Height Initiations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High Final height No serious limitations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High After 1 year No serious limitations No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High After	Baseline	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	⊕⊕⊕⊕High
Bone age Baseline No serious limitations No serious inconsistency serious No serious indirectness No serious indirectness Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High HV Baseline No serious limitations No serious inconsistency No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High HV Baseline No serious limitations No serious inconsistency No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High Hoff No serious limitations No serious inconsistency No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High Height No serious limitations No serious inconsistency inconsistency No serious inconsistency No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected @⊕⊕⊕ High ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High HtSDS Baseline No serious limitations No serious inconsistency inconsistency No serious inconsistency indirectness No serious indirectness No serious imprecision Undetected @⊕⊕⊕ High ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High HtSDS Baseline No serious limitations No serious inconsi	After 1 year	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	⊕⊕⊕⊕ High
BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency indirectnessNo serious indirectnessNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighHVBaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected @⊕⊕⊕ High⊕⊕⊕ ModerateHVBaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected @⊕⊕⊕ HighAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected @⊕⊕⊕ HighHeightNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected @⊕⊕⊕ HighHSDSBaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected @⊕⊕⊕ HighHtSDSBaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected @⊕⊕⊕ HighBone age BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected @⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected @⊕⊕⊕ HighHV Bas	Bone age						
After 1 yearNo serious limitationsserious (heterogeneity)No serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected $\oplus \oplus \oplus Moderate$ HVBaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus High$ After 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus High$ rhGH + OX vs rhGHHeight limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus High$ Initial heightNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus High$ HtSDS BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus High$ After 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus High$ Bone age BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected $\oplus \oplus $	Baseline	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus$ High
HV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕⊕ HighAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕⊕ HighHeightInitial heightNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious 	After 1 year	No serious limitations	serious (heterogeneity)	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	$\oplus \oplus \oplus$ Moderate
BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighHeight Initial heightNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighFinal heightNo serious 	HV						
After 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus$ HighHeightImitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious indirectnessUndetected $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus$ HighFinal heightNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious indirectnessUndetected $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus$ HighHSDS BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious indirectnessUndetected $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus$ HighAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus$ HighBone age BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected $\oplus \oplus $	Baseline	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	⊕⊕⊕⊕ High
rhGH + OX vs rhGH Height Initial height No serious Final height No serious Iimitations Iimitations Iinconsistency Final height No serious Iimitations Iimitations Iinconsistency Baseline No serious Iimitations Iimitations Iinconsistency After 1 year No serious Iimitations IIImitations IIImitations IIImitations IIImitations IIIImitations IIIImitations IIIIIIImitations IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	After 1 year	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	⊕⊕⊕⊕ High
Height Initial heightNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency No serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighHtSDS BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected mprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHtSDS BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency serious (heterogeneity)No serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected mprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighBone age BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency serious (heterogeneity)No serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected mprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency No serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected mprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency inconsistencyNo serious inconsistency No serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected mprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHV 	rhGH+OX vs rhGH						
Initial heightNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighFinal heightNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighHtSDS BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighBone age BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious indirectnessUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected imprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected imprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency seriousNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected imprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency seriousNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected imprecision⊕⊕⊕ Moderate	Height						
Final heightNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighHtSDS BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency serious (heterogeneity)No serious indirectnessNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected ⊕⊕⊕ HighAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency (heterogeneity)No serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected mprecision⊕⊕⊕ ModerateBone age BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected mprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected mprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected mprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency seriousNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected mprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency seriousNo serious indirectnessNo serious indirectnessUndetected mprecision⊕⊕⊕ ModerateHV limitationsLimitationsNo serious indirectnessNo serious indirec	Initial height	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus$ High
HtSDS BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency serious (heterogeneity)No serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ High ⊕⊕ ModerateAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency (heterogeneity)No serious indirectnessNo serious 	Final height	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	⊕⊕⊕⊕ High
BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency serious (heterogeneity)No serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ High ⊕⊕⊕ ModerateBone age BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious 	HtSDS						
After 1 yearNo serious limitationsserious (heterogeneity)No serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ ModerateBone age BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious indirectnessUndetected imprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious indirectnessUndetected imprecision⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected imprecision⊕⊕⊕ ModerateHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency seriousNo serious indirectnessUndetected imprecision⊕⊕⊕ ModerateHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency seriousNo serious indirectnessUndetected imprecision⊕⊕⊕ ModerateHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected imprecision⊕⊕⊕ Moderate	Baseline	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	⊕⊕⊕⊕ High
Bone age Baseline No serious No serious No serious No serious Imitations No serious Indirectness No serious Imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High After 1 year No serious Imitations No serious No serious No serious Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High HV Baseline No serious No serious No serious No serious Imitations No serious No serious Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High HV Baseline No serious No serious No serious Indirectness No serious Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ High Iimitations No serious Inconsistency No serious No serious Imprecision Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ Moderate Iimitations Iimitations (heterogeneity) No serious No serious Undetected ⊕⊕⊕⊕ Moderate	After 1 year	No serious limitations	serious (heterogeneity)	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	⊕⊕⊕ Moderate
BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected ⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected ⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious 	Bone age						
After 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕⊕ HighHV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistencyNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected ⊕⊕⊕ High⊕⊕⊕⊕ HighAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious serious (heterogeneity)No serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected ⊕⊕⊕ Moderate	Baseline	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	⊕⊕⊕⊕ High
HV BaselineNo serious limitationsNo serious inconsistency seriousNo serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected ⊕⊕⊕ HighAfter 1 yearNo serious limitationsNo serious (heterogeneity)No serious indirectnessNo serious imprecisionUndetected ⊕⊕⊕ Moderate	After 1 year	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	⊕⊕⊕⊕ High
BaselineNo seriousNo seriousNo seriousUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighImitationsImitationsinconsistencyindirectnessimprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ HighAfter 1 yearNo seriousseriousNo seriousNo seriousUndetected⊕⊕⊕ ModerateImitationsImitations(heterogeneity)indirectnessimprecisionUndetected⊕⊕⊕ Moderate	HV						
After 1 yearNo seriousSeriousNo seriousUndetected $\oplus \oplus \oplus$ Moderatelimitations(heterogeneity)indirectnessimprecisionImprecision	Baseline	No serious limitations	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	⊕⊕⊕⊕ High
	After 1 year	No serious limitations	serious (heterogeneity)	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	⊕⊕⊕ Moderate

practice for girls with TS, larger studies of longer duration using a blinded, placebo-controlled design are needed to clarify these issues. Second, the trial reporting final height had lost some participants at the time of reporting. It is possible that treated girls who have not achieved final height would be more likely to be excluded. Similarly, girls in the control group who were growing more slowly might be more likely to leave the trial.

In conclusion, the findings of the present metaanalysis suggest that rhGH alone or with the concomitant

http://www.endocrineconnections.org https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-18-0115 ©2018 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd use of oxandrolone therapy in TS patients may improve final height.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

Funding

This work did not receive any specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sector.





Author contribution statement

P L and F C conceived and designed the experiments. P L, L X and H L carried out the experiments and calculations. P L and F C wrote and edited the paper. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Yuqian Dong for preparing figures.

References

- 1 Jacobs PA, Melville M, Ratcliffe S, Keay AJ & Syme J. A cytogenetic survey of 11,680 newborn infants. *Annals of Human Genetics* 1974 **37** 359–376. (https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-1809.1974.tb01843.x)
- 2 Nielsen J & Wohlert M. Chromosome abnormalities found among 34,910 newborn children: results from a 13-year incidence study in Arhus, Denmark. *Human Genetics* 1991 **87** 81–83. (https://doi. org/10.1007/BF01213097)
- 3 Ranke MB, Pflüger H, Rosendahl W, Stubbe P, Enders H, Bierich JR & Majewski F. Turner syndrome: spontaneous growth in 150 cases and review of the literature. *European Journal of Pediatrics* 1983 **141** 81–88. (https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00496795)
- 4 Lyon AJ, Preece MA & Grant DB. Growth curve for girls with Turner syndrome. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 1985 **60** 932–935. (https:// doi.org/10.1136/adc.60.10.932)
- 5 Holl RW, Kunze D, Etzrodt H, Teller W & Heinze E. Turner syndrome: final height, glucose tolerance, bone density and psychosocial status in 25 adult patients. *European Journal of Pediatrics* 1994 **153** 11–16. (https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02000780)
- 6 Ranke MB & Grauer ML. Adult height in Turner syndrome: results of a multinational survey 1993. *Hormone Research* 1994 **42** 90–94. (https://doi.org/10.1159/000184154)
- 7 Rochiccioli P, David M, Malpuech G, Colle M, Limal JM, Battin J, Mariani R, Sultan C, Nivelon JL, Simonin G, et al. Study of final height in Turner's syndrome: ethnic and genetic influences. Acta Paediatrica 1994 83 305–308. (https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.1994.tb18099.x)
- 8 Rongen-Westerlaken C, Corel L, van den Broeck J, Massa G, Karlberg J, Albertsson-Wikland K, Naeraa RW & Wit JM. Reference values for height, height velocity and weight in Turner's syndrome. Swedish Study Group for GH treatment. *Acta Paediatrica* 1997 **86** 937–942. (https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.1997.tb15174.x)
- 9 van Pareren YK, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, Stijnen T, Sas TC, Jansen M, Otten BJ, Hoorweg-Nijman JJ, Vulsma T, Stokvis-Brantsma WH, Rouwé CW, *et al.* Final height in girls with turner syndrome after long-term growth hormone treatment in three dosages and low dose estrogens. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2003 **88** 1119–1125. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2002-021171)
- 10 Stephure DK. Impact of growth hormone supplementation on adult height in turner syndrome: results of the Canadian randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2005 **90** 3360–3366. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2004-2187)
- 11 Sas TC, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, Stijnen T, Jansen M, Otten BJ, Hoorweg-Nijman JJ, Vulsma T, Massa GG, Rouwe CW, Reeser HM, *et al.* Normalization of height in girls with Turner syndrome after long-term growth hormone treatment: results of a randomized doseresponse trial. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1999 **84** 4607–4612. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.84.12.6241)
- 12 Rosenfeld RG. Growth hormone therapy in Turner's syndrome: an update on final height. Genentech National Cooperative Study Group. *Acta Paediatrica* 1992 **383** 3–6.
- 13 Zeger MP, Shah K, Kowal K, Cutler GB Jr, Kushner H & Ross JL. Prospective study confirms oxandrolone-associated improvement in height in growth hormone-treated adolescent girls with Turner

http://www.endocrineconnections.org https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-18-0115 ©2018 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd syndrome. Hormone Research in Paediatrics 2011 **75** 38–46. (https://doi.org/10.1159/000317529)

- 14 Rosenfeld RG, Attie KM, Frane J, Brasel JA, Burstein S, Cara JF, Chernausek S, Gotlin RW, Kuntze J, Lippe BM, *et al.* Growth hormone therapy of Turner's syndrome: beneficial effect on adult height. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1998 **132** 319–324. (https://doi. org/10.1016/S0022-3476(98)70452-4)
- 15 Nilsson KO, Albertsson-Wikland K, Alm J, Aronson S, Gustafsson J, Hagenäs L, Häger A, Ivarsson SA, Karlberg J, Kriström B, et al. Improved final height in girls with Turner's syndrome treated with growth hormone and oxandrolone. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology* and Metabolism 1996 81 635–640. (https://doi.org/10.1210/ jcem.81.2.8636281)
- 16 Stahnke N, Keller E & Landy H. Favorable final height outcome in girls with Ullrich-Turner syndrome treated with low-dose growth hormone together with oxandrolone despite starting treatment after 10 years of age. *Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2002 **15** 129–138.
- 17 Davenport ML, Crowe BJ, Travers SH, Rubin K, Ross JL, Fechner PY, Gunther DF, Liu C, Geffner ME, Thrailkill K, *et al.* Growth hormone treatment of early growth failure in toddlers with Turner syndrome: a randomized, controlled, multicenter trial. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2007 **92** 3406–3416. (https://doi. org/10.1210/jc.2006-2874)
- 18 Freriks K, Sas TC, Traas MA, Netea-Maier RT, den Heijer M, Hermus AR, Wit JM, van Alfen-van der Velden JA, Otten BJ, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, *et al.* Long-term effects of previous oxandrolone treatment in adult women with Turner syndrome. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 2013 **168** 91–99. (https://doi. org/10.1530/EJE-12-0404)
- 19 Chu CE, Paterson WF, Kelnar CJH, Smail PJ, Greene SA & Donaldson MDC. Variable effect of growth hormone on growth and final adult height in Scottish patients with Turner's syndrome. *Acta Paediatrica* 1997 **86** 160–164. (https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.1997.tb08858.x)
- 20 Dacou-Voutetakis C, Karavanaki-Karanassiou K, Petrou V, Georgopoulos N, Maniati-Christidi M & Mavrou A. The growth pattern and final height of girls with Turner syndrome with and without human growth hormone treatment. *Pediatrics* 1998 **101** 663–668. (https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.101.4.663)
- 21 Sybert VP. Adult height in Turner syndrome with and without androgen therapy. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1984 **104** 365–369. (https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3476(84)81096-3)
- 22 Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, Kunz R, Vist G, Brozek J, Norris S, Falck-Ytter Y, Glasziou P, DeBeer H, *et al*. GRADE guidelines: 1 introduction-GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011 **64** 383–394. (https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.04.026)
- 23 Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Atkins D, Brozek J, Vist G, Alderson P, Glasziou P, Falck-Ytter Y & Schünemann HJ. GRADE guidelines: 2 framing the question and deciding on important outcomes. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011 **64** 395–400. (https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.09.012)
- 24 Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Sultan S, Glasziou P, Akl EA, Alonso-Coello P, Atkins D, Kunz R, Brozek J, Montori V, et al. GRADE guidelines: 9 rating up the quality of evidence. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011 64 1311–1316. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.06.004)
- 25 Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Woodcock J, Brozek J, Helfand M, Alonso-Coello P, Glasziou P, Jaeschke R, Akl EA, et al. GRADE guidelines: 7 rating the quality of evidence – inconsistency. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011 **64** 1294–1302. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclinepi.2011.03.017)
- 26 Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Brozek J, Alonso-Coello P, Rind D, Devereaux PJ, Montori VM, Freyschuss B, Vist G, et al. GRADE guidelines 6 rating the quality of evidence – imprecision. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011 **64** 1283–1293. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclinepi.2011.01.012)





- 27 Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Montori V, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, Alonso-Coello P, Djulbegovic B, Atkins D, Falck-Ytter Y, *et al.* GRADE guidelines: 5 rating the quality of evidence – publication bias. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011 **64** 1277–1282. (https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.01.011)
- 28 Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, Alonso-Coello P, Montori V, Akl EA, Djulbegovic B, Falck-Ytter Y, *et al.* GRADE guidelines: 4 rating the quality of evidence – study limitations (risk of bias). *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011 **64** 407–415. (https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.07.017)
- 29 Balshem H, Helfand M, Schünemann HJ, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Brozek J, Vist GE, Falck-Ytter Y, Meerpohl J, Norris S, et al. GRADE guidelines: 3 rating the quality of evidence. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011 64 401–406. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclinepi.2010.07.015)
- 30 Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Woodcock J, Brozek J, Helfand M, Alonso-Coello P, Falck-Ytter Y, Jaeschke R, Vist G, *et al.* GRADE guidelines: 8 rating the quality of evidence – indirectness. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011 **64** 1303–1310. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclinepi.2011.04.014)
- 31 Job JC & Landier F. Three-year results of treatment with growth hormone, alone or associated with oxandrolone, in girls with Turner syndrome. The Kabi Collaborative Study Group. *Hormone Research* 1991 **35** 229–233. (https://doi.org/10.1159/000181910)
- 32 Quigley CA, Crowe BJ, Anglin DG & Chipman JJ. Growth hormone and low dose estrogen in Turner syndrome: results of a United States multi-center trial to near-final height. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2002 **87** 2033–2041. (https://doi.org/10.1210/ jcem.87.5.8477)
- 33 Ross JL, Quigley CA, Cao D, Feuillan P, Kowal K, Chipman JJ & Cutler GB Jr. Growth hormone plus childhood low-dose estrogen in Turner's syndrome. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2011 **364** 1230–1242. (https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1005669)

- 34 Gault EJ, Perry RJ, Cole TJ, Casey S, Paterson WF, Hindmarsh PC, Betts P, Dunger DB, Donaldson MD & British Society for Paediatric Endocrinology and Diabetes. Effect of oxandrolone and timing of pubertal induction on final height in Turner's syndrome: randomised, double blind, placebo controlled trial. *BMJ* 2011 **342** d1980. (https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d1980)
- 35 Menke LA, Sas TC, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, Zandwijken GR, de Ridder MA, Odink RJ, Jansen M, Delemarre-van de Waal HA, Stokvis-Brantsma WH, Waelkens JJ, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of oxandrolone in growth hormone-treated girls with turner syndrome. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2010 **95** 1151–1160. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2009-1821)
- 36 Rosenfeld, RG, Hintz RL, Johanson AJ, Brasel JA, Burstein S, Chernausek SD, Clabots T, Frane J, Gotlin RW, Kuntze J, *et al.* Methionyl human growth hormone and oxandrolone in Turner syndrome: preliminary results of a prospective randomized trial. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1986 **109** 936–943. (https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0022-3476(86)80272-4)
- 37 Baxter L, Bryant J, Cave CB & Milne R. Recombinant growth hormone for children and adolescents with Turner syndrome. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2007 1 CD003887. (https:// doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003887.pub2)
- 38 de Muinck Keizer-Schrama S, Van den Broeck J, Sas T & Hokken-Koelega A. Final height of growth hormone-treated GH-deficient children and girls with Turner's syndrome: the Dutch experience. The Dutch Advisory Group on Growth Hormone. *Hormone Research* 1999 **51** (Supplement 3) 127–131. (https://doi. org/10.1159/000053175)
- 39 Carel JC, Mathivon L, Gendrel C, Ducret JP & Chaussain JL. Near normalization of final height with adapted doses of growth hormone in Turner's syndrome. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1998 **83** 1462–1466. (https://doi.org/10.1210/ jcem.83.5.4777)

Received in final form 14 March 2018 Accepted 26 March 2018 Accepted Preprint published online 26 March 2018

