

Primary malignant mesenchymoma of bladder Case report and review of the literature

Zecheng Yang, MD^a, Xiaodong Yang, MS^b, Xianqi Lu, MS^c, Lijian Gao, MS^b, Gang Li, MD^c, Xuefeng Zhang, MS^{d,*}

Abstract

Rationale: Malignant mesenchymoma (MM) is defined as a heterogeneous malignant soft tissue tumor that consists of 2 or more distinctly different mesenchymal components in addition to fibrosarcomatous elements. Bladder MM was rarely reported in the literature and there are only 5 cases of primary bladder MM documented in English literature to date.

Patient concerns: A 58-year-old male complained of difficulty in urination and intermittent gross hematuria for 3 months. Doppler ultrasound scan revealed an avascular and homogeneous hypoechoic mass measured 6.5×9 cm in the bladder. Computed tomography showed a homogeneous solid mass in the bladder.

Diagnoses: Pathology revealed spindle-shaped tumor and proliferation of poorly differentiated immature mesenchymal cells rich in eosinophilic cytoplasm with hyperchromatic sticklike nuclei. Immunohistochemical examinations were positive for CD117.

Interventions: The patient was diagnosed with presence of bladder tumor and underwent radical cystectomy; the optimal treatment strategy was reviewed and discussed.

Outcomes: There was no recurrence or metastasis during a 16-month follow-up.

Lessons: Our case study demonstrated bladder MM with a relatively indolent clinical course. A multidisciplinary approach including surgery, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy may be useful. Radical resection is the most important determinant of clinical outcome. Generally, the clinical outcome and prognosis of mesenchymoma are favorable.

Abbreviations: CT = computed tomography, MM = malignant mesenchymoma.

Keywords: bladder tumors, malignant tumor, mesenchymoma

1. Introduction

Malignant mesenchymoma (MM) is a rare tumor and was first described by Stout in 1948^[1]; the histogenesis and etiology were uncertain. It was defined as a malignant soft tissue tumor that consists of 2 or more distinctly different mesenchymal components. Primary MM of bladder was reported occasionally; a review of the literature revealed only 5 cases fit the criteria of primary bladder MM.^[2–6] To our knowledge, the present case is the sixth case in the English literature to date.

These study protocols were approved by the medical ethics committee of the Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin Institute of Urology.

^a Department of General Surgery, The Second Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun City, Jilin Province, ^b Department of Urology, Dezhou People Hospital, Dezhou City, Shandong Province, ^c Department of Urology, The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin Institute of Urology, Tianjin City, ^d Department of Urology, Weihai Central Hospital, Weihai City, Shandong Province, China.

* Correspondence: Xuefeng Zhang, Department of Urology, Weihai Central Hospital, Weihai City, Shandong, China (e-mail: 690511471@qq.com).

Copyright © 2017 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Medicine (2017) 96:32(e7579)

Received: 15 February 2017 / Received in final form: 27 June 2017 / Accepted: 29 June 2017

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000007579

2. Case report

The patient was a 58-year-old male who complained of difficulty in urination and intermittent gross hematuria for 3 months. He was admitted to our hospital on June 1, 2010. There was no family history of cancer or chronic illness. Rectal examination disclosed a mildly enlarged mass just like benign prostate. Abdominal exploration was unremarkable. Urinalysis revealed gross and microscopic hematuria though urine Gram stain did not suggest infection. Biochemical investigations revealed mild hyperbilirubinemia and the rest parameters were normal. Urinary cytology studies showed no abnormalities. Laboratory findings showed blood counts, electrolytes, and other routine studies were all normal. The preoperative Doppler ultrasound scan revealed an avascular and homogeneous hypoechoic mass measuring 6.5×9 cm in the bladder. A subsequent computed tomography (CT) demonstrated a large mass showing homogeneous density in the bladder region without obvious enlarged lymph nodes (Fig. 1). Intravenous pyelogram (IVP) indicated a huge filling defect in the areas of the bladder (Fig. 2) though it was normal in the upper urinary tract. At cystoscopy, a nonpapillary and nonpedunculated tumor was seen on the trigone wall of the bladder. The lesions occupied almost the entire vesical cavity. Two biopsy specimens were sampled separately for histological examination. Autopsy specimen showed immature mesenchymal cells with fibrous change and differentiated lesion composed predominantly of spindle-shaped cells. It suggested to the possibility of a mesenchymoma with immature differentiation tendency.

Based on the patient's clinical history and the biopsy results, unspecified malignancy or benign mesenchymal origin was

Editor: Muhammed Mubarak.

ZY and XY contribute equally to the article.

The authors report no conflicts of interest.



Figure 1. Abdominal CT shows a solid mass with relatively low density in comparison to the adjacent muscles in the bladder.



Figure 3. On operating, a well-circumscribed tumor measuring about $9 \times 7 \, \text{cm}$ was found with a relatively large pedicle.

revealed. It appeared the best to proceed with open surgical partial cystectomy under general anesthesia. Before operation, the chest x-ray and ultrasound scan of the retroperitoneum and abdomen did not reveal signs of tumor dissemination. On exploration through a suprapubic approach a distended bladder was revealed; a well-circumscribed tumor about 10 cm in diameter was found in the trigone of bladder with relatively large pedicle parts (Fig. 3). It was hard to find the left ureter orifice. There was no significant abnormality in the adjacent structures. Partial cystectomy would induce insufficient bladder volume. Complete resection of the tumor was impossible at that time so we decided to perform radical cystectomy and urinary diversion of bilateral ureterocutaneostomy. The postoperation and convalescence went smoothly.

Gross pathologic examination showed a mass measuring $6 \times 7 \times 9$ cm encapsulated by smooth vesical mucosa and containing myxoid areas. The cut surfaces had a homogeneous, grayish-white appearance without necrosis. Tumor tissue was fixed in

10% formaldehyde neutral and embedded in paraffin according to conventional procedures. Histologically, the tumor was composed of fiber and had bundle-shaped structure. The tumor cells were in spindle shape and rich in eosinophilic cytoplasm (Fig. 4). Proliferation of poorly differentiated immature mesenchymal cells with hyperchromatic sticklike nuclei which are minimal atypia could be seen. The mitotic figure was higher than 5 mitoses per 50 high-power fields (Fig. 5). The tumor had superficial muscular layer invasion, and immunohistochemical examinations demonstrated it positive for CD117 (Fig. 6) whereas negative for Desmin, SMA, CK, and CD34. The final histological diagnosis was primary MM of urinary bladder. The dominant architectural pattern of the tumor was of spindle cells in a storiform arrangement, resembling a so-called malignant fibrous histiocytoma. Cellular polymorphism was marked and coupled with numerous typical and atypical mitotic figures.

The patient did not receive any adjuvant chemotherapy, and with a follow-up for 16 months after surgical operation, he was



Figure 2. IVP indicated a huge filling defect in the areas of bladder. IVP = intravenous pyelogram.



Figure 4. Microscopically, the tumor cells were composed of pleomorphic spindle tumor cells and rich in eosinophilic cytoplasm. Proliferation of poorly differentiated immature mesenchymal cells with hyperchromatic sticklike nuclei, which are minimal atypia, was seen (hematoxylin and eosin staining, \times 200).



Figure 5. Immature mesenchymal cells with hyperchromatic sticklike and atypia nuclei (hematoxylin and eosin staining, ×400).



Figure 6. An immunohistochemical examination shows focally positive immunoreactivity of CD117 (immunohistochemical staining, $\times 100$).

found free of the disease without recurrence and metastasis on routine examination.

3. Discussion

MM arises from a primitive mesenchymal cell with the capacity for totipotent differentiation. It can occur at all locations in the body, especially in the retroperitoneum, soft tissue, and digestive tract such as gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST), which are predominant in adults or malignant extra-GIST, which are very rare.^[7] The disease usually presents with abdominal pain, hematuria, dysuria, or a palpable mass but with distant metastasis in some patients. In radiological terms, most MMs exhibited solid mass with larger size than that of common form of the bladder cancer, just as our case, presenting with homogeneous density in comparison with the adjacent muscles. MM may demonstrate various radiologic features. Calcification or necrosis was visible within the tumor whereas enlarged lymph nodes were rare. There was little literature to describe the radiological character of MM. The radiologic findings of well-circumscribed and heterogeneous mass which, including osteosarcomatous components, were considered to be MMs.^[8] In our case, tumor was a homogeneous mass and without calcification. It was hard to determine malignant character although the biopsy was done before operation. The correct diagnosis needed immunohistochemical examinations, especially CD117 and CD34.^[9] It is difficult to make the diagnosis of MM by radiological imaging characters which were often unspecific. Imaging and clinical features are of no great help to the diagnosis of MM.^[10] The differential diagnosis must take into account primary or metastatic tumors (especially musculoskeletal tumors), as well as mesothelioma and other rare sarcomas. There is corresponding relationship between the size of the tumor and cells atypia and the prognosis of MM. Immunohistochemical studies were necessary to establish the diagnosis.

Given its scarcity, there are insufficient data to suggest the optimal management strategy for MM of the urinary bladder. A multidisciplinary approach including surgery, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy may be useful. Radical resection is the most important determinant of clinical outcome. Chemotherapy and radiotherapy were ineffective for the soft parts of the sarcoma,^[11] including the MM. Radiology and/or chemotherapy resulted in a differential response. Randomized clinical trial illustrated that targeted treatments such as high-dose Imatinib can improve progression-free survival in gastrointestinal stromal tumors.^[12] In our case, the clinical course was rather indolent. Although without any adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy, there was no evidence of metastasis during the subsequent 16-month period. The patient was in good health; it seems to be a more favorable prognosis.

In the 3 available documented bladder MMs, sizes were $18 \times 10 \times 9$, $14 \times 13 \times 7$, and $14 \times 13 \times 7$ cm, respectively. It seems to be larger than traditional bladder transitional carcinoma (BTCC). Among these 3 cases, only 2 have detailed follow-up data. One patient received surgery, chemotherapy, and irradiation, and had a total life of 21 months.^[13] The other just received radical cystectomy and died 2 months after diagnosis. The prognosis of MM is poor. With few exceptions, the median survival time is about 30 months from diagnosis.^[14]

4. Conclusion

The prognosis of MM remains controversial. There is no statistically significant prognosis indicator with respect to gender, tumor site, tumor size, or MIB-1-labeling index.^[15] Patients less than 40 years old or those who had an RMS component showed significantly worse prognosis; the other mesenchymal components showed no significant correlation with survival.

References

- Stout AP. Mesenchymoma, mixed tumor of mesenchymal derivatives. Ann Surg 1948;127:278–90.
- [2] Hirsch EF, Gasser GW. Cancerous mixed tumor of the urinary bladder. Arch Pathol Lab Med 1944;37:24–6.
- [3] Jones HM. Osteogenic leiomyosarcoma of the bladder. Br J Surg 1950;38:242-5.
- [4] Ramesh K. Malignant mesenchymoma as a primary urinary bladder tumor. Cent Afr J Med 1995;41:26–8.
- [5] Terada Y, Saito I, Morohoshi T, et al. Malignant mesenchymoma of the bladder. Cancer 1987;60:858–63.
- [6] Li YF, Yu CP, Wu ST, et al. Malignant mesenchymal tumor with leiomyosarcoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, chondrosarcoma, and osteosarcoma differentiation: case report and literature review. Diagn Pathol 2011;6:35.
- [7] Abdull Gaffar B. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors and extra-gastrointestinal tract neoplasms. South Med J 2010;103:1004–8.

- [8] Suzuki S, Furui S, Kokubo T, et al. Retroperitoneal malignant mesenchymoma: imaging findings in five cases. Abdom Imaging 1999; 24:92–7.
- [9] Yamamoto H, Oda Y, Kawaguehi K, et al. C-kit and PDGFRA mutations in extragastrointestinal stromal tumor (gastrointestinal stromal tumor of the soft tissue). Am J Surg Pathol 2004;28:479–88.
- [10] Mukherji SK, Rojiani AM, Younathan CM, et al. CT findings of retroperitoneal malignant mesenchymoma. Abdom Imaging 1994;19:82–3.
- [11] Hauser H, Beham A, Schmid C, et al. Malignant mesenchymoma: a very rare tumor of the peritoneum. Case report with a review of the literature. Langenbecks Arch Chir 1991;376:38–41.
- [12] Blanke CD, Demetri GD, von Mehren M, et al. Long-term results from a randomized phase II trial of standard versus higher-dose Imatinib mesylate for patients with unresectable or metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumors expressing KIT. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:620–5.
- [13] Terada Y, Saito I, Morohoshi T, et al. Malignant mesenchymoma of the bladder. Cancer 1987;60:858–63.
- [14] Brady MS, Perino G, Tallini G, et al. Malignant mesenchymoma. Cancer 1996;77:467–73.
- [15] Adachi T, Oda Y, Sakamoto A, et al. Prognostic factors in the so-called malignant mesenchymoma: a clinicopathological and immunohistochemical analysis. Oncol Rep 2003;10:803–11.