

Citation: Scherer SD, Bauer J, Schmaus A, Neumaier C, Herskind C, Veldwijk MR, et al. (2016) TGF-β1 Is Present at High Levels in Wound Fluid from Breast Cancer Patients Immediately Post-Surgery, and Is Not Increased by Intraoperative Radiation Therapy (IORT). PLoS ONE 11(9): e0162221. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0162221

Editor: Gabriele Multhoff, Technische Universitat Munchen, GERMANY

Received: June 29, 2016

Accepted: August 18, 2016

Published: September 2, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Scherer et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper.

Funding: This work was supported by: Carl Zeiss Meditec AG: CH FW; Klinikum Manheim GmbH (Franz-Volhard-Stiftungsprofessur für Mikrovaskuläre Biologie und Pathobiologie): JPS; and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Schwerpunkt Programm SPP1190 "tumor vessel interface": JPS. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. RESEARCH ARTICLE

TGF-β1 Is Present at High Levels in Wound Fluid from Breast Cancer Patients Immediately Post-Surgery, and Is Not Increased by Intraoperative Radiation Therapy (IORT)

Sandra D. Scherer^{1,2®}, Jochen Bauer^{1,2®¤}, Anja Schmaus^{1,2}, Christian Neumaier³, Carsten Herskind³, Marlon R. Veldwijk³, Frederik Wenz³, Jonathan P. Sleeman^{1,2}*

1 Centre for Biomedicine and Medical Technology Mannheim, Medical Faculty Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany, 2 Institute of Toxicology and Genetics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany, 3 Department of Radiation Oncology, Universitätsmedizin Mannheim, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

¤ Current address: Institute of Anatomy and Cell Biology, University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany * sleeman@medma.uni-heidelberg.de

Abstract

In patients with low-risk breast cancer, intraoperative radiotherapy (IORT) during breastconserving surgery is a novel and convenient treatment option for delivering a single high dose of irradiation directly to the tumour bed. However, edema and fibrosis can develop after surgery and radiotherapy, which can subsequently impair quality of life. TGF- β is a strong inducer of the extracellular matrix component hyaluronan (HA). TGF-β expression and HA metabolism can be modulated by irradiation experimentally, and are involved in edema and fibrosis. We therefore hypothesized that IORT may regulate these factors. Wound fluid (WF) draining from breast lumpectomy sites was collected and levels of TGFβ1 and HA were determined by ELISA. Proliferation and marker expression was analyzed in primary lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs) treated with recombinant TGF-β or WF. Our results show that IORT does not change TGF-B1 or HA levels in wound fluid draining from breast lumpectomy sites, and does not lead to accumulation of sHA oligosaccharides. Nevertheless, concentrations of TGF-β1 were high in WF from patients regardless of IORT, at concentrations well above those associated with fibrosis and the suppression of LEC identity. Consistently, we found that TGF- β in WF is active and inhibits LEC proliferation. Furthermore, all three TGF-β isoforms inhibited LEC proliferation and suppressed LEC marker expression at pathophysiologically relevant concentrations.

Given that TGF- β contributes to edema and plays a role in the regulation of LEC identity, we suggest that inhibition of TGF- β directly after surgery might prevent the development of side effects such as edema and fibrosis.



Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Radiotherapy is an integral component of cancer treatment, and more than 50% of cancer patients receive radiotherapy during the course of their disease [1]. The dose of irradiation given to the tumour directly correlates with the probability that the tumour will be effectively controlled, yet the dose that can be given is limited by concomitant damage to the surrounding normal tissue. Recent advances in radiation technologies, treatment planning and treatment delivery have resulted in increased sparing of normal tissue outside the clinical target volume. Nevertheless, non-fatal normal tissue responses to irradiation such as edema, fibrosis or chronic pain inside the target volume can significantly impair the patient's quality of life [2].

To improve the efficacy and reduce the side effects of radiation therapy, intraoperative radiation therapy (IORT) has been developed to deliver radiation directly to the tumour site following surgery [3, 4]. Compared to conventional radiotherapy, a single dose IORT directly delivered to the tumour site yields similar rates of local recurrence and preservation of healthy tissue while at the same time offers the advantages of reduced treatment times [5–8]. Furthermore, IORT can also be used as a boost for patients who receive breast-conserving surgery in combination with external beam radiotherapy (EBRT), allowing any tumour cells remaining after surgery to be subjected to radiotherapy during the surgical procedure, without the necessity of a recovery period [9–11]. However, similar to other radiotherapies, side effects have been reported after IORT, including edema and fibrosis [7, 12–14]. Reducing these side effects offers the promise of further increasing the benefits of IORT.

Fibrosis is typified by the formation of excess fibrous connective tissue, for example during the repair of injured tissue. It is caused by the production of excess extracellular matrix proteins and the accumulation of activated fibroblasts [15]. Transforming growth factor beta (TGF- β) is recognized as being a central regulator of fibrosis [16]. In experimental animals, expression of TGF- β increases in a dose-dependent manner in response to irradiation [17–19]. Consistently, radiation-induced tissue fibrosis is associated with enhanced TGF- β expression in patients [16, 20]. Furthermore, radiation-induced TGF- β expression is functionally involved in the development of radiation-induced fibrosis, as in experimental animals administration of anti-TGF- β antibodies or inhibitors of TGF- β signalling suppressed fibrosis formation subsequent to irradiation [21, 22]. Thus inhibition of TGF- β activity may help to reduce fibrosis after radiation therapy in human patients [20].

Induction of edema in irradiated tissue can compromise the function of the organ concerned, and direct or indirect impairment of the lymphatic vasculature by radiotherapy plays an important role in this pathology [23-25]. Although TGF- β has been reported to promote lymphangiogenesis indirectly in some contexts by stimulating VEGF-C expression in certain epithelial cells [26], evidence has accrued in recent years that the direct effects of TGF- β on lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs) negatively regulate the lymphatic system by suppressing lymphangiogenesis and downregulating expression of genes that determine LEC identity [27, 28]. Furthermore, tissue irradiation results in reduced numbers of lymphatic vessels, lymphatic dysfunction and LEC apoptosis [29, 30]. Notably, blockade of TGF- β activity in an animal model was found to decrease fibrosis and increase lymphatic function after photon irradiation [29]. Together, these data support the hypothesis that radiation-induced TGF- β can contribute to lymphatic dysfunction and thus to the development of edema. Moreover, as TGF- β expression is increased in cells within lymphedematous tissues [31] the development of edema may further exacerbate lymphatic dysfunction.

The glycosaminoglycan hyaluronic acid (HA) is comprised of repeating subunits of N-acetyl glucosamine and glucuronic acid, and is a major component of the extracellular matrix (ECM) [32]. HA is synthesized as a high molecular weight polymer of up to 10^7 Da (HMW-HA), but

during tissue injury and inflammation, enhanced synthesis combined with cleavage of HA, for example through the activities of hyaluronidases and free radicals, can result in HA fragmentation and the accumulation of HA oligosaccharides [33-38]. Ionizing irradiation can also fragment HA directly [39]. Enhanced levels of HA are found in irradiated tissues, consistent with increased expression of hyaluronan synthases in response to irradiation [40, 41]. TGF- β can increase HA synthesis [42, 43] and can also induce expression of the HA synthases HAS1 and HAS2 [44]. Together these observations suggest that irradiation has the potential to stimulate accumulation of small HA (sHA) oligosaccharides (here defined as being of up to 25 disaccharides in length) by increasing both the synthesis and breakdown of HA. We and others have shown that sHA exerts a number of biological effects not observed with HMW-HA, including activation of dendritic cells [45], induction of inflammatory responses [46], angiogenesis [47, 48], lymphangiogenesis [48, 49] and increased expression of matrix metalloproteases and cytokines [50, 51]. Importantly, it has been suggested that HA and TGF- β cooperate during fibrosis [42].

In this study we have addressed the hypothesis that the local edema and fibrosis that can develop after IORT might be caused by increased TGF- β production, increased HA production, and/or accumulation of HA oligosaccharides as a consequence of the irradiation. To this end we examined levels of these factors in wound fluid (WF) draining from the surgical operation sites of breast cancer patients whose primary tumour had been removed, and who had either received or not received IORT. We found that IORT had no significant effect on the levels of these factors in WF. Nevertheless, WF was found to contain substantial levels of TGF- β 1 regardless of IORT, at levels well above those associated with fibrosis and impaired lymphatic function. These data therefore suggest that inhibition of TGF- β activity immediately after surgery may be beneficial for breast cancer patients by suppressing the formation of fibrosis and maintaining lymphatic function.

Materials and Methods

WF collection and preparation

In this study, 23 patients with low-risk breast cancer were treated with breast-conserving surgery. Of these patients, 11 received intraoperative radiotherapy in addition using a single 20 Gy fraction (50 kV X-ray; Intrabeam, Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) as described previously [5, 7]. The age of the patients at treatment without IORT was 66 ± 13 , whereas the age of patients treated with IORT was 60 ± 12 . After surgery, WF was drained from the wound for 24 h as described previously [52]. Thereafter, samples were collected, centrifuged with 800×g for 5 min and the supernatant was filtered through 40 µm filters (BD Falcon, Heidelberg, Germany). After a second centrifugation step (3500×g for 5 min.) the supernatant was subsequently filtered through 5, 0.8 and 0.22 µm filters and aliquots were stored at -80°C.

Analysis of TGF-β1, total HA and sHA concentrations in WF

TGF-β1 concentrations were quantified using a commercially available ELISA (R&D Systems, Wiesbaden, Germany). Total HA concentrations were analysed using an HA ELISAlike-assay (Echelon, Utah, USA). Concentrations of sHA were determined as recently described [53]. Briefly, WF was centrifuged through Amicon filters with a cut-off of 10 kDa, and sHA concentrations in the ultracentrifugation filtrate were determined using the HA ELISA-like-assay.

PLOS ONE

Proliferation assay

Human dermal primary LECs (Promocell, Heidelberg, Germany) were cultivated at 5% O_2 , 5% CO_2 in EBM-2 MV medium containing growth factors and 5% FCS (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland). LECs were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 1x10³ cells per well and cultured for 24 hours. The cells were then cultivated in the absence of growth factors and FCS for a further 16 hours. For blocking experiments, the cells were pre-treated with 20 µg/ml monoclonal mouse-anti-human TGF- β antibody (R&D Systems, Cat. No. MAB1835) or the corresponding isotype control antibodies (R&D Systems). Cells were incubated for 72 hours with human TGF- β 1, - β 2, - β 3 (Reliatech, Wolfenbüttel, Germany) or with 10% WF from patients. They were then labelled for 16 hours with 1 µCi ³H thymidine. To analyze the amount of incorporated radioactivity, the cells were trypsinized for 30 min and harvested onto a glass fibre filter (Wallac, Turku, Finland) using a Harvester 96 cell harvester (Tomtec, Hamden, CT, USA). The filter-immobilised radioactivity was quantified using MicroBeta TriLux Liquid Scintillation together with a luminescence counter (Wallac).

SDS-PAGE and Western Blot

LECs were treated with human TGF- β 1, - β 2 and β 3 for the indicated time points. Lysates were prepared and subjected to Western blot analysis using 5 µg/ml polyclonal rabbit-anti-human Prox-1 (Reliatech, Cat. No. 102-PA32AG), 1 µg/ml polyclonal goat-anti-human Lyve-1 (R&D Systems, Cat. No. AF2089), 0.2 µg/ml polyclonal goat-anti-human VEGF-R3 (R&D Systems, Cat. No. AF349) and 0.1 µg/ml polyclonal goat-anti-human vimentin (R&D Systems, Cat. No. AF2105) antibodies. For evaluation of protein loading, the blot was probed with 0.01 µg/ml monoclonal mouse-anti-human vinculin-specific antibodies (Sigma Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany, Cat. No. V9264). For densitometric evaluation, bands for the Prox-1, Lyve-1, vimentin and VEGFR-3 proteins were normalized to the corresponding loading control using the software ImageJ. Values are presented relative to untreated control samples.

Ethical standards

Experiments comply with the current laws of Germany. The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Commission II of the Medical Faculty of Mannheim, University Heidelberg and was conducted according to Declaration of Helsinki principles. Specifically, participants were provided with written information about the proposed use of their biological samples, which was also explained to them verbally by the attending physician. Thereafter the participants provided their written consent. This procedure was approved by the ethics committee.

Statistical analysis

The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to determine whether concentrations of TGF- β 1, total HA or sHA were significantly different in WF from patients receiving IORT or not. Statistical significance in proliferation assays was determined using the Student's t-test.

Results

To determine if IORT can lead to the production and accumulation of TGF- β 1, HA or sHA, WF draining breast lumpectomy sites from breast cancer patients treated or not treated with IORT during surgery was collected. Levels of TGF- β 1, HA and sHA were measured in the WF. IORT had no significant effect on the concentration of any of these factors (Fig 1). The volume of WF draining from the surgical site was comparable in patients without IORT (39.9 ml ± 39.9) or treated with IORT (34.8 ml ± 34.7). As the volume of WF draining from the



Fig 1. Levels of TGF- β 1, total HA and sHA in wound fluid from breast cancer patients with and without IORT. Box-plots comparing the concentrations of TGF- β 1 (a), total HA (b) and sHA (c) in interstitial fluid draining from breast lumpectomy sites from patients treated with and without IORT. The upper boundary of the boxes indicates the 75th percentile; the lower boundary indicates the 25th percentile. The line within the boxes indicates the median and the dot within the boxes represents the mean. Whiskers above and below the boxes indicate the 90th and 10th percentile, respectively. The dot lying above the 90th percentile-whisker represents the maximum and the dot below the 10th percentile-whisker represents the minimum. Differences between patients with and without IORT were not statistically significant (Kruskal-Wallis test, TGF- β 1 p > 0.18; total HA p > 0.49; sHA p > 0.06).

surgical site differed widely from patient to patient, we determined whether the concentrations of TGF- β 1, HA or sHA in WF correlated with the volume of WF. No correlation between the concentration of TGF- β 1 and the volume of WF collected (correlation coefficient 0.00227) was observed. Similar results were obtained for HA and sHA levels (correlation coefficients 0.00361or 0.0064, respectively). These results rule out the possibility that differences in the volume of WF account for variance in the concentrations of TGF- β 1, HA or sHA in WF.

Strikingly, high levels of TGF- β were found in the WF of both groups of patients, with concentrations up to more than 40 ng/ml, and mean values between 10–20 ng/ml (Fig 1A). As TGF- β has been reported to suppress lymphangiogenesis and lymphatic identity [27, 28], we determined whether the concentrations of TGF- β in WF influence LEC proliferation and expression of lymphatic markers. To this end, the proliferation of primary LECs treated with different concentrations of recombinant TGF- β 1, - β 2 and - β 3 was measured. All three TGF- β isoforms significantly inhibited LEC proliferation at concentrations of TGF- β 1 found in WF (Fig 2).

Furthermore, we treated primary LECs with different concentrations of recombinant TGF- β 1, - β 2 and - β 3 and analysed the expression of different lymphatic markers. Concentration-dependent and isoform-specific effects were observed (Fig.3). TGF- β 1 reduced expression of Lyve-1, while TGF- β 2 potently suppressed expression of Lyve-1, Prox-1 and VEGFR-3. Interestingly, TGF- β 3 reduced the expression of Lyve-1 and Prox1 at 10 ng/ml, but higher concentrations of between 20–30 ng/ml induced expression. None of the TGF- β isoforms influenced the expression of vimentin, suggesting that the cells do not acquire a mesenchymal phenotype as a consequence of TGF- β treatment.

To check if TGF- β present in WF is biologically active, we treated primary LECs with WF and measured their proliferation in the presence or absence of neutralizing anti-TGF- β antibodies. Neutralization of TGF- β activity in the WF significantly increased the proliferation rate of the cells in response to WF (Fig 4), indicating that TGF- β is active and suppresses LEC proliferation in the context of WF.

Together these data show that TGF- β 1, HA and sHA are present in WF draining from breast cancer surgical sites but that their levels are not influenced by IORT. Our data also reveal





Fig 2. TGF- β **1**, - β **2** and - β **3** inhibit the proliferation of LECs. Primary human LECs were incubated with different concentrations of recombinant TGF- β **1**, - β **2** or - β **3** or were left untreated and served as control. Proliferation was assessed using ³H thymidine incorporation assays. Data represent the mean of triplicate samples, error bars indicate +/- standard error. Student's t-test: TGF- β **1** relative to untreated control: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.005, *** p < 0.001; TGF- β **2** relative to untreated control: # p < 0.05, ## p < 0.001; TGF- β **3** relative to untreated control: + p < 0.05, ++ p < 0.005, +++ p < 0.001. The experiment shown is representative of three independent replicates.

that all TGF- β isoforms suppress LEC proliferation and modulate expression of markers of lymphatic identity at concentrations present in WF.

Discussion

Here we report that IORT during breast cancer surgery does not affect levels of TGF- β 1, HA and sHA in fluid draining from the operative site. Nevertheless, high levels of TGF- β 1 are present in WF, regardless of IORT. These levels inhibit proliferation of LECs and suppress lymphatic identity by down-regulating key LEC markers. We conclude that inhibition of TGF- β activity following surgical removal of breast cancer may be beneficial for patients by suppressing edema caused by TGF- β -mediated lymphatic dysfunction, and by reducing fibrosis and scarring.

IORT was established with the aim of delivering a higher effective radiation dose to tumour sites. Clinical studies have reported that IORT has a similar efficacy and tolerance compared to conventional EBRT [14] and has a high acceptance by patients [54]. Our finding that IORT



Fig 3. TGF- β **1**, - β **2** and - β **3 reduce lymphatic marker expression in LECs.** Primary human LECs were treated with 10, 20 or 30 ng/ml TGF- β 1, - β 2 and - β 3 for 72 hours (**a**) or 100 hours (**b**). Untreated cells served as a control. Lysates were prepared and analysed by Western blot using antibodies specific for Lyve-1, Prox-1, VEGFR-3 or vimentin. Vinculin served as loading control. The experiment was performed twice with equivalent results. For densitometry evaluation, protein bands were analysed using the software ImageJ. Bands for the Prox-1, Lyve-1, vimentin and VEGFR-3 proteins were normalized to the corresponding loading control and are displayed as the expression level relative to the untreated control samples.

does not alter levels of TGF- β 1, HA or sHA provides further evidence for the efficacy of IORT, as no additional side effects due to enhanced production of these highly biologically active molecules are likely to be induced.

The concentration of both total HA and sHA we found in the WF is similar to the levels we have previously reported in interstitial fluid from normal tissues [53]. Thus although irradiation can increase HA synthesis [40] and directly fragment HA, IORT appears to have no effect on HA synthesis and breakdown, at least during the first 24 hours following surgery.





Importantly, these data suggest that direct fragmentation of HA by therapeutic irradiation does not lead to accumulation of biologically active sHA oligosaccharides in the milieu of the irradiated tissue, and therefore potential tumour-promoting effects of sHA accumulating as a consequence of irradiation that could foster recurrence can be discounted.

The data in Fig 4 suggest that as yet undefined factors in WF can stimulate lymphatic endothelial cell proliferation. Consistently, high concentrations of growth factors and cytokines were found in fluid draining subcutaneous wounds [55] and in WF from donor sites for splitthickness skin grafts [56].

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to report TGF- β 1 levels in fluid draining from wounds following surgical removal of tumours. Notably the concentrations of TGF-B1 we found are considerably higher than those previously reported in fluid draining subcutaneous wounds [55], from donor sites for split-thickness skin grafts [56] and from burn blister fluid [57]. Higher levels of TGF- β 1 were observed in the WF draining tumour excision sites compared to other types of wounds. Consistently, enhanced levels of TGF-B are detected in the blood of breast cancer patients compared to healthy controls, and these levels diminish rapidly following surgery to normal levels [58–60]. The increased levels of TGF- β found in fluid from subcutaneous wounds also decay after 24-48 hours [55]. Although we only investigated the concentrations of TGF- β 1 in WF 24 hours after surgery, these observations suggest that high levels of TGF-β1 in the post-excision wound are likely to be transient.

The functions of TGF- β isoforms often overlap, for example in the induction of fibrosis [61]. However, there are also marked differences in their roles, as exemplified by the phenotype of the individual knockout mice [62, 63]. With regard to the regulation of lymphangiogenesis, TGF- β 1 has previously been identified as an anti-lymphangiogenic growth factor [27, 28]. Our data extend these observations by demonstrating that not only TGF- β 1 but also TGF- β 2 and -β3 can inhibit the proliferation of primary LECs with a similar potency. Nevertheless, at

PLOS ONE

concentrations of TGF- β 1 present in WF, the three isoforms have distinct effects on lymphatic marker expression, with TGF- β 2 exhibiting the most pronounced suppression of lymphatic identity, and TGF- β 3 showing a concentration-dependent biphasic inhibition and increase of marker expression. This suggests that the function of lymphatic vessels and lymphatic clearance *in vivo* might depend on the relative presence of the individual TGF- β isoforms. Although we analysed here only the concentrations of TGF- β 1 in the fluid draining lumpectomy sites, TGF- β 1 is likely to be a predominant isoform because WF from donor sites for split-thickness skin grafts contains around 15-fold higher levels of TGF- β 1 compared to TGF- β 2 [56]. Similarly, more than 50-fold higher levels of TGF- β 1 compared to TGF- β 2 have been reported in burn blister fluid [57].

The high TGF- β 1 levels we found in WF are sufficient to induce fibrosis and impair lymphatic function [27, 28], suggesting that TGF- β 1 in the milieu of the operative site may significantly contribute to the formation of edema and fibrosis observed after breast lumpectomy. Inhibition of TGF- β immediately after surgery, for example locally, may therefore be beneficial for breast cancer patients by suppressing scarring and improving lymphatic drainage. While long-term anti-TGF- β may lead to unacceptable side effects [64], short-term TGF- β inhibition immediately following eye surgery has been shown to have clinical utility in suppressing scarring [65]. Our results suggest that similar approaches may prove beneficial following breast cancer surgery, particularly because high TGF- β levels are likely to be transient (see above).

Pre-clinical studies in animal-models support the notion that interfering with the TGF- β pathway holds promise for the treatment of edema and fibrosis. Sequestering TGF- β with soluble TGF- β receptor molecules prevents abnormalization of lymphatic vessels and improves lymphatic drainage in a murine ovarian carcinoma model [66]. In a mouse lymphedema model, blocking TGF- β improved lymphatic function, and reduced edema and fibrosis formation [31]. In bleomycin-induced mouse models of skin fibrosis, interfering with the TGF- β pathway using peptide 144, which blocks the interaction between TGF- β 1 and TGF- β 1 type III receptor, reduced fibrosis formation [67]. In addition, interfering with the TGF- β pathway can diminish the tissue damage that occurs after irradiation, for example by improving lymphatic function, and by reducing tissue fibrosis, morphological changes and inflammatory responses [21, 22, 29]. Consistent with the observations, we show here that inhibition of TGF- β augments WF-induced LEC proliferation.

A number of agents that interfere with TGF- β signalling are being clinically evaluated in the context of fibrosis and tumour treatment [68, 69], including neutralizing antibodies that abolish ligand receptor interactions, recombinant Fc-fusion proteins containing receptor ectodomains to sequester TGF-B, small molecule inhibitors of the TGF-B receptor kinases, and antisense oligonucleotides that reduce TGF- β expression. Fresolimumab (GC1008), a pan-TGF- β neutralizing antibody has been used in clinical trials to treat patients with a variety of malignancies and with different forms of fibrosis [70]. Blocking TGF- β signalling has been suggested to reduce radiation side effects and will be tested in a clinical trial using Fresolimumab in combination with stereotactic ablative radiotherapy in NSCLC (clinicaltrials.gov NCT02581787). In systemic sclerosis patients, treatment with Fresolimumab was able to reduce skin fibrosis [71]. Trabedersen (AP12009), antisense oligonucleotides that reduce expression of TGF- β 2, have been tested in a phase IIb study in glioma patients, and showed promising results concerning efficiency and safety [72]. Furthermore, Galunisertib (LY2157299), a small molecule inhibitor selective for the kinase domain of TGFβ receptor 1 that specifically downregulates the phosphorylation of SMAD2, showed anti-tumour effects in a first clinical trial [73] and is currently being tested in phase 2 in glioblastoma patients and in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes [69].

In conclusion, this study shows that high levels of active TGF- β 1 are present in the milieu of post-operative breast lumpectomy sites. Together the results suggest that inhibition of TGF- β activity might not only be considered for anticancer therapy, but could also be useful for the prevention and treatment of the side effects of therapy, such as edema and fibrosis.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully thank Melanie Rothley, Nicole Gürth and Anne Kirchner for technical assistance and Dr. Joachim Brade for statistical analysis. The authors are grateful to Dr. Axel Gerhardt for his help and assistance with this project.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: MRV JPS.

Data curation: CN MRV.

Formal analysis: SDS JB AS.

Funding acquisition: FW JPS.

Investigation: SDS JB.

Methodology: SDS JB AS MRV JPS.

Project administration: CH MRV FW JPS.

Resources: CN CH MRV FW JPS.

Supervision: FW JPS.

Validation: SDS JB JPS.

Visualization: SDS AS.

Writing - original draft: SDS AS MRV JPS.

Writing - review & editing: SDS AS MRV JPS.

References

- Delaney G, Jacob S, Featherstone C, Barton M. The role of radiotherapy in cancer treatment: estimating optimal utilization from a review of evidence-based clinical guidelines. Cancer. 2005; 104(6):1129– 37. PMID: <u>16080176</u>
- Krishnan L, Stanton AL, Collins CA, Liston VE, Jewell WR. Form or function? Part 2. Objective cosmetic and functional correlates of quality of life in women treated with breast-conserving surgical procedures and radiotherapy. Cancer. 2001; 91(12):2282–7. PMID: <u>11413516</u>
- Kraus-Tiefenbacher U, Steil V, Bauer L, Melchert F, Wenz F. A novel mobile device for intraoperative radiotherapy (IORT). Onkologie. 2003; 26(6):596–8. PMID: <u>14709937</u>
- 4. Wenz F, Sedlmayer F, Herskind C, Welzel G, Sperk E, Neumaier C, et al. Accelerated Partial Breast Irradiation in Clinical Practice. Breast Care (Basel). 2015; 10(4):247–52.
- Vaidya JS, Joseph DJ, Tobias JS, Bulsara M, Wenz F, Saunders C, et al. Targeted intraoperative radiotherapy versus whole breast radiotherapy for breast cancer (TARGIT-A trial): an international, prospective, randomised, non-inferiority phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2010; 376(9735):91–102. doi: <u>10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60837-9</u> PMID: <u>20570343</u>
- Keshtgar MR, Williams NR, Bulsara M, Saunders C, Flyger H, Cardoso JS, et al. Objective assessment of cosmetic outcome after targeted intraoperative radiotherapy in breast cancer: results from a randomised controlled trial. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2013; 140(3):519–25. doi: <u>10.1007/s10549-013-2641-</u> <u>8</u> PMID: <u>23877341</u>

- Sperk E, Welzel G, Keller A, Kraus-Tiefenbacher U, Gerhardt A, Sutterlin M, et al. Late radiation toxicity after intraoperative radiotherapy (IORT) for breast cancer: results from the randomized phase III trial TARGIT A. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2012; 135(1):253–60. doi: <u>10.1007/s10549-012-2168-4</u> PMID: <u>22842984</u>
- Vaidya JS, Wenz F, Bulsara M, Tobias JS, Joseph DJ, Keshtgar M, et al. Risk-adapted targeted intraoperative radiotherapy versus whole-breast radiotherapy for breast cancer: 5-year results for local control and overall survival from the TARGIT-A randomised trial. Lancet. 2014; 383(9917):603–13. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1016/S0140-6736(13)61950-9</u> PMID: <u>24224997</u>
- Wenz F, Welzel G, Keller A, Blank E, Vorodi F, Herskind C, et al. Early initiation of external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) may increase the risk of long-term toxicity in patients undergoing intraoperative radiotherapy (IORT) as a boost for breast cancer. Breast. 2008; 17(6):617–22. doi: <u>10.1016/j.breast.2008</u>. 05.009 PMID: 18650091
- Wenz F, Welzel G, Blank E, Hermann B, Steil V, Sutterlin M, et al. Intraoperative radiotherapy as a boost during breast-conserving surgery using low-kilovoltage X-rays: the first 5 years of experience with a novel approach. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2010; 77(5):1309–14. doi: <u>10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.</u> 06.085 PMID: 20100643
- Kraus-Tiefenbacher U, Bauer L, Kehrer T, Hermann B, Melchert F, Wenz F. Intraoperative radiotherapy (IORT) as a boost in patients with early-stage breast cancer—acute toxicity. Onkologie. 2006; 29 (3):77–82. PMID: <u>16514267</u>
- Kraus-Tiefenbacher U, Welzel G, Brade J, Hermann B, Siebenlist K, Wasser KS, et al. Postoperative seroma formation after intraoperative radiotherapy using low-kilovoltage X-rays given during breastconserving surgery. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2010; 77(4):1140–5. doi: <u>10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.06.</u> 008 PMID: <u>19836152</u>
- Della Sala SW, Pellegrini M, Bernardi D, Franzoso F, Valentini M, Di Michele S, et al. Mammographic and ultrasonographic comparison between intraoperative radiotherapy (IORT) and conventional external radiotherapy (RT) in limited-stage breast cancer, conservatively treated. Eur J Radiol. 2006; 59 (2):222–30. PMID: <u>16616823</u>
- Ruano-Ravina A, Cantero-Munoz P, Eraso Urien A. Efficacy and safety of intraoperative radiotherapy in breast cancer: a systematic review. Cancer Lett. 2011; 313(1):15–25. doi: <u>10.1016/j.canlet.2011.08.</u> <u>020</u> PMID: <u>21930343</u>
- 15. Wynn TA, Ramalingam TR. Mechanisms of fibrosis: therapeutic translation for fibrotic disease. Nat Med. 2012; 18(7):1028–40. doi: 10.1038/nm.2807 PMID: 22772564
- Pohlers D, Brenmoehl J, Loffler I, Muller CK, Leipner C, Schultze-Mosgau S, et al. TGF-beta and fibrosis in different organs—molecular pathway imprints. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2009; 1792(8):746–56. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2009.06.004 PMID: 19539753
- Anscher MS, Crocker IR, Jirtle RL. Transforming growth factor-beta 1 expression in irradiated liver. Radiat Res. 1990; 122(1):77–85. PMID: 2181527
- Franko AJ, Sharplin J, Ghahary A, Barcellos-Hoff MH. Immunohistochemical localization of transforming growth factor beta and tumor necrosis factor alpha in the lungs of fibrosis-prone and "non-fibrosing" mice during the latent period and early phase after irradiation. Radiat Res. 1997; 147(2):245–56. PMID: 9008217
- Andarawewa KL, Erickson AC, Chou WS, Costes SV, Gascard P, Mott JD, et al. Ionizing radiation predisposes nonmalignant human mammary epithelial cells to undergo transforming growth factor beta induced epithelial to mesenchymal transition. Cancer Res. 2007; 67(18):8662–70. PMID: 17875706
- Anscher MS. Targeting the TGF-beta1 pathway to prevent normal tissue injury after cancer therapy. Oncologist. 2010; 15(4):350–9. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2009-S101 PMID: 20413640
- Anscher MS, Thrasher B, Rabbani Z, Teicher B, Vujaskovic Z. Antitransforming growth factor-beta antibody 1D11 ameliorates normal tissue damage caused by high-dose radiation. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2006; 65(3):876–81. PMID: <u>16751069</u>
- Anscher MS, Thrasher B, Zgonjanin L, Rabbani ZN, Corbley MJ, Fu K, et al. Small molecular inhibitor of transforming growth factor-beta protects against development of radiation-induced lung injury. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2008; 71(3):829–37. doi: <u>10.1016/j.ijrobp.2008.02.046</u> PMID: <u>18411002</u>
- 23. Moran MS, Haffty BG. Radiation techniques and toxicities for locally advanced breast cancer. Semin Radiat Oncol. 2009; 19(4):244–55. doi: <u>10.1016/j.semradonc.2009.05.007</u> PMID: <u>19732689</u>
- 24. Warren LE, Miller CL, Horick N, Skolny MN, Jammallo LS, Sadek BT, et al. The impact of radiation therapy on the risk of lymphedema after treatment for breast cancer: a prospective cohort study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2014; 88(3):565–71. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2013.11.232 PMID: 24411624
- Brown LC, Mutter RW, Halyard MY. Benefits, risks, and safety of external beam radiation therapy for breast cancer. Int J Womens Health. 2015; 7:449–58. doi: 10.2147/IJWH.S55552 PMID: 25977608

- Suzuki Y, Ito Y, Mizuno M, Kinashi H, Sawai A, Noda Y, et al. Transforming growth factor-beta induces vascular endothelial growth factor-C expression leading to lymphangiogenesis in rat unilateral ureteral obstruction. Kidney Int. 2012; 81(9):865–79. doi: 10.1038/ki.2011.464 PMID: 22258325
- Oka M, Iwata C, Suzuki HI, Kiyono K, Morishita Y, Watabe T, et al. Inhibition of endogenous TGF-beta signaling enhances lymphangiogenesis. Blood. 2008; 111(9):4571–9. doi: <u>10.1182/blood-2007-10-120337</u> PMID: <u>18310502</u>
- Clavin NW, Avraham T, Fernandez J, Daluvoy SV, Soares MA, Chaudhry A, et al. TGF-beta1 is a negative regulator of lymphatic regeneration during wound repair. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2008; 295(5):H2113–27. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00879.2008 PMID: 18849330
- Avraham T, Yan A, Zampell JC, Daluvoy SV, Haimovitz-Friedman A, Cordeiro AP, et al. Radiation therapy causes loss of dermal lymphatic vessels and interferes with lymphatic function by TGF-beta1-mediated tissue fibrosis. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2010; 299(3):C589–605. doi: <u>10.1152/ajpcell.00535</u>. 2009 PMID: 20519446
- Mortimer PS, Simmonds RH, Rezvani M, Robbins ME, Ryan TJ, Hopewell JW. Time-related changes in lymphatic clearance in pig skin after a single dose of 18 Gy of X rays. Br J Radiol. 1991; 64 (768):1140–6. PMID: 1773273
- Avraham T, Daluvoy S, Zampell J, Yan A, Haviv YS, Rockson SG, et al. Blockade of transforming growth factor-beta1 accelerates lymphatic regeneration during wound repair. Am J Pathol. 2010; 177 (6):3202–14. doi: <u>10.2353/ajpath.2010.100594</u> PMID: <u>21056998</u>
- Toole BP. Hyaluronan: from extracellular glue to pericellular cue. Nat Rev Cancer. 2004; 4(7):528–39. PMID: <u>15229478</u>
- Al'Qteishat A, Gaffney J, Krupinski J, Rubio F, West D, Kumar S, et al. Changes in hyaluronan production and metabolism following ischaemic stroke in man. Brain. 2006; 129(Pt 8):2158–76. PMID: <u>16731541</u>
- Chajara A, Raoudi M, Delpech B, Leroy M, Basuyau JP, Levesque H. Increased hyaluronan and hyaluronidase production and hyaluronan degradation in injured aorta of insulin-resistant rats. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2000; 20(6):1480–7. PMID: 10845861
- Kumar S, West DC, Ponting JM, Gattamaneni HR. Sera of children with renal tumours contain lowmolecular-mass hyaluronic acid. Int J Cancer. 1989; 44(3):445–8. PMID: 2550372
- Agren UM, Tammi RH, Tammi MI. Reactive oxygen species contribute to epidermal hyaluronan catabolism in human skin organ culture. Free Radic Biol Med. 1997; 23(7):996–1001. PMID: 9358242
- Monzon ME, Fregien N, Schmid N, Falcon NS, Campos M, Casalino-Matsuda SM, et al. Reactive oxygen species and hyaluronidase 2 regulate airway epithelial hyaluronan fragmentation. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285(34):26126–34. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.135194 PMID: 20554532
- Schmaus A, Bauer J, Sleeman JP. Sugars in the microenvironment: the sticky problem of HA turnover in tumors. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2014; 33(4):1059–79. doi: <u>10.1007/s10555-014-9532-2</u> PMID: 25324146
- Daar E, King L, Nisbet A, Thorpe RB, Bradley DA. Viscosity changes in hyaluronic acid: irradiation and rheological studies. Appl Radiat Isot. 2010; 68(4–5):746–50. doi: <u>10.1016/j.apradiso.2009.10.022</u> PMID: 19910202
- 40. Li Y, Rahmanian M, Widstrom C, Lepperdinger G, Frost GI, Heldin P. Irradiation-induced expression of hyaluronan (HA) synthase 2 and hyaluronidase 2 genes in rat lung tissue accompanies active turnover of HA and induction of types I and III collagen gene expression. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2000; 23 (3):411–8. PMID: 10970834
- Moritake T, Fujita H, Yanagisawa M, Nakawatari M, Imadome K, Nakamura E, et al. Strain-dependent damage in mouse lung after carbon ion irradiation. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2012; 84(1):e95–e102. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2012.02.013 PMID: 22541960
- 42. Albeiroti S, Soroosh A, de la Motte CA. Hyaluronan's Role in Fibrosis: A Pathogenic Factor or a Passive Player? Biomed Res Int. 2015; 2015:790203. doi: 10.1155/2015/790203 PMID: 26583132
- 43. Jung H, Kim HH, Lee DH, Hwang YS, Yang HC, Park JC. Transforming growth factor-beta 1 in adipose derived stem cells conditioned medium is a dominant paracrine mediator determines hyaluronic acid and collagen expression profile. Cytotechnology. 2011; 63(1):57–66. doi: <u>10.1007/s10616-010-9327-4</u> PMID: <u>21203839</u>
- 44. Sugiyama Y, Shimada A, Sayo T, Sakai S, Inoue S. Putative hyaluronan synthase mRNA are expressed in mouse skin and TGF-beta upregulates their expression in cultured human skin cells. J Invest Dermatol. 1998; 110(2):116–21. PMID: <u>9457904</u>
- 45. Termeer C, Benedix F, Sleeman J, Fieber C, Voith U, Ahrens T, et al. Oligosaccharides of Hyaluronan activate dendritic cells via toll-like receptor 4. J Exp Med. 2002; 195(1):99–111. PMID: <u>11781369</u>

- 46. Jiang D, Liang J, Noble PW. Hyaluronan as an immune regulator in human diseases. Physiol Rev. 2011; 91(1):221–64. doi: <u>10.1152/physrev.00052.2009</u> PMID: <u>21248167</u>
- West DC, Hampson IN, Arnold F, Kumar S. Angiogenesis induced by degradation products of hyaluronic acid. Science. 1985; 228(4705):1324–6. PMID: 2408340
- Gao F, Liu Y, He Y, Yang C, Wang Y, Shi X, et al. Hyaluronan oligosaccharides promote excisional wound healing through enhanced angiogenesis. Matrix Biol. 2010; 29(2):107–16. doi: <u>10.1016/j.</u> <u>matbio.2009.11.002</u> PMID: <u>19913615</u>
- 49. Wu M, Du Y, Liu Y, He Y, Yang C, Wang W, et al. Low molecular weight hyaluronan induces lymphangiogenesis through LYVE-1-mediated signaling pathways. PLoS One. 2014; 9(3):e92857. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1371/journal.pone.0092857 PMID: 24667755</u>
- Fieber C, Baumann P, Vallon R, Termeer C, Simon JC, Hofmann M, et al. Hyaluronan-oligosaccharideinduced transcription of metalloproteases. J Cell Sci. 2004; 117(Pt 2):359–67. PMID: <u>14657275</u>
- Voelcker V, Gebhardt C, Averbeck M, Saalbach A, Wolf V, Weih F, et al. Hyaluronan fragments induce cytokine and metalloprotease upregulation in human melanoma cells in part by signalling via TLR4. Exp Dermatol. 2008; 17(2):100–7. PMID: <u>18031543</u>
- **52.** Veldwijk MR, Neumaier C, Gerhardt A, Giordano FA, Sütterlin M, Herskind C, et al. Comparison of the proliferative and clonogenic growth capacity of wound fluid from breast cancer patients treated with and without intraoperative radiotherapy. Translational Cancer Research. 2015; 4(2):173–7.
- Schmaus A, Klusmeier S, Rothley M, Dimmler A, Sipos B, Faller G, et al. Accumulation of small hyaluronan oligosaccharides in tumour interstitial fluid correlates with lymphatic invasion and lymph node metastasis. Br J Cancer. 2014; 111(3):559–67. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2014.332 PMID: 24937668
- Alvarado MD, Conolly J, Park C, Sakata T, Mohan AJ, Harrison BL, et al. Patient preferences regarding intraoperative versus external beam radiotherapy following breast-conserving surgery. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2014; 143(1):135–40. doi: 10.1007/s10549-013-2782-9 PMID: 24292868
- 55. Aiba-Kojima E, Tsuno NH, Inoue K, Matsumoto D, Shigeura T, Sato T, et al. Characterization of wound drainage fluids as a source of soluble factors associated with wound healing: comparison with platelet-rich plasma and potential use in cell culture. Wound Repair Regen. 2007; 15(4):511–20. PMID: 17650095
- Ono I, Gunji H, Zhang JZ, Maruyama K, Kaneko F. Studies on cytokines related to wound healing in donor site wound fluid. J Dermatol Sci. 1995; 10(3):241–5. PMID: <u>8593269</u>
- Ono I, Gunji H, Zhang JZ, Maruyama K, Kaneko F. A study of cytokines in burn blister fluid related to wound healing. Burns. 1995; 21(5):352–5. PMID: <u>7546257</u>
- 58. Curigliano G, Petit JY, Bertolini F, Colleoni M, Peruzzotti G, de Braud F, et al. Systemic effects of surgery: quantitative analysis of circulating basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and transforming growth factor beta (TGF-beta) in patients with breast cancer who underwent limited or extended surgery. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2005; 93(1):35–40. PMID: 16184456
- Kong FM, Anscher MS, Murase T, Abbott BD, Iglehart JD, Jirtle RL. Elevated plasma transforming growth factor-beta 1 levels in breast cancer patients decrease after surgical removal of the tumor. Ann Surg. 1995; 222(2):155–62. PMID: 7543740
- Chod J, Zavadova E, Halaska MJ, Strnad P, Fucikova T, Rob L. Preoperative transforming growth factor-beta 1 (TGF-beta 1) plasma levels in operable breast cancer patients. Eur J Gynaecol Oncol. 2008; 29(6):613–6. PMID: 19115689
- Yu L, Border WA, Huang Y, Noble NA. TGF-beta isoforms in renal fibrogenesis. Kidney Int. 2003; 64 (3):844–56. PMID: <u>12911534</u>
- Sanford LP, Ormsby I, Gittenberger-de Groot AC, Sariola H, Friedman R, Boivin GP, et al. TGFbeta2 knockout mice have multiple developmental defects that are non-overlapping with other TGFbeta knockout phenotypes. Development. 1997; 124(13):2659–70. PMID: 9217007
- Laverty HG, Wakefield LM, Occleston NL, O'Kane S, Ferguson MW. TGF-beta3 and cancer: a review. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2009; 20(4):305–17. doi: <u>10.1016/j.cytogfr.2009.07.002</u> PMID: <u>19656717</u>
- Leask A, Abraham DJ. TGF-beta signaling and the fibrotic response. FASEB J. 2004; 18(7):816–27. PMID: <u>15117886</u>
- Mead AL, Wong TT, Cordeiro MF, Anderson IK, Khaw PT. Evaluation of anti-TGF-beta2 antibody as a new postoperative anti-scarring agent in glaucoma surgery. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2003; 44 (8):3394–401. PMID: 12882787
- Liao S, Liu J, Lin P, Shi T, Jain RK, Xu L. TGF-beta blockade controls ascites by preventing abnormalization of lymphatic vessels in orthotopic human ovarian carcinoma models. Clin Cancer Res. 2011; 17 (6):1415–24. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-2429 PMID: 21278244

- Santiago B, Gutierrez-Canas I, Dotor J, Palao G, Lasarte JJ, Ruiz J, et al. Topical application of a peptide inhibitor of transforming growth factor-beta1 ameliorates bleomycin-induced skin fibrosis. J Invest Dermatol. 2005; 125(3):450–5. PMID: <u>16117784</u>
- Akhurst RJ, Hata A. Targeting the TGFbeta signalling pathway in disease. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2012; 11(10):790–811. doi: <u>10.1038/nrd3810</u> PMID: <u>23000686</u>
- **69.** Herbertz S, Sawyer JS, Stauber AJ, Gueorguieva I, Driscoll KE, Estrem ST, et al. Clinical development of galunisertib (LY2157299 monohydrate), a small molecule inhibitor of transforming growth factor-beta signaling pathway. Drug Des Dev Ther. 2015; 9:4479–99.
- Morris JC, Tan AR, Olencki TE, Shapiro GI, Dezube BJ, Reiss M, et al. Phase I study of GC1008 (fresolimumab): a human anti-transforming growth factor-beta (TGFbeta) monoclonal antibody in patients with advanced malignant melanoma or renal cell carcinoma. PLoS One. 2014; 9(3):e90353. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1371/journal.pone.0090353</u> PMID: <u>24618589</u>
- Rice LM, Padilla CM, McLaughlin SR, Mathes A, Ziemek J, Goummih S, et al. Fresolimumab treatment decreases biomarkers and improves clinical symptoms in systemic sclerosis patients. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 2015; 125(7):2795–807. doi: 10.1172/JCI77958 PMID: 26098215
- 72. Bogdahn U, Hau P, Stockhammer G, Venkataramana NK, Mahapatra AK, Suri A, et al. Targeted therapy for high-grade glioma with the TGF-beta2 inhibitor trabedersen: results of a randomized and controlled phase IIb study. Neuro Oncol. 2011; 13(1):132–42. doi: <u>10.1093/neuonc/nog142</u> PMID: <u>20980335</u>
- 73. Rodon J, Carducci MA, Sepulveda-Sanchez JM, Azaro A, Calvo E, Seoane J, et al. First-in-human dose study of the novel transforming growth factor-beta receptor I kinase inhibitor LY2157299 monohydrate in patients with advanced cancer and glioma. Clin Cancer Res. 2015; 21(3):553–60. doi: <u>10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-1380</u> PMID: <u>25424852</u>