Original Article

Characteristics of Treatment Naïve Chronic Hepatitis B in Bangladesh: Younger Populations are More Affected; HBeAg-negatives are More Advanced

Shahinul Alam, Nooruddin Ahmad, Golam Mustafa, Khorshed Alam, Mobin Khan

Department of Hepatology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Shahbag, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Address:

Shahinul Alam, Department of Hepatology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Shahbag, Dhaka, Bangladesh. E-mail: shahinul67@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Background/Aim: Bangladesh is a densely populated country with intermediate endemicity for chronic hepatitis B (CHB). The aim of the present study was to evaluate the biochemical, virological and histological character of CHB patients and to examine the relationship between these indices. Materials and Methods: One thousand and twenty-two patients of CHB fulfilled our inclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria were (1) HBsAg positive for at least 6 months, (2) HBeAg-positive or negative and (3) hepatitis B virus (HBV) DNA positive. Patients with detectable antibodies to human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis Delta virus (HDV) or hepatitis C virus (HCV), with previous antiviral treatment, overt cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma, were excluded. Of these, 191 patients were randomly selected for liver biopsy and were evaluated for analysis. **Results:** In the 191 patients, male to female ratio was 4.6:1; age distribution was 26.5 ± 8.5 (mean ± standard deviation) years. One hundred and seventy-eight (93.2%) patients were under 40 years. Sixty-eight (35.6%) patients were HBeAg-negative, had less DNA load, and were significantly older, more fibrotic and cirrhotic (P < 0.001). Correlation was not found between DNA level and histological activity. Histological activity was not correlated with ALT level in HBeAg-positive patients (P < 0.001). Conclusion: CHB affects the younger population in Bangladesh. HBeAg-positive CHB was associated with more fibrosis and cirrhosis. Serum HBV DNA levels do not correlate with the severity of histological lesions in all patients. Evaluation by liver biopsy remains gold standard for taking decision of treatment.

Key Words: Age, Bangladesh, chronic hepatitis B

Received 23.05.2007, Accepted 29.09.2007 The Saudi Journal of Gastroenterology 2008 14(1): 15-9

An estimated 350 million persons are chronically infected with hepatitis B virus (HBV) worldwide.^[1] Approximately 15-40% may develop serious sequelae, including cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma.^[2] Patients with hepatic inflammation and fibrosis are at the highest risk of complications.^[3-7] With a population of 150 million, Bangladesh has a high HBsAg positivity in adults (7.3-7.5%).^[8,9] HBeAg-negative is an important form of chronic hepatitis B (CHB).^[10,11] This form of CHB is being increasingly reported worldwide.^[12-19] The aim of this study was to evaluate the different characteristics of CHB patients and to examine the relationship between them.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted during the period between January 2001 and March 2007 at the Department of Hepatology of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU) Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh. During

15 Volume 14, Number 1 Dhul Hijjah 1428H January 2008 this period, Patients who were included in this study had (1) HBsAg positive for at least 6 months, (2) HBeAg-positive or negative and (3) HBV DNA positive. Patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis Delta virus (HDV) or hepatitis C virus (HCV), those who previously had antiviral treatment as well as those with hepatocellular carcinoma were excluded. Patients with overt cirrhosis and autoimmune hepatitis were also excluded from the study. Schistosomiasis is very uncommon in Bangladesh, and yet was excluded from the study. 3141 patients of CHB were treated in the center. Out of them, 1022 patients met our inclusion criteria; of these patients, with systematic random sampling and sample interval of 5, we had conducted liver biopsy in 191 patients; the rest of the patients refused to undergo liver biopsy. Biopsy was performed with an interval of 0-7 days from other investigations. Before liver biopsy, informed written consent was taken from every patient. The protocol was reviewed and permitted by the departmental ethical review committee. Liver histology was assessed by a

pathologist who was uninformed of the results of liver biochemistry and HBV DNA levels. The histology was graded by the histologic activity index (HAI) according to the criteria of Knodell et al.[20] The total HAI score comprises two major components, namely necroinflammation and fibrosis, which includes piecemeal necrosis, lobular necrosis and inflammation, portal inflammation and fibrosis. Different characteristics in patients were assessed, including age, sex and source of infection. Laboratory tests included ALT, AST, HBsAg, anti-HBs and anti-HBc antibodies, HBeAg and anti-HBe antibodies. HBV serological markers were detected using enzyme-linked immuno absorbent assays (Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, IL, USA). Serum HBV DNA was determined by a solution hybridization assay based on hybrid-capture (Digen Hybrid-Capture; Murex Diagnostics, Dartlord, UK) with the detection range of 1.42×10^5 to 1.7×10^9 copies/ml or a target-amplification assay based on competitive polymerase chain reaction (Amplicor HBV Monitor[™]; Roche Molecular Systems, Pleasanton, CA, USA) with the detection range of 300 to 106 copies/ml, which was increased with dilution.

Data analysis

Results were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or percentage. Independent *t* test was used to compare continuous variables. The Chi-square test was used to compare categorical data. One-way ANOVA with post hoc test was performed to compare more than two means. Pearson correlation was performed for correlation analysis. Statistical analysis was performed after log₁₀ conversion when distribution was not normal (DNA and ALT). Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 10.0 software (1999, Chicago, USA), and a *P*-value of <0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS

One hundred and ninety-one patients were included in the study. The male-to-female ratio was 4.6:1. The age range was 14-55 years (mean \pm SD: 26.5 \pm 8.5 years). Overall DNA load was log₁₀ (8.35 \pm 8.63) copies/ml; histological activity was 6.8 \pm 3.2; ALT level was (93.4 \pm 144.3) U/L; and AST was 59.5 \pm 44 (mean \pm SD). Forty (20.9%), 89 (46.6%), 54 (28.3%) and 8 (4.2%) patients had minimal, mild, moderate and severe necroinflammatory activity, respectively. Out of 40 patients with minimal histological activity, 19 patients were HBeAg positive, 12 patients had no fibrotic activity, 22 had normal ALT, and 15 had high DNA level; these 15 were

possible immune-tolerant CHB. One hundred and seventyeight (93.2%) patients were under 40 years. We categorized the patients in groups of <20 years of age, 21-30 years, 31-40 years, and >40 years. The ALT, necroinflammatory activity and fibrosis had no significant difference across different age groups [Table 1]. Age had inverse relationship with DNA level. Most of the patients (84.8%) had no history of jaundice, hospitalization, surgery, dental procedure or blood transfusion. None of the patients gave a history of intravenous drug abuse or alcohol intake.

Characteristics of HBeAg-positive and HBeAgnegative patients

The overall proportion of HBeAg-negative CHB was 68 (35.6%). HBeAg-negative patients had less DNA load and were significantly older and more fibrotic than HBeAg-positive patients. However, they showed insignificant difference in necroinflammatory activity and ALT level against HBeAg-positive patients. Cirrhosis was more common in HBeAg-negative patients [Table 2]. Sex difference was insignificant between HBeAg-positive and HBeAg negative patients.

Correlation between HBV DNA levels and histological activity

Correlation was not found between DNA level and histological activity of both HBeAg-positive and HBeAg negative patients. It was not also correlated with fibrotic activity of all groups of patients. Histological changes and ALT level did not significantly differ with different levels of DNA [Table 3]. DNA level $\geq 10^7$ copies/ml was associated with HAI of 6.8 ± 3.1 and DNA level <10⁴ copies/ml had HAI of 7.7 ± 3.7 . Eight patients had DNA level below the recommended level of treatment, 6 of them from HBeAg negative group and 2 of them from the HBeAg-positive group and all of them had significant histological activity. Six patients of HBeAg-negative group with DNA level below 10,000 copies/ml had histological activity of 8.5 ± 3.3 . There was no significant difference in DNA level (P = 0.339) between minimal to mild and moderate to severe histological activity (cut-off point of HAI was 8)

Relationship between ALT level and histological activity

Histological activity was positively correlated with serum ALT level in HBeAg-negative and overall patients (P < 0.001) but not in HBeAg-positive patients. In this study, a significant

Table 1: Biochemical, histological and virological character at different age groups								
Age (years)	ALT U/L	Necroinflammatory activity	Fibrosis	DNA log copies/ml				
≤20 (<i>n</i> = 53)	83.0 ± 57.2	6.9 ± 3.4	1.9 ± 1.3	7.3 ± 1.5				
21-30 (<i>n</i> = 90)	72.3 ± 63.9	6.2 ± 3.4	1.4 ± 1.1	7.0 ± 1.2				
31-40 (<i>n</i> = 35)	69.1 ± 58.5	7.0 ± 3.0	1.8 ± 1.1	6.2 ± 1.4				
>40 (<i>n</i> = 13)	63.6 ± 41.0	5.8 ± 2.8	1.6 ± 1.3	5.8 ± 1.6				

16

Volume 14, Number 1 Dhul Hijjah 1428H January 2008

Table 2: Comparison of different characteristics of HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative patients							
Characteristics	HBeAg-positive (<i>n</i> = 123)	HBeAg-negative (<i>n</i> = 68)	P-value				
Age (years)	23.8 ± 7.0	30.4 ± 9.6	< 0.001				
Sex (male) (%)	83.7	80.0	0.557				
Unknown source of infection (%)	92.2	78.8					
Serum ALT level U/L	100.1 ± 170.5	81.3 ± 77.4	0.297				
Serum AST level U/L	56.4 ± 36.4	65.5 ± 110.7	0.578				
Serum HBV DNA (log copies/ml)	7.6 ± 1.3 5.8 ± 1.4		< 0.001				
Liver histology							
Activity score	6.8 ± 3.0	6.7 ± 3.6	0.89				
Fibrosis score	1.4 ± 1.1	1.92 ± 1.2	< 0.001				
Cirrhosis (%)	1.7	8	<0.001				

Table 3: Histological and biochemical changes at different DNA level								
DNA log copies/ml	3-<4 (<i>n</i> = 7)	4-<5 (<i>n</i> = 13)	5-<6 (<i>n</i> = 42)	6-<7 (<i>n</i> = 25)	≥7 (<i>n</i> = 103)			
Necroinflammatory activity	7.7 ± 3.7	6.5 ± 3.8	5.8 ± 3.0	7.8 ± 3.5	6.8 ± 3.1			
Fibrosis	1.8 ± 1.3	1.6 ± 1.2	1.6 ± 1.1	2.1 ± 1.2	1.5 ± 1.8			
ALT	48.2 ± 28.2	62.1 ± 23.3	113.5 ± 282.2	98.2 ± 80.2	90.0 ± 66.3			

number of patients (44, 23%), equally from both HBeAgpositive and negative groups, had ALT level below the normal limit, who had significant histological activity (5.3 ± 2.8). One hundred and forty-four (75.4%) patients had elevated ALT level, and it was positively correlated with histological activity. Patients with ALT <1ULN, <2ULN, <3ULN, <4ULN, <5ULN and >5ULN had histological activity of 4.8 ± 2.7, 6.9 ± 3.0, 7.3 ± 3.2, 8.5 ± 3.3, 7.0 ± 2.8 and 9.3 ± 2.7, and DNA levels of 6.4 ± 1.6, 7.1 ± 1.6, 7.1 ± 1.6, 7.5 ± 1.1, 6.2 and 7.3 ± 1.3 log copies/ml, respectively.

Fibrosis

Fibrosis was more in the male sex, HBeAg-negative cases and in the elderly. There was no significant correlation with DNA level, route of exposure and smoking.

DISCUSSION

Bangladesh is an intermediate endemic country for hepatitis B, with a huge burden of CHB patients in its population. This report is first of its kind from Bangladesh on the characteristics of CHB patients. It is representative of 1022 CHB patients. BSMMU is the only tertiary care referral center for management of CHB patients in Bangladesh, where patients from all over the country are being referred to. In this study, 93.2% CHB patients were under 40 years, being younger than those reported from European populations.^[21] It may be due to early exposure of the subjects to HBV during perinatal period like in other Asian countries.^[22] Most of these patients were not in the immune-tolerant phase as evidenced by necroinflammatory activity, DNA load and ALT level. The conversion to immune-active phase was earlier but not completely dissimilar to other studies where it occurred after 10-30 years.^[23] In this study, the HBeAg-

17 Volume 14, Number 1 Dhul Hijjah 1428H January 2008

The Saudi Journal of Gastroenterology positive CHB subjects were 23.8 ± 7.0 years old and HBeAgnegative subjects were 30.4 ± 9.6 years old, and male sex was predominant in both the groups. In this series, relatively younger subjects were in the immune-active phase against that reported in other studies, where HBeAg-positive CHB subjects were 24-36 years old at initial presentation. Sexual differences were similar in those studies.^[5,10,11,24-26] Liver histology showed mild activity in 46.6% and moderate to severe activity in 32.5% of the patients in this study. In other studies, mild activity was described in 24-42%, and moderate to severe activity in 44-63%.^[15,24-29] These differences could be related to the younger age of the patients in our study.

In CHB, HBeAg-negative is prevalent in 80-90% in Italy,^[16,30] Greece^[17,31] and Asia. In France, a prevalence of 22.1% was reported in 1994 in a population of 276 consecutive patients with CHB. Recently, prevalence increased in America,^[32] northern European countries^[33] and France.^[21] In this series, the prevalence of HBeAg-negative CHB was 35.6%. It was inbetween the two previous reports from Hong Kong and Korea, where prevalence was 69% and 19.6%, respectively.^[18,19]

As reported in other studies, age was significantly higher in HBeAg-negative patients than in HBeAg-positive patients, but unknown source of infection was higher in HBeAg-positive patients, which is different from other studies.^[13-19,34] ALT and HBV DNA levels were significantly lower in HBeAg-negative subjects. These results are in accordance with recent studies, where HBV DNA levels were lower in a majority of HBeAg-negative patients have an erratic pattern of ALT changes, and HBV DNA level can be observed with frequent fluctuations.^[35,36] Histological lesions were more severe in HBeAg-negative patients than in HBeAg-positive patients. Necroinflammatory

activity was almost similar in both groups, but fibrotic activity was more in HBeAg-negative group.

We found no relationship between HBV DNA levels and liver histology in terms of necroinflammation and fibrosis in CHB patients. It may be that, for patients in immune-tolerant phase, HBV DNA is high since immune-mediated injury has not commenced yet. On the other hand, in immunoclearance phase, the severity of liver histology will markedly increase by immune-mediated response and will lead to low viremic condition. This finding was in agreement with other studies in case of HBeAg-positive patients but different for HBeAg-negative patients.^[37-40] Our study was limited by the lack of genotyping for HBV, and this aspect needs to be evaluated further in future studies.

Indeed, the proportion of cirrhosis was 1.7% in e antigenpositive group and 8% in HBeAg-negative group. Fibrosis was more in elderly, HBeAg-negative and male patients. These results are in accordance with many other published studies, where old age and male sex are important factors associated with the progression of CHB. This may be due to the mechanisms of fibrosis in hepatitis B as reported in other chronic liver diseases and especially chronic hepatitis C related to host-related factors.^[4,41-45]

In conclusion, CHB affects the younger population of Bangladesh, and may lead to the development of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma at younger ages. HBeAgnegative patients account for one-third of cases of CHB. It was associated with more severe histological lesions than those of HBeAg-positive patients. This study showed that HBV DNA levels have no clear relationship with severity of histological lesions. The evaluation of CHB by liver biopsy remains the gold standard for implementing treatment decisions.

REFERENCES

- Lavanchy D. Hepatitis B virus epidemiology, disease burden, treatment and current and emerging prevention and control measures. J Viral Hepat 2004;11:97-107.
- Bosch FX, Ribes J, Cleries R, Diaz M. Epidemiology of hepatocellular carcinoma. Clin Liver Dis 2005;9:191-211.
- McMahon BJ. Hepatocellular carcinoma and viral hepatitis. *In*: Wilson RA, editor. Viral hepatitis. Marcel Dekker: New York; 1997. p. 315-30.
- 4. Fattovich G, Brollo L, Giustina G, Noventa F, Pontisso P, Albertia A, *et al.* Natural history and prognostic factors for chronic hepatitis type B. Gut 1991;32:294-8.
- Liaw YF, Tai DI, Chu CM, Chen TJ. The development of cirrhosis in patients with chronic type B hepatitis: A prospective study. Hepatology 1988;8:493-6.
- Yu MW, Hsu FC, Sheen IS, Chu CM, Lin DY, Chen CJ, *et al.* Prospective study of hepatocellular carcinoma and liver cirrhosis in asymptomatic chronic hepatitis B virus carriers. Am J Epidemiol 1997;145:1039-47.
- 7. Weissberg JI, Andress LL, Smith CL, Weick S, Nichols JE, Garcia G, et al.

Survival in chronic hepatitis B: An analysis of 379 patients. Ann Intern Med 1984;101:613-6.

- Islam MN, Islam KM, Islam N. Hepatitis B virus in Dhaka, Bangladesh. Bangladesh Med Res Counc Bull 1984;10:1-6.
- 9. Khan M, Ahmad N. Seroepidemiology of HBV and HCV in Bangladesh. Int Hepatol Commun 1996;5:27-9.
- Hoofnagle JH, Dusheiko GM, Seeff LB, Jones EA, Waggoner JG, Bales ZB. Seroconversion from hepatitis B e antigen to antibody in chronic type B hepatitis. Ann Intern Med 1981;94:744-8.
- Realdi G, Alberti A, Rugge M, Bortolotti F, Rigoli AM, Tremolada F, et al. Seroconversion from hepatitis B e antigen to anti-HBe in chronic hepatitis B virus infection. Gastroenterology 1980;79:195-9.
- 12. Funk ML, Rosenberg DM, Lok AS. World-wide epidemiology of HBeAgnegative chronic hepatitis B and associated precore and core promoter variants. J Viral Hepat 2002;9:52-61.
- Brunetto MR, Oliveri F, Coco B, Leandro G, Colombatto P, Gorin JM, *et al.* Outcome of anti-HBe positive chronic hepatitis B in alpha-interferon treated and untreated patients: A long term cohort study. J Hepatol 2002;36:263-70.
- Hadziyannis SJ. HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B: From clinical recognition to pathogenesis and treatment. Viral Hepat Rev 1995;1:7-I5.
- 15. Hadziyannis SJ, Vassilopoulos D. HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B. Hepatology 2001;34:617-24.
- Lampertico P, Del Ninoo F, Manzin A, Donato MF, Rumi MG, Lunghi G, et al. A randomized controlled trial of a 24-month course of interferon alpha 2b in patients with chronic hepatitis B who had hepatitis B virus DNA without hepatitis B e antigen in serum. Hepatology 1997;26: 1621-5.
- 17. Papatheodoridis GV, Manesis E, Hadziyannis SJ. The long-term outcome of interferon-treated and untreated patients with HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B. J Hepatol 2001;34:306-13.
- Chan HL, Leung NW, Hussain M, Wong ML, Lok AS. Hepatitis B e antigennegative chronic hepatitis B in Hong Kong. Hepatology 2000;31:763-8.
- Yoo BC, Park JW, Kim HJ, Lee DH, Cha YJ, Park SM. Precore and core promoter mutation of hepatitis B virus and hepatitis B virus antigennegative chronic hepatitis B in Korea. J Hepatol 2003;38:98-103.
- Knodell RG, Ishak KG, Black WC, Chen TS, Craig R, Kaplowitz N, et al. Formulation and application of a numerical scoring system for assessing histological activity in asymptomatic chronic active hepatitis. Hepatology 1981;1:431-5.
- Zarski JP, Marcellin P, Leroy V, Trepo C, Samuel D, Ganne N, *et al.* Characteristics of patients with chronic hepatitis B in France: Predominant frequency of HBeAg-negative cases. J Hepatol 2006;45:355-60.
- 22. Liaw YF. Results of lamivudine trial in Asia. J Hepatol 2003;39:S111-5.
- Lok AS, Lai CL, Wu PC, Leung EK, Lam TS. Spontaneous hepatitis B e antigen to antibody seroconversion and reversion in Chinese patients with chronic hepatitis B virus infection. Gastroenterology 1987;92:1839-43.
- 24. Sànchez-Tapias JM, Vilar JH, Costa J, Bruguera M, Ballesta AM, Ròdes J. Natural history of chronic persistent hepatitis B. Relationship between hepatitis B virus replication and the course of the disease. J Hepatol 1985;1:15-27.
- 25. Fattovich G, Rugge M, Brollo L, Pontisso P, Noventa F, Guido M, *et al.* Clinical, virologic and histologic outcome following seroconversion from HBeAg to anti-HBe in chronic hepatitis type B. Hepatology 1986;6:167-72.
- Moreno-Otero R, Garcia-Monzòn C, Garcia-Sànchez A, Buey LG, Pajares JM, Di Bisceglie AM. Development of cirrhosis after chronic type B hepatitis: A clinicopathologic and follow-up study of 46 HBeAg-positive asymptomatic patients. Am J Gastroenterol 1991;86:560-4.





Volume 14, Number 1 Dhul Hijjah 1428H January 2008

- Di Marco V, Lo Iacono O, Cammà C, Vaccaro A, Giunta M, Martorana G, *et al.* The long-term course of chronic hepatitis B. Hepatology 1999;30:257-64.
- Lin SM, Sheen IS, Chien RN, Chu CM, Liaw YF. Long-term beneficial effect of interferon therapy in patients with chronic hepatitis B virus infection. Hepatology 1999;29:971-5.
- Yuen MF, Hui CK, Cheng CC, Wu CH, Lai YP, Lai CL. Long-term follow-up of interferon alfa treatment in Chinese patients with chronic hepatitis B infection: the effect on hepatitis B e antigen seroconversion and the development of cirrhosis-related complications. Hepatology 2001;34:139-45.
- Mangia A, Chung YH, Hoofnagle JH, Birken-Meyer L, Mushahwar I, Di Bisceglie AM. Pathogenesis of chronic liver disease in patients with chronic hepatitis B virus infection without serum HBeAg. Dig Dis Sci 1996;41:2447-52.
- Tassopoulos NC, Volpes R, Pastore G, Heathcote J, Buti M, Goldin RD, et al. Efficacy of lamivudine in patients with hepatitis B e antigennegative/hepatitis B virus DNA-positive (precore mutant) chronic hepatitis B. Hepatology 1999;29:889-96.
- Chu CJ, Keeffe EB, Han SH, Perrillo RP, Min AD, Soldevila-Pico C, et al. Prevalence HBV precore/core promoter variants United States. Hepatology 2003;38:619-28.
- Knoll A, Rohihofer A, Kocharowski B, Wurm EM, Jily W. Prevalence of precore mutation in anti HBe-positive hepatitis B virus carrier in Germany. J Med Virol 1999;59:14-8.
- Zarski JP, Marcellin P, Cohard M, Lutz JM, Bouche C, Rais A. Comparison of anti-HBe-positive and HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B in France. J Hepatol 1994;20:636-40.
- Chu CJ, Hussain M, Lok AS. Quantitative serum HBV DNA levels during different stages of chronic hepatitis B infection. Hepatology 2002;36:1408-15.
- Mommega-Marin H, Mondon E, Bium MR, Rousseau F. Serum HBV DNA as a marker of efficacy during therapy for chronic HBV infection: Analysis and review of the literature. Hepatology 2003;37:1309-19.

- Lindh M, Horal P, Dhillon AP, Norkrans G. Hepatitis B virus DNA levels, precore mutations, genotypes and histological activity in chronic hepatitis B. J Viral Hepat 2000;7:258-67.
- Zavaglia C, Mondazzi L, Maggi G, Iamoni G, Gelosa F, Bellati G, *et al.* Are alanine aminotransferase, hepatitis B virus DNA or IgM antibody to hepatitis B core antigen serum levels predictors of histological grading in chronic hepatitis B? Liver 1997;17:83-7.
- Yuen MF, Irene OL, Fan ST, Yuan HJ, Wong DK, Yuen CH, *et al.* Significance of HBV DNA levels in liver histology of HBeAg and Anti HBe positive patients with chronic hepatitis B. Am J Gastroenterol 2004;99:2032-7.
- 40. Manesis EK, Papatheodoridis GV, Sevastianos V, Cholongitas E, Papaioannou C, Hadziyannis SJ. Significance of hepatitis B viremia levels determined by a quantitative polymerase chain reaction assay in patients with HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B virus infection. Am J Gastroenterol 2003;98:2261-7.
- Fattovich C, Brollo L, Alberti A, Pontisso P, Giustina G, Realdi G. Long term follow-up of anti-HBe positive chronic active hepatitis B. Hepatology 1988;8:1651-4.
- 42. McMahon BJ. The natural history of chronic hepatitis B virus infection. Semin Liver Dis 2004;24:17-21
- Xu B, Hu DC, Rosenberg DM, Jiang QW, Lin XM, Lu JL, et al. Chronic hepatitis B: A long-term retrospective cohort study of disease progression in Shanghai, China. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2003;18:1345-52.
- 44. Wu GC, Zhou WP, Zhao YR, Guo SH, Wang ZY, Zou SB, *et al.* The natural history of chronic hepatitis B: A retrospective study. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int 2003;2:566-70.
- 45. Marx G, Martin SR, Chicoine JF, Alvarez F. Long-term follow up of chronic hepatitis B virus infections in children of different ethnic origins. J Infect Dis 2002;186:295-301.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.

The Saudi Journal of Gastroenterology