# Prognostic impact of *CEBPA* bZIP domain mutation in acute myeloid leukemia

Satoshi Wakita,<sup>1,\*</sup> Masahiro Sakaguchi,<sup>1,\*</sup> lekuni Oh,<sup>2</sup> Shinichi Kako,<sup>3</sup> Takashi Toya,<sup>4</sup> Yuho Najima,<sup>4</sup> Noriko Doki,<sup>4</sup> Junya Kanda,<sup>5</sup> Junya Kuroda,<sup>6</sup> Shinichiro Mori,<sup>7</sup> Atsushi Satake,<sup>8</sup> Kensuke Usuki,<sup>9</sup> Toshimitsu Ueki,<sup>10</sup> Nobuhiko Uoshima,<sup>11</sup> Yutaka Kobayashi,<sup>11</sup> Eri Kawata,<sup>12</sup> Kenji Tajika,<sup>13</sup> Yuhei Nagao,<sup>14</sup> Katsuhiro Shono,<sup>14</sup> Motoharu Shibusawa,<sup>15</sup> Jiro Tadokoro,<sup>15</sup> Kensuke Kayamori,<sup>16</sup> Masao Hagihara,<sup>17</sup> Hitoji Uchiyama,<sup>18</sup> Naoyuki Uchida,<sup>19</sup> Yasushi Kubota,<sup>20</sup> Shinya Kimura,<sup>20</sup> Hisao Nagoshi,<sup>21</sup> Tatsuo Ichinohe,<sup>21</sup> Saiko Kurosawa,<sup>22</sup> Sayuri Motomura,<sup>23</sup> Akiko Hashimoto,<sup>24</sup> Hideharu Muto,<sup>25</sup> Eriko Sato,<sup>26</sup> Masao Ogata,<sup>27</sup> Kenjiro Mitsuhashi,<sup>28</sup> Jun Ando,<sup>29</sup> Atsushi Marumo,<sup>1</sup> Ikuko Omori,<sup>1</sup> Yusuke Fujiwara,<sup>1</sup> Kazuki Terada,<sup>1</sup> Shunsuke Yui,<sup>1</sup> Kunihito Arai,<sup>1</sup> Tomoaki Kitano,<sup>1</sup> Miho Miyata,<sup>1</sup> Akiyo Kurosawa,<sup>1</sup> Ayumi Mizoguchi,<sup>1</sup> Norio Komatsu,<sup>29</sup> Takahiro Fukuda,<sup>22</sup> Kazuteru Ohashi,<sup>4</sup> Yoshinobu Kanda,<sup>2,3</sup> Koiti Inokuchi,<sup>1</sup> and Hiroki Yamaguchi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Hematology, Nippon Medical School, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>2</sup>Division of Hematology, Department of Medicine, Jichi Medical University, Tochigi, Japan; <sup>3</sup>Division of Hematology, Jichi Medical University Saitama Medical Center, Saitama, Japan; <sup>4</sup>Hematology Division, Tokyo Metropolitan Cancer and Infectious Diseases Center, Komagome Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>5</sup>Department of Hematology and Oncology, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan; <sup>6</sup>Division of Hematology and Oncology, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan; <sup>7</sup>Hemato-Oncology Department, St. Luke's International Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>8</sup>First Department of Internal Medicine, Kansai Medical University, Osaka, Japan; <sup>9</sup>Department of Hematology, NTT Medical Center Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>10</sup>Department of Hematology, Nagano Red Cross Hospital, Nagano, Japan; <sup>11</sup>Department of Hematology, Japanese Red Cross, Kyoto Daini Hospital, Kyoto, Japan; <sup>12</sup>Department of Hematology, Matsushita Memorial Hospital, Osaka, Japan; <sup>13</sup>Department of Hematology, Yokohama Minami Kyousai Hospital, Kanagawa, Japan;<sup>14</sup>Department of Hematology, Chiba Aoba Municipal Hospital, Chiba, Japan;<sup>15</sup>Department of Hematology, IMS Group Shinmatsudo Central General Hospital, Chiba, Japan; <sup>16</sup>Department of Hematology, Chiba University Hospital, Chiba, Japan; <sup>17</sup>Department of Hematology, Eiju General Hopital, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>18</sup>Department of Hematology, Japanese Red Cross Kyoto Daiichi Hospital, Kyoto, Japan; <sup>19</sup>Department of Hematology, Federation of National Public Service Personnel Mutual Aid Associations, Toranomon Hospital, Tokyo, Japan;<sup>20</sup>Division of Hematology, Respiratory Medicine and Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Saga University, Saga, Japan;<sup>21</sup>Department of Hematology and Oncology, Research Institute for Radiation Biology and Medicine, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan; <sup>22</sup>Department of Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation, National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>23</sup>Department of Hematology, Tama-Hokubu Medical Center, Tokyo Metropolitan Health and Medical Treatment Corporation, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>24</sup>Department of Immunology and Hematology, Kobe City Nishi-Kobe Medical Center, Hyogo, Japan; <sup>25</sup>Division of Hematology, Tokyo Metropolitan Ohtsuka Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>26</sup>Department of Hematology, Juntendo University Nerima Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>27</sup>Department of Hematology, Oita University Hospital, Oita, Japan;<sup>28</sup>Department of Hematology, Saitama Red Cross Hospital, Saitama, Japan; and <sup>29</sup>Department of Hematology, Juntendo University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

#### **Key Points**

• CEBPA mutation in the bZIP domain is associated with favorable prognosis in de novo AML, even if it was detected as CEBPAsm. Mutations of CCAAT/enhancer–binding protein alpha (*CEBPA*mu) are found in 10% to 15% of de novo acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cases. Double-mutated *CEBPA* (*CEBPA*dm) is associated with a favorable prognosis; however, single-mutated *CEBPA* (*CEBPA*sm) does not seem to improve prognosis. We investigated *CEBPA*mu for prognosis in 1028 patients with AML, registered in the Multi-center Collaborative Program for Gene Sequencing of Japanese AML. It was found that *CEBPA*mu in the basic leucine zipper domain (bZIP) was strongly associated with a favorable prognosis, but *CEBPA*mu out of the bZIP domain was not. The presence of *CEBPA*mu in bZIP was a strong indicator of a higher chance of achieving complete remission (P < .001), better overall survival (OS; P < .001) and a lower risk of relapse (P < .001). The prognostic significance of *CEBPA*mu in bZIP was also observed in the subgroup with *CEBPA*sm (all patients: OS, P = .008; the cumulative incidence of relapse, P = .063; patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with intermediate-risk karyotype: OS, P = .008; cumulative incidence of relapse, P = .026). Multivariate analysis of 744 patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years showed that *CEBPA*mu in bZIP was the most potent predictor of OS (hazard ratio, 0.3287; P < .001). *CEBPA*dm was validated as a cofounding factor, which was overlapping with *CEBPA*mu in

Submitted 18 January 2021; accepted 29 March 2021; prepublished online on *Blood Advances* First Edition 27 August 2021; final version published online 10 January 2022. DOI 10.1182/bloodadvances.2021004292.

\*S.W. and M.S. contributed equally to this study.

Requests for data sharing may be submitted to Hiroki Yamaguchi (y-hiroki@fd6.so-net. ne.jp).

The full-text version of this article contains a data supplement.

© 2022 by The American Society of Hematology. Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), permitting only noncommercial, nonderivative use with attribution. All other rights reserved. bZIP. In summary, these findings indicate that *CEBPA*mu in bZIP is a potent marker for AML prognosis. It holds potential in the refinement of treatment stratification and the development of targeted therapeutic approaches in *CEBPA*-mutated AML.

# Introduction

Genetic abnormalities are potent prognostic markers for acute myeloid leukemia (AML). Recently, mutated genes in AML have been assessed in addition to the conventional chromosomal analysis, which dates back to the 1990s. Mutated genes have been affirmed as important prognostic markers, and hence widespread screening for genetic mutations has been initiated in clinical practice.<sup>1-3</sup> CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein alpha (CEBPA) is one of the most important AML prognostic genes, and its mutation (CEBPAmu) is detected in 10% to 15% of de novo AML cases. One-third of CEBPAmu are single-mutated CEBPA (CEBPAsm), a heterozygous monoallelic mutation, and two-thirds are double-mutated CEBPA (CEBPAdm), usually biallelic N- and C-terminal mutations. Of these mutations, CEBPAdm is frequently detected in the intermediate-risk karyotype group, making it a favorable prognostic factor. However, CEBPAsm has a poorer prognosis than CEBPAdm; thus, the usefulness of CEB-PAsm as a prognostic factor has not been clarified.<sup>4-9</sup> The mechanisms underlying this biological paradox, wherein the group with biallelic mutations is associated with a better prognosis than the group with monoallelic mutations, has yet to be explained.

*CEBPA* messenger RNA has 2 translation initiation sites and 2 isoforms: a full-length p42 (42 kDa) and a p30 (30 kDa) with weak transcriptional activity and lacking an N-terminal transactivation domain-1.<sup>8</sup> The structure common to both isoforms is the basic leucine zipper domain (bZIP domain), located on the C-terminal side. This bZIP is a structure found in many transcription factors and has an important role in protein dimerization. This part enables DNA binding to the major groove of the DNA molecule. Interestingly, mutation in both the C-terminal and N-terminal of the bZIP domain accounts for the majority of the mutations. Both types of mutations have different functions and augment the development of AML.<sup>10</sup> Conversely, one-third of CEBPAsm are mutations in the bZIP domain, and the remaining two-thirds are mutations out of the bZIP domain. However, investigations regarding the effect of the location of *CEB-PA*sm on prognosis are rare.

In this study, we retrospectively analyzed the clinical features of AML with *CEBPA*mu based on clinical sequencing data conducted at a multicenter joint study in Japan, and we investigated the effect of the location of *CEBPA*mu on prognosis.

# Materials and methods

#### **Study population**

This study was reviewed and approved by the Human Subjects Institutional Review Board (project approval number 29-07-783) of the Nippon Medical School (Tokyo, Japan), and informed consent was obtained in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki from all participants. All patients in this analysis were enrolled and selected by the Multi-center Collaborative Program for Gene Sequencing of Japanese AML conducted by Nippon Medical School. Briefly, Japanese residents aged  $\geq 16$  years, who had had de novo AML since 2001,

were enrolled in this observational study after obtaining their consent. The following test results of the patients with AML since 2009 were provided by the investigators to physicians within 1 month: *FLT3* internal tandem duplication (*FLT3-ITD*) (polymerase chain reaction assay from 2009, fragment analysis from 2018), nucleophosmin1 (*NPM1*) exon12 (from 2009), *CEBPA* (from 2009), and DNA methyltransferase 3A (*DNMT3A*) R882 (from 2017). These data were used for decision-making in clinical practice. Baseline clinical, laboratory, and treatment data were abstracted by using a standard protocol. Treatment response data were extracted from medical records; the response was solely based on the treating physician's documentation.

#### **Patient samples**

This analysis included patients with de novo AML (excluding the FAB-M3 subtype) who were enrolled in the Multi-center Collaborative Program for Gene Sequencing of Japanese AML from 2001 to 2019. Patient samples were collected after diagnosis, and genomic DNA extraction was conducted in patients with  $\geq$  20% blasts in bone marrow or peripheral blood. For genomic DNA extraction, mononuclear cells from bone marrow or peripheral blood were isolated by density gradient centrifugation using lymphocyte separation medium (Organon Teknika Corp., Durham, NC). Genomic DNA of mononuclear cells was extracted with the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The clinical sequencing for *FLT3-ITD, NPM1* exon12, *CEBPA*, and *DNMT3A* R882 were conducted within 1 month, whereas targetcaptured sequencing for the AML gene panel using cryopreserved samples was performed later according to the procedures stated in the "Mutational analysis" section.

#### Screening for cytogenetic mutations

G-band analysis was performed on bone marrow samples obtained from patients at initial presentation. When obtaining bone marrow samples was difficult, peripheral blood was used. For patients suspected of being M2 (myeloblastic with differentiation), M3 (promyelocytic), or M4eo (myelomonocytic with eosinophilia) based on the French-American-British classification, fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis was used to additionally search for Runt-related transcription factor (*RUNX1-RUNX1T1*), promyelocytic leukemia/retinoic acid receptor alpha (*PML-RARA*), and core-binding factor b-myosin heavy chain 11 (*CBFB-MYH11*) mutations. The cytogenetic prognosis was then classified in accordance with the system recommended by the 2017 European Leukemia Net classification (ELN 2017).

#### **Mutational analysis**

For the screening of *NPM1* and *CEBPA* mutations, Sanger sequencing of exon12 of the *NPM1* gene and the entire exon of *CEBPA* gene was performed.<sup>11</sup> We defined mutations of the bZIP domain as mutations whose starting positions were in the bZIP coding region. *FLT3-ITD* was screened by polymerase chain reaction assay, and quantitative fragment analysis of *FLT3-ITD* was conducted for calculating the *FLT3-ITD* allelic ratio (ITD-AR).<sup>12</sup> For this study, high-AR and low-AR were defined as >0.5 and <0.5 of ITD-AR, respectively. *DNMT3A* R822 mutations were detected by using i-densy (ARKRAY, Inc., Kyoto, Japan), a fully automated single-nucleotide polymorphism

genotyping system based on the quenching probe method.<sup>13</sup> The detected mutations were confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

#### Target-captured sequencing for the AML gene panel

An oligonucleotide library construction and template preparation were generated by Ion Chef (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) using order-made probes designed against the genes of the AML gene panel (supplemental Table 1). The library was sequenced with a next-generation sequencer, Ion Proton (Thermo Fisher Scientific). With respect to detected mutations, the National Center for Biotechnology Information and the Catalogue Of Somatic Mutations In Cancer databases were used to search for polymorphisms and cancer-related mutations. For newly identified mutations, we searched for genetic polymorphisms using Sanger sequencing with remissionstage samples.

#### **Statistical analysis**

The primary end point was overall survival (OS). Cumulative incidence of relapse (CIR) for patients who had achieved complete remission (CR) was calculated from the time interval between the date of CR to the date of relapse. The  $\chi^2$  test and Fisher's exact test were used to test the association between categorical variables and the presence and absence of mutations. The nonparametric Mann-Whitney *U* test was used to determine the statistical significance of differences in median values. All statistical tests were two-sided. The Kaplan-Meier method and log-rank test were used to analyze OS and CIR. Patients were alive when censored at the last followup. The Pearson coefficient was used to score the correlations among concurrent mutations. With respect to the prognostic factors, multivariate analysis was conducted with the Cox proportional hazards model. A backward and forward stepwise procedure selection model with the Akaike information criterion was used to extract independent events.

Statistical analyses were performed by using GraphPad Prism version 9.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA) and IBM SPSS Statistics version 21.0 for Windows (IBM SPSS Statistics, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY). Power calculations for sample size estimation were performed by using GraphPad StatMate version 2.00 for Windows.

## **Results**

#### Patient background

Of the 1414 patients enrolled in the study, 377 were excluded either because their samples did not satisfy our sample criteria or their clinical information was not available. Moreover, 9 patients were excluded because they were treated with *FLT3* inhibitors at the induction phase. The remaining 1028 patients were included in the analysis. The follow-up information of 41 patients was missing, and they were censored at time 0 for the OS analysis.

Supplemental Table 2 details the background of the 1028 patients enrolled in this study. Of these patients, 864 were ≤70 years old, and the median observation period was 686.1 days. Chromosomal classification according to ELN 2017 yielded 146 cases with favorable-risk, 647 cases with intermediate-risk, and 160 cases with adverse-risk karyotype; 73 cases had unknown karyotypes due to reasons such as no cell division. In accordance with previous reports,



Figure 1. Summary of CEBPA mutations in the primary cohort. (A) Distribution of CEBPA mutations. (B) Overlapping pattern of CEBPA mutations

OS prognosis stratification was possible with chromosome analysis in this cohort as well (OS for all patients, P < .001; OS for patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years, P < .001) (supplemental Figure 1). The 7 + 3 induction regimen, which consists of 7 days of standard-dose cytarabine (100-200 mg/m<sup>2</sup> continuous infusion) and 3 days of an anthracycline antibiotic infusion (idarubicin 12 mg/m<sup>2</sup> or daunorubicin 60-90 mg/m<sup>2</sup>), was used as a first induction therapy in 80.8% of the cases. As a postremission treatment, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation was performed in 151 patients during the first remission phase. The donor sources were auto peripheral blood stem cell (n = 5), HLAmatched related donor (n = 37), HLA-matched unrelated donor (n = 73), cord blood (n = 32), and 1-haploidentical donor (n = 4).

#### Distribution and frequency of CEBPA mutations

The distribution and frequency of all *CEBPA*mu are shown in Figure 1A-B, respectively. There were 59 patients with *CEBPA*sm and 103 patients with *CEBPA*dm among the total 1028 patients. Of the patients with *CEBPA*dm, 91.3% (94 of 103) had a combination of mutations in the bZIP domain (*CEBPA*mu in bZIP) and mutations out of the bZIP domain (ie, *CEBPA*mu out-of bZIP), 2.9% (3 of 103) of the patients had 2 *CEBPA*mu out-of bZIP, and 5.8% (6 of 103) of the patients had 2 *CEBPA*mu out-of bZIP. Furthermore, 32.2% (19 of 59) of the patients with *CEBPA*sm had mutations in the bZIP domain (*CEBPA*sm in bZIP), and 67.8% (40 of 59) of patients had mutations out-of the bZIP domain (*CEBPA*sm out-of bZIP). In total, 116 of all the patients had *CEBPA*sm was not a significant prognostic marker (OS for all patients, *P* < .001; CIR for all patients,

P = .015; OS for patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with intermediate-risk karyotype, P < .001; CIR for patient aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with intermediate-risk karyotype, P = .009) (supplemental Figure 2).

#### Clinical significance of AML with CEBPAmu in bZIP

Supplemental Table 2 describes the background of patients with AML with and without *CEBPA*mu in bZIP. Almost all cases of AML with *CEBPA*mu in bZIP were classified into the intermediate-risk chromosomal classification. The *NPM1* mutation was detected in 27.8% (286 of 1028) of all AML cases and 5.2% (6 of 116) of patients with AML with *CEBPA*mu in bZIP. *FLT3-ITD* was detected in 21.0% (216 of 1028) of all AML cases and 4.3% (5 of 116) of patients with AML with *CEBPA*mu in bZIP. The incidence of both these mutations was significantly lower than AML without *CEBPA*mu in bZIP (P < .001). Based on these findings, the *NPM1* mutation and *FLT3-ITD* were considered far less frequent in AML with *CEBPA*mu in bZIP.

The rate of achieving CR in the induction phase was calculated only for patients who underwent remission induction therapy. The CR rate for all the AML cases was 67.2% for all age groups and 72.0% for patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years. The CR rate for AML with *CEB*-*PA*mu in bZIP was 90.2% for all age groups and 92.7% for patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years; these values were significantly higher than AML without *CEBPA*mu in bZIP (all age groups, P < .001; patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years, P < .001) (supplemental Table 2).

The Kaplan-Meier curve showing OS and CIR of AML with *CEBPA*mu in bZIP and AML without *CEBPA*mu in bZIP is shown in Figure 2. AML with *CEBPA*mu in bZIP had significantly longer OS and was associated with lower CIR than AML without *CEBPA*mu in bZIP



Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier survival curves for OS and CIR comparing patients without *CEBPAmu* in bZIP and patients with *CEBPAmu* in bZIP. Analyses were conducted for 962 of 1028 patients who were followed up. Kaplan-Meier curves were stratified according to whether patients with AML have the *CEBPA* mutation in the bZIP domain: with *CEBPA*mu in bZIP (red), without *CEBPA*mu in bZIP (blue). Kaplan-Meier curve of OS for all patients (A), Kaplan-Meier curve of CIR for all patients (B), Kaplan-Meier curve of OS for patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with intermediate-risk karyotype (C), and Kaplan-Meier curve of CIR for patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with intermediate-risk karyotype (D).

(OS for all patients, P < .001; CIR for all patients, P < .001; OS for patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with intermediate-risk karyotype, P < .001; CIR for patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with intermediate-risk karyotype, P < .001).

# Differences between CEBPAsm in bZIP and CEBPAsm out-of bZIP

The effect of the position of *CEBPAsm* on AML prognosis was analyzed to rule out the possibility of confounding bias caused by the inclusion of *CEBPAmu* in bZIP in the majority of AML cases with *CEBPAdm*, given that *CEBPAmu* in bZIP has a favorable prognosis.

As stated earlier, 67.8% (40 of 59) of patients with AML with *CEB*-*P*Asm had *CEBP*Asm out-of bZIP, and 32.2% (19/59) had *CEB*-*P*Asm in bZIP. Supplemental Table 3 describes the characteristics of patients with AML with *CEBP*Asm out-of bZIP and in bZIP. As shown, *FLT3-ITD* mutations were detected in 32.5% (13 of 40) of patients in the *CEBP*Asm out-of bZIP group, which was higher than the 5.3% (1 of 19) of patients in the *CEBP*Asm in bZIP group (P = .021). *NPM1* mutations were detected in 35.0% (14 of 40) of patients in the *CEBP*Asm out-of bZIP group and 26.3% (5 of 19) of patients in the *CEBP*Asm in bZIP group. There was no significant difference in the frequency of *NPM1* mutations between the *CEB*-*P*Asm out-of bZIP group and the *CEBP*Asm in bZIP group.

The CR rate was higher in AML with *CEBPAsm* in bZIP, but this difference was not significant (AML with *CEBPAsm* in bZIP, 80.0%; AML with *CEBPAsm* out-of bZIP, 57.5%; P = .132) (supplemental Table 3). The Kaplan-Meier curves showing OS and CIR of AML with *CEBPAsm* in bZIP and out-of bZIP are shown in Figure 3A-B

(all patients with CEBPAsm) and Figure 3C-D (patients with CEB-PAsm, aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with intermediate-risk karyotype), respectively. AML with CEBPAsm in bZIP had a significantly longer OS than AML with CEBPAsm out-of bZIP. The median OS of patients with CEBPAsm was as follows: AML with CEBPAsm in bZIP, not reached; AML with CEBPAsm out-of bZIP, 630 days (P = .008). The median time to relapse in patients with CEBPAsm was as follows: AML with CEBPAsm in bZIP, not reached; AML with CEBPAsm outof bZIP, 560 days (P = .063). In the group of patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with intermediate-risk karyotype, AML with CEBPAsm in bZIP had a significantly longer OS and CIR than AML with CEBPAsm out-of bZIP. The median OS of the group of patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with intermediate-risk karyotype was as follows: AML with CEB-PAsm in bZIP, not reached; AML with CEBPAsm out-of bZIP, 1410 days (P = .008). The median time to relapse in the group of patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with intermediate-risk karvotype was as follows: AML with CEBPAsm in bZIP, not reached; AML with CEBPAsm outof bZIP, 560 days (P = .026). These findings suggest that CEBPAmu in bZIP is a favorable prognostic marker in patients with AML with CEBPAsm.

We compared 3 groups (*CEBPAsm* out-of bZIP/*FLT3-ITD* negative, *CEBPAsm* out-of bZIP/*FLT3-ITD* positive, and *CEBPAsm* in bZIP/ *FLT3-ITD* negative) to investigate the effect of *FLT3ITD*, which is an adverse prognostic factor (there was only 1 case of *CEBPAsm* in the bZIP/*FLT3-ITD* positive group, and thus it was not included in the analysis) (supplemental Figure 3). *FLT3-ITD* tends to have a poor prognosis, but there was no significant difference in OS and CIR between the *CEBPAsm* out-of bZIP/*FLT3-ITD*–negative group and the *CEBPAsm* out-of bZIP/*FLT3-ITD*–positive group. When we



Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier survival curves for OS and CIR of patients with *CEBPAsm* comparing *CEBPAsm* in bZIP and *CEBPAsm* out-of bZIP. Analyses were performed for 54 of 59 patients with *CEBPAsm* AML who were followed up. Kaplan-Meier curves were stratified according to whether *CEBPA* mutation was "in" or "out-of" the bZIP domain: red, *CEBPAmu* in bZIP; blue, *CEBPAmu* out-of bZIP. (A) Kaplan-Meier curve of OS for all patients (A), Kaplan-Meier curve of CIR for all patients (B), Kaplan-Meier curve of OS for patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with intermediate-risk karyotype (C), and Kaplan-Meier curve of CIR for patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with intermediate-risk karyotype (D).



Figure 4.



Figure 5. Kaplan-Meier survival curves for OS of patients with *CEBPAmu* in bZIP comparing the 3 genotypes (*GATA2* positive/*WT1* negative, *GATA2* negative/*WT1* negative, *GATA2* negative/*WT1* negative, *GATA2* negative/*WT1* negative, *GATA2* negative/*WT1* negative, *Kaplan*-Meier curves were stratified according to the 3 genotypes: red, *GATA2* positive/*WT1* negative; blue, *GATA2* negative/*WT1* positive; and gray, *GATA2* negative/*WT1* negative. There was no genotype of *GATA2* positive/*WT1* positive. (A) Kaplan-Meier curve of OS for all patients (among the 3 groups P = .410; *GATA2* positive/*WT1* negative vs *GATA2* negative/*WT1* positive, P = .154; *GATA2* negative/*WT1* positive vs *GATA2* negative/*WT1* negative, P = .483; *GATA2* positive/*WT1* negative vs *GATA2* negative/*WT1* negative, P = .366). (B) Kaplan-Meier curve of OS for patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with intermediate-risk karyotype (among the 3 groups, P = .072; *GATA2* positive/*WT1* negative vs *GATA2* negative/*WT1* negative, P = .208; *GATA2* positive/*WT1* negative vs *GATA2* negative/*WT1* negative, P = .208; *GATA2* positive/*WT1* negative vs *GATA2* negative/*WT1* negative, P = .113).

compared the *CEBPAsm* in bZIP/*FLT3-ITD*-negative group and the *CEBPAsm* out-of bZIP/*FLT3-ITD*-negative group, AML with *CEBPAsm* in bZIP had significantly longer OS than AML with *CEB*-*PAsm* out-of bZIP, even in the *FLT3-ITD*-negative group (all patients with *CEBPAsm* positive/*FLT3-ITD*-negative, *P* = .018; patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with *CEBPAsm* positive/*FLT3-ITD* negative, *P* = .022). Furthermore, we analyzed the OS in a subgroup who were *FLT3-ITD* negative, *NPM1* mutation negative, and *CEBPAsm* positive, to eliminate the effect of *FLT3-ITD* and *NPM1* mutation; *CEB*-*PAsm* in bZIP remained a significantly favorable prognostic marker for predicting OS (all patients with *CEBPAsm* positive/*FLT3-ITD* negative/*NPM1* mutation negative, *P* = .049; patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with CEBPAsm positive/*FLT3-ITD* negative/NPM1 mutation negative: *P* = .018) (supplemental Figure 4).

#### Overlapping genetic mutations with CEBPAmu

Global overlapping mutations were assessed by retrospective analysis of preserved DNA samples where possible, using nextgeneration sequencing analysis. The analysis was performed in 107 of 151 patients with AML with *CEBPA*mu, 66 patients with AML with *CEBPA*dm, and 41 patients with AML with *CEBPA*sm. The overview of individual somatic mutations detected in AML with *CEBPA*mu and a correlation matrix showing the Pearson correlation coefficient among *CEBPA*mu and common concurrent mutations are shown in Figure 4. The gene mutations were described in ELN 2017 and detected at a frequency  $\geq$ 3% in *CEBPA*mu cases. In the Pearson correlation analysis, a higher frequency of *GATA2*, *WT* concurrent mutations and a lower frequency of *NPM1*, *TET2*, *DNMT3A*, *FLT3*-*ITD*, and *IDH2* concurrent mutations were noted in AML with CEBPAmu in bZIP. The GATA2 mutation and WT1 mutation, which are frequently detected in AML with CEBPAmu in bZIP, were exclusively mutually detected. When we investigated the prognosis of GATA2/WT1, the 3-year OS in genotypes that were GATA2 negative/WT1 negative, GATA2 positive/WT1 negative, and GATA2 negative/WT1 positive was 72.27% (n = 45), 85.71% (n = 15), and 58.33% (n = 10), respectively, for all patients, and 78.53% (n = 41), 100% (n = 13), and 58.33% (n = 10) for patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with intermediate-risk karyotype. Comparison of the 3 groups did not reveal any significant difference, but comparison of genotypes that were GATA2 positive/WT1 negative and GATA2 negative/WT1 positive in patients aged  $\leq$ 70 years and with an intermediate-risk karyotype found a significant difference, with the GATA2 positive/WT1 negative genotype having a favorable prognosis (P = .016) (Figure 5).

#### Multivariate analyses for OS and CIR

Multivariate analyses for OS and CIR were conducted on patients of the transplantation-adapted age of  $\leq$ 70 years using the forwardbackward stepwise method to evaluate the effect of year of diagnosis (2001-2009 or 2010-2019), age (>60 years or  $\leq$ 60 years), sex, white blood cell count at first visit ( $\geq$ 20 000/µL or <20 000/µL), favorable-risk karyotype, adverse-risk karyotype, *FLT3-ITD* high allelic ratio, *FLT3-ITD* low allelic ratio, *NPM1* mutation (positive or negative), *CEBPA*mu (positive or negative), *CEBPA*dm (positive or negative), and *CEBPA*mu in bZIP (positive or negative). The Akaike information criterion was adopted for model selection. Multivariate analysis for OS in 744 patients who were aged  $\leq$ 70 years and had also undergone *CEBPA* mutation analysis, *NPM1* mutation analysis, and *FLT3-ITD* 

Figure 4. The spectrum of concurrent mutations among different genes. (A) The overview of individual somatic mutations detected in AML with CEBPAmu. Columns represent patients with CEBPA mutations (66 patients with CEBPAdm and 41 patients with CEBPAsm), and rows represent the genotypes. (B) Correlation matrix based on the Pearson correlation coefficient analyses. The Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients were calculated, and correlation matrices were constructed for 107 patients with AML with CEBPAmu. Different colors are used to represent different correlation strengths. The color scale is defined by the color bar legend. Here, the red color suggests a strong positive correlation, whereas the blue color indicates a strong negative correlation. The underline represents the *P* value <.05.

# Table 1. Multivariate analysis for OS in patients of the transplantation-adapted age of $\leq$ 70 years

Hazard ratio	95% confidence interval	Р
0.3287	0.1852-0.5834	<.001
0.5349	0.3539-0.8084	<.001
0.6804	0.4845-0.9554	.026
0.7218	0.5608-0.9291	.011
1.917	1.34-2.743	<.001
1.959	1.525-2.517	<.001
2.397	1.791-3.208	<.001
	Hazard ratio   0.3287   0.5349   0.6804   0.7218   1.917   1.959   2.397	95%   001idence interval   0.3287 0.1852-05834   0.5349 0.3539-0.8044   0.5340 0.4845-0.9544   0.7218 0.5608-0.9214   1.917 1.342-7434   1.959 1.525-2517   2.397 1.791-3208

n = 744, number of events = 265.

fragment analysis revealed that *CEBPA*mu in bZIP was the strongest favorable prognostic factor of OS (hazard ratio, 0.3287; 95% confidence interval, 0.1852-0.5834; P < .001) (Table 1). In addition, multivariate analysis for CIR in 525 patients who achieved CR showed that *CEBPA*mu in bZIP was an independent favorable prognostic factor of CIR hazard ratio (0.6157; 95% confidence interval, 0.3931-0.9644; P = .034) (Table 2). On the other hand, *CEBPA*dm was not selected as an independent prognostic factor, indicating that it was a confounding factor for *CEBPA*mu in bZIP.

#### Discussion

In this study, we analyzed a large-scale cohort of 1028 cases of de novo AML, and the results showed that *CEBPA*dm is a favorable prognostic marker, as indicated in previous reports.<sup>4-9</sup> *CEBPA*mu in bZIP, which is abundant in *CEBPA*dm, is a strong favorable prognostic factor. It was noted that if *CEBPA*sm was in the bZIP domain, it serves as a favorable prognostic factor. The results of this analysis reinforce the usefulness of the previous findings stating that *CEBPA*dm is a favorable prognostic factor, and it clarifies the importance of *CEBPA*mu in bZIP.

This study also conducted comprehensive retrospective genetic mutation analysis of preserved DNA samples where possible, using a next-generation sequencer on 107 patients with AML with *CEB*-*PA*mu. We discovered that there were differences in the genetic background of AML with *CEBPA*mu in bZIP and AML without *CEBPA*mu in bZIP. *GATA2* and *WT1*, which are frequently detected in AML with *CEBPA*mu in bZIP, allow for further stratification of the prognosis of AML with *CEBPA*mu in bZIP. Previous retrospective studies on small numbers of patients with AML with *CEBPA*dm have reported the importance of *GATA2* mutations as a favorable prognostic factor and *WT1* mutations as a poor prognostic factor. The results of this

Table 2.	Multivariate	analysis	for	CIR	in	patients	of	the
transpl	antation-ada	pted age	of	≤70	ye	ars		

Variable	Hazard ratio	95% confidence interval	P
Year of diagnosis (in and after 2010)	0.5284	0.3656-0.7639	<.001
CEBPAmu in the bZIP domain	0.6157	0.3931-0.9644	.034
White blood cell count ${\geq}20000/{\mu}L$	1.325	0.9956-1.763	.054
Adverse-risk karyotype	1.549	0.9442-2.54	.083
FLT3-ITD high AR	1.762	1.164-2.667	<.001
Age ≥60 y	1.93	1.456-2.559	<.001

n=525, number of events =210 (219 cases were excluded from the analysis due to not achieving CR).

study can be regarded as data that support the previous findings.<sup>14,15</sup> Interestingly, *GATA2* and *WT1* mutations were exclusively found in cases with *CEBPA*dm but not in cases with *CEBPA*sm. This finding suggests that *CEBPA*dm including bZIP mutations and *CEBPA*sm in bZIP were still separate biological entities.

The most important finding in this analysis is that CEBPAmu in bZIP was detected as a strong independent favorable prognostic factor even in multivariate analyses conducted on patients of the transplantation-adapted age of  $\leq$ 70 years. CEBPAdm, which has been recommended in many previous guidelines, was found to be a confounding factor in the CEBPAmu in bZIP group and was not obtained as an independent prognostic factor. Given the prognostic importance, an accurate testing method is necessary to assess CEB-PAmu for all patients with AML, but many laboratories struggle with implementing a reliable and sensitive CEBPA mutation assay for routine diagnostic purposes.<sup>16-18</sup> The development of a reliable and sensitive CEBPA mutation assay is complicated by the GC-rich DNA sequences of the gene (75% in the coding region), presence of a trinucleotide repeat region, and frequent occurrence of mutations in mononucleotide repeats. Similarly, inclusion of CEBPA as part of next-generation sequencing panels has been interrupted by poor amplicon coverage and misidentification of variants. Consequently, an extensive whole exon screening by Sanger sequencing, which is labor intensive and expensive, is needed to identify CEBPAdm. A mutation assay for CEB-PAmu in bZIP is very simple, as it only analyzes the 89 bp bZIP coding region. The simplification of CEBPA mutation analysis, as proposed in this study, is of great significance for AML treatment.

Previous studies suggest that *CEBPA*mu out-of bZIP is deficient in fulllength p42 *CEBPA* and produces p30*CEBPA* without a N-terminal transactivation domain-1 region, but *CEBPA*mu in bZIP forms a bZIP domain mutant with an altered bZIP function.<sup>19-21</sup> We are speculating that this function acquired by the *CEBPA* bZIP mutant determines the sensitivity of the disease to chemotherapy. The mechanisms underlying this biological paradox, which has previously been difficult to explain, states that a group with biallelic mutations is associated with a better prognosis than a group with monoallelic mutations, and this may be elucidated through further functional analysis of *CEBPA*mu in bZIP.

The limitations of this study include its retrospective nature and a selection bias for different treatment regimens. In addition, the presented outcomes are limited to the Japanese population and, thereby, a restricted sample size. In particular, the number of samples used for next-generation sequencing was too small to clarify the heterogeneity of *CEBPA*-mutated AML. To confirm the prognostic value of *CEBPA*-mutated AML. To confirm the genetic background of *CEBPA*-mutated AML, further studies are required on larger groups of patients with AML from diverse populations.

In summary, this study suggests that *CEBPA*mu in bZIP is strongly associated with a favorable prognosis, whereas *CEBPA*mu out-of bZIP is not. *CEBPA*mu in bZIP is a better prognostic marker than *CEBPA*dm. It is a strong indicator for achievement of complete remission, better survival outcome, and cumulative incidence of relapse. This study aids in better prognosis and development of treatment stratification for improved management of AML.

## **Acknowledgments**

The authors are very grateful to all the physicians who managed the patients and collected clinical data in this study.

# **Authorship**

Contribution: S.W. and M. Sakaguchi were the principal investigators and take primary responsibility for the paper; H.Y. designed the study and supervised the experiments; S.W. and M. Sakaguchi designed and performed the experiments, interpreted the data, and wrote the manuscript; I. Oh, S. Kako, T.T., Y. Najima, N.D., J. Kanda, J. Kuroda, S. Mori, A.S., K.U., T.U., N. Uoshima, Y. Kobayashi, E.K., K. Tajika, Y. Nagao, K.S., M. Shibusawa, J.T., K.K., M.H., H.U., N. Uchida, Y. Kubota, S. Kimura, H.N., T.I., S. Kurosawa, S. Motomura, A.H., H.M., E.S., M.O., K.M., J.A., N.K., T.F., K.O, Y. Kanda, and K.I. contributed to patient recruitment and data extraction; K.A., T.K, M.M., A.K., and A. Mizoguchi performed the laboratory work for the study; and A. Marumo, I. Omori, Y.F., K. Terada, and S.Y. interpreted the molecular data and performed the statistical analysis; and all authors reviewed and approved the manuscript. Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ORCID profiles: M. Sakaguchi, 0000-0002-1303-5494; S. Kako, 0000-0002-2635-3395; T.T., 0000-0002-7436-972X; Y. Najima, 0000-0001-8910-0021; J. Kanda, 0000-0002-6704-3633; K.U., 0000-0002-1216-4470; E.K., 0000-0002-9377-443X; K.S., 0000-0002-5163-0163; M. Shibusawa, 0000-0003-4696-0405; K.K., 0000-0001-6140-3135; Y. Kubota, 0000-0001-7785-1362; S. Motomura, 0000-0002-6960-0800; E.S., 0000-0003-4159-2834; K.M., 0000-0002-4103-7681; K.T., 0000-0002-6797-9546; N.K., 0000-0003-1880-9126; H.Y., 0000-0002-0571-7495.

Correspondence: Hiroki Yamaguchi, Department of Hematology, Nippon Medical School, 1-1-5 Sendagi, Bunkyo-Ku, Tokyo 113-8603, Japan; e-mail: y-hiroki@fd6.so-net.ne.jp.

## References

- 1. Döhner H, Weisdorf DJ, Bloomfield CD. Acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2015;373(12):1136-1152.
- Döhner H, Estey E, Grimwade D, et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2017 ELN recommendations from an international expert panel. Blood. 2017;129(4):424-447.
- Kurosawa S, Yakushijin K, Yamaguchi T, et al. Changes in incidence and causes of non-relapse mortality after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in patients with acute leukemia/myelodysplastic syndrome: an analysis of the Japan Transplant Outcome Registry. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2013;48(4):529-536.
- 4. Wouters BJ, Löwenberg B, Erpelinck-Verschueren CA, van Putten WL, Valk PJ, Delwel R. Double CEBPA mutations, but not single CEBPA mutations, define a subgroup of acute myeloid leukemia with a distinctive gene expression profile that is uniquely associated with a favorable outcome. *Blood.* 2009;113(13):3088-3091.
- 5. Pabst T, Eyholzer M, Fos J, Mueller BU. Heterogeneity within AML with CEBPA mutations; only CEBPA double mutations, but not single CEBPA mutations are associated with favourable prognosis. *Br J Cancer.* 2009;100(8):1343-1346.
- Green CL, Koo KK, Hills RK, Burnett AK, Linch DC, Gale RE. Prognostic significance of CEBPA mutations in a large cohort of younger adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia: impact of double CEBPA mutations and the interaction with FLT3 and NPM1 mutations. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(16):2739-2747.
- 7. Dufour A, Schneider F, Metzeler KH, et al. Acute myeloid leukemia with biallelic CEBPA gene mutations and normal karyotype represents a distinct genetic entity associated with a favorable clinical outcome. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(4):570-577.
- Taskesen E, Bullinger L, Corbacioglu A, et al. Prognostic impact, concurrent genetic mutations, and gene expression features of AML with CEBPA mutations in a cohort of 1182 cytogenetically normal AML patients: further evidence for CEBPA double mutant AML as a distinctive disease entity. *Blood.* 2011;117(8):2469-2475.
- 9. Schlenk RF, Taskesen E, van Norden Y, et al. The value of allogeneic and autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in prognostically favorable acute myeloid leukemia with double mutant CEBPA. *Blood.* 2013;122(9):1576-1582.
- 10. Avellino R, Delwel R. Expression and regulation of C/EBPα in normal myelopoiesis and in malignant transformation. Blood. 2017;129(15):2083-2091.
- 11. Wakita S, Yamaguchi H, Omori I, et al. Mutations of the epigenetics-modifying gene (DNMT3a, TET2, IDH1/2) at diagnosis may induce FLT3-ITD at relapse in de novo acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2013;27(5):1044-1052.
- 12. Sakaguchi M, Yamaguchi H, Najima Y, et al. Prognostic impact of low allelic ratio *FLT3*-ITD and *NPM1* mutation in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood Adv.* 2018;2(20):2744-2754.
- Okabe M, Yamaguchi H, Usuki K, et al. Clinical features of Japanese polycythemia vera and essential thrombocythemia patients harboring CALR, JAK2V617F, JAK2Ex12del, and MPLW515L/K mutations. *Leuk Res.* 2016;40:68-76.
- 14. Fasan A, Eder C, Haferlach C, et al. GATA2 mutations are frequent in intermediate-risk karyotype AML with biallelic CEBPA mutations and are associated with favorable prognosis. *Leukemia*. 2013;27(2):482-485.
- 15. Tien F-M, Hou H-A, Tang J-L, et al. Concomitant WT1 mutations predict poor prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia patients with double mutant CEBPA. Haematologica. 2018;103(11):e510-e513.
- Lin L-I, Lin T-C, Chou W-C, Tang J-L, Lin D-T, Tien H-F. A novel fluorescence-based multiplex PCR assay for rapid simultaneous detection of CEBPA mutations and NPM mutations in patients with acute myeloid leukemias [published correction appears in *Leukemia*. 2007;21(10):2236]. *Leukemia*. 2006;20(10):1899-1903.
- 17. Benthaus T, Schneider F, Mellert G, et al. Rapid and sensitive screening for CEBPA mutations in acute myeloid leukaemia. Br J Haematol. 2008;143(2): 230-239.

- 18. Behdad A, Weigelin HC, Elenitoba-Johnson KS, Betz BL. A clinical grade sequencing-based assay for CEBPA mutation testing: report of a large series of myeloid neoplasms [published correction appears in J Mol Diagn. 2015;17(2):206]. J Mol Diagn. 2015;17(1):76-84.
- 19. Pabst T, Mueller BU, Zhang P, et al. Dominant-negative mutations of CEBPA, encoding CCAAT/enhancer binding protein-alpha (C/EBPalpha), in acute myeloid leukemia. *Nat Genet.* 2001;27(3):263-270.
- 20. Cleaves R, Wang QF, Friedman AD. C/EBPalphap30, a myeloid leukemia oncoprotein, limits G-CSF receptor expression but not terminal granulopoiesis via site-selective inhibition of C/EBP DNA binding. *Oncogene.* 2004;23(3):716-725.
- 21. Kato N, Kitaura J, Doki N, et al. Two types of C/EBPα mutations play distinct but collaborative roles in leukemogenesis: lessons from clinical data and BMT models. *Blood.* 2011;117(1):221-233.