



Myocardial Injury in Multiple Myeloma Patients With Preserved Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction: Noninvasive Left Ventricular Pressure-Strain Myocardial Work

Zhiyue Liu¹, Li Zhang², Mei Liu¹, Fang Wang¹, Yanqiu Xiong², Zhuoqin Tang¹, Qian Li¹, Qiuchen Lu³, Shichu Liang¹, Ting Niu^{2*} and He Huang^{1*}

¹ Department of Cardiology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ² Department of Hematology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ³ Department of Ultrasound, Mianyang Central Hospital, Mianyang, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Giovanni Benfari, University of Verona, Italy

Reviewed by:

Nicola Maurea, G. Pascale National Cancer Institute Foundation (IRCCS), Italy Carlotta Sciaccaluga, University of Siena, Italy

*Correspondence:

Ting Niu tingniu@sina.com He Huang huanghe@wchscu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cardiovascular Imaging, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

> Received: 24 September 2021 Accepted: 20 December 2021 Published: 20 January 2022

Citation:

Liu Z, Zhang L, Liu M, Wang F, Xiong Y, Tang Z, Li Q, Lu Q, Liang S, Niu T and Huang H (2022) Myocardial Injury in Multiple Myeloma Patients With Preserved Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction: Noninvasive Left Ventricular Pressure-Strain Myocardial Work. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 8:782580. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.782580 **Introduction:** Over one-half of patients with multiple myeloma (MM) die of heart failure or arrhythmia. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) is used to describe left ventricular systolic function. However, depressed LVEF means advanced stage of left ventricular dysfunction in patients with MM. Left ventricular pressure-strain-derived myocardial work (LVMW) is a novel and noninvasive method for evaluating LV function related to LV dynamic pressure load. MW is assessed by LV MW index (LVMWI), constructive work, wasted work, and LV MW efficiency (LVMWE). In this study, we aimed to investigate the value of LVMW in cardiac function assessment and clinical prognosis of MM patients with preserved LVEF.

Methods: A total of 72 subjects, including 40 untreated MM patients with preserved EF (including the thick wall and normal wall groups) and 32 non-MM patients, were enrolled in this study. Laboratory data and clinical history of all the patients were collected. All the patients underwent comprehensive echocardiographic examinations and then LVMWI and LVMWE were calculated. Moreover, cardiac adverse events (CAEs) were observed in MM patients treated with bortezomib-based therapy after 6 months and the prognostic value of MW was assessed.

Results: (1) LV myocardial global work index (GWI), myocardial global work efficiency (GWE), and global longitudinal strain (GLS) were lower in the thick wall group of patients with MM compared with the normal wall group and controls. Cardiac segmental analysis of LVMWI in patients with MM showed an apical sparing pattern; (2) The area under the curve (AUC) of GWE for judging the disease severity based on the Revised International Staging System (R-ISS) was 0.835 (95% CI: 0.684–0.933, $\rho < 0.05$); (3) GWE, Lg_{dFLC}, and arrhythmia were independent risk factors of CAEs. The AUC of GWE for predicting CAEs in MM patients treated with bortezomib-based therapy for 6 months follow-up was 0.896 (95% CI: 0.758–0.970, $\rho < 0.05$).

1

Conclusion: MM Patients with preserved EF had subclinical LV systolic dysfunction, which was worse in the thick wall group. LVMWI was presented as "apical sparing" in patients with MM. A lower LVGWE may have a predictive value for CAEs in patients with MM after 6 months of follow-up.

Keywords: cardiac injury, multiple myeloma, cardiac adverse events, preserved left ventricular ejection fraction, left ventricular pressure-strain-derived myocardial work

INTRODUCTION

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a multiple-system disease with the overproduction of monoclonal immunoglobulins and clonal proliferation of neoplastic plasma cells in the elderly (1). Meanwhile, proteasome inhibitors such as Bortezomib and Carfilzomib are an essential part of the treatment of MM, which might lead to cardiotoxicity through the protein aggregation and alter transcriptional activation of NF- κ B targets in cardiomyocytes (2, 3). Cardiac involvement remains a critical determinant of prognosis regardless of age (4). Over one-half of patients with MM die of heart failure or arrhythmia. The median survival time of patients with MM has decreased to 6 months when heart failure was present (5, 6). Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) is used to describe left ventricular function, but depressed LVEF means the advanced stage of left ventricular dysfunction. A new parameter to detect early cardiac dysfunction is necessary.

Left ventricular global longitudinal strain (LVGLS) has proven to be reliable for both the diagnosis and risk stratification in patients with cardiac dysfunction (7), especially in those with preserved LVEF (8). As previously reported, in patients undergoing chemotherapy, changes in GLS were found earlier than LVEF changes, which is of help to detect cardiotoxicity, with a 91% sensitivity and 83% specificity (9). Two-dimensional (2D) speckle-tracking imaging showed that cardiac injury in patients with MM is characterized by reduced basal strain (10), which suggests an early LV systolic dysfunction. However, the strain does not take into consideration LV afterload. Left ventricular myocardial work index (LVMWI) is a novel and noninvasive method for LV work analysis (11). The combination of LV deformation and afterload by constructing an LV pressure-strain loop (PSL) integrated measured arterial blood pressure and longitudinal strain (LS) acquired by echocardiographic speckletracking analysis (12).

In this study, we aimed to investigate the value of left ventricular pressure-strain-derived myocardial work (LVMW) in cardiac function and clinical prognosis in MM patients with preserved LVEF. This may assist clinicians in the early detection of myocardial injury.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

A total of 60 patients with MM were recruited at the time of initial diagnosis between January 1, 2020 and January 1, 2021 at West China Hospital, Sichuan University. The process

for selecting eligible patients is shown in Figure 1. Inclusion criteria were age >18 years, diagnosis of symptomatic MM according to 2013 WHO diagnostic criteria, and disease severity was staged according to the Revised International Staging System (R-ISS) based on baseline β 2 macroglobulin (β 2M) and serum albumin levels. Exclusion criteria were abnormal echocardiography (defined as LVEF \leq 50%), wall motion abnormalities, moderate-to-severe valvular disease or high-grade diastolic dysfunction (grade III diastolic dysfunction: mitral E/A ratio >2 or average E/e' ratio > 14), coronary heart disease, cardiomyopathy, renal failure, or other significant alterations. In total, 40 eligible patients with MM were divided into the two groups according to the thickness of the LV wall (thick wall group was defined as wall thickness >10 mm in female patients or >11 mm in male patients): the normal wall group (n = 20) and the thick wall group (n = 20). In total, 32 non-MM patients who had normal echocardiography and matched with age, gender, and blood pressure were selected as the control group. Clinical history and laboratory examination of patients with MM were collected. All the patients with MM were stratified based on the R-ISS (13). The R-ISS stage I: serum β 2M level was < 3.5 mg/l and serum albumin was ≤ 3.5 g/dl, no high-risk cytogenetic abnormality (CA) [del(17p) and/or t(4;14) and/or t(14;16)], and normal lactic dehydrogenase level; the R-ISS stage III: serum $\beta 2M$ level > 5.5 mg/l and high-risk CA or high lactic dehydrogenase level; and the R-ISS II: including all the other possible causes. All the procedures were approved by the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee of West China Hospital, Sichuan University and written informed consent was obtained from all the study participants.

Serological Indicators of Patients With MM

Fasting venous blood samples were collected. Monoclonal (M) protein was detected by serum immunoelectrophoresis with an automatic electrophoresis analyzer and its supporting reagent (Serbia Hydras, France). A serum-free light chain (FLC) kit (Binding Site, England, UK) was used to determine the serum-FLC level. Referring to the type of involved monoclonal FLC, which was kappa or lambda FLC, the difference between the involved FLC and uninvolved FLC was defined as dFLC. The serum $\beta 2M$ level was detected using a scattering immune turbidimetry automatic protein analyzer (Siemens, Germany).

Echocardiography

The ultrasound system (Vivid E95; GE Vingmed Ultrasound, Horten, Norway) with a 1.7–3.3 MHz phased-array transducer (M5S) was used.



Standard Echocardiographic Examination

Standard echocardiography, including two-dimensional (2D), M-mode, and Doppler echocardiography, was performed according to the guidelines of the American Society of Echocardiography (14). LV end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes and LVEF were measured based on the modified biplane Simpson's rule. Mitral inflow velocity at early (E) and late (A) diastole were measured. The velocity of the mitral annulus at early diastolic (e') and late diastolic (a') myocardial were recorded by pulsed tissue Doppler imaging. The E/e' ratio was used as an index of LV diastolic function. All the images were captured by a senior operator.

Pressure-Strain-Derived MW

Myocardial work was calculated using a PSL curve integrated with LV deformation and pressure. Deformation was measured as LS by the speckle-tracking technique. Peak systolic LV pressure was assumed to be equal to the peak arterial pressure, which was measured immediately before the echocardiographic study using an arm-type mercury sphygmomanometer. Then, a noninvasive LV pressure curve adjusted according to the duration of isovolumic and ejection phases defined by valvular timing events was constructed.

Image acquisition: Dynamic images were collected in three planes: apical four-, two-, and three-chamber planes for more

than 3 cardiac cycles. Then, the data were analyzed offline by EchoPAC 203 workstation (Vivid E95; GE Vingmed Ultrasound, Horten, Norway). Initially, the myocardial automatic functional imaging analysis mode was selected. The system could automatically recognize the above three dynamic images and select the cardiac cycle with the best image quality for myocardial tracking of the motion trajectory. If there is a deviation in the tracking, the position and size of the area of interest can be manually adjusted. Initial tracking was conducted at the apical three-chamber to confirm the closing time of the aortic valve and other planes have completed the analysis in turn. Then, the system generated a 17-segment bull's-eye automatically, which is obtained according to the weighted average of the peak LS of each segment during systole. The overall global longitudinal strain (GLS) was expressed in absolute values. Finally, the MW analysis mode was selected to analyze and obtain LV-PSL.

Characteristics of MW in patients with MM are shown in **Figure 2**. LV work index and efficiency of all the segmental values were averaged. The area within the PSL provided the MW index (WI). The following parameters were calculated (11):

- (1) Global WI (GWI): Total work within the area of the LV-PSL calculated from mitral valve opening and closure.
- (2) Constructive myocardial work: Work contributing to LV ejection during systole.



constructive MW = The area of (the peak arterial pressure \times the strain of myocytes shorting during systole and relaxation during isovolumic period)

constructive MW = The area of (the peak arterial pressure × the strain of myocytes shorting during systole and relaxation during isovolumic period)

(3) Wasted myocardial work: Work performed by the LV that does not contribute to LV ejection.

Wasted myocardial work = The area of (the peak aterial pressure \times the strain of myocytes lengthening during systole and shorting during isovolumic period)

 (4) Myocardial work efficiency: The ratio of work contributing to LV ejection and total work.
 Myocardial work efficiency = Constructive myocardial work / (constructive myocardial work + wasted myocardial work)

Follow-Up

All the patients were followed up after 6 months for their survival and cardiac adverse events (CAEs). All the patients received bortezomib-based therapy. CAEs were defined following the recommendations in the common terminology criteria for adverse events version 4.0 (15). Cardiac disorders include acute coronary syndrome, valve disease, asystole, cardiac arrest, chest pain, heart failure, left and right ventricular systolic dysfunction, myocarditis, myocardial infarction, palpitations, arrhythmia, and pericarditis.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were presented as mean \pm SD for normally distributed data or median (25th percentile and 75th percentile) for nonnormally distributed data. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies. The *t*-test and one-way ANOVA were adopted for comparison of two and three independent groups of normally distributed variables, respectively. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for nonnormal distribution. The chi-squared test and Fisher's exact test were used to compare binary variables. The Spearman and Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated for dFLC and other MM-related parameters and N-terminal probrain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP). The multivariable logistic regression models were used to further assess the risk factors of CAEs. The univariate regression analysis of variables, positive variables, and important clinically significant indicators were included in the multivariate regression analysis model. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed adjusting for NT-proBNP and echocardiographic indices. Value of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. IBM SPSS for Windows version 17.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York, USA) was used for all the analyses.

RESULTS

Clinical Characteristics

The clinical characteristics of 40 patients with MM and 32 control subjects are shown in **Table 1**. There were no differences in age, sex, body mass index (BMI), and blood pressure between MM patients and control subjects. Patients with MM had a higher heart rate (HR) and mortality of arrhythmia, including atrial fibrillation, tachycardia, and high-degree atrioventricular (AV) block. The New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class was higher in patients with MM than in controls. There were no differences in age, systolic blood pressure (SBP), HR, the mortality of arrhythmia, and the NYHA functional class between the normal wall group and the thick wall group of patients with MM. However, the thick wall group in patients with MM had a higher level of β 2M, dFLC, myoglobin (Myo), creatine kinase-MB (CK-MB), troponin T (TnT), and NT-proBNP (**Table 1**).

Cardiac Dysfunction in Multiple Myeloma

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of the study population.

	Controls (<i>n</i> = 32)	Normal wall (n = 20)	Thick wall (n = 20)	P-value
Age, years	55.94 ± 7.10	59.55 ± 10.46	61.35 ± 10.66	0.103
Sex (male)	12 (37.5%)	10 (50%)	12 (60%)	0.673
BMI, kg/m ²	23.19 ± 2.64	22.00 ± 3.29	23.15 ± 2.96	0.314
NYHA I/II/III/IV	32/0/0/0	18/2/0/0	15/3/2/0*	0.034
SBP, mmHg	125 ± 11	126 ± 18	130 ± 17	0.483
HR, bpm	70.39 ± 8.94	$89.55 \pm 22.21^*$	85.48 ± 17.49*	< 0.001
Arrhythmias	O (O)	3 (15%)*	7 (35%)*	0.002
Atrial fibrillation	O (O)	1 (5%)	4 (20%)	0.061
tachycardia	O (O)	2 (10%)	1 (5%)	0.249
A-V block	O (O)	O (O)	2 (10%)	0.499
Course, months	/	12.00 (5.25–33.00)	10.00 (4.00–33.25)	0.714
M protein (%)	/	18 (90%)	18 (90%)	1
β2M, ng/ml	/	4.20 (2.39-6.30)	9.76 (3.56–14.90)#	0.021
dFLC, ng/ml	/	140.54 (38.25–200.73)	510.50 (292.50-824.10)#	< 0.001
Myo, ng/ml	/	23.29 (21.00–37.17)	68.70 (29.45–279.30) [#]	0.009
CK-MB, ng/ml	/	0.82 (0.56-1.74)	2.44 (1.22–9.85)#	0.003
Tn-T, ng/ml	/	13.25 (10.30–36.80)	63.00 (10.00-325.60)#	0.017
NT-pro BNP, ng/ml	/	350.00 (250.50–2,037.75)	6,928.00 (830.00–35,000.00)#	0.001

BMI, Body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; β2M, β2 microglobulin; dFLC, difference between involved and uninvolved free light chain; Myo, myoglobin; CK-MB, creatine kinase-MB; TnT, troponin T; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide.

Data are expressed as absolute number (percentage), mean \pm SD, and median [interquartile range (IQR)]. *Compared with controls, p < 0.05; #Compared with the normal wall group, p < 0.05.

 TABLE 2 | Standard echocardiographic characteristics of the study population.

	Controls (<i>n</i> = 32)	Normal wall (n = 20)	Thick wall (<i>n</i> = 20)	P-value
LV, mm	45.60 ± 3.71	46.13 ± 4.94	46.93 ± 4.18	0.653
RV, mm	20.65 ± 1.79	20 ± 3.38	19.36 ± 2.24	0.281
LA, mm	29.70 ± 3.40	32.13 ± 6.85	31.43 ± 4.05	0.338
RA, mm	32.90 ± 2.90	32.63 ± 8.12	34.50 ± 5.33	0.595
IVS-basal, mm	7.90 ± 0.91	7.88 ± 0.64	$12.93 \pm 1.86^{*\#}$	< 0.001
AO, mm	29.55 ± 2.91	30.50 ± 5.81	28.71 ± 4.51	0.618
LVEF, %	61.65 ± 5.90	65.25 ± 2.71	59.71 ± 17.49	0.528
E wave, m/s	0.76 ± 0.17	0.61 ± 0.10	0.73 ± 0.15	0.075
e', cm/s	9.45 ± 4.02	6.50 ± 2.33	$5.93 \pm 2.34^{\#}$	0.008
E/e'	9.00 ± 2.88	10.28 ± 3.49	$13.86 \pm 6.46^{*}$	0.012
LVMI, g/m ²	89.91 ± 12.01	96.45 ± 14.20	$105.45 \pm 11.95^{*\#}$	<0.001

LV, left ventricle; RV, right ventricle; LA, left atrium; RA, right atrium; IVS, interventricular septum; LVPW, left ventricular posterior wall; AO, aortic root; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; E wave, early diastolic mitral peak inflow velocity; e', early diastolic mitral annular velocity; LVMI, left ventricular mass index.

Data are expressed as absolute number (percentage) and mean \pm SD. *Compared with controls, p < 0.05; #Compared with the normal wall group, p < 0.05.

Standard Echocardiographic Characteristics

Conventional 2D and Doppler echocardiographic characteristics are shown in **Table 2**. There was no difference between MM patients and control subjects in the left atrium (LA), left ventricle (LV), right atrium (RA), right ventricle (RV), aortic root (AO) diameter, and LVEF. However, the basal segment of the interventricular septum (IVS) was thicker in the thick wall group of patients with MM than in the normal wall group and controls. The E/e' ratio, an index of LV diastolic function, was higher in the thick wall group of patients with MM than in the normal wall group and controls. Moreover, the left ventricular mass index (LVMI) was higher in the thick wall group compared with the normal wall group and controls (p < 0.05). However, in a tricuspid regurgitation shown in 25 patients with MM, there was



FIGURE 3 | Correlation between myocardial injury biomarker and myocardial work. (A–C) Correlation between Tn-T and GLS, GWI, and GWE. (D–F) Negative correlation between NT-proBNP with GLS, GWI, and GWE. TnT, troponin T; GLS, global longitudinal strain; GWI, global work index; GWE, global work efficiency; NT-proBNP, N-terminal probrain natriuretic peptide.

TABLE 3 | Longitudinal strain and myocardial work index of the study population.

Controls Normal well around T	Thick wall group	P-value
Controls Normal wall group		/ value
(n = 32) $(n = 20)$	(n = 20)	
GLS, % 18.59 ± 2.37 17.23 ± 3.01	$16.40 \pm 2.95^{*}$	0.018
GWI, mmHg% 1643.38 ± 242.60 1756.25 ± 426.58 14	450.08 ± 255.17 ^{*#}	0.008
WI-basal, mmHg% 1517.55 ± 248.80 1582.77 ± 433.74 12	$298.41 \pm 334.14^{*\#}$	0.020
WI-mid, mmHg% 1548.81 ± 243.58 1751.48 ± 399.45* 1	$427.29 \pm 200.38^{\#}$	0.002
WI-apical, mmHg% 1863.79 ± 564.52 1934.51 ± 540.65 1	1624.54 ± 382.16	0.135
GWE, % 93.69 ± 2.89 91.50 ± 3.74*	$87.75 \pm 3.13^{*\#}$	< 0.001

GLS, global longitudinal strain; WI, myocardial index; GWI, global WI; GWE, global work efficiency.

Data are expressed as mean \pm SD. *Compared with controls, p < 0.05; #Compared with the normal wall group, p < 0.05.

TABLE 4 Correlation between MM biomarkers and cardiac function.							
		Tn-T (ng/ml)	NT-pro BNP (ng/ml)	GLS (%)	GWI (mmHg%)	GWE (%)	LVMI (g/m ²)
β2M, ng/ml	r	0.267	0.477*	-0.397*	-0.347*	-0.320*	0.237
	P-value	0.096	0.002	0.011	0.028	0.044	0.140
dFLC, ng/ml	r	0.583*	0.607*	-0.645*	-0.615*	-0.804*	0.688*
	P-value	0.005	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.001

MM, multiple myeloma; dFLC, difference between involved and uninvolved free light chain; TnT, troponin T; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; GLS, global longitudinal strain; LVMI, LV mass index; GWI, global work index; GWE, global work efficiency. *A significant correlation, p < 0.05.

no statistical difference between the thick wall and normal wall groups.

Global and Segmental MW of the Left Ventricle in Patients With MM and Controls

As shown in **Table 3**, left ventricular global WI (GWI), global work efficiency (GWE), and GLS were lower in the thick wall group of patients with MM than in the normal wall group and controls (p < 0.05). Nevertheless, there was no statistical difference in GWI and GLS between the normal wall group of MM patients and controls. GWE was lower in the normal wall group than in controls. For segmental changes, we found that WI-basal was lower in the thick wall group than in the normal wall group and controls. WI-mid was higher in the normal wall group and controls. WI-mid was higher in the normal wall group and controls. However, there was no significant difference in WI-apical among the three groups, which showed an apical sparing pattern.

Correlations Between LV Global Myocardial Work and Cardiotoxicities

Troponin T showed negative correlations with LV GLS (p < 0.05) and LV GWE (p < 0.05), but LV GWI (p > 0.05). NT-proBNP was negatively correlated with LV GLS, LV GWI, and LV GWE (p < 0.05) (**Figure 3**).

Correlation Between the R-ISS of MM Patients and MW GLS

As shown in **Table 4**, the level of dFLC was positively correlated with TnT (p < 0.05), NT-proBNP (p < 0.05), and LVMI (p < 0.05), but negatively correlated with GLS (p < 0.05), GWI (p < 0.05), and GWE (p < 0.05). β 2M, another indicator of MM disease severity, was positively correlated with NT-proBNP (p < 0.05) and negatively correlated with GLS (p < 0.05), GWI (p < 0.05), and GWE (p < 0.05).

Furthermore, we analyzed the diagnostic value of MW in the MM stage. Patients were divided into <III stages and \geq III stages according to the R-ISS. Significant differences were found between the R-ISS < III stages and the R-ISS \geq III stages group in GWI (1,777.29 ± 458.47 vs. 1,487.52 ± 268.65, p = 0.016) and GWE (92.29 ± 3.08 vs. 87.65 ± 3.23, p < 0.001), while there was no difference in GLS (17.64 ± 3.06 vs. 16.15 ± 2.87, p = 0.122). The diagnostic value of GWI and GWE in the R-ISS is shown in **Figure 4**. The AUC of GWE for the diagnosis of the R-ISS was 0.835 (95% CI: 0.684–0.933, p < 0.05). However, GWI had no diagnostic value for the R-ISS (p > 0.05).

Risk Factors for CAEs in Patients With MM Treated With Bortezomib-Based Therapy

Cardiac adverse events were followed-up after 6 months in patients with MM treated with bortezomib-based therapy. One of these patients had lower extremity edema, one patient had syncope and prolonged RR interval, one patient had newonset atrial fibrillation, one patient had a decreased LVEF, and three patients had significantly elevated TnT and NT-proBNP levels. Then, patients were divided into the two groups: with or without CAEs. The univariable and multivariable logistic regression models were used to analyze the risk factors of CAEs in patients with MM and the results are shown in **Table 5**. Sex, age, BMI, course, hypertension, and GWI were not incorporated into the univariable logistic regression model (p > 0.1). The multivariable model showed that arrhythmia, Lg_{dFLC}, and GWE were independent risk factors for CAEs.

Predictive Value of the Echocardiographic Parameters on CAEs in Patients With MM

The role of GWE was explored as the prognostic factor of CAEs. A significant difference was found between the CAEs group and the non-CAEs group in GWE (90.55 \pm 3.50 vs. 85.29 \pm 2.56, p = 0.001). The AUC of GWE was 0.896 (95% CI: 0.758–0.970, p < 0.05), demonstrating its potential predictive value for CAEs in patients with MM (**Figure 5**).

DISCUSSION

The main findings in this study were as follows. First, LV GWI, GWE, and GLS were lower in the thick wall group of patients with MM than in the normal wall group and controls. Cardiac segmental WI in patients with MM showed an apical sparing pattern. Second, GWE had a diagnostic value for disease severity based on the R-ISS. Finally, Lg_{dFLC}, arrhythmia, and GWE were the independent risk factor of CAEs and GWE might have a predictive value in patients with MM treated with bortezomib-based therapy for 6 months.

Multiple myeloma is a hematological malignant disease associated with cardiac involvement. Its mechanism may be related to multiple factors, such as amyloidosis, myeloma cell infiltration, hypercalcemia, hyperviscosity, and anemia. Severe cardiovascular complications often occur in the terminal stage, when the disease progresses rapidly, with a high fatality rate (16). Based on routine echocardiography, MM mainly manifests as biventricular hypertrophy, valve thickening, regurgitation, ventricle shrinkage, atrial dilation, increased LV end-diastolic pressure, RV systolic pressure, etc. Myocardial "granule sparkle" is a characteristic manifestation of cardiac amyloidosis in patients with MM, with no specific diagnosis. The LVEF is often in a normal range in the early stage of MM. Reduced LVEF is often associated with the advanced stage (17). Previous studies showed that LV GLS was more sensitive than conventional ultrasound (18).

However, the strain does not determine the effect of afterload pressure, which is higher during late systole lengthening than postsystolic shortening (12). Afterload may result in a reduced LV GLS. Noninvasive LV PSL analysis integrates LS by speckle-tracking analysis with blood pressure measured by mercury sphygmomanometer to estimate MW, which is a new echocardiographic method to evaluate LV function (19). MW measurements have already been applied in various cardiac conditions (20, 21). LVMWI is measured during the entire cardiac cycle, whereas LV GLS only reflects the peak systolic strain (22). Regional WI had a higher sensitivity (81 vs. 78%,



FIGURE 4 | GWI and GWE as a reference to assess the R-ISS of patients with MM. (A) LV GWI, (B) LV GWE. GWI, global work index; GWE, global work efficiency; R-ISS, Revised International Staging System; MM, multiple myeloma; LV GWI, left ventricular GWI; LV GWE, left ventricular GWE.

TABLE 5 | The multivariable regression analyses of risk factors contributing to CAEs in patients with MM.

	Univariable analyses		Multivariable analyses	
	OR [95% CI]	P-value	OR [95% CI]	P-value
Arrhythmia	25.00 [3.30, 189.26]	0.001	10.346 [1.04, 102.75]	0.046
Lg _{dFLC} , ng/ml	124.88 [1.59, 9792.82]	<0.001	124.88 [1.59, 9792.82]	< 0.001
Lg _{Tn–T} , ng/ml	2.75 [0.81, 9.40]	0.094	NA	0.308
Lg _{NT-proBNP} , ng/ml	2.71 [1.02, 7.21]	0.025	NA	0.245
GLS, %	0.69 [0.51, 0.95]	0.005	NA	0.507
GWE, %	0.57 [0.38, 0.86]	<0.001	0.60 [0.38, 0.95]	0.006
LVMI, g/m ²	1.06 [1.00, 1.13]	0.063	NA	0.538

MM, multiple myeloma; dFLC, difference between involved and uninvolved free light chain; TnT, troponin T; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; GLS, global longitudinal strain; LVMI, LV mass index; GWE, global work efficiency; NA, not incorporating in the multivariable model.

p < 0.5) and even superior specificity (82 vs. 65%, p < 0.5) compared with regional strain to identify acute coronary artery occlusion in patients with non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (23).

For patients with MM with preserved LVEF, LV GWI, GWE, and GLS were lower in the thick wall group than in the normal wall group and controls. There was no difference in GWI and GLS between the normal wall group and controls. However, GWE was lower in the normal wall group compared with controls. LV GWI, GWE, and GLS detected subtle systolic dysfunction in the thick wall group, with GWE showing significant differences. Wall thickening and remodeling of the left ventricle are also correlated with early left ventricular dysfunction.

Significant differences were found in GWI and GWE between patients with MM in the R-ISS stages <III and \geq III. However, only GWE had a diagnostic value for disease severity based on the R-ISS. A lower GWE acted as a predictive value and was the independent factor of CAEs after a 6-month follow-up. GWE included the assessment of constructive work, wasted work, and their contribution to LV ejection, while GWI only measured the total MW. GWE measuring is, therefore, a method for quantifying the work done by the ventricle and contributes to LV ejection. It could also represent a measure of efficient contractility provided that the myocardium is viable.

For segmental changes, no significant difference was found in WI apical among the three groups, showing an apical sparing pattern. Several studies showed apical sparing of LS in patients with MM by speckle-tracking echocardiography (24, 25), which is consistent with this study. Mean LV basal strain is an independent predictor of cardiac and overall deaths (26). Relative sparing in the LV apex may be related to less amyloid deposition occurring in the apex than the base. It is highly sensitive and specific for the diagnosis of cardiac injury in patients with MM (27).

Cardiovascular toxicities are common in patients with MM, which is always lack of specified predictors (28). A



meta-analysis of CAEs in patients with MM treated with bortezomib showed an incidence of 4.3% (95% CI: 2.8-6.6%) (29). Currently, specific and effective therapy for cardiovascular toxicities in MM patients is still lacking. Though the angiotensin antagonists, statins, beta-blockers, and nutraceuticals are now under investigation, no clinically significant efficacy was observed so far. Quagliariello et al. (30) found that Empagliflozin, a sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitor, exerted anti-inflammatory and cardioprotective effects in Doxorubicininduced cardiotoxicity (30). The majority of CAEs (86%) occur within the first 3 months of therapy (28). We sought to determine the risk and predictors of CAEs from 6 months follow-up and found that the rate of arrhythmia, Lg_{dFLC}, and GWE were the independent risk factors of CAEs in treated patients with MM. A previous study showed that patients who had a history of arrhythmia were likely to be attacked again after therapy and the median length of hospital stay was prolonged (31). The dFLC also exhibits predictors for clinical treatment response and an association with both cardiac involvement and disease progression (32). This study proved that GWE may be an alternative to predict CAEs in patients with MM. Overall, CAEs risk assessment by cardiac reserve capability in a timely and effective manner helped to reduce the mortality and hospital readmission rate of patients with MM.

This study has several limitations. First, this study had a small sample size and a short follow-up period. However, it should be borne in mind that MM is a rare disease. The age-standardized incidence rate of MM was 1.1/1,000 in 2018. On the other hand, the strength of our results lies in the fact

REFERENCES

 Rajkumar SV. Multiple myeloma: 2016 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and management. *Am J Hematol.* (2016) 91:719–34. doi: 10.1002/ajh.24402 that we recruited subjects without medication. Second, part of follow-up data obtained by telephone could be biased; most patients with immunodeficiency chose to stay at home rather than travel to the hospital due to the impact of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Further prospective studies with a larger size sample are needed.

CONCLUSION

MM patients with preserved EF had subclinical left ventricular systolic dysfunction, which was worse in the thick wall group. GWI presented an "apical sparing" pattern in patients with MM. A lower LV GWE may have a diagnostic and predictive value for disease severity and CAEs in patients with MM treated with bortezomib-based therapy for 6 months.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Biomedical Research Ethics Committee of West China Hospital, Sichuan University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ZL designed the study and wrote the manuscript. LZ, YX, ZT, QLi, and SL collected the data and generated the database. FW, QLu, and ML analyzed and interpreted the data. HH and TN supervised the study. All authors critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content.

FUNDING

HH is funded by Key Research and Development Programs of the Science and Technology Department of Sichuan Province (Grant no. 2019YFS0414).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All authors want to thank the participants of this study.

- Wu P, Oren O, Gertz MA, Yang EH. Proteasome inhibitor-related cardiotoxicity: mechanisms, diagnosis, and management. *Curr Oncol Rep.* (2020) 22:66. doi: 10.1007/s11912-020-00931-w
- 3. Quagliariello V, Passariello M, Coppola C, Rea D, Barbieri A, Scherillo M, et al. Cardiotoxicity and pro-inflammatory effects of the immune checkpoint

inhibitor Pembrolizumab associated to Trastuzumab. Int J Cardiol. (2019) 292:171–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2019.05.028

- Pawar A, Sabnis G, Kerkar P.Amyloid cardiomyopathy as initial presentation of multiple myeloma: devil is in the details. *Eur Heart J.* (2019) 40:3735. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz668
- Dispenzieri A, Kyle RA, Gertz MA, Therneau TM, Miller WL, Chandrasekaran K, et al., Survival in patients with primary systemic amyloidosis and raised serum cardiac troponins. *Lancet.* (2003) 361:1787–9. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)13 396-X
- Garibaldi B, Zaas D. An unusual case of cardiac amyloidosis. J Gen Intern Med. (2007) 22:1047–52. doi: 10.1007/s11606-007-0207-3
- Kado Y, Obokata M, Nagata Y, Ishizu T, Addetia K, Aonuma K, et al. Cumulative burden of myocardial dysfunction in cardiac amyloidosis assessed using four-chamber cardiac strain. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. (2016) 29:1092– 9.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2016.07.017
- Løgstrup BB, Nielsen JM, Kim WY, Poulsen SH. Myocardial oedema in acute myocarditis detected by echocardiographic 2D myocardial deformation analysis. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2016) 17:1018–26. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jev302
- Arciniegas Calle MC, Sandhu NP, Xia H, Cha SS, Pellikka PA, Ye Z, et al. Two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography predicts early subclinical cardiotoxicity associated with anthracycline-trastuzumab chemotherapy in patients with breast cancer. *BMC Cancer*. (2018) 18:1037. doi: 10.1186/s12885-018-4935-z
- Rahman JE, Helou EF, Gelzer-Bell R, Thompson RE, Kuo C, Rodriguez ER, et al. Noninvasive diagnosis of biopsy-proven cardiac amyloidosis. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2004) 43:410–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2003.08.043
- Russell K, Eriksen M, Aaberge L, Wilhelmsen N, Skulstad H, Remme EW, et al. A novel clinical method for quantification of regional left ventricular pressurestrain loop area: a non-invasive index of myocardial work. *Eur Heart J.* (2012) 33:724–33. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehs016
- Russell K, Eriksen M, Aaberge L, Wilhelmsen N, Skulstad H, Gjesdal O, et al. Assessment of wasted myocardial work: a novel method to quantify energy loss due to uncoordinated left ventricular contractions. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* (2013) 305:H996–1003. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00191.2013
- Palumbo A, Avet-Loiseau H, Oliva S, Lokhorst HM, Goldschmidt H, Rosinol L, et al. Revised international staging system for multiple myeloma: a report from international myeloma working group. *J Clin Oncol.* (2015) 33:2863–9. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2015.61.2267
- 14. Lang RM, Bierig M, Devereux RB, Flachskampf FA, Foster E, Pellikka PA, et al. Recommendations for chamber quantification: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography's Guidelines and Standards Committee and the Chamber Quantification Writing Group, developed in conjunction with the European Association of Echocardiography, a branch of the European Society of Cardiology. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* (2005) 18:1440–63. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2005.10.005
- 15. Cancer N. Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) v4.0 (2009).
- Dubrey SW, Hawkins PN, Falk RH. Amyloid diseases of the heart: assessment, diagnosis, and referral. *Heart*. (2011) 97:75–84. doi: 10.1136/hrt.2009.190405
- Shah KB, Inoue Y, Mehra MR. Amyloidosis and the Heart. *Arch Intern Med.* (2006) 166:1805. doi: 10.1001/archinte.166.17.1805
- Niedeggen A, Breithardt OA, Franke A. Detection of early systolic dysfunction with strain rate imaging in a patient with light chain cardiomyopathy. Z Kardiol. (2005) 94:133–6. doi: 10.1007/s00392-005-0175-8
- Hiemstra YL, van der Bijl P, El Mahdiui M, Bax JJ, Delgado V, Marsan NA. Myocardial work in nonobstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: implications for outcome. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. (2020) 33:1201–8. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2020.05.010
- El Mahdiui M, van der Bijl P, Abou R, Ajmone Marsan N, Delgado V, Bax JJ. Global left ventricular myocardial work efficiency in healthy individuals and patients with cardiovascular disease. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. (2019) 32:1120–7. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2019.05.002
- 21. Meledin V, Haberman D, Gandelman G, Poles L, Shimoni S. P1510 Assessment of left ventricular relaxation time constant by noninvasive left

ventricular pressure-strain loop: invasive validation. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imag. (2020) 21. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jez319.934

- Clemmensen TS, Eiskjær H, Mikkelsen F, Granstam SO, Flachskampf FA, Sørensen J, et al. Left ventricular pressure-strain-derived myocardial work at rest and during exercise in patients with cardiac amyloidosis. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. (2020) 33:573–82. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2019.11.018
- Boe E, Russell K, Eek C, Eriksen M, Remme EW, Smiseth OA, et al. Non-invasive myocardial work index identifies acute coronary occlusion in patients with non-ST-segment elevation-acute coronary syndrome. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2015) 16:1247–55. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/ jev078
- 24. Gil J, Abreu L, Antunes H, Gonçalves ML, Pires MI, Moreira D, et al. Apical sparing of longitudinal strain in speckle-tracking echocardiography : A sensitive and specific finding in cardiac amyloidosis. *Neth Heart J.* (2018) 26:635. doi: 10.1007/s12471-018-1146-9
- 25. Paneesha S, Hawkins K, Davies F, Hooper L, Pratt G. BAX UTR G (-248) A Polymorphism Has No Impact on Survival in Patients with Multiple Myeloma. *Blood.* (2006) 108:5022– 5022. doi: 10.1182/blood.V108.11.5022.5022
- Banypersad SM, Moon JC, Whelan C, Hawkins PN, Wechalekar AD. Updates in cardiac amyloidosis: a review. J Am Heart Assoc. (2012) 1:e000364. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.111.000364
- Liu D, Hu K, Nordbeck P, Ertl G, Störk S, Weidemann F. Longitudinal strain bull's eye plot patterns in patients with cardiomyopathy and concentric left ventricular hypertrophy. *Eur J Med Res.* (2016) 21:21. doi: 10.1186/s40001-016-0216-y
- Cornell RF, Ky B, Weiss BM, Dahm CN, Gupta DK, Du L, et al. Prospective Study of Cardiac Events During Proteasome Inhibitor Therapy for Relapsed Multiple Myeloma. J Clin Oncol. (2019) 37:1946–55. doi: 10.1200/JCO.19.00231
- 29. Xiao Y, Yin J, Wei J, Shang Z. Incidence and risk of cardiotoxicity associated with bortezomib in the treatment of cancer: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *PLoS ONE.* (2014) 9:e87671. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0087671
- 30. Quagliariello V, De Laurentiis M, Rea D, Barbieri A, Monti MG, Carbone A, et al. The SGLT-2 inhibitor empagliflozin improves myocardial strain, reduces cardiac fibrosis and pro-inflammatory cytokines in nondiabetic mice treated with doxorubicin. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2021) 20:150. doi: 10.1186/s12933-021-01346-y
- Aprn CM, Urbina RM, Ganguly FS, Joseph DO, Abhyankar S, Singh AK, et al. Risk factors, incidence, and outcomes of cardiac arrhythmia among autologous stem cell transplant recipients. ScienceDirect. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* 26:S148. doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2019.12. 679
- 32. Kaufman GP, Dispenzieri A, Gertz MA, Lacy MQ, Buadi FK, Hayman SR, et al. Kinetics of organ response and survival following normalization of the serum free light chain ratio in AL amyloidosis. *Am J Hematol.* (2015) 90:181–6. doi: 10.1002/ajh.23898

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Liu, Zhang, Liu, Wang, Xiong, Tang, Li, Lu, Liang, Niu and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.