

HELMINTHOLOGIA, 60, 3: 263 - 271, 2023

Research Note

Characterization of *Meloidogyne enterolobii* intercepted from baobab (*Adansonia digitata* L.) seedlings from Thailand during Japanese import plant quarantine inspection

S. SEKIMOTO^{1,*}, T. INABA²

^{1,*}Research Division, Yokohama Plant Protection Station, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF), Yokohama, Kanagawa 231-0801, Japan, E-mail: *pps_yokohama_gaichu@maff.go.jp*; ²Chubu Airport Sub-station, Nagoya Plant Protection Station, MAFF, Tokoname, Aichi 479-0881, Japan

Article info	Summary
Article info Received March 31, 2023 Accepted July 7, 2023	In April 2019, baobab (<i>Adansonia digitata</i> L.) seedlings from Thailand, exhibiting galls on the roots, were intercepted during an import plant quarantine inspection at Chubu Centrair International Airport, Japan. Root-knot nematodes (RKNs) were extracted from the galled roots of baobab seedlings and identified by morphological, morphometrical and molecular methods as the guava root-knot nematode, <i>Meloidogyne enterolobii</i> Yang & Eisenback. The morphology and morphometrics of the intercepted population were similar to those of the original and subsequent descriptions of <i>M. enterolobii</i> . The sequences of D2-D3 of 28S rRNA, mtDNA intergenic COII-16S rRNA and COI genes obtained in this study matched well (99–100% similarity) with each of the gene sequences of <i>M. enterolobii</i> deposited in GenBank. Phylogenetic analysis of these genes revealed that the intercepted population clustered with <i>M. enterolobii</i> and clearly differed from other RKN species. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of <i>M. enterolobii</i> from baobab. Keywords: <i>Adansonia digitata</i> ; identification; <i>Meloidogyne enterolobii</i> ; morphology; phylogeny; root-knot nematode

Introduction

The guava root-knot nematode (RKN), *Meloidogyne enterolobii* Yang & Eisenback, 1983 was originally described from a population collected from the roots of the pacara earpod tree (*Enterolobium contortisiliquum* (Vell.) Morong) in Hainan Island, China (Yang & Eisenback, 1983). This species has been recorded from countries in Africa, Asia, North America, Central America and the Caribbean and South America (Subbotin *et al.*, 2021; EPPO, 2022). In Europe, it was first recorded in a greenhouse in France (no longer present) (Blok *et al.*, 2002) and has been reported from two greenhouses in Switzerland (Kiewnick *et al.*, 2008) and some private gardens in Portugal (Santos *et al.*, 2019). This nematode has recently emerged as one of the most important RKN species because of its wide host range, high degree of virulence and its ability to reproduce on crop genotypes resistant to the major RKN species, including resistant tomato (*Mi-1*gene), potato (*Mh* gene), soybean (*Mir1* gene), bell pepper (*N* gene) and sweet pepper (*Tabasco* gene) (Berthou *et al.*, 2003; Brito *et al.*, 2007; Cetintas *et al.*, 2008). Considering the risk of introducing and disseminating this pest, *M. enterolobii* was added to the EPPO A2 list of pests recommended for regulation as quarantine pests in 2010 and is currently subject to quarantine regulations in South Korea, Costa Rica and USA (Arkansas, Florida, Louisiana, Mississippi and North Carolina) (Castagnone-Sereno, 2012; Ye *et al.*, 2021). This species has not occurred in Japan and is also included on the quarantine pest list of Japan (Plant Protection Station, MAFF, 2022).

^{* -} corresponding author

In April 2019, baobab (*Adansonia digitata* L.) seedlings from Thailand exhibiting galls on the roots were intercepted during an import plant quarantine inspection at Chubu Centrair International Airport, Japan. Nematode species identification was performed using morphological, morphometrical and molecular methods at the Research Division, Yokohama Plant Protection Station, MAFF, Yokohama, Kanagawa. The objective of the present study was to provide morphological, morphometrical and molecular characterization of *M. enterolobii* intercepted from baobab seedlings from Thailand during a Japanese import plant quarantine inspection. To our knowledge, this is the first report of *M. enterolobii* from baobab.

Materials and Methods

Nematode extraction and morphological characterization

Females were extracted from the galled roots under a stereomicroscope and perineal patterns were prepared as described by Hartman & Sasser (1985). Second-stage juveniles (J2s) extracted from the galled roots by the Baermann funnel method were heat-killed, mounted in water on temporary slides and measured immediately. Microphotographs were taken using a digital camera Olympus FX380 attached to a compound Olympus BX51 microscope equipped with differential interference contrast (DIC).

DNA extraction, PCR and sequencing

DNA was extracted from single females using a DNA extraction kit, ISOHAIR[®] (Nippon Gene, Tokyo, Japan). A single nematode

was placed into a drop of sterile distilled water on a clean glass slide. After the water dried, the nematode was crushed with a small sterile filter paper chip under a stereo microscope using forceps (Iwahori et al., 2000). The paper chip was then dropped into a 1.5 mL plastic tube containing 10 µL of "nematode-dissolving solution", whereupon the tube was incubated at 60°C for 20 min (Tanaka et al., 2012). After the incubation, 90 µL of sterile distilled water was added to yield 100 µL of lysate for each specimen, which was then stored at -20°C. The D2-D3 expansion segments of the 28S rRNA gene were amplified using primers D2A (5'-ACA AGT ACC GTG AGG GAA AGT TG-3') and D3B (5'-TCG GAA GGA ACC AGC TAC TA-3') (Nunn, 1992). The mtDNA intergenic COII-16S rRNA gene was amplified using primers C2F3 (5'-GGT CAA TGT TCA GAA ATT TGT GG-3') and 1108 (5'-TAC CTT TGA CCA ATC ACG CT-3') (Powers & Harris, 1993). The partial mtDNA COI gene was amplified using primers JB3 (5'-TTT TTT GGG CAT CCT GAG GTT TAT-3') and JB5 (5'-AGC ACC TAA ACT TAA AAC ATA ATG AAA ATG-3') (Derycke et al., 2005). PCR amplification was performed in a final volume of 20 µL reaction mixture containing 2 µL 10 × Ex Tag buffer (20 mM Mg²⁺ plus) (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan), 1.6 µL dNTP mixture (2.5 mM each), 0.4 µL (10 µM) of each primer, 0.1 µL TaKaRa Ex Tag[®] Hot Start Version (5 U/µL) (Takara Bio), 1 µL DNA template and 14.5 µL distilled water. The amplification conditions for D2-D3, COII-16S and COI were as follows: a single step of pre-denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 50°C for 30 s (D2-D3 and COI) or 1 min (COII-16S) and extension at 72°C for 30 s



Fig. 1. Baobab seedling infested by *Meloidogyne enterolobii*. (A) Infested baobab seedling; (B) Baobab roots showing galls caused by *M. enterolobii*; (C, D) Females of *M. enterolobii* parasitizing roots of baobab (red arrow indicating female).

Character	Thailand	China	Puerto Rico
	This study	Yang & Eisenback	Rammah & Hirschmann
		(1983)	(1988)
n	10	30	35
L	466.7 ± 33.8	436.6 ± 16.6	453.6 ± 28.4
	(423.8 – 527.3)	(405.0 – 472.9)	(390.4 - 528.0)
а	31.2 ± 1.4	28.6 ± 1.9	30.9 ± 1.9
	(29.0 – 32.9)	(24.0 - 32.5)	(26.4 – 34.7)
С	8.8 ± 0.8	7.8 ± 0.7	8.3 ± 0.4
	(8.0 - 10.0)	(6.8 – 10.1)	(7.0 – 9.2)
Body diam. at mid-body	14.8 ± 0.7	15.3 ± 0.9	14.7 ± 0.5
	(13.8 – 16.3)	(13.9 – 17.8)	(13.8 – 15.8)
Stylet length	11.0 ± 0.5	11.7 ± 0.5	11.6 ± 0.3
, .	(10.5 – 11.8)	(10.8 – 13.0)	(11.1 – 12.2)
DGO	2.7 ± 0.5	3.4 ± 0.3	3.9 ± 0.2
	(2.0 - 3.4)	(2.8 - 4.3)	(3.3 - 4.3)
Anterior end to median bulb valve	58.0 ± 2.5		58.2 ± 1.8
	(54.5 – 61.3)		(55.2 – 62.9)
Anterior end to excretory pore	92.0 ± 2.1	91.7 ± 3.3	87.6 ± 3.3
	(89.0 - 94.4)	(84.0 - 98.6)	(79.9 – 97.9)
Tail length	53.1 ± 4.7	56.4 ± 4.5	54.4 ± 3.6
-	(44.0 - 60.9)	(41.5 - 63.4)	(49.2 - 62.9)
Length of hyaline part of tail	9.8 ± 3.9	· - /	_ /
	(5.1 – 15.3)		

Table 1. Morphometrics of second-stage juveniles of Meloidoyne enterolobii. All measurements are in µm and in the form: mean ± s.d. (range).

(D2-D3 and COI) or 1 min (COII-16S). PCR products were purified with ExoSAP-IT[®] (USB Products, Affymetrix, Cleveland, OH, USA) and used for direct sequencing. PCR products were directly sequenced bidirectionally using the primers described above. The resulting products were purified with BigDye[®] XTerminator[™] Purification Kit (Life technologies, Bedford, MA, USA) and analyzed in an ABI PRISM[®] 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using the BigDye[®] Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems). The new sequences were submitted to the GenBank database under accession numbers: LC738960 (D2-D3), LC738961 (COII-16S) and LC738962 (COI).

Phylogenetic analysis

The new sequences for each gene were aligned with default parameters with their corresponding published gene sequences of *M. enterolobii* and other RKN species using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) as implemented in MEGA 5.2.2 (Tamura *et al.*, 2011). Sequence datasets were analyzed with Bayesian inference (BI) using MrBayes 3.2.2 (Ronquist *et al.*, 2012) under the GTR + G model using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) as implemented in Mr-Modeltest 2.3 (Nylander, 2004) in conjunction with PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2003). BI analysis was initiated with a random starting tree and was run with four chains for 1.0×10^6 generations.



Fig. 2. Light micrographs of *Meloidogyne enterolobii* intercepted from baobab seedlings. (A) Whole body of second-stage juvenile (J2); (B) Tail of J2; (C–E) Perineal patterns of females.



Fig. 3. Phylogenetic relationships within *Meloidogyne* species: Bayesian 50% majority rule consensus trees from two runs as inferred from analysis of the D2-D3 of 28S rRNA gene sequence alignment under the GTR + G model. Posterior probabilities equivalent to or exceeding 70% are given for appropriate clades. New sequence is indicated in bold.

The Markov chains were sampled at intervals of 100 generations. Two runs were performed for each analysis. The topologies were used to generate a 50 % majority rule consensus tree. Posterior probabilities (PP) were given on appropriate clades.

Ethical Approval and/or Informed Consent

For this study, formal consent is not required.

Results

Morphological characterization

The measurements of J2s of the RKN population intercepted from baobab seedlings from Thailand (Fig. 1) are shown in Table 1. The J2s were vermiform in shape, slender and tapering at both ends (Fig. 2A). The body length was $423.8 - 527.3 \mu m$. The labial region was slightly offset from the body. The stylet was delicate with sharply pointed cone and rounded knobs and $10.5 - 11.8 \mu m$ in length. The tail was conoid with a bluntly rounded tip (Fig. 2B). The hyaline terminus was distinct. The perineal patterns of the females were round to oval with distinct phasmids (Fig. 2C–E). The dorsal arch was moderately high to high and rounded or square. The lateral lines were mostly lacking, but indistinct lateral lines were sometimes present. The striae were fine and smooth, sometimes coarse. The fine striae were sometimes present on the lateral sides of the vulva.

Molecular characterization

The amplification of the D2-D3 of 28S rRNA, COII-16S rRNA and the partial COI genes yielded a single fragment of *ca* 750, 700 and 400 bp, respectively.

The D2-D3 of the 28S rRNA gene alignment contained 54 sequences of RKN species and two sequences of the outgroup taxa and was 850 bp in length. The phylogenetic relationships within RKNs are given in Figure 3. The sequence of the RKN population intercepted from baobab from Thailand (LC738960) clustered with those of *M. enterolobii* with a high support value (PP = 100) and completely matched those of *M. enterolobii* from Thailand (MZ541997), China (KX823404), Taiwan (MZ531903), USA (MH800969) and Brazil (MZ753909).

The COII-16S rRNA gene alignment contained 50 sequences of RKN species and two sequences of the outgroup taxa and was 1936 bp in length. The phylogenetic relationships within RKNs are given in Figure 4. The sequence of the RKN population intercepted from baobab from Thailand (LC738961) clustered with those of *M. enterolobii* with a high support value (PP = 100) and showed 99 % similarity with those of *M. enterolobii* from Brazil (KX767844), China (KX823370), Costa Rica (KF993632), Kenya (KX214350), Mexico (KF360358), Niger (MF927970), Portugal (MK387171), South Africa (JX522542), Taiwan (KP411229), Thailand (MW167103) and USA (MN809527).

The partial COI gene alignment contained 39 sequences of RKN

species and two sequences of the outgroup taxa and was 450 bp in length. The phylogenetic relationships within RKNs are given in Figure 5. The sequence of the RKN population intercepted from baobab from Thailand (LC738962) clustered with those of *M. enterolobii* with a high support value (PP = 100) and completely matched those of *M. enterolobii* from India (MT075847), China (JX683714), Portugal (MK387170), Kenya (KT936633), South Africa (KY203704), USA (MH128530), Costa Rica (KP202351) and Puerto Rico (KU372161).

Discussion

Accurate identification of RKN species is crucial to implement appropriate guarantine of imported and exported plant materials to prevent the introduction and spread of exotic and guarantine RKNs. Species identification of RKN has been traditionally based on microscopic examination of female perineal patterns and J2s (Hunt & Handoo, 2007). These methods, however, require considerable technical skill, expertise and time and the morphological and morphometrical characteristics are often unreliable due to significant inter- and intraspecific variation. For example, M. enterolobii might have been misidentified as M. incognita in a number of past surveys because of its morphological resemblance to M. incognita considering only the perineal patterns (Carneiro et al., 2001; Brito et al., 2004). Various DNA-based approaches have been recently successfully applied to identify RKN species and characterize the phylogenetic relationships within RKNs (Blok & Powers, 2009; Rashidifard et al., 2018; Subbotin et al., 2021). A combination of morphological/morphometrical characters and molecular methods are therefore essential to identify RKN species accurately.

The morphology and morphometrics of the RKN population intercepted from baobab from Thailand were similar to those of the original description of M. enterolobii from China (Yang & Eisenback, 1983) and were also within the ranges reported for M. enterolobii populations from India (Ghule et al., 2020), Puerto Rico (Rammah & Hirschmann, 1988), South Africa (Rashidifard et al., 2019), USA (Brito et al., 2004) and Vietnam (Trinh et al., 2022). The sequences of D2-D3 of 28S rRNA, COII-16S rRNA and COI genes obtained in this study matched well (99 - 100 % similarity) with each of the M. enterolobii gene sequences deposited in Gen-Bank. Phylogenetic analysis of these genes revealed that the RKN population intercepted from baobab from Thailand clustered with M. enterolobii with a high support value (PP = 100) and clearly differed from other RKN species. Our results were in congruence with those of previous studies by Archidona-Yuste et al. (2018) and Trinh et al. (2022). Accordingly, the RKN population intercepted from baobab from Thailand was identified as M. enterolobii by morphological/morphometrical and molecular methods.

In Japanese import plant quarantine inspection, *M. enterolobii* was first intercepted from baobab from Thailand in January 2017 (MAFF, 2022). However, this record has never been published



Fig. 4. Phylogenetic relationships within *Meloidogyne* species: Bayesian 50% majority rule consensus trees from two runs as inferred from analysis of the intergenic COII-16S rRNA gene sequence alignment under the GTR + G model. Posterior probabilities equivalent to or exceeding 70% are given for appropriate clades. New sequence is indicated in bold.



Fig. 5. Phylogenetic relationships within *Meloidogyne* species: Bayesian 50% majority rule consensus trees from two runs as inferred from analysis of the COI gene sequence alignment under the GTR + G model. Posterior probabilities equivalent to or exceeding 70% are given for appropriate clades. New sequence is indicated in bold.

with morphological and molecular data. In the present study, we identified the RKN population intercepted again from baobab from Thailand as *M. enterolobii* morphologically and molecularly. To the best of our knowledge, therefore, this is the first report of *M. enterolobii* from baobab.

Conflict of Interest

The authors state no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment

We thank the plant quarantine officers of Chubu Airport Sub-station, Nagoya Plant Protection Station, MAFF for providing nematode materials.

References

ARCHIDONA-YUSTE, A., CANTALAPIEDRA-NAVARRETE, C., LIÉBANAS, G., RAPOPORT, H.F., CASTILLO, P., PALOMARES-RIUS, J.E. (2018): Diversity of root-knot nematodes of the genus *Meloidogyne* Göeldi, 1892 (Nematoda: Meloidogynidae) associated with olive plants and environmental cues regarding their distribution in southern Spain. *PLoS ONE*, 13(6): e0198236. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0198236 BERTHOU, F., KOUASSI, A., BOSSIS, M., DANTEC, J.P., EDDAOUDI, M., FERJI, Z., PELLE, R., TAGHZOUTI, M., ELLISSECHE, D., MUGNIERY, D. (2003): Enhancing the resistance of the potato to Southern rootknot nematodes by using *Solanum sparsipilum* germplasm. *Euphytica*, 132(1): 57 – 65. DOI: 10.1023/A:1024624402333 BLOK, V.C., POWERS, T.O. (2009): Biochemical and molecular identification. In: PERRY, R.N., MOENS, M., STARR, J.L. (Eds) *Root-knot nematodes*. 2nd ed. London, UK, CAB International, pp. 98 – 112 BLOK, V.C., WISHART, J., FARGETTE, M., BERTHIER, K., PHILLIPS, M.S. (2002): Mitochondrial differences distinguishing *Meloi-dogyne mayaguensis* from the other major species of tropical root-knot nematodes. *Nematology*, 4(7): 773 – 781. DOI: 10.1163/156854102760402559

BRITO, J., POWERS, T.O., MULLIN, P.G., INSERRA, R.N., DICKSON, D.W. (2004): Morphological and molecular characterization of *Meloidogyne mayaguensis* isolates from Florida. *J Nematol*, 36(3): 232 – 240

BRITO, J.A., STANLEY, J.D., KAUR, R., CETINTAS, R., DI VITO, M., THIES, J.A., DICKSON, D.W. (2007): Effects of the *Mi-1*, *N* and *Tabasco* genes on infection and reproduction of *Meloidogyne mayaguensis* on tomato and pepper genotypes. *J Nematol*, 39(4): 327 – 332

CARNEIRO, R.M.D.G., MOREIRA, W., ALMEIDA, M.R.A., GOMES, A.C.M.M. (2001): Primeiro registro de *Meloidogyne mayaguensis* em goiabeira no Brasil [First record of *Meloidogyne mayaguensis* on guava in Brazil]. *Nematol Bras*, 25(2): 223 – 228 (In Portuguese)

CASTAGNONE-SERENO, P. (2012): *Meloidogyne enterolobii* (= *M. mayaguensis*): profile of an emerging, highly pathogenic, root-knot nematode species. *Nematology*, 14(2): 133 – 138. DOI:/10.1163/156854111X601650

CETINTAS, R., BRITO, J.A., DICKSON, W.D. (2008): Virulence of four Florida isolates of *Meloidogyne mayaguensis* to selected soybean genotypes. *Nematropica*, 38(2): 127 – 135

DERYCKE, S., REMERIE, T., VIERSTRAETE, A., BACKELJAU, T., VANFLETEREN, J., VINCX, M., MOENS, T. (2005): Mitochondrial DNA variation and cryptic speciation within the free-living marine nematode *Pellioditis marina*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser*, 300: 91 – 103. DOI:/10.3354/ meps300091

EDGAR, R.C. (2004): MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 32(5): 1792 – 1797. DOI:/10.1093/nar/gkh340

EEPO. (2022): *Meloidogyne enterolobii*. EPPO datasheets on pest recommended for regulation. https://gd.eppo.int. Accessed 31 August 2022

GHULE, T.M., PHANI, V., SOMVANSHI, V.S., PATIL, M., BHATTACHARYYA, S., KHAN, M.R. (2020): Further observations on *Meloidogyne enterolobii* (Nematoda: Meloidogynidae) infecting guava (*Psidium guajava*) in India. *J Nematol*, 52: e2020-120. DOI: 10.21307/jofnem-2020-120

HARTMAN, K.M., SASSER, J.N. (1985): Identification of *Meloidogyne* species on basis of differential host test and perineal-pattern morphology. In: BARKER, K.R., CARTER, C.C., SASSER, J.N. (Eds) *An advanced treatise on Meloidogyne*. Volume II: Methodology. Raleigh, NC, North Carolina State University Graphics, pp. 525 – 543

HUNT, D.J., HANDOO, Z.A. (2007) Taxonomy, identification and principal species. In: PERRY, R.N., MOENS, M., STARR, J.L. (Eds) *Root-knot nematodes*. 2nd ed. London, UK, CAB International, pp. 55 – 97

IWAHORI, H., KANZAKI, N., FUTAI, K. (2000): A simple, polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism-aided di-

agnosis method for pine wilt disease. *For Pathol*, 30(3): 157 – 164. DOI: 10.1046/j.1439-0329.2000.00201.x

KIEWNICK, S., KARSSEN, G., BRITO, J.A., OGGENFUSS, M., FREY, J.E. (2008): First report of root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne enterolobii* on tomato and cucumber in Switzerland. *Plant Dis*, 92(9): 1370. DOI: 10.1094/PDIS-92-9-1370A

MAFF. (2022): Pest risk analysis for *Meloidogyne enterolobii*. https://www.maff.go.jp/j/syouan/keneki/kikaku/attach/pdf/pra_table1_2-26.pdf. Accessed 31 August 2022 (In Japanese)

NUNN, G.B. (1992): Nematode molecular evolution: an investigation of evolutionary patterns among nematodes based upon DNA sequences. Ph.D. thesis, Nottingham, UK: University of Nottingham NYLANDER, J.A.A. (2004): MrModeltest v2. Program distributed by the author. Uppsala, Sweden, Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University

PLANT PROTECTION STATION, MAFF. (2022): Quarantine pest list (Annexed Table 1 of the Ordinance for Enforcement of the Plant Protection Act). https://www.maff.go.jp/pps/j/law/houki/shorei/E_Annexed_Table1_from_20210428.html. Accessed 31 August 2022

Powers, T.O., Harris, T.S. (1993): A polymerase chain reaction method for identification of five major *Meloidogyne* species. *J Nematol*, 25(1): 1 - 6

RAMMAH, A., HIRSCHMANN, H. (1988): *Meloidogyne mayaguensis* n. sp. (Meloidogynidae), a root-knot nematode from Puerto Rico. *J Nematol*, 20(1): 58 – 69

RASHIDIFARD, M., FOURIE, H., DANEEL, M.S., MARAIS, M. (2019): Morphological and morphometrical identification of *Meloidogyne* populations from various crop production areas in South Africa with emphasis on *M. enterolobii. Zootaxa* 4658(2): 251 – 274. DOI: 10.11646/zootaxa.4658.2.3

RASHIDIFARD, M., FOURIE, H., VÉRONNEAU, P.Y., MARAIS, M., DANEEL, M.S., MIMEE, B. (2018): Genetic diversity and phylogeny of South African *Meloidogyne* populations using genotyping by sequencing. *Sci Rep*, 8(1): 13816. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-018-31963-9

RONQUIST, F., TESLENKO, M., VAN DER MARK, P., AYRES, D.L., DARLING, A., HÖHNA, S., LARGET, B., LIU, L., SUCHARD, M.A., HUELSENBECK, J.P. (2012): MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Syst Biol*, 61(3): 539 – 542. DOI: 10.1093/sysbio/sys029

SANTOS, D., ABRANTES, I., MALEITA, C. (2019): The quarantine rootknot nematode *Meloidogyne enterolobii* – a potential threat to Portugal and Europe. *Plant Pathol*, 68(9): 1607 – 1615. DOI: 10.1111/ ppa.13079

SUBBOTIN, S.A., PALOMARES-RIUS, J.E., CASTILLO, P. (2021): Systematics of root-knot nematodes (Nematoda: Meloidogynidae), Nematology Monographs and Perspectives Volume 14. Leiden, The Netherlands, Brill, 857 pp. DOI: 10.1163/9789004387584

SwoFFORD, D. L. (2003): PAUP*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods), Version 4.0b10 [computer software]. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates

TAMURA, K., PETERSON, D., PETERSON, N., STECHER, G., NEI, M., KU-MAR, S. (2011): MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol Biol Evol*, 28(10): 2731 – 2739. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msr121

TANAKA, R., KIKUCHI, T., AIKAWA, T., KANZAKI, N. (2012): Simple and quick methods for nematode DNA preparation. *Appl Entomol Zool*, 47(3): 291 – 294. DOI: 10.1007/s13355-012-0115-9

TRINH, Q.P., LE, T.M.L., NGUYEN, T.D., LE, T.T.T., NGUYEN, H.T. (2022): Integrative taxonomy of the aggressive pest *Meloidogyne enterolobii* and molecular phylogeny of *Meloidogyne* spp. based

on five gene regions. *Australas Plant Pathol*, 51(3): 345 – 358. DOI: 10.1007/s13313-022-00864-x

YANG, B., EISENBACK, J.D. (1983): *Meloidogyne enterolobii* n. sp. (Meloidogynidae), a root-knot nematode parasitizing pacara earpod tree in China. *J Nematol*, 15(3): 381 – 391

YE, M., KOENNING, S.R., ZENG, Y., ZHUO, K., LIAO, J. (2021): Molecular characterization of an emerging root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne enterolobii* in North Carolina, USA. *Plant Dis*, 105(4): 819 – 831. DOI: 10.1094/PDIS-04-20-0816-RE