




Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Letter to the Editor

Rationale for using a C-arm fluoroscope to deliver a kilovoltage radiotherapy treatment to COVID-19 patients



In 2013, Calabrese *et al.* described the effectiveness of early 20th century radiotherapy to treat viral and bacterial pneumonia patients, citing a cure rate of ~80%.¹ These were single fraction treatments of 30 to 100 cGy dose delivered with 100 to 200 kV_p x-rays. These treatments stopped in the 1940s due to the availability of antibiotics and steroids, but in light of the lack of effective treatment options for COVID-19, radiotherapy could offer a viable and immediate option^{2,3} until a more effective cure becomes available.

A low-dose radiotherapy to whole lung can be easily delivered with a linear accelerator (linac). However, transportation of an infected patient, who may be intubated, from the intensive care unit (ICU) to the radiation oncology clinic and back, could pose an imminent risk of viral spread and contamination. Cancer patients undergoing treatment, in addition to radiation oncology staff, could end up infected despite rigorous procedure protocols. Many cancer patients are immunocompromised, which amplifies the risk of sharing spaces with COVID-19 patients.

An alternative could be the use of fluoroscopy equipment to deliver a radiotherapy treatment similar to the historical treatments. X-ray energies comparable to those for which biological effectiveness was demonstrated in the past can be produced with modern fluoroscopes (*e.g.*, fixed and mobile c-arms). C-arms are more widely available than linacs, especially in developing countries, and could be used to deliver a treatment in-situ and/or with minimal transportation to a procedure room.

Elderly patients (50 yo <) infected with the COVID-19 virus and at high risk of death, could be irradiated to low doses (30 to 50 cGy to the lung) using a c-arm system. The benefit-to-risk ratio of radiotherapy is particularly high for this patient population, who are less likely to develop secondary cancers due to reduced radiosensitivity. The treatment could be performed in the ICU or emergency room without the need to transport the patient to a radiation oncology clinic.

Although a more in-depth investigation would be needed, it can be speculated that delivery of a 30 to 50 cGy dose to the lungs could pose little risk of detriment and/or secondary cancers, especially in elderly patients.⁴ Preliminary computer simulations (under peer review) have suggested that the doses delivered to other radiosensitive tissues exposed by this treatment could be within the range seen in cardiac interventional procedures, with skin doses around 200 to 300 cGy and cardiac doses around 50 cGy.⁵

Finally, the cost of treating COVID-19 patients with radiation could be cheaper and potentially more effective than with well-publicized experimental drugs. For instance, in the United States, it would cost over \$3000 per treatment course for infected pa-

tients to be treated with remdesivir, even though the drug was shown to slightly reduce recovery time but not mortality.⁶ A dedicated c-arm could treat multiple patients per day at minimal cost, which would improve treatment options for underinsured patients or those in low-income and developing countries.

Dante Roa, PhD^{*,1}
 Harry Moyses, MD^{*}
 Stephanie Leon, PhD[†]
 Barabara Hamrick, JD[‡]
 Gustavo R. Sarria, MD[§]
 Benjamin Li, MD^{§§}
 Toshiaki Tajima, PhD[¶]
 Ales Necas, PhD^{||}
 Carmen Guzman, PhD[#]
 Oliver Paucar, BS^{**}
 Alberto Gonzales, BS^{††}
 Roger Challco, MS^{††}
 Modesto Montoya, PhD^{††}
 Zintia Arque, BS^{††}
 Andres Gonzales, MS^{††}
 Jimmy Hernandez, MS^{§§§}

^{*}Department of Radiation Oncology, Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California Irvine Health, Orange, CA 92868, USA

[†]Department of Radiology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32610, USA

[‡]Environmental Health and Safety, University of California Irvine Health, Orange, CA 92868, USA

[§]Department of Radiation Oncology, University Hospital Bonn, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

^{§§}Department of Radiation Oncology, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94115, USA

[¶]Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

^{||}TAE Technologies, Foothill Ranch, CA 92610, USA

[#]Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Matematica, Universidad Nacional Federico Villarreal – Lima, Peru

^{**}Facultad de Ingenieria Electrica y Electronica, Universidad Nacional de Ingenieria – Lima, Peru

^{††}Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional de Ingenieria, Lima, Peru

^{†††}Clinica Aliada contra el Cancer, Lima, Peru

^{§§§}HRS Oncology International, Las Vegas, NV 89119, USA

¹Reprint requests to: Dante Roa, PhD, Radiation Oncology, University of California - Irvine, Irvine Health, 101 The City Drive, B-23, Orange, Orange, CA 92868, USA.

E-mail address: droa@uci.edu (D. Roa)

References

1. Calabrese, EJ; Dhawan, G How radiotherapy was historically used to treat pneumonia: Could it be useful today? *Yale J. Biol. Med.* **86**:555–70; 2013.
2. Kirkby, C; Mackenzie, M Is low dose radiation therapy a potential treatment for COVID-19 pneumonia? *Radiother. Oncol* 2020 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2020.04.004>.
3. Dhawan, G; Kapoor, R; Dhawan, R; *et al.* Low dose radiation therapy as a potential life-saving treatment for COVID-19-induced acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). *Radiother. Oncol.* 2020 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2020.05.002>.
4. Tubiana, M; Diallo, I; Chavaudra, J; *et al.* A new method of assessing the dose-carcinogenic effect relationship in patients exposed to ionizing radiation. A concise presentation of preliminary data. *Health Phys.* **100**:296–9; 2011.
5. Uniyal, SC; Chatuverdi, V; Sharma, SD; *et al.* Patient dosimetry during interventional cardiac procedure in a dedicated catheterization laboratory. *Radiat. Prot. Dosim.* **356** 175:201–8; 2017.
6. Remdesivir, KG. The first coronavirus drug, gets a price tag. *N. Y. Times Health* 2020. Available at <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/06/29/health/coronavirus-remdesivir-gilead.htm> .

Received 15 July 2020
Accepted 17 July 2020