Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Synthetic and Systems Biotechnology

journal homepage: http://www.keaipublishing.com/en/journals/syntheticand-systems-biotechnology/

Engineering of Yarrowia lipolytica for production of astaxanthin

Kanchana Rueksomtawin Kildegaard¹, Belén Adiego-Pérez^{1, 2}, David Doménech Belda³, Jaspreet Kaur Khangura, Carina Holkenbrink, Irina Borodina^{*}

The Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Biosustainability, Technical University of Denmark, Kemitorvet 220, 2800, Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 September 2017 Received in revised form 10 October 2017 Accepted 10 October 2017

Keywords: Astaxanthin β-carotene Isoprenoids Oleaginous yeast Yarrowia lipolytica Metabolic engineering

ABSTRACT

Astaxanthin is a red-colored carotenoid, used as food and feed additive. Astaxanthin is mainly produced by chemical synthesis, however, the process is expensive and synthetic astaxanthin is not approved for human consumption. In this study, we engineered the oleaginous yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* for *de novo* production of astaxanthin by fermentation.

CrossMark

First, we screened 12 different *Y. lipolytica* isolates for β -carotene production by introducing two genes for β -carotene biosynthesis: bi-functional phytoene synthase/lycopene cyclase (*crtYB*) and phytoene desaturase (*crtl*) from the red yeast *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. The best strain produced 31.1 \pm 0.5 mg/L β -carotene. Next, we optimized the activities of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase (*HMG1*) and geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase (*GGS1/crtE*) in the best producing strain and obtained 453.9 \pm 20.2 mg/L β -carotene. Additional downregulation of the competing squalene synthase *SQS1* increased the β -carotene titer to 797.1 \pm 57.2 mg/L. Then we introduced β -carotene ketolase (*crtW*) from *Paracoccus* sp. N81106 and hydroxylase (*crtZ*) from *Pantoea ananatis* to convert β -carotene into astaxanthin. The constructed strain accumulated 10.4 \pm 0.5 mg/L of astaxanthin but also accumulated astaxanthin biosynthesis intermediates, 5.7 \pm 0.5 mg/L canthaxanthin, and 35.3 \pm 1.8 mg/L echinenone. Finally, we optimized the copy numbers of *crtZ* and *crtW* to obtain 3.5 mg/g DCW (54.6 mg/L) of astaxanthin in a microtiter plate cultivation.

Our study for the first time reports engineering of *Y. lipolytica* for the production of astaxanthin. The high astaxanthin content and titer obtained even in a small-scale cultivation demonstrates a strong potential for *Y. lipolytica*-based fermentation process for astaxanthin production.

© 2017 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

Astaxanthin is a red-colored carotenoid with a global annual market of 250 tonnes worth \$447 million [1]. Astaxanthin is used to improve the color of farmed fish, to increase the pigmentation of

* Corresponding author.

egg yolks, and for other feed applications. There is also a growing interest in using astaxanthin in food and cosmetics due to its powerful antioxidant activity [2]. The main source of astaxanthin is currently the chemical synthesis from petrochemical sources. The disadvantages of the chemical process are the high cost of the precursors, side reactions, and the fact that chemical astaxanthin is not approved for human consumption due to the presence of byproducts. Several biotechnological processes have been developed, but remain too expensive to compete with chemical synthesis. A biological process based on the microalgae Haematococcus pluvialis is challenged with low cell densities, even though H. pluvialis produces the highest level of astaxanthin (1.5–3.0% dry weight) compared to other astaxanthin producers [1]. Another process, employing the native red yeast Xanthophyllomyces den*drorhous* [3,4], suffers from the low cellular content of astaxanthin. Multiple studies on the engineering of the red yeast in order to improve astaxanthin accumulation have been published.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.synbio.2017.10.002

2405-805X/© 2017 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

E-mail addresses: karu@biosustain.dtu.dk (K.R. Kildegaard), belen.adiego@ gmail.com (B. Adiego-Pérez), daviddomenechbelda@gmail.com (D. Doménech Belda), jaspreetjk@hotmail.com (J.K. Khangura), cahol@biosustain.dtu.dk (C. Holkenbrink), irbo@biosustain.dtu.dk (I. Borodina).

Peer review under responsibility of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd.

These authors contributed equally to the work.

² Present address: Wageningen University and Research Center, Laboratory of Microbiology Stippeneng 4, Building 124 (Helix), Room 6073, 6708WE, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

³ Present address: Inbiose NV, Incubatie-en Innovatiecentrum UGent, Technologiepark 3, Bus 41, B-9052, Zwijnaarde, Belgium.

Breitenbach et al. overexpressed geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate (GGPP) synthase, resulting in an 8-fold increase of astaxanthin content and reaching 0.45 mg/g dry cell weight (DCW) [5]. In more recent studies, a combination of mutagenesis and metabolic pathway engineering resulted in *X. dendrorhous* astaxanthin content of up to 9–9.7 mg/g DCW [6,7]. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* has also been engineered for astaxanthin production by expression of genes encoding astaxanthin synthase (*crtS*) and cytochrome P450 reductase (*crtR*) from *X. dendrorhous* or by expression of β -carotene ketolase (*crtX*) from bacteria *Paracoccus* sp. and β -carotene hydrolase (*crtZ*) from *Pantoea ananatis* [8]. The transformants that co-expressed *crtW* and *crtZ* accumulated more astaxanthin (0.03 mg/g DCW) than the strain co-expressing *crtS* and *crtR*.

In this study, we aimed to engineer oleaginous yeast *Y. lipolytica* for high-level production of astaxanthin. This yeast species is an attractive host for the production of carotenoids because of its naturally high supply of carotenoids precursor, cytosolic acetyl-CoA, and redox co-factor NADPH [9–12]. *Y. lipolytica* has a GRAS status and is genetically more accessible than *X. dendrorhous* [13].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Strains, culture conditions and chemicals

Escherichia coli DH5 α was used for DNA manipulation in this study. *E. coli* was grown at 37 °C and 300 rpm in Lysogeny Broth (LB) liquid medium and at 37 °C on LB solid medium plates supplemented with 20 g/L agar. Ampicillin was supplemented when required at a concentration of 100 mg/L.

Y. lipolytica strain GB20 (mus51 Δ , nugm-Htg2, ndh2i, lys11⁻, *leu2⁻*, *ura3⁻*, MatB) was obtained from Volker Zickermann (Goethe University Medical School, Institute of Biochemistry II, Germany). Other strains were obtained from ARS Culture Collection (NRRL) collection. All strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. Y. lipolytica was grown at 30 °C on yeast extract peptone dextrose (YPD) or synthetic complete (SC) media supplemented with 20 g/L agar for preparation of solid media. Synthetic drop out media was used for selection of strains expressing auxotrophic markers. Supplementation of antibiotics was done when necessary at the following concentrations: hygromycin B at 50 mg/L and nourseothricin at 250 mg/L. Cultivation of recombinant strains for carotenoids production was performed in yeast extract peptone medium containing 80 g/L glucose instead of 20 g/L glucose (YP+8% glucose). The chemicals were obtained, if not indicated otherwise, from Sigma-Aldrich. Nourseothricin was purchased from Jena Bioscience GmbH (Germany).

2.2. Plasmid construction

The genes encoding phytoene synthase/lycopene cyclase (*crtYB*), phytoene desaturase (*crtI*) and geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase (*crtE*) from *X. dendrorhous* were obtained from Addgene [14]. Genes encoding *X. dendrorhous* astaxanthin synthase *crtS* (GenBank accession number AX034665) and cytochrome P450 reductase *crtR* (GeneBank accession number EU884134), *Paracoccus* sp. N81106 β -carotene ketolase *crtW* (GenBank accession number AB206672) and *P. ananatis* β -carotene hydrolase *crtZ* (GenBank accession number D90087) were codon-optimized for *Y. lipolytica* and synthesized as GeneArt String DNA fragments by Thermo Fisher Scientific.

The plasmids, BioBricks, and primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 3, 4, and 5, respectively. BioBricks were amplified by PCR using Phusion U polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) under the following conditions: $98 \degree C$ for $30 \ s$; $6 \ cycles$ of $98 \degree C$ for $10 \ s$, $51 \degree C$ for $20 \ s$ and $72 \degree C$ for $30 \ s/kb$; $26 \ cycles$ of $98 \degree C$ for 10 s, 58 °C for 20 s and 72 °C for 30 s/kb, and 72 °C for 5 min. BioBricks were purified from agarose gels using the NucleoSpin[®] Gel and PCR Clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel). BioBricks were assembled by into EasyCloneYALI vectors using USER cloning [15].

BioBricks were incubated in CutSmart[®] buffer (New England Biolabs) with USER enzyme and the parental vector for 25 min at 37 °C, followed by 10 min at 25 °C, 10 min at 20 °C and 10 min at 15 °C. Prior to the USER reaction, the parental vectors were digested with FastDigest *Asi*SI (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and nicked with Nb. *Bsml* (New England Biolabs). The USER reactions were transformed into chemically competent *E. coli* DH5α. Correct assembly was verified by sequencing.

2.3. Construction of Y. lipolytica strains

The yeast vectors were integrated into different previously characterized intergenic loci in *Y. lipolytica* genome as described in Holkenbrink et al. [15]. Prior to the transformation, the integrative vectors were linearized with FastDigest *NotI* (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The digestion reaction was transformed into *Y. lipolytica* using a lithium-acetate protocol [16]. Transformants were selected on YPD + Hygromycin/Nourseothricin or SC (-ura) plates. Transformants carrying the correct integration of the DNA construct into the *Y. lipolytica* genome were verified by colony PCR. Marker loopout was performed by transformation of the strains with a Crerecombinase episomal vector pCfB4158. Obtained colonies were cultivated in liquid SC (-leu) medium for 24 h for induction of the Cre recombinase and plated on SC (-leu) plates to obtain single colonies.

The strains with downregulated squalene synthase were constructed by transformation of β -carotene producing strains with BioBricks as detailed in Supplementary Table 4. Obtained colonies were selected on SC (-ura) plates and the correct transformants were confirmed by colony PCR using primers listed in Supplementary Table 5.

2.4. Cultivation of Y. lipolytica

For pre-culture preparation, single colonies were inoculated from fresh plates in 3 mL YPD in 24-well plates with air-penetrable lid (EnzyScreen, NL) and grown for 18 h at 30 °C and 300 rpm agitation at 5 cm orbit cast.

The required volume of the inoculum was transferred to 3 mL YP+8% glucose for an initial optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of 0.1 into new 24-well plates. The plates were incubated for 72 h at 30 °C with 300 rpm agitation.

To screen the astaxanthin producing strains, generated by integration of astaxanthin genes into rDNA loci, we picked 10 clones for each transformation and streaked them on SC (-ura) plates. The resulting single colonies were inoculated into 500 μ L of YPD in 96 deep-well plates with air-penetrable lid (EnzyScreen, NL). The plates were incubated for 18 h at 30 °C with 300 rpm agitation. 30 μ L of this culture were used to inoculate three wells with 500 μ L YP+8% glucose in a new 96 deep-well plate. The plates were incubated at 30 °C and 300 rpm for 72 h. After cultivation, 400 μ L of the cultivation volume was transferred into a 2 mL microtube (Sarstedt) for carotenoids extraction and quantification as described further.

2.5. Isoprenoid extraction and sample preparation

After cultivation, OD₆₀₀ was measured using either a Synergy™ Mx Monochromator-Based Multi-Mode Microplate Reader (BioTek) or NanoPhotometer (Implen GmbH, Germany). The dry-weight of a sample was measured by taking 1 or 2 mL of fermentation broth and filtering through pre-weighted cellulose nitrate membranes (0.45 μ m pore size, 47 mm circle) using a filtration unit with a vacuum pump. Filters were dried at 60 °C for 96 h and weighed on an analytical balance. The conversion of OD₆₀₀ values into dry cell weight was done using the following empirical correlation:

 $\text{DCW}~(\text{g/L}) = (\text{OD}_{600} - 0.026)/6.781$

2.5.1. Carotenoids extraction

At the end of cultivation, 1 mL of the cultivation broth was transferred into a 2 mL microtube (Sarstedt) for carotenoids extraction. Each sample was centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 5 min and the supernatant removed. To each tube, 500 µL of 0.5–0.75 mm glass beads were added. 1 mL of ethyl acetate supplemented with 0.01% 3,5-di-*tert*-4-butylhydroxy toluene (BHT) was also added to each tube. BHT was supplemented to prevent carotenoid oxidation.

Cells were disrupted using a Precellys[®]24 homogenizer (Bertin Corp.) in four cycles of 5500 rpm for 20 s. After disruption, the cells were centrifuged for 5 min at 10,000 × g. For total carotenoid measurement, the solvent fraction was moved to a 96-well plate and read in BioTek SynergyTM Mx microplate reader with full spectrum scan (230 nm–700 nm) with 5 nm interval. Absorbance value at 450 nm was used to quantify total carotenoids. For the measurements of individual carotenoids, the samples were further processed before HPLC analysis as below.

2.5.2. Carotenoids quantification by HPLC

For HPLC measurements, 50-200 µL of ethyl acetate extract was evaporated in a rotatory evaporator and dry extracts were redissolved in 1 mL 99% ethanol +0.01% BHT. Extracts were then analyzed by HPLC (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) equipped with a Discovery HS F5 150 mm \times 2.1 mm column (particle size 3 mm). The column oven temperature was set at 30 °C. All organic solvents were HPLC grade (Sigma Aldrich). The flow rate was set at 0.7 mL/min with an initial solvent composition of 10 mM ammonium formate (pH = 3, adjusted with formic acid) (solvent A) and acetonitrile (solvent B) (3:1) until min 2.0. Solvent composition was then changed following a linear gradient until % A = 10.0 and % B = 90.0 at 4.0 min. This solvent composition was kept until 10.5 min when the solvent was returned to initial conditions and the column was re-equilibrated until 13.5 min. The injection volume was 10 µL. Peaks were identified by comparison to the prepared standards and integration of the peak areas was used to quantify carotenoids from obtained standard curves. B-carotene and echinenone were detected at retention times 7.6 min and 6.9 min, respectively, by measuring absorbance at 450 nm. Astaxanthin and canthaxanthin were detected by absorbance at 475 nm and retention times of 5.9 min and, 6.4 min respectively.

2.5.3. Squalene extraction and quantification

After cultivation, 1 mL of the cultivation was transferred into a 2 mL microtube. Tubes were centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 5 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in 1 mL 99% ethanol supplemented with 0.01% BHT. 500 µL of 0.5–0.75 mm glass beads were added to each of the tubes and tubes were incubated at 95 °C and 650 rpm for 60 min. After incubation, cells were disrupted using a Precellys[®]24 homogenizer in four cycles of 5500 rpm for 20 s. After disruption, the cells were centrifuged for 5 min at 10,000 × g and the supernatant was collected for future analysis.

The extracts were analyzed by HPLC. HPLC column, conditions, and solvents were as described in β -carotene quantification.

Squalene was detected by absorbance at 210 nm with a retention time of 6.9 min. Peaks were identified by comparison to the prepared standards and the quantification was performed using squalene standard curve generated in the same HPLC run.

3. Results

3.1. Exploring the diversity of Y. lipolytica strains for the production of carotenoids

Fourteen different *Y. lipolytica* strains isolated from different sources were selected as potential hosts for production of β -carotene. We successfully integrated the β -carotene biosynthesis genes into 12 of the 14 strains. The total carotenoids were extracted from these strains and quantified by spectrophotometry. The carotenoid titer varied from 5.7 to 31 mg/L total carotenoids with the highest production in the strain ST5204 derived from GB20 (Supplementary Fig. 1). This strain was chosen for further engineering.

3.2. Optimization of mevalonate pathway

High-level production of isoprenoids in S. cerevisiae required extensive engineering of the mevalonate pathway [17]. Two steps that exert high flux control in the mevalonate pathway are 3hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl-coenzyme A reductase (encoded by HMG1) and geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase (encoded by crtE or GGS1 depending on the organism) (Fig. 1). Both crtE heterologous expression and GGS1 overexpression have been shown as effective strategies to enhance β -carotene production in different organisms [11,14]. HMG-CoA reductase is a rate-limiting step in the mevalonate pathway and subjected to a strong regulation. Truncation of the N-terminal region, which contains the membrane-spanning domain (i.e. amino acid 1-552), and leaving only the catalytic domain resulted in deregulation of HMG-CoA reductase activity in S. cerevisiae [18]. In S. cerevisiae, overexpression of the truncated gene variant (*tHMG1*) gave a significant improvement in sterol and isoprenoids production [14,17,18]. For example, Verwaal et al. reported 7-fold increase in total carotenoids level producing cells [14]. The beneficial effect of HMG1 overexpression on the production of lycopene and other isoprenoids in Y. lipolytica has also been reported [11,19]. The performance of the truncated variant Hmg1p has not previously been compared to the performance of the fulllength Hmg1p in Y. lipolytica. To implement this engineering strategy in Y. lipolytica, we performed multiple alignment between Hmg1p from Y. lipolytica (YALIOE04807), S. cerevisiae (YML075C) and Candida utilis (GeneBank accession number BAA31937.1). The protein structure of Hmg1p is highly conserved among eukaryotes, of which the first 500 amino acids harbor a membrane associated N-terminal domain. Thus, we generated a truncated Hmg1p by deleting the first 500 amino acids.

We chose to test the effect of overexpressing a complete or truncated *HMG1* variant together with the expression of *GGS1* or *crtE* from *X. dendrorhous* separately and in combinations. The basic β -carotene producing strain (ST5204) was used in all cases as a parental strain. All the gene expression cassettes were stably integrated into the *Y. lipolytica* genome and the β -carotene concentrations were measured by HPLC (Fig. 2A). Among the strains overexpressing one of the above-mentioned genes, the largest effect was obtained for *GGS1* overexpression (4-fold increase in β -carotene titer). The overexpression of gene combinations resulted in further improvement, overexpression of *HMG1* together with either *crtE* or *GGS1* produced 438.4 ± 29.5 or 453.9 ± 20.2 mg/L β -carotene, respectively (10–10.3-fold increase) [though these combinations are not significantly different from each other, Student's



Fig. 1. Strategies for optimization of β**-carotene production in** *Y. lipolytica.* The engineered steps are highlighted. Abbreviations: DMAPP, dimethylallyl pyrophosphate; IPP, isopenthenyl pyrophosphate; GPP, geranyl pyrophosphate, FPP, farnesyl pyrophosphate, GGPP, geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate; *HMG1* and *tHMG1*, full-length and truncated alleles of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase from *Y. lipolytica*, respectively; *crtE* and *GGS1*, GGPP synthase-encoding genes from *X. dendrorhous* and *Y. lipolytica*, respectively; *crtYB*, phytoene synthase and lycopene cyclase genes from *X. dendrorhous*; *SQS1*, squalene synthase gene from *Y. lipolytica*; P_{ERG1}, squalene epox-idase promoter; P_{ERG1}, Lanosterol 14-alpha demethylase promoter; P_{SQS1}, squalene synthase promoter.

t-test p = 0.5].

3.3. Downregulation of the native squalene synthase increases β -carotene production

FPP is a common precursor in the mevalonate pathway including squalene, ubiquinones, ergosterol, other essential sterols, and GGPP, a substrate for β -carotene. In order to increase the availability of FPP for carotenoid biosynthesis, we downregulated the flux towards squalene in one of the β -carotene overproducing strains (ST5404). We either truncated the native promoter of the squalene synthase (SQS1) to 500, 100 or 50 base pairs or replaced it with two alternative Y. lipolytica promoters, P_{ERG1} or P_{ERG11}. P_{ERG1} or P_{ERG11} promoters were chosen based on Yuan et al. (2015) study in S. cerevisiae, where they found that a number of the genes from ergosterol biosynthesis pathway were repressed by ergosterol [20]. In our study, the resulting strains gave a 2–2.5 fold increase in β carotene titer compared to the reference strain with the native SOS1 promoter (Fig. 2B). The shortening of the promoter to 50 bp resulted in the highest β -carotene titer of 797.1 \pm 57.2 mg/L. Squalene accumulation in the engineered strains was surprisingly higher than in the parental strain, with the exception of the strain with promoter truncation to 50 bp (Supplementary Fig. 2).

3.4. Establishing the astaxanthin biosynthetic pathway in Y. lipolytica

After optimizing β -carotene production in *Y. lipolytica*, we evaluated two different biosynthetic pathways for production of astaxanthin. The conversion of β -carotene into astaxanthin requires two oxidation steps, which can be catalyzed by different enzymes (Fig. 3A). Here, we tested the astaxanthin biosynthetic pathway

from the red yeast *X. dendrorhous* (encoded by *crtS* and *crtR*), and a pathway composed of two bacterial genes (encoded by *crtW* and *crtZ*).

In *X. dendrorhous*, the astaxanthin synthase *crtS* is responsible for the conversion of β -carotene into astaxanthin while *crtR* encodes a cytochrome P450 reductase providing electrons to *crtS* [21]. We introduced a *crtS* and *crtR* expression cassette into two different β -carotene platform strains, a non-optimized (ST5204) and precursor-optimized strain (ST6899). No significant change in colony color was observed in the either of the two resulting strains (Fig. 3B). HPLC analysis detected small amounts of echinenone, an astaxanthin intermediate, in the precursor-optimized strain. This strain also produced a similar β -carotene titer relative to the parental strain, but no astaxanthin could be detected (Fig. 3C).

The designed bacterial astaxanthin biosynthesis pathway was composed of a β -carotene ketolase from the marine bacterium *Paracoccus* sp. N81106 (*crtW*) and a β -carotene hydroxylase from enterobacteriaceae P. ananatis (crtZ). We again expressed both genes in a non-optimized and in precursor-optimized strains, leading to strains ST6075 and ST7023, respectively. In this case, both enzymes are directly catalyzing the oxidation reaction of βcarotene to astaxanthin. HPLC analysis detected approximately 1.4 ± 0.2 mg/L and 10.4 ± 0.5 mg/L astaxanthin in the engineered strains without and with precursor optimization, respectively Furthermore, accumulations of approximately (Fig. 3B). $3.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ mg/L}$ and $35.3 \pm 1.8 \text{ mg/L}$ echinenone were observed in ST6075 and ST7023, respectively. Canthaxanthin could also be detected, though in lower amounts, $\sim 0.2 \pm 0.01$ mg/L and 5.7 + 0.5 mg/L in ST6075 and ST7023, respectively. The colonies of strain ST7023 had a district red color (Fig. 3B).

3.5. Optimization of astaxanthin production

Accumulation of astaxanthin intermediates indicated that the flux through the astaxanthin biosynthetic pathway was not efficient. The high concentration of echinenone suggests that expression of *crtZ* might be the rate-limiting step in astaxanthin production in Y. lipolytica. To balance the ratio of crtW and crtZ and redirect the flux towards astaxanthin production, we constructed three different vectors expressing either crtW, crtZ or both genes simultaneously under the control of the strong P_{TEFintron} promoter [9]. The expression vectors also carried two homologous regions to target the ribosomal DNA (rDNA) elements in Y. lipolytica and a URA3 gene (ura3d1 allele) under the regulation of a truncated 43 bp promoter (Fig. 4A) to promote multiple integration events into the rDNA loci [22]. As expected, increasing the copy number of crtW gene had no influence on astaxanthin production and affected only slightly the accumulation of echinenone and canthaxanthin (Fig. 4B and C). On the contrary, increasing the gene copy number of either crtZ alone or both genes simultaneously led to a significant increase in astaxanthin production up to 6-fold. Integrating *crtW* and *crtZ* on the same plasmid was more effective than integrating the same genes on separate plasmids, possibly due to the higher overall number of integrations or better pathway balancing. Overall, any of the combinations, which should result in a higher molar ratio of crtZ resulted in improvement of astaxanthin production. These results confirmed that crtZ is the rate-limiting step in the astaxanthin biosynthesis pathway. Our best strain ST7403, with multiple integrations of crtZ and crtW-crtZ, produced 54.6 mg/L astaxanthin (3.5 mg/g DCW).

4. Discussion

Production of high-value carotenoids via biotechnology is an attractive alternative to extraction from plant materials and to



Fig. 2. Production of β**-carotene in engineered** *Y. lipolytica* **strains. A.** The effect of HMG-CoA reductase and GGPP synthase overexpression on β-carotene production. **B.** The effect of downregulation of squalene synthase on β-carotene production. All strains were cultivated in YP+8%glucose in 24-deep-well plates for 72 h. The error bars represent standard deviations calculated from triplicate experiments.

chemical synthesis. However, the strains need to be improved before the fermentation processes can become price competitive.

In this study, we engineered *Y. lipolytica* for the production of a very high-value carotenoid – astaxanthin. As the first step, we screened 14 different *Y. lipolytica* strains to identify a strain with the best natural potential. The strains varied significantly both in the titer of β -carotene and in genetic amenability. This type of screening can be recommended, particularly in the beginning of a strain development program, as also shown by Friedlander et al. for lipid production [23].

As the next step, we evaluated the effect of modulating the expression of *HMG1* and *crtE/GGS1* in the mevalonate pathway on β -carotene production. Interestingly, our optimal combinations were different from what was reported for other yeasts. In *Candida utilis*, the expression of the truncated *HMG1* was more effective than the expression of the full-length *HMG1*, leading to 2-fold increase in lycopene production [24]. In *S. cerevisiae*, most of the earlier reports expressed truncated *HMG1* to enhance isoprenoids production [8,14]. In *Y. lipolytica*, full-length *HMG1* was overexpressed to enhance lycopene and limonene production [11,19]. During the preparation of this manuscript, a report by Gao et al. was

published, where they overexpressed a truncated *HMG1* sequence in *Y. lipolytica* and obtained a 134% increase in β -carotene production in comparison to the parental strain [25]. However, a comparison between the performance of full and truncated *HMG1* variants on enhancing isoprenoid production has not been reported. In our study, the optimal combination was obtained from co-expression of *GGS1/crtE* with *HMG1*, not truncated *HMG1*.

We have further investigated the potential of *Y. lipolytica* for astaxanthin production. Expression of the *crtS* and *crtR* resulted in the production of small amounts of astaxanthin intermediates, but not astaxanthin. In *S. cerevisiae* expressing the same genes combination, the production of astaxanthin was very weak [8]. Expression of cytochrome P450 enzyme (*crtS*) and its partner in heterologous hosts is a challenge. Improper folding or anchoring of the proteins to the endoplasmic reticulum membrane can lead to inactivity of the enzymes [26]. Moreover, co-localization of the two enzymes in the same organelle is needed for proper functioning of the pathway [8]. On the other hand, expression of bacterial cytosolic astaxanthin biosynthetic genes *crtW* and *crtZ* resulted in production of intermediates rather than astaxanthin clearly



Fig. 3. Astaxanthin production in Y. *lipolytica***. A.** Astaxanthin biosynthesis pathways. The black thick arrow indicates the reactions catalyzed by the CrtW and CrtZ enzymes. The gray dashed arrow indicates the reaction catalyzed by the CrtS enzyme. To obtain a functional expression of *crtS*, *crtR* must be co-expressed. **B.** Engineered strains cultivated on YPD agar plates for 72 h. Strain abbreviations: (A) ST3683, Wild type; (B) ST5204, β-carotene-producing non-optimized strain; (C) ST6899, β-carotene-producing optimized strain; (D) ST6074, built by expressing *crtR* and *crtR* in ST5204; (E) ST6075, built by expressing *crtW* and *crtZ* in ST5204; (E) ST6075, built by expressing *crtW* and *crtZ* in ST5204; (F) ST7022, built by expressing *crtR* and *crtR* in ST6899; and (G) ST7023, built by expressing *crtW* and *crtZ* in ST6899. β-carotene-producing optimized strain; (D) ST6074, built by expressing *crtR* and *crtR* in ST6899; and (G) ST7023, built by expressing *crtW* and *crtZ* in ST6899. β-carotene-producer with precursor optimization [ST6899]; Asta_{op} (*crtR*), astaxanthin producer carrying *crtS* and *crtR* (precursor optimized) [ST7022]; Asta_{op} (*crtW-crtZ*), astaxanthin producer carrying *crtV* and *crtZ* (precursor optimized) [ST7023]; I, β-carotene; II, echinenone; III, canthaxanthin; IV, astaxanthin. All strains were cultivated in YP+8%glucose in 24-deep-well plates for 72 h.

indicated that the conversion of β -carotene to astaxanthin was not efficient. Proper pathway balancing and more copies of the astaxanthin biosynthetic enzymes were necessary to push the flux towards astaxanthin. We demonstrated that multiple integrations of *crtZ* and *crtW* resulted in boosting astaxanthin production and decreased the accumulation of intermediates. The highest astaxanthin titer of 54.6 mg/L (3.5 mg/g DCW) was achieved in 96 deepwell cultivation. Scaling the cultivation of this strain to controlled bioreactors and optimizing the media and fermentation conditions is expected to increase the titer and content much further.

Production of astaxanthin in *Y. lipolytica* was previously reported in a patent [27], but the titer or cellular content of astaxanthin were not indicated, so it is not possible to compare the performance of those strains to the ones generated in this study. Future efforts on strain improvement may include overexpressing β -ketolase and hydrolase with higher activity than bacterial *crtW* and *crtZ* e.g., *bkt* and *crtZ* from the green algae *H. pluvialis* as reported in Ref. [28]. In addition, further improvement of the mevalonate pathway flux and repressing competing pathways, such as lipid biosynthesis, are viable strategies to address for further improving astaxanthin



Fig. 4. The effect of gene copy number on astaxanthin production. A. Scheme of the strain construction process for increasing the copy number of astaxanthin biosynthetic genes. **B.** HPLC analysis of carotenoids. Strain abbreviations: *crtW-crtZ*, astaxanthin producer carrying *crtW* and *crtZ* (precursor optimized) [ST7023]; *crtW-crtZ* + *crtW*[↑]↑, built by multiple integrations of *crtW* in ST7023 [ST7402]. [ST7402]. *crtW-crtZ* + *crtZ*[↑]↑, built by multiple integrations of *crtW-crtZ* in ST7023 [ST7402]. I, β-carotene; III, echinenone; III, canthaxanthin; IV, astaxanthin. **C.** The box plot represents the effect of gene copy number adjustment on the production of astaxanthin and its intermediates. Different combination of additional copies of either *crtW*, *crtZ* or both genes under the control of $P_{TEFintron}$ were introduced in the astaxanthin platform strain ST7023. Strain abbreviations: Ref, reference strain ST7023; 1, ST7400; 3, ST7401; 4, ST7402; 5, ST7403; 6, ST7404; 7, ST7405; 8, ST7406. Ten individual isolates from each construct were cultivated in YP+8%glucose in 96 deep-well-plates for 72 h.

biosynthesis in Y. lipolytica.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

5. Conclusion

In this work, we engineered *Y. lipolytica* for the production of β -carotene and further astaxanthin. We identified *crtZ* as a critical step in conversion of β -carotene into astaxanthin and resolved this by introducing multiple copies of the enzyme into the genome. The astaxanthin-producing *Y. lipolytica* shows great promise for employment in biological astaxanthin production.

Acknowledgements

This research was financially supported by the Novo Nordisk Foundation (grant number NNF15OC0016592). BAD was supported by the ERASMUS Traineeship program. We acknowledge Mette Kristensen for technical assistance on HPLC analysis.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.synbio.2017.10.002.

References

- Panis G, Carreon JR. Commercial astaxanthin production derived by green alga Haematococcus pluvialis: a microalgae process model and a techno-economic assessment all through production line. Algal Res 2016;18:175–90. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2016.06.007.
- [2] Guerin M, Huntley ME, Olaizola M. Haematococcus astaxanthin: applications for human health and nutrition. Trends Biotechnol 2003;21:210–6. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7799(03)00078-7.
- [3] Rodríguez-Sáiz M, de la Fuente JL, Barredo JL. Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous for the industrial production of astaxanthin. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2010;88:645-58. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-010-2814-x.
- [4] Johnson EA, LewisEWIS MJ. Astaxanthin formation by the yeast Phaffia rhodozyma. Microbiology 1979;115:173-83. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-115-1-173.
- [5] Breitenbach J, Visser H, Verdoes JC, AJJ van Ooyen, Sandmann G. Engineering of geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate synthase levels and physiological conditions for enhanced carotenoid and astaxanthin synthesis in *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. Biotechnol Lett 2011;33:755–61. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10529-010-0495-2.
- [6] Gassel S, Schewe H, Schmidt I, Schrader J, Sandmann G. Multiple improvement of astaxanthin biosynthesis in *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous* by a combination of conventional mutagenesis and metabolic pathway engineering. Biotechnol Lett 2013;35:565–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-012-1103-4.
- [7] Gassel S, Breitenbach J, Sandmann G. Genetic engineering of the complete carotenoid pathway towards enhanced astaxanthin formation in *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous* starting from a high-yield mutant. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2014;98:345–50. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-013-5358-z.
- [8] Ukibe K, Hashida K, Yoshida N, Takagi H. Metabolic engineering of Saccharomyces cerevisiae for astaxanthin production and oxidative stress tolerance. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009;75:7205–11. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AEM.01249-09.
- [9] Tai M, Stephanopoulos G. Engineering the push and pull of lipid biosynthesis in oleaginous yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* for biofuel production. Metab Eng 2013;15:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2012.08.007.
- [10] Zhang H, Zhang L, Chen H, Chen YQ, Chen W, Song Y, et al. Enhanced lipid accumulation in the yeast Yarrowia lipolytica by over-expression of ATP:citrate lyase from *Mus musculus*. J Biotechnol 2014;192:78–84. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2014.10.004.
- [11] Matthäus F, Ketelhot M, Gatter M, Barth G. Production of lycopene in the noncarotenoid-producing yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. Appl Environ Microbiol 2014;80:1660–9. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03167-13.
- [12] Ye RW, Sharpe PL, Zhu Q. Bioengineering of oleaginous yeast Yarrowia lipolytica for lycopene production. Methods Mol Biol Clifton N. J 2012;898: 153-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-918-1_9.
- [13] Stovicek V, Borodina I, Forster J. CRISPR–Cas system enables fast and simple genome editing of industrial *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains. Metab Eng Commun 2015;2:13–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meteno.2015.03.001.

- [14] Verwaal R, Wang J, Meijnen J-P, Visser H, Sandmann G, van den Berg JA, et al. High-level production of beta-carotene in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by successive transformation with carotenogenic genes from *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007;73:4342–50. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/AEM.02759-06.
- [15] Holkenbrink C, Dam MI, Kildegaard KR, Beder J, Dahlin J, Doménech Belda D, et al. EasyCloneYALI: CRISPR/Cas9-based synthetic toolbox for engineering of the yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. [Manuscript submitted].
- [16] Chen DC. One-step transformation of the dimorphic yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 1997;48:232-5. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s002530051043.
- [17] Ro D-K. Production of the antimalarial drug precursor artemisinic acid in engineered yeast. Nature 2006;440:940–3. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature04640.
- [18] Donald KA, Hampton RY, Fritz IB. Effects of overproduction of the catalytic domain of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase on squalene synthesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Appl Environ Microbiol 1997;63: 3341–4.
- [19] Cao X, Lv Y-B, Chen J, Imanaka T, Wei L-J, Hua Q. Metabolic engineering of oleaginous yeast Yarrowia lipolytica for limonene overproduction. Biotechnol Biofuels 2016;9:214. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-016-0626-7.
- [20] Yuan J, Ching C-B. Dynamic control of ERG9 expression for improved amorpha-4,11-diene production in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Microb Cell Fact 2015;14:38. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-015-0220-x.
- [21] Alcaíno J, Barahona S, Carmona M, Lozano C, Marcoleta A, Niklitschek M, et al. Cloning of the cytochrome p450 reductase (crtR) gene and its involvement in the astaxanthin biosynthesis of *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. BMC Microbiol 2008;8:169. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-8-169.
- [22] Juretzek T, Le Dall M-T, Mauersberger S, Gaillardin C, Barth G, Nicaud J-M. Vectors for gene expression and amplification in the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*. Yeast 2001;18:97–113. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0061(20010130)18. 2<97::AID-YEA652>3.0.CO;2-U.
- [23] Friedlander J, Tsakraklides V, Kamineni A, Greenhagen EH, Consiglio AL, MacEwen K, et al. Engineering of a high lipid producing Yarrowia lipolytica strain. Biotechnol Biofuels 2016:9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-016-0492-
- [24] Shimada H, Kondo K, Fraser PD, Miura Y, Saito T, Misawa N. Increased carotenoid production by the food yeast *Candida utilis* through metabolic engineering of the isoprenoid pathway. Appl Environ Microbiol 1998;64: 2676–80.
- [25] Gao S, Tong Y, Zhu L, Ge M, Zhang Y, Chen D, et al. Iterative integration of multiple-copy pathway genes in *Yarrowia lipolytica* for heterologous β-carotene production. Metab Eng 2017. https://doi.org/10.1016/ i.ymben.2017.04.004.
- [26] Schrader J, Bohlmann J. In: Biotechnology of isoprenoids, vol. 148. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2015. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-20107-8.
- [27] Sharpe PL, Ye RW, Zhu QQ, Carotenoid production in a recombinant oleaginous yeast. 2014. US8846374 B2.
- [28] Zhou P, Xie W, Li A, Wang F, Yao Z, Bian Q, et al. Alleviation of metabolic bottleneck by combinatorial engineering enhanced astaxanthin synthesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Enzyme Microb Technol 2017;100:28–36. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2017.02.006.