fhilling; they filled with a limpid fluid, which afterwards maturated, and in about three weeks went off, the crufts or heads remaining two weeks afterwards; the fcars when I faw them being left, and having the appearance of large and irregular fpots, from their union with each other, efpecially upon the back, where were the greateft number of them, although they exifted in all other parts of the body, and on the fcalp. They gave me the idea of its having been what is called " Swine Pock."

The child is perfectly well, and his parents entirely convinced that the Cow-pock is not at all influenced by this circumftance, more efpecially, as it was fo ftrongly confirmed by that of the child sleeping for a fortnight with another child who died of Small-pox, without receiving the infection.

Rockingham Row, Newington Butts, Oct. 20, 1802.

To the Editors of the Medical and Phylical Journal.

GENTLEMEN,

IN your Journal for May, I obferved an account of a cafe of Cataract, in which the accidental occurrence of inflammation had removed the difeafe. From which, together with fome obfervations of Mr. Ware's, the ingenious narrator, Mr. Crowfoot, feems to think, that it might be fometimes expedient to excite artificial inflammation in the tunica conjunctiva or cornea, for the removal of that difeafe. The two following cafes may probably give fome idea of the fuccefs to be expected from fuch practice; and are therefore much at your fervice, if you efteen them worth inferting in your very ufeful publication.

I am, &c.

Grantham, Oct. 17, 1802. B. LEESON, Jun. Member of the Royal College of Surgeons.

ROBERT SMITH has been blind of his left eye from his infancy, which defect evidently arofe from a collection of opaque, milky fluid, within the capfule of the cryftalline lens, occafioning an unufual prominence of that eye. He is a very flout, athletic man, about three and twenty years of age, conftantly employed in the occupations of hufbandry, and very regular in his mode of life. On the eleventh of March, he applied to me in confequence of violent inflammation, which had attacked the difeafed

548

Mr. Leeson, on Cataract.

difeafed eye, attended with very fevere pain in his head, and very general increased action in the system. The usual antiphlogiftic method of treatment was purfued, together with fcarifications of the tunica conjunctiva, bleeding from the temporal artery, and the application of the vinum thebaicum to the eye. In about ten days the inflammation was fubdued. It now became defirable to afcertain what effect the inflammation would produce on the previous difeafe, and the eye has fince been infpected at different times for that purpofe. When a month had elapfed from the ceffation of the inflammation, the crystalline lens was observed to have become perfectly clear, and has ever fince remained fo; the globe of the eye is also leffened, but ftill the vision is equally imperfect, as before this attack of inflammation; although no other appearance of difeafe is evident, than a leffened fenfibility in the iris to the ftimulus of light.

Elizabeth Rimmington, aged twenty-one, is perfectly well in health, of a firm, muscular habit; has cataracts in both eyes, which have been there fince her infancy. She has fufficient vision to distinguish light from darkness, and to guide herself in places to which fhe is accumftomed. The cataracts have the appearance of being in a foft ftate, giving the idea of a milky fluid being enclosed within the capfule. As the left eye was possessed of most vision, it was proposed to operate first on the right eye, and should that fucceed, to proceed to the other at fome future period.

On the 20th of December, 1801, having prepared for the operation, a knife was paffed through the cornea in the ufual manner, and the capfule of the crystalline being wounded, a milky fluid was immediately evacuated with fome force, part being expelled through the incifion, and part effufed through the globe of the eye. Under thefe circumstances, it was unneceffary to complete the incifion, and I therefore withdrew the knife. The patient was put to bed in a dark room, and treated in the ufual manner; for feveral days I had the fatisfaction to believe, that the would recover the fight of that eye with little pain. The inflammation and fever fucceeding the operation being trifling, at the end of a week fhe was releafed from her confinement. At this time, the effused liquor was perfectly abforbed, and the eye was, in every appearance, free from difeafe, the iris contracting and dilating very rapidly on the ad-miffion or expulsion of light; ftill her vision was lefs perfect than immediately after the operation. Apprehending this to arife from the capfule remaining unabforbed, 1 recommended nothing to be applied for a fhort time, hoping abforption would come on, as the office of the part was now no longer neceffary." This not taking place in a month, fome ftimulating applications, with a view to promote abforption, were used, but without any better

Nn3

On the New Chemical Nomenclature.

better effect; and I am forry to add, that at this time, October the 14th, the patient remains with the fame imperfect vision as before the operation, notwithstanding the eye is free from any visible imperfection. In the first of these cases, the diminished fenfibility of the iris may fhew fome deficiency in the optic nerve, probably co-existent with the formation of the original difeafe. But in the fecond, the eye exhibits every appearance of health, and it is only upon the opinion of the non-abforption of the crystalline capfule, that the prefent blindnefs is to be accounted for, and even this might be fuppofed to occafion fome visible defect in the eye. This supposition contradicts the opinion of the best modern furgeons, who maintain that parts will continue no longer than their functions are neceffary, on which opinion, the operation for the extraction of the cataract is fupported. As far therefore as this cafe goes, it will lead to a very guarded prognofis in every operation, even in the ufual mode, for cataract; and to a much more guarded one, fhould the mode of treatment fuggested by Mr. Crowfoot be adopted.

On the New Chemical Nomenclature.

THE nomenclature of the metallic falts is very defective in not exprefing any diffinction between the falts formed by the different oxydes of any metal combined with the fame acid. The fulphat of iron, for inftance, fignifies indifferently a compound of fulphuric acid, and either of the oxydes of iron. It has been proposed to diffinguish them by terming that falt which contains the metal more highly oxydated, an oxyfulphat. This mode is, however, very objectionable. By it we can only diffinguish falts formed by two different oxydes, whereas feveral of the metals are capable of feveral degrees of oxydation. It is particularly objectionable with respect to metallic muriats, for an oxymuriat properly fignifies a falt containing oxymuriatic acid. We are by no means authorized to fuppose it an indifferent matter, whether the fuper dofe of oxygen be combined with acid or with the metal.* I fhould propose to diffinguish the falts of different oxydes of the fame metal, in the manner

* In which flate of combination it exifts in any falt, may be known if the exact proportion of the ingredients be accurately afcertained. For the quantity of oxygen muft be exactly fufficient either to form one of the oxydes of the metal and no more, or it is in that flate of combination for which the quantity exactly corresponds with what would be required.

550