Research Article

Absent Audiovisual Integration Elicited by Peripheral Stimuli in Parkinson's Disease

Yanna Ren (b),^{1,2} Keisuke Suzuki (b),³ Weiping Yang,⁴ Yanling Ren,⁵ Fengxia Wu (b),² Jiajia Yang,² Satoshi Takahashi,² Yoshimichi Ejima,² Jinglong Wu (b),^{2,6,7} and Koichi Hirata (b)³

¹Department of Psychology, Medical Humanities College, Guiyang University of Chinese Medicine, Guiyang 550025, China ²Cognitive Neuroscience Laboratory, Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Okayama University, Okayama 7008530, Japan

³Department of Neurology, Dokkyo Medical University, Tochigi 3210293, Japan

⁴Department of Psychology, Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, China

⁵Department of Light and Chemical Engineering, Guizhou Light Industry Technical College, Guiyang 550025, China

⁶Intelligent Robotics Institute, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China

⁷Shenzhen Institute of Neuroscience, Shenzhen 518057, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Jinglong Wu; wu@mech.okayama-u.ac.jp and Koichi Hirata; hirata@dokkyomed.ac.jp

Received 16 September 2017; Revised 1 January 2018; Accepted 29 January 2018; Published 12 April 2018

Academic Editor: Hélio Teive

Copyright © 2018 Yanna Ren et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The basal ganglia, which have been shown to be a significant multisensory hub, are disordered in Parkinson's disease (PD). This study was to investigate the audiovisual integration of peripheral stimuli in PD patients with/without sleep disturbances. Thirtysix age-matched normal controls (NC) and 30 PD patients were recruited for an auditory/visual discrimination experiment. The mean response times for each participant were analyzed using repeated measures ANOVA and race model. The results showed that the response to all stimuli was significantly delayed for PD compared to NC (all p < 0.01). The response to audiovisual stimuli was significantly delayed for PD compared to NC (all p < 0.01). Additionally, audiovisual integration was absent in PD; however, it did occur in NC. Further analysis showed that there was no significant audiovisual integration in PD with/without cognitive impairment or in PD with/without sleep disturbances. Furthermore, audiovisual facilitation was not associated with Hoehn and Yahr stage, disease duration, or the presence of sleep disturbances (all p > 0.05). The current results showed that audiovisual multisensory integration for peripheral stimuli is absent in PD regardless of sleep disturbances and further suggested the abnormal audiovisual integration might be a potential early manifestation of PD.

1. Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) is traditionally recognized as a movement disorder and is characterized by bradykinesia, resting tremor, and rigidity. However, recent evidence suggests that patients with PD also have various nonmotor disturbances such as depression, cognitive impairment, sleep disorders, and olfactory disturbance [1, 2]. Moreover, nonmotor symptoms are sometimes evident prior to the onset of motor symptoms during the "premotor stage" and influence the patients' quality of life. Therefore, many studies have focused on the early detection and management of nonmotor symptoms associated with PD [1].

People obtain dynamic effective information from the complex environment through multiple senses. A number of studies have reported that people with PD present sensory and perceptual impairments [3], including delayed responses to auditory or visual stimuli compared with age-matched healthy controls [4–7]. However, a simple reaction time task includes many steps: identifying and evaluating the stimulus, selecting the appropriate response, and programming and executing the movement. Thus, delay at any one or all of these stages may

lead to a delayed reaction time [5]. For PD patients, the observed increase in simple reaction time may result from slowness of movement compared with age-matched normal controls. To quantitatively assess sensory processing speed, it is necessary to remove the redundant time for executing the movement. Of note, individuals are often inundated with stimuli from various sensory modalities, and merging multisensory information is therefore often crucial in making a rapid and accurate response [8–12]. Therefore, the quantitative assessment of multisensory integration processing ability is very meaningful in the investigation of PD.

By comparing reaction times to multisensory stimuli and to individual component unisensory stimuli, quantitative assessment of multisensory integration can be performed while controlling for response time for executing movement in PD [13-15]. A substantial loss of dopaminergic neurons in the basal ganglia is observed in PD [16-18]. Using an extracellular single-cell recording technique, Nagy et al. confirmed that like the superior colliculus and related structures, the basal ganglia (e.g., the caudate nucleus and the substantia nigra) have the ability to integrate multisensory information [18]. The latest studies conducted by Noy et al. showed that individuals might optimally integrate audiovisual cues to synchronize steps during step-by-step walking [19], which indicated the importance of cross-modal integration of visual and auditory signals for PD patients. However, currently few studies have reported the audiovisual integration ability of PD patients. Fearon et al. [13] recently demonstrated that abnormal audiovisual processing occurs in PD patients compared with age-matched healthy controls; in their study, the visual stimuli were presented centrally. Previous studies have shown that the location of the presented stimuli may greatly affect detection and discrimination of the stimuli. The more peripherally a visual or auditory stimulus was located (from 0° to 60°), the slower both the response accuracy and the speed of the response were [20, 21]. Nidiffer et al. [21] found a similar response pattern to audiovisual stimuli. Furthermore, these authors also reported increasing performance facilitation as the stimuli were positioned at more peripheral locations, indicating that the location of the stimulus (central or peripheral) greatly influenced audiovisual integration [21]. However, it is still unknown whether the multisensory integration of peripheral stimuli is altered in the same way as the multisensory integration of centrally located stimuli.

Sleep disturbances that have a detrimental effect on healthrelated quality of life are a common disabling nonmotor symptom of PD. Such sleep disturbances can occur at any point during the course of PD and even at the initiative stage [22]. Sleep disturbances are estimated to occur in 60–98% of patients with PD [22–24]. Multiple factors contribute to the occurrence of sleep disturbances, including PD-related pathological changes, nocturnal motor/nonmotor symptoms, medication use, and comorbid primary sleep disorders [25]. However, because no investigation has focused on the audiovisual integration of PD patients with sleep disturbances, it is completely unknown whether or not sleep disturbances worsen the abnormal audiovisual integration that occurs in PD. Using an auditory/visual stimulus discrimination task [26, 27], the present study aimed to quantitatively measure the ability of PD patients to integrate peripheral audiovisual stimuli and to evaluate the effect of sleep disturbances on PD-related peripheral audiovisual integration.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects. A total of 36 age-matched healthy volunteers (62–78 years; mean age \pm SD, 69.69 \pm 4.41) and 30 PD patients (53-82 years; mean age \pm SD, 67.53 \pm 9.1) participated in this study and finished the experiment successfully. All of the agematched healthy volunteers who made up the normal control group were randomly recruited from the Okayama Silver Human Resources Center, and all of the PD patients were recruited from outpatient clinics of the Department of Neurology, Dokkyo Medical University Hospital. All the recruited age-matched healthy volunteers agreed to participate in the experiment, and finished the experiment successfully. However, two of the PD patients in the outpatient clinics had no time to attend the experiment. A diagnosis of PD was made according to the established criteria by boardcertified neurologists [28], and disease duration of the PD patients was recorded in Table 1. The participants were naïve to the device and to the task. All the participants provided written informed consent to the procedure, which was previously approved by the ethics committee of Okayama University (NC) or of Dokkyo Medical University Hospital (PD) specifically according to the location at which the examination was performed. Additionally, all of the participants in the NC group were in good physical condition and not taking any medications that might have central effects.

2.2. Stimuli. The visual stimulus was a black and white checkerboard image $(52 \times 52 \text{ mm})$, which was presented on a black background on a 21-inch computer monitor positioned 60 cm in front of the participant's eyes (Figure 1). All visual stimuli (V) were presented on the lower left or lower right quadrant of the screen for 150 ms (at a 12-degree visual angle to the left or right of the center and at a 5-degree angle below the central fixation point). The auditory stimulus was a 1000 Hz sinusoidal tone that was presented randomly to the left or right ear through earphones at approximately 60 dB SPL for 150 ms (10 ms of rise/fall cosine gate). The auditorisual stimuli (AV) were presented through a combination of the visual and auditory stimuli. Each subject participated in only one session consisting of 50 visual stimuli, 50 auditory stimuli, and 50 auditovisual stimuli.

2.3. Experimental Procedure. Each subject was instructed on how to complete the experiment, which consisted of two parts, a questionnaire assessment session and an auditory/visual stimuli discrimination session. All of the questionnaires were completed in the outpatient department or in a special quiet room with the assistance of professional staff. For the auditory/visual discrimination session, each subject was tested individually in a quiet room free from external noise and distraction, thereby minimizing the possible influence of any physiological effects.

Parkinson's Disease

3

TABLE 1: Demographics of Parkinson's disease	patients with/without slee	p disturbance accordin	g to PDSS-2, ESS, and PSOI scores.
			A

	All PD patients	PD with sleep disturbances	PD without sleep disturbances
Ν	30	14	16
Age (y)	67.5 (1.6)	69.6 (2.3)	65.7 (0.2)
Gender (F:M)	17:13	7:7	10:6
H&Y stage	2.4 (0.1)	2.6 (0.2)	2.3 (0.2)
Disease duration (y)	4.5 (1.0)	5.8 (1.9)	3.4 (0.8)
Education (y)	12.4 (0.4)	12.1 (0.7)	12.6 (0.6)
PDSS-2***	15.2 (2.1)	24 (3.2)	7.6 (0.8)
ESS	7.8 (1.0)	8.5 (1.6)	7.2 (1.5)
PSQI	6.9 (1.2)	8.9 (2.2)	5.1 (1.1)
MOCA	24.2 (0.5)	24.3 (0.7)	24.1 (0.8)
LED (mg/day)	452 (67)	508 (90)	403 (100)

Data are presented as the mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). F: female; M: male; H&Y stage: Hoehn & Yahr staging system (stages from 1 to 5; a higher score reflects more severe symptoms); PDSS-2: Parkinson's Disease Sleep Scale (score greater than the cutoff of 15 indicates a poor sleeper); ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale (score greater than the cutoff of 11 indicates excessive daytime sleepiness); PSQI: Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (score greater than the cutoff of 6 suggests possible insomnia); MOCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (score greater than the cutoff of 26 reflects normal cognition). *** p < 0.001 indicates a statistically significant difference between the PD group with sleep disturbances and the PD group without sleep disturbances.



FIGURE 1: Schematic description of the experimental design. An example of a stimulus used to form a possible trial sequence is shown. After fixation for 3000 ms at the beginning of the session, all the auditory, visual, and audiovisual stimuli were presented randomly with a random interstimulus interval (ISI) of 2000–3000 ms. Following the presentation of each stimulus, the subject was instructed to identify which hemispace was presented by pressing the right or left button of a mouse as rapidly and accurately as possible.

2.3.1. Questionnaire Assessments. Each participant's overall cognitive function was estimated using the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) [29]. The Japanese versions of the Parkinson's Disease Sleep Scale-2 (PDSS-2) [30], the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) [31, 32], and the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) [33] were used to evaluate sleep conditions. Additionally, for the PD patients, Hoehn and Yahr (HY) staging was used to rate disease severity [34], and the duration of the disease and Levodopa equivalent dose (LED) used were obtained from the attending physician after receiving the patient's permission. This session lasted approximately 40 mins.

2.3.2. Auditory/Visual Stimuli Discrimination Session. The subjects were instructed to perform an auditory/visual stimuli discrimination task in a quiet room (a laboratory room at Okayama University or at Dokkyo Medical University

Hospital, Japan) with their eyes fixed on the fixation cross (Figure 1). All of the participants were naïve to the device and to the task. Stimulus presentation and response collection were conducted using Presentation software (Neurobehavioral Systems Inc., Albany, California, USA). At the beginning of the task, the subjects were presented with a fixation cross for 3000 ms. Following fixation, all stimuli were presented randomly, and the subjects were instructed to identify which hemispace the stimulus was presented in. They were instructed to press the appropriate button (the right button if the stimulus was presented in the right hemispace and the left button if the stimulus was presented in the left hemispace) as rapidly and accurately as possible. Each stimulus was followed by an interstimulus interval (ISI) that varied randomly in duration from 2000 to 3000 ms for subject response and rest. This session lasted approximately 6.3 mins.

TABLE 2: Comparisons of MOCA, PDSS-2, and ESS scores between NC and PD groups.

	Simple size, number	Female/male	Age (y)	Education (y)	MOCA***	PDSS-2*	ESS*	PSQI
NC	36	18/18	69.69 ± 0.74	12.86 ± 0.34	26.78 ± 0.33	10.03 ± 0.97	5.28 ± 0.65	6.33 ± 0.75
PD	30	16/14	67.53 ± 1.63	12.40 ± 0.44	24.17 ± 0.53	15.27 ± 2.10	7.80 ± 1.08	6.90 ± 1.20
	1 1				1 1 55 5	1		- *** 0.001

Data are presented as the mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). NC: age-matched normal controls; PD: Parkinson's disease patients. * p < 0.05, *** p < 0.001; statistically significant difference between the NC group and the PD group.

2.4. Data Analysis

2.4.1. Questionnaire Assessments. The subject was defined as a poor sleeper if he or she had a global PDSS-2 score \geq 15 [30]. The subject was recognized as having excessive daytime sleepiness if he or she had a total ESS score \geq 11 [32] and was indicated as having insomnia if the overall PSQI score was \geq 6 [35]. In the current study, we divided the PD patients into those with and those without sleep disturbances according to their PDSS-2 scores. The global score was computed separately for each subject in every assessment, and the resulting data were subjected to a one-sample *t*-test (two-tailed).

2.4.2. Mean Response Time and Hit Rates. In the auditory/visual discrimination session, the hit rates and mean response times were computed separately for each subject and in each condition. The hit rate is the percentage of correct responses (the response time falls within the average time period \pm 2SD) relative to the total number of stimuli. The mean response time was determined as the average response time for all correct responses. Finally, the data were subjected to 2 groups (NC and PD) * 3 conditions (A, V, and AV) repeated measures ANOVA followed by post hoc tests to analyze the main effect and factors interaction.

2.4.3. Race Model. In the current study, audiovisual integration was evaluated using the redundant nature effect. To examine the redundant nature effect of multisensory integration for the bimodal stimuli condition, we reanalyzed the mean response times using cumulative distribution functions (CDFs). The bimodal AV data were compared with an independent race model, which is a statistical prediction model that uses the CDF of the summed probabilities of the visual and auditory responses [14, 15]. The model permits a direct comparison between the multisensory condition and the predicted probability of unimodal conditions, $[P(A) + P(V)] - [P(A) \times P(V)]$, by segmenting the subjectspecific CDFs for each condition using 10 ms time bins. P(A) is the probability of responding within a given time to a unimodal auditory trial, and P(V) is the probability of responding within a given time to a unimodal visual trial. If the probability of a response to AV is significantly greater than that predicted by the summed probabilities of A and V, neural audiovisual integration of the two unimodal inputs is considered to be occurred [14, 15]. Then, the redundant nature effect of multisensory conditions was defined by subtracting a subject's race model from his or her audiovisual CDFs at each time bin to generate a difference curve for each subject. A one-sample t-test (twotailed) was then performed for each time bin within each of the groups (the NC and PD groups) to identify significant deviations (p < 0.05) by comparing the value at each time bin with zero.

2.4.4. Correlation Analysis. For PD patients, there was no significant audiovisual integration; therefore, the bimodal response facilitation was assessed through the interactive index (ii) [36]. Correlation analysis was conducted to examine the relationship between the degree of response facilitation and H&Y stage or disease duration. The variables AV, V, and A represent the mean response times to each stimulus. All of the data were subjected to bivariate correlations analysis (*Pearson's correlation, two-tailed*):

$$ii = \frac{\max(A; V) - AV}{\max(A; V)} \times 100\%.$$
 (1)

3. Results

3.1. Questionnaire Assessment. A one-sample *t*-test (twotailed) was conducted between the NC and PD groups for Age, Education, MOCA score, PDSS-2 score, ESS score, and PSQI score, respectively. No significant difference was found between the two groups for Age (p = 0.21), Education (p = 0.4), or PSQI score (p = 0.68). However, there was a significant difference for MOCA score (p < 0.001), indicating the presence of cognitive impairment in PD. Furthermore, significant differences were also found for PDSS-2 score (p =0.02) and ESS score (p = 0.04), showing that obvious sleep disturbances occurred in some PD patients (Table 2).

3.2. Mean Response Time and Hit Rates. The mean response times and hit rates for NC and PD patients are shown in detail in Table 3. Analysis using 3 (modality) * 2 (hemispace) repeated measures ANOVA showed main effects of modality in both the NC group [F(2, 68) = 43.06, p < 0.001] and the PD patients [F(2, 58) = 31.91, p < 0.001]; however, no significant main effects of hemispace were found in either the NC group [F(1, 34) = 5.46, p = 0.062] or the PD patients [F(1, 29) = 1.63, p = 0.21]. Therefore, the data from the left and right hemispaces were combined. The mean response times and hit rates for NC and PD are presented in Figure 2.

Analysis of the response times using 2 groups (NC and PD) * 3 modalities (A, V, AV) repeated measures ANOVA showed a significant main group effect [F(1, 63) = 14.75, p < 0.001]. The pairwise comparisons showed that for the NC group, the responses to bimodal AV stimuli were significantly faster than the responses to unimodal visual (p < 0.001) or auditory (p < 0.001) stimuli. However, there was no significant difference in the response of the NC group to unimodal visual and auditory stimuli (p = 0.56). For the PD group, the responses to bimodal AV stimuli were significantly faster than the responses to unimodal visual (p < 0.001) or auditory (p < 0.001) stimuli. However, there was no significant difference in the response

	N	IC .	P	D
	Right	Left	Right	Left
Response time (ms)				
Auditory	494.6 (8.8)	475.7 (10.9)	576.3 (18.7)	537.1 (17.9)
Visual	467.8 (9.1)	459.2 (8.4)	543.4 (18.8)	527.1 (21.4)
Audiovisual	431.2 (7.7)	425.7 (9.4)	482.0 (15.1)	481.7 (17.9)
Hit rates				
Auditory	0.95 (0.006)	0.95 (0.007)	0.91 (0.01)	0.91 (0.02)
Visual	0.95 (0.007)	0.95 (0.005)	0.91 (0.01)	0.91 (0.02)
Audiovisual	0.95 (0.005)	0.95 (0.007)	0.92 (0.02)	0.92 (0.01)

TABLE 3: The mean response times and hit rates for NC and PD patients.

Data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). A: auditory-only stimulus; V: visual-only stimulus; AV: audiovisual stimulus.



FIGURE 2: Average reaction times and hit rates by modality and group (error bars indicate the SEM) (A: auditory-only stimulus; V: visual-only stimulus; AV: audiovisual stimulus; *p < 0.05).

to unimodal visual and auditory stimuli (p = 0.13). Additionally, there was a significant main effect of modality [F(2, 126) = 72.78, p < 0.001]. The pairwise comparisons between the NC and PD groups showed significantly faster responses for NC than those for PD to unimodal visual (p < 0.001), auditory (p < 0.001), and audiovisual stimuli (p = 0.002). However, there was no significant interaction between group and modality [F(2, 126) = 1.62, p = 0.21].

Analysis of the hit rates using 2 Group (NC and PD) * 3 Modality (A, V, AV) repeated measures ANOVA showed a significant main effect of group [F(2, 63) = 8.68, p =0.005], with a higher accuracy for the NC group than for the PD group. The pairwise comparisons between the NC and PD groups showed significantly higher accuracy for NC than for PD to unimodal visual (p = 0.012) and auditory (p =0.008) stimuli; however, no significant difference was found for the bimodal AV condition. However, there was no main effect of modality [F(2, 126) = 0.52, p = 0.59] and no interaction between group and modality [F(2, 126) = 1.31, p =0.27].

3.3. Race Model Comparisons. A race model was used to analyze the RTs to evaluate the redundant nature effect of the multisensory stimuli under each of the experimental conditions (Figures 3(a) and 3(b)). The relationship was compared by subtracting the race model CDFs from the bimodal

AV CDFs for each group (Figure 3(c)). Significant audiovisual interactions (p < 0.05, one-sample *t*-test) were found for the NC group (Figure 3(c), solid line); however, there were no significant differences between the responses to bimodal stimuli and the predicted race model for the PD patients (Figure 3(c), dotted line) (p > 0.05).

The foregoing results indicate that there was significant diversity in audiovisual interaction between the PD patients and the age-matched NC group. To further examine the possible cause of the significant cognitive functional difference between these two groups, we divided each group into two subgroups based on the individuals' MOCA scores (cutoff = 26). A similar race model analysis method was used for the further analyses. The results indicated that there was no significant difference between the NC subgroups (p > 0.05; Figure 4(a)) or between the PD subgroups (p > 0.05; Figure 4(b)). Additionally, we further divided PD patients into PD patients with sleep disturbance and PD patients without sleep disturbance based on their PDSS-2 scores (Table 1). The redundant nature analysis results showed no significant audiovisual integration for either the PD with sleep disturbance or the PD without sleep disturbance groups (Figure 5). Furthermore, the one-sample *t*-test (two-tailed) found that there was no significant difference between PD patients with sleep disturbance and PD patients without sleep disturbance (p > 0.05).



FIGURE 3: Distributions of response times. (a) Cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) for the discrimination response times to auditory, visual, and audiovisual stimuli and for the race model for NC. (b) CDFs for PD. (c) Significant audiovisual integration was found in NC (solid line), but not in PD patients (dotted line).



FIGURE 4: Direct comparison of audiovisual performance relative to the predicted race model. Significant audiovisual integration was found in both NC with (dotted line) and without (solid line) cognitive impairment (a). No significant audiovisual integration was occurred with (dotted line) or without (solid line) cognitive impairment (b).

3.4. Correlation Analysis. Figure 6 shows the results of the correlation analysis. The results indicate that there was no significant relationship between H&Y stage and response facilitation either for the PD with sleep disturbance group (r = 0.437, p = 0.118) or for the PD without sleep

disturbance group (r = -0.362, p = 0.169), nor was there a significant relationship between disease duration and response facilitation for either the PD with sleep disturbance group (r = 0.370, p = 0.193) or the PD without sleep disturbance group (r = -0.416, p = 0.109).



FIGURE 5: Direct comparison of audiovisual performance relative to the predicted race model. No significant audiovisual integration was found in both PD with sleep disturbance group (dotted line) and without sleep disturbance group (solid line).

4. Discussion

In this study, we examined the audiovisual multisensory integration elicited by peripheral stimuli in PD. The results showed that no significant audiovisual integration occurred in PD regardless of the presence of cognitive deficits or sleep disturbance; however, such integration did occur in agematched normal controls. In addition, there were no significant correlations between audiovisual facilitation and H&Y stage or disease duration.

4.1. Absent Audiovisual Integration in PD. Regarding the investigation of multisensory integration in PD, this is the first study to quantitatively examine audiovisual multisensory integration to stimuli presented peripherally to PD patients and to report that no significant audiovisual integration was observed. Consistent with the results of a previous study, audiovisual processing in PD was found to be abnormal [13]. To some degree, the current results lead to a conclusion similar to that expressed by Fearon et al. They found that audiovisual processing in PD was attenuated but did exist and further reported that it was correlated with freezing of gait and disease duration [13]. Investigation of visual processing by fMRI showed that in PD patients, the neural response of the visual cortex to peripheral stimuli was weaker than the response to central stimuli [37]. Consistent with the inverse effectiveness principle of multisensory integration, audiovisual integration was greater when the stimuli were positioned at more peripheral locations (0° versus 30° and 60°) [21]. Therefore, the partial differences between our results and those of the previous study might be associated with the visual angle at which the stimuli were presented. To date, although there is no evidence of pathology in the visual cortex of PD patients, the present studies have implied the dysfunction of visual perception, and reduced activity in visual association cortices and primary visual cortex was found in PD [38, 39]. The regions traditionally considered to be sensory-specific (e.g., the primary visual cortex) have been proven an audiovisual

integration effect as compensatory phenomena [40–43]. Therefore, it is reasonable for the abnormal audiovisual integration in PD patients.

Besides, recent studies have provided evidence that the subcortical basal ganglia have multisensory properties [17, 18]. Nagy et al. reported that the caudate nucleus and substantia nigra of anesthetized cats can respond to visual, auditory, or somatosensory stimulation alone as well to multisensory stimuli. In addition, the multisensory units of the caudate nucleus and substantia nigra showed significant cross-modal interaction, displaying additive or superadditive response facilitation to multisensory simulation. There is known to be a basal ganglia disorder in PD, as evidenced by cell death in the substantia nigra and the ventral (front) part of the pars compacta as well as substantial loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra [24, 44, 45]. The higher audiovisual integration of peripheral stimuli might be susceptible to basal ganglia impairment; thus, it seems very possible that disorders of the basal ganglia may lead to the absence of audiovisual integration processing.

Additionally, attention can also greatly modulate audiovisual integration, and audiovisual integration has been shown to be greater in attended tasks than in unattended tasks [46–50]. Numerous behavioral and electroencephalographic studies have provided evidence for the existence of attentional deficits, for example, visual attention and auditory attention, in PD [49–54]. Therefore, the decrease in attention that occurs in PD might also contribute to the absence of audiovisual integration.

4.2. Absent Audiovisual Integration Independent of Cognitive Deficits and Sleep Disturbance in PD. In the present study, we examined 30 clinical PD patients who successfully completed the auditory/visual stimuli discrimination experiment independently without serious cognitive deficits or motor impairment. The demographic information showed lower MOCA scores for the PD patients than for their age-matched normal controls, and a further t-test (two-tailed) analysis revealed significant differences between the two groups. Our previous study showed decreased audiovisual integration both in divided attention and selective attention tasks for patients with mild cognitive impairment, and audiovisual integration further decreased significantly in Alzheimer's disease patients [55]. These results suggested that the cognitive deficits that occur in PD might lead to abnormal audiovisual integration. According to their MOCA scores, we divided the PD patients into two groups (cutoff = 26). The analysis revealed that there was no significant difference in audiovisual integration between the two sub-PD groups (MOCA scores of \geq 26 and <26). According to the results, we propose that although cognitive deficits attenuate audiovisual integration, as found in our previous study, basal ganglia disorders might be the most critical factor associated with the lack of audiovisual integration in PD patients. In our study, we also divided the normal control group into two subgroups according to their MOCA scores. In that group, there were only three participants with MOCA scores below



FIGURE 6: Correlation of H&Y stage and disease duration with response facilitation. (a) No significant relationship between H&Y stage and response facilitation. *x*-axis: H&Y stage; *y*-axis: response facilitation. (b) No significant relationship between disease duration and response facilitation. *x*-axis: disease duration; *y*-axis: response facilitation.

26 (two participants with scores of 25 and one with a score of 24), and these participants showed no significant cognitive impairment. Although the data for normal control participants with MOCA scores below 26 are insufficient for statistical analysis, the average probability difference curve of these participants is similar to that of the group with MOCA scores of 26 or greater. This result further suggests that audiovisual multisensory integration is much more sensitive to the basal ganglia disorder that occurs in PD than to slight alterations in cognitive function.

Additionally, in the present study, we examined the audiovisual integration of peripheral stimuli in PD patients with or without sleep disturbance. According to the PDSS-2 scores, 14 PD patients (47%) had sleep disturbances; based on these scores, we divided all the participants into two groups: those with and those without sleep disturbances. However, we found no significant difference in multisensory audiovisual integration in the two subgroups. These results further suggest that audiovisual integration of peripheral stimuli might be especially sensitive to basal ganglia disorders and that sleep disturbance was not the key factor determining the lack of audiovisual integration in these patients.

To further investigate whether the ability to integrate peripheral audiovisual stimuli is reserved in the early stage of PD and disappears with the progression of the disease in the current study, we evaluated the correlation of H&Y stage and disease duration with audiovisual multisensory integration through relative unisensory response time facilitation. No significant relationship was found between the bimodal response facilitation and H&Y stage or disease duration (all p > 0.05), regardless of the presence of sleep disturbance. The results confirm that the abnormal audiovisual multisensory processing occurred at an early PD stage. Together with the results of the previous study, these results further suggest that deficiencies in audiovisual multisensory processing might be a potential early manifestation of PD [13]. 4.3. Limitations. The main limitation of the current study is the systemic alteration of audiovisual integration that occurred as a function of the change in the visual angle at which the stimuli are presented. The lack of objective assessments for the sleep status, such as polysomnography or actigraphy, and the limited battery (MOCA) used in this study could have influenced the results. The multiple comparisons employed in this study were not corrected because our study was exploratory in nature, which may have included some significant findings by chance. Additionally, as the sample was relatively small, we were unable to divide the PD patients according to motor symptoms and Levodopa equivalent dose in detail. Furthermore, EEG investigation is needed to evaluate the time course of audiovisual integration in PD to further verify whether the observed lack of audiovisual integration of peripheral stimuli occurs at the early stage of audiovisual integration.

5. Conclusions

The current study provides the first evidence that the audiovisual multisensory integration of peripheral stimuli is absent in PD patients regardless of the presence of sleep disturbances. The results of the study further suggest that abnormal audiovisual integration may be a potential early manifestation of PD.

Disclosure

Yanna Ren and Keisuke Suzuki contributed equally to this work and should be considered co-first authors.

Conflicts of Interest

All of the authors declare that they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

Authors' Contributions

Yanna Ren and Weiping Yang conceived and designed the experiments. Yanna Ren, Fengxia Wu, and Keisuke Suzuki collected the data. Yanna Ren analyzed the data, wrote the draft manuscript, and received comments from Keisuke Suzuki, Yanling Ren, Jiajia Yang, Satoshi Takahashi, Yoshimichi Ejima, Jinglong Wu, and Koichi Hirata.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI Grant no. 16K18052; the National Natural Science Foundation of China (61473043; 61727807; 31600882; 31700973); the Beijing Municipal Science and Technology Commission (Z161100002616020); the Humanity and Social Science Youth Foundation of the Education Bureau of Hubei Province of China (16Q030, Weiping Yang); and the Humanity and Social Science Youth Foundation of the Ministry of Education of China (16YJC190025).

References

- K. R. Chaudhuri, D. G. Healy, and A. H. Schapira, "Non-motor symptoms of Parkinson's disease: diagnosis and management," *Lancet Neurology*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 235–245, 2006.
- [2] K. R. Chaudhuri and A. H. Schapira, "Non-motor symptoms of Parkinson's disease: dopaminergic pathophysiology and treatment," *Lancet Neurology*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 464–474, 2009.
- [3] K. A. E. Martens and Q. J. Almeida, "Dissociating between sensory and perceptual deficits in PD: more than simply a motor deficit," *Movement Disorders*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 387–392, 2012.
- [4] J. Gauntlett-Gilbert and V. J. Brown, "Reaction time deficits and Parkinson's disease," *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 865–881, 1998.
- [5] K. A. Low, J. Miller, and E. Vierck, "Response slowing in Parkinson's disease," *Brain*, vol. 125, no. 9, pp. 1980–1994, 2002.
- [6] A. Pascual-Leone, J. Valls-Sole, J. Brasil-Neto, L. Cohen, and M. Hallett, "Akinesia in Parkinson's disease. I. Shortening of simple reaction time with focal, single-pulse transcranial magnetic stimulation," *Neurology*, vol. 44, no. 5, p. 884, 1994.
- [7] V. Paunikar, N. Shastri, and M. Baig, "Effect of Parkinson's disease on audiovisual reaction time in Indian population," *International Journal Of Biological and Medical Research*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1392–1396, 2012.
- [8] C. Spence, "Crossmodal correspondences: a tutorial review," Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, vol. 73, no. 4, pp. 971–995, 2011.
- [9] M. A. Meredith, J. W. Nemitz, and B. E. Stein, "Determinants of multisensory integration in superior colliculus neurons. I. Temporal factors," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 3215–3229, 1987.
- [10] B. Stein and M. Meredith, *The Merging of the Sense*, Mit Press Cambridge, Cambridge, MA, USA, 1993.
- [11] P. J. Laurienti, J. H. Burdette, J. A. Maldjian, and M. T. Wallace, "Enhanced multisensory integration in older adults," *Neurobiology of Aging*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 1155–1163, 2006.
- [12] B. E. Stein, *The New Handbook of Multisensory Processing*, Mit Press Cambridge, Cambridge, MA, USA, 2012.
- [13] C. Fearon, J. S. Butler, L. Newman, T. Lynch, and R. B. Reilly, "Audiovisual processing is abnormal in Parkinson's disease and correlates with freezing of gait and disease duration,"

- [14] J. Miller, "Divided attention: evidence for coactivation with redundant signals," *Cognitive Psychology*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 247–279, 1982.
- [15] J. Miller, "Timecourse of coactivation in bimodal divided attention," Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 331–343, 1986.
- [16] M. Zhu, M. Li, D. Ye, W. Jiang, T. Lei, and K. Shu, "Sensory symptoms in Parkinson's disease: clinical features, pathophysiology, and treatment," *Journal of Neuroscience Research*, vol. 94, no. 8, pp. 685–692, 2016.
- [17] A. Nagy, Z. Paróczy, M. Norita, and G. Benedek, "Multisensory responses and receptive field properties of neurons in the substantia nigra and in the caudate nucleus," *European Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 419–424, 2005.
- [18] A. Nagy, G. Eördegh, Z. Paróczy, Z. Márkus, and G. Benedek, "Multisensory integration in the basal ganglia," *European Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 917–924, 2006.
- [19] D. Noy, S. Mouta, J. Lamas, D. Basso, C. Silva, and J. A. Santos, "Audiovisual integration increases the intentional step synchronization of side-by-side walkers," *Human Movement Science*, vol. 56, pp. 71–87, 2017.
- [20] R. A. Stevenson, J. K. Fister, Z. P. Barnett, A. R. Nidiffer, and M. T. Wallace, "Interactions between the spatial and temporal stimulus factors that influence multisensory integration in human performance," *Experimental Brain Research*, vol. 219, no. 1, pp. 121–137, 2012.
- [21] A. R. Nidiffer, R. A. Stevenson, J. K. Fister, Z. P. Barnett, and M. T. Wallace, "Interactions between space and effectiveness in human multisensory performance," *Neuropsychologia*, vol. 88, pp. 83–91, 2016.
- [22] T. J. Swick, "Parkinson's disease and sleep/wake disturbances," *Parkinson's Disease*, vol. 2012, Article ID 205471, 14 pages, 2012.
- [23] M. J. Thorpy and C. H. Adler, "Parkinson's disease and sleep," *Neurologic Clinics*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 1187–1208, 2005.
- [24] C. A. Davie, "A review of Parkinson's disease," British Medical Bulletin, vol. 86, no. 1, pp. 109–127, 2008.
- [25] K. Suzuki, M. Miyamoto, T. Miyamoto, and K. Hirata, "Parkinson's disease and sleep/wake disturbances," *Current Neurology and Neuroscience Reports*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 1–11, 2015.
- [26] Y. Ren, W. Yang, K. Nakahashi, S. takahashi, and J. Wu, "Audiovisual integration delayed by stimulus onset asynchrony between auditory and visual stimuli in older adults," *Perception*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 205–218, 2016.
- [27] Y. Ren, Study on Audiovisual Integration in Healthy Elderly and Parkinson Patients, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan, 2017.
- [28] A. J. Hughes, S. E. Daniel, L. Kilford, and A. J. Lees, "Accuracy of clinical diagnosis of idiopathic Parkinson's disease: a clinico-pathological study of 100 cases," *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 181–184, 1992.
- [29] Y. Fujiwara, H. Suzuki, M. Yasunaga et al., "Brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment in older Japanese: validation of the Japanese version of the montreal cognitive assessment," *Geriatrics and Gerontology International*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 225–232, 2010.
- [30] K. Suzuki, M. Miyamoto, T. Miyamoto et al., "Nocturnal disturbances and restlessness in Parkinson's disease: using the Japanese version of the Parkinson's disease sleep scale-2,"

Journal of the Neurological Sciences, vol. 318, no. 1, pp. 76–81,

- [31] M. Takegami, Y. Suzukamo, T. Wakita et al., "Development of a Japanese version of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (JESS) based on item response theory," *Sleep Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 556–565, 2009.
- [32] M. W. Johns, "A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness: the Epworth sleepiness scale," *Sleep*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 540–545, 1991.
- [33] Y. Doi, M. Minowa, M. Uchiyama et al., "Psychometric assessment of subjective sleep quality using the Japanese version of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI-J) in psychiatric disordered and control subjects," *Psychiatry Research*, vol. 97, no. 2, pp. 165–172, 2000.
- [34] M. M. Hoehn and M. D. Yahr, "Parkinsonism: onset, progression, and mortality," *Neurology*, vol. 50, no. 2, p. 318, 1998.
- [35] D. J. Buysse, C. F. Reynolds, T. H. Monk, S. R. Berman, and D. J. Kupfer, "The Pittsburgh sleep quality index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research," *Psychiatry Research*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 193–213, 1989.
- [36] R. A. Stevenson, D. Ghose, J. K. Fister et al., "Identifying and quantifying multisensory integration: a tutorial review," *Brain Topography*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 707–730, 2014.
- [37] B. Wang, J. Guo, T. Yan et al., "Neural responses to central and peripheral objects in the lateral occipital cortex," *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, vol. 10, no. 54, pp. 1–11, 2016.
- [38] A. M. Meppelink, B. M. de Jong, R. Renken, K. L. Leenders, F. W. Cornelissen, and T. van Laar, "Impaired visual processing preceding image recognition in Parkinson's disease patients with visual hallucinations," *Brain*, vol. 132, no. 11, pp. 2980–2993, 2009.
- [39] D. Cheng, A. M. Jenner, G. Shui et al., "Lipid pathway alterations in parkinson's disease primary visual cortex," *PLoS One*, vol. 6, no. 2, Article ID e17299, 2011.
- [40] E. Macaluso, "Multisensory processing in sensory-specific cortical areas," *Neuroscientist*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 327–338, 2006.
- [41] Y. Wang, S. Celebrini, Y. Trotter, and P. Barone, "Visuoauditory interactions in the primary visual cortex of the behaving monkey: electrophysiological evidence," *BMC Neuroscience*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 79, 2008.
- [42] G. A. Calvert, R. Campbell, and M. J. Brammer, "Evidence from functional magnetic resonance imaging of crossmodal binding in the human heteromodal cortex," *Current Biology*, vol. 10, no. 11, pp. 649–657, 2000.
- [43] Y. Ren, Y. Ren, W. Yang et al., "Comparison for younger and older adults: stimulus temporal asynchrony modulates audiovisual integration," *International Journal of Psychophysi*ology, vol. 124, pp. 1–11, 2018.
- [44] A. H. V. Schapira, K. R. Chaudhuri, and P. Jenner, "Nonmotor features of Parkinson disease," *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 435–450, 2017.
- [45] J. A. Obeso, M. C. Rodríguez-Oroz, B. Benitez-Temino et al., "Functional organization of the basal ganglia: therapeutic implications for Parkinson's disease," *Movement Disorders*, vol. 23, no. S3, pp. S548–S559, 2008.
- [46] D. Talsma, T. J. Doty, and M. G. Woldorff, "Selective attention and audiovisual integration: is attending to both modalities a prerequisite for early integration?," *Cerebral Cortex*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 679–690, 2007.
- [47] D. Talsma, D. Senkowski, and M. G. Woldorff, "Intermodal attention affects the processing of the temporal alignment of audiovisual stimuli," *Experimental Brain Research*, vol. 198, no. 2-3, pp. 313–328, 2009.

- [48] D. Talsma, D. Senkowski, S. Soto-Faraco, and M. G. Woldorff, "The multifaceted interplay between attention and multisensory integration," *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 400–410, 2010.
- [49] S. Zhou, X. Chen, C. Wang, C. Yin, P. Hu, and K. Wang, "Selective attention deficits in early and moderate stage Parkinson's disease," *Neuroscience Letters*, vol. 509, no. 1, pp. 50–55, 2012.
- [50] C. Pauletti, D. Mannarelli, M. C. De Lucia et al., "Selective attentional deficit in essential tremor: evidence from the attention network test," *Parkinsonism and Related Disorders*, vol. 21, no. 11, pp. 1306–1311, 2015.
- [51] P. Vieregge, R. Verleger, E. Wascher, F. Stüven, and D. Kömpf, "Auditory selective attention is impaired in Parkinson's disease—event-related evidence from EEG potentials," *Cognitive Brain Research*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 117–129, 1994.
- [52] M. Sharpe, "Auditory attention in early Parkinson's disease: an impairment in focused attention," *Neuropsychologia*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 101–106, 1992.
- [53] K. Flowers and C. Robertson, "The effect of Parkinson's disease on the ability to maintain a mental set," *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 517–529, 1985.
- [54] A. Hozumi, K. Hirata, H. Tanaka, and K. Yamazaki, "Perseveration for novel stimuli in Parkinson's disease: an evaluation based on event-related potentials topography," *Movement Disorders*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 835–842, 2000.
- [55] J. Wu, J. Yang, Y. Yu et al., "Delayed audiovisual integration of patients with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease compared with normal aged controls," *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, vol. 32, no. 2, p. 317, 2012.

2012