

Protocol

3D bioprinting of modified mannan bioink for tissue engineering

Zheng Zhou, Yuting Huang, Hairong Liu, Guoqun Zhao

zhouzheng@hnu.edu.cn

Highlights

Preparation of a modified watersoluble polysaccharide polymer YM-MA bioink

Optimized parameters for 3D printing of YM-MA bioink

YM-MA bioink has good cytocompatibility and histocompatibility

This protocol details the steps for preparation of a recently developed bioink, named YM-MA, which is based on methacrylate anhydride-modified yeast mannan. A light-assisted 3D bioprinting is performed to analyze the printability of YM-MA bioink. We describe how cell experiments, animal models of subcutaneous implantation in a Sprague Dawley rat model, and nude mice are used to evaluate the cytocompatibility, histocompatibility, and chondrogenesis of YM-MA bioink. This protocol provides a versatile strategy to develop bioinks of polysaccharides with chemical modification sites such as hydroxyl group.

Publisher's note: Undertaking any experimental protocol requires adherence to local institutional guidelines for laboratory safety and ethics.

> Zhou et al., STAR Protocols 3, 101585 September 16, 2022 © 2022 The Author(s). [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xpro.2022.101585) [j.xpro.2022.101585](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xpro.2022.101585)

Protocol 3D bioprinting of modified mannan bioink for tissue engineering

Zheng Zhou, 1,4,5,6,* 1,4,5,6,* 1,4,5,6,* 1,4,5,6,* 1,4,5,6,* Yuting Huang, 2,4 2,4 2,4 2,4 Hairong Liu, 2 2 and Guoqun Zhao 3 3

1College of Biology, Hunan University, Changsha, Hunan 410082, China

²College of Material Science and Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha, Hunan 410082, China

³College of Bioscience and Bioengineering, Hebei University of Science and Technology, Shijiazhuang, Hebei 050018, China

4These authors contributed equally

5Technical contact

6Lead contact

*Correspondence: zhouzheng@hnu.edu.cn <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xpro.2022.101585>

SUMMARY

This protocol details the steps for preparation of a recently developed bioink, named YM-MA, which is based on methacrylate anhydride-modified yeast mannan. A light-assisted 3D bioprinting is performed to analyze the printability of YM-MA bioink. We describe how cell experiments, animal models of subcutaneous implantation in a Sprague Dawley rat model, and nude mice are used to evaluate the cytocompatibility, histocompatibility, and chondrogenesis of YM-MA bioink. This protocol provides a versatile strategy to develop bioinks of polysaccharides with chemical modification sites such as hydroxyl group. For complete details on the use and execution of this protocol, please refer to [Huang et al. \(2021\).](#page-16-0)

BEFORE YOU BEGIN

Institutional permissions

All animal experiments in this study were approved by the Animal Care and Ethics Committee of Hunan Academy of Chinese Medicine.

General laboratory preparation

Timing: 1 h

- 1. Prepare the 10 x phosphate buffer saline (PBS) solution and store it at 4° C with a shelf-life of about 6 months.
- 2. Prepare the 1×PBS buffer (pH7.4) by diluting 10×PBS buffer with ddH₂O.
- 3. Prepare the 1xPBS buffer (pH8.0) by adjusting 1xPBS buffer (pH7.4) with 0.5 M NaOH solution.
- 4. Use a 0.22 µm bottle top vacuum filter to filter the 10xPBS buffer, 1xPBS buffer (pH7.4), and 1×PBS buffer (pH8.0) to obtain sterilized buffers.

Note: Store the buffers at 4° C after sterilization. Preheat the buffers at 37 $^{\circ}$ C before use for cell experiments and animal models.

Preparation of stock solutions for methacrylate anhydride modified yeast mannan

Timing: 10 min

- 5. Prepare yeast mannan (YM) solution by dissolving 1 g YM into 50 mL 1×PBS buffer (pH7.4) via magnetic stirring at 20°C-25°C for 10 min.
- 6. Prepare methacrylate anhydride (MA) emulsion with 0.8 mL MA and 4.2 mL 1 x PBS (pH7.4) by vortex oscillation for 1 min at 20 $^{\circ}$ C–25 $^{\circ}$ C in darkness in a fume hood.

Note: In view of the instability of MA, prepare the MA emulsion before use.

- \triangle CRITICAL: MA is a liquid acidic organic matter at 20 $^{\circ}$ C-25 $^{\circ}$ C, which is harmful by inhalation, irritating to respiratory system and skin, and risk of serious damage to the eyes. Therefore, when performing step 6, do it in a fume hood.
- 7. Prepare 0.5% (w/v) lithium phenyl-2,4,6-trimethylbenzoylphosphinate (LAP) solution by dissolve 5 mg LAP into 1 mL 1 xPBS buffer (pH7.4).

Preparation of stock solutions and mediums for cell experiments

Timing: 1 h

- 8. Prepare penicillin-streptomycin solution, then use a $0.22 \mu m$ syringe filter to filter penicillinstreptomycin solution to obtain sterilized penicillin-streptomycin solution, and store it at 4°C with a shelf-life of about 3 months.
- 9. Prepare cell culture medium and store it at 4° C with a shelf-life of about 2 weeks.
- 10. Prepare 0.5 M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt (EDTA) solution and store it at 4°C with a shelf-life of about 6 months.
- 11. Prepare trypsin solution and store it at 4° C with a shelf-life of more than 1 month.
- 12. Prepare fluorescein diacetate (FDA) stock solution and store it away from light at -20° C with a shelf-life of about 3 months.
- 13. Prepare and use 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde solution in a fume hood and store it at 4° C with a shelf-life of 12 months.

Note: All solutions and mediums for cell experiments and animal models should be preheated at 37°C before use.

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

(Continued on next page)

Protocol

(Continued on next page)

MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT

Note: Store at 4°C for 6 months.

Alternatives: Commercial ready PBS buffer available in different companies such as Sigma (Cat# P5368-10PAK), Thermo Fisher Scientific (Cat# 14190144), and Servicebio (Cat# G4202-500mL) can also be used.

Note: Store at 4°C for 3 months.

Alternatives: Commercial ready penicillin-streptomycin solution available in different companies such as Procell (Cat# PB180120), Gibco (Cat# 15140-122), and Beyotime (Cat# C0222) can also be used.

Note: Store at 4°C for 2 weeks.

Note: Store at 4°C for 6 months.

Alternatives: Commercial ready 0.5 M EDTA solution available in Sigma-Aldrich (Cat# 03690- 100 mL) can also be used.

Note: Store at 4°C for 1 month.

Alternatives: Commercial ready 0.25% (w/v) trypsin-EDTA solution available in Gibco (Cat# 25200-056) can also be used.

Note: Store at -20° C for 3 months.

Note: Store at 4°C for 12 months.

Alternatives: Commercial ready paraformaldehyde fixator available in different companies such as Servicebio (Cat# G1101) and Sangon Biotech (Cat# E672002) can also be used.

STEP-BY-STEP METHOD DETAILS

Preparation of MA modified YM

Timing: 8 days

This step describes how to prepare modified YM by MA. The process is also suitable for other watersoluble polysaccharides to be modified by MA. The protocol was adapted from [Huang et al. \(2021\).](#page-16-0)

Caution: This process should be done in darkness.

- 1. Add MA emulsion dropwise into YM solution with magnetic stirring at 100 rpm at 20° C-25 $^{\circ}$ C in darkness in a fume hood. [Troubleshooting 1.](#page-13-0)
- 2. Keep the reaction solution at pH8-9 by adding 1 M NaOH in the reaction process.

Note: If the addition of MA/1 x PBS emulsion causes a large change in the pH of the solution, several milliliter of 5 M NaOH can be used to adjust the pH and then switch to a low concentration of 1 M NaOH to adjust the pH to 8–9.

3. Keep MA reacting with YM in the reaction solution for eight hours at 20°C-25°C in darkness.

Note: Under this reaction condition, the degree of functionalization of YM mainly depends on the feed ratio of MA to YM. The higher degree of substitution is obtained with the more mole ratio of MA to YM.

4. Neutralize the reaction solution by adding 0.1 M HCl.

Alternatives: The reaction can also be stopped by adding 5 times the volume of $ddH₂O$ to dilute the solution.

- 5. Centrifuge the solution of reaction products to remove insoluble impurities at 3,260 \times g for 5 min in 15 mL centrifugal tubes.
- 6. Dialyze the reaction product solution with dialysis membrane against 5–10 times volume of ddH2O to remove unreacted MA and byproducts for at least 4 days, and then $ddH₂O$ for three times a day.
- 7. Centrifuge the dialyzed product solution to remove insoluble impurities at 3,260 \times g for 5 min in 15 mL pointed centrifugal tubes.

Alternatives: If you have a vacuum filter, a filter bottle, a Büchner funnel and filter membranes, you can remove insoluble impurities by pumping as well.

- 8. Freeze the aqueous product at -80° C more than 6 h.
- 9. Lyophilize the frozen product for three days.
- 10. Collect and weigh the freeze-dried product, keep the product dry and away from light. The product is MA-modified YM, also called YM-MA.
- 11. Analyze the characterization of YM-MA by ¹H NMR spectra.
	- a. Prepare YM-MA/ D_2O solution by dissolving 10 mg YM-MA into 0.5 mL D_2O .
	- b. A nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometer is used to detect $^1\mathsf{H}$ NMR spectra of YM-MA.
	- c. Analyze ¹H NMR spectra of YM-MA using MestReNova software.

CRITICAL: MA is not water-soluble, but can be dispersed as an emulsion in water-based solutions. In order to react MA with YM molecules, MA must be fully dispersed in 1×PBS buffer and then added to the stirring mannan solution drop by drop.

Preparation of YM-MA bioink

Timing: 20 min

This step describes how to prepare YM-MA bioink. The protocol was adapted from [Huang et al.](#page-16-0) [\(2021\)](#page-16-0).

Caution: Perform all steps described in this section in a sterilized biosafety cabinet.

- 12. Prepare 10% (w/v) YM-MA bioink by dissolving 1 g YM-MA into 10 mL of 0.5% (w/v) LAP solution.
- 13. Filter the bioink through a 0.22 μ m syringe filter and store at 4°C before use.

Note: In this section, LAP is used as the photoinitiator. As an alternative, I2959 can be also used as a photoinitiator. In this case, an UV light at a wavelength of 365 nm is recommended to support the following photocuring process.

14. Use a photo-rheometer with parallel-plate (P20 TiL, 20-mm diameter) geometry and OmniCure Series 2000 (365 nm, 30 mW/cm²) to measure the photocrosslinking kinetics of YM-MA bioink containing photoinitiator I2959 at 37°C by recording the storage modulus (G') and loss modulus (G'') of YM-MA bioink over time.

Biocompatibility of YM-MA bioink

Timing: 38–40 days

This step describes how to prepare photopolymerized hydrogel based on YM-MA bioink and assess the biocompatibility of YM-MA bioink. This protocol requires a flashlight to initiate the bioink curing, cells and SD rats to assess the biocompatibility of the bioink. The protocol was adapted from [Huang](#page-16-0) [et al. \(2021\)](#page-16-0).

Caution: Perform all steps described in this section in a sterilized biosafety cabinet.

- 15. Assess cell viability of the YM-MA bioink in vitro.
	- a. Add 200 µL YM-MA bioink into five wells of a 48-well plate and photocure the bioink by a flashlight of 405 nm for 10 s to prepare YM-MA hydrogel coatings on these wells. [Trouble](#page-13-1)[shooting 2.](#page-13-1)
	- b. Wash the monolayer cultured chondrocytes with 3 mL of 1 x PBS buffer (pH7.4), when the cell growth density reaches 80%–90% in petri dish of 100 mm diameter.

Note: Chondrocytes were isolated from articular cartilage of one-week-old New Zealand white rabbits. Isolation and culture of rabbit chondrocytes were following a previous publication without any modification [\(Chen et al., 2020](#page-16-1)).

Alternatives: Chondrocytes can be purchased available in different companies such as Hefei Wanwu Biological Technology Co., Ltd (Cat# tings-98586).

- c. Remove the used 1xPBS solution and digest the cells by using 1 mL 0.25% (w/v) trypsin solution for 1 min.
- d. Add 4 mL cell culture medium to stop the digestion process and re-suspend the digested cells.
- e. Count cells with a cell counting plate under a microscope.
- f. Dilute the cell suspension with cell culture medium at $10⁶$ cells per milliliter.
- g. Seed 0.5 mL cell suspension on each YM-MA hydrogel coatings and incubate for 24 h at 37°C in a 5% $CO₂$ humidified incubator.
- h. Add 50 μ L CCK-8 reagent to each well evenly and incubate for 2 h at 37 $^{\circ}$ C.
- i. Measure the supernatant absorbance of the test samples by a microplate reader at 450 nm. The positive control is methylacryloyl modified gelatin (GELMA) bioink ([Klotz et al., 2016\)](#page-16-2).
- j. All data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation n = 5. Statistical analysis was performed using the GraphPad Prism 7 software. Statistically significant differences between

groups were detected by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) at a confidence interval of 95%. The differences were considered at $p < 0.05$ ^{(*}), $p < 0.01$ (**), and $p < 0.001$ (***).

- 16. Assess histocompatibility of YM-MA bioink in vivo.
	- a. Add 200 µL YM-MA bioink into each well of a teflon mold (d = 10 mm, h = 2 mm), photocure the bioink by a flashlight of 405 nm for 10 s to prepare photopolymerized hydrogels.
	- b. Anesthetize SD rats with 10% (w/v) chloral hydrate by intraperitoneally injecting, 3 mL/kg.
	- c. Remove hair from the rats' back by using an electric razor.
	- d. Disinfect the back of SD rats with iodine.
	- e. Use a sterile scalpel of 11# to cut the skin on the disinfected back of the SD rats to make a wound with 10–15 mm wide.
	- f. Use ophthalmic scissors to separate the skin and muscle layers to create space for the implant.
	- g. Implant the photopolymerized hydrogel from YM-MA bioink into the created space of the SD rats subcutaneously.
	- h. Close the wound with sterilized sutures.
	- i. Culture all the test SD rats for 28 days with no dietary or activity restrictions.
	- j. Sacrifice the SD rats by intraperitoneally injecting excessive chloral hydrate.
	- k. Isolate the samples with surrounding tissue by using a sterile scalpel.
	- l. Histological staining of the samples.
		- i. Fix the samples in 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde solution for 48 h.
		- ii. Dehydrate the fixed samples with gradient ethanol, which are 50%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95% and 100% in $ddH₂O$, for 1 h each.
		- iii. Vitrify the dehydrated samples with xylene for three times.
		- iv. Embed the vitrified samples with paraffine.
		- $v.$ Slice the embed samples into 5 μ m thickness with a radial microtome.
		- vi. Stain the slices with H&E Staining Kit according to the protocols of the manufacturer [\(https://www.servicebio.com/G1005-100ML-Hematoxylin-and-Eosin-Joint-Staining-HE-](https://www.servicebio.com/G1005-100ML-Hematoxylin-and-Eosin-Joint-Staining-HE-Stain-Solution-pd47001614.html?searchValue=G1005-100ML%20Hematoxylin%20and%20Eosin%20Joint%20Staining%20HE%20Stain%20Solution)[Stain-Solution-pd47001614.html?searchValue=G1005-100ML%20Hematoxylin%20and](https://www.servicebio.com/G1005-100ML-Hematoxylin-and-Eosin-Joint-Staining-HE-Stain-Solution-pd47001614.html?searchValue=G1005-100ML%20Hematoxylin%20and%20Eosin%20Joint%20Staining%20HE%20Stain%20Solution) [%20Eosin%20Joint%20Staining%20HE%20Stain%20Solution\)](https://www.servicebio.com/G1005-100ML-Hematoxylin-and-Eosin-Joint-Staining-HE-Stain-Solution-pd47001614.html?searchValue=G1005-100ML%20Hematoxylin%20and%20Eosin%20Joint%20Staining%20HE%20Stain%20Solution).
		- vii. Seal the stained slices with neutral gum.
		- viii. Observe the stained slices with an optical microscope.

Printability of YM-MA bioink

Timing: 0.5–1 day

This step describes how to print the YM-MA bioink by a common light-assisted printer. The protocol was adapted from [Huang et al. \(2021\)](#page-16-0).

Caution: Perform all the steps described in this section in a clean room.

- 17. Build 3D computer aided design (CAD) models of designed shapes by solidworks software.
- 18. Translate the models into slices by BMF 3D slicer software.
- 19. Wash the monolayer cultured chondrocytes with 3 mL of 1xPBS buffer (pH7.4), when the cell growth density reaches 80%–90% in petri dish of 100 mm diameter.
- 20. Remove the used 1xPBS solution and digest the cells by using 1 mL 0.25% (w/v) trypsin solution.
- 21. Add 4 mL cell culture medium to stop the digestion process and re-suspend the digested cells.
- 22. Count cells with a cell counting plate under a microscope.
- 23. Dilute the cell suspension with YM-MA bioink at $10⁷$ cells per milliliter. [Troubleshooting 3](#page-13-2).
- 24. Put the YM-MA bioink containing living cells into the tank of the printer. [Troubleshooting 4.](#page-14-0)

Protocol

- 25. Use BMF printing system to instruct the light-assisted printer to print layers of models one by one, 10 μ m per layer. The exposure intensity is 5 mW/cm 2 . The exposure time is 0.5 s per layer. [Troubleshooting 5](#page-14-1).
- 26. Observe macroscopic structures of the printed samples by a digital microscope.
- 27. Wash the printed structures with $1 \times PBS$ buffer (pH7.4) for three times.
- 28. Stain the washed structures with FDA working solution for 10–15 min.

Note: FDA working solution was prepared by diluting FDA stock solution with one hundred times the volume of high glucose DMEM medium.

29. Wash the stained structures with $1 \times PBS$ buffer (pH7.4) for three times.

30. Observe the stained printed structures with a fluorescence microscope at 490 nm.

Ectopic chondrogenesis of YM-MA bioink

Timing: 20–22 days

This step describes how to construct tissue engineering cartilage by ectopic implantation in nude mice subcutaneously. The protocol was adapted from [Huang et al. \(2021\)](#page-16-0).

Caution: Perform all steps described in this section in a sterilized biosafety cabinet.

- 31. 3D printing YM-MA bioink with chondrocytes into a round pie shape with a diameter of 10 mm and a thickness of 2 mm.
- 32. Preserve the YM-MA hydrogel samples in culture medium at 37° C in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator.
- 33. Disinfect the back of nude mice with iodine.
- 34. Use a sterile scalpel of 11# to cut the skin on the disinfected back of the nude mice to make a wound with 10–15 mm wide.
- 35. Use ophthalmic scissors to separate the skin and muscle layers to create space for the implant.
- 36. Implant the photocured chondrocytes/YM-MA hydrogels into the created space of the nude mice subcutaneously.
- 37. Close the wound with sterilized sutures.
- 38. Culture all the test nude mice for 14 days with no dietary or activity restrictions.
- 39. Sacrifice the nude mice by intraperitoneally injecting excessive chloral hydrate.
- 40. Isolate the samples by using a sterile scalpel.
- 41. The samples were fixed, dehydrated, vitrified, embed, and sliced as described in steps 16 l i–v.
- 42. Stain the slices with Safranin O solution for glycosaminoglycan (GAG) detection according to the protocols of the manufacturer [\(https://www.servicebio.com/Safranin-O-Fast-Green-Staining-](https://www.servicebio.com/Safranin-O-Fast-Green-Staining-Reagent-For-Bone-Tissue-pd43990414.html?searchValue=Safranin%20O-Fast%20Green%20Staining%20Reagent%20For%20Bone%20Tissue)[Reagent-For-Bone-Tissue-pd43990414.html?searchValue=Safranin%20O-Fast%20Green%20](https://www.servicebio.com/Safranin-O-Fast-Green-Staining-Reagent-For-Bone-Tissue-pd43990414.html?searchValue=Safranin%20O-Fast%20Green%20Staining%20Reagent%20For%20Bone%20Tissue) [Staining%20Reagent%20For%20Bone%20Tissue\)](https://www.servicebio.com/Safranin-O-Fast-Green-Staining-Reagent-For-Bone-Tissue-pd43990414.html?searchValue=Safranin%20O-Fast%20Green%20Staining%20Reagent%20For%20Bone%20Tissue).
- 43. Stain the slices with immunohistochemical stain for type II collagen according to the protocols of the manufacturer ([https://www.servicebio.com/Immunohistochemistry-Kit-HRP-conjugated-](https://www.servicebio.com/Immunohistochemistry-Kit-HRP-conjugated-Goat-Anti-Mouse-IgG-H-L-pd43814804.html?searchValue=Immunohistochemistry%20Kit%20(HRP-conjugated%20Goat%20Anti-Mouse%20IgG(H%2520L)))[Goat-Anti-Mouse-IgG-H-L-pd43814804.html?searchValue=Immunohistochemistry%20Kit%20](https://www.servicebio.com/Immunohistochemistry-Kit-HRP-conjugated-Goat-Anti-Mouse-IgG-H-L-pd43814804.html?searchValue=Immunohistochemistry%20Kit%20(HRP-conjugated%20Goat%20Anti-Mouse%20IgG(H%2520L))) [\(HRP-conjugated%20Goat%20Anti-Mouse%20IgG\(H%2520L\)\)\)](https://www.servicebio.com/Immunohistochemistry-Kit-HRP-conjugated-Goat-Anti-Mouse-IgG-H-L-pd43814804.html?searchValue=Immunohistochemistry%20Kit%20(HRP-conjugated%20Goat%20Anti-Mouse%20IgG(H%2520L))).
- 44. Seal the stained slices with neutral gum.
- 45. Observe the stained slices with an optical microscope.

EXPECTED OUTCOMES

YM is a natural polysaccharide from Saccharomyces cerevisiae, which is the yeast cell wall polysaccharide with the strongest immune function and possesses bioactivities highly valuable to tissue engineering, including biocompatibility, immune-regulation, anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidation,

Figure 1. Characterization of YM-MA (A) Synthesis diagram of YM-MA. (B) ¹H NMR spectra of YM-MA in D_2O . Adapted from [Huang et al. \(2021\).](#page-16-0)

anti-tumor and other physiological functions [\(Singh et al., 2018](#page-16-3); [Liu and Huang, 2018\)](#page-16-4). Besides, as a typical polysaccharide, YM owns many chemical reaction sites, especially hydroxyl which is conducive to modify YM, and further hydrogels can be prepared by photocrosslinking. Herein, a novel bioink based on modified YM was introduced to 3D bioprinting for tissue engineering applications.

In order to make YM a bioink, MA was successfully grafted on YM as confirmed in ¹H NMR spectra, which provided YM with photocrosslinkability ([Figure 1](#page-10-0)). The result of dynamic time-sweep rheological analysis showed that hydrogels, which are round shape with 20-mm diameter and 1-mm thick-ness, formed in less than 20 s following exposure to 365 nm UV at the dose of 30 mW/cm² [\(Figure 2\)](#page-11-0). Since excellent biocompatibility of biomaterial is the requirement for tissue engineering, cell experiments in vitro and subcutaneous implantation of SD rats in vivo were conducted to evaluate the biocompatibility of YM-MA bioink. Compared with GELMA bioink, YM-MA bioink displayed no cytotoxic to cells in vitro ([Figure 3\)](#page-11-1). In an SD rat model, no macroscopic signs of inflammation or toxicity were evident in host tissue surrounding the implants after four weeks ([Figure 4A](#page-12-0)). Although fibrous tissue was identified surrounding the implants, it was acceptable for host according to documented biomaterials for tissue engineering. Additionally, H&E staining analysis further confirmed that YM-MA bioink implants were encased in fibrous capsules without any inflammatory cells ([Figure 4](#page-12-0)B). Biocompatibility tests suggested that YM-MA bioink was a prospective candidate in 3D printing for tissue engineering.

A sunken light-assisted printer was applied to evaluate printability of YM-MA bioink [\(Figure 5\)](#page-12-1). In 3D bioprinting tests, YM-MA containing chondrocytes was printed respectively at 5 mW/cm² for 0.5 s per 10 µm thickness. The results indicated that printed products with good structural presentation

Figure 2. Rheological analysis of YM-MA bioink Adapted from [Huang et al. \(2021\).](#page-16-0)

maintained a high degree of consistency with CAD models [\(Figures 6](#page-13-3) and [7\)](#page-13-4). Notably, cells encapsulated in printed structures in situ were viable and distributed evenly [\(Figure 7](#page-13-4)). It suggested that biocompatible YM-MA bioink owned good printability.

Still, YM-MA bioink with chondrocytes was performed in nude mice model for two weeks to evaluate ectopic chondrogenesis ability of YM-MA bioink. The collected samples were sliced and subjected to the safranin O staining and immunohistochemical staining. The results showed that abundant GAG and type II collagen secretion was identified in test specimens ([Figure 8\)](#page-14-2), indicating that the YM-MA bioink could provide a promising hydrogel for cartilage tissue engineering. Hence, the proposed YM-MA is a promising bioink in 3D printing for tissue engineering applications.

Six advantages are obtained from this strategy: (1) the solubility of YM in neutral pH solution was significantly increased by introducing methacryloyl groups, which were injectable with adjustable viscosity, which can be used as an injectable photocrosslinkable hydrogel for mini-mally invasive tissue repair [\(Liu et al., 2017\)](#page-16-5); (2) YM-MA is easy to be sterilization and can be stored up for one year at -20° C in darkness; (3) when exposed to blue light radiation, YM-MA bioinks show rapid gelation; (4) by adjusting methacrylation degree of YM-MA, the compression strength of YM-MA hydrogels could be tuned from 27 to 57 kPa [\(Huang et al.,](#page-16-0) [2021\)](#page-16-0), covering the range of the mechanical properties of various native tissues, including

Figure 3. Cell viability in photocrosslinked YM-MA bioink and GELMA bioink Adapted from [Huang et al. \(2021\).](#page-16-0)

Figure 4. In vivo biocompatibility of YM-MA hydrogel

(A and B) Gross morphology examination and (B) H&E staining of implanted YM-MA hydrogel after four-week postimplantation. T: tissue; H: hydrogel. Scale bars, 100 µm. Adapted from [Huang et al. \(2021\).](#page-16-0)

cartilage, cardiac muscle and lung; in addition, (5) the gelation method not only provided spatiotemporal control for gelation in situ, but also eliminated the need for biotoxic crosslinking agents and did not require temperature changes, thus ensuring biocompatibility and permitting embedding cells alive [\(Klotz et al., 2016\)](#page-16-2); notably, (6) 3D printing of YM-MA bioink outlined clear boundary consistent with the CAD model, it also achieved ectopic chondrogenesis. These advantages strongly support the notion that YM-MA bioink is a potential 3D printing platform for tissue engineering.

LIMITATIONS

Although this protocol provides a versatile strategy to develop novel bioinks from natural materials, it is limited to water-soluble polymers containing hydroxyl and amino groups. The reason why is that modification process is based on the acylation of amino and anhydride or the esterification of hydroxyl and anhydride.

In our previous publication [\(Huang et al., 2021\)](#page-16-0), we prepared three YM-MA, named YM-MA-1, YM-MA-2, and YM-MA-3, respectively. These three YM-MA bioinks were photocrosslinked as hydrogels, named YM-MA-1-H, YM-MA-2-H, and YM-MA-3-H, respectively. Cell viability in these photocrosslinked hydrogels has been previously assessed by CCK-8 assay and no significant differences among ctrl (GELMA hydrogel), YM-MA-1-H and YM-MA-2-H were found, with cell viability more than 80% [\(Huang et al., 2021](#page-16-0)). In this protocol, we used YM-MA-2-H, which displays both good cytocompatibility and histocompatibility.

Figure 5. 3D printing of YM-MA bioink by a BMF technology S140 printer

Figure 6. CAD model and slice of printing structures with cells Adapted from [Huang et al. \(2021\).](#page-16-0)

TROUBLESHOOTING

Problem 1

The degree of functionalization of YM-MA molecules by MA were lower than expected.

Potential solution

During the modification process, add the homogenized MA emulsion dropwise into YM reaction solution and stir the reaction solution at a certain speed such as 100 rpm to avoid phase separation of the solution. The uniform solution facilitates the full contact of solutes and facilitates the modification process ([Figure 1\)](#page-10-0).

Problem 2

Bioink was not photocured by blue light irradiation.

Potential solution

When bioink was not photocured by blue light irradiation, it might be the reasons that photoinitiators LAP was failing or YM-MA was not modified successfully. We recommend replacing the LAP, and using ¹H NMR to evaluate whether YM was modified by MA successfully [\(Figure 1](#page-10-0)).

Problem 3

Cells encapsulated in photocrosslinked YM-MA bioink were not evenly distributed.

Potential solution

Mix bioink and cells sufficiently before print and increase printing energy to spend a short time in printing ([Figure 7\)](#page-13-4).

Figure 7. Characterization of 3D printed YM-MA bioink containing chondrocytes

(A) Profiles of YM-MA hydrogel with chondrocytes. The green arrow points to the printed product while the blue arrow points to the reflection of the printed one on the platform.

(B) Live/dead staining of cells in 3D printed structure. The white dotted line represents the outline of the printed structure. Scale bars, 1 mm (A), 500 μm (b1), 100 μm (b2).

Adapted from [Huang et al. \(2021\).](#page-16-0)

Figure 8. Safranin O staining (A) and immunohistochemical staining of type II collagen (B) on slices of YM-MA hydrogel samples containing chondrocytes after two-week post-implantation Scale bars, 100 µm. Adapted from [Huang et al. \(2021\).](#page-16-0)

Problem 4

Bioinks were gelled before printing.

Potential solution

Always keep bioinks away from light, store them at 4°C or lower temperature. In fact, we recommend preserving the bioink materials in powder form. Prepare aqueous state of bioinks prior to use is expected.

Problem 5

Printed structures did not conform with CAD models because that bioinks were overcured or no gelation was obtained [\(Table 1](#page-14-3) and [Figure 9\)](#page-15-0).

Potential solution

When printing results did not match the preset shapes, it usually meant that the printing energy needed to be adjusted. Printing energy could be adjusted by exposure intensity, exposure time and printing layer thickness. When bioinks were overcured, decrease printing energy. When bioinks were not gelled, increase printing energy.

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Prof. Zheng Zhou, zhouzheng@hnu.edu.cn.

Materials availability

The prepared YM-MA in this study will be made available on request, but we may require a payment and/or a completed Materials Transfer Agreement if it is potentially used for commercial production.

Data and code availability

This study did not generate datasets or codes.

Protocol

Figure 9. Digital models and general view of 3D printed YM-MA bioinks without cells

(A) Square.

(B) Letters.

(C) Porous structure.

(D and E) Character and (E) hemisphere. From left to right, (a1, b1, c1, d1, e1) 3D computer models, (a2, b2, c2, d2, e2) the first slice of the 3D computer models, (a3, b3, c3, d3, e3) the last slice of the 3D computer models, (a4, b4, c4, d4, e4) top view of printed hydrogels and (a5, b5, c5, d5, e5) side view of printed hydrogels respectively.

Scale bars, 1 mm.

Adapted from [Huang et al. \(2021\).](#page-16-0)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31520103905), the National Key Research and Development Program of China [grant no. 2018YFC1105800], and the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan province [grant no. 2016JJ2023]. We thank collaborator Xiaoxiao Han, [xiaoxiaohan@hnu.edu.cn,](mailto:xiaoxiaohan@hnu.edu.cn) State Key Laboratory of Advanced Design and Manufacture for Vehicle Body, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, People's Republic of China.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization and investigation, Z.Z., Y.H., and H.L.; writing – original draft, Z.Z. and Y.H.; writing – review & editing, all authors; visualization, Z.Z. and Y.H.; funding acquisition, Z.Z. and H.L.; supervision, Z.Z., H.L., and G.Z.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

Chen, W., Xu, Y., Li, H., Dai, Y., Zhou, G., Zhou, Z., Xia, H., and Liu, H. (2020). Tanshinone IIA delivery silk fibroin scaffolds significantly enhance articular cartilage defect repairing via promoting cartilage regeneration. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 12, 21470–21480. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c03822) [1021/acsami.0c03822.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c03822)

Huang, Y., Zhou, Z., Hu, Y., He, N., Li, J., Han, X., Zhao, G., and Liu, H. (2021). Modified mannan for 3D bioprinting: a potential novel bioink for tissue engineering. Biomed. Mater.

16, 055015. [https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-605x/](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-605x/ac1ab4) [ac1ab4](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-605x/ac1ab4).

Liu, M., Zeng, X., Ma, C., Yi, H., Ali, Z., Mou, X., Li, S., Deng, Y., and He, N. (2017). Injectable hydrogels for cartilage and bone tissue engineering. Bone Res. 5, 17014. [https://doi.org/10.1038/boneres.](https://doi.org/10.1038/boneres.2017.14) [2017.14](https://doi.org/10.1038/boneres.2017.14).

Klotz, B.J., Gawlitta, D., Rosenberg, A.J.W.P., Malda, J., and Melchels, F.P.W. (2016). Gelatinmethacryloyl hydrogels: towards biofabricationbased tissue repair. Trends Biotechnol. 34,

394–407. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2016.01.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2016.01.002) [002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2016.01.002).

Liu, Y., and Huang, G. (2018). The derivatization and antioxidant activities of yeast mannan. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 107, 755–761. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.09.055) [ijbiomac.2017.09.055](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.09.055).

Singh, S., Singh, G., and Arya, S.K. (2018). Mannans: an overview of properties and application in food products. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 119, 79–95. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.07.130) [ijbiomac.2018.07.130](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.07.130).