## **Supplemental Online Content**

Nickel B, Moynihan R, Gram EG, et al. Social media posts about medical tests with potential for overdiagnosis. *JAMA Netw Open*. Published online February 26, 2025. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.61940

- **eMethods 1.** List of Keywords Used to Search Tests
- eMethods 2. Coding Framework
- eTable 1. Kappas and Agreement Across All Tests
- eTable 2. Exemplar Quotes
- eTable 3. Characteristics and Attributes of Posts by Number of Followers
- eTable 4. Characteristics and Attributes of Posts by Platform
- eTable 5. Characteristics and Attributes of Posts by Video Duration (Tiktok Posts Only)

This supplemental material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eMethods 1. List of keywords used to search tests\*

|           | MRI                | MCED                              | AMH                    | Gut                | Testosterone             |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Keyword 1 | Full-body<br>MRI   | Multi-cancer early detection test | AMH test               | Microbiome<br>test | Low<br>testosterone test |
| Keyword 2 | Whole-<br>body MRI | Grail cancer test                 | AMH testing for female | Gut health<br>test | Testosternone testing    |

<sup>\*</sup>Platforms most common keywords based on piloting. Once saturation in terms of eligible posts were reached (i.e., there were no eligible posts immediately coming up) for keyword 1, keyword 2 were searched. On Instagram the second keyword was not needed for AMH and MCEDT.

## eMethods 2. Coding Framework

| Item       | Description  | Format   | Additional coder notes (if applicable)   |
|------------|--|--|--|
| Unique ID  | Unique ID assigned to post   | Pre-populated numeric ID                                       |  |
| Platform   | Insta or TikTok (TT)   | Pre-populated  |  |
| Post URL   | URL to the post  | Pre-populated  |  |
| Date       | Date of Post   | DD/MM/YYYY   | Instagram has the month written in text. TikTok has the opposite date format. If no clear date for post – leave blank.   |
| Name       | Name of account  | Free space for name  | If two names appear for the account holder, try and discern which is the main one (usually the first or bolded one).   |
| Followers  | Number of followers  | Free space for number  |  |
| Eligible   | Is the post eligible? 'No' will be rare as posts have already been screened as eligible. | Drop Down with 2 options<br>Yes/No                             |  |
| Likes      | Number of Likes for post (If not available =0)   | Free space for number  |  |
| Comments   | Number of Comments on post (If not available=0)  | Free space for number  |  |
| Test       | Name of test   | Drop Down with 5 options:<br>MRI/MCED/AMH/Gut/<br>Testosterone |  |
| Text       | Post includes text?  | Drop Down 2options:<br>Yes/No                                  |  |
| Video      | Post includes a video?   | Drop down with 2 options:<br>Yes/No                            | Important to watch videos through to the end for important information.  |
| Length     | Length of video (if applicable). If video length is unknown, leave blank                 | Free space for Number in this format: 00:00                    | Leave blank for all Instagram posts as these posts do not specify their length.  |
| Audio      | Post includes Audio?   | Drop Down with 2 options:<br>Yes/No                            |  |
| Individual | Is the account holder an individual?   | Drop Down with 3 options:<br>Yes/No/Unclear                    | Key/relevant information will be in the profile description/bio of the account holder. If it is a company account, code as No. Sometimes the account name sounds like a company, but there is a clear individual name underneath the company name, which can match the individual presenting the post – this would be a Yes. For posts by couples, code as No. |

| Physician                     | Is account holder clearly a Physician? Only code this if coded Yes to the previous question.   | Drop Down with 2 options:<br>Yes or No/Unclear | Only code Yes to this if the individual is clearly a physician. You can usually find this information in the account holder profile description/bio. Sometimes this information will be in the post itself. The attire of the individual is irrelevant. A still image of a physician is irrelevant and does not mean the account holder is a physician. Simply describing themselves as a "Dr" or "Doctor" is similarly not sufficient, given they could be a PhD, or a doctor of homeopathy or nutrition who would therefore be coded as No. |
|-------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Benefits                      | Potential benefits of the test implied or mentioned?   | Drop Down with 3 options:<br>Yes/No/Unclear    | Any potential benefit of the test.  |
| Harms                         | Potential harms or risks of<br>the test implied or<br>mentioned? If harms are<br>mentioned but dismissed,<br>code Yes.                                 | Drop Down with 3 Options:<br>Yes/No/Unclear    | Any potential harm of the test. A caveat about whom the test may be applicable for, costs of the test, or the limits of what the test can do are not potential harms.   |
| Harms<br>Minimised            | Are harms dismissed or played down? Only code this if you code Yes to Harms  | Drop Down with 3 options:<br>Yes/No/Unclear    | Harms discussed but then dismissed or downplayed in some way to overemphasise the benefits.   |
| Overdiagnosis                 | Is risk of overdiagnosis or<br>overuse clearly implied or<br>mentioned? Only code<br>this if you code Yes to<br>Harms                                  | Drop Down with 3 options:<br>Yes/No/Unclear    | The words overdiagnosis or overuse do not have to be explicitly used.   |
| Evidence                      | Is any scientific evidence clearly referenced to support claims about the test?  | Drop Down with 3 options:<br>Yes/No/Unclear    | Clear or explicit reference to a piece of evidence, including an identifiable study, code as Yes. For example, showing an screenshot of the paper, mentioning the first author or conference abstract. Only stating "studies show" or "based on evidence" is not sufficient to be coded as Yes. Similarly, only mentioning the start of a trial, or a trial underway, without referring to trial results, does not constitute a Yes.  |
| Anecdote                      | Is personal experience of<br>the influencer themselves,<br>or a personal anecdote,<br>used, implied or<br>mentioned in some way?                       | Drop Down with 3 options:<br>Yes/No/Unclear    | Personal experience of experience/anecdote concerning others, code as Yes.  |
| Encouragement to get the test | Is there a direct<br>encouragement (e.g.,<br>URL link provided) to<br>book or buy a test? If any<br>link to test sale, or<br>discount code, in text or | Drop Down with 3 options:<br>Yes/No/Unclear    | Is there a specific link provided for viewer to take action and book or buy the test? If there is mention of "link in bio" - please click the link - and see if there is clearly any option to take action (e.g., book a test, book a consultation, buy a test, etc.). If there is,   |

primary comment or verbal or image.

code as Yes. There is no need to continue clicking on links - just the initial one. Simply having a hashtag is not a link, unless the viewer is being encouraged to use that hashtag to get tested. A link to get tested can include recommendations such as "DM me...." or highlighting a link on a mobile phone screen inside a video.

## Financial interests

Is there any clear disclosure of any remuneration to influencer/account holder?

Drop Down with 2 Options: Yes or No/Unclear

Is there any clear financial interests that pertains to any financial or other gain the influencer or account holder may accrue by promotion of the test. If no clear disclosure, code as No. If the post is clearly an advertisement or there is mention of ad in the caption, audio/video or hashtag, code as Yes. If it is clear a free test was received by the account holder, code as Yes. If the account holder is promoting tests which are being sold, code as Yes. If the account is a company which is clearly selling the test, code as Yes. If the post mentions a discount code or paid partnership, collaboration or sponsorship in the caption, audio/video or in the list of hashtags, code as Yes. If it appears an individual or company could be selling the test (or offering consultations involving the test) and/or treatment/supplementation (e.g., testosterone), code as Yes.

## **Overall Tone**

What is the overall tone of the post?

Drop Down with 3 options: Promotional / Negative / Neutral or Unclear If there is a perceived intention to directly or indirectly promote the test, or if overall tone is positive, code as Promotional. If recommending against test, code as Negative. If the post covers benefits as well as harms and discussion around harms appears to outweigh benefits, code as Negative. If there is information on both the benefits and harms or the limitations of the test, code as Neutral.

eTable 1. Kappas and agreement across all tests\*

| Attributes                  | Agreement Rate | Kappa |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------|
| MRI                         |                |       |
| Benefits                    | 93.2%          | 0.69  |
| Harms                       | 97.7%          | 0.88  |
| Overall tone                | 93.2%          | 0.78  |
| Physician                   | 86.4%          | 0.66  |
| Evidence                    | 97.7%          | 0.66  |
| Anecdote                    | 90.9%          | 0.74  |
| Encouragment to get tested  | 88.6%          | 0.77  |
| Financial interest          | 97.7%          | 0.95  |
| MCED                        |                |       |
| Benefits                    | 92.5%          | 0.00  |
| Harms                       | 87.5%          | 0.66  |
| Overall tone                | 90.0%          | 0.28  |
| Physician                   | 90.0%          | 0.84  |
| Evidence                    | 92.5%          | 0.78  |
| Anecdote                    | 87.5%          | 0.68  |
| Encouragement to get tested | 92.5%          | 0.82  |
| Financial interest          | 82.5%          | 0.64  |
| AMH                         |                |       |
| Benefits                    | 85.4%          | 0.63  |
| Harms                       | 92.7%          | 0.54  |
| Overall tone                | 85.4%          | 0.99  |
| Physician                   | 97.6%          | 0.96  |
| Evidence                    | 100%           | 1     |
| Anecdote                    | 92.7%          | 0.78  |
| Encouragement to get tested | 82.9%          | 0.66  |
| Financial interest          | 87.8%          | 0.63  |
| Gut                         |                |       |
| Benefits                    | 100%           | 1     |

| Harms   | 100%  | 1    |
|---|-------|------|
| Overall tone                                      | 100%  | 1    |
| Physician   | 95.0% | 0.89 |
| Evidence  | 100%  | 1    |
| Anecdote  | 77.5% | 0.56 |
| Anecdote (2 <sup>nd</sup> 40)                     | 94.5% | 0.89 |
| Encouragement to get tested                       | 65.0% | 0.08 |
| Encouragemenet to get tested (2 <sup>nd</sup> 40) | 89.9% | 0.71 |
| Financial interest                                | 97.5% | 0.00 |
| Testosterone                                      |       |      |
| Benefits  | 87.2% | 0.23 |
| Harms   | 92.3% | 0.36 |
| Overall tone                                      | 79.5% | 0.00 |
| Overall tone (2 <sup>nd</sup> 40)                 | 94.9% | 0.72 |
| Physician   | 82.1% | 0.71 |
| Evidence  | 94.9% | 0.47 |
| Anecdote  | 97.4% | 0.95 |
| Encouragement to get tested                       | 74.4% | 0.48 |
| Encouragement to get tested (2 <sup>nd</sup> 40)  | 89.7% | 0.69 |
| Financial interest                                | 87.2% | 0.73 |

<sup>\*20%</sup> of posts for each test (n=40) double coded. Due to the kappa paradox, 1-3 acceptable agreement if k was ≤0.6 but crude agreement was ≥85%, otherwise data was further independently double coded, using 20% blocks, (n=40) until acceptable level of agreement was met.

<sup>1.</sup> Feinstein AR, Cicchetti DV. High agreement but low kappa: I. The problems of two paradoxes. J Clin Epi. 1990;43(6):543-9.

<sup>2.</sup> Cicchetti DV, Feinstein AR. High agreement but low kappa: II. Resolving the paradoxes. J Clin Epi. 1990;43(6):551-8.

<sup>3.</sup> Zec S, Soriani N, Comoretto R, Baldi I. High Agreement and High Prevalence: The Paradox of Cohen's Kappa. Open Nurs J. 2017;11:211-218.

eTable 2. Exemplar quotes

| Attributes                  | Test         | Exemplar quotes  | Platform, year, followers                   |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--|---|
| Benefits                    | MCED         | "Scientists have discovered the holy grail of cancer detection."   | TikTok, 2023,<br>14 100                     |
| Harms                       | MRI          | "When getting routine MRI's, it's very<br>common to see something abnormal,<br>but are most often benign."   | Instagram,<br>physician, 2023,<br>1 million |
| Overdiagnosis               | MCED         | "We will likely find a lot of cancers earlier, but we still don't probably know what to do with them."   | TikTok, 2023,<br>66 000                     |
| Promotional<br>Tone         | Gut          | "You DESERVE to be healthy. It is your BIRTHRIGHT. These tests will let us know with CERTAINTY what is causing your specific health concerns."   | Instagram, 2023,<br>68 500                  |
| Evidence                    | AMH          | "According to the research, your AMH levels are actually NOT a good measure of 'ovarian reserve' NOR do they seem to impact chances of conception at all! In a recent large study of 750 women, no significant difference in fertility outcomes were seen between high and low AMH results PMID: 2656811."   | Instagram, 2022,<br>2000                    |
| Anecdotes                   | Testosterone | "I took a blood test in my early 20s and found out I had the testosterone of a 70 year old man."   | TikTok, 2023,<br>237 000                    |
| Encouragement to get tested | Testosterone | "If you're battling the motivation blues, consider checking those testosterone levels reclaiming the mental mojo you need to conquer your ambitions."  | Instagram, 2023,<br>physician,<br>250 000   |
| Financial interest          | MRI          | "The more we can create a demand for this kind of carethe faster prices will drop That is the beauty of democratizing healthcare. I am forever grateful to the Prenuvo team for the life changing experience. If you are interested in learning more, visit their website and if you would like to book a scan yourself you can use the link for \$300 off." | Instagram 2023,<br>4 million                |

eTable 3. Characteristics and attributes of posts by number of followers

|                                | itti ibaitee e. pe |             | C. ICHICHICI |            |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
|                                | <10,000            | 10,000 -    | 100,000 -    | 1,000,000+ |
| Characteristics                | (n=346)            | <100,000    | <1,000,000   | (n=45)     |
|                                |                    | (n=353)     | (n=238)      |            |
| Instagram, n (%)               | 246 (71.1%)        | 144 (40.8%) | 87 (36.6%)   | 20 (44.4%) |
| TikTok, n (%)                  | 100 (28.9%)        | 209 (59.2%) | 151 (63.4%)  | 25 (55.6%) |
|                                |                    | 128 (47 to  | 618 (229 to  | 14,856     |
| Likes, mean (SD)               | 22 (8 to 59)       | 459)        | 2,378)       | (1,562 to  |
| , ,                            | ,                  | •           | •            | 39,374)    |
| Physician, n (%)               | 36 (10.4%)         | 64 (18.1%)  | 47 (19.7%)   | 9 (20.0%)  |
| Attributes                     |                    |             |              |            |
| Benefits, n (%)                | 303 (87.6%)        | 306 (86.7%) | 204 (85.7%)  | 42 (93.3%) |
| Harms, n (%)                   | 44 (12.7%)         | 50 (14.2%)  | 40 (16.8%)   | 20 (44.4%) |
| Harms Minimised, n (%)         | 14 (4.0%)          | 16 (4.5%)   | 12 (5.0%)    | 4 (8.9%)   |
| Overdiagnosis, n (%)           | 8 (2.3%)           | 19 (5.4%)   | 26 (10.9%)   | 7 (15.6%)  |
| Promotional tone, n (%)        | 306 (88.4%)        | 293 (83.0%) | 188 (79.0%)  | 36 (80.0%) |
| Evidence, n (%)                | 20 (5.8%)          | 18 (5.1%)   | 17 (7.1%)    | 8 (17.8%)  |
| Anecdote, n (%)                | 89 (25.7%)         | 117 (33.1%) | 103 (43.3%)  | 24 (53.3%) |
| Encouragement to get tested, n | 190 (54.9%)        | 160 (45.3%) | 128 (53.8%)  | 20 (44.4%) |
| (%)                            |                    |             |              |            |
| Financial interests, n (%)     | 261 (75.4%)        | 235 (66.6%) | 152 (63.9%)  | 20 (44.4%) |

eTable 4. Characteristics and attributes of posts by platform

| Characteristics                    | Instagram<br>(n=497) | Tik Tok<br>(n=485)   |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Likes, mean (SD)                   | 37 (11 to 242)       | 250 (71 to<br>1,260) |
| Physician, n (%)                   | 53 (10.7%)           | 103 (21.2%)          |
| Attributes                         |                      | ·                    |
| Benefits, n (%)                    | 449 (90.3%)          | 406 (83.7%)          |
| Harms, n (%)                       | 60 (12.1%)           | 84 (17.3%)           |
| Harms Minimised, n (%)             | 24 (4.8%)            | 22 (4.5%)            |
| Overdiagnosis, n (%)               | 17 (3.4%)            | 43 (8.9%)            |
| Promotional tone, n (%)            | 449 (90.3%)          | 374 (77.1%)          |
| Evidence, n (%)                    | 31 (6.2%)            | 32 (6.6%)            |
| Anecdote, n (%)                    | 121 (24.3%)          | 212 (43.7%)          |
| Encouragement to get tested, n (%) | 335 (67.4%)          | 163 (33.6%)          |
| Financial Disclosures, n (%)       | 398 (80.1%)          | 270 (55.7%)          |

eTable 5. Characteristics and attributes of posts by video duration (TikTok posts only)

| Post characteristics               | Under 1 minute  | 1 minute +        |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| F OSt Characteristics              | (n=250)         | (n=231)           |
| Likes, mean (SD)                   | 226 (53 to 976) | 298 (96 to 1,529) |
| Physician, n (%)                   | 51 (20.4%)      | 51 (22.1%)        |
| Attributes                         |                 |                   |
| Benefits, n (%)                    | 206 (82.4%)     | 198 (85.7%)       |
| Harms, n (%)                       | 26 (10.4%)      | 57 (24.7%)        |
| Harms Minimised, n (%)             | 7 (2.8%)        | 15 (6.5%)         |
| Overdiagnosis, n (%)               | 11 (4.4%)       | 31 (13.4%)        |
| Promotional tone, n (%)            | 194 (77.6%)     | 178 (77.1%)       |
| Evidence, n (%)                    | 11 (4.4%)       | 20 (8.7%)         |
| Anecdote, n (%)                    | 95 (38.0%)      | 117 (50.6%)       |
| Encouragement to get tested, n (%) | 82 (32.8%)      | 78 (33.8%)        |
| Financial Disclosures, n (%)       | 149 (59.6%)     | 118 (51.1%)       |