

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Journal of Infection



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jinf

## Letter to the Editor

## The effect of zinc on the outcome of patients with COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

### Dear Editor

We read with great interest the meta-analysis by Qian et al. that investigated the clinical efficacy and safety in the treatment of patients with COVID-19.<sup>1</sup> Based on the analysis of seven studies, the authors demonstrated that the overall risk of death and hospitalization among COVID-19 patients was significantly lower in the nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir group than control group (odds ratio, 0.22; 95% CI, 0.11–0.45; I<sup>2</sup> =93%).<sup>1</sup> In addition to nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir, many studies also evaluated whether other cost-effective agents, such as fluvoxamine,<sup>2,3</sup> famotidine<sup>4</sup> or zinc<sup>5</sup> could be repurposed as potential agents for patients with COVID-19.

Recently, one randomized controlled trial (RCT), which investigated the clinical efficacy of zinc supplement for patients with COVID-19.<sup>6</sup> Ben Abdallash et al. found that compared with placebo, treatment with oral zinc was associated with a lower 30-day mortality, ICU admission rate and shorter duration of symptoms and length of hospital stay.<sup>6</sup> Overall, the findings of this RCT suggest the promising role of zinc in the treatment of patients with COVID-19.<sup>6</sup> However, previous RCT by Thomas et al. reported that zinc could not significantly decrease the duration of symptoms and was early terminated for futility.<sup>7</sup> Similar, the RCT by Abd-Elsalam et al. did not find the additional clinical benefit of zinc supplement.<sup>8</sup> To solve this conflict, we conducted this meta-analysis of RCTs to assess the clinical efficacy of zinc for patients with COVID-19.

We identified RCTs, which investigated the clinical efficacy and safety of zinc in the treatment of patients with COVID-19 from PubMed, Cochrane Library, EMBASE, Clinicaltrial.gov and Google Scholar without language restrictions from inception to December 13, 2022. The search strategy used a combination of controlled vocabulary and free-text words. The outcomes of interest included 28-day mortality rate, hospitalization rate, length of hospital stay, the duration of symptom, symptom recover rate, and the risk of adverse events (AEs). Data were synthesized using the randomeffects model. Pooled estimates of the risk difference (RD) and mean difference (MD) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) for dichotomous and continuous data, respectively, were calculated using Review Manager Version 5.4.1. Four RCTs<sup>6–9</sup> were identified (Table 1). Except one was a singlecenter phase 2 study,<sup>9</sup> all the other three were multicenter trials.<sup>6–8</sup> In Abd-Elsalam et al's study, the intervention and the comparator was zinc plus hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) and HCQ only, respectively.<sup>8</sup> In other three RCTs, the intervention and the comparator was zinc and placebo or standard of care, respectively.<sup>6,7,9</sup> The treatment duration ranged from 7 days to 15 days.

Overall, the mortality of the study group receiving zinc was 5.5% (22/400), which was numerically lower than that of the control group (7.3% [30/412]). The difference did not reach statistical significance (RD, -0.01; 95% CI, -0.03 to 0.02, p = 0.55, Fig. 1) and no heterogeneity was detected ( $I^2 = 0\%$ , p = 0.68). This result remained unchanged using leave-one-out sensitivity test, which assessed the influence of individual studies by performing a series of meta-analyses that leave out one of the studies in the original meta-analysis. Similarly, there were no significant differences between zinc and comparator in terms of hospitalization rate (RD, -0.01; 95% CI, -0.06 to 0.03; p = 0.55;  $I^2 = 17\%$ ), length of hospital stay (MD, -2.41 days; 95% CI, -4.99 to 0.70; p = 0.14;  $I^2 = 90\%$ ), symptom recovery (RD, 0.01; 95% CI, -0.08 to 0.09; p = 0.87;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ), duration of symptom (MD, -1.22 days; 95% CI, -5.23 to 2.80; p = 0.55;  $I^2 = 89\%$ ) and risk of AE (RD, 0.07; 95% CI, -0.14– 0.29; p = 0.52;  $I^2 = 93\%$ ).

Based on our findings, although zinc supplement was safe in the treatment of patients with COVID-19, it did not help improve the clinical outcomes. These findings were supported by the following evidence. There was no significant difference in terms of mortality, the risk of hospitalization, length of study, clinical recovery and the duration of symptoms between the study group receiving zinc supplement and the control group. Therefore, it did not support the routine use of zinc supplement for COVID-19 patients.

However, our findings should be interpreted cautiously due to the following limitations. First, the number of RCTs was limited, and most analyses of outcomes were based on small patient numbers. Second, some findings of the present meta-analyses regarding secondary outcome were associated with high heterogeneity.

In conclusion, zinc supplement did not provide additional benefit for patients with COVID-19. However, further large scale RCT is warranted to clarify the usefulness of zinc for COVID-19.



Fig. 1. Forest plot of 28-day mortality between zinc and comparator.

#### https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2023.01.023

0163-4453/© 2023 The British Infection Association. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Characteristics of included studies.

	Study design	Study site	Study period	Patients	Intervention	Comparator
Abd-Elsalam et al., 2020 <sup>8</sup>	Randomized controlled trial	Multicenter in Egypt	From June 23, 2020 to August 23, 2020	Patient with COVID-19	50 mg of elemental zinc twice daily and hydroxychloro- quine for 15 days	Hydroxychloroquine
Abdallah et al., 2022 <sup>6</sup>	randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial	Multicenter in Tunisia	from February 15, 2022 to May 4, 2022	Adult patients with COVID-19	25 mg of elemental zinc twice daily for 15 days	Placebo
Patel et al., 2021 <sup>9</sup>	Phase 2a double-blind, randomized controlled trial	Single center in Australia	From September 3, 2021 to November 9, 2021	Hospitalized adults with COVID-19	0.24 mg/kg/day of elemental zinc for a maximum of 7 days	Placebo
Thomas et al., 2021 <sup>7</sup>	randomized clinical open-label trial	Multicenter in US	from April 27, 2020, to October 14, 2020	Adult patients with COVID-19	50 mg of zinc at Bedtime for 10 days	Standard of care

#### References

- 1. Zheng Q, Ma P, Wang M, Cheng Y, Zhou M, Ye L, et al. Efficacy and safety of Paxlovid for COVID-19:a meta-analysis. J Infect 2023;86(1):66-117.
- 2. Marcec R, Dodig VM, Likic R. A meta-analysis regarding fluvoxamine and hospitalization risk of COVID-19 patients: together making a difference. J Infect 2023;86(2):154–225.
- **3.** Cheema HA, Jafar U, Elrashedy AA, Shahid A, Awan RU, Ehsan M, et al. Efficacy and safety of fluvoxamine for the treatment of COVID-19 patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect* 2022;**85**(6):702–69.
- Cheema HA, Shafiee A, Athar MMT, Shahid A, Awan RU, Afifi AM, et al. No evidence of clinical efficacy of famotidine for the treatment of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Infect 2023;86(2):154–225.
- Arora U, Priyadarshi M, Katiyar V, Soneja M, Garg P, Gupta I, et al. Risk factors for Coronavirus disease-associated mucormycosis. J Infect 2022;84(3):383–90.
- **6.** Abdallah SB, Mhalla Y, Trabelsi I, Sekma A, Youssef R, Ali KBH, et al. Twice-daily oral zinc in the treatment of patients with Coronavirus disease 2019: a randomized double-blind controlled trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2023;**76**(2) 185-191.
- 7. Thomas S, Patel D, Bittel B, Wolski K, Wang Q, Kumar A, et al. Effect of high-dose zinc and ascorbic acid supplementation vs usual care on symptom length and Reduction among ambulatory patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection: the COVID A to Z randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open* 2021;4(2):e210369.
- Abd-Elsalam S, Soliman S, Esmail ES, Khalaf M, Mostafa EF, Medhat MA, et al. Do zinc supplements enhance the clinical efficacy of hydroxychloroquine?: a randomized, multicenter trial. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 2021;199(10):3642–6.
- Patel O, Chinni V, El-Khoury J, Perera M, Neto AS, McDonald C, et al. A pilot double-blind safety and feasibility randomized controlled trial of high-dose intravenous zinc in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. J Med Virol 2021;93(5):3261–7.

Jheng-Yan Wu Department of Nutrition, Chi Mei Medical Center, Tainan City, Taiwan

Ting-Hui Liu

Department of General Medicine, Chi Mei Medical Center, Tainan City, Taiwan

Po-Yu Huang Department of Internal Medicine, Chi Mei Medical Center, Tainan City, Taiwan

Ya-Wen Tsai

Center for Integrative Medicine, Chi Mei Medical Center, Tainan City, Taiwan

Chih-Cheng Lai\*

Division of Hospital Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Chi Mei Medical Center, Tainan City, Taiwan School of Medicine, College of Medicine, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

\*Corresponding author at: Division of Hospital Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Chi Mei Medical Center, Tainan City, Taiwan. *E-mail address:* dtmed141@gmail.com (C.-C. Lai)