

Letter to the Editor



Somatic Mutations in Breast Cancer: The Tip of the Iceberg

Jung Ho Park ¹, Lee Su Kim ²

¹Division of Breast and Endocrine Surgery, Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital, Anyang, Korea

²Department of Surgery, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital, Gwangmyeong, Korea

OPEN ACCESS

► See the article “Somatic Mutations of *TP53* and Prognostic Factors for Primary Operable Breast Cancer: Correspondence” in volume 25 on page 522.

Received: Nov 28, 2022

Revised: Dec 13, 2022

Accepted: Dec 13, 2022

Published online: Dec 19, 2022

Correspondence to

Lee Su Kim

Department of Surgery, Chung-Ang University
Gwangmyeong Hospital, 110 Deokan-ro,
Gwangmyeong 14353, Korea.
Email: lskim0503@caumc.or.kr

© 2022 Korean Breast Cancer Society

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Jung Ho Park

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7545-024X>

Lee Su Kim

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9965-0032>

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions

Writing - original draft: Park JH; Writing - review & editing: Kim LS.

We appreciate Dr. Pathum Sookaromdee and Dr. Vriroj Wiwanitkit for their comments on our recent article [1]. Their commentary [2] proposed compensating for the potential confounding effects of genetic alterations other than *TP53*. Potential prognostic factors mentioned include *HER2* copy number in cell-free DNA, genetic polymorphism of the *ESR1* gene, and expression level of a long non-coding RNA. We reviewed the articles in the references, and we would like to clarify the position of our study.

Cancer is a complex disease involving genetic and epigenetic mechanisms [3]. A multi-omic approach is necessary to comprehend the complex biology of breast cancer. The original work by The Cancer Genome Atlas integrated data on DNA methylation, whole-exome sequencing, RNA sequencing, and proteomics for the tumors [4]. However, multi-omic analysis is resource-intensive and is not practical. In clinical practice, scoring systems using selected gene-expression markers are commonly used. The most commonly used genomic assays for breast cancer are solely based on mRNA expression levels [5,6].

Targeted next-generation sequencing (NGS) is widely used because it is readily available in the clinical setting [7]. It can be performed using tumor samples preserved in paraffin-embedded tissue blocks. Only a small amount of stored sample is required for testing, which directly identifies multiple targetable genetic alterations and allows patients to participate in the clinical trials [8]. Our study is meaningful because it shows that targeted NGS can identify a prognostic marker for the patients with breast cancer in a curative setting.

Targeted NGS is not a perfect method because it can only identify genetic alterations in the genes included in the panel. There is no standard gene panel for targeted NGS, and it varies by institutions. Targeted NGS can only detect genetic alterations in selected areas of the tumor tissue. Intra-tumoral heterogeneity may limit the reproducibility of targeted NGS results for a single tumor [9]. However, this rapidly evolving sequencing technology is expected to overcome the limitations of bulk sequencing [10].

Nevertheless, we are particularly interested in detecting *HER2* amplification through targeted NGS. Immunohistochemistry and *in situ* hybridization are used together as the standard method to identify *HER2* amplification [11]. The sensitivity of targeted NGS in our study was

only 47.4% (n = 28/59) for identifying HER2-positive breast cancers classified by the standard method; 32.4% (n = 12/37) for the luminal B/HER2-positive subtype; and 72.7% (n = 16/22) for HER2-enriched subtypes. Intra-tumoral heterogeneity and stromal cell contamination may partially explain the low sensitivity of targeted NGS for identifying *HER2* amplifications [10,12].

REFERENCES

1. Park JH, Kwon MJ, Seo J, Kim HY, Min SK, Kim LS. Somatic mutations of *TP53* identified by targeted next-generation sequencing are poor prognostic factors for primary operable breast cancer: a single-center study. *J Breast Cancer* 2022;25:379-86.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
2. Sookaromdee P, Wiwanitkit V. Somatic mutations of *TP53* and prognostic factors for primary operable breast cancer: correspondence. *J Breast Cancer* 2022;25:522.
[CROSSREF](#)
3. Karami Fath M, Azargoonjahromi A, Kiani A, Jalalifar F, Osati P, Akbari Oryani M, et al. The role of epigenetic modifications in drug resistance and treatment of breast cancer. *Cell Mol Biol Lett* 2022;27:52.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
4. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nature* 2012;490:61-70.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
5. Paik S, Shak S, Tang G, Kim C, Baker J, Cronin M, et al. A multigene assay to predict recurrence of tamoxifen-treated, node-negative breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:2817-26.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
6. Glas AM, Floore A, Delahaye LJ, Witteveen AT, Pover RC, Bakx N, et al. Converting a breast cancer microarray signature into a high-throughput diagnostic test. *BMC Genomics* 2006;7:278.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
7. Tsuchida J, Rothman J, McDonald KA, Nagahashi M, Takabe K, Wakai T. Clinical target sequencing for precision medicine of breast cancer. *Int J Clin Oncol* 2019;24:131-40.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
8. Murciano-Goroff YR, Drilon A, Stadler ZK. The NCI-MATCH: a national, collaborative precision oncology trial for diverse tumor histologies. *Cancer Cell* 2021;39:22-4.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
9. Yates LR, Gerstung M, Knappskog S, Desmedt C, Gundem G, Van Loo P, et al. Subclonal diversification of primary breast cancer revealed by multiregion sequencing. *Nat Med* 2015;21:751-9.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
10. Pfisterer U, Bräunig J, Brattås P, Heidenblad M, Karlsson G, Fioretos T. Single-cell sequencing in translational cancer research and challenges to meet clinical diagnostic needs. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2021;60:504-24.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
11. Wolff AC, Hammond ME, Allison KH, Harvey BE, Mangu PB, Bartlett JM, et al. Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists clinical practice guideline focused update. *J Clin Oncol* 2018;36:2105-22.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
12. Seol H, Lee HJ, Choi Y, Lee HE, Kim YJ, Kim JH, et al. Intratumoral heterogeneity of *HER2* gene amplification in breast cancer: its clinicopathological significance. *Mod Pathol* 2012;25:938-48.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)