



Electrochemistry

Electrochemical C–H Amidation of Heteroarenes with *N*-Alkyl Sulfonamides in Aqueous MediumYan Zhang,^{*,[a, b]} Zhipeng Lin,^[a] and Lutz Ackermann^{*,[a]}


Abstract: The construction of C–N bonds by free radical reactions represents a powerful synthetic approach for direct C–H amidations of arenes or heteroarenes. Developing efficient and more environmentally friendly synthetic methods for C–H amidation reactions remains highly desirable. Herein, metal-free electrochemical oxidative dehydrogenative C–H amidations of heteroarenes with *N*-alkylsulfonamides have been accomplished. The catalyst- and chemical-oxidant-free C–H amidation features an ample scope and employs electricity as the green and sole oxidant. A variety of heteroarenes, including indoles, pyrroles, benzofuran and benzothiophene, thereby underwent this C(sp²)–H nitrogenation. Cyclic voltammetry studies and control experiments provided evidence for nitrogen-centered radicals being directly generated under metal-free electrocatalysis.

a) Yu, Daugulis, Lei, Song, Ackermann *et al.*

b) Yu: visible-light-induced C–H Amidation



c) This work:



Scheme 1. Strategies for direct C–H amidation of heteroarenes.

The construction of C–N bonds is one of the most vibrant areas in organic synthesis. Among several approaches, the development of methods for direct C–H amidations of arenes or heteroarenes is critically important, due to the prevalence of aromatic amines in both pharmaceuticals and materials.^[1,2] Although copper-catalyzed Ullman couplings^[3] and palladium-catalyzed Buchwald–Hartwig amidations^[4] with aryl halides have proven to be powerful, direct amidations of aromatic C–H bonds without pre-functionalization have been pursued as more step-economical routes. Thus, significant contributions have been reported on transition-metal-catalyzed C–H amidations of arenes (Scheme 1a).^[5] However, the need for nonremovable directing groups, high reaction temperatures and

waste-generating stoichiometric oxidants continue to be their insurmountable limitation.^[5g,6–8]

In contrast, the construction of C–N bonds by free radical reactions represents a powerful class of chemical transformations and has attracted considerable attention.^[9] In particular, nitrogen-centered radicals have received increasing attention.^[10] The well-established synthetic approaches to form *N*-radicals include the proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) or oxidative deprotonation electron transfer (ODET) developed by Knowles^[11] and Xiao^[12] in the presence of iridium or ruthenium photocatalysts. Inspired by these work, Yu recently developed a visible-light-promoted direct oxidative C–H amidation of heteroarenes with nonactivated sulfonamides (Scheme 1b).^[13] At the beginning of this reaction, stoichiometric NaClO is needed to oxidatively quench the iridium^{III} catalyst to generate iridium^{IV}. Thus, the development of efficient and more environmentally friendly synthetic methods is still highly desirable and valuable,^[9d] particularly by means of electrocatalysis. In connection with our continued interest in electrochemical syntheses^[14,15] and C–N bond formation using electricity as the sole oxidant,^[16] we questioned whether the efficiency and mildness noted in the *N*-radical formation could be translated into an electro-oxidative heteroarene amidation strategy. We have now devised an unprecedented C–H amidation of heteroarenes that can be performed with commercially available, inex-

[a] Prof. Dr. Y. Zhang, Z. Lin, Prof. Dr. L. Ackermann
Institut für Organische und Biomolekulare Chemie
Georg-August-Universität Göttingen
Tammannstrasse 2, 37077 Göttingen (Germany)
E-mail: Lutz.Ackermann@chemie.uni-goettingen.de

[b] Prof. Dr. Y. Zhang
Key Laboratory of the Ministry of Education for Advanced
Catalysis Materials, Zhejiang Normal University
Yingbin Road 688, 321004 Jinhua (P. R. China)
E-mail: zhangyan001@zjnu.edu.cn

Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under:
<https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202004229>.


© 2020 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

pensive reagents under green and operationally-simple electrochemical conditions (Scheme 1c). Compared to previously disclosed methods, salient features of our strategy comprise (a) the absence of external chemical oxidants, (b) (photo)redox and metal catalyst-free condition, (c) the use of a user-friendly undivided cell setup without additional electrolyte, (d) high regioselectivity, and (e) full water tolerance.

We initiated our studies by probing various reaction conditions for the envisioned metal-free electrochemical C–H amidation reaction of indole **1a** in a most user-friendly undivided cell set-up with a platinum plate cathode and graphite felt (GF) anode (Table 1 and Table S2 in the Supporting Information). After considerable preliminary experimentation, we observed that the desired amidation with amide **2a** was best accomplished with a mixed solvent consisting of 1,4-dioxane/H₂O (1:1) and K₃PO₄ as both the key base and electrolyte (entries 1–12). The efficacy of the electro-oxidation was reflected by efficient C–H amidations occurring at a temperature of 80 °C (entries 13 and 14). Attempts to reduce the amount of K₃PO₄ or coupling partner **2a** loading led to a decreased yields (entry 15). A significant decline of yield was observed when a Pt anode or Fe cathode was used. It is noteworthy that when Ni-foam was used as the cathode, the amidation product **3aa** was isolated in 64% yield (entry 16). Control experiments confirmed the essential role of electricity for the electrooxidative amidation (entries 17).


With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we explored the scope of the electrochemical C–H amidation with a variety of heteroarenes **1** (Scheme 2). Several substituents on the

Table 1. Electrochemical C–H amidation of heteroarenes with sulfonamides.^[a]



Entry	Solvent	Base	T [°C]	Yield [%]
1	MeCN/H ₂ O (3:1)	Na ₂ CO ₃	50	15
2	<i>t</i> -AmylOH/H ₂ O (3:1)	Na ₂ CO ₃	50	n.r.
3	<i>i</i> -PrOH/H ₂ O (1:1)	Na ₂ CO ₃	50	n.r.
4	DMSO/H ₂ O (2:1)	Na ₂ CO ₃	50	13
5	1,4-dioxane/H ₂ O (1:1)	Na ₂ CO ₃	50	27
6	1,4-dioxane/H ₂ O (1:1)	–	50	n.r.
7	1,4-dioxane/H ₂ O (1:1)	K ₂ CO ₃	50	24
8	1,4-dioxane/H ₂ O (1:1)	NaOH	50	37
9	1,4-dioxane/H ₂ O (1:1)	NaOPiv	50	20
10	1,4-dioxane/H ₂ O (1:1)	NaOAc	50	32
11	1,4-dioxane/H ₂ O (1:1)	K ₃ PO ₄	50	55
12	1,4-dioxane/H ₂ O (2:1)	K ₃ PO ₄	50	50
13	1,4-dioxane/H ₂ O (1:1)	K ₃ PO ₄	30	21
14	1,4-dioxane/H₂O (1:1)	K₃PO₄	80	68
15 ^[b]	1,4-dioxane/H ₂ O (1:1)	K ₃ PO ₄	80	54
16 ^[c]	1,4-dioxane/H ₂ O (1:1)	K ₃ PO ₄	80	64
17 ^[d]	1,4-dioxane/H ₂ O (1:1)	K ₃ PO ₄	80	n.r.

[a] Undivided cell, GF anode, Pt cathode, constant current = 4 mA, **1** (0.5 mmol), **2a** (1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), base (0.25 M, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), solvent (4.0 mL), under air, 20 h. Yield of isolated products. [b] With K₃PO₄ 1.0 equiv. [c] GF(+) | Ni(–) instead of GF(+) | Pt(–). [d] No electricity.



Scheme 2. Electrochemical C–H amidation of different heteroarenes **1**.


indole N were explored. Methyl (Me), benzyl (Bn), allyl and *para*-methylphenyl (Tol) indoles performed well to give the desired products **3aa–3da** in moderate to good yields. However, we found that 1*H*-indole and *N*-Ac indole could not be amidated in this transformation. We were pleased to find that C3-substituted indoles underwent this transformation efficiently despite a possible existing steric hindrance. 3-methyl and 3-methoxymethyl (MOM) indole derivatives furnished the 2-aminated products **3ea** and **3fa**. The more sensitive hydroxymethyl group was likewise tolerated, albeit with lower yield. We then examined various substituents at the C4–C7 positions and 2-aminated indole derivatives **3ha–3va** were obtained in reason-

able yields (50–78%). The structure of the product **3 pa** was unambiguously confirmed by single-crystal X-ray analysis.^[17] Additionally, other heteroarenes, such as pyrrole, benzofuran and thiophene derivatives, were also accessible to site-selectively give the corresponding products **3 wa–3 za**.


The scope of the amidation reaction was further examined with various substituted sulfonamides **2** (Scheme 3). Generally, the alkyl part of the sulfonamide **2** did not significantly alter the reaction efficiency and the amidated products **3** were smoothly obtained in low to moderate yields (**3 ab–3 ao**). As depicted in Schemes 2 and 3, amidation approach was compatible with several sensitive functional groups, such as chloro, bromo, nitrile, hydroxyl, formyl, ester and amino. It is noteworthy that naphthalene **1 a''** underwent the electro-oxidative C–H amidation with *N*-methyl-*para*-toluenesulfonamide **2 a**, giving a minor amount of product **3 a'a**.

The scalability of the electrochemical C–H amidation was probed next and a 10 mmol scale reaction of **1 a** and **2 a** yielded 1.8 g of product **3 aa** (Scheme 4a). Derivation of this product was performed to access the privileged indole derivative **4** with a diverse range of pharmacological activities^[18] (Scheme 4b).

To investigate the interaction between base and sulfonamide **2**, thus understanding the pathway for the generation of



Scheme 3. Electrochemical C–H amidation with *N*-alkyl sulfonamides **2**.



Scheme 4. a) Gram-scale reaction and b) derivatization of compound **3 aa**.

the *N*-centered amide radical, cyclic voltammetry studies were performed (Figure 1 and Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). We found that the oxidation potential of sulfonamide alone is relatively high with $E_{1/2} = +2.5$ V vs. Ag/AgCl in acetonitrile. We then carried out cyclic voltammetry studies of sulfonamide **2 a** in acetonitrile/water (10:1) containing 0.2 M K_3PO_4 , which acts as a base as well as an electrolyte. The mixture of the sulfonamide and K_3PO_4 resulted in a new oxidation wave at around +1.0 V vs. Ag/AgCl. Compared to sulfonamide, the oxidation potential and onset potential of the new peak were shifted to lower potentials. This outcome is consistent with the formation of a *N*-centered amide anion from sulfonamide and K_3PO_4 , and the *N*-anion is electron-rich and much more easily oxidized to a *N*-centered amide radical.

Considering that this conversion process includes a radical addition step, a number of control experiments was thereafter conducted. *N*-centered radical **A** could be trapped by 2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT). When a mixture of sulfonamide **2 a** and BHT was subjected to the standard electrochemical conditions, and the trapping product **5** was isolated through an intramolecular radical transfer,^[19] independent of the presence of indole **1 a** (Scheme 5a). The radical clock reac-






Figure 1. Cyclic voltammetry studies. Conditions: Cyclic voltammograms of sulfonamide **2 a** (5.0 mM) in MeCN containing 0.1 M nBu_4NPF_6 (black), K_3PO_4 (0.2 M) (red), and the mixture of **2 a** (0.2 M) and K_3PO_4 (0.2 M) (blue) in acetonitrile/ H_2O (10:1); cyclic voltammogram of indole **1 e** (5.0 mM) in MeCN containing 0.1 M nBu_4NPF_6 (purple), at ambient temperature. The scan rates were 100 $mV s^{-1}$.



Scheme 5. Summary of key mechanistic findings.

tion with 3-(1-cyclopropylvinyl)-1-methyl-1*H*-indole **1b'** as a radical trapping reagent provided the radical cyclization product **6** in 11% yield, along with the otherwise typical product **3b'a** (Scheme 5b). Furthermore, we synthesized deuterated substrate [D₁]-**1a** (95% D) and a kinetic isotope effect (KIE) experiment was undertaken by parallel independent reactions, giving a KIE value of $k_{\text{H}}/k_{\text{D}} \approx 1.2$ (Scheme 5c). These results suggest a fast and facile C–H cleavage.

Based on our mechanistic studies, a radical mechanism is proposed in Scheme 6 for this electrocatalyzed C(sp²)-H amidation reaction. First, *N*-alkylsulfonamide **2a** undergoes a concerted proton-coupled electron transfer event at the anode to give the key *N*-radical **A**.^[11] The subsequent radical addition to indole **1a** furnishes the new C–N bond and the thermodynamically more stable carbon-centered radical **B**. Then, radical **B** undergoes further SET oxidation to form the cation intermediate **C**. Finally, **C** generates the final amidation products **3aa** through proton elimination/aromatization, generating molecular hydrogen as a byproduct at the cathode.



Scheme 6. Proposed mechanism.

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient approach for electrochemical C–H amidations of unactivated heteroarenes by oxidative cleavage of N–H bonds under metal catalyst- and chemical oxidant-free conditions. A variety of heteroarenes, including indoles, pyrroles, benzofurans and benzothiophenes, proved applicable to the electro-C–H-amidation. The robust catalyst-free C–H amidation was broadly applicable to *N*-alkyl-sulfonamides under green and mild reaction conditions. Control experiments and cyclic voltammetry studies were indicative of the generation of a nitrogen radical via an anodic oxidation.

Acknowledgements

Generous support by the DFG (Gottfried-Wilhelm-Leibniz award to L.A.), National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC- 21702188) and CSC (scholarship to Y.Z. and Z.L.) is gratefully acknowledged. Open access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: amidation · electrochemistry · heterocycles · radicals · sulfonamides

- a) D. G. Brown, J. Boström, *J. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *59*, 4443–4458; b) R. Gianatassio, J. M. Lopchuk, J. Wang, C.-M. Pan, L. R. Malins, L. Prieto, T. A. Brandt, M. R. Collins, G. M. Gallego, N. W. Sach, J. E. Spangler, H. Zhu, J. Zhu, P. S. Baran, *Science* **2016**, *351*, 241–246; c) G. Dequirez, V. Pons, P. Dauban, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 7384–7395; *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 7498–7510; d) J. Yamaguchi, A. D. Yamaguchi, K. Itami, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 8960–9009; *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 9092–9142; e) J. Alvarez-Builla, J. J. Vaquero, J. Barluenga, *Modern Heterocyclic Chemistry*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2011**; f) A. Ricci, *Amino Group Chemistry: From Synthesis to the Life Sciences*, Wiley, Hoboken, **2008**; g) A. Ricci, *Modern Amidation Methods*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2000**.
- a) L.-L. Li, E. W.-G. Diao, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 291–304; b) A. Yella, H.-W. Lee, H. N. Tsao, C. Yi, A. K. Chandiran, M. K. Nazeeruddin, E. W.-G. Diao, C.-Y. Yeh, S. M. Zakeeruddin, M. Grätzel, *Science* **2011**, *334*, 629–634.
- a) J. Lindley, *Tetrahedron* **1984**, *40*, 1433–1456; b) F. Ullmann, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.* **1903**, *36*, 2382–2384.
- a) P. Ruiz-Castillo, S. L. Buchwald, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 12564–12649; b) J. Bariwal, E. Van der Eycken, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 9283–9303; c) J. F. Hartwig, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 1534–1544; d) F. Y. Kwong, A. Klapars, S. L. Buchwald, *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 581–584; e) A. Klapars, J. C. Antilla, X. Huang, S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 7727–7729.
- For reviews on transition-metal-catalyzed C–H amidations, see: a) Y. Park, Y. Kim, S. Chang, *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 9247–9301; b) H. Kim, S. Chang, *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 2341–2351; c) J. Jiao, K. Murakami, K. Itami, *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 610–633; d) Q.-Z. Zheng, N. Jiao, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, *45*, 4590–4627; e) M.-L. Louillat, F. W. Patureau, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 901–910; f) V. S. Thirunavukkarasu, S. I. Kozhushkov, L. Ackermann, *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 29–39; g) S. H. Cho, J. Y. Kim, J. Kwak, S. Chang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 5068–5083; h) F. Collet, C. Lescot, P. Dauban, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 1926–1936.
- For copper-catalyzed amidations, see: a) J. Roane, O. Dangulis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 4601–4607; b) M. Shang, S.-Z. Sun, H.-X. Dai, J.-Q.

- Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 3354–3357; c) X. Wang, Y. Jin, Y. Zhao, L. Zhu, H. Fu, *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 452–455; d) A. E. Wendlandt, A. M. Suess, S. S. Stahl, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 11062–11087; *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 11256–11283.
- [7] For cobalt-catalyzed amidations, see: a) S. S. Bera, M. S. Maji, *Org. Lett.* **2020**, *22*, 2615–2620; b) N. Saueremann, R. Mei, L. Ackermann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 5090–5094; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 5184–5188; c) C. Du, P.-X. Li, X. Zhu, J.-N. Han, J.-L. Niu, M. P. Song, *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 2810–2814; d) L.-B. Zhang, S.-K. Zhang, D. Wei, X. Zhu, X.-Q. Hao, J.-H. Su, J.-L. Niu, M.-P. Song, *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 1318–1321; e) Q. Yan, T. Xiao, Z. Liu, Y. Zhang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2016**, *358*, 2707–2711; f) B. Sun, T. Yoshino, S. Matsunaga, M. Kanai, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2014**, *356*, 1491–1495, and cited references.
- [8] Selected other metal-catalyzed amidations, see: a) Q.-K. Kang, Y. Lin, Y. Li, H. Shi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 3706–3711; b) T. Zhang, X. Hu, Z. Wang, T. Yang, H. Sun, G. Li, H. Lu, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 2920–2924; c) V. S. Thirunavukkarasu, K. Raghuvanshi, L. Ackermann, *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 3286–3289; d) T. W. Lyons, M. S. Sanford, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 1147–1169, and references therein.
- [9] a) D. Kurandina, D. Yadagiri, M. Rivas, A. Kavun, P. Chuentragool, K. Hayama, V. Gevorgyan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 8104–8109; b) A. Ruffoni, F. Juliá, T. D. Svejstrup, A. J. Mcmillan, J. J. Douglas, D. Leonori, *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11*, 426–433; c) H. Jiang, G. Seidler, A. Studer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 16528–16532; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 16680–16684; d) X. Hu, G. Zhang, L. Nie, T. Kong, A. Lei, *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 5467–5476; e) X. Wang, D. Xia, W. Qin, R. Zhou, X. Zhou, Q. Zhou, W. Liu, X. Dai, H. Wang, S. Wang, L. Tan, D. Zhang, H. Song, X.-Y. Liu, Y. Qin, *Chem* **2017**, *2*, 803–816; f) E. Ito, T. Fukushima, T. Kawakami, K. Murakami, K. Itami, *Chem* **2017**, *2*, 383–392; g) X.-Q. Hu, X. Qi, J.-R. Chen, Q.-Q. Zhao, Q. Wei, Y. Lan, W.-J. Xiao, *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 11188–11199; h) H. Kim, T. Kim, D. G. Lee, S. W. Roh, C. Lee, *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 9273–9276; i) B. Giese, J. Dupuis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1983**, *22*, 622–623; *Angew. Chem.* **1983**, *95*, 633–634; j) B. Giese, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1983**, *22*, 753–764; *Angew. Chem.* **1983**, *95*, 771–782.
- [10] For reviews on *N*-centered radicals, see: a) H. Jiang, A. Studer, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2020**, *49*, 1790–1811; b) H. Jiang, A. Studer, *CCS. Chem.* **2019**, *1*, 38–49; c) H. Yi, G. Zhang, H. Wang, Z. Huang, J. Wang, A. K. Singh, A. Lei, *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 9016–9085; d) M. D. Kärkäs, *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 4999–5022; e) T. Xiong, Q. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, *45*, 3069–3087; f) A. Studer, D. P. Curran, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 58–102; *Angew. Chem.* **2016**, *128*, 58–106; g) J.-R. Chen, X.-Q. Hu, L.-Q. Lu, W.-J. Xiao, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, *45*, 2044–2056.
- [11] a) D. C. Miller, G. J. Choi, H. S. Orbe, R. R. Knowles, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 13492–13495; b) G. J. Choi, R. R. Knowles, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 9226–9229.
- [12] X.-Q. Hu, J.-R. Chen, Q. Wei, F.-L. Liu, Q.-H. Deng, A. M. Beauchemin, W.-J. Xiao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 12163–12167; *Angew. Chem.* **2014**, *126*, 12359–12363.
- [13] K. Tong, X. Liu, Y. Zhang, S. Yu, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 15669–15673.
- [14] For reviews on electrochemical C–H functionalization, see: a) T. H. Meyer, I. Choi, C. Tian, L. Ackermann, *Chem.* **2020**, *6*, 2484–2496; b) L. Ackermann, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2020**, *53*, 84–104; c) Q.-L. Yang, P. Fang, T. S. Mei, *Chin. J. Chem.* **2018**, *36*, 338–352; d) M. D. Kärkäs, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2018**, *47*, 5786–5865; e) N. Saueremann, T. H. Meyer, L. Ackermann, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 16209–16217; f) N. Saueremann, T. H. Meyer, Y. Qiu, L. Ackermann, *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 7086–7103.
- [15] For selected examples of electrochemical C–H amidations, see: a) Y. Kawamata, J. C. Vantourout, D. P. Hickey, P. Bai, L. Chen, Q. Hou, W. Qiao, K. Barman, M. A. Edwards, A. F. Garrido-Castro, J. N. deGruyter, H. Nakamura, K. Knouse, C. Qin, K. J. Clay, D. Bao, C. Li, J. T. Starr, C. Garcia-Irizarry, N. Sach, H. S. White, M. Neurock, S. D. Minter, P. S. Baran, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 6392–6402; b) Y. Adeli, K. Huang, Y. Liang, Y. Jiang, J. Liu, S. Song, C.-C. Zeng, N. Jiao, *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 2063–2067; c) J. H. Wang, T. Lei, X. L. Nan, H. L. Wu, X. B. Li, B. Chen, C. H. Tung, L. Z. Wu, *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 5581–5585; d) S. Tang, S. Wang, Y. Liu, H. Cong, A. Lei, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 4737–4741; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 4827–4831; e) Z.-W. Hou, Z.-Y. Mao, Y. Y. Melcamu, X. Lu, H.-C. Xu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 1636–1639; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 1652–1655; f) X. Gao, P. Wang, L. Zeng, S. Tang, A. Lei, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 4195–4199; g) Q.-L. Yang, X.-Y. Wang, J.-Y. Lu, L.-P. Zhang, P. Fang, T.-S. Mei, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 11487–11494; h) F. Xu, Y.-J. Li, C. Huang, H.-C. Xu, *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 3820–3824; i) T. Gieshoff, A. Kehl, D. Schollmeyer, K. D. Moeller, S. R. Waldvogel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 12317–12324; j) P. Xiong, H.-H. Xu, H.-C. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 2956–2959; k) J. Wu, Y. Zhou, Y. Zhou, C.-W. Chiang, A. Lei, *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 8320–8323; l) C. Li, Y. Kawamata, H. Nakamura, J. C. Vantourout, Z. Liu, Q. Hou, D. Bao, J. T. Starr, J. Chen, M. Yan, P. S. Baran, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 13088–13093; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, *129*, 13268–13273; m) T. Morofuji, A. Shimizu, J. Yoshida, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 9816–9819; n) B. R. Rosen, E. W. Werner, A. G. O'Brien, P. S. Baran, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 5571–5574; o) W. J. Gao, W. C. Li, C. C. Zeng, H. Y. Tian, L. M. Hu, R. D. Little, *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 9613–9618. For reviews, see: p) R. Francke, R. D. Little, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 2492–2521; q) A. Jutand, *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 2300–2347.
- [16] Y. Qiu, J. Struwe, T. H. Meyer, J. C. A. Oliveira, L. Ackermann, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 12784–12789.
- [17] Deposition Numbers 2004885 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.
- [18] a) J. Deng, T. Sanchez, N. Neamati, J. M. Briggs, *J. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *49*, 1684–1692; b) K. M. Dalessandri, G. L. Firestone, M. D. Fitch, H. L. Bradlow, L. F. Bjeldanes, *Nutr. Cancer.* **2004**, *50*, 161–167; c) R. Bell, S. Carmeli, N. Sar, *J. Nat. Prod.* **1994**, *57*, 1587–1590.
- [19] a) Y. Gao, G. Lu, P. Zhang, L. Zhang, G. Tang, Y. Zhao, *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 1242–1245; b) H. Egami, T. Ide, Y. Kawato, Y. Hamashima, *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 16675–16678.

Manuscript received: September 17, 2020

Revised manuscript received: October 20, 2020

Accepted manuscript online: October 21, 2020

Version of record online: November 26, 2020