Data in Brief 6 (2016) 279-281



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

Data for constructing insect genome content matrices for phylogenetic analysis and functional annotation



Jeffrey Rosenfeld^{a,d}, Jonathan Foox^{a,b,c}, Rob DeSalle^{a,b,c,*}

^a Sackler Institute for Comparative Genomics, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY, USA

^b Division of Invertebrate Zoology, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY, USA

^c Richard Gilder Graduate School, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY, USA

^d Cancer Institute of New Jersey, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 November 2015 Received in revised form 2 December 2015 Accepted 7 December 2015 Available online 17 December 2015

ABSTRACT

Twenty one fully sequenced and well annotated insect genomes were used to construct genome content matrices for phylogenetic analysis and functional annotation of insect genomes. To examine the role of e-value cutoff in ortholog determination we used scaled e-value cutoffs and a single linkage clustering approach.. The present communication includes (1) a list of the genomes used to construct the genome content phylogenetic matrices, (2) a nexus file with the data matrices used in phylogenetic analysis, (3) a nexus file with the Newick trees generated by phylogenetic analysis, (4) an excel file listing the Core (CORE) genes and Unique (UNI) genes found in five insect groups, and (5) a figure showing a plot of consistency index (CI) versus percent of unannotated genes that are apomorphies in the data set for gene losses and gains and bar plots of gains and losses for four consistency index (CI) cutoffs. © 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Specification Table

Subject area

Evolution, phylogenetics Entomology, Functional Genomics

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2015.10.014

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: jeffrey.rosenfeld@gmail.com (J. Rosenfeld), jfoox@amnh.org (J. Foox), desalle@amnh.org (R. DeSalle).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2015.12.015

2352-3409/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

More specific sub- iect area	
Type of data	Table with html sites for access to insect genomes
	Phylogenetic matrices in Nexus format
	Phylogeentic trees in Newick format
	Lists of FlyBase accessions for functional annotation of genes that are part of CORE genomes and UNIQUE genes
	Graphs of consistency index (CI) versus number unnannotated genes
How data was	Raw data acquired from html download
acquired	Phylogenetic matrices obtained by single linkage clustering approach
	Functional Annotation acquired from websites listed below
	Graphs obtained from phylogenetic analysis
Data format	Nexus files; excel spreadsheets; Newick formatted tree files
Experimental factors	Not applicable
Experimental features	Twenty-one whole insect genomes were filtered using a single linkage clustering approach to generate presence absence matrices for phylogenetic analysis. Lists of gene gains and losses were obtained for specified nodes in the phylogenetic tree using phylogenetic reconstruction approaches. These gene lists were then characterized for functional significance using the websites listed below.
Data source location	See Supplemental Table 1 as described in the Appendix A section of this paper.
Data accessibility	Data within this article

Value of the data

These data should allow any researcher to

- obtain raw genome sequences from 21 insect taxa for phylogenetic analysis,
- reconstruct phylogenies from the presence/absence matrices to compare to other methods of phylogenetic reconstruction,
- compare specific phylogenetic hypotheses generated by the presence absence matrices of insect genomes with other methods, and
- compare the FlyBase annotations we determined were part of the CORE genome and unique (UNI) in terminal groups in our phylogenetic analysis with other gene lists that might be of significance to insect evolution.

1. Data

The data were obtained from html sites listed in Supplemental Table 1, and manipulated to generate a genome content, gene presence/absence matrix for phylogenetic and functional analysis. Several gene presence/absence (genome content) matrices were generated from this process and these are included in this paper in Supplemental Table 2. The trees generated from phylogenetic analysis of these matrices are in Supplemental Table 3.

2. Experimental design and methods

The experimental design followed the methods outlined in Rosenfeld et al. [3] and involved the generation of phylogenetic trees to determine specific genes and gene families that have been gained

and lost in insect evolution. Lists of gene gains and losses for five major insect groups – Insecta, Hemiptera, Holometabola, Diptera and Hymenoptera – were generated and the functional significance of these lists was assessed.

The following is a list of the steps involved in the generation of

- (1) Assembly of 21 insect genomes into a searchable database.
- (2) Ortholog determination of genes from these genomes and construction of phylogenetic matrices consisting of presence/absence data.
- (3) Phylogenetic analysis of the genome content data (presence/absence matrices).
- (4) Character reconstruction of the gains and losses of different genes and gene families for the five insect groups (Insecta, Hemiptera, Holometabola, Diptera and Hymenoptera).
- (5) Functional characterization of the genes that are gained and lost in the five insect groups listed above.

The specific methods used in the five steps listed above utilized Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (PAUP*; [4]) to generate genome content trees. Three methods were used to do the phylogenetic analyses – Maximum Parsimony with unweighted characters, Maximum Parsimony with Dollo weighting and Maximum Likelihood (using the binGAMMA model). Presence and absence were reconstructed on the phylogenetic trees with PAUP* [4] using the "apolist" command.

Gene lists for the five insect groups (Insecta, Hemiptera, Holometabola, Diptera and Hymenoptera) were then analyzed for functional significance using the following web tools:

UNIPROT Retrieve/ID Mapping – (http://www.uniprot.org/uploadlists/). g-profiler [1,2] – (http://biit.cs.ut.ee/gprofiler/). CateGOrizer [5,6] – (http://www.animalgenome.org/bioinfo/tools/catego/).

UNIPROT retrieves functional annotations and GO term lists that can then be analyzed using g-profiler [1,2] for detection of over-representation of GO terms. Lists of over-represented GO terms were then visualized using CateGOrizer [5,6].

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the Sackler Institute for Comparative Genomics at the American Museum of Natural History and the Korein Foundation for support of this research.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.dib.2015.12.015.

References

- J. Reimand, M. Kull, H. Peterson, J. Hansen, J:Vilo: G:Profiler A Web-based Toolset for Functional Profiling of Gene Lists from Large-scale Experiments, 2007, NAR 35, W193–W200.
- [2] J. Reimand, T. Arak, J. Vilo: g:Profiler a web server for functional interpretation of gene lists (2011 update), Nucleic Acids Res. (2011), http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr378.
- [3] J. Rosenfeld, Jonathon Foox, Rob DeSalle, Insect genome content phylogeny and functional annotation of core insect genomes, Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2015.10.014.
- [4] David L. Swofford, {PAUP*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (* and other methods). Version 4.}, 2003.
- [5] Zhi-Liang Hu, Jie Bao, James M. Reecy, A Gene Ontology (GO) Terms Classifications Counter, in: Proceedings of the Plant & Animal Genome XV Conference, San Diego, CA, January 13–17, 2007.
- [6] Zhi-Liang Hu, Jie Bao, James M. Reecy, CateGOrizer: a web-based program to batch analyze gene ontology classification categories, Online J. Bioinform. 9 (2) (2008) 108–112.