RMD Open

Rheumatic & Musculoskeletal Diseases

SHORT REPORT

Intermetatarsal bursitis is frequent in patients with established rheumatoid arthritis and is associated with anticyclic citrullinated peptide and rheumatoid factor

Hilde Berner Hammer ⁽¹⁾,¹ Tore K Kvien,¹ L Terslev²

To cite: Hammer HB, Kvien TK, Terslev L. Intermetatarsal bursitis is frequent in patients with established rheumatoid arthritis and is associated with anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide and rheumatoid factor. *RMD Open* 2019;**5**:e001076. doi:10.1136/ rmdopen-2019-001076

Received 8 August 2019 Revised 18 September 2019 Accepted 2 October 2019

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Department of Rheumatology, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo, Norway

²Center for Rheumatology and Spine Disease, Rigshospitalet Glostrup, Copenhagen, Denmark

Correspondence to

Dr Hilde Berner Hammer; hbham@online.no

INTRODUCTION

Ultrasound is sensitive for detection of inflammatory changes in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Intermetatarsal bursitis (IMB) is located on the dorsal side of the deep intermetatarsal ligament and may easily be detected by use of longitudinal and transverse dorsal scans between the metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joints.² In a longitudinal scan, they are relatively large and have usually a round shape, caused by hypoechoic synovitis and they may contain fluid. They are often power Doppler (PD) positive. In the transverse plane, an IMB is detected as a hypoechoic structure between the metatarsal heads where the upper border is rounded. There are few studies on IMB,³⁻⁶ and the objective of this study was to explore the prevalence of IMB and its associations with subjective, clinical and laboratory assessments in established RA patients.

METHODS

This post hoc analysis of 209 patients with RA (mean (SD) age 53 (13) years, disease duration 10 (9) years, 81% women, 79% anti-cyclic citrullinted peptide (anti-CCP) positive, 69% rheumatoid factor (RF) positive) initiating biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARDs)⁷ included assessment of patient's global disease activity VAS, clinical examination (assessor's disease activity VAS, tender and swollen joint counts (of 28) performed by a study nurse, with additional MTP 1-5 assessed combined as one joint bilaterally for tenderness) and laboratory variables (Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR), C-Reactive Protein (CRP), anti-CCP and RF). Composite clinical scores (Disease

Key messages

What is already known about this subject?

Intermetatarsal bursitis (IMB) is frequent in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), but there are large discrepancies in the described prevalence and the most frequent localisations.

What does this study add?

We found that one in five patients with established RA had IMB, and that most of the bursitis were located in the spaces between metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joint 2 and 3 as well as between MTP 3 and 4. The presence of IMB was not associated with the total ultrasound scores of a high number of joints/tendons, but with the ultrasound scores of inflammation in the MTP joints. In addition, presence of IMB was associated with presence of anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide and rheumatoid factor antibodies.

How might this impact on clinical practice?

 Clinicians should explore for IMB as a cause of forefoot pain especially in patients with seropositive rheumatoid arthritis.

Activity Score of 28 joints (DAS28,ESR), Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI) and Simplified Disease Activity Index (SDAI) were calculated. The presence of patient-reported joint pain (PRJP) was scored 0–3 at joint level for pain the last 24 hours by use of a manikin (including bilateral wrist, MCP1–5, PIP2–3, elbow, knee, ankle, MTP 1–5), and the same joints were examined by ultrasound. A semi-quantitative score (0–3) using grey scale (GS) and PD were performed by one rheumatologist with high intra-reader reliability⁸ by use of Siemens Acuson Antares, excellence version, 5–13 MHz probe and in accordance with the Norwegian ultrasound

BMJ





Figure 1 RA patient with intermetatarsal bursitis between MTP2 and MTP3. (A) Longitudinal, dorsal scan of normal MTP2. (B) Longitudinal, dorsal scan of normal MTP3. (C) Longitudinal dorsal grey scale scan of intermetatarsal bursitis between MTP2 and MTP3. (D) Similar to (C), with power Doppler. (E) Photo of RA patient with intermetatarsal bursitis between MTP2 and MTP3. RA, rheumatoid arthritis; MTP, metatarsal phalangeal joint.

atlas.⁸ In addition, both feet were at each examination assessed by dorsal longitudinal scan (and transverse when indicated), of all spaces between the MTP joints for IMB. The presence of MTP synovitis (defined as GS score \geq 2) was explored for the two MTP joints neighbouring the IMB. Baseline sum scores of GS, PD and PRJP of all joints as well as of only MTP 1–5 bilaterally were calculated. Associations were explored by use of Mann-Whitney test, ORs and binary logistic regression analysis.

RESULTS

Forty-three patients (20.6%) had a total of 69 IMB, either unilaterally or bilaterally (27.9% right side, 37.2% left side and 30.2% bilaterally, while in 4.7% there was no description of side). The patients had up to 4 IMB (n=1 in 65.1%, n=2 in 18.6%, n=3 in 7.0% and n=4 in 9.3%). Figure 1 shows the typical clinical and longitudinal GS/ PD ultrasound scanning of IMB. IMB was found in the following intermetatarsal spaces: MTP 1-2; 4.3%, MTP 2-3; 33.3%, MTP 3-4; 56.5% and MTP 4-5; 5.8%. When IMB was found, there was no synovitis in neighbouring MTPs in 33.3%, synovitis in one of the MTPs in 29.0% and synovitis in both MTPs in 37.7%. However, if synovitis was present, it was mainly moderate (mostly GS score of 2 (in 95.7%)). There were no differences in the presence of anti-CCP/RF in patients with vs without synovitis in the neighbouring MTPs.

Presence of IMB was not associated with baseline total sum scores of GS, PD or PRJP, or with the clinical or composite scores assessments. However, patients with IMB had significantly higher MTP 1–5 sum scores of GS and PD (p=0.05 and p=0.002, respectively), but no difference in sum score PRJP or tenderness of MTPs. Logistic regression analysis with either anti-CCP or RF positivity as the dependent variable showed that presence of IMB significantly explained seropositivity, while this was not found for GS and PD sum scores of MTP 1–5 as independent variables. In addition, IMB was associated with anti-CCP (OR (95% CI) 4.1 (1.2 to 14.0)) and RF (3.7

(1.4 to 10.1)), with 93% of patients with IMB being anti-CCP positive and 87% RF positive.

DISCUSSION

The present frequency of IMB was as described in the study by Iagnocco *et al.*³ However, we found much lower percentage of patients with IMB than Bowen *et al*^{$\tilde{p}}$ who described IMB in 90.8% of RA patients. In addition, in contrast to our study, Bowen *et al* found most of the IMB located in the MTP 4–5 space and least in the MTP 2–3 space. In the study by Bowen *et al*, only plantar longitudinal and transverse scans were used, while we assessed the IMB by use of dorsal longitudinal and transverse scanning. A recent retrospective study including conventional MRI and ultrasound used dorsal scans for the ultrasound examination of IMB.⁶ However, MRI found the IMB to be big enough to be easily detectable by both dorsal and plantar scanning.</sup>

All patients in the present study were treated with bDMARDs, and this could have reduced the presence of IMB. However, a previous study has addressed the change of forefoot bursal hypertrophy after 12 weeks of bDMARD treatment.⁹ They found no significant change, only a trend towards reduction. Thus, it seems that despite effective anti-inflammatory treatment, these bursae may take time to normalise.

We found no associations between the presence of IMB and clinical or patient-reported outcomes. This is supported by a previous study exploring the long-term associations between changes in forefoot bursae (including both IMB and plantar bursae) and changes in DAS28 or ESR,¹⁰ where no significant associations were found over 3 years. On the other hand, presence of forefoot bursae was associated with patient-reported foot-related disability scores. This is supported by the present findings, where ultrasound sum scores of the MTP joints were higher in patients having IMB.

CONCLUSION

Our study shows that IMB is a frequent finding in patients with established RA and primarily in the MTP 2–3 and MTP 3–4 spaces. IMB was associated with higher levels of ultrasound synovitis in MTPs as well as with presence of anti-CCP and RF. Thus, ultrasound examination of forefeet should also focus on this pathology which may be typical for seropositive RA and indicate more severe disease of the feet.

Acknowledgements We want to thank Anne Katrine Kongtorp and Britt Birketvedt who were study nurses and performed important assessments including the clinical examinations in the study.

Contributors HBH has made a substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work; the acquisition of data, all the analysis, interpretation of data for the work; and drafted the manuscript as well as revising it critically for important intellectual content; and given a final approval of the version to be published; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. TKK and LT have given substantial contributions to the design of the manuscript as well as the interpretation of

9

data for the work; and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content; and given a final approval of the version to be published; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Funding This work was supported by AbbVie, Pfizer and Roche in form of study grants to the Department of Rheumatology, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo/ Hilde Berner Hammer.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the Norwegian Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics South East (reference number 2009/1254) and the patients gave their written informed consent according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon request.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Hilde Berner Hammer http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7317-8991

REFERENCES

- 1 Tan YK, Ostergaard M, Bird P, et al. Ultrasound versus high field magnetic resonance imaging in rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2014;32:S99–105.
- 2 Bianchi S. Practical us of the forefoot. *J Ultrasound* 2014;17:151–64.
- 3 Iagnocco A, Coari G, Palombi G, et al. Sonography in the study of metatarsalgia. J Rheumatol 2001;28:1338–40.
- 4 Endo Y, Koga T, Eguchi M, et al. Utility of power Doppler ultrasonography for detecting forefoot bursae in early rheumatoid arthritis. *Medicine* 2018;97:e13295.
- 5 Bowen CJ, Hooper L, Culliford D, *et al.* Assessment of the natural history of forefoot bursae using ultrasonography in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a twelve-month investigation. *Arthritis Care Res* 2010;62:1756–62.
- 6 Albtoush OM, Xenitidis T, Horger M. Intermetatarsal bursitis as first disease manifestation in different rheumatological disorders and related MR-imaging findings. *Rheumatol Int* 2019;25. doi:10.1007/ s00296-019-04381-x. [Epub ahead of print: 18 Jul 2019].
- 7 Hammer HB, Uhlig T, Kvien TK, et al. Pain catastrophizing, subjective outcomes, and inflammatory assessments including ultrasound: results from a longitudinal study of rheumatoid arthritis patients. Arthritis Care Res 2018;70:703–12.
- 8 Hammer HB, Bolton-King P, Bakkeheim V, et al. Examination of intra and interrater reliability with a new ultrasonographic reference atlas for scoring of synovitis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011;70:1995–8.
- 9 Bowen CJ, Edwards CJ, Hooper L, *et al.* Improvement in symptoms and signs in the forefoot of patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with anti-TNF therapy. *J Foot Ankle Res* 2010;3:10.
- 10 Hooper L, Bowen CJ, Gates L, et al. Prognostic indicators of footrelated disability in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results of a prospective three-year study. Arthritis Care Res 2012;64:1116–24.