

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Daivajna S, Bajwa A, Villar R (2015) Outcome of Arthroscopy in Patients with Advanced Osteoarthritis of the Hip. PLoS ONE 10(1): e0113970. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113970

Academic Editor: Arvind Chopra, Center for Rheumatic Diseases, INDIA

Received: June 6, 2014

Accepted: November 2, 2014

Published: January 30, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Daivajna et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The raw data are held at the Villar Bajwa Practice in a database in Spire Cambridge Lea Hospital. Data access to the public is restricted due to patient confidentiality, but requests for data may be sent to Sachin Daivajna (<u>sachin.daivajna@gmail.com</u>).

Funding: The authors have no support or funding to report.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Outcome of Arthroscopy in Patients with Advanced Osteoarthritis of the Hip

Sachin Daivajna*, Ali Bajwa, Richard Villar

Spire Cambridge Lea Hospital, Cambridge, CB24 9EL, United Kingdom

* sachin.daivajna@gmail.com

Abstract

Hip arthroscopy has continued to expand its horizons in treating many conditions other than femoroacetabular impingement (FAI). However, the results of hip arthroscopy are known to be poor if the degree of articular cartilage damage is significant. We wanted to assess, whether the procedure might have a role in the management of young and active patients with advanced osteoarthritis (OA) and whether it should be offered as a treatment modality. 77 consecutive patients with Tönnis grade 2 and 3 osteoarthritis of the hip who had undergone hip arthroscopy were included in the study. Patients' medical notes, plain radiographs and outcome scores (modified Harris hip score (mHHS), non-arthritic hip score (NAHS)) preoperatively and postoperatively at six weeks, six months, one year and annually thereafter, were analysed. 77 patients consisted of 63 men and 14 women with mean follow-up of 2.8 years (2.2 to 4.2) and mean age at surgery of 43 years (19 to 64). The mean preoperative mHHS and NAHS scores were 58 (28 to 87) and 64 (27 to 93) respectively. The mean improvements in both the mHHS and NAHS scores were significant (p = 0.003 and p = 0.0001for mHHS at one and two years, p = 0.002 and p = 0.0003 for NAHS at one and two years, respectively). There were 34 patients (44%) who required a total hip replacement at mean of 18 months (6 to 48) after hip arthroscopy. We conclude that hip arthroscopy improves outcome scores in 56% of patients with severe OA of the hip (Tönnis grade 2 and 3) for at least two years after surgery. We thus consider the procedure to be a reasonable option for patients with hip OA, although success of the procedure will be less than if undertaken for certain other conditions.

Introduction

Hip arthroscopy is presently experiencing a large expansion in its use as a conservative surgical procedure. A major indication for its application is in the management of femoroacetabular impingement (FAI), although this has begun to change in recent years as other pathologies have also been recognised as suitable for the operation[1, 2]. However, its role in the management of osteoarthritis (OA) of the hip remains controversial [3–6]. Certainly, arthroscopy for OA in other joints such as the knee has been shown to help patients who have mechanical symptoms [7]. OA of the hip may present clinically with evidence of impingement, pain, and

stiffness which might potentially be treated with arthroscopy [8]. Previous reports of its use in patients with OA of the hip have suggested that an improvement in outcome scores can be achieved, although with a 16% to 52% conversion to total hip replacement (THR) [9-12]. However, many patients are reluctant to consider a joint replacement as a suitable option, especially those who are young.

Within our specialist hip arthroscopic practice it is not uncommon for patients, particularly athletes, to ask if hip arthroscopy might be an appropriate choice in the management of their osteoarthritic joint. We thus wanted to look at our results to see, in light of improvements in technique and instrumentation in recent years, whether hip arthroscopy does indeed help patients with OA and, if so, whether it should be offered as a regular treatment modality in such circumstances. We thus present a retrospective analysis of prospectively collected data of patients with Tönnis grade 2 and 3 OA of the hip joint treated by hip arthroscopy and followed for a minimum of two years.

The Tönnis grade is regularly used in our practice in view of its simplicity, no requirement to measure any specific parameter and the ability to use it in the presence of the diverse radiographs encountered when patients may travel many miles, and even cross continents to be seen. The Tönnis grading system is as follows[13]:

0-no signs of osteoarthritis

- 1—mild: increased sclerosis, slight narrowing of the joint space, no or slight loss of head sphericity
- 2—**moderate**: small cysts, moderate narrowing of the joint space, moderate loss of head sphericity
- 3—severe: large cysts, severe narrowing or obliteration of the joint space, severe deformity of the head

Methods

The Institutional Review Board at the Spire Cambridge Lea Hospital in Cambridge approved this study and all patient records were anonymised and de-identified prior to analysis. We identified 77 consecutive patients with Tönnis grade 2 and 3 OA of the hip who had undergone hip arthroscopy and included them in this study. Osteoarthritis was diagnosed when features of loss in joint space, osteophytes, sclerosis or subchondral cysts were seen on a plain radio-graphs. Patients had symptoms of hip pain, which did not respond to conservative modes of management. Patients' medical notes, plain radiographs and outcome scores (modified Harris hip score (mHHS), non-arthritic hip score (NAHS)) preoperatively and postoperatively at six weeks, six months, one year and annually thereafter, were analysed. The scores were obtained by using a postal questionnaire.

The modified Harris hip score is a modification of the Harris hip score, which was originally developed for use in total hip arthroplasty patients[14]. The modified version includes a clinical assessment of pain (44 points) and function (47 points) for a total score out of 91 points, with a higher score indicating greater function and less pain. The Non-Arthritic Hip Score (NAHS) is a validated disease-specific questionnaire, consisting of 20 questions, divided into four domains: pain, symptoms, physical function and participation[15]. Items are scored from 0–4, and added together for an overall total score. Three items on the instrument assess sports-related activities. A higher score represents a higher level of physical function and less pain and symptoms.

The Tönnis grading on plain radiographs was used to identify this cohort of patients as this is widely used in practice and is perhaps more practical in the outpatient, clinical situation

Table 1. Etiology of osteoarthritis in patients.

Causes of osteoarthritis (OA)	Number
Primary OA	38
Cam/ pincer femoroacetabular impingement	34
Dysplasia	2
Avascular necrosis	1
Osteochondromatosis	1
Slipped upper femoral epiphysis	1

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113970.t001

Table 2. Procedures carried out during hip arthroscopy.

Procedure	Number
Partial acetabular labrectomy + chondroplasty + excision of osteophytes /cam lesion	58
Rim excision + partial acetabular labrectomy + chondroplasty + excision of osteophytes/ cam lesion	14
Removal of loose bodies in addition to chondroplasty and excision of osteophytes	4
Rim excision + labral graft + chondroplasty + cyst excision	1

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113970.t002

than any other system that may require the physical measurement of radiographs or other imaging techniques. The senior author (RNV) operated on all cases and employed the lateral position using a Smith and Nephew (S&N, Memphis, TN) Hip Positioning System[16]. The causes of osteoarthritis and the main procedures performed during arthroscopy are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. There was no regenerative cell therapy performed. All patients had local anaesthetic infiltration into the portals at the end of surgery and 60mg/3ml of hyaluronic acid (Durolane; Smith & Nephew, York) instilled into the joint. Data collected for this study were entered into a Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS; IBM, New York, NY) statistical program. We used Student's t-test to analyse the change in the mHHS and NAHS scores between that found pre-operatively and the scores at six weeks, six months, one year and thereafter every year after surgery. We used univariate analysis (Fisher's exact test) to analyse the effect of age on the necessity for a subsequent total hip replacement. We considered a p value < 0.05 as significant.

Results

Of the 77 patients there were 63 men and 14 women. The mean follow-up was 2.8 years (2.2 to 4.2) and the mean age at surgery was 43 years (19 to 64). The mean preoperative mHHS and NAHS scores were 58 (28 to 87) and 64 (27 to 93), respectively. This improved to 66 (28 to 91) and 74 (30 to 100), respectively, at the one-year follow-up and to 72 (47 to 91) and 77 (59 to 95) by two years. The mean improvements in both the mHHS and NAHS scores were significant (p = 0.003 and p = 0.0001 for mHHS at one and two years, p = 0.002 and p = 0.0003 for NAHS at one and two years, respectively). There were 34 patients (44%) who required a total hip replacement at mean of 18 months (6 to 48) after hip arthroscopy. The duration between hip arthroscopy and hip replacement in patients is shown in Table 3.

Discussion

Hip arthroscopy has improved outcomes and relieved the symptoms of FAI across multiple studies and there are now good outcome and follow-up data [3, 17, 18]. This has led many

Time from hip arthroscopy to THR	Number
0–6 months	3
6–12 months	6
12-24 months	10
24–48 months	14
> 48 months	1

Table 3. The duration between hip arthroscopy and total hip replacement.

We also compared the incidence of THR in patients aged < 50 years (n = 60) compared with those aged \geq 50 years (n = 17) but found no significant difference between the two groups (p = 0.79).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113970.t003

patients with OA of the hip to present to our specialist practice seeking a possible arthroscopic solution to their problem. Such patients are frequently athletically inclined and wish to conserve their hip joint for as long as possible, even if they are made aware that conversion to an arthroplasty may one day be required [19, 20]. However, multiple other studies have suggested that outcomes are much poorer in the presence of chondral damage and/or osteoarthritis and have led to the belief that OA is not a good indication for hip arthroscopic surgery[21-23]. Experience with other joints has also supported this stance [24, 25]. Nevertheless, in response to the modern-day improvements in both technique and instrumentation related to hip arthroscopy, within our own practice it seemed sensible to reappraise this view. We also consider that within a busy outpatient clinic, there is little time, or even inclination to precisely measure all imaging in order to establish whether or not surgery might be beneficial. Surgical decisionmaking is a combination of a clinician's viewpoint and experience balanced against a patient's desires and needs. Our finding that 34 patients (44%) required a total hip replacement at mean of 18 months (6 to 48) after surgery is better than some earlier studies but worse than certain others[11, 26]. However, 44% failure implies 56% success. Furthermore, we had no complications in these patients and the results appeared not to be influenced by age, a feature reported elsewhere by others [22, 27].

There are a few limitations to our study. We instilled Durolane (hyaluronic acid) intraarticularly at the end of each procedure. This may affect our results compared to previous studies that may not have used this material. The reason for use of this product was mainly to reduce pain in the immediate postoperative period. Also, the procedures carried out for each patient may differ due to varied pathology. Consequently, we could not standardise the procedure applied to each patient. However, all techniques used were aimed to repair labral and articular cartilage damage and improve the motion of the joint by reducing the impingement. Our results are at mean of 2.8 years. Further follow-up would be required to determine the survival of this procedure.

In light of these findings, our own policy in respect of the arthroscopic management of the osteoarthritic hip has changed. Whereas once we saw it as a contraindication, we now present the chances of success to the patient. Is a 56% chance of symptomatic improvement for a mean of two years acceptable in the presence of established osteoarthritic change? Some patients will regard this as acceptable success while others will not. Ultimately it is the patient who must decide.

Acknowledgments

Mihir Paikray, Research and Audit Analyst at Spire Cambridge Lea Hospital for helping retrieve data for the study

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: SD AB RNV. Performed the experiments: SD. Analyzed the data: SD. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SD AB RNV. Wrote the paper: SD RNV. Used statistical tests for analysis: SD.

References

- Philippon MJ, Stubbs AJ, Schenker ML, Maxwell RB, Ganz R, et al. (2007) Arthroscopic management of femoroacetabular impingement: osteoplasty technique and literature review. Am J Sports Med 35: 1571–1580. doi: 10.1177/0363546507300258 PMID: 17420508
- 2. .Bozic KJ, Chan V, Valone FH, 3rd, Feeley BT, Vail TP (2013) Trends in hip arthroscopy utilization in the United States. J Arthroplasty 28: 140–143. doi: <u>10.1016/j.arth.2013.02.039</u> PMID: <u>23916639</u>
- Byrd JW, Jones KS (2009) Hip arthroscopy for labral pathology: prospective analysis with 10-year follow-up. Arthroscopy 25: 365–368. doi: <u>10.1016/j.arthro.2009.02.001</u> PMID: <u>19341922</u>
- Larson CM, Giveans MR, Taylor M (2010) Does arthroscopic FAI correction improve function with radiographic arthritis? Clin Orthop Relat Res 469: 1667–1676. doi: <u>10.1007/s11999-010-1741-6</u> PMID: <u>21181460</u>
- Dienst M, Seil R, Godde S, Georg T, Kohn D (1999) [Arthroscopy for diagnosis and therapy of early osteoarthritis of the hip]. Orthopade 28: 812–818. doi: <u>10.1007/PL00003671</u> PMID: <u>10525692</u>
- Helenius I, Tanskanen P, Haapala J, Niskanen R, Remes V, et al. (2001) Hip arthroscopy in osteoarthritis. A review of 68 patients. Ann Chir Gynaecol 90: 28–31. PMID: <u>11336365</u>
- Krych AJ, Bert JM, Levy BA (2013) Treatment of OA of the knee in the middle-aged athlete: the role of arthroscopy. Sports Med Arthrosc 21: 23–30. doi: <u>10.1097/JSA.0b013e318270d1bd</u> PMID: <u>23314265</u>
- Gomberawalla MM, Kelly BT, Bedi A (2014) Interventions for hip pain in the maturing athlete: the role of hip arthroscopy? Sports Health 6: 70–77. doi: <u>10.1177/1941738113497678</u> PMID: <u>24427445</u>
- Ilizaliturri Sanchez VM, Camacho Galindo J (2007) [Surgical treatment of hip osteoarthritis: hpdete on hip arthroscopy]. Reumatol Clin 3 Suppl 3: S50–53. PMID: <u>21794481</u>
- Margheritini F, Villar RN (1999) The efficacy of arthroscopy in the treatment of hip osteoarthritis. Chir Organi Mov 84: 257–261. PMID: <u>11569040</u>
- Haviv B, O'Donnell J (2010) The incidence of total hip arthroplasty after hip arthroscopy in osteoarthritic patients. Sports Med Arthrosc Rehabil Ther Technol 2: 18. doi: <u>10.1186/1758-2555-2-18</u> PMID: <u>20670440</u>
- Kim KC, Hwang DS, Lee CH, Kwon ST (2007) Influence of femoroacetabular impingement on results of hip arthroscopy in patients with early osteoarthritis. Clin Orthop Relat Res 456: 128–132. doi: <u>10.1097/</u><u>01.blo.0000246542.49574.2c</u> PMID: <u>17106273</u>
- Tonnis D, Heinecke A, Nienhaus R, Thiele J (1979) [Predetermination of arthrosis, pain and limitation of movement in congenital hip dysplasia (author's transl)]. Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb 117: 808–815. PMID: <u>549339</u>
- Byrd JW, Jones KS (2000) Prospective analysis of hip arthroscopy with 2-year follow-up. Arthroscopy 16: 578–587. doi: <u>10.1053/jars.2000.7683</u> PMID: <u>10976117</u>
- Christensen CP, Althausen PL, Mittleman MA, Lee JA, McCarthy JC (2003) The nonarthritic hip score: reliable and validated. Clin Orthop Relat Res: 75–83. doi: <u>10.1097/01.blo.0000043047.84315.4b</u> PMID: <u>12579003</u>
- Simpson J, Sadri H, Villar R Hip arthroscopy technique and complications. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 96: S68–76. doi: <u>10.1016/j.otsr.2010.09.010</u> PMID: <u>21036688</u>
- Malviya A, Stafford GH, Villar RN (2012) Impact of arthroscopy of the hip for femoroacetabular impingement on quality of life at a mean follow-up of 3.2 years. J Bone Joint Surg Br 94: 466–470. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1302/0301-620X.94B4.28023</u> PMID: <u>22434460</u>
- Bardakos NV, Vasconcelos JC, Villar RN (2008) Early outcome of hip arthroscopy for femoroacetabular impingement: the role of femoral osteoplasty in symptomatic improvement. J Bone Joint Surg Br 90: 1570–1575. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.90B12.21012 PMID: 19043126
- McCarthy JC, Jarrett BT, Ojeifo O, Lee JA, Bragdon CR (2010) What factors influence long-term survivorship after hip arthroscopy? Clin Orthop Relat Res 469: 362–371. doi: <u>10.1007/s11999-010-1559-2</u>
- Meftah M, Rodriguez JA, Panagopoulos G, Alexiades MM (2011) Long-term results of arthroscopic labral debridement: predictors of outcomes. Orthopedics 34: e588–592. doi: <u>10.3928/01477447-</u> <u>20110826-04</u> PMID: <u>21956049</u>

- McCarthy JC, Jarrett BT, Ojeifo O, Lee JA, Bragdon CR (2011) What factors influence long-term survivorship after hip arthroscopy? Clin Orthop Relat Res 469: 362–371. doi: <u>10.1007/s11999-010-1559-2</u> PMID: <u>20872105</u>
- 22. Wilkin G, March G, Beaule PE (2014) Arthroscopic acetabular labral debridement in patients forty-five years of age or older has minimal benefit for pain and function. J Bone Joint Surg Am 96: 113–118. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.L.01710 PMID: 24430410
- Haviv B, Singh PJ, Takla A, O'Donnell J (2010) Arthroscopic femoral osteochondroplasty for cam lesions with isolated acetabular chondral damage. J Bone Joint Surg Br 92: 629–633. doi: <u>10.1302/</u> <u>0301-620X.92B5.23667</u> PMID: <u>20435997</u>
- 24. Kirkley A, Birmingham TB, Litchfield RB, Giffin JR, Willits KR, et al. (2008) A randomized trial of arthroscopic surgery for osteoarthritis of the knee. N Engl J Med 359: 1097–1107. doi: <u>10.1056/NEJMoa0708333</u> PMID: <u>18784099</u>
- 25. Hassouna H, Kumar S, Bendall S (2007) Arthroscopic ankle debridement: 5-year survival analysis. Acta Orthop Belg 73: 737–740. PMID: <u>18260486</u>
- 26. Philippon MJ, Schroder ESBG, Briggs KK (2012) Hip arthroscopy for femoroacetabular impingement in patients aged 50 years or older. Arthroscopy 28: 59–65. doi: <u>10.1016/j.arthro.2011.07.004</u> PMID: <u>21982390</u>
- Javed A, O'Donnell JM (2011) Arthroscopic femoral osteochondroplasty for cam femoroacetabular impingement in patients over 60 years of age. J Bone Joint Surg Br 93: 326–331. doi: <u>10.1302/0301-620X.93B3.25262</u> PMID: <u>21357953</u>