SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 25 August 2016 Accepted: 08 December 2016 Published: 13 January 2017

OPEN Observation of spin-polarized photoconductivity in (Ga, Mn) As/GaAs heterojunction without magnetic field

Qing Wu¹, Yu Liu¹, Hailong Wang², Yuan Li¹, Wei Huang¹, Jianhua Zhao² & Yonghai Chen¹

In the absent of magnetic field, we have observed the anisotropic spin polarization degree of photoconduction (SPD-PC) in (Ga, Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction. We think three kinds of mechanisms contribute to the magnetic related signal, (i) (Ga, Mn)As self-producing due to the valence band polarization, (ii) unequal intensity of left and right circularly polarized light reaching to GaAs layer to excite unequal spin polarized carriers in GaAs layer, and (iii) (Ga, Mn)As as the spin filter layer for spin transport from GaAs to (Ga, Mn)As. Different from the previous experiments, the influence coming from the Zeeman splitting induced by an external magnetic field can be avoided here. While temperature dependence experiment indicates that the SPD-PC is mixed with the magnetic uncorrelated signals, which may come from current induced spin polarization.

Diluted magnetic semiconductors(DMS) have long been of great interest in combining the optical character of semiconductor and the magnetism character of ferromagnetic material¹⁻⁴. (Ga,Mn)As is considered as one of the most promising DMS for spintronic devices due to the compatible growth techniques and relatively high Curie temperature^{5,6}. As adding a new degree of freedom associated with spin, the optical properties of (Ga,Mn) As possess a circular dichroism. Relative phenomena, such as magnetico-optical(MO) effect⁷ and photoinduced magnetization⁸, have been widely observed. Because of the conservation of angular momentum, the circular dichroism can also be reflected by the polarization direction and the magnitude difference between the spin polarization carriers excited by left and right circularly polarized light. A further way to study magnetic properties of (Ga,Mn)As is to investigate the spin-polarized current or conductance⁹. Recently, spin-polarized photocurrents in DMS systems subjected to an external magnetic field induced by microwave or terahertz radiation have been observed, which is attributed to the giant Zeeman spin splitting or the spin-dependent carrier scattering¹⁰. So far almost all of the magnetic measurements, no matter the magnetic circular dichroism(MCD)¹¹⁻¹³ or the spin-related current^{9,10}, need an external magnetic field, which causes the Zeeman splitting inevitably and may make the results conflicting.

For DMS, the low spontaneous spin polarization degree especially at room temperature make it hard to be taken as a highly polarized spin injection source like metallic ferromagnetic material. While DMS overcome the dismatch between semiconductor and metal contact, the advantage of the interaction with the nonmagnetic semiconductor deserves more attention in the aspect of promoting spin injection efficiency and effective spin manipulation in nomagnetic semiconductors¹⁴. So the study on DMS/semiconductor heterostructure has more application value than the study on spontaneous spin polarization of (Ga,Mn)As itself. For example, it can be taken as the interface between ferromagnetic material and semiconductor to realize the carriers injection to nonmagnetic semiconductor which has been used to spin-led^{15,16}. For the ferromagnetic/nonmagnetic multi-layer structure, such as (Ga,Mn)As/AlGaAs/(Ga,Mn)As^{17,18}, the density of the carriers and the coherence between magnetic layers can be controlled by temperature, electric field et al., which can be used to the manufacture of magnetic or optical control superlattice devices.

¹Key Laboratory of Semiconductor Materials Science, Beijing Key Laboratory of Low Dimensional Semiconductor Materials and Devices, Institute of Semiconductors, Chinese Academy of Sciences, and University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100083, China. ²State Key Laboratory of Superlattices and Microstructures, Institute of Semiconductors, Chinese Academy of Sciences, and University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100083, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.C. (email: yhchen@semi.ac.cn)



Figure 1. (a) Spectra of the SPD-PC detected in the (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction with different azimuth angles ranged from 0° to 180°. The incident angle is fixed at 30°, and the temperature is 130 K. Inset on the top left corner is a schematic illustration of the geometry of the experiment. Inset on the top right corner is the contrast spectra of the SPD-PC detected in the GaAs bulk material with different azimuth angles. (b) The SPD-PC corresponding to 1.49 eV and 1.55 eV as a function of the azimuth angle in the (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction. The solid line is the cosine fit. (c) Spectra of the SPD-PC detected in the (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction with different incident angles ranged from -40° to 40° . The azimuth angle is fixed at 0°, and the temperature is 130 K. (d) The SPD-PC corresponding to 1.49 eV and 1.55 eV as a function of the incident angle in the (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction. The solid line is the corresponding to 1.49 eV and 1.55 eV as a function of the incident angle in the (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction with different incident angles ranged from -40° to 40° . The azimuth angle is fixed at 0° , and the temperature is 130 K. (d) The SPD-PC corresponding to 1.49 eV and 1.55 eV as a function of the incident angle in the (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction. The solid line is the sine fit. Power of the excitation light for all experiments is 700 mW/cm^2 at 1.55 eV, and no magnetic field is applied.

.....

In this work, we study the magnetic properties in the DMS (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction by the photoconduction without a magnetic field. The obliquely incident circularly polarized light possesses in-plane angle momentum component, which directly interacts with the in plane spontaneous spin polarization of (Ga,Mn)As and avoids the influence of the giant Zeeman splitting induced by an external magnetic field. Different from the experiments that the substrate material is etched to investigate the photogenerated spin polarization in (Ga,Mn) As itself¹³, we remain the GaAs substrate to form a heterostructure to investigate the spin-polarized photoconduction, which may be related to the interaction of GaAs and (Ga,Mn)As including the interface effect and the spin filter effect of (Ga,Mn)As material when the optically generated carriers transport from GaAs to (Ga,Mn)As. We present the azimuth angle and the incident angle dependence of the spin polarization degree of photoconduction (SPD-PC), and find optical control of similar giant magneto resistance (GMR) effect. We also study the SPD-PC as a function of temperature, and find that the SPD-PC decreases with increasing temperature, and near or even above the Curie temperature, the SPD-PC still exists, which is beyond our expectation. We infer the SPD-PC contains non-magnetic related signals.

Results

Anisotropy study of the SPD-PC by rotating the incident light. Since (Ga,Mn)As presents the in-plane magnetic anisotropy which determines the direction of magnetization when the temperature is lower than the Curie temperature, theoretically the absorption of left or right circularly polarized light along different crystal axes is different. As shown in the inset on the top left corner of Fig. 1(a), in the absent of the magnetic field, only the in plane component of the oblique incident circular polarized light can act on the magnetic moment, the in-plane angle momentum component along the magnetic easy axis is $\vec{L} \cos \alpha \sin \theta$. (α was the azimuth angle between the plane of the incident light and the direction of remnant magnetic moment direction [110], and θ was the incident angle). Assuming the photoconduction is proportional to the absorption, the SPD-PC should be expressed as $\Delta \sigma_s / \sigma_0 \propto \cos \alpha \sin \theta$.



Figure 2. (a) Spectra of the SPD-PC detected in the (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction with different temperatures ranged from 130 K to 210 K. The azimuth angle fixed at 0° or 180°, and the incident angle is fixed at 30°. Power of the excitation light was $700 \, mW/cm^2$, and no magnetic field is applied. (b) Temperature dependence of the SPD-PC corresponding to $1.55 \, \text{eV}$ (squares and circles) and the peak positions of the spectra (regular triangles and inverse triangles) detected in the (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction with different azimuth angles. The green triangle line is the remnant magnetization on the dependence of temperature.

We present first the SPD-PC on dependence of azimuth angle. Figure 1(a) shows the SPD-PC spectra with different α detected in (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction. The incident angle θ is fixed at -30° and the experiment temperature is 130 K. We note that all of the spectra increase rapidly to a peak around 1.49~1.50 eV and then decreases gradually well beyond the peak value. Since the band gap energy E_{σ} of (Ga,Mn)As is eventually very close to that of GaAs, both (Ga,Mn)As and GaAs can be excited in this energy range, so the observed SPD-PC peaks in Fig. 1(a) is the overlaps of the SPD-PC peaks of (Ga,Mn)As and GaAs. By varying α , the spectra present obvious anisotropy. Especially, after a 180° rotation, the sign of the SPD-PC is reversed. When $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ or 180°, the incident light irradiates along or against the magnetic moment, so the SPD-PC reaches up to the maximum or depresses to the minimum, which actually indicates that when the excitation light angle momentum component is consistent with the direction of magnetization, the SPD-PC is more prone to be generated. When $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$, $\Delta \sigma_s / \sigma_0 \approx 0$, this indicates that the interaction between the spin-polarized carriers and the magnetic moments vanishes when the incident plane is perpendicular to the direction of magnetization. In order to make sure the measured SPD-PC is closely related to the (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs structure, we also tested a GaAs sample without (Ga,Mn)As layer for contrast experiment, the experiment temperature is 210K [see the inset on the top right corner of Fig. 1(a)]. For the GaAs sample, at four special angles of $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$, 90°, 180°, 270°, the SPD-PC does not change obviously let alone the sign conversion after a 180 degree rotation. This indicates that the observed $\cos \alpha$ dependence of the SPD-PC on the azimuth angle is closely related to the spontaneous spin polarization of the (Ga,Mn)As layer or the interaction of the two layers. Figure 1(b) further shows α dependence of the SPD-PC stimulated by specific photon energy 1.49 eV and 1.55 eV, which is approximately equal to and greater than the E_g of (Ga,Mn)As. $\Delta \sigma_s / \sigma_0$ varies as $\cos \alpha$, just as we think, the SPD-PC anisotropy is in accordance with the magnetic anisotropy.

We also measure the SPD-PC dependence on incident angle. Figure 1(c) shows the SPD-PC spectra with different θ , the azimuth angle is fixed at 0° and the experiment temperature is 130 K. When $\theta = 0^{\circ}$, there is no in-plane component of the incident light, as a result, the SPD-PC reach the minimum, with the increasement of θ , larger componet of the incident light is projected in plane and the SPD-PC also increases. The θ dependence of the SPD-PC can be seen more clearly in Fig. 1(d) corresponding to the exciting photoenergy of 1.49 eV and 1.55 eV. The SPD-PC changes as sin θ , just as we have expected. The sign conversion also happens when θ changing from θ to $-\theta$, in fact this case is in accordance with the case when α is rotated by 180°.

The SPD-PC varied with temperature. This behavior further studied in Fig. 2 shows the SPD-PC spectra with increasing temperatures from 130 to 210 K and the incident angle is fixed at 30°. As illustrated in Fig. 2, the peaks of the spectra show distinct blue shifts with the decreasing temperatures, and for $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ and $\alpha = 180^{\circ}$ the spectra at each temperature have opposite sign even beyond the vicinity of the Curie temperature. We extract $\Delta \sigma_s / \sigma_0$ from different temperatures at a fixed photon energy of 1.55 eV and the peak positions of the spectra from Fig. 2(a), as shown in Fig. 2(b). The SPD-PC at a fixed photon energy presents exponential decay as a function of temperature, while at the peak position the SPD-PC indeed doesn't show monotonic increase with the decreasing temperature, but increases first up to 150 K then decreases. This is because the measured SPD-PC spectrum is not only associated with the magnetism, but also affected by the lifetime of the photo-generated carriers (τ), surface recombination rate (ζ_0) and other factors. Their relationship with the photoconduction can be described as $\sigma \propto \tau/\zeta_0^{19}$, τ and ζ_0 are usually not constant values for different excitation energy and different temperatures. Such as for single spectrum at 150 K in Fig. 2(a), at band gap excitation, the photo-generated carriers have a longer lifetime, which contributes to the increase of the photoconduction, while when the excitation light energy continues increasing, the surface recombination rate is very large, which makes the photoconduction fell sharply. Besides, τ and ζ_0 own different dependencies on temperature, so the fact that the SPD-PC value at the peak position doesn't show monotonic increase with decreasing temperature is the competing result of the two parameters. Compared to the direct magnetic measurement M on temperature T, however, $\Delta \sigma_s / \sigma_0$ has not disappeared above the Curie



Figure 3. Schematic mechanisms to generate the SPD-PC. (a) Schematic distribution of the density of states for (Ga,Mn)As along $[1\bar{1}0]$ direction. As the valence band polarization, the concertration of spin-polarized carriers excited by left or right circularly polarized light is different according to the selection rule. (b) The SPD-PC generated in GaAs layer. Due to the absorption of (Ga,Mn)As, unequal intensity of left-handed light and right-handed light reaching to GaAs layer to generate unequal spin polarized carriers. (c) The SPD-PC generated through the interaction of the two layers. Spin polarized carriers in GaAs layer transport to (Ga,Mn) As layer, similar to GMR effect.

temperature whether at the peak position, or at a fixed energy. Moreover, the SPD-PC still has the cosine dependence on azimuth angle at this high temperature. This phenomenon is out of our expectation, which indicates that there exists the source independent of the magnetism.

Discussion

From the above experimental results, we confirm that the SPD-PC can be generated by the oblique circularly polarized light in (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction, and presents obvious in-plane anisotropy. We infer there may be three kinds of mechanisms to generate the SPD-PC in this system: (a) (Ga,Mn)As as the layer of photogenerated spin-polarized carriers, (b) GaAs as the layer of photogenerated spin-polarized carriers, (c) (Ga,Mn)As as the spin filter layer for spin transport from the GaAs to the (Ga,Mn)As.

Compared to GaAs, the energy band of GaMnAs is modified by the interaction between holes and magneticions, whose Hamiltonian can be described as²⁰ $\hat{H}_{ex} = \sum_{R_i} J^{sp-d} (\vec{r} - \vec{R}_i) \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}$, \vec{S}_i are the impurity spins at positions \vec{R}_i , and $\vec{\sigma}$ are the hole spins at position \vec{r} , therefore the mean value of hole spins is not zero but parallel or antiparallel to magnetoionic spins, as shown in Fig. 3(a). As for our sample, the compressive strain due to the GaAs buffer makes the mean polarization of the holes stay in the plane²¹, the concertration of spin-polarized carriers excited by left or right circularly polarized light is different according to the selection rule. We take the excitation from heavy hole to conduction band as an example to analysis the mechanism of the SPD-PC. The difference of spin-polarized photoconductivity $\Delta \sigma_1$ between left-handed light and right-handed light coming from (Ga,Mn)As layer is $\Delta \sigma_1 = \delta_{n1}^+ e \mu_1^{-\frac{wd_1}{L}} - \delta_{n1}^{-n} e \mu_1^{-\frac{wd_1}{L}}$, where d_1 is the thickness of (Ga,Mn)As layer, w, L are the width, length of the sample, respectively. μ_1^+ and μ_1^- are the carriers mobility of spin up and spin down electrons in (Ga,Mn)As layer, respectively. We define the parameters independent with the polarized light as $\delta_{n1} = \frac{\delta_{n1}^+ + \delta_{n1}^-}{2}$, $\mu_1 = \frac{\mu_1^{++} + \mu_1^-}{2}$ and dependent with the polarized light as $\Delta \delta_{n1} = \delta_{n1}^+ - \delta_{n1}^- \Delta \mu_1 = \mu_1^+ - \mu_1^-$. Considering (Ga,Mn)As as the layer of photogenerated spin-polarized carriers, the spin-polarized photoconductivity can be expressed as

$$\Delta \sigma_1 \approx \delta_{n1} e \mu_1 \frac{w d_1}{L} \left(\frac{\Delta \delta_{n1}}{\delta_{n1}} + \frac{\Delta \mu_1}{\mu_1} \right), \tag{1}$$

On the other hand, as the magnetic film is very thin, the laser may penetrate to the GaAs layer to generate carriers [see Fig. 3(b)]. For the GaAs layer itself, there is no net spin polarization in conduction band or valence band, therefore the transition probabilities for left and right circularly polarized light emission have no difference, even if we change the incident angle or azimuth angle [see the inset on the top right corner of Fig. 1(a)]. However, after the (Ga,Mn)As layer's different absorption of left and right circularly polarized light, the intensity of the two polarized light reaching to the GaAs layer are also different, so the spin polarization of photogenerated carriers can also be generated in the GaAs layer. Considering GaAs as the layer of photogenerated spin-polarized carriers, the spin-polarized photoconductivity can be expressed as

$$\Delta \sigma_2 = \Delta \delta_{n2} e \mu_2 \frac{w d_2}{L},\tag{2}$$

where d_2 as the light absorption thickness of GaAs layer, μ_2 are the photoinduced carriers mobility in GaAs, $\Delta \delta_{n2} = \delta_{n2}^+ - \delta_{n2}^- \delta_{n2}^+$ and δ_{n2}^- are the carriers concentration excited by left-handed and right-handed polarized light in GaAs layer, respectively. While by the driving of the bias, the photoinduced carriers in GaAs can go through the interface to (Ga,Mn)As and be collected by the external circuit. Due to the spin-dependent carrier scattering by localized magnetic ions, the (Ga,Mn)As layer shows an effect of spin filter for the polarized carriers from the GaAs layer, similar to GMR effect, that is the carriers in GaAs with spin orientation parallel to the remnant magnetization of (Ga,Mn)As, are easily transmitted through the high-conductivity spin channel while those with the antiparallel spin orientation are blocked at the interface [see Fig. 3(c)]. Considering (Ga,Mn)As as the spin filter layer, the spin-polarized photoconductivity can be expressed as

$$\Delta \sigma_3 = \delta_{n2} e \Delta \mu_2 \frac{w d_0}{L},\tag{3}$$

We define d_0 as the effective interface thickness of GaAs influenced by (Ga,Mn)As layer, $\Delta \mu_2$ the difference of carriers mobility excited by left-handed light and right-handed light at the interface of the GaAs layer. Now, Eqs 1–3 has describe the three types of mechanisms of the spin polarized photo conduction. The total polarization-independent photoconductivity can be expressed as $\sigma = \delta_{n1}e\mu_1\frac{wd_1}{L} + \delta_{n2}e\mu_2\frac{wd_2}{L}$, where the concentration of photoexcited carrier can be described by $\delta_{ni} = \frac{P\tau}{hv}\frac{1-e^{-\alpha d_i}}{d_iwL}$. Given the coefficient of light absorption α is $10^4 cm^{-122}$, $d_1 = 20 nm$ and $d_2 = 5 \mu m$. Ignoring the difference of light power *P* and carrier lifetime τ in (Ga,Mn)As layer and GaAs layer, the commom photoconductivity ratio of (Ga,Mn)As layer and GaAs layer is estimated to be $\frac{\delta_{n1}e\mu_1wd_1/L}{\delta_{n2}e\mu_2wd_2/L} \ll 1$. So the total polarization-independent photoconductivity can be simplified to

$$\sigma = \delta_{n2} e \mu_2 \frac{w d_2}{L},\tag{4}$$

Overall, the SPD-PC of the three mechanisms can be expressed by $\frac{\Delta \sigma}{\sigma} = \frac{\Delta \sigma_1}{\sigma} + \frac{\Delta \sigma_2}{\sigma} + \frac{\Delta \sigma_3}{\sigma}$

$$\frac{\Delta\sigma_1}{\sigma} = \frac{\delta_{n1}\mu_1 d_1}{\delta_{n2}\mu_2 d_2} \left(\frac{\Delta\delta_{n1}}{\delta_{n1}} + \frac{\Delta\mu_1}{\mu_1} \right)$$
(5)

$$\frac{\Delta\sigma_2}{\sigma} = \frac{\Delta\delta_{n2}}{\delta_{n2}} \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{\Delta\sigma_3}{\sigma} = \frac{\Delta\mu_2}{\mu_2} \cdot \frac{d_0}{d_2} \tag{7}$$

We can calculate that in Eq. 5 $\frac{\delta_{n1}}{\delta_{n2}} \approx 5$. As GaAs bulk material has no selectivity for left or right circular polarized light, $\Delta \delta_{n2}$ in Eq. 6 comes totally from the absorption difference of (Ga,Mn)As film directly, ignoring the spin relaxation difference between (Ga,Mn)As and GaAs, so we can assume $\Delta \delta_{n2} \approx \Delta \delta_{n1}$. At present, the relevant experiments are basically carried out at very low temperatures, so we first discuss the dominance of these mechanisms at liquid helium temperature. We can get $\mu_1 \approx 2500 \ cm^2/V \cdot s^{23}$, $\mu_2 \approx 10^6 \ cm^2/V \cdot s$ at $2 \ K^{24}$, and the effective interface thickness of GaAs $d_0 < 1 \ nm^{25}$. so Eqs 5–7 can be simplified as $\frac{\Delta \sigma_1}{\sigma} \approx 1 \times 10^{-4} \times \left(\frac{\Delta \delta_{n1}}{\delta_{n1}} + \frac{\Delta \mu_1}{\mu_1}\right)$, $\frac{\Delta \sigma_2}{\sigma} \approx \frac{5\Delta \delta_{n1}}{\delta_{n1}}, \frac{\Delta \sigma_3}{\sigma} < 2 \times 10^{-4} \times \frac{\Delta \mu_2}{\mu_2}$. According to the references, the absorption difference $\frac{\Delta \delta_{n1}}{\delta_{n1}}$ between left and right circularly polarized light is only about 2% at $2 \ K^{13}$, while the difference of carrier mobility between anti-parallel spin and parallel spin can be $3500 \ cm^2/V \cdot s$ (from the Fig. 2 in ref. 23)²³. Substituting these parameters into Eqs 5–7, at low temperature we can get $\frac{\Delta \sigma_1}{\sigma} \approx 1.42 \times 10^{-4}, \frac{\Delta \sigma_2}{\sigma} \approx 0.1, \frac{\Delta \sigma_3}{\sigma} < 7 \times 10^{-5}$. Therefore, GaAs as the layer of photogenerated spin-polarized carriers makes a primary contribution to the SPD-PC. At higher low temperatures, the absorption difference will be reduced, but because of the great differences in the coefficient, the second mechanism that GaAs as the layer of photogenerated spin-polarized carrier mobility difference will be reduced, but because of the great differences in the coefficient, the second mechanism that GaAs as the layer of photogenerated spin-polarized carrier mobility difference will be reduced, spin-polarized carrier mobility difference will be dominant.

The temperature dependence of the SPD-PC spectra in Fig. 2 shows that the signal still exists even beyond the vicinity of the Curie temperature. If as we expected the SPD-PC is completely from the magnetic moment, the dependence on temperature should consist with the $M \sim T$ curve. This phenomenon is out of our expectation, which indicates that there exists the source independent of the magnetism. In low-dimensional systems (quantum wells and single heterojunctions), an electric field leads to a stationary spin polarization of free charge carriers. The current-induced spin orientation in semiconductors had been widely studied^{26–28}. J.I.Inoue proved that an electric field (E_x) would suffice to induce a nonequilibrium magnetization or spin accumulation in the presence of the spin-orbit interaction, which can be expressed as $\langle S_y \rangle = 4\pi e \tau D \lambda E_x$, where $D = m/2\pi\hbar^2$ is the density of state per spin, the lifetime τ is the momentum relaxation time and $\lambda = \alpha \langle E_z \rangle / \hbar$ represents the Rashba interaction²⁹. As the spin photoconduction excited by the left or right light is proportional to the carriers spin polarization $\langle S_y \rangle$, the spin photocurrent should present the square relationship with the electrical field, that is $j_{s_E} = \sigma_s E_x \propto E_x^2$. While for the magnetism induced valence band polarization, the related spin photoconduction has nothing to do with the electrical field, so the spin photocurrent can be expressed as $j_{s_M} = \sigma_s E_x \propto E_x$. Theoretically, since the magnetism induced spin polarization above currier temperature almost disappears, j_{s_M} proportional to E_x inclines to zero, the measured signal is dominated by j_{s_E} proportional to E_x^2 . So the bias dependence of the signal above and below currier temperature is necessary, which help us to confirm the existence of j_{s_M} and j_{s_E} . As shown in



Figure 4. Voltage dependence of the spin photocurrent corresponding to (**a**) 1.44 eV at 210 K and (**b**) 1.48 eV at 110 K detected in the (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction. The red solid line is the fit line, the blue dotted line is the square item of the fit $(j_{s_E} = \sigma_s E_x \propto E_x^2)$, which is non-magnetic related signal, and the purple dotted line is the linear item of the fit $(j_{s_M} = \sigma_s E_x \propto E_x^2)$, which is related to the magnetism.



Figure 5. (a) Temperature dependence of the spin photocurrent (photoconduction) corresponding to the peak value detected in the (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction with a bias of -2V (black squares) and 2V (red circles). The blue regular triangle line is the magnetism related photoconduction, calculated by $(\sigma_s E_{2V} - \sigma_s E_{-2V})/2$. The green inverted triangle line is the CISP related photoconduction, calculated by $(\sigma_s E_{2V} + \sigma_s E_{-2V})/2$. Inset on the top right corner is the ordinary photoconduction σ_0 on the dependence of temperature under a bias of -2V. (b) Temperature dependence of the SPD-PC corresponding to the peak value detected in the (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction. The black sphere line and the red star line are the normalized results of the blue regular triangle line and the green inverted triangle line in Fig. 5(a) [calculated by $(\sigma_s E_{2V} - \sigma_s E_{-2V})/2\sigma_0$ and $(\sigma_s E_{2V} + \sigma_s E_{-2V})/2\sigma_0$], respectively. The green triangle line is the remnant magnetization on the dependence of temperature.

Fig. 4(a), the spin photocurrent corresponding to 1.44 eV at 210 K presents an obvious square dependence on voltage, which indicates the electrical field induced spin polarization is predominant when the temperature is above the Curie temperature. Similar experimental phenomena can also be observed in low-dimensional doped non-magnetic samples (see Supplementary Fig. S1 of the Supplementary Information). While in Fig. 4(b), an obvious linear voltage dependence of the spin photocurrent corresponding to 1.48 eV at 110 K is shown, which indicates an obvious magnetic signal below the Curie temperature. When reversing the bias, the spin photoconduction from the magnetism changes sign while from the CISP keeps unchanged. This provides us a method to extract the magnetism related j_{s_M} by the subtraction of the signals at V and -V, which can be expressed as $j_{s_M} = (\sigma_s E_V - \sigma_s E_{-V})/2$, similarly the CISP related j_{s_E} can be extracted by $j_{s_E} = (\sigma_s E_V + \sigma_s E_{-V})/2$. Figure 5(a) shows the temperature dependence of the spin photocurrent (photoconduction) corresponding to the peak value detected in the (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction with a bias of -2V (black squares, $\tau_s E_{-2V}$) and 2V (red circles, $\tau_s E_{2V}$). The blue regular triangle line is j_{s_M} calculate by $(\sigma_s E_{2V} - \sigma_s E_{-2V})/2$. The green inverted triangle line is j_{s_W} calculate by $(\sigma_s E_{2V} + \sigma_s E_{-2V})/2$. Figure 5(b) shows the temperature dependence of the SPD-PC corresponding to the peak value detected in the (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction. The black sphere line and the red star line are the normalized results of the blue regular triangle line and the green inverted triangle line in Fig. 5(a) [calculated by $(\sigma_s E_{2V} - \sigma_s E_{-2V})/2\sigma_0$ and $(\sigma_s E_{2V} + \sigma_s E_{-2V})/2\sigma_0]$, respectively. Consequently, we can remove the CISP related signals and obtain the magnetism related SPD-PC [the black sphere line in Fig. 5(b)]. Compared to the $M \sim T$ curve, we find that the SPD-PC from the magnetism indeed vanishes beyond the Curie temperature [see the black sphere line in Fig. 5(b)]. In addition, we also find that the SPD-PC from the magnetism is quite different to that from the CISP [see the red star line in Fig. 5(b)]. The comparison between the two cases will be given elsewhere.

In conclusion, this work provides an optical way to generate the SPD-PC in (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction without magnetic field. The spin polarized photocurrent controlled by optical and electric method realizes zero magnetic field spin manipulation which is the development direction of spintronics. The anisotropic magnetic related SPD-PC is attributed to three kinds of mechanisms, the first is self-producing due to valence band polarization of (Ga,Mn)As, the second is unequal intensity of left-handed light and right-handed light reaching to GaAs to generate unequal spin polarized carriers in GaAs layer, and the third is (Ga,Mn)As as the spin filter layer for spin transport from GaAs to (Ga,Mn)As. The SPD-PC demonstrates that the interface between (Ga,Mn)As and GaAs can realize effective spin injection and manipulation. While varying temperature experiment indicates that the SPD-PC is mixed with the magnetic uncorrelated signals, which may come from current induced spin polarization.

Methods

Sample preparation. Our *p*-type (Ga,Mn)As thin film has been deposited by molecular beam epitaxy at 200 °C onto a 100 nm undoped GaAs buffer layer grown on a semi-insulating GaAs (001) substrate. The Mn content and thickness of the magnetic layer are 8% and 20 nm, respectively. Compressive strain due to the GaAs buffer layer makes the (Ga,Mn)As layer result in the in-plane magnetic anisotropy^{21,30}. By using magneto-transport and direct magnetization measurements, the (Ga,Mn)As sample shows obvious magnetic anisotropy and the magnetic easy axis is along [110] direction. The Curie temperature, from the magnetic measurement by a dc superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) as a function of temperature, was determined to be 156 K. Besides, another sample of GaAs without (Ga,Mn)As layer was also prepared for contrast experiment.

Measurement. The spin-polarized photoconduction were measured by applying a DC bias 3 V on the two contacts. A mode-locked Ti:sapphire laser goes through a polarizer and a photoelastic modulator(PEM), so the measured spin-polarized photoconduction is actually the photoconduction difference of (σ^+) and (σ^-). No magnetic field was applied and all the signals were collected by the lock-in amplifier. Meanwhile we also measured the polarization-independent photoconduction to monitor the total number of photoinduced carriers. The spin-polarized photoconduction is normalized by the polarization-independent photoconduction, which is called as spin polarization degree of photoconduction (SPD-PC).

References

- 1. Tesařová, N. et al. Experimental observation of the optical spin-orbit torque. Nature Photonics 7, 492-498 (2013).
- 2. Weber, C. et al. Rapid diffusion of electrons in GaMnAs. Applied Physics Letters 102, 182402 (2013).
- Münzhuber, F., Kiessling, T., Ossau, W., Molenkamp, L. & Astakhov, G. Optical power-driven electron spin relaxation regime crossover in Mn-doped bulk GaAs. *Physical Review B* 92, 115208 (2015).
- 4. Mackowski, S. et al. Optically-induced magnetization of CdMnTe self-assembled quantum dots. Applied Physics Letters 84, 3337-3339 (2004).
- Chen, L. et al. Enhancing the curie temperature of ferromagnetic semiconductor (Ga,Mn)As to 200 K via nanostructure engineering. Nano letters 11, 2584–2589 (2011).
- Chen, L. et al. Low-temperature magnetotransport behaviors of heavily mn-doped (Ga,Mn)As films with high ferromagnetic transition temperature. Applied Physics Letters 95, 182505 (2009).
- 7. Beschoten, B. *et al.* Magnetic circular dichroism studies of carrier-induced ferromagnetism in $(Ga_{1-x}Mn_x)As$. *Physical review letters* **83**, 3073 (1999).
- Oiwa, A., Mitsumori, Y., Moriya, R., Słupinski, T. & Munekata, H. Effect of optical spin injection on ferromagnetically coupled Mn spins in the III–V magnetic alloy semiconductor (Ga,Mn)As. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 137202 (2002).
- 9. Bezerra, A. T. *et al.* Generation and control of spin-polarized photocurrents in GaMnAs heterostructures. *Applied Physics Letters* **104**, 022105 (2014).
- 10. Olbrich, P. et al. Spin-polarized electric currents in diluted magnetic semiconductor heterostructures induced by terahertz and microwave radiation. *Physical Review B* 86, 085310 (2012).
- 11. Chakarvorty, R. et al. Common origin of ferromagnetism and band edge zeeman splitting in GaMnAs at low Mn concentrations. Applied Physics Letters **91**, 171118 (2007).
- Ando, K., Saito, H., Agarwal, K., Debnath, M. & Zayets, V. Origin of the anomalous magnetic circular dichroism spectral shape in ferromagnetic Ga_{1-x}Mn_xAs: Impurity bands inside the band gap. *Physical review letters* 100, 067204 (2008).
- Berciu, M. et al. Origin of magnetic circular dichroism in GaMnAs: Giant zeeman splitting versus spin dependent density of states. Physical review letters 102, 247202 (2009).
- 14. Gould, C. *et al.* Spin injection into semiconductors using dilute magnetic semiconductors. *Applied surface science* **190**, 395–402 (2002).
- 15. Ohno, Y. et al. Electrical spin injection in a ferromagnetic semiconductor heterostructure. Nature 402, 790-792 (1999).
- 16. Fiederling, R. et al. Injection and detection of a spin-polarized current in a light-emitting diode. Nature 402, 787-790 (1999).
- Tanaka, M. & Higo, Y. Large tunneling magnetoresistance in GaMnAs/AlAs/GaMnAs ferromagnetic semiconductor tunnel junctions. *Physical Review Letters* 87, 026602 (2001).
- Akiba, N. *et al.* Interlayer exchange in (Ga,Mn)As/(Al,Ga)As/(Ga,Mn)As semiconducting ferromagnet/nonmagnet/ferromagnet trilayer structures. *Applied physics letters* 73, 2122–2124 (1998).
- 19. Moss, T. S., Burrell, G. J. & Ellis, B. Semiconductor opto-electronics 137-141 (Butterworth-Heinemann, 1976).
- 20. Tsymbal, E. Y. & Zutic, I. Handbook of spin transport and magnetism 389-390 (CRC press, 2011).
- 21. Abolfath, M., Jungwirth, T., Brum, J. & MacDonald, A. Theory of magnetic anisotropy in III_{1-x}Mn_xV ferromagnets. *Physical Review B* **63**, 054418 (2001).
- Casey, Jr., H., Sell, D. & Wecht, K. Concentration dependence of the absorption coefficient for n- and p- type GaAs between 1.3 and 1.6 ev. *Journal of Applied Physics* 46, 250–257 (1975).
- da Silva, L. L., Boselli, M., da Cunha Lima, I., Wang, X. & Ghazali, A. Spin-polarized transport in GaMnAs multilayers. Applied Physics Letters 79, 3305–3307 (2001).

- 24. Mendez, E., Price, P. & Heiblum, M. Temperature dependence of the electron mobility in GaAs-GaAlAs heterostructures. *Applied Physics Letters* **45**, 294–296 (1984).
- Saffarzadeh, A. & Shokri, A. A. Quantum theory of tunneling magnetoresistance in GaMnAs/GaAs/GaMnAs heterostructures. Journal of magnetism and magnetic materials 305, 141–146 (2006).
- 26. Stern, N. et al. Current-induced polarization and the spin hall effect at room temperature. Physical review letters 97, 126603 (2006).
- Yang, C. et al. Spectral dependence of spin photocurrent and current-induced spin polarization in an InGaAs/InAlAs twodimensional electron gas. *Physical review letters* 96, 186605 (2006).
- 28. Silov, A. Y. et al. Current-induced spin polarization at a single heterojunction. Applied physics letters 85, 5929-5931 (2004).
- 29. Inoue, J.-i., Bauer, G. E. & Molenkamp, L. W. Diffuse transport and spin accumulation in a rashba two-dimensional electron gas. *Physical Review B* **67**, 033104 (2003).
- 30. Shen, A. *et al.* Epitaxy of (Ga,Mn)As, a new diluted magnetic semiconductor based on GaAs. *Journal of crystal growth* 175, 1069–1074 (1997).

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (61474114, 11574302), the 973 program (2015CB921503 and 2013CB632805), National key research and development program (2016YFB0402303 and 2016YFB0400101).

Author Contributions

Q.W. and Y.C. conceived the experiment. Q.W. conducted the experiments, collected the data and performed analysis of the data. H.W. and J.Z. provided the sample. Q.W., Y. Liu., and Y.C. wrote the manuscript. Q.W., Y. Liu., Y.Li., W.H. and Y.C. contributed to the analysis for the results. All the authors discussed the results and reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Wu, Q. *et al.* Observation of spin-polarized photoconductivity in (Ga,Mn)As/GaAs heterojunction without magnetic field. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 40558; doi: 10.1038/srep40558 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017