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# Making the unconventional $\mu^{2}-\mathrm{P}$ bridging binding mode more conventional in phosphinine complexes $\dagger$ 
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#### Abstract

Phosphinines, as aromatic heterocycles, usually engage in coordination as $\eta^{1}-P \sigma$-complexes or $\eta^{6}$ phosphinine $\pi$-complexes. The $\mu^{2}$-P bridging coordination mode is rarely observed. With the aim to study the effect of different electronic configurations of phosphinines on the coordination modes, a series of anionic phosphinin-2-olates and neutral phosphinin-2-ols were prepared with moderate to high yield. Then the coordination chemistry of these two series was studied in detail towards coinage metals ( $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ ). It is observed that the anionic phosphinin-2-olates possess a higher tendency to take a bridging position between two metal centers compared to the neutral phosphinin-2-ols. Based on these experimental findings bolstered by DFT calculations, some insight is gained on how the unconventional $\mu^{2}-P$ phosphinine bridging coordination mode can be made more conventional and used for the synthesis of polynuclear complexes.


## Introduction

Phosphinines have been suggested as useful ligands in homogeneously catalysed processes ${ }^{1}$ and valuable components for photoluminescent materials. ${ }^{2}$ As compared to their homologous pyridines, phosphinines are relatively weak $\sigma$-donor but strong $\pi$-acceptor ligands. ${ }^{3}$ Thus, the coordination mode of phosphinines through the phosphorus atom is the most


Scheme 1 Coordination modes of phosphinines.

[^0]common one and is frequently observed with late transition metals in low oxidation states ( $\mathbf{I}$, Scheme 1). ${ }^{1 b-d, 3 e, 4}$ It is rather challenging to prepare phosphinine complexes with metal centers in medium-to-high oxidation states because the smaller metal-to-ligand $\pi$-back donation leads to a reduced stability. ${ }^{5}$ But some of these complexes can be accessed with chelating polydentate phosphinines. ${ }^{6}$ Like other aromatic molecules with a delocalized $6 \pi$-electron system, phosphinines can also bind in a $\eta^{6}$-fashion to metal centers (II, Scheme 1). ${ }^{7}$

A relatively unusual coordination mode of phosphinines consists in bridging of two metal centers although a first example has been reported by Venanzi and co-workers more than 25 years ago (III, Scheme 1). ${ }^{8}$ It was suggested that the phosphorus atom of the phosphinine acts as two-electron donor only. Since then, few transition metal complexes with phosphinines as $\mu^{2}$-P bridging ligands have been reported (for a detailed listing of these complexes see Scheme 9 below). ${ }^{2 c, 9,10}$ Hartl et al. proposed that the $\mu^{2}$-bridging phosphorus atoms of a 2,2-biphosphinine as ligand in a triosmium cluster have a sp ${ }^{3}$ valence electron configuration and serve as four-electron donors (vide infra). ${ }^{9 c, 9 d}$ It is generally agreed that the metal centers donate significantly electron density back into $\pi$-type orbitals at the ligand. ${ }^{9,10}$

Recently, Mathey, et al. have reported phosphinin-2-ol, a functionalized phosphinine, which can adopt a $\mu^{2}$-P bridging coordination mode towards $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ centers besides the common $\eta^{1}$-P mode. ${ }^{11}$ The synthetic access to phosphinin-2-ol or phosphinin-2-olate was quite laborious and required multistep syntheses. ${ }^{12}$ A simple and straight forward approach for the preparation of parent phosphinin-2-olate in high yield
consists in the $[2+4]$ cycloaddition of $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P})$ to $\alpha$-pyrones which proceeds under extrusion of $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \cdot{ }^{10,13}$ After protonation with HCl , phosphinin-2-ol was isolated as colourless oil. We have now extended this approach and here we report the synthesis of a series of phosphinin-2-olates which were obtained with commercially available $\alpha$-pyrones. The corresponding phosphinin-2-ols were prepared after simple protonation reactions. The coordination chemistry was investigated through the synthesis of various complexes with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ as metal centers with the aim to study the effect of the different electronic configurations of anionic phosphin-2-olates and neutral phosphinin-2-ols on the coordiantion modes.

## Results

Density functional theory calculations (BP86/def2-TZVPP) ${ }^{14}$ were carried out for the parent phosphinine, $\mathrm{PC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$, the neutral phosphinin-2-ol, 2-(HO) $\mathrm{PC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, and the anion phosphinin-2olate, $\left[2-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{PC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right]^{-}$. The optimized structures were used for subsequent natural resonance theory (NRT) calculations. ${ }^{15}$ As expected, the structure with a delocalized $6 \pi$-electron configuration in the ring dominates the electronic ground state of $\mathrm{PC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ with $70.9 \%$ while zwitterionic structures contribute with $9.1 \%$ and $8.5 \%$ [see (A) in Scheme 2]. Only the latter has two electron pairs at the phosphorus center. Also in 2-(HO) $\mathrm{PC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ the structure with a delocalized $6 \pi$-electron configuration is the main-contributor, but two zwitterionic structures each with two lone pairs at the phosphorus center contribute with a total of $12.5 \%$ to the electronic ground state. The weight of individual resonance structures to the electronic ground state of the anionic phosphinin-2-olate is profoundly different. Here the structure with a $6 \pi$-electron configuration contributes only with $33.2 \%$ while the two structures with two lone pairs at phosphorus contribute almost equally with $30.4 \%$. This weighting scheme does not change significantly when a $[\mathrm{Na}(18$-crown$\left.6)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{+}$cation is included in the NRT calculation ( $33.5 \%$, $18.6 \%, 10.0 \%, 19.6 \%$; same order of resonance structures as given in Scheme 2). These results predict that the phosphinin-2olate possesses a higher tendency to take a bridging position


Scheme 2 Resonance structures obtained by NRT computations on parent phosphinine (A), phosphinin-2-ol (B), and phosphinin-2-olate (C). Structures with circles or bows indicate an overlay of several similar resonance structures; the given percentages are the sum of the individual contributions of these electronic configurations.
between metal centers and act as a four-electron donor when compared to neutral phosphinines.

The positive charge determined by Natural Population Analyses (NPA) at the phosphorus atom decreases in the following order: phosphinine ( +0.63 e) > phosphinin-2-ol ( +0.49 e) > phosphinin-2-olate ( +0.27 e), which agrees with the results from the NRT analysis.

We used a slightly modified procedure compared to our original one, ${ }^{13}$ to prepare a range of sodium phosphinin-2olates 1a-1d (Scheme 3). These were prepared as yellowish solids with moderate to high yield in reactions between $\alpha$ pyrones and $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{OCP}) .{ }^{16}$ The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra show a singlet at $\delta=140.3,133.2,151.6$, and 149.3 ppm , respectively, for the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ nucleus of $\mathbf{1 a - 1 d}$. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, a diagnostic doublet in the range of $\delta=209.3-212.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ with coupling constants ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=41.9-47.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ are observed for the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nucleus C1 of 1a-1d. To reach completeness, the reaction mixtures need heating for several hours at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Only for 1 d , which is prepared from an $\alpha$-pyrone with a carboxylate group, the reaction is complete within 10 min at room temperature. Electronwithdrawing groups activate $\alpha$-pyrones in [4+2] cycloadditions. ${ }^{17}$

Subsequently the sodium phosphinin-2-olates 1a-1d were treated with anhydrous hydrochloric acid to give the phosphinin-2-ols 2a-2d in almost quantitative yield (Scheme 3). Singlets in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra at 131.4, 129.0, 146.2, and 147.9 ppm are observed for $2 \mathbf{2 a - 2 d}$, respectively, which are slightly shifted to lower frequencies when compared to the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of the corresponding anions. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, a sharp singlet at 5.97 (2a), 5.68 (2b), 5.71 (2c), and $6.89 \mathrm{ppm}(2 \mathbf{d})$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ is assigned to the proton of the hydroxyl group and confirms the successful preparation of phosphinin-2ols. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, a doublet in the range of $161.0-$ 193.1 ppm with coupling constants ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}$ between 37.4 and 52.5 Hz is observed for the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nucleus C 1 of the phosphinin-2ols.

The solid-state structure of anionic phosphinin-2-olate 1a was determined as the 18 -crown- 6 adduct (Fig. 1a). But all attempts to obtain suitable single crystals for the neutral phosphinin-2-ols failed. With the aim to obtain a solid-state structure of a neutral phosphinine with a similar skeleton as seen in 1a, compound 3 was prepared by treating 1a with triphenyltin chloride as electrophile (Scheme 4). Single crystals of 3 suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were obtained and allowed to determine the structure of $\mathbf{3}$ and a comparison of the bonding parameters of an anionic and neutral phosphinine derivative (Fig. 1a and b). Notably, the P-C bond lengths, P1-C1


Scheme 3 Synthesis of compounds phosphinin-2-olates 1a-1d and neutral phosphinin-2-ols 2a-2d.



Fig. 1 Molecular structures of 1 a and 3 in the solid state ( H atoms and solvent were omitted for clarity; 50\% probability thermal ellipsoids). Selected distances [Å] and angles []: (a) 1a: P1-C1 1.789(2), C1-C2 1.428(2), C2-C3 1.401(2), C3-C4 1.416(2), C4-C5 1.387(2), C5-P1 $1.759(2), \mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 11.276(2), \mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Na} 12.260$ (1), C1-P1-C5 102.2(7). (b) 3: Sn1-O1 2.028 (2), P1-C1 1.760(2), C1-C2 1.399(3), C2-C3 1.396(3), C3-C4 1.404(3), C4-C5 1.389(3), C5-P1 1.752(2), C1-O1 1.330(3), Sn1-O1-C1 134.1(1), P1-C1-O1 120.5(2), C1-P1-C5 100.8(1).
$=1.79 \AA, \mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 5=1.76 \AA$, in 1 a are different and slightly longer compared to neutral 3. There, both $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds are almost equal in lengths, $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 1=1.76 \AA$ and $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 5=1.75 \AA$. In both compounds, the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths are in between single and double P-C distances $\left(\Sigma r_{\text {cov }}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C})=1.86 \AA, \Sigma r_{\text {cov }}(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{C})=1.69\right.$ $\AA)^{18}$ and are in the range of P-C bond distances of neutral phosphinines $(1.73-1.77 \AA) .{ }^{19}$ The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in $1 \mathrm{a}(1.28 \AA)$ is significantly shorter than in $3(1.33 \AA)\left(\Sigma r_{\text {cov }}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})=1.38 \AA\right.$; $\left.\Sigma r_{\text {cov }}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})=1.24 \AA\right) .{ }^{18}$ Furthermore, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds in 1 a show alternating bond lengths and vary between $1.39 \AA$ and $1.43 \AA$ while they are shorter and vary less in neutral 3 . These observations indicate a stronger perturbation of the delocalized $6 \pi$ electron configuration of the $\mathrm{PC}_{5}$ ring in 1a compared to 3 and point to a stronger contribution of the resonance structures with two lone pairs at phosphorus center as discussed above.

All four phosphinin-2-ols $2 \mathbf{a}-2 d$ were reacted with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{Cl}$ (Scheme 5) to give complexes $\mathbf{4 a - 4 d}$ as yellowish powders in high yield ( $87-97 \%$ ). Upon coordination to $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{I}\right.$ ), the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR resonances (4a: $\delta=104.1,4 \mathrm{~b}: \delta=102.0,4 \mathrm{c}: \delta=114.5,4 \mathrm{~d}$ : $\delta=122.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) are shifted to lower frequencies compared to the free ligands 2a-2d.

The rather similar coordination shift, $\Delta^{\text {coord }}=[\delta($ complex $)-$ $\delta(\mathbf{2 a}-\mathbf{2 d})]$, of about -30 ppm indicates similar electronic configurations at the phosphorus center in solution for all complexes including $\mathbf{4 b}$ (vide infra). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra show the proton of the OH groups as singlets at high frequencies in the range of 9.48-10.61 ppm which indicates the formation of hydrogen-bonds (see below).

For all complexes 4a-4d single crystals were grown and subjected to X-ray diffraction studies. Plots of the structures are shown in Fig. 2. The structure of $\mathbf{4 a}$ is best described as


Scheme 4 Synthesis of compound 3.


Scheme 5 Synthesis of copper(I) complexes 4a-4d.
a coordination polymer which consists of four-membered $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})_{2}$ rings as building blocks. In addition, each of the bridging $\mu-\mathrm{Cl}$ centers binds to a third $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ center of a neighbouring $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})_{2}$ ring such that 1D polymer with a ladder structure is obtained in which the Cl centers take an overall $\mu^{3}$ binding mode. The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}$ lengths vary between $2.33 \AA$ and 2.50 $\AA$. The transannular $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ distance is long ( $3.27 \AA$ ) and excludes any metal-metal interaction. The distorted tetrahedral coordination sphere at each $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ center is completed by a terminal non-bridging phosphinine ligand at $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{P} 1=2.18 \AA$. With the sterically less hindered phosphinin- 2 -ol $\mathbf{2 b}$, a dinuclear $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complex is obtained in which the ratio of Cu to phosphinine is $1: 2$. One of the phosphinine ligands takes an asymmetric bridging mode as shown for IV in Scheme 1. Significantly different Cu-P distances are observed. While the distances Cu1-P1 $=2.25 \AA$ and Cu1-P2 $=2.24 \AA$ are slightly shorter than in the other two known $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ phosphinine complexes, ${ }^{2 c, 11}$ the Cu1'-P2 distance is significantly longer at $2.56 \AA$. Furthermore, the angles $\alpha(\mathrm{ct}-\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 1)=169.2^{\circ}$ and $\beta(\mathrm{ct}-\mathrm{P} 2-$ $\left.\mathrm{Cu} 1^{\prime}\right)=116.2^{\circ}$ likewise differ by $53^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{ct}=\right.$ center of the $\mathrm{PC}_{5}$ ring $)$. A simple way to describe the structure of $\mathbf{4 b}$ is to assume that two $\mathrm{CuCl}(\mathbf{2 b})$ units with a T -shape structure and the two phosphinines in trans-position to each other ( $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{P} 2=$ $133.4^{\circ}$ ) form a head-to-tail dimer. ${ }^{20}$ The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ distance ( $2.70 \AA$ ) in $\mathbf{4 b}$ is much shorter than in $\mathbf{4 a}$ indicating a $\mathrm{Cu} \cdots \mathrm{Cu}$ interaction. The peculiar structure of $\mathbf{4 b}$ in combination with the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum, which shows only one signal with a chemical shift similar to the other complexes $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 c}$, and $\mathbf{4 d}$, strongly suggests that $\mathbf{4 b}$ either dissociates into monomers containing only mono-dentate phosphinine ligands in solution, or shows a dynamic phenomenon by which the phosphines rapidly change between a bridging and non-bridging position, or rearranges in solution into a structure like $4 \mathbf{c .}^{11}$ The structures of $\mathbf{4 c}$ and $\mathbf{4 d}$ contain again a $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})_{2}$ core and either two


Fig. 2 Molecular structures of $4 a-4 d$ in the solid state ( $H$ atoms except the ones from hydroxyl groups and solvents were omitted for clarity; $50 \%$ probability thermal ellipsoids). Selected distances [Å]: (a) 4a: Cu1-Cu1' 3.268, Cu1-Cl1 2.3301(16), Cu1-Cl1' 2.4550(16), Cu1Cl1" 2.5019(19), Cu1-P1 2.1815, P1-C1 1.728(7), C1-C2 1.388(8), C2C3 1.396(8), C3-C4 1.392(9), C4-C5 1.402(8), P1-C5 1.730(6), C1-O1 1.373(8), O1-Cl1' 3.271; (b) 4b: Cu1-Cu1' 2.6976(10), Cu1-P1 2.2534(10), Cu1-Cl1 2.3378(9), Cu1-P2 2.2424(9), Cu1-P2' 2.5648(10), P1-C1 1.745(4), C1-C2 1.391(5), C2-C3 1.388(6), C3-C4 1.398(6), C4-C5 1.378(5), P1-C5 1.736(4), C1-O1 1.357(5), O1-Cl1 3.066; (c) 4c: Cu1-Cu1 3.0378(8), Cu1-Cl1 2.3946(8), Cu1-Cl1' 2.4269(8), Cu1-P1 2.2276(7), Cu1-P2 2.2254(7), P1-C1 1.744(3), C1C2 1.392(4), C2-C3 1.379(5), C3-C4 1.402(5), C4-C5 1.389(4), P1-C5 1.724(3), C1-O1 1.344(4), O1-Cl1 3.272; (d) 4d: Cu1-Cu1' 3.261, Cu1Cl1 2.4067(11), Cu1-Cl1' 2.4347(11), Cu1-N1 1.974(4), Cu1-P1 2.1799(10), P1-C1 1.747(4), C1-C2 1.396(5), C2-C3 1.384(6), C3-C4 1.403(5), C4-C5 1.390(5), P1-C5 1.720(4), C1-O1 1.354(5), O1-Cl1' 3.097.
phosphinines, as in $\mathbf{4 c}$, or one, as in $\mathbf{4 d}$, is bound in a nonbridging terminal fashion to the Cu center with $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{P}$ distances in the range of 2.18-2.23 A. In both complexes the coordination sphere around Cu corresponds to a slightly distorted tetrahedron. Note, that the hydroxyl group of both, the bridging and non-bridging phosphinine, in all compounds isolated so far is involved in hydrogen-bonding to a Cl center
$(\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl} \approx 2.36 \AA$; cf. sum of van der Waals radii of $2.95 \AA$ ) in accord with the high frequency shift observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra which indicates that these $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ bridges persist in solution. This feature has also been observed by Mathey et al. in $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes with the unsubstituted parent phos-phinin-2-ol. ${ }^{11}$ Importantly, the C -C bonds within the $\mathrm{PC}_{5}$ ring vary only very little from an average value of $1.39 \AA$ indicating that in all solid state structures of $\mathbf{4 a - 4 d}$ the phosphinine ring maintains a delocalized $6 \pi$-electron system.

We investigated also the anionic phosphinin-2-olates 1a-1d in reactions with CuCl. However, only products which are NMR silent were obtained which we could not further characterize. But when $1 \mathbf{f}$ was reacted with one equivalent of CuCl in the presence of 1 equiv. of triphenylphosphane, an orange compound of composition $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{4}(\mathbf{1 f})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]$ (5) was obtained quantitatively (Scheme 6). In the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, only broad resonances are observed likely due to exchange phenomena. Suitable single crystals were grown by layering a dichloromethane solution with hexane and these were subjected to a X-ray diffraction study. A plot of the structure of 5 is shown in Fig. 3. The compound consists of a $\mathrm{Cu}_{4}$ tetrahedron $(\mathrm{Cu} \cdots \mathrm{Cu}=3.63 \AA$, averaged) in which each $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ adopts a distorted tetrahedral coordination sphere. One coordination site is occupied by the P atom of triphenylphosphane (Cu3-P7: 2.29 Å), the second of the O atom of the phosphinin-2-olate unit and the remaining two sites are taken by the P atoms of two phosphinine moieties each of which takes a bridging position between two Cu centers ( $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{P} 1=2.32 \AA$; Cu2-P1 $=2.28 \AA$ ). The four sets of $\alpha$ or $\beta$ angles (see Scheme 1) within this complex are within a narrow range between $126.2^{\circ}$ to $129.5^{\circ}$. The phosphorus atom of the planar $\mathrm{PC}_{5}$ ring is likewise in a distorted tetrahedral environment. The structure of the phosphinine ring does change slightly upon coordination to both copper centers when compared to the free ligand $\mathbf{1 a}$. The difference between both P-C bonds ( $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 1=1.784 \AA$; $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 5=1.746 \AA$ ) is slightly larger as is the variance of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds which show a more pronounced long-short-long-short alternation (C1-C2 $1.424 \AA$, C2-C3 $1.365 \AA, 1.390 \AA, 1.349 \AA$ ). The only related structure of a tetranuclear aggregate we could find is the one of a cesium salt of 6-methy-2-pyridonate in which N centers bridge each two $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$ cations. ${ }^{21}$

The coordination chemistry of the neutral 2-hyrdoxyphosphinine 2a and anionic phosphinin-2-olate 1a towards $\left[\operatorname{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)\right]$ was investigated. The results with 2a as ligand are summarized in Scheme 7. After 2 h the reaction was complete and gave complex 6 as yellow powder in $61 \%$ isolated yield. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, a singlet at $\delta=10.8 \mathrm{ppm}$ is observed which is assigned to the hydroxyl group. In the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum, a shielded chemical shift of $\delta=117.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ is observed for 6 as compared to that of $\delta=131.4 \mathrm{ppm}$ of free


Scheme 6 Synthesis of copper(I) complex 5.


Fig. 3 Molecular structure of 5 in the solid state ( H atoms and solvents were omitted for clarity; 50\% probability thermal ellipsoids). Selected distances [Å] and angles [$]:$ : Cu1-P1 2.322(2), Cu2-P1 2.285(2), Cu3P7 2.295(2), P1-C1 1.784(6), C1-C2 1.424(8), C2-C3 1.365(9), C3-C4 1.390(9), C4-C5 1.349(9), C5-P1 1.746(6), C1-O1 1.296(7), O1-Cu3 2.003(4),; Cu1-P1-Cu2 101.32(7), C1-P1-C5 102.9(3)
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Scheme 7 Synthesis of complexes 6-8.
ligand 2a. The molecular structure of $\mathbf{6}$, determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Fig. 4a), shows a classical linear $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complex $\left(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Cl}=178^{\circ}\right)$ in which the phosphinine binds as a mono-dentate two-electron donor ligand (Au1-P1 = $2.21 \AA$ ). The phosphorus center resides in a trigonal planar coordination environment $\left[\Sigma(\mathrm{P} 1)=360^{\circ}\right]$, the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds ( $\mathrm{P} 1-$ C1 $1.72 \AA$, C5-P1 $1.74 \AA$ ) are slightly shorter and of similar length compared to the $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$-substituted neutral derivative 3. The $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ bond is $1.35 \AA$ long and the hydroxyl group forms a hydrogen bridge to the nitrogen center of an acetonitrile molecule with $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{N} 1=2.73 \AA$ (Fig. 4a), which agrees with the high frequency shift of the hydroxyl proton in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR



Fig. 4 Molecular structures of 6 and 7 in the solid state ( $H$ atoms except the ones from hydroxyl groups and solvents except the one engaging in hydrogen-bond were omitted for clarity; $50 \%$ probability thermal ellipsoids). Selected distances [Å] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: (a) 6: Au1-P1 2.210(2), Au1-Cl1 2.273(2), P1-C1 1.720(8), C1-C2 1.396(11), C2-C3 1.407(10), C3-C4 1.395(11), C4-C5 1.378(11), C5-P1 1.743(8), C1-O1 1.353(10), O1-N1 2.732, P1-Au1-Cl1 178.20(8); (b) 7: Au1-P1 2.2946(17), Au1-P2 2.2958(16), P1-C1 1.783(7), C1-C2 1.441(9), C2C3 1.379(9), C3-C4 1.427(9), C4-C5 1.369(9), C5-P1 1.756(6), C1-O1 1.254(8), P1-Au1-P2 177.09(5), C1-P1-C5 107.2(3).
spectrum. Note, that no further coordination occurs when 6 is treated with excess of $\left[\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)\right]$.

The anionic heterocycle 1a was treated with an equimolar mixture of $\left[\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)\right]$ and triphenylphosphane in THF. This gives the red $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complex $\left[\mathrm{Au}\left(\eta^{1}-1 \mathbf{a}\right) \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right](7)$ in $67 \%$ yield (Scheme 7). In the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum, two singlets are observed located at $\delta=89.6 \mathrm{ppm}$ for the phosphinine ring and $\delta=38.1 \mathrm{ppm}$ for the triphenylphosphine. The rather strong coordination shift $\Delta^{\text {coord }}=[\delta(7)-\delta(\mathbf{1 a})]=-50.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ indicates a significant change of the electronic configuration of the $\mathrm{PC}_{5}$ cycle upon coordination.

The result of an X-ray diffraction study with single crystals of 7 clearly shows these changes (Fig. 4b). Again, the gold(I) center resides in a two-fold linear coordination sphere ( $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P} 2=$ $177.1^{\circ} ; \mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P} 1=2.29 \AA ; \mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P} 2=2.30 \AA$ ). But the metrics of the coordinated phosphinine ring show significant changes when compared to the free ligand $1 \mathbf{1 a}$ and also to the $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complex 6. The $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ bond $(1.25 \AA)$ in 7 is shorter than in the free ligand and corresponds to a $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ double bond $\left(\Sigma r_{\text {cov }}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})=1.38 \AA\right.$, $\left.\Sigma r_{\text {cov }}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})=1.24 \AA\right) .{ }^{18}$ The C-C bonds show a pronounced long-short-long-short alternation (C1-C2 1.441 £, C2-C3 1.379 A, 1.427 $\AA, 1.369 \AA$ ) exceeding slightly the one seen in the tetranuclear copper compound 5 . Most remarkable is the significant deviation of the $\left[\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$unit from co-planarity with the $\mathrm{PC}_{5}$ ring. This is reflected by the angle $\alpha(\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{ct})=156^{\circ}$ and the sum of bond angles around $\mathrm{P} 1,\left[\Sigma(\mathrm{P} 1)=351.2^{\circ}\right]$. Nucleus independent chemical shift (NICS) values ${ }^{22}$ were calculated at $1 \AA$ above the $\mathrm{PC}_{5}$ ring plane using simplified models $\mathbf{6 H}$ and $\mathbf{7 H}$ where the phenyl substituents in 6 and 7 were replaced by hydrogens. A comparison with parent phosphinin-2-ol, 2-HO- $\mathrm{PC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}[\mathrm{NICS}(1)=$
-8.5 ppm ], shows that the aromaticity of the phosphinine ring is maintained in $\mathbf{6 H}[\operatorname{NICS}(1)=-8.7 \mathrm{ppm}]$. However, the smaller NICS(1) values of -3.0 (opposite to the AuCl fragment) and -2.4 ppm (same side as the AuCl fragment) in $7 \mathbf{H}$ compared to the free anion phosphinin-2-olate $(\mathrm{NICS}(1)=-4.4 \mathrm{ppm})$ indicate loss of aromaticity \{the difference between $7 \mathbf{H}$ and the one of the calculated structure of $\left[\mathrm{Na}\left(18 \text {-crown-6) }\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{+}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{PC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)^{-}\right.$, $\operatorname{NICS}(1)=-6.0 \mathrm{ppm}$, is even larger\}. The presence of a stereochemically active lone pair at P1 can be demonstrated by reacting 7 with a second equivalent of $\left[\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)\right]$ which cleanly leads to a new complex 8 in which the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ nucleus shows a strongly low-frequency shifted ( $\Delta^{\text {coord }}=-145.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) resonance at $\delta{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}=-5.2 \mathrm{ppm}$. Unfortunately, all attempts to obtain single crystals of $\mathbf{8}$ failed, but there is little doubt that the phosphinine takes a bridging position between two gold centers (see below). We calculated the structure of $\mathbf{8 H}$ which is shown in Fig. 5 together with $\mathbf{6 H}$ and $\mathbf{7 H}$. The agreement between the experimental and calculated bond parameters of $\mathbf{6 / 6 H}$ and $7 / 7 \mathbf{H}$ is satisfactory. Specifically, the Au-P bond length and the planar coordination sphere around $P$ is reproduced in $\mathbf{6 H}$ as well as the equilibrated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths in the $\mathrm{PC}_{5}$ ring. For $\mathbf{7 H}$, a trigonal pyramidalized coordination sphere is calculated $\left[\Sigma^{\circ}(\mathrm{P})=331.8^{\circ}\right]$ and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths alternate as observed experimentally. Also in $\mathbf{8 H}$, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds show a pronounced long-short-longshort alternation. Note, that the calculated $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds in $\mathbf{8 H}$ $\left(1.80 \AA, 1.89 \AA\right.$ ) are as long as $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ single bonds $\left[r_{\text {cov }}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C})=1.86\right.$ $\AA] .{ }^{18}$ The NICS(1) values for $\mathbf{8 H}$ were calculated and are found to be even slightly positive, 0.7 [adjacent to $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{Cl}]$ and 0.9 ppm \{adjacent to $\left.\left[\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}\right\}$. In combination, these data indicate that the electronic structure of 2-oxy-phosphinines is heavily distorted when bound in anionic form in a bridging position between two metal centers.

Note in this context, that a dinuclear gold(I) complex $\left[\mathrm{Au}_{2}(\mu-\right.$ $\mathrm{N}, O$-pyridinone $\left.)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ of the nitrogen analogue of 1 a , namely 2-pyridinonate, could be characterized by Schmidbaur and co-workers. ${ }^{23}$ In that complex, one $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ center binds to the N center and is almost coplanar with the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ ring while the second Au center binds to the O atom.

Finally, $\left[\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)\right]$ was reacted with phosphinin-2-olate 1a in the absence of a co-ligand like $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. In this case and under the assumption that 1a strongly prefers a $\mu^{2}$-bridging position,


Fig. 5 Optimized geometries for the simplified models $6 \mathrm{H}, 7 \mathrm{H}$, and 8H. Bond lengths (at BP86/def2-TZVPP) are given in Å.
oligomers can be expected which have structures similar to those of very well studied gold thiolates. ${ }^{24}$ The reaction between $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\left[\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)\right]$ in THF as solvent is complete within 2 h at room temperature. After precipitation with hexane, the product $\mathbf{9}\left[\mathrm{Au}_{5}\left(\mu^{2}-\mathbf{1 a}\right)_{5} \times(\mathrm{AuCl})\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)\right]$ was isolated as orange powder in $70 \%$ yield (Scheme 8). The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum shows a singlet at -3.2 ppm for the phosphorus nucleus. As for 9 , a very large coordination shift $\Delta^{\text {coord }}=-143.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ is observed. Note that in a related structurally characterized dinuclear $\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ phosphinine complex, in which the phosphinine bridges the two Au centers, a comparable large $\Delta^{\text {coord }}$ of -81.2 ppm has been observed. ${ }^{25}$

A single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis was used to unambiguously identify the structure of complex 9 (Fig. 6). A flat pentagon is obtained which consists of five $\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ centers symmetrically bridged by five $\mu^{2}-1$ a ligands arranged around $\mathrm{a}\left[\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)\right]$ moiety in the center. The Au-P distances vary in a very narrow range from $2.316(3) \AA$ to $2.324(4) \AA$. The $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ centers are linearly surrounded by two phosphorus centers ( $\mathrm{P}-$ $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P} 177^{\circ}$ ), the $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Au}$ angles are on the average $111^{\circ}\left(108^{\circ}\right.$ for ideal pentagon). The five sets of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ angles vary between $108.1^{\circ}$ and $142.1^{\circ}$. The averaged P-C bond lengths are $1.82 \AA$ in agreement with the predicted calculated value observed in $\mathbf{8 H}$ (Fig. 5) indicating P-C single bonds. ${ }^{18}$ Furthermore, the C-C bonds show the most pronounced long-short-long-short alternation of all structurally characterized complexes (C1-C2 $1.483 \AA$, C2-C3 $1.336 \AA, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 41.459 \AA, \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 51.353 \AA$ A) in full agreement with the calculated data for $\mathbf{8 H}$. The average $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ bond distance of $1.23 \AA$ indicates a $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ double bond. This clearly shows that the resonance structures with a formal negative charge and two lone pairs at the phosphorus center prevails in the electronic ground state as shown in Scheme 2C. That is, the electronic structure of 1a as bridging ligand is best described as the anion of 2-phospininone. A further remarkable feature of complex 9 is the inclusion of an $\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)$ unit in the center of the $\mathrm{Au}_{5}$ pentagon. This central $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ center is fixed by five "aurophilic" interactions with "magic" Au-Au distances of about $3.2 \AA .{ }^{26}$ While there are many reports on gold clusters with capping monodentate phosphines as ligands, ${ }^{27}$ polynuclear gold complexes with bridging phosphorus centers are still very rare and have only been reported very recently. ${ }^{28}$


Scheme 8 Synthesis of gold cluster 9.


Fig. 6 Molecular structure of 9 in the solid state ( H atoms and solvents were omitted for clarity; 50\% probability thermal ellipsoids). Selected distances $[\AA \AA]$ and angles [$]: ~ A u 1-A u 2 ~ 3.1424(7), ~ A u 1-A u 3 ~ 3.2960(7), ~$ Au1-Au4 3.1301(7), Au1-Au5 3.2908(7), Au1-Au6 3.2381(7), Au1-Cl1 2.298(3), Au1-S1 2.290(4), Au2-P1 2.316(3), Au2-P2 2.305(3), Au3-P2 2.324(4), Au3-P3 2.314(3), Au4-P3 2.321(3), Au4-P4 2.322(3), Au5-P4 2.319(3), Au5-P5 2.319(3), Au6-P5 2.314(3), Au6-P1 2.313(3), P1-C1 1.827(14), C1-C2 1.483(18), C2-C3 1.336(18), C3-C4 1.459(17), C4-C5 1.353(17), P1-C5 1.810(13), C1-O1 1.204(16), Au6-P1-Au2 112.89(12), P1-Au2-P2 177.21(12), C1-P1-C5 105.7(6).

## Discussion and conclusions

In summary, a straight forward synthesis of a series of phosphinin-2-olates and the corresponding phosphinin-2-ol derivatives has been developed by using readily accessible $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{OCP})$ and commercially available $\alpha$-pyrones. Yields are high to moderate. DFT calculations suggest that especially the anionic phosphinin-2-olates serve as flat bridging ligands while neutral 2-alkoxy and hydroxy-substituted phosphinines should prefer the classical terminal binding mode. This prediction was tested through reactions with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ halides as precursor complexes. In reactions with neutral 2 -hydroxyphosphinines, mostly complexes with a terminal bound phosphinine are observed.

These are characterized by a modest coordination shift, $\Delta^{\text {coord }}$, of about -30 ppm when $\delta{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ of the complex and free ligand are compared. Furthermore, the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds in the $\mathrm{PC}_{5}$ ring show little variation in free and coordinated form. We therefore assume that in these complexes the phosphinine serves as classical two-electron donor. In complex $\mathbf{4 b}$ and related $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})^{2 c, 11}$ and $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})^{10}$ complexes reported in the literature (A-C, Scheme 9), a neutral phosphinine takes a bridging position. Again $\Delta^{\text {coord }}$ is modestly negative. However, in none of these $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ or $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes, the NMR data support the observed solid state structures in solution. Note that this two-electron $\mu^{2}$-binding mode is established for classical phosphanes (as well as arsanes and stibanes) ${ }^{29}$ and therefore in principle is possible for phosphinines as well. There are two examples in the literature where this is likely to be the case. One is a $\operatorname{Pd}_{3}$ cluster in which each $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ center is coordinated by
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Scheme 9 Reported examples of metal complexes with $\mu^{2}-P$ bridging phosphinines. The coordination shifts, $\Delta^{\text {coord }}=[\delta($ complex $)-$ $\delta\left(\right.$ ligand )], are given for the relevant ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ nuclei.
a terminal $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ ligand and two $\mu^{2}$-bridging 2,4,6-triphenyl phosphinine ligands (D, Scheme 9). In this complex, which retains its structure in solution, little variation of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths is observed and the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ resonance is shifted to even higher frequencies ( $\left.\Delta^{\text {coord }}=+10 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. Another is a dinuclear Ru complex with $2,2^{\prime}$-biphosphinine as ligand in which one $\mathrm{PC}_{5}$ ring takes a bridging position and the other not (E, Scheme 9). No NMR data are available but the structure shows that for both $\mathrm{PC}_{5}$ rings, the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths are beyond $1.75 \AA$ and all $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds are almost equally long (av. $1.39 \AA$ ). In reactions with the anionic, and hence more polarizable, phosphinin-2-olates 1a1d exclusively structures with $\mu^{2}$-bridging ligands are obtained. These are characterized by strong coordination shifts to much lower frequencies (negative $\Delta^{\text {coord }}$ ). Furthermore, the structure of the $\mu^{2}$-bridging $\mathrm{PC}_{5}$ rings is severely perturbed: the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ distances approach $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ single bond lengths and the four $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds show a pronounced long-short-long-short alternation. This is not only observed in the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complex 5 and the $\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes $\mathbf{7 - 9}$ reported here but also in the AuCl complex $\mathbf{G}$ (Scheme 9). ${ }^{23}$ Furthermore, Le Floch et al. synthesized and fully characterized triosium complexes in which the $2,2^{\prime}$-biphosphinine ligand is assumed to be reduced by two electrons ( $\mathbf{F}$, Scheme 9). ${ }^{9 c, 9 d}$ As a consequence, very strong coordination shifts were observed and a strong localisation of the conjugated $6 \pi$ electron system into a long-short-long-short C-C bonding sequence. It is proposed, that complexes which show these structural features combined with strongly negative $\Delta^{\text {coord }}$ values contain $\mu^{2}$-bridging phosphinines which act as four-
electron donors. Venanzi et al. noted first the possibility that 2-(2'pyridyl)-4,5-dimethylphosphinine may act as four-electron donor but discarded this idea on the basis of the structural data which they deemed to be of insufficient evidence ( $\mathbf{H}$, Scheme 9). ${ }^{8}$ However, in view of the $\Delta^{\text {coord }}=-77 \mathrm{ppm}$ and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length alternation they observed, this complex clearly belongs into the category of complexes with bridging four-electron donor phosphinine ligands. Although the experimental results presented here must be further bolstered by theoretical methods, it becomes evident that the binding mode of the P atom of phosphinines can be divided into three classes: one in which they act as classical terminal two-electron donors (2e); a second one in which they act as $\mu^{2}$-bridging two-electron donors ( $\left.\mu^{2}-2 e\right)$, and a third one in which they act as four-electron donors ( $\mu^{2}-4 \mathrm{e}$ ). The latter binding mode is especially interesting for the synthesis of stable new metallooligo- and polymers. The syntheses of a tetra-nuclear Cu cluster and a "golden crown" composed of five $\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ centers, five phosphinine units, and a sixth $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ center in the center serve as proof-of-principle. In combination with previously reported results, the data reported here indicate that the $\mu^{2}-4 \mathrm{e}$-binding mode can be reached by employing either an easily polarizable anionic phosphinine or by binding an easily reducible (highly $\pi$-conjugated) phosphinine to an electron rich metal core.

## Experimental

## General considerations

All manipulations were performed under an inert atmosphere of dry nitrogen, using standard Schlenk techniques and a glovebox. Dry, oxygen-free solvents were employed unless otherwise mentioned. The sodium phosphaethynolate, ${ }^{16 b}$ sodium 1,3-diphenyl phosphaphenolate (19) ${ }^{30}$ and sodium phosphinin2 -olate ( $\mathbf{1 f})^{13}$ were prepared by following literature procedures while all other starting materials were purchased from commercial sources. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometers ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 400.1 \mathrm{MHz} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 100.5 \mathrm{MHz}$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}: 161.9 \mathrm{MHz}$ ). All spectra were obtained in the solvent indicated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The chemical shifts $(\delta)$ were measured according to IUPAC and expressed in ppm relative to $\operatorname{SiMe}_{4}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$. Coupling constants $J$ are reported in hertz [ Hz$]$ as absolute values. The elemental analyses were acquired on Vario EL cube elemental analyser. UV/vis spectra were measured on Shimadzu UV/vis/NIR UV-3600-spectrometer. IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum ATR 2000 FT-IR-Raman spectrometer with KBr beam splitter (range $500-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). The ATR technique was used for the analysis of solid compounds. Melting points (M. P.) were measured on Jiahang JH-30 apparatus.

## Synthesis of compound 1b

4,6-Dimethyl-2-pyrone ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium phosphaethynolate $\left(\left[\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{OCP}) \cdot(\text { dioxane })_{2.5}\right]\right),(2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF ( 50 mL ) were successively added into a Teflon sealed flask. After stirring for 10 h at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the precipitate was filtered off and the filtrate was dried under reduced pressure. Then, the remaining
solid was extracted with ethyl ether and washed with hexane. Drying the residue in vacuo afforded $\mathbf{1 b}$ as yellowish powder ( $0.79 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=$ $6.67\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H, \mathrm{PH}\right), 6.43\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H, \mathrm{PH}\right), 2.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 100.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=209.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}=42.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCP}\right), 167.2$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=50.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C\right), 141.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C C\right), 122.6(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, C P C\right), 122.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 23.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $\left.36.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \cdot{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, 161.9 MHz ): $\delta=133.2$ (s). M. P. $=248{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (ATR, $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]$ ): 3592 ( w, CH stretch), 2909 (w, CH stretch), 1659 (w), 1562 (m), 1372 (m), 1335 (w), 1262 (s, C-O), 1141 (m), 1124 (w), 838 (w), 562 (m), 456 (w). Elemental analysis (\%): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{OPNa}$; C 51.87, H 4.97; found: C 51.73, H 5.02. UV/vis (THF, $\lambda(\mathrm{nm}) \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 323.8 (1089).

## Synthesis of compound 1c

6-Amyl-2-pyrone ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium phosphaethynolate $\left(\left[\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{OCP}) \cdot(\text { dioxane })_{2.5}\right]\right)(0.9 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ were successively added into a Teflon sealed flask. After stirring for 4 h at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the precipitate was filtered off and the filtrate was dried under reduced pressure. Then, the remaining solid was extracted with ethyl ether and washed with hexane. Drying the residue in vacuo afforded $\mathbf{1 c}$ as yellowish powder ( 0.33 g , $1.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=7.07(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 6.75\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H, \mathrm{PH}\right)$, $6.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 2.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.37$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.93\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 100.5\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta=209.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}=43.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCP}\right), 173.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $54.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, C P C), 131.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, P \mathrm{CCC}\right), 121.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, P \mathrm{CC}), 119.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, P \mathrm{C} C\right), 39.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $\left.31.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.3(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 13.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \cdot{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 161.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=151.6$ (s). M. P. $=182{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{ATR},\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]\right): 3524(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{CH}$ stretch), 2921 (w, CH stretch), 1657 (w), 1544 (w), 1392 (m), 1278 (s, C-O), 1183 (w), 1172 (w), 1129 (w), 810 (w), 775 (m), 626 (w). Elemental analysis (\%): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{OPNa}$; C 58.82, H 6.91; found: C 58.61, H 7.02. UV/vis (THF, $\lambda(\mathrm{nm}) \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 329.3 (1383), 386.1 (3258).

## Synthesis of compound 1d

Methyl coumalate ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium phosphaethynolate ( $\left.\left[\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{OCP}) \cdot(\text { dioxane })_{2.5}\right]\right), 1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ and THF ( 50 mL ) were successively added into a Teflon sealed flask. After stirring at room temperature for 10 min , the precipitate was filtered off and the filtrate was dried under reduced pressure. Then, the remaining solid was washed with ethyl ether. Drying the residue in vacuo afforded $1 \mathbf{d}$ as yellowish powder $(0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 1.70 \mathrm{mmol}$, $53 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF-D ${ }_{8}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=9.21$ (d, ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=$ $\left.36.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H, \mathrm{P} H\right), 7.81\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H, \mathrm{P} H\right)$, $6.46\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H, \mathrm{PH}\right), 3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (THF-D ${ }_{8}, 100.5 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=212.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=47.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCP}\right.$ ), $165.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C C\right), 160.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=55.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C\right)$, $133.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C\right), 122.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C C\right)$, $118.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C\right), 50.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (THF$\left.\mathrm{D}_{8}, 161.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=149.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=32.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{P} .=247^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{IR}$
(ATR, $\left.\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]\right): 2982$ ( $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{CH}$ stretch), 2947 (w, CH stretch), 1671 (m), 1550 (w), 1440 (w), 1327 (s, C-O), 1260 (s, C-O), 1215 (w), 1093 (w), 840 (w), 759 (m), 589 (w), 452 (w). Elemental analysis (\%): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PNa}$; C 43.77, H 3.15; found: C 43.45, H 3.31. UV/vis (THF, $\lambda(\mathrm{nm}) \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 328.3 (15 395), 372.0 (5119).

## Synthesis of compound 2a

Anhydrous hydrochloric acid ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in portions to a stirred solution of $1 \mathrm{a}(308 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 5 mL ). After stirring for 1 h , the reaction mixture was filtered. The filtrate was dried under reduced pressure to afford 2a as yellow powder ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta=7.90\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H, \mathrm{PH}\right), 7.68(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H$ ), $7.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 5.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $100.5 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta=188.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=49.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCP}\right), 170.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $46.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, C P C), 146.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C C\right), 143.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $26.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C C), 141.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCC}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 128.9$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 128.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 127.6$ $\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 127.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 119.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $\left.8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 161.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=131.4(\mathrm{~s}) . \mathrm{M}$. P. $=123{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (ATR, $\left.\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]\right): 3393$ ( $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{CH}$ stretch), 3028 ( $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{CH}$ stretch), 1577 (w), 1490 (w), 1478 (w), 1361 (w), 1294 (s, C-O), 1153 (m), 951 (w), 877 (w), 756 (m), 690 (m), 648 (w). Elemental analysis (\%): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{OP}$; C 77.27, H 4.96; found: C 77.15, H 5.61. UV/vis (THF, $\lambda(\mathrm{nm}) \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 352.2 (6865).

## Synthesis of compound 2b

Anhydrous hydrochloric acid ( $0.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in portions to a stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 b}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5$ $\mathrm{mL})$. After stirring for 1 h , the reaction mixture was filtered. The filtrate was dried under reduced pressure to afford $\mathbf{2 b}$ as colorless oil ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta=7.23\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H, \mathrm{PH}\right), 7.02\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PH}}\right.$ $\left.=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H, \mathrm{PH}\right), 5.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 2.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.40$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=188.7$ (d, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=47.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCP}\right), 167.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=45.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C\right), 143.4(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C C\right), 130.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=53.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, C P C\right), 120.3(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P \mathrm{CC}\right), 24.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=39.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $\left.2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 161.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=129.0(\mathrm{~s}) . \mathrm{M}$. P. $=123{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (ATR, $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]$ ): 3232 ( w, CH stretch), 2911 ( $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{CH}$ stretch), 2854 (w, CH stretch), 1739 (w), 1579 (m), 1435 (w), 1368 (m), 1304 (w), 1233 (s, C-O), 1198 (w), 853 (w), 607 (m). UV/vis (THF, $\left.\lambda(\mathrm{nm}) \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right): 328.5$ (3494).

## Synthesis of compound 2c

Anhydrous hydrochloric acid ( $0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in portions to a stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 c}(113 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 5 mL ). After stirring for 1 h , the reaction mixture was filtered. The filtrate was dried under reduced pressure to afford 2c as colorless oil ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta=7.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 7.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 5.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OH}), 2.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $0.92\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=160.8$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=37.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCP}\right), 149.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=36.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, C P C\right), 118.5(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C C\right), 115.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C\right), 108.7(\mathrm{~d}$,
$\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C\right), 48.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=25.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $42.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 161.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=146.2$ (s). IR (ATR, $\left.\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]\right): 3349$ (w, CH stretch), 2955 (w, CH stretch), 2925 (w, CH stretch), 2854 (w, CH stretch), 1566 (w), 1465 (w), 1394 (m), 1160 (s, C-O), 1120 (m), 1067 (w), 772 (m), 693 (w), $610(\mathrm{~m})$. UV/vis (THF, $\lambda(\mathrm{nm}) \varepsilon$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 329.6 (3370).

## Synthesis of compound 2d

Anhydrous hydrochloric acid ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in portions to a stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 d}(170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 5 mL ). After stirring for 1 h , the reaction mixture was filtered. The filtrate was dried under reduced pressure to afford $2 \mathbf{d}$ as white powder ( $146 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta=9.40\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=44.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H, \mathrm{PH}\right), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H, \mathrm{PH}\right), 7.36\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right.$, $\mathrm{PH}), 6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $100.5 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta=192.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}=52.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCP}\right), 166.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C C), 155.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=51.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C\right), 134.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C), 128.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C C\right), 121.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, P \mathrm{CC}), 52.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 161.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right):$ $\delta=147.9$ (s). M. P. $=88^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (ATR, $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]$ ): $3210(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{CH}$ stretch), 2947 (w, CH stretch), 1676 (m), 1422 (w), 1311 (s, C-O), 1204 (s, C-O), 1194 (m), 1133 (w), 1090 (m), 871 (w), 754 (m), 671 (w), $596(\mathrm{w}), 490(w)$. Elemental analysis (\%): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{P}$; C 49.43, H 4.15; found: C 49.26, H 4.25. UV/vis (THF, $\lambda$ (nm) $\varepsilon$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 328.5 (5843).

## Synthesis of compound 3

Triphenyltin chloride ( $192 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in portions to a stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 a}(144 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ). After stirring for 2 h , the reaction mixture was filtered. The filtrate was dried under reduced pressure and washed with hexane. Drying the residue in vacuo afforded 3 as white powder ( $155 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 51 \%$ yield). Colorless crystals of 3 were obtained from a dichloromethane solution layered with hexane on top and stored at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=$ $7.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 7.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 7.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 7.45$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 100.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=197.6(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=43.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCP}\right), 170.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=50.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, C P C\right), 145.6(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C C\right), 143.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=24.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C\right), 142.1(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, P \mathrm{CC}\right), 137.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 137.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 136.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 136.3$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 136.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 130.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 129.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 129.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right)$, $128.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 127.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 127.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 125.5$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 125.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 123.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 161.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right):$ $\delta=146.4$ (s). M. P. $=158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (ATR, $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]$ ): 3064 ( $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{CH}$ stretch), 1565 (w), 1473 (w), 1427 (w), 1364 (w), 1284 (w), 1203 (m), 1203 (s, C-O), 1186 (w), 1074 (m), 954 (m), 875 (w), 773 (m), $728(\mathrm{w}), 694(\mathrm{~s}), 659(\mathrm{w}), 518(\mathrm{w})$. Elemental analysis (\%): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{SnOP}$; C 68.55 , H 4.44 ; found: C 68.41, H 4.59. UV/vis (THF, $\left.\lambda(\mathrm{nm}) \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right): 421.9$ (6750).

## Synthesis of complex 4a

$\mathrm{CuCl}(38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in portions to a stirred solution of $2 \mathrm{a}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 10 mL ). After stirring for 10 h , the solid was removed and the filtrate was dried in
vacuo. The remaining solid was washed with ethyl ether and dried in vacuo to afford 4a as yellow powder ( $118 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$, $87 \%$ yield). Yellow crystals of $4 \mathbf{a}$ were obtained from an acetonitrile solution layered with ether on top and stored at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=10.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.90\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}\right.$ $\left.=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H, \mathrm{PH}\right), 7.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 7.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 100.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=186.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{OCP}), 161.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, C P C\right), 145.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $P C C C), 141.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C\right), 141.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $P C C), 141.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}\right.$ $\left.=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 127.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $\left.13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 120.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, 161.9 MHz ): $\delta=104.1$ (s). M. P. $=247^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (ATR, $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]$ ): 3382 (w, CH stretch), 3028 (w, CH stretch), 1576 (w), 1482 (m), 1411 (w), 1364 (w), 1229 (m), 1192 (s, C-O), 1181 (w), 957 (w), 873 (w), 759 (m), 691 (m), 546 (w). Elemental analysis (\%): calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{OPClCu}\right]_{n}$; C 56.21, H 3.61; found: C 55.92, H 3.72. UV/vis (THF, $\lambda(\mathrm{nm}) \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 352.2 (4328).

## Synthesis of complex 4b

$\mathrm{CuCl}(106 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in portions to a stirred solution of $\mathbf{2 b}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 10 mL ). After stirring for 10 h , the solid was removed and the filtrate was dried in vacuo. The remaining solid was washed with diethyl ether and dried in vacuo to afford $\mathbf{4 b}$ as yellow powder ( 116 mg , $0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%$ yield). Yellow crystals of $\mathbf{4 b}$ were obtained from an acetonitrile solution layered with ether on top and stored at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=9.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.29$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3}{ }_{\mathrm{PH}}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H, \mathrm{P} H\right), 7.03\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H, \mathrm{P} H\right), 2.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta=185.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCP}\right), 158.8$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C\right), 143.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C C\right), 131.0$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, C P C\right), 121.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C\right), 22.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}\right.$ $\left.=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=31.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 161.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=102.0(\mathrm{~s}) . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{P} .=170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (ATR, [ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]$ ): 3239 (w, CH stretch), 3177 (m, CH stretch), 1729 (w), 1578 (m), 1415 (m), 1369 (w), 1311 (w), 1258 (s, C-O), 1206 (s, CO), 1154 (w), 859 (w), 851 (w), 606 (m). Elemental analysis (\%): calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{ClCu}\right]_{2}$; C 44.34, H 4.78 ; found: $\mathrm{C} 44.42, \mathrm{H}$ 4.62. UV/vis (THF, $\lambda(\mathrm{nm}) \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 328.8 (16 325).

## Synthesis of complex 4c

$\mathrm{CuCl}(73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in portions to a stirred solution of $2 \mathrm{c}(135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 10 mL ). After stirring for 10 h , the solid was removed and the filtrate was dried in vacuo. The remaining solid was washed with hexane and dried in vacuo to afford $4 \mathbf{c}$ as yellow powder ( $128 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ yield). Yellow crystals of $\mathbf{4 c}$ were obtained from an acetonitrile solution layered with ether on top and stored at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=10.13$ (s, 1H, OH), $7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 7.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 2.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $1.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.91\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, $100.5 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta=185.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCP}\right), 163.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, C P C), 131.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C C\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C), 120.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, P \mathrm{CC}\right), 37.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $\left.27.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.2(\mathrm{~s}$,
$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 13.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 161.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=114.5$ (s). M. P. $=162^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \operatorname{IR}\left(A T R,\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]\right): 3376$ (w, CH stretch), 2955 ( $w$, CH stretch), 2927 ( w, CH stretch), 2855 (w, CH stretch), 1962 (w), 1564 (w), 1414 (s, C-O), 1380 (w), 1252 (m), 1186 (m), 784 (m), 677 (w), 599 (m). Elemental analysis (\%): calcd for [ $\mathrm{C}_{20^{-}}$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{ClCu}\right]_{2}$; C 51.84, H 6.53; found: C 51.45, H 6.72. UV/vis (THF, $\left.\lambda(\mathrm{nm}) \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right): 331.1$ (6348).

## Synthesis of complex 4d

$\mathrm{CuCl}(32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in portions to a stirred solution of $2 \mathbf{d}(55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 10 h , the solid was removed and the filtrate was dried in vacuo. The remaining solid was washed with hexane and dried in vacuo to afford $4 \mathbf{d}$ as yellow powder ( 80 mg , $0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ yield). Yellow crystals of $\mathbf{4 d}$ were obtained from an acetonitrile solution layered with ether on top and stored at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF-D ${ }_{8}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=10.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 9.18$ (dd, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H, \mathrm{P} H\right), 8.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 7.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 100.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=190.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}\right.$ $=20.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCP}), 165.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C C\right), 147.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $21.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C), 133.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C\right), 128.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C C), 123.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, P \mathrm{CC}\right), 52.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 161.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=122.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=32.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) . \mathrm{M}$. P. $=225{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (ATR, $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]$ ): $3394(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{CH}$ stretch), $2967(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{CH}$ stretch), 1706 (m), 1428 (w), 1408 (w), 1296 (m), 1242 (s, C-O), 1180 (s, C-O), 992 (w), 862 (w), 750 (m), 687 (w), 614 (w), 479 (w). Elemental analysis (\%): calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PNClCu}\right]_{2}$; C 34.85, H 3.25; found: C 34.99, H 3.41. UV/vis (THF, $\lambda(\mathrm{nm}) \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 328.5 (3449).

## Synthesis of complex 5

A solution of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(705 \mathrm{mg}, 2.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of THF was added dropwise to a mixture of $\mathrm{CuCl}(133 \mathrm{mg}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 10 mL of acetonitrile. After stirring for $1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathbf{1 f}(180 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.34 mmol ) was suspended in 10 mL of THF and added dropwise to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 1 h . Subsequently the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the product was extracted with dichloromethane ( 10 mL ). The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the remaining solid was washed with hexane and dried in vacuo affording 5 as an orange powder ( $574 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%$ yield). Orange crystals of 5 were obtained from a dichloromethane solution layered with hexane on top and stored at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{P} .=175{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (ATR, $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]$ ): 3047 ( w, CH stretch), 3013 (w), 1736 (s), 1716 (w), 1584 (w), 1563 (w), 1480 (w), 1479 (w), 1433 (m), 1415 (w), 1381 (m), 1349 (m), 1261 (s, C-O), 1201 (w), 1092 (m), 1027 (m), 995 (w), 856 (w), 799 (w), 740 (s), 682 (s), 614 (m). Elemental analysis (\%): calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{OP}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}\right]_{4}$; C 63.23, H 4.38; found: C 63.02, H 4.42. UV/vis (THF, $\left.\lambda(\mathrm{nm}) \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right): 445 \mathrm{~nm}, 252 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## Synthesis of complex 6

2a ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 2 mL ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)(59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 2 mL ). After stirring for 2 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The remaining solid was washed with hexane
and dried in vacuo affording 6 as a light yellow powder ( 70 mg , $0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \%$ yield). Yellow crystals of $\mathbf{6}$ were obtained from a acetonitrile solution layered with hexane on top and stored at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF-D $8,400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=10.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 8.13$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=20.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H, \mathrm{P} H\right), 7.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 7.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (THF-D ${ }_{8}, 100.5 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=182.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}\right.$ $=25.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCP}), 160.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=27.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, C P \mathrm{C}\right), 146.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $19.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C C), 140.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C C\right), 139.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, P C C), 129.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right)$, $128.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 127.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 123.0$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (THF-D $\left.{ }_{8}, 161.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=117.7$ (s). M. P. $=$ $148{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (ATR, $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]$ ): 3209 ( $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{CH}$ stretch), 3026 (w, CH stretch), 1570 (w), 1480 (m), 1413 (w), 1364 (w), 1308 (m), 1202 (s, C-O), 1077 (w), 962 (w), 869 (w), 760 (m), 694 (m), 575 (w). Elemental analysis (\%): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{OPClAu}$; C 41.11, H 2.64; found: C 42.32, H 2.60. UV/vis (THF, $\lambda(\mathrm{nm}) \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 354 (16 000), 313 (21 935).

## Synthesis of complex 7

$\mathbf{1 a}(72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 2 mL ) was added dropwise to the stirred reaction mixture of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)(74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ). After stirring for 1 h , the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the product was extracted with dichloromethane ( 10 mL ). The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, the remaining solid was washed with hexane and dried in vacuo affording 7 as red powder ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 67 \%$ yield). Red crystals of 7 were obtained from a dichloromethane solution layered with hexane on top and stored at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta=7.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 7.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 7.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 6.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 100.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=206.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 164.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $C P C), 149.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 142.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 142.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Car}_{\mathrm{ar}}$ ), $134.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 134.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 131.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 129.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 129.4$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 129.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 129.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 128.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right)$, $127.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 127.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 125.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 125.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right), 123.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $161.9 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta=89.6(\mathrm{~s}), 38.1(\mathrm{~s}) . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{P} .=$ $141{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (ATR, $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]$ ): 3051 (w, CH stretch), 1560 (w, CH stretch), 1466 (w), 1433 (m), 1307 (w), 1098 (s, C-O), 1026 (w), $997(\mathrm{w}), 849(\mathrm{w}), 748(\mathrm{~s}), 690(\mathrm{~m}), 500(\mathrm{~s})$. Elemental analysis (\%): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{OP}_{2} \mathrm{Au}$; C 58.18, H 3.77; found: C 57.96, H 3.84. UV/vis (THF, $\lambda(\mathrm{nm}) \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 470 (6121).

## Synthesis of complex 8

$\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)$ ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 2 mL ) was added dropwise to the stirred reaction of $7(125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ). After stirring for 1 h , the solvent was removed and the remaining solid was washed with hexane and dried in vacuo affording 8 as red powder ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ yield). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (161.9 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta=33.2(\mathrm{~s}),-5.4(\mathrm{~s})$.

## Synthesis of complex 9

1a ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in portions to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)$ ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ). After stirring for 2 h , the solid was filtrated and then washed by hexane, and dried in vacuo to afford 9 as orange powder
( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ yield). Orange crystals of 9 were obtained from a dichloromethane solution layered with hexane on top and stored at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=7.83$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 7.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 7.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 7.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 6.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} H\right), 3.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $161.9 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta=-3.2$ (s). M. P. $=120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (ATR, [ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]$ ): 3515 (w, CH stretch), 3051 (w, CH stretch), 1602 (w), 1586 (w), 1497 (w), 1352 (w), 1309 (m), 1245 (w), 1171 (s, C-O), 1029 (w), 998 (w), 857 (w), 751 (m), 686 (w), 621 (w). Elemental analysis (\%): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{87} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{SAu}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}$; C 40.26, H 2.56; found: C 40.40, H 2.34. UV/vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \lambda(\mathrm{~nm}) \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right.$ ): 327.5 (69 200).
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