



# Ubiquitination of *Listeria* Virulence Factor InIC Contributes to the Host Response to Infection

Edith Gouin,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Damien Balestrino,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Orhan Rasid,<sup>d</sup> Marie-Anne Nahori,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Véronique Villiers,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Francis Impens,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Stevenn Volant,<sup>e,f</sup> Thomas Vogl,<sup>g</sup> Yves Jacob,<sup>h,i,j</sup> Olivier Dussurget,<sup>a,b,c,j</sup> Pascale Cossart<sup>a,b,c</sup>

alnstitut Pasteur, Unité des Interactions Bactéries-Cellules, Paris, France
<sup>b</sup>Inserm, U604, Paris, France
<sup>c</sup>INRA, USC2020, Paris, France
<sup>d</sup>Institut Pasteur, Groupe à 5 ans Chromatine et Infection, Paris, France
<sup>e</sup>Institut Pasteur, Hub Bioinformatique et Biostatistique, Paris, France
<sup>f</sup>CNRS, USR3756, Paris, France
<sup>g</sup>Institute of Immunology, University of Münster, Münster, Germany
<sup>h</sup>Institut Pasteur, Unité de Génétique Moléculaire des Virus à ARN, Paris, France
<sup>i</sup>CNRS, UMR3569, Paris, France
<sup>j</sup>Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France

**ABSTRACT** Listeria monocytogenes is a pathogenic bacterium causing potentially fatal foodborne infections in humans and animals. While the mechanisms used by Listeria to manipulate its host have been thoroughly characterized, how the host controls bacterial virulence factors remains to be extensively deciphered. Here, we found that the secreted Listeria virulence protein InIC is monoubiquitinated by the host cell machinery on K224, restricting infection. We show that the ubiquitinated form of InIC interacts with the intracellular alarmin S100A9, resulting in its stabilization and in increased reactive oxygen species production by neutrophils in infected mice. Collectively, our results suggest that posttranslational modification of InIC exacerbates the host response upon Listeria infection.

**IMPORTANCE** The pathogenic potential of *Listeria monocytogenes* relies on the production of an arsenal of virulence determinants that have been extensively characterized, including surface and secreted proteins of the internalin family. We have previously shown that the *Listeria* secreted internalin InIC interacts with IkB kinase  $\alpha$  to interfere with the host immune response (E. Gouin, M. Adib-Conquy, D. Balestrino, M.-A. Nahori, et al., Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 107:17333–17338, 2010, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1007765107). In the present work, we report that InIC is monoubiquitinated on K<sup>224</sup> upon infection of cells and provide evidence that ubiquitinated InIC interacts with and stabilizes the alarmin S100A9, which is a critical regulator of the immune response and inflammatory processes. Additionally, we show that ubiquitination of InIC causes an increase in reactive oxygen species production by neutrophils in mice and restricts *Listeria* infection. These findings are the first to identify a posttranscriptional modification of an internalin contributing to host defense.

**KEYWORDS** *Listeria monocytogenes*, ubiquitination, pathogenesis, alarmin, inflammation

Listeria monocytogenes is a Gram-positive bacterium widespread in the environment and the etiological agent of listeriosis, a life-threatening foodborne disease. It is responsible for gastroenteritis in healthy individuals, meningitis, septicemia in immunocompromised individuals, miscarriages in pregnant women, and perinatal infections (1). Following ingestion of contaminated food, *L. monocytogenes* is able to cross the M-A, Villiers V, Impens F, Volant S, Vogl T, Jacob Y, Dussurget O, Cossart P. 2019. Ubiquitination of *Listeria* virulence factor InIC contributes to the host response to infection. mBio 10:e02778-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio .02778-19.

Citation Gouin E, Balestrino D, Rasid O, Nahori

**Editor** Nancy E. Freitag, University of Illinois at Chicago

**Copyright** © 2019 Gouin et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Olivier Dussurget, olivier.dussurget@pasteur.fr, or Pascale Cossart, pascale.cossart@pasteur.fr.

Received 18 October 2019 Accepted 5 November 2019 Published 17 December 2019



intestinal barrier, to reach the liver and spleen through the lymph and the bloodstream, and to disseminate to the brain and placenta after crossing the blood-brain barrier and the maternofetal barrier, respectively (2). At the cell level, this bacterium has the ability to invade, survive, and replicate intracellularly within professional phagocytes and a number of nonphagocytic cells, to spread from cell to cell, escaping host immunity. Upon infection of the host, L. monocytogenes employs a series of virulence factors that control host molecules and hijack cellular processes (3). The main invasion proteins, InIA and InIB, promote bacterial entry into nonphagocytic cells by interacting with the surface receptors E-cadherin and c-Met, respectively (4–7). The secreted listeriolysin O (LLO) and phospholipases (PIcA and PIcB) disrupt the primary and secondary vacuoles and allow the escape of the bacterium into the cytoplasm (8-10). ActA mimics the host actin polymerization machinery to promote motility, allowing intercellular spread and protection from autophagy (11, 12, 13). All the virulence factors cited above are positively controlled by the transcriptional activator PrfA (6, 13, 14). Inactivation of PrfA or that of the major virulence factors, e.g., LLO or ActA, leads to severe attenuation of Listeria virulence in animal models of infection (11, 13, 15). Other factors have a more moderate role in virulence, yet they are important for specific cellular processes, tissue types, or stages of the infectious process. This is the case for InIC, whose inactivation leads to a 0.5- to 1.5-log increase in the 50% lethal dose ( $LD_{50}$ ) after intravenous inoculation in mice (16, 17). InIC is a secreted protein of the Listeria internalin family whose expression is positively regulated by PrfA (18, 19). It is highly expressed by Listeria after its internalization inside host cells (20). InIC has several functions in host cells. We previously reported that it interacts with IKK- $\alpha_i$  impairs the phosphorylation of  $I\kappa B-\alpha$  and delays the degradation of phospho- $I\kappa B-\alpha$ , thereby impairing NF- $\kappa B$ translocation to the nucleus and subsequently dampening the host innate response, in particular genes encoding proinflammatory cytokines (16). Interestingly, InIC has also been shown to bind the scaffolding protein Tuba in polarized human cells (21). Tuba and its effectors N-WASP and CDC42 form a complex that generates tension at apical junctions of polarized cells. InIC inhibits the interaction between N-WASP and Tuba and thereby promotes relaxation of cortical actin tension, protrusion formation, and bacterial cell-to-cell spread.

S100A9, also named myeloid-related protein 14 (MRP14) or calgranulin B, is a member of the S100 family of calcium-binding proteins and forms a heterodimeric complex with S100A8, also known as MRP8 or calgranulin A, which is involved in inflammation (22, 23). This heterodimeric complex (calprotectin) is constitutively and highly expressed in neutrophils. In monocytes, macrophages, and endothelial cells, S100A8/S100A9 expression is induced by bacterial products such as lipopolysaccharide, proinflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ), or anti-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-10 (IL-10) (24). These antimicrobial proteins act as danger signals in vertebrates and are called damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) or alarmins. They indirectly prevent bacterial adhesion to the mucosal epithelium and inhibit bacterial growth through nutrient metal chelation (25). Clinical data show clear correlations between the concentration of these proteins in the serum or synovial fluid of patients with chronic inflammatory diseases and pathogenesis (26). In addition to their antimicrobial properties, these proteins have been shown to be involved in neutrophil activation and migration to inflammatory sites (23, 27).

In this study, we found that InIC is monoubiquitinated by the host cell machinery on K<sup>224</sup>, restricting infection. This ubiquitinated form of InIC interacts with and stabilizes the intracellular alarmin S100A9, resulting in increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) production by neutrophils in infected mice. Together, our data highlight that post-translational modification of InIC contributes to the host response upon *Listeria* infection.

## RESULTS

The L. monocytogenes InIC protein is ubiquitinated in infected epithelial cells. We reexamined the expression of InIC by L. monocytogenes during infection of HeLa



**FIG 1** InIC is modified. (A) Time course analysis of InIC expression in cells infected with *L. monocytogenes* EGD. Total HeLa cell extracts at different time points after infection were analyzed by Western blotting using anti-InIC and antiactin antibodies. Actin is used as a loading control. The arrows highlight the main InIC forms, InIC and InIC<sup>H</sup>. MM, molecular mass. (B) InIC is ubiquitinated. Total cell lysates of HeLa cells, noninfected (NI) or infected with the wild-type (WT) or the *inIC* mutant (*ΔinIC*) strains, were immuno-precipitated (IP) with anti-InIC antibodies, followed by immunoblotting with antiubiquitin (mouse FK2, catalog number BML-PW8810; Enzo Life), anti-SUMO1 (catalog number 49305; Cell Signaling Technology), or anti-ISG15 (mouse F-9, catalog number sc166755; Santa Cruz) antibodies. Note that the anti-SUMO1 antibodies recognized nonspecifically (NS) the InIC protein. (C) Schematic representation of InIC indicating the locations of lysine residues within the whole protein. SP, signal peptide; LRRs, leucine-rich repeats. (D) Identification of the InIC ubiquitination sites. Shown is the effect of mutations of InIC lysine residues on its expression in cells. Total cell lysates of HeLa cells, noninfected or infected for 24 h with the mutants, were immunoblotted with anti-InIC antibodies. Numbers indicate the positions of lysine residues according to the diagram shown in panel C. The data shown are representative of independent data from at least three experiments.

cells. As we previously showed (16), InIC is gradually secreted during infection (Fig. 1A). In addition to the secreted InIC mature form (30 kDa, without a signal peptide), we observed, using immunoblot experiments with anti-InIC antibodies, other higher-molecular-weight species, including a major 47-kDa band (InIC<sup>H</sup>) (Fig. 1A). The difference in molecular weights between these proteins prompted us to examine whether these forms could correspond to InIC posttranslationally modified by ubiquitin or ubiquitin-like proteins (UBLs), such as interferon-stimulated gene 15 (ISG15) and SUMO. HeLa cells were infected with the wild-type (WT) *L. monocytogenes* EGD strain or a  $\Delta inIC$  mutant for 24 h and lysed, and total cell extracts were immunoprecipitated with anti-InIC antibodies. Immunoprecipitates were analyzed by immunoblot experiments using anti-InIC, antiubiquitin, anti-ISG15, and anti-SUMO1 antibodies. As shown in

Fig. 1B, the major larger form of InIC, i.e., InIC<sup>H</sup>, was detected only by antiubiquitin antibodies in cells infected with the WT strain but not in cells infected with the  $\Delta inIC$  mutant and not by anti-UBL antibodies, suggesting that this form corresponds to ubiquitinated InIC.

InIC protein is ubiquitinated on lysine 224. In order to map the InIC ubiquitination site, we generated Listeria strains in which each of the 21 lysine residues of InIC were replaced, individually or in combination, by arginine residues (Fig. 1C). Two additional Listeria strains were generated, one in which all lysines have been replaced by an arginine (InIC-K<sup>all</sup>) and the other in which each lysine of the six leucine-rich repeats (LRRs) has been replaced by an arginine (InIC-KLRR). The different strains were tested for InIC ubiquitination in epithelial cells infected for 24 h. We noted that each strain expressed InIC at levels similar to that of the wild-type strain in HeLa cells, except for the InIC-K<sup>all</sup> strain, which produced slightly less InIC (Fig. 1D). As expected, the InIC<sup>H</sup> ubiquitinated form could not be detected in the InIC-K<sup>all</sup> strain. Strikingly, the level of the InIC<sup>H</sup> form appeared significantly decreased in the InIC-K<sup>224</sup>, InIC-K<sup>173,224</sup>, and InIC-K<sup>217,224,236,273,296</sup> strains. Interestingly, InIC<sup>H</sup> of the InIC-K<sup>224</sup> mutant was replaced by a slightly smaller modified form (InIC<sup>sw</sup>), possibly due to a modification on another lysine residue, a phenomenon previously observed for other UBL targets (28). However, the lysine K<sup>173</sup>, implicated in the binding of InIC to Tuba (21), was not a ubiquitination site, as the corresponding mutated InIC is still ubiguitinated. Together, these results demonstrate that InIC is ubiquitinated during infection of epithelial cells, and the major site of ubiquitination is the lysine residue K<sup>224</sup>.

InIC is monoubiquitinated upon infection. In order to determine whether InIC is mono- or polyubiquitinated, HeLa cells were transiently transfected with plasmids expressing hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged lysineless ubiquitin (K<sup>null</sup>), which lacks lysine residues and does not allow the formation of ubiquitin chains (29), or HA-tagged SUMO1 as a control (30). Cells were then infected with Listeria encoding native InIC or InIC-K<sup>224</sup>. After 24 h of infection, InIC was immunoprecipitated with anti-InIC antibodies. Immunoprecipitates were separated by SDS-PAGE, and the presence of ubiquitinated InIC was probed using anti-HA antibodies. The InIC<sup>H</sup> form was detected in cells transfected with Knull ubiquitin and infected with Listeria encoding native InIC, suggesting that  $InIC^{H}$  corresponds to a monoubiquitinated InIC (Fig. 2). The  $InIC^{SW}$  form was also detected in cells transfected with Knull ubiquitin and infected with bacteria expressing InIC-K<sup>224</sup>, reinforcing the hypothesis of a compensatory ubiquitination of another lysine residue upon K-to-R substitution of lysine 224. For cells transfected with HA-tagged SUMO1 and infected with *Listeria* encoding native InIC or InIC-K<sup>224</sup>, we did not observe, by immunoprecipitation, a SUMOylated form of InIC. Together, our results indicate that InIC can be ubiquitinated and that InIC<sup>H</sup> corresponds to a monoubiquitination on lysine K<sup>224</sup>.

InIC ubiquitination contributes to the host response to *Listeria* infection. To evaluate if InIC ubiquitination plays a role in the infectious process *in vivo*, we compared the  $LD_{50}$ s of *L. monocytogenes* EGD strains expressing WT InIC (InIC-WT) and those expressing InIC-K<sup>224</sup> in female BALB/c mice infected by the intravenous (i.v.) route. Lysine 224 substitution led to an  $LD_{50}$  of  $3 \times 10^3$  CFU,  $1 \log_{10}$  unit lower than the  $LD_{50}$  of the wild-type strain, which was  $3 \times 10^4$  CFU. The survival of mice infected with  $10^4$  *L. monocytogenes* bacteria expressing InIC-K<sup>224</sup> was strongly reduced (Fig. 3A). In contrast, the whole group of mice infected with the wild-type strain survived and fully recovered. Thus, ubiquitination of InIC contributes to host protection against *Listeria*.

To investigate further the role of InIC ubiquitination in systemic infection, we infected female C57BL/6 mice intraperitoneally with  $1 \times 10^7$  bacteria of wild-type *Listeria* or the *Listeria* strain expressing InIC-K<sup>224</sup>. The number of bacteria, the number of immune cells, and ROS production by neutrophils in the peritoneal lavage fluid of infected animals were determined at 24, 48, and 72 h postinfection. Mutation of K<sup>224</sup> in InIC did not lead to any significant change in the bacterial counts recovered from the



**FIG 2** InIC is monoubiquitinated in epithelial cells upon infection. HeLa cells were transfected with  $K^{null}$ -HA-ubiquitin or WT HA-SUMO1 and then were noninfected or infected with *L. monocytogenes* EGD WT,  $\Delta inlC$ , or InIC-K<sup>224</sup> for 24 h. Cell lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-InIC antibodies, and immunocomplexes were analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-HA and anti-InIC antibodies. Input fractions are shown as controls. The data shown are representative of independent data from two experiments.

lavage fluid at these time points (data not shown). We next measured the number of immune cells by flow cytometry in the same lavage fluids. At 24 h, infection of mice with *Listeria* expressing native InIC or InIC-K<sup>224</sup> led to a similar recruitment of NK cells, macrophages, and neutrophils (Fig. 3B to D). At later time points, there was a decrease in the number of immune cells in the peritoneal lavage fluid of infected mice, which was marked for neutrophils and more moderate for macrophages and NK cells. As seen at 24 h, the K<sup>224</sup> mutation did not affect significantly the number of phagocytic cells at 48 and 72 h postinfection (Fig. 3B to D). In contrast, the strain producing InIC-K<sup>224</sup> triggered weaker ROS production by neutrophils than the WT strain (Fig. 3E). Together, these results demonstrate that InIC ubiquitination modulates the activation of neutrophils upon *Listeria* infection, without affecting the cell number significantly.

**InIC interacts with S100A9.** The two known roles of InIC, i.e., inhibition of NF- $\kappa$ B nuclear translocation (16) and promotion of cell-to-cell spread (21), were not affected by ubiquitination (data not shown). We thus assessed further the role of InIC modification during infection by searching putative partners that would interact with native or nonubiquitinatable InIC. Extracts from HeLa cells infected for 24 h with *Listeria* expressing native InIC, InIC-K<sup>all</sup>, or InIC-K<sup>224</sup> were immunoprecipitated with anti-InIC antibodies, and the immunoprecipitates were analyzed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), leading to the identification of the alarmin S100A9 as a putative interactor of InIC (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). To confirm this interaction, we transfected HeLa cells with vectors expressing humanized FLAG-tagged InIC, InIC-K<sup>all</sup>, or InIC-K<sup>224</sup> and HA-tagged S100A9. Cell lysates were then immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG magnetic beads, and immunoprecipitates were analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-InIC and anti-HA antibodies. As shown in Fig. 4A, S100A9 coimmunoprecipitated with InIC. Notably, larger amounts of S100A9 were



**FIG 3** InIC ubiquitination restricts infection and modulates ROS production by neutrophils in mice. (A) BALB/c mice were infected by intravenous injection of 10<sup>4</sup> bacteria of the *L. monocytogenes* EGD WT or InIC-K<sup>224</sup> strains. Survival was determined daily for 10 days. Comparison of WT and InIC-K<sup>224</sup> data was performed using the Mantel-Cox test. Survival curves were significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ). (B to E) C57BL/6 mice were infected by intraperitoneal injection of 10<sup>7</sup> bacteria of the *L. monocytogenes* EGD WT or InIC-K<sup>224</sup> strains. Data from two experiments were pooled. The numbers of NK cells (B), macrophages (C), and neutrophils (D) and the level of ROS produced by neutrophils (E) in peritoneal lavage fluids were assessed by flow cytometry at 24, 48, and 72 h postinfection. Comparison of WT and InIC-K<sup>224</sup> data was performed using two-way analysis of variance and a Holm-Sidak *post hoc* test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ). Mean values with a *P* value of  $\le 0.05$  were statistically different (ns, nonsignificant; \*,  $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\*\*,  $P \le 0.001$ ). The data shown are representative of independent data from two to five experiments. MFI, mean fluorescence intensity.

detected following immunoprecipitation with native InIC than with InIC-K $^{\rm all}$  or InIC-K $^{\rm 224}.$ 

To further confirm the interaction between S100A9 and InIC, we designed a splitnanoluciferase (NanoLuc) protein complementation assay (NPCA). Plasmids expressing S100A9 or native InIC, InIC-K<sup>all</sup>, or InIC-K<sup>224</sup> fused to two complementary NanoLuc moieties were cotransfected into cells, and luciferase activity was measured 24 h after transfection. Coexpression of S100A9 with InIC led to strong luciferase activity, similar to the intensity observed for S100A9/S100A9 homodimers, confirming the S100A9 interaction with InIC (Fig. 4B). Remarkably, the luciferase intensity was reduced when S100A9 was coexpressed with InIC-K<sup>all</sup> or InIC-K<sup>224</sup>, in line with the immunoprecipitation data. This indicates that S100A9 interacts to a lesser extent with nonubiquitinatable and K<sup>224</sup>-substituted forms of InIC and, thus, that ubiquitination of InIC promotes its interaction with S100A9.

**Ubiquitination of InIC controls the level of S100A9 and the S100A9-dependent host response to infection.** To investigate the functional significance of InIC ubiquitination, and to evaluate whether the interaction of ubiquitinated InIC with S100A9 could modify the level of S100A9, we cotransfected HeLa cells with a plasmid expressing HA-tagged S100A9 and a plasmid expressing either InIC, InIC-K<sup>all</sup>, or InIC-K<sup>224</sup> at various concentrations. Cell extracts were analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-InIC and anti-HA antibodies. The levels of S100A9 increased when the InIC-WT plasmid was



**FIG 4** InIC interacts with S100A9. (A) Interaction of S100A9 with InIC in transfected HeLa cells. Whole-cell lysates from HeLa cells, nontransfected or transfected with the indicated plasmids, were immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG beads, followed by immunoblotting with anti-InIC and anti-HA antibodies. S100A9<sup>H</sup> corresponds to the dimeric form of the protein. The data shown are representative of independent data from three experiments. (B) Luciferase protein complementation assay. HEK-293T cells were transfected with 100 ng of plasmids expressing each part of the nanoluciferase alone (control [CTRL]) or S100A9 or InIC bearing NanoLuc moiety 1 or 2 at their N or C terminus. At 24 h posttransfection, the medium of the cells was discarded, and cells were incubated with 50  $\mu$  of Nano-Glo reagent. Luciferase enzymatic activity was measured using a Berthold Centro XS LB960 luminometer and counting luminescence for 2 s. The values represent the means from quadruplicate experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using a *t* test. Mean values with *P* values of  $\leq 0.05$  were statistically different (ns, nonsignificant; \*\*,  $P \leq 0.01$ ; \*\*\*\*,  $P \leq 0.001$ ; \*\*\*\*,  $P \leq 0.001$ ). RLU, relative light units.

transfected (Fig. 5A). The levels of S100A9 remained stable upon further increased expression of InIC. In contrast, increasing InIC-K<sup>all</sup> expression led to a massive decrease of S100A9, which dropped from detectable to undetectable levels when 0.1 to 0.75  $\mu$ g of the InIC-K<sup>all</sup> plasmid was transfected. Similarly, the expression of InIC-K<sup>224</sup> led to the initial detection of S100A9, which progressively decreased when the production of InIC-K<sup>224</sup> increased, suggesting that ubiquitination of InIC stabilizes S100A9 and prevents its disappearance. A similar trend was observed in HeLa cells transfected with a plasmid expressing HA-tagged S100A9, whose S100A9 levels were higher upon infection with *Listeria* expressing native InIC than upon infection with *Listeria* expressing InIC-K<sup>all</sup> or InIC-K<sup>224</sup> (Fig. S1).

Given that host ubiquitination of InIC contributes to the host response to infection, we next sought to determine if S100A9 was involved in this response. We infected S100A9<sup>-/-</sup> mice intraperitoneally with  $1 \times 10^7$  bacteria of wild-type *Listeria* or the *Listeria* strain expressing InIC-K<sup>224</sup>. The number of neutrophils and ROS production by neutrophils in the peritoneal lavage fluid of infected animals were determined at 24 h postinfection. Infection of mice with *Listeria* encoding InIC or InIC-K<sup>224</sup> led to a similar recruitment of neutrophils (Fig. 5B). In contrast to what was observed in S100A9<sup>+/+</sup> mice (Fig. 3E), in S100A9-deficient mice, the WT *Listeria* strain did not trigger a higher level of ROS production by neutrophils than the strain producing InIC-K<sup>224</sup> (Fig. 5C). Together, these results demonstrate that InIC ubiquitination modulates the activation of neutrophils upon *Listeria* infection in an S100A9-dependent manner.



**FIG 5** Ubiquitination of InIC controls the levels of S100A9 and ROS production by neutrophils in an S100A9dependent manner. (A) HeLa cells were nontransfected or cotransfected with a plasmid encoding HA-tagged S100A9 and increasing amounts of a plasmid encoding either native InIC, InIC-K<sup>all</sup>, or InIC-K<sup>224</sup>. Whole-cell lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-InIC and anti-HA antibodies. The data shown are representative of independent data from three experiments. (B and C) S100A9<sup>-/-</sup> mice were infected by intraperitoneal injection of 10<sup>7</sup> bacteria of the *L. monocytogenes* EGD WT or InIC-K<sup>224</sup> strains. The number of neutrophils (B) and the level of ROS produced by neutrophils (C) in peritoneal lavage fluids were assessed by flow cytometry at 24 h postinfection. Comparison of WT and InIC-K<sup>224</sup> data was performed using a *t* test. Mean values with *P* values of  $\leq$ 0.05 were statistically different (ns, nonsignificant; \*, *P*  $\leq$  0.05). The data shown are representative of independent data from two experiments.

Ubiquitinated InIC interacts with S100A9 partners and proteins involved in S100A9-dependent pathways. To further assess the functional link between ubiquitinated InIC and S100A9, a list of potential common partners of InIC and S100A9 was established based on the two known interactors of InIC (16, 21), putative interactors of InIC found in a two-hybrid screen (Table S2), and S100A9 interactors found in the BioGRID database (Table S3). A total of 67 cDNAs encoding 67 human factors from the human ORFeome collection (Center for Cancer Systems Biology [CCSB], Dana-Farber Institute, Boston, MA, USA) as clones in the pDONR223 vector were selected. The human open reading frames (ORFs) were transferred by Gateway recombination in fusion with the sequence encoding the NIc1 fragment of the nanoluciferase into the destination vector pSNL-N1. The ORFs encoding native InIC, InIC-Kall, or InIC-K<sup>224</sup> were fused to the sequence encoding the complementary NIc2 fragment of the nanoluciferase into the vector pSNL-C2. HEK-293T cells were cotransfected with pSNL-N1 and pSNL-C2, and interactions between each partner and the InIC, InIC-K<sup>all</sup>, or InIC-K<sup>224</sup> protein were assessed by measuring luciferase activity at 24 h posttransfection (Fig. 6A). The human protein BCL2-L1 was used as a negative control. CHUK/IKK- $\alpha$  and Tuba, the two characterized InIC interactors, were used as positive controls. We focused our analysis on the difference in interactions between native InIC and InIC-K<sup>224</sup>. For each potential partner, the difference in the luciferase signals between the pair partner/InIC and the pair partner/InIC-K<sup>224</sup> was calculated. In total, 29 binary interactions of the 67 pairs were found to be statistically different between InIC and InIC-K<sup>224</sup>, among which 17 pairs show a reduction of interaction when lysine residue 224 was mutated (Fig. 6B).



Normalized signal (AU)

**FIG 6** Ubiquitinated InIC interacts with S100A9 partners. (A) Heat map representing interactions of cellular proteins with native InIC, InIC-K<sup>all</sup>, or InIC-K<sup>224</sup>. A total of 67 selected human ORFs were fused to the sequence encoding the NIc1 fragment of the nanoluciferase in pSNL-N1. The ORFs encoding native InIC, InIC-K<sup>all</sup>, or InIC-K<sup>224</sup> were fused to the sequence encoding the complementary NIc2 fragment of the nanoluciferase in pSNL-C2. HEK-293T cells were cotransfected with pSNL-N1 and pSNL-C2, and interactions between each cellular protein and InIC, InIC-K<sup>all</sup>, or InIC-K<sup>224</sup> were assessed by measuring luciferase activity at 24 h posttransfection. BCL2-L1 was used as a negative control, and S100A9 was used as a positive control. Light blue-to-dark blue colors indicate weak-to-strong interactions. Values of the normalized luciferase signals correspond to the means of log-transformed data. The 29 putative interactors of InIC or InIC-K<sup>224</sup> are shown in boldface type. The data shown are representative of independent data from three experiments. AU, arbitrary units. (B) Bar plot of the log<sub>2</sub>-fold changes for the 29 proteins interacting preferentially with InIC or InIC-K<sup>224</sup>. Negative values indicate a stronger interaction of the proteins with InIC-K<sup>224</sup>. Five direct partners of S100A9 are shown in red. The gray scale indicates adjusted *P* values. The data shown are representative of independent data from three experiments.

Thus, these proteins could interact better with ubiquitinated InIC than with InIC-K<sup>224</sup>. On the other hand, we identified 12 pairs with an increased interaction when InIC was not ubiquitinated (Fig. 6B). Among the 29 interactors, 5 proteins are known to be direct ligands of S100A9: cullin-2 (Cul2) and cullin-5, two members of the cullin-RING E3 ubiquitin ligase (CRL) complexes; the PPP2R1A and PPP2R2A subunits of serine/threo-

nine phosphatases; and TRIM55, a protein with a tripartite motif. These results indicate that these proteins could be involved in the interaction between InIC and the mammalian host alarmin S100A9.

# DISCUSSION

During infection, pathogenic bacteria are constantly struggling with their host for survival and must overcome challenges such as nutritional, innate, and adaptative immunity. To counteract these defenses, intracellular pathogens have developed sophisticated virulence strategies. Some virulence factors target host immune signaling pathways or interfere with the inflammatory process (31). Other bacterial effectors can exploit posttranslational modification machineries of the cell for their own benefit to promote virulence (32–34). However, bacterial effectors can also be modified posttranslationally to be degraded or relocated to contribute to miscellaneous functions (29, 32, 35, 36). Here, we report that the *Listeria* virulence protein InIC is ubiquitinated at late time points during cell infection. This modification is a monoubiquitination on the lysine residue K<sup>224</sup>. Ubiquitination of bacterial virulence factors can lead to their proteasomal degradation, which either promotes or limits infection. For instance, the differential ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of the Salmonella enterica guanine exchange factor SopE and the GTPase-activating protein SptP allow finetuning of their respective activities, which is important for bacterial invasion into nonphagocytic cells (37). In contrast, ubiquitination of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa effector ExoT by the host E3 ligase Cbl-b and its proteasomal degradation limit bacterial dissemination (38). Besides its role in promoting protein degradation, ubiquitination has also been shown to affect the function of bacterial effectors. For instance, the Salmonella phosphoinositide phosphatase SopB is localized at the plasma membrane at early stages of infection to mediate bacterial entry into cells. At later stages of infection, SopB relocates to the Salmonella-containing vacuole upon monoubiquitination on multiple lysine residues by the host E3 ligase TRAF6, promoting intracellular bacterial replication (29, 39). We demonstrate that ubiquitination of InIC does not lead to its degradation but promotes its interaction with the alarmin S100A9, a potent modulator of inflammatory processes (40). This interaction stabilizes S100A9 within the cell, while the InIC-K<sup>224</sup> mutated protein interacts weakly with S100A9, which is rapidly degraded. InIC ubiquitination and binding to \$100A9 occur at late stages of infection compared to InIC binding to the kinase IKK- $\alpha$ , an interaction that dampens the NF- $\kappa$ B-dependent inflammatory process at early stages of infection (16). S100A9 is an important DAMP with intra- and extracellular effects, e.g., antimicrobial and chemotactic activities. During infection, bacterial effectors can induce S100A8/S100A9 expression, which is released by activated phagocytes. Heterodimerization and translocation of S100A9 to the membrane are facilitated by a protein kinase C-dependent rise in the  $Ca^{2+}$  level. Protein kinase C activation is also required for S100A9 secretion via the microtubule network (41, 42). In addition, S100A9 can be modified by p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase-dependent threonine phosphorylation, which abrogates tubulin polymerization (43). Thus, intracellularly, the interaction of calprotectin, the S100A8/S100A9 heterodimer, with microtubules regulated by p38 and Ca<sup>2+</sup> signaling pathways is critical for cytoskeleton reorganization and phagocyte migration (43). Extracellularly, S100A8/ S100A9 binds to and activates Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) on numerous cell types of the innate immune system. These activated cells then release inflammatory mediators, thereby amplifying inflammatory reactions (55). Calprotectin also mediates the production of ROS by neutrophils (44, 45). We found that infection with the strain producing InIC-K<sup>224</sup>, which leads to rapid S100A9 degradation, triggers weaker ROS production by neutrophils than the WT strain. Furthermore, this phenotype is abolished in S100A9<sup>-/-</sup> mice, strongly suggesting that the interaction of S100A9 with native InIC could promote ROS production upon infection.

Although the enzymes catalyzing InIC ubiquitination are currently unknown, we identified cullin-2 and cullin-5 as putative partners of InIC by an interactomic screen. Cullin-2 and cullin-5 function as scaffold proteins of cullin-RING E3 ubiquitin ligase (CRL)

complexes that mediate ubiquitination of target proteins. In CRL2 complexes, cullin-2 assembles with the RING protein Rbx1, the elongin B and C adapter proteins, and various substrate recognition subunits (SRSs) such as the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) tumor suppressor protein (46). CRL2 ligases are involved in multiple biological processes, such as development and tumorigenesis, and are subverted by several viruses. In CRL5 complexes, cullin-5 interacts with the RING protein Rbx2, elongins B and C, and suppressor of cytokine signaling (SOCS) box-containing proteins (47). As for CRL2, CRL5 ligases regulate multiple signaling pathways, such as cell proliferation and angiogenesis, and can be hijacked by viruses. CRL2- and CRL5-interacting proteins have domains called the VHL box and the SOCS box, respectively. The VHL box is composed of a cullin-2 box with the consensus sequence  $\Phi PXX\Phi XXX\Phi$  (where  $\Phi$  can be any hydrophobic amino acid) and a BC box that binds elongins B and C and has the consensus sequence (S/T/P)LXXX(C/S/A)XXX $\Phi$  (48). The SOCS box is composed of a BC box and a cullin-5 box corresponding to the canonical sequence  $\Phi$ XXLP $\Phi$ PXX $\Phi$ XX(Y/F)(L/I) (48). Interestingly, two domains of the InIC sequence have similarity with the consensus sequences of the BC and cullin boxes (see Fig. S2A in the supplemental material). Similarly to viral proteins containing VHL or SOCS boxes, such as HIV-1 Vif (49) and the adenoviral protein E4orf6 (50), InIC could thus form a complex with cullin-2 and/or cullin-5 during infection. Furthermore, by affinity chromatography, S100A9 was found to interact with cullin-2 and cullin-5 (51). Interestingly, in cells infected by L. monocytogenes expressing InIC lacking the last 33 amino acids, which carry the putative Cul2/Cul5 boxes, InIC is not ubiquitinated (Fig. S2B). InIC could thus be ubiquitinated by CRL complexes, thereby stabilizing S100A9.

In conclusion, we have shown that InIC is monoubiquitinated on lysine 224 during infection. Host ubiquitination of InIC stabilizes S100A9, triggers ROS production by neutrophils, and restricts infection. Our data reinforce the importance of posttranslational modifications in the subtle regulation of host-pathogen interactions during the infectious process.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture and infection.** HeLa (human epithelial cervix carcinoma; ATCC CCL 2) cells and human kidney HEK-293T cells (Invitrogen) were cultured according to American Type Culture Collection guidelines. Cells were generally infected with exponentially growing *Listeria* strains such that the multiplicity of infection (MOI) was 50 bacteria per cell (MOI of 50). After 1 h of infection, cells were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and treated with 20  $\mu$ g/ml of gentamicin to prevent the growth of extracellular bacteria.

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions.** References and information on strains can be found in Table S4 in the supplemental material. *Listeria* strains were grown in brain heart infusion (BHI) broth (BD) at 37°C at 200 rpm.

**Plasmids.** References and information on plasmids can be found in Table S5. For mammalian transfection, the pUC57-FLAG<sub>2</sub>-InIC, pUC57-FLAG<sub>2</sub>-InIC-K<sup>all</sup>, and pUC57-FLAG<sub>2</sub>-InIC-K<sup>224</sup> plasmids encoding N-terminal FLAG<sub>2</sub>-tagged InIC sequences were optimized for expression in mammalian cells and mutated on lysine residues (GeneCust). InIC-K<sup>all</sup> refers to the construct where all lysines from K<sup>57</sup> to K<sup>296</sup> were replaced by arginines, and InIC-K<sup>224</sup> refers to lysine 224 replaced by arginine. These constructs were subcloned into pcDNA3 (Invitrogen) at BamHI/Xhol sites. The yeast two-hybrid screen was performed by Hybrigenics using plasmids derived from pBTM116 expressing *inIC* and pGADGH expressing a human placenta gene bank (16).

The split-nanoluciferase complementation assay (NPCA) to perform the interactome screen is based on the ability of interacting protein pairs expressed in fusion with the NIc1 and NIc2 complementary fragments of the NanoLuc luciferase to reconstitute an active enzyme. These fragments were positioned at either the N or C terminus of the selected protein. The ORFs encoding potential factors selected for the screen, including S100A8 and S100A9 factors, were obtained from the human ORFeome collection (CCSB, Dana-Farber Institute, Boston, MA, USA) as entry clones in the pDONR223 vector, and they were fused to the NIc1 fragment of the luciferase. The InIC plasmids had to be fused to the complementary NIc2 fragment positioned at either the N or C terminus of the InIC constructs to subsequently select the best pairs and obtain the strongest signal in the luciferase assay. The InIC, InIC-K<sup>all</sup>, and InIC-K<sup>224</sup> ORFs were amplified by PCR from the respective pUC57 constructs (see above) with oligonucleotides harboring Gateway recombination *att*B1.2 and *att*B2.1 sites listed in Table S6 and cloned by *in vitro* recombination into pDONR207 (BP cloning reaction; Invitrogen). Next, the resulting entry clones were transferred by Gateway recombination into the destination vector pSNL-N2 or pSNL-C2 expressing the NIc2 fragment of NanoLuc luciferase in fusion at either their N or C termini.

**Construction of strains expressing mutated InIC.** All PCRs were carried out using the *Pfu* Turbo DNA polymerase (Agilent) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The *inI*C gene and its own

promoter were PCR amplified from the L. monocytogenes EGD chromosome using primers 1 and 2 and primers 3 and 4, respectively (Table S6), and cloned into the pCR-Blunt vector (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's recommendations, yielding pBlunt-InIC and pBlunt-InIC-K<sup>272,295</sup>, respectively (Table S5). These plasmids were then used as the templates for PCR site-directed mutagenesis using overlapping primers, both containing the desired mutation (Table S6). Extension of the primers generates a mutated pCR-Blunt plasmid containing staggered nicks. PCR products were treated with Dpnl to remove the parental DNA template and then transformed into XL1-Blue electrocompetent cells. Transformants were selected on kanamycin, and plasmids containing the expected punctual mutations were verified by sequencing using the M13Fw and -Rev primers. The mutated inIC genes encoding InIC without any lysine residues (InIC-K<sup>LRR</sup>) or without any lysine residues in the LRR region (InIC-K<sup>LRR</sup>) were synthesized and cloned into Xmal-Sall-digested plasmid pUC57 (GeneCust, Luxembourg). The Sall-Xmal restriction fragments, from pBlunt-based or pUC57-based plasmids, composed of either native inIC (pBlunt-InIC) or mutated inIC were subcloned into the Sall-Xmal-digested pAD<sub>2</sub>-PinIC-GFP plasmid (Table S5) (52). All pAD-based plasmids were verified by sequencing using primers pPL2-Rev and pPL2-Fw and transformed into L. monocytogenes EGD ΔinIC (BUG2117) by electroporation (Table S4). Integration into the chromosome was verified by PCR amplification using primers NC16 and PL95 (Table S6). For multiple-site mutagenesis, newly synthesized pCR-Blunt-based plasmids containing the inIC gene with a punctual mutation(s) were used as the templates for subsequent PCR site-directed mutagenesis as described above (Table S5). For the generation of the L. monocytogenes EGD strain producing InIC lacking the C terminus, the first 792 nucleotides of the inIC gene and its own promoter were amplified by PCR from the L. monocytogenes EGD chromosome and cloned into the Smal/Salldigested pAD2-PinIC-GFP plasmid (Table S5). The resulting plasmid, pAD-InIC-T5, was introduced into L. monocytogenes EGD  $\Delta inlC$  (BUG2117) by electroporation (Table S4). The chromosomal insertion was verified by PCR using primers NC16 and PL95 (Table S6).

**Immunoblotting.** Cells were lysed in Laemmli buffer, and proteins eluted from immunoprecipitation assay mixtures were separated on SDS-polyacrylamide gels. Proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes and incubated with primary antibodies. Membranes were then incubated with anti-rabbit or anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated antibodies (AbCys). The blots were revealed using the ECL kit or ECL-2 kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or the Clarity kit (Bio-Rad).

**Transfection.** HeLa cells were seeded into T75 flasks in complete medium at a density of  $2.5 \times 10^6$  cells. Cells were transfected the day after with 15  $\mu$ g of expression plasmids using Lipofectamine LTX reagents according to the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen). After 24 or 48 h, the transfected cells were either directly lysed and used for immunoprecipitation assays or infected as described above, and 24 h later, the transfected/infected cells were lysed and processed for immunoprecipitation.

**Antibodies.** The primary antibodies used in this study were affinity-purified rabbit polyclonal anti-InIC (R134) (16), mouse antiubiquitin (catalog number 3936; Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit anti-SUMO1 (catalog number 4930; Cell Signaling Technology), mouse anti-ISG15 (F-9, catalog number sc166755; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), mouse anti-HA (6E2, catalog number 2367; Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit anti-HA (C29F4; Cell Signaling Technology), mouse anti-Core anti-Core anti-S100A9 (catalog number pab0423-P; Covalab), and mouse anti-NF-κB-p65 (F6; Santa Cruz).

**ELISA.** HeLa cells were cultured in 24-well plates according to American Type Culture Collection guidelines. Cells were transfected with pcDNA3.1+/N-HA-S100A9 at 500 ng/well (Table S5). After 48 h, cells were infected with exponentially growing *Listeria* strains such that the multiplicity of infection was 60 bacteria per cell. Plates were centrifuged for 5 min at 1,100 rpm at 18°C and incubated at 37°C for 90 min. Cells were washed 3 times in PBS, treated with 20  $\mu$ g/ml of gentamicin, and stimulated with 50 ng/ml of human TNF- $\alpha$  (R&D Systems). After 24 h, S100A9 secreted by infected cells was quantitated by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) according to the manufacturer's recommendations (DuoSet ELISA S100A9; R&D Systems).

**Split-nanoluc luciferase complementation assay.** The luciferase protein complementation assay was performed in a 96-well-plate format (Greiner, Kremsmünster, Austria) using HEK-293T cells (40,000 cells/well plated in 100  $\mu$ l of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium [DMEM] supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics). After 24 h, cells were transfected using a polyethylenimine (PEI) method with 200 ng total DNA mix containing plasmid pairs respectively encoding the human proteins fused at the N or C terminus to amino acids 1 to 65 of the nanoluciferase and with a plasmid bearing the N or C terminus fused to the complementary moieties of luciferase and encoding either S100A9, InIC proteins, or an irrelevant protein (BCL2-L1) as a negative control. At 24 h posttransfection, the medium of the cells was harvested, and the cells were incubated with 50  $\mu$ l of Nano-Glo luciferase reagent (Promega). Luminescence monitoring was performed on a Centro XS3 LB 960 microplate luminometer (Berthold Technologies) using an integration time of 2 s. After cotransfection of the different constructs, the highest efficiency of interaction revealed was obtained when these moieties were placed at the C terminus of S100A9 and InIC, giving the combination C1-S100A9/C2-InIC. For each interaction, *P* values were calculated from the means of quadruplicates, using the Holm-Sidak *t* test.

**Immunoprecipitation.** Infected or transfected cells were rinsed three times in cold PBS. For immunoprecipitation of infected cells, cells were lysed for 2 h in modified radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (50 mM Tris-HCI [pH 7.6], 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 1 mM EDTA, 20 mM *N*-ethylmaleimide, and a complete protease inhibitor cocktail [Roche]). Lysates were centrifuged for 15 min at 4°C at 16,000  $\times$  *g*, and supernatants were incubated for 15 min with protein A-Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) to eliminate unspecific binding of proteins to beads. After the removal of the beads, cleared lysates were then incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-InIC antibodies. The immunocomplexes were then captured by incubating samples for 3 h with

protein A-Sepharose beads. Beads were finally collected and washed four times in the corresponding lysis buffer, and captured proteins were eluted using Laemmli buffer. For immunoprecipitation of FLAG-tagged InIC, cells were lysed for 2 h in FLAG lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCI [pH 8.0], 1% Triton, 150 mM NaCI, and a complete protease inhibitor cocktail [Roche]). Lysates were centrifuged, and 1.5 mg of the total extract was incubated overnight at 4°C with 15  $\mu$ l of washed anti-FLAG magnetic beads (Sigma-Aldrich). The immunocomplexes were washed four times with buffer containing 0.25% Triton by using a magnetic rack, and proteins were eluted twice using 20  $\mu$ l of 3× FLAG peptide (100  $\mu$ g/ml of 3× FLAG peptide [Sigma-Aldrich] in a solution containing 50 mM Tris-HCI [pH 7.4] and 150 mM NaCI).

Murine infection experiments. L. monocytogenes strains were thawed from glycerol stocks stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C, washed, and diluted in PBS before injection. LD<sub>50</sub> experiments were carried out by injecting  $200-\mu$ l serial dilutions of the bacterial suspension intravenously in the tail vein of 8-week-old female BALB/c mice (Charles River). LD<sub>50</sub> values were determined by the probit method after infection of groups of 5 mice. Kaplan-Meier curves were generated by measuring mouse daily survival over 10 days. For peritoneal infections, a sublethal dose (107 bacteria) was injected into the peritoneal cavity of 8-week-old female C57BL/6 mice (Charles River) or S100A9-/- mice, provided by Thomas Vogl (53). The inoculum was confirmed by plating serial dilutions of the bacterial suspension on BHI agar plates. For the determination of bacterial loads, peritoneal lavage fluids were recovered at 24, 48, and 72 h postinfection. Serial dilutions of organ homogenates were plated on BHI agar plates, and CFU were counted after growth at 37°C for 48 h. All experiments were performed in accordance with the Institut Pasteur's guidelines for laboratory animal welfare. For flow cytometry, peritoneal lavage fluids were washed in staining buffer (PBS with 0.5% fetal calf serum [FCS] and 2 mM EDTA), counted, and distributed in 96-well plates for staining. Unspecific binding was blocked by incubation with anti-mouse CD16/CD32 (BD Biosciences) for 10 min before the addition of surface-labeling antibodies for another 40 min, in staining buffer at 4°C. Cells were washed twice in PBS before viability dye (eFluor780; eBioscience) labeling for 5 min at 4°C. Cells were washed twice in staining buffer and fixed for 5 min using a commercial fixation buffer (BioLegend). For intracellular cytokine staining, cells were permeabilized and washed with buffers from commercial kits (Inside stain kit; Miltenyi Biotec). For interferon gamma (IFN-γ) detection, samples were incubated for 4 h at 37°C with brefeldin A (eBioscience) before staining. ROS detection was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (Total ROS assay kit 520 nm; Invitrogen). The following antibodies (clones) were used: NK1.1 (PK136), CD3 (145-2C11), CD11b (M1/70), CD69 (H1.2F3), CD25 (PC61), Ly6C (HK1.4), Ly6G (1A8-Ly6g), CD11c (HL3), CD64 (X54-5/7.1), F4/80 (T45-2342), NOS2 (CXNFT), and IFN- $\gamma$  (XMG1.2) (purchased from BioLegend, eBioscience, and BD Biosciences). Sample acquisition was performed on a MACSQuant cytometer (Miltenyi Biotec), and analysis was done using FlowJo software (TreeStar).

**Ethics statement.** This study was carried out in strict accordance with French national and European laws and conformed to the Council Directive on the approximation of laws, regulations, and administrative provisions of the Member States regarding the protection of animals used for experimental and other scientific purposes (86/609/EEC). Experiments that relied on laboratory animals were performed in strict accordance with the Institut Pasteur's regulations for animal care and use protocol, which was approved by the Animal Experiment Committee of the Institut Pasteur (approval number 03-49).

**Statistical analysis.** To compare the interactions between InIC, InIC-K<sup>224</sup>, and their putative partners, we performed statistical analysis with the limma package (v3.30.13) and voom transformation (54). The data were normalized using trimmed mean of M values (TMM) normalization. By shrinking the variance estimates toward a common value, the linear model implemented in the limma package provides a robust comparison for each protein between the two conditions InIC and InIC-K<sup>224</sup>. The resulting *P* values were adjusted according to the Benjamini-Yekutieli procedure (56).

# SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio .02778-19.

FIG S1, TIF file, 0.3 MB. FIG S2, JPG file, 0.6 MB. TABLE S1, XLSX file, 0.1 MB. TABLE S2, DOC file, 0.03 MB. TABLE S3, DOC file, 0.04 MB. TABLE S4, DOC file, 0.04 MB. TABLE S5, DOC file, 0.1 MB. TABLE S6, DOCX file, 0.02 MB.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank David Ribet for critical reading of the manuscript and members of the Cossart laboratory for helpful discussions. We thank Patricia Cassonnet and Mélanie Dos Santos for providing HEK-293T cells and for their technical help. We also thank the Center for Cancer Systems Biology (CCSB) at the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute (Boston, MA, USA) for providing entry clones of the human ORFs.

Our work has been supported by the Institut Pasteur, Inserm, INRA, Université

Paris-Diderot, the Laboratory of Excellence Integrative Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases (ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID), ERA-NET Infect-ERA ProAntiLis (ANR-13-IFEC-0004-02), the Fondation Balzan, the Fondation Le Roch, and the European Research Council (advanced grant awards 233348 and 670823 to Pascale Cossart). Pascale Cossart is an International Research Scholar of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Swaminathan B, Gerner-Smidt P. 2007. The epidemiology of human listeriosis. Microbes Infect 9:1236-1243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf .2007.05.011.
- 2. Radoshevich L, Cossart P. 2018. Listeria monocytogenes: towards a complete picture of its physiology and pathogenesis. Nat Rev Microbiol 16:32-46. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2017.126.
- 3. David DJV, Cossart P. 2017. Recent advances in understanding Listeria monocytogenes infection: the importance of subcellular and physiological context. F1000Res 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):1126. https://doi.org/10 12688/f1000research 11363.1
- 4. Gaillard JL, Berche P, Frehel C, Gouin E, Cossart P. 1991. Entry of L. monocytogenes into cells is mediated by internalin, a repeat protein reminiscent of surface antigens from gram-positive cocci. Cell 65: 1127-1141. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(91)90009-n.
- 5. Dramsi S, Biswas I, Maguin E, Braun L, Mastroeni P, Cossart P. 1995. Entry of Listeria monocytogenes into hepatocytes requires expression of inIB, a surface protein of the internalin multigene family. Mol Microbiol 16: 251-261. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.1995.tb02297.x.
- 6. Mengaud J, Ohayon H, Gounon P, Mege RM, Cossart P. 1996. E-cadherin is the receptor for internalin, a surface protein required for entry of L. monocytogenes into epithelial cells. Cell 84:923-932. https://doi.org/10 .1016/S0092-8674(00)81070-3.
- 7. Shen Y, Naujokas M, Park M, Ireton K. 2000. InIB-dependent internalization of Listeria is mediated by the Met receptor tyrosine kinase. Cell 103:501-510. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(00)00141-0.
- 8. Mengaud J, Dramsi S, Gouin E, Vázquez-Boland JA, Milon G, Cossart P. 1991. Pleiotropic control of Listeria monocytogenes virulence factors by a gene that is autoregulated. Mol Microbiol 5:2273-2283. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2958.1991.tb02158.x.
- 9. Gaillard JL, Berche P, Mounier J, Richard S, Sansonetti P. 1987. In vitro model of penetration and intracellular growth of Listeria monocytogenes in the human enterocyte-like cell line Caco-2. Infect Immun 55: 2822-2829.
- 10. Marquis H, Doshi V, Portnoy DA. 1995. The broad-range phospholipase C and a metalloprotease mediate listeriolysin O-independent escape of Listeria monocytogenes from a primary vacuole in human epithelial cells. Infect Immun 63:4531-4534.
- 11. Kocks C, Gouin E, Tabouret M, Berche P, Ohayon H, Cossart P. 1992. L. monocytogenes-induced actin assembly requires the actA gene product, a surface protein. Cell 68:521-531. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0092-8674(92)90188-i.
- 12. Yoshikawa Y, Ogawa M, Hain T, Yoshida M, Fukumatsu M, Kim M, Mimuro H, Nakagawa I, Yanagawa T, Ishii T, Kakizuka A, Sztul E, Chakraborty T, Sasakawa C. 2009. Listeria monocytogenes ActA-mediated escape from autophagic recognition. Nat Cell Biol 11:1233–1240. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ncb1967
- 13. Domann E, Wehland J, Rohde M, Pistor S, Hartl M, Goebel W, Leimeister-Wächter M, Wuenscher M, Chakraborty T. 1992. A novel bacterial virulence gene in Listeria monocytogenes required for host cell microfilament interaction with homology to the proline-rich region of vinculin. EMBO J 11:1981-1990. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1992.tb05252.x.
- 14. Chakraborty T, Leimeister-Wächter M, Domann E, Hartl M, Goebel W, Nichterlein T, Notermans S. 1992. Coordinate regulation of virulence genes in Listeria monocytogenes requires the product of the prfA gene. J Bacteriol 174:568-574. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.174.2.568-574.1992.
- 15. Gaillard JL, Berche P, Sansonetti P. 1986. Transposon mutagenesis as a tool to study the role of hemolysin in the virulence of Listeria monocytogenes. Infect Immun 52:50-55.
- 16. Gouin E, Adib-Conguy M, Balestrino D, Nahori M-A, Villiers V, Colland F, Dramsi S, Dussurget O, Cossart P. 2010. The Listeria monocytogenes InIC protein interferes with innate immune responses by targeting the I-kappa-B kinase subunit IKK-alpha. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 17333-17338. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1007765107.

- 17. Leung N, Gianfelice A, Gray-Owen SD, Ireton K. 2013. Impact of the Listeria monocytogenes protein InIC on infection in mice. Infect Immun 81:1334-1340. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01377-12.
- 18. Lingnau A, Chakraborty T, Niebuhr K, Domann E, Wehland J. 1996. Identification and purification of novel internalin-related proteins in Listeria monocytogenes and Listeria ivanovii. Infect Immun 64:1002–1006.
- 19. Engelbrecht F, Chun SK, Ochs C, Hess J, Lottspeich F, Goebel W, Sokolovic Z. 1996. A new PrfA-regulated gene of Listeria monocytogenes encoding a small, secreted protein which belongs to the family of internalins. Mol Microbiol 21:823-837. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365 -2958.1996.541414.x.
- 20. Chatterjee SS, Hossain H, Otten S, Kuenne C, Kuchmina K, Machata S, Domann E, Chakraborty T, Hain T. 2006. Intracellular gene expression profile of Listeria monocytogenes. Infect Immun 74:1323-1338. https:// doi.org/10.1128/IAI.74.2.1323-1338.2006.
- 21. Rajabian T, Gavicherla B, Heisig M, Müller-Altrock S, Goebel W, Gray-Owen SD, Ireton K. 2009. The bacterial virulence factor InIC perturbs apical cell junctions and promotes cell-to-cell spread of Listeria. Nat Cell Biol 11:1212-1218. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1964.
- 22. Donato R. 2003. Intracellular and extracellular roles of \$100 proteins. Microsc Res Tech 60:540-551. https://doi.org/10.1002/jemt.10296.
- 23. Vogl T, Stratis A, Wixler V, Völler T, Thurainayagam S, Jorch SK, Zenker S, Dreiling A, Chakraborty D, Fröhling M, Paruzel P, Wehmeyer C, Hermann S, Papantonopoulou O, Geyer C, Loser K, Schäfers M, Ludwig S, Stoll M, Leanderson T, Schultze JL, König S, Pap T, Roth J. 2018. Autoinhibitory regulation of \$100A8/\$100A9 alarmin activity locally restricts sterile inflammation. J Clin Invest 128:1852-1866. https://doi.org/10.1172/ JCI89867
- 24. Goyette J, Geczy CL. 2011. Inflammation-associated S100 proteins: new mechanisms that regulate function. Amino Acids 41:821-842. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00726-010-0528-0.
- 25. Corbin BD, Seeley EH, Raab A, Feldmann J, Miller MR, Torres VJ, Anderson KL, Dattilo BM, Dunman PM, Gerads R, Caprioli RM, Nacken W, Chazin WJ, Skaar EP. 2008. Metal chelation and inhibition of bacterial growth in tissue abscesses. Science 319:962-965. https://doi.org/10 .1126/science.1152449.
- 26. Foell D, Wittkowski H, Roth J. 2007. Mechanisms of disease: a "DAMP" view of inflammatory arthritis. Nat Clin Pract Rheumatol 3:382-390. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncprheum0531.
- 27. Ryckman C, Vandal K, Rouleau P, Talbot M, Tessier PA. 2003. Proinflammatory activities of \$100: proteins \$100A8, \$100A9, and \$100A8/A9 induce neutrophil chemotaxis and adhesion. J Immunol 170:3233-3242. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.170.6.3233.
- 28. Impens F, Radoshevich L, Cossart P, Ribet D. 2014. Mapping of SUMO sites and analysis of SUMOylation changes induced by external stimuli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:12432-12437. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas .1413825111.
- 29. Patel JC, Hueffer K, Lam TT, Galán JE. 2009. Diversification of a Salmonella virulence protein function by ubiquitin-dependent differential localization. Cell 137:283-294. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.056.
- 30. Ribet D, Hamon M, Gouin E, Nahori M-A, Impens F, Neyret-Kahn H, Gevaert K, Vandekerckhove J, Dejean A, Cossart P. 2010. Listeria monocytogenes impairs SUMOylation for efficient infection. Nature 464: 1192-1195. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08963.
- 31. Diacovich L, Gorvel J-P. 2010. Bacterial manipulation of innate immunity to promote infection. Nat Rev Microbiol 8:117-128. https://doi.org/10 .1038/nrmicro2295.
- 32. Ribet D, Cossart P. 2010. Pathogen-mediated posttranslational modifications: a re-emerging field. Cell 143:694-702. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.cell.2010.11.019
- 33. Alomairi J, Bonacci T, Ghigo E, Soubeyran P. 2015. Alterations of host cell ubiquitination machinery by pathogenic bacteria. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 5:17. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2015.00017.

- Ashida H, Sasakawa C. 2017. Bacterial E3 ligase effectors exploit host ubiquitin systems. Curr Opin Microbiol 35:16–22. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.mib.2016.11.001.
- Kim M, Otsubo R, Morikawa H, Nishide A, Takagi K, Sasakawa C, Mizushima T. 2014. Bacterial effectors and their functions in the ubiquitinproteasome system: insight from the modes of substrate recognition. Cells 3:848–864. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells3030848.
- Lin YH, Machner MP. 2017. Exploitation of the host cell ubiquitin machinery by microbial effector proteins. J Cell Sci 130:1985–1996. https:// doi.org/10.1242/jcs.188482.
- Kubori T, Galán JE. 2003. Temporal regulation of *Salmonella* virulence effector function by proteasome-dependent protein degradation. Cell 115:333–342. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00849-3.
- Balachandran P, Dragone L, Garrity-Ryan L, Lemus A, Weiss A, Engel J. 2007. The ubiquitin ligase Cbl-b limits *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* exotoxin T-mediated virulence. J Clin Invest 117:419–427. https://doi.org/10 .1172/JCl28792.
- Knodler LA, Winfree S, Drecktrah D, Ireland R, Steele-Mortimer O. 2009. Ubiquitination of the bacterial inositol phosphatase, SopB, regulates its biological activity at the plasma membrane. Cell Microbiol 11: 1652–1670. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2009.01356.x.
- Zackular JP, Chazin WJ, Skaar EP. 2015. Nutritional immunity: S100 proteins at the host-pathogen interface. J Biol Chem 290:18991–18998. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R115.645085.
- Rammes A, Roth J, Goebeler M, Klempt M, Hartmann M, Sorg C. 1997. Myeloid-related protein (MRP) 8 and MRP14, calcium-binding proteins of the S100 family, are secreted by activated monocytes via a novel, tubulin-dependent pathway. J Biol Chem 272:9496–9502. https://doi .org/10.1074/jbc.272.14.9496.
- Ryckman C, Gilbert C, de Médicis R, Lussier A, Vandal K, Tessier PA. 2004. Monosodium urate monohydrate crystals induce the release of the proinflammatory protein S100A8/A9 from neutrophils. J Leukoc Biol 76:433–440. https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0603294.
- Vogl T, Ludwig S, Goebeler M, Strey A, Thorey IS, Reichelt R, Foell D, Gerke V, Manitz MP, Nacken W, Werner S, Sorg C, Roth J. 2004. MRP8 and MRP14 control microtubule reorganization during transendothelial migration of phagocytes. Blood 104:4260–4268. https://doi.org/10.1182/ blood-2004-02-0446.
- Bøyum A, Skrede KK, Myhre O, Tennfjord V-A, Neurauter CG, Tolleshaug H, Knudsen E, Opstad PK, Bjørås M, Benestad HB. 2010. Calprotectin (S100A8/S100A9) and myeloperoxidase: co-regulators of formation of reactive oxygen species. Toxins (Basel) 2:95–115. https://doi.org/10 .3390/toxins2010095.
- 45. Steinckwich N, Schenten V, Melchior C, Brechard S, Tschirhart EJ. 2011. An essential role of STIM1, Orai1, and S100A8-A9 proteins for Ca2+ signaling and Fc R-mediated phagosomal oxidative activity. J Immunol 186:2182–2191. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1001338.

- Cai W, Yang H. 2016. The structure and regulation of cullin 2 based E3 ubiquitin ligases and their biological functions. Cell Div 11:7. https://doi .org/10.1186/s13008-016-0020-7.
- Okumura F, Joo-Okumura A, Nakatsukasa K, Kamura T. 2016. The role of cullin 5-containing ubiquitin ligases. Cell Div 11:1. https://doi.org/10 .1186/s13008-016-0016-3.
- Mahrour N, Redwine WB, Florens L, Swanson SK, Martin-Brown S, Bradford WD, Staehling-Hampton K, Washburn MP, Conaway RC, Conaway JW. 2008. Characterization of cullin-box sequences that direct recruitment of Cul2-Rbx1 and Cul5-Rbx2 modules to elongin BC-based ubiquitin ligases. J Biol Chem 283:8005–8013. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc .M706987200.
- Yu Y, Xiao Z, Ehrlich ES, Yu X, Yu X-F. 2004. Selective assembly of HIV-1 Vif-Cul5-ElonginB-ElonginC E3 ubiquitin ligase complex through a novel SOCS box and upstream cysteines. Genes Dev 18:2867–2872. https://doi .org/10.1101/gad.1250204.
- Blanchette P, Cheng CY, Yan Q, Ketner G, Ornelles DA, Dobner T, Conaway RC, Conaway JW, Branton PE. 2004. Both BC-box motifs of adenovirus protein E4orf6 are required to efficiently assemble an E3 ligase complex that degrades p53. Mol Cell Biol 24:9619–9629. https:// doi.org/10.1128/MCB.24.21.9619-9629.2004.
- Bennett EJ, Rush J, Gygi SP, Harper JW. 2010. Dynamics of cullin-RING ubiquitin ligase network revealed by systematic quantitative proteomics. Cell 143:951–965. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.11.017.
- Balestrino D, Hamon MA, Dortet L, Nahori M-A, Pizarro-Cerdá J, Alignani D, Dussurget O, Cossart P, Toledo-Arana A. 2010. Single-cell techniques using chromosomally tagged fluorescent bacteria to study *Listeria* monocytogenes infection processes. Appl Environ Microbiol 76: 3625–3636. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02612-09.
- 53. Manitz MP, Horst B, Seeliger S, Strey A, Skryabin BV, Gunzer M, Frings W, Schönlau F, Roth J, Sorg C, Nacken W. 2003. Loss of S100A9 (MRP14) results in reduced interleukin-8-induced CD11b surface expression, a polarized microfilament system, and diminished responsiveness to chemoattractants in vitro. Mol Cell Biol 23:1034–1043. https://doi.org/10 .1128/mcb.23.3.1034-1043.2003.
- Law CW, Chen Y, Shi W, Smyth GK. 2014. Voom: precision weights unlock linear model analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. Genome Biol 15: R29. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2014-15-2-r29.
- 55. Vogl T, Tenbrock K, Ludwig S, Leukert N, Ehrhardt C, van Zoelen MAD, Nacken W, Foell D, van der Poll T, Sorg C, Roth J. 2007. Mrp8 and Mrp14 are endogenous activators of Toll-like receptor 4, promoting lethal, endotoxin-induced shock. Nat Med 13:1042–1049. https://doi.org/10 .1038/nm1638.
- Benjamini Y, Yekutieli D. 2001. The control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing under dependency. Ann Stat 29:1165–1188.