ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Revised: 6 October 2020

WILEY

Identification of mini-chromosome maintenance 8 as a potential prognostic marker and its effects on proliferation and apoptosis in gastric cancer

Bin Huang^{1,2} | Minghe Lin¹ | Lisha Lu³ | Wujin Chen³ | Jingzhuang Tan^{1,2} | Jinyan Zhao^{1,2} | Zhiyun Cao^{1,2} | Xiaoqin Zhu^{1,2} | Jiumao Lin^{1,2}

¹Academy of Integrative Medicine, Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Fuzhou, China

²Fujian Key Laboratory of Integrative Medicine on Geriatrics, Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Fuzhou, China

³Department of Oncology, Affiliated People's Hospital of Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Fuzhou, China

Correspondence

Jiumao Lin, Institute of Oncology of Academy of Integrative Medicine, Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 1 Qiuyang Road, Minhou Shangjie, Fuzhou, Fujian 350122, China. Email: linjiumao@fjtcm.edu.cn

Funding information

Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province, China, Grant/Award Number: 2019J01355; Collaborative project of Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Grant/Award Number: 701181016

Abstract

Mini-chromosome maintenance (MCM) proteins play important roles in initiating eukaryotic genome replication. The MCM family of proteins includes several members associated with the development and progression of certain cancers. We performed online data mining to assess the expression of MCMs in gastric cancer (GC) and the correlation between their expression and survival in patients with GC. Notably, MCM8 expression was undoubtedly up-regulated in GC, and higher expression correlated with shorter overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) in patients with GC. However, the role of MCM8 in GC has not been previously explored. Our in vitro experiments revealed that MCM8 knockdown inhibited cell growth and metastasis. Moreover, MCM8 knockdown induced apoptosis. Mechanistically, the expression levels of Bax and cleaved caspase-3 were increased, whereas Bcl-2 expression decreased. Additionally, we demonstrated that MCM8 knockdown suppressed tumorigenesis in vivo. Overall, these results suggest that MCM8 plays a significant role in GC progression.

KEYWORDS

apoptosis, gastric cancer, MCM8, proliferation, survival

1 | INTRODUCTION

Stomach cancer, also known as gastric cancer (GC), is the fifth most common type of cancer, presenting the third-highest mortality worldwide and accounting for 1.03 million new cases and over 783 000 deaths in 2018.¹ Surgery combined with chemotherapy and radiotherapy are major treatment strategies for GC. However, GC is virtually untreatable unless detected at an early stage. The clinical translation of molecular-guided targeted therapy is hampered

Bin Huang and Minghe Lin contributed equally.

by several challenges.² Therefore, further exploration of molecular mechanisms underlying GC is essential to determine innovative and useful therapeutic targets.

The mini-chromosome maintenance (MCM) family plays an important role in initiating eukaryotic genome replication.³ Moreover, MCM family proteins are involved in replication, elongation, cohesion, condensation, transcription and recombination of DNA molecules; these roles were first identified in budding yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.*⁴ This protein family includes at least 10 proteins. MCM1 regulates cell proliferation, apoptosis and differentiation.⁵ Additionally, MCM proteins 2-7 are important and play a role in DNA replication and elongation,^{4,6-8} with this complex displaying

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

WILEY

helicase activity in vitro.^{9,10} Subsequently, MCM8 and MCM9 were discovered, similar to other members of the MCM2, MCM3, MCM4, MCM5, MCM6, MCM7 proteins³ and are crucial for DNA pre-replication and initiation of the S phase.^{11,12} In addition, they facilitate homologous recombination repair as a heterohexameric MCM8 and MCM9 complex at DNA damage sites.^{11,13,14} MCM10 is an additional essential protein for the initiation of DNA synthesis.^{15,16}

Recently, increasing evidence has suggested that MCMs are up-regulated in multiple malignancies including cervical cancer,¹⁷ breast cancer.¹⁸ oesophageal squamous cell cancer.¹⁹ chronic myelogenous leukaemia.²⁰ human gliomas and non-small-cell lung cancer.²¹⁻²⁴ However, there is less evidence demonstrating the relationship between MCM family proteins and GC. Therefore, we assessed the mRNA expression of MCMs in GC when compared with normal adjacent parental tissues by GEPIA (Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis). Additionally, we analysed the relationship between MCM expression and the progression and prognosis of GC using the Kaplan-Meier plotter analysis. We observed that MCM2, MCM5 and MCM8 expression was up-regulated in GC samples when compared with adjacent normal parental samples and correlated with a poor prognosis. Meanwhile, there have been several articles reveal that MCM2 and MCM5 may serve as prognostic indicators of patients with gastric cancer, but there is no such article on MCM8. Moreover, there is no in vitro or in vivo study on the function of MCM8 in gastric cancer.²⁵⁻²⁷ We performed further analyses on MCM8. We detected the mRNA expression of MCM8 and its association with overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) in different GC databases. Furthermore, functional assays indicated that MCM8 knockdown significantly inhibited cell growth and metastasis, but induced cell apoptosis. Intrinsic and extrinsic pathways are two major apoptotic pathways. The intrinsic pathway, also called the mitochondrial pathway, is attributed to the essential involvement of mitochondria.²⁸ The intrinsic pathway of apoptosis is regulated by a family of proteins called the Bcl-2 family. Some of these proteins (such as Bad, Bax or Bid) are pro-apoptotic, while others (such as Bcl-2 and Bcl-XL) are anti-apoptotic. The balance between pro- and anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins determines the sensitivity of cells to apoptotic stimuli.²⁹ Cleaved caspase-3 is an important indicator of apoptosis.²⁸ Thus, we further determined the expression levels of Bcl-2, Bax and cleaved caspase-3 to uncover the underlying mechanism. In addition, our study showed that MCM8 knockdown suppressed the development and progression of cancer in vivo. Therefore, MCM8 may be a potential target for GC treatment.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Bioinformatics and survival analysis

MCM mRNA expression in GC cancers vs normal tissues was analysed using GEPIA (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/) and Oncomine (http://www.oncomine.org). For the survival analysis, Kaplan-Meier plotter (http://kmplot.com/analysis/)30 was used to assess the OS and PFS in patients. Based on the median MCM8 expression level, patients with GC were classified into low and high expression groups, analysing the relationship using the log-rank test.

2.2 | Cell lines

The GC cell lines, AGS and HGC27, were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA). AGS and HGC27 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco; Carlsbad, CA, USA) containing 10% (v/v) foetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco), 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 μ g/mL streptomycin (Hyclone; Logan, UT, USA) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

2.3 | Plasmid construction and lentiviral transduction

Three independent shRNAs targeting MCM8 and a control shRNA were designed by Shanghai HanBio Company (Shanghai, China). The shRNAs were cloned into the lentivirus-based vector pHBLV-U6-MCS-PGK-PURO. The targeting sequence of the shRNAs and primers for plasmid construction is presented in Table S1. The lentiviruses carrying MCM8 shRNA or control shRNA were purchased from Shanghai HanBio Biotechnology. Briefly, cells were seeded in 24-well plates and infected with shRNA or sh-Ctrl lentivirus at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 30 at 37°C, according to instructions provided. Two days after infection, cells were maintained in 1.0 μ g/mL of puromycin (Sigma, San Francisco, CA, USA) for 7 days. Then, stable MCM8-knockdown cells and control cells were used in the following experiments.

2.4 | Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)

Total RNA was extracted from cells using RNAiso Plus reagent (Takara; Dalian, Liaoning, China) and reverse-transcribed (oligo) into cDNA with the PrimeScript RT kit (Takara). Next, gene expression was detected as mRNA levels by qPCR with the ABI 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems; Carlsbad, CA, USA), and GAPDH was used as the input reference. The thermocycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 5 minutes, followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 20 seconds, 58°C for 20 seconds and 72°C for 20 seconds. Each detection was performed in triplicate. The primers used

TABLE	1	Primers	for	qPCF	2
-------	---	---------	-----	------	---

MCM8 forward primer	5'-TCTCCTCTCACAGTTACGATGG-3'
MCM8 reverse primer	5'-TGCTTACACCCATCCTCAGAAC-3'
Bcl-2 forward primer	5'- TCGCCCTGTGGATGACTGAGT -3'
Bcl-2 reverse primer	5'-GCCAGGAGAAATCAAACAGAGGC-3'
Bax forward primer	5'-TCAGGATGCGTCCACCAAGAAG-3'
Bax reverse primer	5'-TGTGTCCACGGCGGCAATCATC-3'

are shown in Table 1. Relative mRNA was calculated using the formula 2-ÄÄCT (with CT being the cycle threshold), in which ÄCT =[CT (target gene) - CT (GAPDH)], as described previously.³⁰

2.5 | Western blot analysis

Briefly, transfected cells were lysed with lysis buffer including cocktails and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF). The protein concentration in the whole-cell extracts was measured using the BCA Protein Assay Kit (Pierce). Identical amounts of protein were loaded in SDS-PAGE gel and then transferred onto PVDF membranes, blocked with Blocking Buffer (Thermo) at 28°C for 1 hour and probed with primary antibodies (dilution, 1:1000) at 4°C overnight. All antibodies, except MCM8, were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (CST; Beverly, MA, USA); MCM8 was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Carlsbad, CA, USA. Cat#PA5-41325). Then, membranes were washed with the wash buffer and incubated with goat anti-rabbit HRP (horseradish peroxidase) secondary antibodies (1:5000; CST, #7074) at 28°C. After incubation with the chemiluminescence detection reagent, the bands were visualized and analysed with the ImageLab software. The protein level of β -actin was used as a loading control.

2.6 | CCK-8 assay

Cell proliferation was determined with the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo; Japan). In brief, transfected cells were seeded onto 96-well plates (2000 cells/well in 100 μ L of medium) and incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. CCK-8 (10 μ L) was added to the plates and incubated for an additional 2 hours at 37°C. Finally, the OD was measured at 450 nm using the Infinite 200 Pro microplate reader (Tecan; Männedorf, Switzerland) at each indicated time-point.

2.7 | Colony formation assay

In brief, transduced cells were reseeded into 6-well plates (1000 cells/well) and incubated for 10-12 days at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO_2 . RPMI-1640 medium was replaced every 2-3 days; then, colonies were washed with PBS, fixed them with 4% formaldehyde and then stained with crystal violet. Finally, the total number of colonies was counted, and images were obtained.

2.8 | Cell cycle analysis

FxCycle PI/RNase Staining Solution was applied to transfected cells at 28°C, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cell cycle phases were measured using FACS Caliber (Becton-Dickinson; San Jose, CA, USA). The percentage of DNA in different phases was analysed using ModfitLT version 3.0 (Verity Software House, Topsham, ME, USA).

2.9 | Apoptosis analysis

Apoptotic cells were stained with annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) (Cat: A211-02, Vazyme, Nanjing, China) and 7-aminoactinomycin (7-AAD) (Cat: A213-01, Vazyme) in the dark for 10 minutes at 28°C, according to the manufacturer's instructions. After staining, the samples were immediately analysed using a flow cytometer (FACS Caliber, Becton-Dickinson).

2.10 | Transwell assay

Transwell plates (Corning, Corning, NY, USA) with 8- μ m-pore size membranes were used to perform Transwell migration and Matrigel invasion assays. In brief, 3×10^4 cells were suspended using 100 μ L FBS-free RPMI-1640 medium and seeded into the upper chambers of transwell plates. The lower chambers contained 500 μ L RPMI-1640 medium supplied with 5% FBS. After a 24-hour incubation period at 37°C, 0.5% toluidine blue was used to stain migrated cells, and the number of migrated cells was counted in three random fields. The membranes of the upper chambers were pre-coated with 8-fold diluted Matrigel (BD Biosciences, Sparks, MD) before use in the Matrigel invasion assay.

2.11 | Tumour xenograft

Six- to-eight-week-old female nude mice were purchased from Charles River (Beijing, China). HGC27 cells (4×10^6), with or without MCM8 knockdown, were subcutaneously injected into the rightside dorsal flank of each mouse. Tumours were isolated on day 38, with the length (a) and width (b) of tumours recorded every 4 days. The tumour volume was calculated using the following formula, $V = ab^2/2$ (cm³). Additionally, the tumours were imaged on day 38 after injection.

2.12 | Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using SPSS 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The qPCR results were evaluated with one-way ANOVA, and other results were analysed using Student's *t* test, presenting the means \pm standard deviation (SD) obtained from three independent experiments. A *P*-value of <.05 was considered statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | mRNA expression of MCMs in GC samples

The mRNA expression levels of MCMs in GC and normal tissues were compared based on data from GEPIA. As shown in Figure 1,

WILEY

mRNA levels of MCM2, MCM3, MCM4, MCM5, MCM6, MCM8 and MCM10 were significantly up-regulated in GC tissues when compared with normal tissues. However, mRNA levels of MCM1, MCM7 and MCM9 did not significantly differ between GC and normal tissues.

3.2 | Relationship between MCM expression and prognosis in patients with GC

In patients with GC patients, the association of MCMs with OS and PFS was analysed by the Kaplan-Meier method. As shown in Figure 2, the survival curves for MCM1, MCM2, MCM5, MCM7 and MCM8 indicated that patients with high expression levels may present a shorter OS and PFS than those with low expression levels (P < .05). Conversely, patients with high MCM4 or MCM6 expression exhibited a longer OS (P < .05). Moreover, high MCM6 expression may indicate a higher PFS (P < .05). In addition, expression levels of MCM3, MCM9 and MCM10 did not significantly affect both OS and PFS.

3.3 | MCM8 is highly expressed in GC tissues and is associated with poor prognosis in patients with GC

Based on the above data, it appeared that MCM2, MCM5 and MCM8 may be potentially useful biomarkers. However, there have been several articles reveal that MCM2 and MCM5 may serve as prognostic indicators of patients with gastric cancer, but there is no such article on MCM8. Moreover, there is no in vitro or in vivo study on the function of MCM8 in gastric cancer. So, we focused our attention on MCM8. In GC and normal tissues, the expression of MCM8 was compared based on data from Oncomine. In GC tissues, MCM8 mRNA levels were approximately increased by 1.5- to 2-fold when compared with levels observed in normal tissues (Figure 3A-D). The association of MCM8 with OS (in 631 patients with GC) and PFS (in 522 patients with GC) was analysed using the Kaplan-Meier method. Based on the classified groups, the OS curve indicated that patients with low MCM8 expression levels demonstrated a higher survival rate than those with high MCM8 expression (P < .05, Figure 3E); thus, the up-regulation of MCM8 was associated with poor prognosis in patients with GC. This finding suggests that MCM8 might act



FIGURE 1 The mRNA expression of MCMs in GC tissues. The mRNA expression of MCMs in GC and normal tissues was compared based on the GEPIA database. **P* < .05, tumour vs normal tissues. MCM, mini-chromosome maintenance; GC, gastric cancer

as a prognostic biomarker. However, no significant difference was observed between the low and high expression groups for PFS (log-rank P = .082) (Figure 3F).

3.4 | MCM8 knockdown inhibits the growth of GC cells

Three independent shRNAs targeting MCM8 were designed, and knockdown efficiencies were determined using RT-qPCR. We selected sh-MCM8-1, presenting the highest efficiency (Figure S1), to package the lentivirus. Then, we used the lentivirus to infect AGS and HGC27 cells to construct stable MCM8knockdown cells. Both mRNA and protein levels of MCM8 were significantly lower than control cells (Figure 4A-C). Next, we used stable cells (AGS/sh-Ctrl and AGS/sh-MCM8, HCG27/ sh-Ctrl and HGC27/sh-MCM8) to evaluate the effects of MCM8 on cellular functions. As shown in Figure 4 D-4F, MCM8 knockdown reduced the number and viability of AGS and HGC27 cells. Moreover. MCM8 knockdown inhibited the formation of colonies (Figure 4G). The colony number reduced from 60 \pm 5 to 30 \pm 3 (P = .0014) and from 30 \pm 3 to 14 \pm 1 (P = .0014) in AGS and HGC27 cells, respectively (Figure 4H). To further elucidate the possible mechanism underlying cellular growth inhibition induced by MCM8 knockdown, the cell cycle assay was evaluated by flow cytometry. As shown in Figure 4I, MCM8 knockdown significantly increased the percentage of cells in the G2/M phase. The percentages were increased from 8.9 \pm 0.2% to $10.8 \pm 0.4\%$ (P = .0022) in AGS cells and from $13.0 \pm 1.0\%$ to $18.7 \pm 1.6\%$ (P = .0077) in HGC27 cells (Figure 4J). These results suggested that MCM8 knockdown may inhibit proliferation by inducing G2/M phase arrest.



FIGURE 2 The relationship between MCM expression and poor prognosis in patients with GC. Survival analysis was based on MCM expression in patients with GC from the public clinical microarray data set using the Kaplan-Meier plotter analysis (*P < .05). Survival was analysed using a log-rank test. MCM, mini-chromosome maintenance; GC, gastric cancer



FIGURE 3 mRNA expression of MCM8 in GC tissues and the relationship with poor prognosis in patients with GC. A-D, MCM8 expression in different types of GC and normal tissues was compared based on the Oncomine database. A, Reporter: 224320_s_at. B, Reporter: ILMN_2047124. C, Reporter: 224320_s_at. D, Reporter: 224320_s_at. E-F, The association of MCM8 with overall survival (left) in 631 GC patients and progression-free survival (right) in 522 GC patients was analysed using the Kaplan-Meier method (*P < .05). Survival was analysed using a log-rank test

3.5 | MCM8 knockdown induces apoptosis in GC cells

The effect of MCM8 on cell apoptosis was investigated. Annexin V-FITC and 7-AAD were used to stain apoptotic cells. As shown in Figure 5A,B, MCM8 knockdown significantly increased the

percentage of apoptotic cells, from 7.5 \pm 0.5% to 16.2 \pm 2.2% for AGS cells and from 10.2 \pm 1.5% to 18.2 \pm 1.7% for HGC27. Mechanistically, both mRNA expression and protein levels of Bcl-2 were down-regulated following MCM8 knockdown, whereas the expression level of Bax was up-regulated (Figure 5C-E). The increased protein level of cleaved caspase-3 indicated that MCM8 knockdown

HUANG ET AL.



FIGURE 4 MCM8 knockdown inhibits cell growth. A, MCM8 mRNA levels in AGS and HGC27 cells were detected by RT-qPCR. ***P < .001, ****P < .0001. B, Analysis of Western blotting showing that protein levels of MCM8 are reduced after MCM8 knockdown. C, Semi-quantification of Western blotting. The integrated band density was determined using the ImageLab Software, and β -actin was used as the reference. *P < .05. D, MTT assay showing that MCM8 knockdown inhibits cell viability. ns: not significant. *P < .05. E, Morphological changes in AGS and HGC cells after infection with sh-MCM8 vs sh-Ctrl lentiviruses for 72 h. Cell morphology was observed under a phase-contrast microscope. Images were obtained at a magnification of 200×. F, MCM8 knockdown inhibits cell growth. *P < .05, **P < .01. G, Representative images of colony formation assay. H, MCM8 knockdown reduces the number of colonies. *P < .05, **P < .01. I, Representative images of cell cycle assay by flow cytometry. J, MCM8 knockdown induces arrest at the G2/M phase. **P < .01. RT-qPCR, quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

promotes apoptosis (Figure 5D,E). Collectively, MCM8 knockdown may induce apoptosis by regulating the expressions of Bcl-2 and Bax.

3.5.1 | MCM8 knockdown suppresses cell migration and invasion

To determine whether MCM8 affects cell metastasis, Transwell migration and Matrigel invasion assays were performed. As shown in Figure 6A, MCM knockdown reduced the number of migrated cells. The number of migrated cells was reduced from 628 ± 15 to 372 ± 40 (P < .0001) and from 389 ± 17 to 199 ± 10 (P < .0001) for AGS and HGC27, respectively (Figure 6B). Consistent with the results of the Transwell migration assay, the results of the Matrigel invasion assay showed that MCM8 knockdown significantly reduced the number of invasive cells (Figure 6C,D). These findings indicated that MCM8 promotes cell metastasis.

3.5.2 | MCM8 knockdown suppresses cell growth in a mouse xenograft model

14421

To study the effect of MCM8 on cancer progression in vivo, HGC27 cells, with or without stable MCM8 knockdown, were subcutaneously injected into nude mice. Our results showed that MCM8 knockdown suppressed tumorigenesis (Figure 7A). The volume and weight of tumours were significantly reduced in the MCM8-knockdown group when compared with those in the control group (Figure 7B,C).

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we observed that several MCM proteins were upregulated in GC samples when compared with normal tissue samples according to data obtained from online databases. We



FIGURE 5 MCM8 knockdown induces cell apoptosis. A, Detection of apoptotic cells stained with Annexin V-FITC and 7-AAD by flow cytometry. B, MCM8 knockdown promotes cell apoptosis. **P < .01. C, Determination of Bcl-2 and Bax mRNA levels using RT-qPCR. GAPDH was used as a reference. *P < .05, ***P < .001, ***P < .0001. D, Protein levels of Bcl-2, Bax and cleaved caspase-3 were determined using Western blotting. β -actin was used as the loading control. E, Semi-quantification of Western blotting. The integrated band density was determined using ImageLab Software, and β -actin was used as the reference. *P < .01, ***P < .001. RT-qPCR, quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

further determined that overexpression of most MCMs was associated with poor prognosis based on the Kaplan-Meier method, indicating their importance in tumour diagnosis and recurrence. One critical finding in this study was the significant overexpression of MCM8, not only in GC tissues but also in multiple cancer tissues when compared with normal tissues from the online GEPIA and Oncomine databases. The survival analysis based on online public databases revealed that higher MCM8 expression is associated with poor prognosis. These results are consistent with previous studies in lung adenocarcinoma and chronic myelogenous leukaemia²⁰⁻²⁴ and suggest possible roles of MCM8 in various cancers.

MCM8 is a new member of the MCM protein family, located contrapodal to GCD10 at chromosome band 20p12.3-1.³¹ MCM8 acts as one of the DNA replication licensing factors, participating in the initiation and elongation of DNA replication.³²⁻³⁴ Furthermore, it is associated with chromosomal instability.³⁵ Studies have reported that MCM8 can be recruited to the DNA repair site to promote DNA homologous recombination and double-strand breaks.^{14,36} Previous studies have indicated that DNA replication and DNA damage repair systems play important roles in inhibiting tumour proliferation through the preservation of genome integrity.^{37,38} Recently, Cai et al have reported that the knockdown of MCM8 could reduce cell viability and induce apoptosis of chronic myelogenous leukaemia cells.²⁰ In our study, we silenced the expression of MCM8 in two different GC cell lines (AGS and HGC27) using a lentivirus-mediated shRNA and showed the inhibition of cell growth, as evidenced by reduced cell number, cell viability and the number of colonies. Moreover, MCM expression in association with the cell cycle reportedly controls DNA synthesis.³⁹ Consistent with previous studies, we identified that MCM8 knockdown blocked G2/M progression in two GC cell lines, AGS and HGC27. Furthermore, the mitochondrial pathway is a crucial apoptotic pathway. The anti-apoptotic protein, Bcl-2, and the pro-apoptotic protein, Bax, both belonging to the Bcl-2 family, are critical regulators of this pathway.^{40,41} In this study, we observed that MCM8 knockdown increased the apoptosis in both AGS and HGC27 cells. The protein expression level showed that the ratio of Bax/Bcl-2 in AGS cells increased following MCM8 knockdown. These findings demonstrated that disrupting

FIGURE 6 MCM8 knockdown inhibits cell metastasis. A, Representative images of the Transwell migration assay. Magnification: 100×. B, MCM8 knockdown suppresses cell migration. ****P < .001. C, Representative images of the Matrigel invasion assay. Magnification: 100×. D, MCM8 knockdown suppresses cell invasion. ****P < .001





FIGURE 7 MCM8 knockdown suppresses tumorigenesis in vivo. A, Tumour images. In brief, 4×10^6 HGC27 cells were subcutaneously injected into the right-side dorsal flank of each mouse. The tumours were isolated on day 38. B, MCM8 knockdown significantly reduces the tumour volume. **P < .01. C, MCM8 knockdown reduces tumour weight. The tumour weight was recorded immediately after the tumours were harvested. **P < .01

the balance of proliferation/apoptosis could be attributed to MCM8 overexpression in GC development. However, the precise underlying mechanism requires further investigation.

In summary, we observed MCM8 overexpression in GC tissues and demonstrated a correlation between MCM8 up-regulation and poor patient survival. MCM8 knockdown exerted anti-tumour activity both in vitro and in vivo. These findings indicate the biological function of MCM8 in GC and suggest that MCM8 could be used as a potential biomarker for this cancer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by grants of the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province, China (No. 2019J01355); Collaborative project of Fujian University of traditional Chinese Medicine, China (No. 701181016).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Bin Huang: Conceptualization (lead); Data curation (lead); Formal analysis (lead); Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (lead); Methodology (lead); Project administration (lead); Resources (lead); Software (lead); Supervision (lead); Validation (lead);

¹⁴⁴²⁴ WILEY

Visualization (lead); Writing-original draft (lead); Writing-review & editing (lead). Minghe Lin: Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (supporting); Formal analysis (supporting); Investigation (supporting); Methodology (supporting); Project administration (equal); Resources (supporting); Software (supporting); Supervision (supporting); Validation (supporting); Visualization (equal); Writing-original draft (supporting); Writing-review & editing (equal). Lisha LU: Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Project administration (equal); Resources (supporting); Writing-original draft (supporting); Writing-review & editing (supporting). Wujin Chen: Conceptualization (supporting); Formal analysis (supporting); Funding acquisition (supporting); Project administration (supporting); Resources (lead); Validation (supporting); Visualization (equal). JingZhuang Tan: Conceptualization (supporting); Data curation (supporting); Formal analysis (supporting); Validation (supporting). Jinyan Zhao: Conceptualization (supporting); Data curation (supporting); Formal analysis (supporting); Funding acquisition (supporting); Investigation (supporting); Methodology (supporting); Project administration (supporting); Resources (supporting). Zhiyun Cao: Project administration (supporting). Xiaogin Zhu: Writing-original draft (supporting); Writing-review & editing (supporting). Jiu-Mao Lin: Conceptualization (lead); Data curation (supporting); Formal analysis (supporting); Funding acquisition (lead); Investigation (supporting); Methodology (supporting); Project administration (supporting); Resources (lead); Supervision (lead).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Bin Huang (D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6668-4885

REFERENCES

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2018;68(6):394-424.
- 2. Sa JK, Hong JY, Lee IK, et al. Comprehensive pharmacogenomic characterization of gastric cancer. *Genome Med*. 2020;12(1):17.
- Maiorano D, Lutzmann M, Méchali M. MCM proteins and DNA replication. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2006;18(2):130-136.
- Forsburg SL. Eukaryotic MCM proteins: beyond replication initiation. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2004;68(1):109-131.
- Pramila T, Miles S, GuhaThakurta D, Jemiolo D, Breeden LL. Conserved homeodomain proteins interact with MADS box protein Mcm1 to restrict ECB-dependent transcription to the M/G1 phase of the cell cycle. *Genes Dev.* 2002;16(23):3034-3045.
- Donovan S, Harwood J, Drury LS, Diffley JF. Cdc6p-dependent loading of Mcm proteins onto pre-replicative chromatin in budding yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1997;94(11):5611-5616.
- 7. Tye BK. MCM proteins in DNA replication. Annu Rev Biochem. 1999;68:649-686.
- Raghuraman MK, Winzeler EA, Collingwood D, et al. Replication dynamics of the yeast genome. *Science*. 2001;294(5540):115-121.

- Labib K, Tercero JA, Diffley JF. Uninterrupted MCM2-7 function required for DNA replication fork progression. *Science*. 2000;288(5471):1643-1647.
- Bochman ML, Schwacha A. The Mcm2-7 complex has in vitro helicase activity. *Mol Cell*. 2008;31(2):287-293.
- 11. Nishimura K, Ishiai M, Horikawa K, et al. Mcm8 and Mcm9 form a complex that functions in homologous recombination repair induced by DNA interstrand crosslinks. *Mol Cell*. 2012;47(4):511-522.
- Traver S, Coulombe P, Peiffer I, et al. MCM9 Is Required for Mammalian DNA Mismatch Repair. *Mol Cell*. 2015;59(5):831-839.
- Lutzmann M, Grey C, Traver S, et al. MCM8- and MCM9deficient mice reveal gametogenesis defects and genome instability due to impaired homologous recombination. *Mol Cell*. 2012;47(4):523-534.
- Park J, Long DT, Lee KY, et al. The MCM8-MCM9 complex promotes RAD51 recruitment at DNA damage sites to facilitate homologous recombination. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2013;33(8):1632-1644.
- Quan Y, Xia Y, Liu L, et al. Cell-Cycle-Regulated Interaction between Mcm10 and Double Hexameric Mcm2-7 Is Required for Helicase Splitting and Activation during S Phase. *Cell Rep.* 2015;13(11):2576-2586.
- Homesley L, Lei M, Kawasaki Y, Sawyer S, Christensen T, Tye BK. Mcm10 and the MCM2-7 complex interact to initiate DNA synthesis and to release replication factors from origins. *Genes Dev.* 2000;14(8):913-926.
- Das M, Prasad SB, Yadav SS, et al. Over expression of minichromosome maintenance genes is clinically correlated to cervical carcinogenesis. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(7):e69607.
- Kwok HF, Zhang SD, McCrudden CM, et al. Prognostic significance of minichromosome maintenance proteins in breast cancer. Am J Cancer Res. 2015;5(1):52-71.
- Zhong X, Chen X, Guan X, et al. Overexpression of G9a and MCM7 in oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma is associated with poor prognosis. *Histopathology*. 2015;66(2):192-200.
- Cai L, Zhao K, Yuan X. Expression of minichromosome maintenance 8 in chronic myelogenous leukemia. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol.* 2015;8(11):14180-14188.
- Hua C, Zhao G, Li Y, Bie L. Minichromosome Maintenance (MCM) Family as potential diagnostic and prognostic tumor markers for human gliomas. *BMC Cancer*. 2014;14(1):14-526.
- Vigouroux C, Casse JM, Battaglia-Hsu SF, et al. Methyl(R217)HuR and MCM6 are inversely correlated and are prognostic markers in non small cell lung carcinoma. *Lung Cancer.* 2015;89(2):189-196.
- 23. Tane S, Sakai Y, Hokka D, et al. Significant role of Psf3 expression in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Cancer Sci.* 2015;106(11):1625-1634.
- Li S, Jiang Z, Li Y, Xu Y. Prognostic significance of minichromosome maintenance mRNA expression in human lung adenocarcinoma. Oncol Rep. 2019;42(6):2279-2292.
- 25. Giaginis C, Giagini A, Tsourouflis G, et al. MCM-2 and MCM-5 expression in gastric adenocarcinoma: clinical significance and comparison with Ki-67 proliferative marker. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2011;56(3):777-785.
- Sirieix PS, O'Donovan M, Brown J, Save V, Coleman N, Fitzgerald RC. Surface expression of minichromosome maintenance proteins provides a novel method for detecting patients at risk for developing adenocarcinoma in Barrett's esophagus. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2003;9(7):2560-2566.
- Tokuyasu N, Shomori K, Nishihara K, et al. Minichromosome maintenance 2 (MCM2) immunoreactivity in stage III human gastric carcinoma: clinicopathological significance. *Gastric Cancer*. 2008;11(1):37-46.
- Hengartner MO. The biochemistry of apoptosis. Nature. 2000;407 (6805).770-776.
- Siddiqui WA, Ahad A, Ahsan H. The mystery of BCL2 family: Bcl-2 proteins and apoptosis: an update. Arch Toxicol. 2015;89(3):289-317.

- Lee YF, Miller LD, Chan XB, et al. JMJD6 is a driver of cellular proliferation and motility and a marker of poor prognosis in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res. 2012;14(3):R85.
- Johnson EM, Kinoshita Y, Daniel DC. A new member of the MCM protein family encoded by the human MCM8 gene, located contrapodal to GCD10 at chromosome band 20p12.3-13. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003;31(11):2915-2925.
- Gozuacik D, Chami M, Lagorce D, et al. Identification and functional characterization of a new member of the human Mcm protein family: hMcm8. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003;31(2):570-579.
- Maiorano D, Cuvier O, Danis E, Méchali M. MCM8 is an MCM2-7related protein that functions as a DNA helicase during replication elongation and not initiation. *Cell*. 2005;120(3):315-328.
- Volkening M, Hoffmann I. Involvement of human MCM8 in prereplication complex assembly by recruiting hcdc6 to chromatin. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2005;25(4):1560-1568.
- AlAsiri S, Basit S, Wood-Trageser MA, et al. Exome sequencing reveals MCM8 mutation underlies ovarian failure and chromosomal instability. J Clin Invest. 2015;125(1):258-262.
- Lee KY, Im JS, Shibata E, et al. MCM8-9 complex promotes resection of double-strand break ends by MRE11-RAD50-NBS1 complex. Nat Commun. 2015;6(1):8-9.
- 37. Jin B, Robertson KD. DNA methyltransferases, DNA damage repair, and cancer. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2013;754:3-29.
- Hanawalt PC. Paradigms for the three rs: DNA replication, recombination, and repair. Mol Cell. 2007;28(5):702-707.

- Kearsey SE, Maiorano D, Holmes EC, Todorov IT. The role of MCM proteins in the cell cycle control of genome duplication. *BioEssays*. 1996;18(3):183-190.
- Peng J, Ding J, Tan C, et al. Oligomerization of membrane-bound Bcl-2 is involved in its pore formation induced by tBid. *Apoptosis*. 2009;14(10):1145-1153.
- 41. Peng J, Tan C, Roberts GJ, et al. tBid elicits a conformational alteration in membrane-bound Bcl-2 such that it inhibits Bax pore formation. J Biol Chem. 2006;281(47):35802-35811.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Huang B, Lin M, Lu L, et al.

Identification of mini-chromosome maintenance 8 as a potential prognostic marker and its effects on proliferation and apoptosis in gastric cancer. *J Cell Mol Med.*

2020;24:14415-14425. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.16062