

King Saud University

Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences

www.ksu.edu.sa [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/1319562X)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Improvement of antioxidant and defense properties **O**CrossMark of Tomato (var. Pusa Rohini) by application of bioaugmented compost

Shikha Verma ^a, Anamika Sharma ^a, Raj Kumar ^b, Charanjit Kaur ^c, Anju Arora^a, Raghubir Shah^b, Lata Nain^{a,*}

^a Lata Nain, Division of Microbiology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110012, India

^b Division of Vegetable Sciences, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110012, India

^c Division of Post Harvest Technology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110012, India

Received 1 September 2014; revised 3 November 2014; accepted 3 November 2014 Available online 12 November 2014

KEYWORDS

Effective Microorganisms; Lycopene; Antioxidant; EM compost; Defense enzyme

Abstract Nutrient management practices play a significant role in improving the nutritional quality of tomato. The present study deals with the evaluation of compost prepared using Effective Microorganisms (EM), on antioxidant and defense enzyme activities of Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum). A field experiment with five treatments (control, chemical fertilizer and EM compost alone and in combination) was conducted in randomized block design. An increment of 31.83% in tomato yield was recorded with the combined use of EM compost and half recommended dose of chemical fertilizers ($N_{50}P_{30}K_{25}$ + EM compost at the rate of 5 t ha⁻¹). Similarly, fruit quality was improved in terms of lycopene content (35.52%) , antioxidant activity $(24-63\%)$ and defense enzymes activity (11–54%), in tomatoes in this treatment as compared to the application of recommended dose of fertilizers. Soil microbiological parameters also exhibited an increase of 7–31% in the enzyme activities in this treatment. Significant correlation among fruit quality parameters with soil microbiological activities reveals the positive impact of EM compost which may be adopted as an eco-friendly strategy for production of high quality edible products.

ª 2014 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>).

Corresponding author. Tel.: $+91$ 01125847649; fax: $+91$ 011 258476420.

E-mail address: latarajat@yahoo.co.in (L. Nain).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.

Production and hosting by Elsevier

1. Introduction

Organic farming is one of the fastest growing sectors of agriculture worldwide and its goal is to balance systems of soil organisms, plants, animals and humans ([Karanatsidis](#page-7-0) [and Berova, 2009](#page-7-0)). An ideal organic fertilizer should be capable of giving reasonable yields, increase soil fertility and quality and sustain productivity. The concept of organic

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2014.11.003>

1319-562X \circledcirc 2014 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

fertilizers was popularized because the negative effect of the intensive use of chemical fertilizers resulted in soil degradation. Moreover, excessive fertilization has been reported to have an influence on the phyto-nutritional quality of crops and reduction in the antioxidant levels, besides causing pollution ([Arancon et al., 2004; Toor](#page-6-0) [et al., 2006](#page-6-0)).

Compost prepared using Effective Microorganism (EM) is a type of bio-organic fertilizer whose concept was developed in 1971 by Professor Teruo Higa, University of the Ryukyus, Okinawa, Japan [\(Higa and Wididana, 1991](#page-7-0)). Effective Microorganism (EM) is a combination of microbial inoculant which can stimulate plant growth and soil fertility in agriculture ([Mayer et al., 2010](#page-7-0)). EM compost is a good source of nutrients for vegetable crops, which can provide favorable conditions for the growth of crops, promoting the mobilization of insoluble nutrients and activating the beneficial microorganisms in soil [\(Higa, 2000\)](#page-7-0). Application of EM is known to enhance crop growth and yield in many vegetable crops [\(Sheng and Lian, 2002; Daiss et al.,](#page-8-0) [2008](#page-8-0)). Although many reports are available on the beneficial effect of EM on agronomic aspects, the effect of compost prepared by EM on quality aspects of food has been less investigated.

Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) is one of the most popular and versatile vegetables in the world, because of its taste, color, high nutritive value and its diversified use. It is the world's largest vegetable crop after potato and sweet potato, and tops the list of canned vegetables. According to recent FAO (Food and Agricultural Organization) statistics, approximately 160 million tones tomatoes are produced annually on 4.7 million hectares of land [\(FAOSTAT,](#page-7-0) [2011](#page-7-0)). Tomato and its products are rich in antioxidants and considered to be a good source of vitamins C, E and carotenoids, particularly lycopene and β -carotene and other phenolic compounds [\(Ilahy et al., 2011; Pinela et al., 2012](#page-7-0)). Tomatoes have endogenous defense mechanisms which include oxidative enzymes Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol Oxidase (PPO) which are generally produced in response to pathogens [\(Bhonwong et al., 2009](#page-7-0)). These enzymes catalyze the formation of lignin and other oxidative phenols that contribute to the formation of defense barriers for reinforcing the cell structure [\(Avdiushko et al., 1993\)](#page-7-0). On the other hand, Phenylalanine Ammonia Lyase (PAL) is a key enzyme in the biosynthetic pathway of phenylpropanoids [\(Berner et al., 2006](#page-7-0)). The reactive oxygen species (ROS) like superoxide and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) can cause direct damage to membrane lipids, proteins and DNA leading to cell death ([Mittler, 2002; Simova-Stoilova et al., 2008](#page-7-0)). The enzymes Superoxide dismutase (SOD), Catalase (CAT) Peroxidase (PO), Ascorbate Peroxidase (APO) and Glutathione Reductase (GR) are key antioxidants playing a central role in the defense against ROS [\(Noctor and Foyer, 1998;](#page-7-0) [Simova-Stoilova et al., 2008\)](#page-7-0). Due to the presence of antioxidants, the regular consumption of tomatoes and their products can reduce the risk of several types of cancer and cardiovascular diseases [\(Clinton, 1998\)](#page-7-0).

The main aim of this study was to determine the effect of EM compost on the level of antioxidant compounds and fruit quality of tomato. These parameters were also correlated with soil microbiological parameters to understand the impact of EM compost on soil health.

2. Methods and material

2.1. Site, experimental design and field layout

A field experiment was carried out with Tomato var. Pusa Rohini ($L.$ esculentum), during winter (Rabi) season, with harvesting upon reaching maturity in March 2013 at the Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi (India) farm. The research farm is located at latitude of $28^{\circ}40'$ N 77°12′E and 228.6 m above the mean sea level of Arabian Sea. The physico-chemical characteristics of the soil in the experimental field were – pH 8.3, EC, 0.1 mS cm⁻¹, organic carbon 0.56% ([Walkley and Black,](#page-8-0) [1934](#page-8-0)), available $N - 223.24$ kg ha⁻¹ [\(Subbiah and Asija,](#page-8-0) [1956](#page-8-0)), available P - 37.36 kg ha⁻¹ [\(Olsen et al., 1954](#page-7-0)) and available K – 662.96 kg ha⁻¹ [\(Hanway and Heidel, 1952\)](#page-7-0).

2.2. Preparation of EM (Effective Microorganism) compost

EM compost was prepared by the method of [Sharma et al.](#page-8-0) [\(2014\)](#page-8-0); in brief paddy straw was used as raw material for preparation of EM compost. Paddy straw (40 kg) was amended with poultry droppings (5 kg) and rock phosphate $(1\% \text{ w/w})$ to provide nitrogen and phosphorous in composting pits. The EM consortium consisting of Candida tropicalis (Y6), Phanerochaete chrysosporium (VV18), Streptomyces globisporus (C3), Lactobacillus sp. and photosynthetic bacteria, was added 1% (v/w). All the substrates were mixed and water was sprinkled at regular intervals to maintain 60% moisture level throughout composting. The physicochemical characteristics of the mature EM compost used were: pH, 7.8; EC, 3.8 mS cm⁻¹; humus, 7.55%; C/N ratio, 15.66; available P, 0.31%; C, 26%; N, 1.66%.

2.3. Agronomic practices, management and treatment details

One month old tomato seedlings were transplanted in plots (10 m^2) , with the spacing of 30–40 cm, in the month of December, 2012. The experiment was conducted with the five treatments having selected combinations of chemical fertilizers and EM compost, alone or in different ratios. Earlier experiments undertaken with EM compost had shown that it can provide 50 kg N ha⁻¹ (Pers. Comm.). The five treatments were: Absolute control (T1); recommended full dose of chemical fertilizers (RDF) $N_{100}P_{60}K_{50}$ (T2); half of the recommended dose of chemical fertilizers $N_{50}P_{30}K_{25}$ (T3); $N_{50}P_{30}K_{25}$ + EM compost 5 tha^{-1} (T4); EM compost 10 tha^{-1} (T5). The experiment was conducted in four replicates, as a randomized block design (RBD). The recommended chemical fertilizers (T2) for tomato included 100 kg ha^{-1} nitrogen which was applied as prilled urea, whereas 60 kg ha^{-1} phosphorous and 50 kg ha^{-1} potassium were applied in the form of single super phosphate (SSP) and muriate of potash (MOP), respectively. Additionally, treatment-T4 receiving EM at the rate of 5 t ha⁻¹ compost was supplemented with only half of the recommended dose of N, P and K fertilizers. The recommended package of practices was followed for raising the tomato crop.

2.4. Soil microbiological parameters

Soil samples were taken from plots using auger from each plot at harvest stage. A set of three to five soil cores (5 cm diameter, 0–15 cm depth) were taken from each plot and pooled together. The soil samples were placed in polyethylene bags and transported to the laboratory. The soil samples were thoroughly mixed and sieved (2 mm mesh) and visible plant material was removed manually, if any. The samples were stored at 4 °C, until microbiological analyses was undertaken.

Acid (EC 3.1.3.2) and alkaline phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.1) activity was assayed by the method of [Tabatabai and](#page-8-0) [Bremner \(1969\)](#page-8-0) using *p*-nitrophenol phosphate as a substrate, at pH 6.0 and 11.0, respectively. The absorbance was measured at 440 nm and the enzymatic activities were expressed as µg of p-nitrophenol released g^{-1} soil h⁻¹. Dehydrogenase activity was assayed using the method of [Casida et al. \(1964\)](#page-7-0). The values were expressed as µg of triphenyl formazan (TPF) released g^{-1} soil d⁻¹. Microbial biomass C (MBC) was estimated by the method of [Nunan et al. \(1998\)](#page-7-0), using aliquots of K_2SO_4 extracts through dichromate digestion. Microbial biomass carbon was calculated after back titration with ferrous ammonium sulfate using the equation: Biomass $C = 2.64 \times CE$. where $CE = (organic C from fumigated soil) - (organic C)$ from unfumigated soil) and expressed as μ g C g⁻¹ soil. Fluorescein di acetate (FDA) hydrolysis was estimated by the method of [Green et al. \(2006\)](#page-7-0) using FDA as a substrate and expressed as µg of fluorescein released g^{-1} of soil h^{-1} .

2.5. Estimation of lycopene and total phenol content

The total phenol in tomato fruit was estimated by the method proposed by [Mallick and Singh \(1980\)](#page-7-0) and total phenol content was expressed as mg tannic acid 100 g^{-1} fresh wt of tomato. The total lycopene content in tomato fruit was estimated by the method of [Thimmaiah \(1999\)](#page-8-0) and expressed as mg of lycopene 100 g^{-1} fresh weight of tomato.

2.6. Plant defense enzymes

The activity of plant defense enzymes – Peroxidase (PO), Polyphenol Oxidase (PPO) and Phenylalanine Ammonia Lyase (PAL), was measured both in leaves and tomato fruits. The defense enzymes in leaves were measured at mid stage, while activity of these enzymes in fruits was measured in the ripened tomatoes. Five tomatoes/few leaves from each plot were taken and immediately kept in ice box to prevent degradation of enzymes. The samples from each plot were chopped and mixed together. One gram of sample was weighed and homogenized in a pre-chilled mortar and pestle by adding 5 ml of pre-chilled 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0. The homogenate was centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 20 min at 4° C. The supernatant so obtained was stored at 4° C and used as enzyme extract for the estimation of following defense enzymes except for PAL.

2.7. Peroxidase (PO; EC 1.11.1.7) activity

PO activity was determined by the spectrophotometric method of [Jennings et al. \(1969\).](#page-7-0) The reaction mixture consists of 0.05 M Tris–HCl buffer, 1% (v/v) guaiacol and enzyme extract. The reaction was started by the addition of 1% (v/v) $H₂O₂$. Changes in the optical density (at 470 nm) of the reaction mixture were taken at 30 s intervals up to 3 min. One unit of Peroxidase activity is defined as the change in absorbance of $0.001 \text{ min}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$ fresh weight of leaves/fruit.

2.8. Polyphenol Oxidase (PPO; EC 1.10.3.1) activity

PPO activity was also measured by the method of [Jennings](#page-7-0) [et al. \(1969\)](#page-7-0) with slight modifications. The mixture contained 20 mM citrate phosphate buffer (pH 6.0), proline (5 mg ml^{-1}) and enzyme extract. Catechol (2 mg ml^{-1}) was added to initiate the reaction and the absorbance was read at 546 nm. One unit of Polyphenol Oxidase activity is defined as the change in absorbance of $0.001 \text{ min}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$ fresh weight of leaves/fruit.

2.9. Phenylalanine Ammonia Lyase (PAL; EC 4.3.1.24) activity

PAL activity was determined spectrophotometrically by the method of [Gonzalez-Aguilar et al. \(2004\)](#page-7-0) with some modification. Tomato fruit and leaves (0.2 g) were extracted in 1.4 ml ice-cold sodium borate buffer (2.5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 20 mM boric acid, 50 mM borax, pH 8.8) at 4 $^{\circ}$ C. The mixtures were centrifuged for 10 min at $4000 \times g$, and the resulting supernatants were used for PAL activity analysis. The reaction mixture, in a final volume of 3.3 ml, consisted of enzyme extract, 20 mM L-phenylalanine and deionized water. The enzyme reaction was started by the addition of enzyme extract and stopped by the addition of 5 M HCl. The reaction mixture was incubated for 60 min at 28 \degree C, after which the absorbance at 290 nm was measured. The enzyme activity was expressed as nmoles of cinnamic acid min⁻¹ g^{-1} fresh weight of leaves/fruit.

2.10. Determination of antioxidant defense enzyme specific activities

The activity of antioxidant enzymes – Tyrosine Ammonia Lyase (TAL), Ascorbate Peroxidase (APO) and Glutathione Reductase (GR) was measured in tomato fruit at harvest stage. The enzyme extract was obtained by the same method as described in Section 2.6.

2.11. Tyrosine Ammonia Lyase (TAL; EC 4.3.1.5) assay

TAL assay was performed by the same method used to determine PAL activity with few changes. The reaction mixture contained 20 mM L-tyrosine instead of L-phenylalanine and the amount of p-coumaric acid released from L-tyrosine was measured spectrophotometrically at 335 nm. The enzyme activity was expressed as nmoles of p-coumaric acid min⁻¹ g^{-1} fresh weight of tomato.

2.12. Ascorbate Peroxidase (APO; EC 1.11.1.11) assay

APO activity was estimated according to the method of [Nakano and Asada \(1981\).](#page-7-0) Enzyme activity was determined by the decrease in absorbance of ascorbate at 290 nm. The reaction mixture consisted of enzymatic extract, 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (cold), pH 7, 0.5 mM ascorbic acid, 0.1 mM H2O2 and 0.1 mM EDTA (Ethylene Diamine Tetraacetic Acid), in a 3 ml final volume. The reaction started with the addition of hydrogen peroxide. The molar extinction coefficient $2.8 \text{ }\mathrm{m}\mathrm{M}^{-1}\text{ }\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ was used to calculate ascorbate Peroxidase activity and expressed as μ mole H_2O_2 reduced min⁻¹ g⁻¹ fresh

wt of tomato. One unit of ascorbate was required for the reduction of 1 mol of H_2O_2 reduced per minute at 25 °C.

2.13. Glutathione Reductase (GR; EC 1.6.4.1) assay

GR activity was determined spectrophotometrically according to the method of [Carlberg and Mannervik \(1985\)](#page-7-0). The assay system contained 0.2 M phosphate buffer pH 7.5, including 1 mM EDTA, 3.0 mM 5,5-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB), 0.2 mM NADPH, 20 mM oxidized glutathione (glutathione disulfide-GSSG) and enzyme extract. The increase in absorbance at 412 nm was recorded spectrophotometrically and expressed as µmol NADPH oxidized min⁻¹ g^{-1} fresh wt of tomato. One enzyme unit is defined as the oxidation of 1 µmol NADPH per minute under the assay condition.

2.14. Morphometric and biometrical parameters

The plant parameters – plant height, number of branches per plant and fruit yield were recorded at the time of harvesting.

2.15. Statistical analysis

The datasets of the various parameters was analyzed in triplicates and subjected to two-way ANOVA (Analysis of variance) in accordance with the experimental design (RBD) using SPSS-16 statistical package to quantify and evaluate the source of variation and interactions among the cultivars. The treatment means were compared at a significance level of 0.05 and the ranking of treatments denoted by alphabets. The data denoted by different letters in each column of the tables or in figures represent significantly different values among the treatments. Further, the correlation among the different parameters was measured using Microsoft Excel program.

3. Results

3.1. Effect of EM compost on tomato yield and other plant biometric parameters

Plant morphological parameters (plant height, number of branches and fruits per plant) were measured at harvest stage of the crop. Treatment T4 receiving EM compost at the rate of 5 t ha⁻¹ along with half dose of recommended fertilizer $(N_{50}P_{30}K_{25})$ showed significantly higher values of plant morphological parameters as compared with chemical fertilizer control ($N_{100}P_{60}K_{50}$ – T2). The values and percent increase over T2 (RDF) for plant height (70.80 cm; 4.66%), number of branches per plant (6.00; 10%) and number of fruits per plant (47.13; 30.20%) illustrated the superiority of treatment T4 (Table 1; $P \le 0.05$). Similarly, a significantly higher yield (31.83%) of tomato was recorded with the integrated application of EM compost and chemical fertilizer $(N_{50}P_{30}K_{25} + EM)$ compost at the rate of 5 t ha⁻¹ - T4), over the fertilizer control $(N_{100}P_{60}K_{50} - T2)$. A significant correlation was also recorded among the plant morphological parameters and tomato yield $(r = 0.683 - 0.966,$ [Supplementary Table 1;](#page-6-0) $P < 0.05$).

3.2. Total phenol and lycopene content

Total phenol and lycopene content in tomato fruit were measured at maturity. A significantly higher amount of lycopene $(8.81 \text{ mg } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ fresh wt) and phenols (118.49 mg) tannic acid 100 g^{-1} fresh wt) was recorded in the fruits harvested from treatment T4 supplemented with $N_{50}P_{30}$ K_{25} + EM compost at the rate of 5 t ha⁻¹ [\(Table 2](#page-4-0); $P \leq 0.05$). In addition, lycopene and phenol content were enhanced by 35.52% and 2.06%, respectively by treatment T4, over the fertilizer control T2 ($N_{100}P_{60}K_{50}$). Furthermore, lycopene and phenol content were found significantly correlated with each other ($r = 0.896$, [Supplementary Table 2](#page-6-0); $P \le 0.05$).

3.3. Influence of EM compost on soil microbiological parameters

At harvest stage, a pronounced increase in the treatment T4 was recorded in all the soil microbiological parameters [\(Fig. 1](#page-5-0)A–E; $P \le 0.05$). In addition, a significant increase in soil microbiological parameters, relative to fertilizer control $(N_{100}P_{60}K_{50} - T2)$ equivalent to 11.10%, 20.28%, 7.04%, and 31.33% for alkaline phosphatase, acid phosphatase, dehydrogenase activity and fluorescein diacetate hydrolase, respectively was observed. Although microbial biomass carbon was also higher (420.18 µg C g^{-1} soil; 31.33%) in treatment T4 over the fertilizer control (T2), it was statistically at par with treatment T5 (EM compost at the rate of 10 t ha⁻¹). All the soil parameters showed a significant correlation with each other $(r = 0.773 - 0.969; P < 0.05)$, and tomato yield was found to be significantly correlated with soil microbiological parameters ($r = 0.860 - 0.946$, [Supplementary Table 2](#page-6-0); $P < 0.05$).

3.4. Effect of EM compost on antioxidant enzymes

The activity of antioxidant enzymes – Tyrosine Ammonia Lyase (TAL), Ascorbate Peroxidase (APO) and Glutathione

Table 1 Effect of EM compost on yield parameters of Tomato.

Treatment details		Plant height (cm) Number of branches per plant Number of fruits per plant Yield per plant (kg)					
T1 Absolute control	$55.00 \pm 1.45^{\circ}$	$3.00 \pm 0.27^{\rm b}$	$24.93 \pm 3.44^{\circ}$	1.28 ± 0.14^d			
$T2 N_{100}P_{60}K_{50}$	$67.50 \pm 0.60^{\rm b}$	$5.40 \pm 0.63^{\circ}$	32.90 ± 2.19^b	$2.02 \pm 0.21^{\circ}$			
$T3 N_{50}P_{30}K_{25}$	66.20 ± 4.92^b	4.00 ± 0.41^{ab}	$28.60 \pm 1.56^{\rm bc}$	1.85 ± 0.18^c			
T4 $N_{50}P_{30}K_{25}$ + EM compost 5 t ha ⁻¹	$70.80 \pm 4.24^{\circ}$	$6.00 \pm 0.47^{\rm a}$	$47.13 \pm 3.80^{\rm a}$	$2.96 \pm 0.19^{\rm a}$			
T5 EM compost 10 t ha ⁻¹	67.20 ± 1.19^{ab}	$5.50 \pm 0.78^{\rm a}$	$42.63 \pm 2.39^{\rm a}$	2.54 ± 0.13^b			
SEM	1.84	0.4	1.97	0.09			
	5.18	1.13	5.55	0.26			
LSD ($P < 0.05$)							

[#] Mean \pm standard deviation, $n = 3$; superscripts indicate significant differences based on LSD at 0.05 levels.

Table 2 Effect of EM compost on lycopene and phenol content of Tomato fruit.					
Treatment details	Lycopene (mg lycopene $100 g^{-1}$ fresh wt)	Total phenol (mg tannic acid $100 g^{-1}$ fresh wt)			
T1 Absolute control	$2.72 \pm {}^{4}0.06^{\circ}$	112.59 ± 0.17^e			
$T2 N_{100}P_{60}K_{50}$	$5.68 \pm 0.08^{\rm b}$	$116.05 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$			
$T3 N_{50}P_{30}K_{25}$	5.25 ± 0.76^b	$114.07 \pm 0.08^{\text{d}}$			
T4 $N_{50}P_{30}K_{25}$ + EM compost 5 t ha ⁻¹	$8.81 \pm 0.45^{\rm a}$	$118.49 \pm 0.21^{\text{a}}$			
T5 EM compost 10 t ha ⁻¹	5.98 ± 0.22^b	$117.38 \pm 0.30^{\rm b}$			
SEM	0.32	0.15			
LSD ($P < 0.05$)	0.91	0.42			

Table 2 Effect of EM compost on lycopene and phenol content of Tomato fruit.

Mean \pm standard deviation, $n = 3$; superscripts indicate significant differences based on LSD at 0.05 level.

Reductase (GR) in tomatoes was measured at harvest stage. Higher values of TAL (1.69 nmol *p*-coumaric acid min⁻¹ g^{-1} fresh wt), APO (2.10 μ mol H₂O₂ reduced min⁻¹ g⁻¹ fresh wt) and GR $(2.57 \mu \text{mol} \text{ NADPH} \text{oxidized } \text{min}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ fresh}$ wt) were recorded in tomatoes harvested from T4 treatment plots with EM compost and chemical fertilizer ($N_{50}P_{30}K_{25}$ + EM compost at the rate of 5 t ha⁻¹). TAL and GR activity showed a significant increase of 49.57% and 24.12%, respectively in this treatment as compared with full dose of fertilizer control ($N_{100}P_{60}K_{50} - T2$) (Table 3; $P \le 0.05$). The activity of antioxidant enzymes showed a good correlation with one another $[TAL - GR \ (r = 0.867; \ P < 0.05)$, $TAL - APO$ $(r = 0.758; P < 0.05)$ and APO – GR (0.870; $P < 0.05$)].

3.5. Defense enzyme activity exhibited by leaves and fruits of tomato

The activity of defense enzymes: Peroxidase (PO); Polyphenol Oxidase (PPO); Phenylalanine Ammonia Lyase (PAL) in tomato leaves was quantified at mid stage of the crop. Significantly higher values of PO $(1.45 \text{ IU min}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$ fresh wt), PPO $(16.43 \text{ IU min}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$ fresh wt) and PAL activity $(165.10 \text{ IU h}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$ fresh wt), were recorded in leaves harvested from T4 fertilized with half dose of the chemical fertilizers $N_{50}P_{30}K_{25}$ + EM compost at the rate of 5 t ha⁻¹ - T4 ([Fig. 2](#page-5-0)A; $P < 0.05$). An increase of 25%, 17.41% and 13.02% was recorded for PO, PPO and PAL, respectively as compared with fertilizer control $(N_{100}P_{60}K_{50} - T2)$. Defense enzymes (PO, PPO and PAL) in tomato fruit were measured after its ripening. The defense enzyme activities were also higher in the fruits harvested from treatment T4 ($N_{50}P_{30}K_{25}$ + EM compost at the rate of 5 t ha⁻¹ - T4). The PO activity $(41.03 \text{ IU min}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$ fresh wt) was enhanced by 34.40% over

the fertilizer control $-$ T2, but it was statistically at par in fruits inoculated with EM compost alone – T5. Furthermore, significantly higher PPO (0.72 IU min⁻¹ g^{-1} fresh wt; 54.17%) and PAL (48.72; 10.96%) activities were measured in $N_{50}P_{30}$ - K_{25} + EM compost at the rate of 5 t ha⁻¹ - T4 as compared with fertilizer control – T2 [\(Fig. 2](#page-5-0)B; $P \le 0.05$). Significant correlations were observed among the defense enzymes measured in leaves ($r = 0.899 - 0.976$; $P < 0.05$) and fruit ($r = 0.795$) $-0.897; P \leq 0.05$).

4. Discussion

During the last few decades the use of organic fertilizers is preferred over inorganic/chemical fertilizer, because the former option improves biological, chemical and physical properties of the soils in an eco-friendly manner [\(Palm et al., 1997](#page-7-0)). Few reports suggest that organic fertilizer especially EM compost improves the nutritional quality and antioxidant content in plants along with improving the soil health ([Xu et al.,](#page-8-0) [2000; Toor et al., 2006; Ncube et al., 2011](#page-8-0)).

Compost, specifically prepared by EM has the ability to effectively mineralize soil organic matter and consequently improve nutrient availability, soil health and crop growth ([Piyadasa et al., 1995](#page-7-0)). The bioaugmented compost is also associated with improved soil structure and enhanced soil fertility, increased soil microbial activity and improved moistureholding capacity of the soil [\(Arancon et al., 2004](#page-6-0)). The EM compost applied in tomato field was endowed with high humus content (7.55%), which in general influences the microbial diversity in soil and helps the plant to improve their physiological processes for mineralization of nutrients in soil. Our results proved that the plots treated with half of the recommended dose of the chemical fertilizers; $N_{50}P_{30}K_{25}$ + EM

		fresh wt)
$0.67 \pm \# 0.03^{\circ}$	$0.36 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	1.56 ± 0.12^c
$0.85 \pm 0.04^{\rm b}$	0.77 ± 0.33^b	1.95 ± 0.02^b
$0.84 \pm 0.11^{\rm b}$	$0.54 \pm 0.08b^c$	$1.50 \pm 0.12^{\circ}$
$1.69 \pm 0.13^{\text{a}}$	$2.10 \pm 0.08^{\text{a}}$	$2.57 \pm 0.04^{\text{a}}$
$0.92 \pm 0.04^{\rm b}$	$1.94 \pm 0.05^{\text{a}}$	$2.08 \pm 0.09^{\rm b}$
0.06	0.11	0.64
0.16	0.30	0.18
		[#] Mean \pm standard deviation, $n = 3$; superscripts indicate significant differences based on LSD at 0.05 levels.

Table 3 Effect of EM compost on antioxidant enzymes of Tomato fruit.

Figure 1 Influence of EM compost on soil microbiological parameters, at harvest-stage on Tomato. (A) Alkaline phosphatase activity (µg p-NP g⁻¹ h⁻¹). (B) Acid phosphatase activity (µg p-NP g⁻¹ h⁻¹). (C) Dehydrogenase activity (µg TPF g⁻¹ day⁻¹). (D) Microbial biomass carbon (µg C g^{-1} soil). (E) Fluorescein diacetate hydrolase activity. Error bars represent standard deviation. T1, Absolute control; T2, $N_{100}P_{60}K_{50}$; T3, $N_{50}P_{30}K_{25}$; T4, $N_{50}P_{30}K_{25}$ + EM compost 5 t ha⁻¹; T5, EM compost 10 t ha⁻¹.

Figure 2 Influence of EM compost on defense enzyme activity of Tomato. (A) Leaves at mid stage. (B) Fruit at harvest stage. Error bars represent standard deviation. T1, Absolute control; T2, $N_{100}P_{60}K_{50}$; T3, $N_{50}P_{30}K_{25}$; T4, $N_{50}P_{30}K_{25}$ + EM compost 5 t ha⁻¹; T5, EM compost 10 t ha^{-1}.

compost at the rate of 5 t ha⁻¹, showed a pronounced increase in microbial activity. Higher values of dehydrogenase $(32.96 \,\mu\text{g} \text{ TPF} \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1})$, fluorescein diacetate hydrolase $(0.28 \,\mu\text{g}$ fluorescein g^{-1} soil h^{-1}) and microbial biomass

carbon (420.18 µg C g^{-1} soil) in this treatment reflect a significant increase in microbial population in soil.

In the present study, tomato yield and other plant biometric parameters were positively influenced by mature compost prepared with EM, which may be attributed to the pronounced increase in microbial population in the soil. An integrated use of EM compost and chemical fertilizer $(N_{50}P_{30}K_{25} + EM)$ compost at the rate of 5 t ha⁻¹ - T4), significantly increased tomato yield by 31.83% over the fertilizer control. [Khaliq](#page-7-0) [et al. \(2006\)](#page-7-0) also advocated the integrated use of organic and inorganic nutrient sources with Effective Microorganisms (EM) for improving crop yield. Similar results were obtained by [Xu et al. \(2000\)](#page-8-0) where application of EM inoculated organic fertilizer integrated with chemical fertilizer, increased fruit yield and plant growth of tomato crop. Application of EM to peas, sweet potato and onions increased yield by 31%, 23% and 29%, respectively [\(Daly and Stewart, 1999](#page-7-0)). [Riahi et al. \(2009\)](#page-8-0) also reported the influence of different organic fertilizers on the yield of tomato. According to their report, yield of tomato and quality increased by using organic compost in comparison to usual yield found for conventionally grown tomatoes.

Tomatoes are a very good source of antioxidants, vitamins C carotenoids (lycopene and β -carotene) and phenolic compounds [\(Ilahy et al., 2011; Pinela et al., 2012\)](#page-7-0). Organically grown fruits and vegetables have high levels of vitamin C, iron, magnesium, phosphorus and antioxidant activity (SOD, GR, APO, PO, phenols) and less lipid peroxidation level than conventional grown products ([Worthington, 2001; Barron,](#page-8-0) [2010; Montalba et al., 2010\)](#page-8-0). The present study also validates positive influence of EM compost on tomato yield and fruit quality in terms of antioxidant and defense properties of tomato. [Rein et al. \(2006\)](#page-7-0) reported that daily consumption of 15 mg of lycopene may reduce C-reactive proteins, a predictor of cardiovascular diseases and it acts as an in vivo antioxidant, and thus plays an important role in the prevention of cancer ([Rao and Agarwal, 1998\)](#page-7-0). [Smita et al. \(2013\)](#page-8-0) reported 23 µg lycopene g^{-1} fresh wt in Pusa Rohini variety of tomato while in our experiment a significant increase (35.5%) in lycopene content (88.1 µg lycopene g^{-1} fresh wt) was observed under integrated nutrient management practices involving EM compost along with half dose of recommended chemical fertilizers. Similarly, [Riahi and Hdider \(2013\)](#page-7-0) also reported that compost as organic fertilizers influences the lycopene content and antioxidant properties in different cultivars of tomato. In their experiment lycopene content varied ranging 78.0–117.8 μ g g⁻¹ fresh wt in different cultivars by application of different organic fertilizers.

The major phenolics in tomato exhibit a wide range of physiological properties, such as anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, cardio protective, hepatoprotective, hypoglycemic and antiviral effects (Navarro-González et al., 2011). Phenolic compounds are of great importance in terms of the nutritional and commercial properties of agricultural products through their contribution to sensory properties such as color and flavor ([Perez-Lopez et al., 2007](#page-7-0)). In this study, it was observed that EM compost alone at the rate of 10 t ha⁻¹ (T5) and in combination with chemical fertilizers $(N_{50}P_{30}K_{25} + EM)$ compost at the rate of $5 \text{ tha}^{-1} - \text{T4}$) increased the phenol content in tomatoes. Similarly, [Toor et al. \(2006\)](#page-8-0) also reported a higher level of total phenolics in organically fertilized tomatoes than in those that received chemical fertilizers.

The functional quality and antioxidant constituents of tomato are strongly influenced by environmental factors, mineral nutrition and genetics ([Kaur et al., 2013](#page-7-0)). Awareness has increased about role of antioxidants in human health, as

the levels of free radicals and other 'reactive oxygen species' (ROS) are controlled by a complex web of antioxidant defenses, which minimize oxidative damage to biomolecules. Any imbalance between antioxidants and reactive oxygen species results in oxidative stress, leading to cellular damage, cancer, aging, atherosclerosis, inflammation, and neurodegenerative disorders such as Parkinson's and Alzheimer's diseases ([Getoff, 2007; Kaur and Kapoor, 2002](#page-7-0)). Our results in tomato reveal that the values of antioxidant enzyme activity of GR was significantly higher (24.12%) in the fruits receiving $N_{50}P_{30}K_{25}$ + EM compost at the rate of 5 t ha⁻¹ - T4, which are involved in detoxification of xenobiotics or serve in detoxification metabolism, of carcinogens, toxins and drugs causing hemolytic anemia, diabetes and neurologic disorders ([Knapen et al., 1999; Gul et al., 2000; Hayes et al., 2005](#page-7-0)). The high levels of APO rose by 63.33% in the treatment T4 $(N_{50}P_{30}K_{25} + EM$ compost at the rate of 5 t ha⁻¹), making the tomato plant resistant against any stress. In addition, [Simova-Stoilova et al. \(2008\)](#page-8-0) and [Noctor and Foyer \(1998\)](#page-7-0) stated that PO, APO and GR are the key antioxidants playing a central role in the defense against ROS. Furthermore, Abdel-Fattah and Al-Amri (2012) reported that compost significantly increased the activity of TAL, PO, PPO, alkaline phosphatase and acid phosphatase as defense enzymes in tomato. Similar results were obtained in the present investigation where the defense enzymes PO, PPO and PAL were increased in leaves ranging from 13% to 25%. The activity of these defense enzymes in fruits increased in the range of 11–55% over the full dose of fertilizer control (T2) by combined inoculation of chemical fertilizers and EM compost (T4).

5. Conclusion

The present study revealed the promise of EM compost as soil supplements, for deriving multiple benefits to tomato crop, not only in terms of plant biometric parameters (plant height, fruit yield, etc.), but also improving the fruit quality, thereby emphasizing the need to reduce the use of chemical fertilizers in agriculture, particularly for edible food crops.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the Division of Microbiology, Post Harvest Technology and Vegetable Sciences, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi for providing the necessary facilities for undertaking this study.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2014.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2014.11.003) [11.003.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2014.11.003)

References

- [Abdel-Fattah, G.M., Al-Amri, S.M., 2012. Induced systemic resistance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0005) [in tomato plants against](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0005) Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici by [different kinds of compost. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 11, 12454–12463](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0005).
- [Arancon, N.Q., Edwards, C.A., Bierman, P., Welch, C., Metzger, J.D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0010) [2004. The influence of vermicompost applications to strawberries:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0010) [part 1. Effects on growth and yield. Bioresour. Technol. 93, 145–](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0010) [153](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0010).

[Avdiushko, S.A., Ye, X.S., Kuc, J., 1993. Detection of several](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0015) [enzymatic activities in leaf prints of cucumber plant. Physiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0015) [Mol. Plant Pathol. 42, 441–454](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0015).

- [Barron, J., 2010. Organic vs. conventional. Nat. Health Newslett. 9, 1–](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0020) [11.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0020)
- Berner, M., Krug, D., Bihlmaier, C., Vente, A., Müller, R., Bechthold, [A., 2006. Genes and enzymes involved in caffeic acid biosynthesis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0025) in Actinomycete [Saccharothrix espanaensis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0025). J. Bacteriol. 188, 2666– [2673.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0025)
- [Bhonwong, A., Stout, M.J., Attajarusit, J., Tantasawat, P., 2009.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0030) [Defensive role of tomato polyphenol oxidases against cotton](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0030) bollworm (Helicoverpa armigera[\) and beet armyworm \(](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0030)Spodoptera exigua[\). J. Chem. Ecol. 35, 28–38](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0030).
- [Carlberg, I., Mannervik, B., 1985. Glutathione reductase assay. In:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0035) [Methods in Enzymol.. Academic Press, Orlando, pp. 484–495](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0035).
- [Casida, L.E.J., Klein, D.A., Santoro, T., 1964. Soil dehydrogenase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0040) [activity. Soil Sci. 98, 371–376.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0040)
- [Clinton, S.K., 1998. Lycopene: chemistry, biology, and implications](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0045) [for human health and disease. Nutr. Rev. 56, 35–51.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0045)
- [Daiss, N., Lobo, M.G., Socorro, R., Bruckner, U., Heller, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0050) [Gonzaler, M., 2008. The effect of three organic pre-harvest](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0050) [treatments on Swiss chard \(](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0050)Beta vulgaris L. var. cycla L.) quality. [Eur. Food Res. Technol. 226, 345–353.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0050)
- [Daly, M.J., Stewart, D.C.P., 1999. Influence of effective microorgan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0055)[isms on vegetable production and carbon mineralization – a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0055) [preliminary investigation. Sustain. Agric. 14, 15–28](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0055).
- FAOSTAT, 2011. Food and Agricultural Commodities Production. Available online: <<http://faostat.fao.org>> (accessed 17.01.14).
- [Getoff, N., 2007. Anti-aging and aging factors in life: the role of free](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0065) [radicals. Radiat. Phys. Chem. 76, 1577–1586](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0065).
- [Gonzalez-Aguilar, G.A., Tizuado-Hernandoz, M.E., Zavaleeta-Gati](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0070)[ca, R., Martinez-Tellez, M.A., 2004. Methyl jasmonate treatments](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0070) [reduce chilling injury and activate the defense response of guava](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0070) [fruits. Biochem. Biophysiol. Res. Commun. 313, 694–701](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0070).
- [Green, V.S., Stott, D.E., Diack, M., 2006. Assay of fluorescein](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0075) [diacetate hydrolytic activity-optimization of soil samples. Soil Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0075) [Biochem. 38, 693–701.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0075)
- [Gul, M., Kutay, F.Z., Temocin, S., Hanninen, O., 2000. Cellular and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0080) [clinical implications of glutathione. Ind. J. Exp. Biol. 38, 625–634](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0080).
- Hanway, J.J., Heidel, H., 1952. Soil Analysis Methods as used in Iowa State College Soil Testing Laboratory. Bulletin 57. Iowa State College of Agriculture, USA.
- [Hayes, J., Flanagan, J., Jowsey, I., 2005. Glutathione transferases.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0090) [Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 45, 51–88](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0090).
- [Higa, T., 2000. What is EM technology? EM World J. 1, 1–6](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0095).
- Higa, T., Wididana, G.N., 1991. The concept and theories of effective microorganisms. In: Higa, T., Parr, J.F. (Eds.), Beneficial and effective microorganisms for a sustainable agriculture and environment, Atami, Japan, p. 16.
- [Ilahy, R., Hdider, C., Lenucci, M.S., Tlili, I., Dalessandr, G., 2011.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0105) [Phytochemical composition and antioxidant activity of high](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0105)lycopene tomato (Solanum lycopersicum [L.\) cultivars grown in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0105) [Southern Italy. Sci. Hort. 127, 255–261](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0105).
- [Jennings, P.H., Brannaman, B.L., Zscheile Jr., F.P., 1969. Peroxidase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0110) [and polyphenol oxidase activity associated with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0110) Helminthosporium [leaf spot of maize. Phytopathology 59, 963–967.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0110)
- [Karanatsidis, G., Berova, M., 2009. Effect of organic-N fertilizer on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0115) [growth and some physiological parameters in pepper plants](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0115) (Capsicum annum [L.\). Biotechnol. Biotechnol. Equip. 23, 254–257](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0115).
- [Kaur, C., Kapoor, H.C., 2002. Antioxidant activity and total phenolic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0120) [content of some Asian vegetables. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 37,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0120) [153–161.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0120)
- [Kaur, C., Walia, S., Nagal, S., Walia, S., Singh, J., Singh, B.B., Saha,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0125) [S., Singh, B., Kalia, P., Jaggi, S., Sarika, 2013. Functional quality](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0125) [and antioxidant composition of selected tomato \(](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0125)Solanum lycopersicon [L.\) cultivars grown in Northern India. LWT Food Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0125) [Technol. 50, 139–145.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0125)
- [Khaliq, A., Abbasi, M.K., Hussain, T., 2006. Effect of integrated use](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0130) [of organic and inorganic nutrient sources with effective microor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0130)[ganisms \(EM\) on seed cotton yield in Pakistan. Bioresour. Technol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0130) [97, 967–972](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0130).
- [Knapen, M.F.C.M., Zusterzeel, P.L.M., Peters, W.H.M., Steegers,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0135) [E.A.P., 1999. Glutathione and glutathione-related enzymes in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0135) [reproduction. Eur. J. Obst. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol. 82, 171–184.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0135)
- [Mallick, C.P., Singh, M.B., 1980. Plant Enzymology and Histo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0140) [Enzymology. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi \(p. 286\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0140)
- [Mayer, J., Scheid, S., Widmer, F., Fließbach, A., Oberholzera, H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0145) [2010. How effective are 'Effective microorganisms](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0145)® (EM)'? Results [from a field study in temperate climate. Appl. Soil Ecol. 46, 230–](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0145) [239.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0145)
- [Mittler, R., 2002. Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0150) [Trends Plant Sci. 7, 405–410](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0150).
- [Montalba, R., Arriagada, C., Alvear, M., Zuniga, G.E., 2010. Effects](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0155) [of conventional and organic nitrogen fertilizers on soil microbial](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0155) [activity, mycorrhizal colonization, Leaf antioxidant content, and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0155) Fusarium [wilt in high bush blueberry \(](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0155)Vaccinium corymbosum L.). [Sci. Hort. 125, 775–778.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0155)
- [Nakano, Y., Asada, K., 1981. Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0160) [ascorbate specific peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts. Plant Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0160) [Physiol. 22, 867–880](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0160).
- Navarro-González, I., García-Valverde, V., García-Alonso, J., Peri[ago, M.J., 2011. Chemical profile, functional and antioxidant](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0165) [properties of tomato peel fiber. Food Res. Int. 44, 1528–1535.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0165)
- [Ncube, L., Mnkeni, P.N.S., Brutsch, M.O., 2011. Agronomic suitabil](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0170)[ity of effective microorganisms for tomato production. Afr. J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0170) [Agric. Res. 6, 650–654.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0170)
- [Noctor, G., Foyer, C.H., 1998. Ascorbate and glutathione: keeping](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0175) [active oxygen under control. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0175) [Biol. 49, 249–279](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0175).
- [Nunan, N., Morgan, M.A., Herlihy, M., 1998. Ultraviolet absorbance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0180) [\(280 nm\) of compounds released from soil during chloroform](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0180) [fumigation as an estimate of the microbial biomass. Soil Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0180) [Biochem. 30, 1599–1603.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0180)
- [Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.V., Watanabe, F.S., Dean, L.A., 1954. Estimation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0185) [of Available Phosphorus in Soil by Extraction with Sodium](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0185) [Carbonate. USDA, Washington \(Conc p. 933\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0185).
- Palm, C.A., Myers, R.J.K., Nandwa, S.M., 1997. Combined use of organic and inorganic nutrient sources for soil fertility maintenance and replenishment. In: Replenishing Soil Fertility in Africa. SSSA Special Publication 51. pp. 193–217.
- [Perez-Lopez, A.J., Del Amor, F.M., Serrano-Martinez, A., Fortea,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0195) [M.I., Nunez-Delicado, E., 2007. Influence of agricultural practices](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0195) [on the quality of sweet pepper fruits as affected by maturity stage.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0195) [J. Sci. Food Agric. 87, 2075–2080](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0195).
- [Pinela, J., Barros, L., Carvalho, A.M., Ferreira, I.C., 2012. Nutritional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0200) [composition and antioxidant activity of four tomato \(](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0200)Lycopersicon esculentum [L.\) farmer's varieties in Northeastern Portugal home](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0200)[gardens. Food Chem. Toxicol. 50, 829–834](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0200).
- [Piyadasa, E.R., Attanayake, K.B., Ratnayake, A.D.A., Sangakkara,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0205) [U.R., 1995. The role of effective microorganisms in releasing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0205) [nutrients from organic matter. In: Proceedings of the Second](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0205) [Conference on Effective Microorganisms \(EM\). Kyusei Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0205) [Farming Center, Saraburi, Thailand, pp. 7–14.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0205)
- [Rao, A.V., Agarwal, S., 1998. Bioavailability and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0210) in vivo antioxidant [properties of lycopene from tomato products and their possible role](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0210) [in the prevention of cancer. Nutr. Cancer 31, 199–203](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0210).
- [Rein, D., Schijlen, E., Kooistra, T., Herbers, K., Verschuren, L., Hall,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0215) [R., Sonnewald, U., Bovy, A., Kleemann, R., 2006. Transgenic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0215) [flavonoid tomato intake reduces C-reactive protein in human C](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0215)[reactive protein transgenic mice more than wild-type tomato. J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0215) [Nutr. 136, 2331–2337.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0215)
- [Riahi, A., Hdider, C., 2013. Bioactive compounds and antioxidant](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0220) [activity of organically grown tomato \(](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0220)Solanum lycopersicum L.) [cultivars as affected by fertilization. Sci. Hort. 151, 90–96.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0220)
- [Riahi, A., Hdider, C., Sanaa, M., Tarchoun, N., Kheder, M.B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0225) [Guezal, I., 2009. The influence of different organic fertilizers on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0225) [yield and physico-chemical properties of organically grown tomato.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0225) [J. Sustain. Agric. 33, 658–673.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0225)
- [Sharma, A., Sharma, R., Arora, A., Shah, R., Singh, A., Pranaw, K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0230) [Nain, L., 2014. Insights into rapid composting of paddy straw](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0230) [augmented with efficient microorganism consortium. Int. J. Recy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0230)[cling Org. Waste Agric. 3, 54](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0230).
- [Sheng, Y.P., Lian, X.H., 2002. Influence of EM Bokashi on nodula](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0235)[tion, physiological characters and yield of peanut in nature farming](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0235) [fields. J. Sustain. Agric. 19, 105–112.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0235)
- [Simova-Stoilova, L., Demirevska, K., Petrova, T., Tsenov, N., Feller, U.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0240) [2008. Antioxidative protection in wheat varieties under severe](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0240) [recoverable drought at seedling stage. Plant Soil Environ. 54, 529–536.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0240)
- [Smita, S., Rajwanshi, R., Lenka, S.K., Katiyar, A., Chinnusamy, V.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0245) [Bansal, K.C., 2013. Expression profile of genes coding for carotenoid](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0245) [biosynthetic pathway during ripening and their association with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0245) [accumulation of lycopene in tomato fruits. J. Genet. 92, 363–368.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0245)
- [Subbiah, B.V., Asija, G.L., 1956. A rapid procedure for assessment of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0250) [available nitrogen in rice soils. Curr. Sci. 25, 259–260](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0250).
- [Tabatabai, M.A., Bremner, J.M., 1969. Use of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0255) p-nitrophenyl phos[phate for assay of soil phosphatase activity. Soil Biol. Biochem. 1,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0255) [301–307](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0255).
- [Thimmaiah, S.K., 1999. Standard Methods of Biochemical Analysis,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0260) [Estimation of Lycopene. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India, pp.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0260) [306–307](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0260).
- [Toor, R.K., Savage, G.P., Heeb, A., 2006. Influence of different types](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0265) [of fertilizers on the major antioxidant components of tomatoes.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0265) [J. Food Comp. Anal. 19, 20–27.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0265)
- [Walkley, A.J., Black, I.A., 1934. An examination of the Degtjareff](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0270) [method for determination of soil organic matter and a proposed](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0270) [modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Sci. 37, 29–](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0270) [38](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0270).
- [Worthington, V., 2001. Nutritional quality of organic versus conven](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0275)[tional fruits, vegetables and grains. J. Altern. Complem. Med. 7,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0275) [161–173](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0275).
- [Xu, H., Wang, R., Mridha, M.A.U., 2000. Effects of organic fertilizers](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0280) [and a microbial inoculant on leaf photosynthesis and fruit yield and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0280) [quality of tomato plants. J. Crop Prod. 3, 173–182.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1319-562X(14)00140-5/h0280)