

Contextualizing racial associations in gene expression in patients with uterine serous carcinoma

Francois G. Rollin^{a,1} , Shree A. Patel^a, and Samuel S. McCachren^b

We read with interest the article by Foley et al. (August 12, 2024) (1) which describes uterine serous carcinoma (USC) tumor transcriptomes and immune responses in different patient groups. As the authors note, addressing racial inequities in endometrial cancer must be a priority. However, we are concerned that the framing of the research question and results could inadvertently imply that observed differences in molecular pathways and gene expression could be due to inherent categorical genomic differences between racial groups.

We believe that the paper would be strengthened by clearly stating that race is a sociopolitical construct and acknowledging that residual confounding and embodiment of inequalities can explain observed racial differences in gene expression. This would better align with the March 2023 National Academy of Sciences report on the appropriate use of population descriptors in genomic research, which states that “researchers should not use race as a proxy for human genetic variation” (2). It is important to acknowledge that residual confounding can explain the persistence of an association between racial category and gene expression after simple adjustment, as well as the fact that racial disparities in survival persist in “equal care settings” (1). An observed association does not imply inherent differences between racial groups. The authors should explain what else the “racial category” variable could be capturing other than genetic differences between patients of different self-identified racial categories. For example, many studies have linked

endometrial cancer incidence, and that of more aggressive subtypes such as USC, to hypertension (3), diabetes (4), obesity (5), estrogen, and environmental toxin exposure (6, 7). The paper does briefly note the limitations that diabetes and hypertension have a potential impact (1). However, it is important to state explicitly that structural inequities and differential exposures manifest as health outcomes by affecting biological systems and that racial differences in gene expression are likely due to structural racism (8, 9).

The paper’s conclusions of observed racial differences could be better contextualized with the possible causal pathways including stress, poverty, estrogen receptor expression, and more (8). The PAX8 signaling pathway could become an important therapeutic target and in turn could help reduce racial health inequities in outcomes, but acknowledging and investigating the structural social inequities that lead to differences in gene expression will be necessary to reach equity in incidence and outcomes (10).

Author affiliations: ^aDepartment of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30322; and ^bMedical Student, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30322

Author contributions: F.G.R. designed research; F.G.R., S.A.P., and S.S.M. performed research; and F.G.R., S.A.P., and S.S.M. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no competing interest.

Copyright © 2024 the Author(s). Published by PNAS. This article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND).

¹To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: frollin@emory.edu.

Published October 14, 2024.

1. K. G. Foley, M. Adli, J. J. Kim, Single-nuclei sequencing of uterine serous carcinoma reveals racial differences in immune signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **121**, e2402998121 (2024), 10.1073/pnas.2402998121.
2. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, *Using Population Descriptors in Genetics and Genomics Research: A New Framework for an Evolving Field* (The National Academies Press, Washington, DC, 2023), 10.17226/26902.
3. S. J. Kitson et al., The unrecognized burden of cardiovascular risk factors in women newly diagnosed with endometrial cancer: A prospective case control study. *Gynecol. Oncol.* **148**, 154–160 (2018), 10.1016/j.ygyno.2017.11.019.
4. Z. H. Zhang, P. Y. Su, J. H. Hao, Y. H. Sun, The role of preexisting diabetes mellitus on incidence and mortality of endometrial cancer: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer* **23**, 294–303 (2013), 10.1097/IGC.0b013e31827b8430.
5. C. M. Eakin et al., The association of obesity with type I uterine cancer—is this an oversimplification? *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **227**, 538–539 (2022), 10.1016/j.ajog.2022.05.016.
6. S. Dutta, B. Gorain, H. Choudhury, S. Roychoudhury, P. Sengupta, Environmental and occupational exposure of metals and female reproductive health. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* **29**, 62067–62092 (2022), 10.1007/s11356-021-16581-9.
7. A. Ayodele, E. Obeng-Gyasi, Exploring the potential link between PFAS exposure and endometrial cancer: A review of environmental and sociodemographic factors. *Cancers (Basel)* **16**, 983 (2024), 10.3390/cancers16050983.
8. K. M. Doll, C. R. Snyder, C. L. Ford, Endometrial cancer disparities: A race-conscious critique of the literature. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **218**, 474–482.e2 (2018), 10.1016/j.ajog.2017.09.016.
9. Z. D. Bailey et al., Structural racism and health inequities in the USA: Evidence and interventions. *Lancet* **389**, 1453–1463 (2017).
10. M. L. Hicks et al., Racial disparities in endometrial cancer: Where are we after 26 years? *Gynecol. Oncol.* **184**, 236–242 (2024), 10.1016/j.ygyno.2024.01.054.