JACC: ADVANCES © 2024 THE AUTHORS. PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER ON BEHALF OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION. THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE CC BY-NC-ND LICENSE (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

EDITORIAL COMMENT

Coronary Pathophysiology Underlying the Obesity Paradox*



Linh Bui, MD,^{a,b} Monica Patel, MD,^{a,b} K. Lance Gould, MD^a

n this issue of JACC: Advances, Valenta et al¹ from Thomas Schindler's positron emission tomography (PET) team report on PET perfusion imaging underlying the "obesity paradox." The data reveals interesting new coronary pathophysiology differentiating visceral obesity associated with prevalent risk factors and high coronary artery disease (CAD) risk from subcutaneous morbid obesity without risk factors with low CAD risk compared to normal weight controls. The study highlights 2 perhaps somewhat underappreciated pathophysiologic concepts about obesity reviewed in this paper. First, visceral obesity is associated with prevalent highrisk factors, coronary calcification, nonobstructive coronary diffuse CAD but no flow-limiting stenosis by PET, and a high risk of coronary events compared to the normal weight controls. In contrast, subcutaneous morbid obesity is associated with normal lipid profiles, less coronary calcium, and a low risk of clinical CAD. Second, advanced precise PET perfusion imaging quantifies and explains specific measurable coronary pathophysiology associated with this loosely defined "obesity paradox" and different outcomes.

This paper nicely reviews metabolic differences between visceral obesity vs subcutaneous morbid obesity and their differential prevalence of subclinical or manifest CAD with metabolic mechanisms proposed. Its novelty derives from the also underappreciated PET-derived base-to-apex longitudinal perfusion gradient that solidly bookends the "obesity paradox" by PET imaging, augmenting the riskfactor-outcome disparities in the literature. The novel insight may be enhanced by a brief review of the cardiac base-to-apex longitudinal perfusion gradient and its mechanism as a marker of early, mild, diffuse, nonobstructive CAD in some patients but not others with more advanced diffuse CAD without focal stenosis as ruled out by PET in this study.

Widespread diffuse nonobstructive coronary atherosclerosis was reported many years ago from the pathology of Korean War casualties and by intracoronary ultrasound. The coronary physiologic consequences and noninvasive imaging were first described as a base-to-apex longitudinal perfusion gradient along the long axis of the left ventricle by PET of stress myocardial perfusion imaging.² The mechanism of this longitudinal perfusion gradient is explained by experimental demonstration of coronary "branch steal," whereby low-resistant branches along a diffusely narrowed parent coronary artery shunts blood flow away from downstream flow in the parent artery.³ Consequently, vasodilation stress with intravenous dipyridamole may cause myocardial steal at the left ventricle apex, manifesting as a fall in stress perfusion below resting levels despite a patent parent artery with no focal stenosis or collateralization.

The stress-induced base-to-apex longitudinal perfusion gradient was confirmed as a marker of diffuse CAD in several subsequent publications.⁴⁻⁸ However, it was not observed in all patients with

^{*}Editorials published in *JACC: Advances* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of *JACC: Advances* or the American College of Cardiology.

From the ^aDivision of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Weatherhead PET Center For Preventing and Reversing Atherosclerosis, McGovern Medial Medical School, University of Texas, and Memorial Hermann Hospital, Houston, Texas, USA; and the ^bDivision of Cardiology, McGovern Medical School, University of Texas, Houston, Texas, USA. The authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the Author Center.

2



diffuse CAD,⁷ an unexplained heterogeneity due to 2 factors. First, as addressed by Valenta et al,¹ are the different obesity phenotypes underlying the "obesity paradox".¹ Second is the little-recognized fluid dynamics underlying the base-to-apex longitudinal perfusion gradient explained in this editorial.

Figure 1 illustrates the "classical" base-to-apex longitudinal perfusion gradient during dipyridamole stress due to diffuse epicardial CAD without focal stenosis. During hyperemic stress, the coronary perfusion pressure falls as measured experimentally⁹ or clinically as fractional flow reserve (FFR)¹⁰ or as a long pull-back FFR along the length of a coronary artery.^{11,12} This fall in hyperemic coronary pressure impairs subendocardial perfusion experimentally¹³ and clinically as a parallel pathophysiologic marker of diffuse CAD first imaged clinically by PET with or without clinical angina or ECGST-segment depression.14

For mild, diffuse, no-stenosis CAD during vasodilatory stress, the proximal coronary flow, pressure, and subendocardial perfusion are normal but decrease distally, paralleling the distal to proximal FFR pullback. Note that the transmural perfusion gradient to low subendocardial perfusion must be conceptually distinguished from the base-to-apex longitudinal perfusion gradient of mean transmural perfusion. The hyperemic reduced subendocardial perfusion by noninvasive PET indicates reduced hyperemic coronary pressure. In addition, the reduced hyperemic subendocardial perfusion indicates sufficient coronary microvascular function responding to dipyridamole, which rules out predominant microvascular dysfunction defined as failure to increase coronary blood flow or perfusion in response to vasodilator stress.

However, for moderate to severe, diffuse, nonstenostic, epicardial CAD during vasodilatory stress, there is no longitudinal base-to-apex perfusion gradient due to more severely reduced both proximal and distal low coronary pressure and subendocardial perfusion throughout its length that uniformly lowers mean transmural perfusion (**Figure 1**) (coronary flow capacity [CFC] yellow). Therefore, a base-to-apex longitudinal perfusion gradient indicates mild, diffuse, no-stenosis, and epicardial CAD, but not

3



moderate to severe diffuse CAD. In contrast, moderate to severe diffuse CAD reduces global proximal and distal coronary pressure and subendocardial perfusion during hyperemic stress, thereby precluding the base-to-apex longitudinal and explaining its heterogeneous relation to pressure derived FFR in the literature.⁷ These examples illustrate the precision, technologically optimized, PET perfusion imaging combined with knowledge of coronary pathophysiology and fluid dynamics for insights into the quantifiable coronary pathophysiology characterizing different obesity phenotypes underlying the "obesity paradox."

Visceral obesity associated with high-risk factors shows the coronary pathophysiology of early heterogeneous nonobstructive epicardial CAD manifested as a base-to-apex longitudinal perfusion gradient. More advanced nonobstructive CAD may manifest as uniform proximal and distal reduced subendocardial perfusion without the longitudinal base-to-apex perfusion gradient. Both degrees of diffuse nonobstructive epicardial CAD need intense medicallifestyle management to prevent progression to plaque rupture and coronary events.

As reviewed in this paper, morbid subcutaneous obesity with normal or low-risk factors does not have the longitudinal base-to-apex perfusion gradient with less coronary calcification, less manifest CAD, and less risk of coronary events compared to normal weight controls. However, in the absence of subendocardial perfusion imaging by PET, this study cannot determine whether the absence of the longitudinal perfusion gradient in morbid obesity is due to severe or no diffuse epicardial CAD, both of which may lack a baseto-apex longitudinal perfusion gradient.

Since morbid subcutaneous obesity incurs more heart failure than acute coronary events compared to the opposite for visceral, less severe obesity, the authors appropriately ascribe the higher risk of congestive heart failure in the morbidly obese to metabolic effects on the myocardium. They rule out the common wastebasket attribution of congestive heart failure to 4

microvascular dysfunction by their Figures 1B and 2B and Table 2, row 3,¹ showing normal hyperemic stress perfusion of 2.26 \pm 0.4 ml/min/g for the morbid obese vs 2.35 \pm 0.32 ml/min/g for the normal weight group (P = 0.30). Coronary flow reserve in morbid obesity (1.99 \pm 0.38) is slightly lower than normal weight (2.29 \pm 0.53) due to rest perfusion in morbid obesity (1.17 \pm 0.25 ml/min/g) being higher than normal weight controls (1.07 \pm 0.24 ml/min/g). In this study, the definition of microvascular dysfunction is the inability of the microvasculature to vasodilate, thereby impairing the stress perfusion that is normal in morbid obesity.

Figure 2 defines the parallel proximal and distal CFC, coronary pressure, and subendocardial perfusion for diffuse microvascular disease in contrast with diffuse nonobstructive epicardial CAD. When mild or moderate to severe predominant microvascular dysfunction prevents increased coronary blood flow and perfusion, the proximal and distal coronary pressure and subendocardial perfusion are normal.¹⁵ Therefore, reduced CFC or coronary flow reserve with a base-to-apex longitudinal perfusion gradient identifies diffuse epicardial CAD with adequate

microvascular function, thereby excluding microvascular disease in morbid obesity in this study. This precise coronary pathophysiology by PET supports the author's conclusion that the heart failure of the morbidly obese is most likely due to primary myocardial dysfunction, such as nonischemic cardiomyopathy or severe diastolic dysfunction, but not CAD or microvascular dysfunction.

FUNDING SUPPORT AND AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

The authors have received internal funding from Weatherhead PET Center for Preventing. Dr Gould is the applicant for 510(k) FDAcleared HeartSee K171303 PET software. To avoid any conflict of interest, Dr Gould has assigned any royalties arising from PET software to UTHealth for research or student scholarships. UTHealth has a financial interest related to the HeartSee intellectual property via its affiliation with The Weatherhead P.E.T. Imaging Center.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr K. Lance Gould, Weatherhead PET Center For Preventing and Reversing Atherosclerosis, McGovern Medical School, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, 6431 Fannin Street, Room MSB 4.256, Houston, Texas 77030, USA. E-mail: k.lance.gould@uth.tmc.edu.

REFERENCES

1. Valenta I, Upadhyaya A, Jain S, Schindler TH. PET/CT assessment of flow-mediated epicardial vasodilation in obesity and severe obesity. *JACC: Adv.* 2024;3:100936.

2. Gould KL, Nakagawa Y, Nakagawa K, et al. Frequency and clinical implications of fluid dynamically significant diffuse coronary artery disease manifest as graded, longitudinal, baseto19 apex myocardial perfusion abnormalities by noninvasive positron emission tomography. *Circulation.* 2000;101:1931-1939.

3. Gould KL, Kirkeeide R, Johnson NP. Coronary branch steal – experimental validation and clinical implications of interacting stenosis in branching coronary arteries. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2010;3: 701-709.

4. Schindler TH, Facta AD, Prior JO, et al. Structural alterations of the coronary arterial wall are associated with myocardial flow heterogeneity in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2009;36:219-229.

5. Valenta I, Antoniou A, Marashdeh W, et al. PETmeasured longitudinal flow gradient correlates with invasive fractional flow reserve in CAD patients. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2017;18: 538-548. **6.** Schindler TH, Facta AD, Prior JO, et al. PETmeasured heterogeneity in longitudinal myocardial blood flow in response to sympathetic and pharmacologic stress as a non-invasive probe of epicardial vasomotor dysfunction. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2006;33:1140–1149.

7. Bom MJ, Driessen RS, Raijmakers PG, et al. Diagnostic value of longitudinal flow gradient for the presence of haemodynamically significant coronary artery disease. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2019;20:21-30.

8. Schindler TH, Cardenas J, Prior JO, et al. Relationship between increasing body weight, insulin resistance, inflammation, adipocytokine leptin, and coronary circulatory function. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2006;47:1188–1195.

9. Gould KL. Pressure-flow characteristics of coronary stenoses in intact unsedated dogs at rest and during coronary vasodilation. *Circ Res.* 1978;43:242-253.

10. Pijls NHJ, van Son JAM, Kirkeeide RL, Bruyne BD, Gould KL. Experimental basis of determining maximal coronary myocardial and collateral blood flow by pressure measurements for assessing functional stenosis severity before and after PTCA. *Circulation*. 1993;86:1354–1367. **11.** De Bruyne B, Hersbach F, Pijls NH, et al. Abnormal epicardial coronary resistance in patients with diffuse atherosclerosis but "Normal" coronary angiography. *Circulation*. 2001;104:2401-2406.

12. Collet C, Sonck J, Vandeloo B, et al. Measurement of hyperemic pullback pressure gradients to characterize patterns of coronary atherosclerosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;74:1772–1784.

13. Gould KL. Assessment of coronary stenoses by myocardial perfusion imaging during pharmacologic coronary vasodilatation. IV. Limits of stenosis detection by idealized, experimental, cross-sectional myocardial imaging. *Am J Cardiol.* 1978;42:761-768.

14. Gould KL, Nguyen T, Kirkeeide RL, et al. Subendocardial and transmural myocardial ischemia: clinical characteristics, prevalence and outcomes with and without revascularization. *J Am Coll Cardiol Imq.* 2023;16:78–94.

15. Gould KL, Johnson NP, Narula J. Alternative viewpoint—microvascular dysfunction or diffuse epicardial CAD with normal stress vasodilation. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img.* 2023;14:549–552.

KEY WORDS cardiac PET perfusion imaging, longitudinal perfusion gradient, subendocardial perfusion, the fat paradox