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#### Abstract

Background: The present study aimed to evaluate the association between presence and severity of obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA) and the presence of subclinical coronary artery disease (CAD) as assessed by coronary calcium score. Methods: Medline, Cochrane, and Google Scholar databases were searched. The presence of coronary artery calcification (CAC) and CAC score were assessed. Results: Irrespective of the cut-off value of apnoea-hypopnea index (AHI) (5 or 15 events/h), patients in the OSA group had higher rate of CAC presence and mean CAC score than those in the control group. Subgroup analyses of patients monitored with home sleep apnoea testing (HSAT) or in-hospital/laboratory polysomnography showed that the OSA group had higher rate of CAC presence and mean CAC score than the control group, except in the comparison of mean CAC score between $\mathrm{AHI} \geq 5$ vs. $<5$ events/h for patients using HSAT, which was not significant. Pair-wise comparison showed that CAC score may increase with increased OSA severity. Conclusions: In participants without symptomatic coronary disease, the presence of OSA was associated with the presence and extent of CAC. However, potential confounders such as age, gender, and BMI and the diversity of CAC scores may affect the association.
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## Introduction

Obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA) is the most common type of sleep disordered breathing and is characterised by repeated episodes of complete or partial obstruction of the upper airway during sleep [1]. Approximately 1 billion adults aged between 30 and 69 years worldwide are estimated to exhibit OSA. According to the American Academy of Sleep Medicine, OSA syndrome is defined as an apnea-hypopnea index(AHI) $\geq 5$ events/h with associated symptoms (e.g. excessive daytime sleepiness, impaired cognition, mood disorders, or insomnia, or documented hypertension, ischaemic heart disease, or history of stroke) or an $\mathrm{AHI} \geq 15$ events/h, regardless of associated symptoms [2]. The reported prevalence of OSA varied from 7.8\% (Hong Kong) to 77.2\% (Malaysia) with an AHI $\geq 5$ events/h and from 4.8\% (Ireland and Israel) to 36.6\% (Switzerland) with an AHI $\geq 15$ events/h [3]. The prevalence of OSA is
disproportionately high in patients with cardiovascular disorders relative to the general population: hypertension (30-83\%), ischaemic heart disease (30-58\%), stroke (43-91\%), heart failure (12-53\%), and peripheral arterial disease (78-85\%) [4-7]. Untreated OSA was associated with increased risk for cardiovascular morbidities, including stroke, hypertension, heart failure, and coronary artery disease (CAD), and increased risk of cardiovascular mortality [8]. Moreover, according to our previous research, OSA was associated with worse cardiovascular outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndrome in a cohort study as well as in patients who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention as revealed in a systematic review and meta-analysis [9,10]. According to findings of a systematic review and meta-analysis, the use of continuous positive airway pressure in patients with CAD and OSA may help prevent subsequent cardiovascular events [11].

[^0]Scoring coronary artery calcification (CAC) using computed tomography is a non-invasive assessment for individuals at risk for coronary atherosclerosis, and its use is becoming increasingly widespread [12]. CAC score was reported to be a strong predictor of CAD, and the risk of coronary events was increased with an increase in stratum of CAC score with the largest increase in risk associated with CAC scores $>100$ [13,14]. Although no randomised clinical trial has yet evaluated the effect of treatment according to CAC score, a considerable number of epidemiological and observational studies have provided convincing data worthy of consideration as guidance in clinical deci-sion-making [12]. Furthermore, the use of CAC score to risk stratify asymptomatic patients has been considered appropriate/recommended by international guidelines; however, for symptomatic patients, the use of CAC score alone is limited [15].

An accumulating number of studies have investigated the link between OSA and subclinical coronary atherosclerosis assessed by CAC; however, the results have not been consistent. Some studies revealed that AHI was independently associated with CAC and that CAC score increased with OSA severity assessed by AHI, whereas other studies showed that the association was no longer significant after adjustment for possible confounders such as age, gender, and CAD risk factors [16-21]. The inconsistencies reflect the complex interactions between OSA, CAC, and traditional cardiovascular risk factors.

The purpose of the current systematic review and meta-analysis was to evaluate whether the presence of CAC and CAC score are associated with the presence of OSA using the AHI cut-off values of 5 and 15 events/h.

## Methods

## Search strategy

The study was conducted in accordance with the PRISMA guidelines [22]. Medline, Cochrane, and Google Scholar databases were searched up to April 29, 2020. The search terms used were: (obstructive sleep apnoea) OR (sleep characteristics) OR (sleep disturbance) OR (sleep disordered breathing); (coronary artery calcification) OR (coronary calcium) OR (coronary artery calcium); (subclinical coronary atherosclerosis) OR (subclinical coronary artery disease) OR (subclinical cardiovascular disease). The inclusion criteria were 1) clinical studies that included subjects with no known cardiovascular disease; 2) the severity of OSA was assessed by AHI ; 3) the rate of CAC presence and/or

CAC scores were reported; 4) English-language publications. Review articles, letters, books, commentaries, editorials, case reports, meeting proceedings, and personal communications were excluded. Studies designed for patients with end-stage renal disease or pulmonary fibrosis were also excluded.

A two-step process was used to screen the potential studies: (1) the title and abstract of each study was examined, and studies not meeting the inclusion criteria or meeting the exclusion criteria were discarded; (2) the full text of the remaining studies were examined for fulfilment of all inclusion criteria and none of the exclusion criteria. Two independent reviewers utilised the search strategy to identify eligible studies. A third reviewer was consulted if there was uncertainty regarding eligibility. The reference lists of relevant studies were searched manually to identify additional eligible studies.

## Data extraction

The following data were extracted from the included studies: name of the first author; year of publication; study design; number of participants in each group; participants' age, gender and body mass index (BMI);comorbidities including hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia; polysomnographic findings including AHI , oxygen saturation and oxygen desaturation index; and major outcomes of CAC presence rate and CAC score.

## Quality assessment

The quality of the included studies was assessed using the 11 items suggested by the U.S. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) for assessing the quality of cross-sectional studies [23].

## Statistical analysis

The clinical characteristics were summarised as mean$\pm$ standard deviation (SD) or median (interquartile, IQR) for continuous variables, and $n(\%)$ for categorical ones. For the primary outcome, the presence of CAC defined as CAC score $>0$ was summarised as $n(\%)$ according toOSA severity classification. For the secondary outcome, CAC score was summarised as mean $\pm$ SD, mean (IQR), median (IQR), or median (range) according to OSA severity classification. Classification ofOSA severity was defined based on AHI:normal ( $\mathrm{AHI}<5$ events $/ \mathrm{h}$ ), mild ( $\mathrm{AHI} \geq 5$ to $<15$ events/h), moderate (AHI $\geq 15$ to $<30$ events $/ h$ ), or
severe (AHI $\geq 30$ events/h) [2]. For meta-analysis, data were combined for two major comparisons: AHI $\geq 5$ (OSA group) vs. $<5$ (control group) events/h and $\geq 15$ (OSA group) vs. AHI $<15$ (control group) events/h. Moreover, the estimated sample mean and SD for CAC score were generated when data were presented with mean (IQR), median (IQR), or median (range) before proceeding with meta-analysis [24]. The measure of effect size for the presence of CAC was defined as odds ratio (OR) with $95 \%$ confidence interval (CI) and $p$ value, and a combined effect was calculated thereby among those studies with complete measurements. An OR $>1$ indicated the rate of CAC presence was higher in the OSA group than in the control group, an $\mathrm{OR}<1$ indicated the rate of CAC presence was lower in the OSA group than in the control group, and anORof1 indicated the rate of CAC presence was similar between groups.

The measure of effect size for CAC score was defined as difference in means of CAC score between groups with $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ and $p$ value, and a combined effect was calculated thereby among those studies with complete measurements. For effect size, a difference in means $>0$ indicated the OSA group might have higher mean CAC score than the control group; a difference in means $<0$ indicated the OSA group might have lower mean CAC score than the control group; and a difference in means of 0 indicated CAC score was similar between groups.

Study heterogeneity was presented using a $\chi^{2}$ based Cochran's $Q$ statistic and $I^{2}$ statistic [25]. For the $Q$ statistic, a corresponding $p$ value of $<.10$ was considered statistically significant for heterogeneity. For the $I^{2}$ statistic, heterogeneity was assessed as follows: no heterogeneity ( $I^{2}=0-25 \%$ ), moderate heterogeneity ( $\left.I^{2}=25-50 \%\right)$, large heterogeneity ( $I^{2}=50-75 \%$ ), and extreme heterogeneity $\left(I^{2}=75-100 \%\right)$. If $p$ value was $<0.10$ for $\chi^{2}$-based Cochran's $Q$ statistic or if $I^{2}>50 \%$, a random-effect model was considered to estimate the pooled effect; otherwise, a fixed-effect model was performed [26]. A two-sided $p$ value of $<.05$ was considered significant. A sensitivity analysis was conducted using a leave-one-out approach. Publication bias was not assessed due to the small number of included studies [27]. A subgroup analysis was performed to calculate the pooled effect in patients monitored with home sleep apnoea testing (HSAT) or in-hospital/laboratory polysomnography (PSG). Moreover, pairwise comparisons among OSA severity groups were performed to evaluate the association of the presence of CAC and CAC score with OSA severity. All statistical analyses were performed
using the statistical software Comprehensive MetaAnalysis, version 2.0 (Biostat, Englewood, NJ).

## Results

## Search results

The flow diagram of study selection is shown in Figure 1. A total of 3325 articles were initially screened using titles and abstracts, of which 3259 were excluded. After full-text review of the remaining of 66 articles, 53 studies were excluded, and the reasons for exclusion are shown in Figure 1. Therefore, 13 articles were included in the systematic review [16-20,28-35]. Subjects in Matthews et al. [35], Luyster et al. [34], and Shipilsky et al. [30] were recruited from the Heart Strategies Concentrating on Risk Evaluation (HeartSCORE) study; among these, Shipilsky et al. [30] was the only study not included in the meta-analysis. Seo et al. [19] and Hamaoka et al. [31] reported data in a form that could not be pooled with data from the other studies. Ultimately, 10 studies were included in the meta-analysis.

## Characteristics of included studies

The characteristics of the included studies are summarised in Table 1. 12 studies were cross-sectional in design. Overall, 6620 subjects were included, with mean age ranging from 46 to 69.1 years and proportion of males ranging from 0 to $92.8 \%$. Mean BMI ranged from 23.8 to $35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Reported comorbidities and polysomnographic recordings are also summarized in Table 1. Overall, the percentage of patients with hypertension ranged from 21 to $95 \%$. The percentage of patients with diabetes ranged from 4 to $43.2 \%$. Included inthe10studiescomprising the metaanalysis were 2,450 patients without OSA $(\mathrm{AHI}<5)$ and 3,677 patients with mild to severe OSA (AHI $\geq 5$ ). In terms of OSA severity, 4,406 patients had no to mild OSA ( $\mathrm{AHI}<15$ ) and 1,456 patients had moderate to severe OSA ( $\mathrm{AHI} \geq 15$ ). Table 2 summarizes the rate of CAC presence and CAC score in each OSA severity group.

## Meta-analysis

Association of OSA severity and presence of CAC
Six studies with complete data on CAC presenc efor the comparison between $\mathrm{AHI} \geq 5$ (OSA group) and AHI $<5$ (control group) events/h were included for metaanalysis $[16,18,28,29,33,34]$. The rate of CAC presence ranged from 21 to $67.2 \%$ in the control group and


Figure 1. Flow diagram of study selection.
from 29.4 to $78 \%$ in the OSA group. A random-effect model was applied according to the results of heterogeneity tests ( Q -value $=11.50, \mathrm{df}=5, p$ value $=.042$, $P^{2}=56.51 \%$. The combined effect revealed that patients in the OSA group might have a higher rate of CAC presence compared with those in the control group (pooled $\mathrm{OR}=1.896,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=1.423-2.526, p$ value $<.001$ ) (Figure 2(A)).

Four studies with complete data on the presence of CAC for the comparison of $\mathrm{AHI} \geq 15$ (OSA group) and $\mathrm{AHI}<15$ (control group) events/h were included for meta-analysis [16,28,33,34]. The rate of CAC presence
ranged from 20 to $70.2 \%$ in the control group and from 36.3 to $84.0 \%$ in the OSA group. A fixed-effect model was applied according to results of heterogeneity tests ( Q -value $=3.17$, $\mathrm{df}=3, p$ value $=.365$, l-square $=5.54 \%$ ). The combined effect revealed that patients in the OSA group might have a higher rate of CAC presence compared with those in the control group(pooled $\mathrm{OR}=1.763,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=1.501$ to 2.071 , $p$ value $<.001$ ) (Figure 2(B)).

Results of the subgroup analyses showed that regardless of the cut-off value of AHI (5 or 15 events/ h) used, the OSA group might have a higher rate of
Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics for enrolled studies.

| First author (published year) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Study } \\ & \text { design } \end{aligned}$ | Trial name | Country | Ethnicity | OSA severity | $\begin{gathered} \text { Assessment } \\ \text { of OSA } \end{gathered}$ | Number of patients | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { (Mean } \pm \text { SD years }) \end{gathered}$ | Sex, Males <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { BMI } \\ (\text { Mean } \pm \text { SD } \\ \left.\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kim (2020) | CS | KoGES | Korea | - | Normal | HSAT | 1096 | $58.8 \pm 6.0$ | 456 (42) | $23.8 \pm 2.6$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mild |  | 700 | $60.8 \pm 6.8$ | 349 (50) | $25.2 \pm 2.9$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Moderate to Severe |  | 361 | $62.1 \pm 7.6$ | 246 (68) | $26.1 \pm 3.3$ |
| Bikov (2019) | CS | part of participants were selected from the BUDAPEST-GLOBAL | Hungary | - | Normal | In-hospital PSG | 19 | $56 \pm 9$ | 3 (16) | $26.3 \pm 3.8$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mild, Moderate to Severe |  | 44 | $62 \pm 10$ | 24 (55) | $29.4 \pm 5.7$ |
| Shipilsky (2018) | CS | Heart SCORE | USA | black (37\%) | Normal/Mild | HSAT (ApneaLink) | 561 | $58 \pm 7$ | 159 (28) | $30 \pm 6$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Moderate to Severe |  | 204 | $61 \pm 7$ | 108 (53) | $31 \pm 6$ |
| Hamaoka (2018) | CS |  | Japan | - | Mild to Moderate | In-laboratory PSG | 15 | $61.3 \pm 12.4$ | 11 (73.3) | $26.3 \pm 5.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Severe |  | 17 | $65.0 \pm 9.2$ | 15 (88.2) | $26.2 \pm 5.3$ |
| Seo (2017) | CS |  | Korea | - |  | In-hospital PSG | 64 | $55.08 \pm 7.65$ | 428 (92.8) | $25.57 \pm 3.01$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mild |  | $121$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Moderate |  | 123 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Severe |  | 153 |  |  |  |
| Medeiros (2017) | CS |  | Brazil | - | Normal | In-laboratory PSG | 132 | 55 (51-59) ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 0 (0) | $\begin{aligned} & 27(24-29)^{\#} \\ & 29(25-34)^{*} \\ & 32(29-35)^{\#} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mild |  | 61 | $59(54-62)^{\text {\# }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Moderate to Severe |  | 21 | 58 (53-63) ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ |  |  |
| Lutsey (2015) | CS | MESA | USA | non-Hispanic African American (28\%), Chinese (12\%), Caucasian (38\%) or Hispanic (22\%) | Normal | HSAT | 510 | $66.9 \pm 9.0$ | 165 (32) | $26.6 \pm 5.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mild |  | $478$ | $68.9 \pm 9.2$ | $214 \text { (45) }$ | $28.9 \pm 5.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Moderate |  | 263 | $69.1 \pm 9.2$ | 155 (59) | $29.6 \pm 5.3$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Severe |  | 214 | $67.9 \pm 9.0$ | 136 (64) | $31.7 \pm 6.0$ |
| Luyster (2014) | CS | Heart SCORE | USA | European American: 156 (62\%); <br> African American: 96 (38\%) | Normal | HSAT (ApneaLink) | 61 | $59.1 \pm 7.8$ | 29 (48) | $29.0 \pm 5.8$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mild |  | 97 | $60.9 \pm 7.6$ | 48 (49) | $29.9 \pm 4.5$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Moderate to Severe |  | 94 | $62.0 \pm 6.5$ | 64 (68) | $30.4 \pm 5.0$ |
| Arik (2013) | Pro |  | Turkey | - | Normal | In-hospital PSG | 16 | $50 \pm 10$ | 9 (56) | $28.2 \pm 4.8$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mild |  | 14 | $48 \pm 9$ | 6 (43) | $30.9 \pm 6.4$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Moderate |  | 19 | $47 \pm 9$ | 13 (68) | $28.4 \pm 4.8$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Severe |  | 24 | $52 \pm 10$ | 15 (63) | $32.2 \pm 4.1$ |
| Weinreich (2013) | CS | Nixdorf Recall | German | - | Normal (M) | HSAT (ApneaLink) | 209 | $61.9 \pm 6.9$ | 209 (100) | $27.6 \pm 3.6$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mild (M) |  | 327 | $63.4 \pm 7.4$ | 327 (100) | $28.4 \pm 3.5$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Moderate (M) |  | 176 | $65.1 \pm 7.3$ | 176 (100) | $28.6 \pm 3.7$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Severe (M) |  | 79 | $65.9 \pm 7.7$ | 79 (100) | $30.2 \pm 3.9$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Normal (F) |  | 342 | $60.8 \pm 6.8$ | 0 (0) | $26.2 \pm 4.2$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mild (F) |  | 324 | $64.6 \pm 7.2$ | 0 (0) | $27.7 \pm 4.6$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Moderate (F) |  | 112 | $66.1 \pm 7.4$ | 0 (0) | $28.8 \pm 5.1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Severe (F) |  | 35 | $68.5 \pm 5.7$ | 0 (0) | $30.9 \pm 4.1$ |
| Matthews (2011) | CS | HeartSCORE $\geq$ SleepSCORE |  | African American: 97; Caucasian: 123; Asian: 4 | Normal | HSAT | 63 | N/A | 113 (57) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mild to Moderate |  | 134 | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Severe |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| Kepez (2011) | CS |  | Turkey | - | Normal | In-hospital PSG | 17 | $46.60 \pm 4.59$ | 10 (58.8) | $28.25 \pm 4.04$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mild |  | 22 | $46.56 \pm 9.54$ | 16 (72.7) | $27.61 \pm 3.09$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Moderate |  | 21 | $52.17 \pm 7.20$ | 16 (76.2) | $29.18 \pm 3.28$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Severe |  | 37 | $49.85 \pm 10.60$ | 22 (59.5) | $30.99 \pm 4.43$ |
| Sorajja (2008) | CS |  | USA | - | Normal | In-hospital PSG | 48 | mean $=46$ | 30 (63) | mean $=31$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mild, Moderate to Severe |  | 154 | mean $=51$ | 111 (72) | mean $=35$ |

[^1]Table 1. (Continued).

| First author (published year) | OSA severity | Hypertension, $n$ (\%) | Diabetes, $n$ (\%) | Dyslipidemia $n$ (\%) | AHI, Mean $\pm$ SD event/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \mathrm{SaO}_{2} \\ \text { Mean } \pm \mathrm{SD} \% \end{gathered}$ | Lowest $\mathrm{SaO}_{2}$ <br> Mean $\pm$ SD \% | Oxygen desaturation index Mean $\pm$ SD event/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kim (2020) | Normal | 374 (34.1) | 281 (25.6) | N/A | $2.1 \pm 1.4$ | $95.9 \pm 1.1$ | $89.6 \pm 5.3$ | $1.9 \pm 0.3$ |
|  | Mild | 353 (50.4) | 227 (32.4) | N/A | $8.7 \pm 2.7$ | $95.2 \pm 1.2$ | $85.1 \pm 4.7$ | $7.9 \pm 2.9$ |
|  | Moderate to Severe | 226 (62.6) | 156 (43.2) | N/A | $26.1 \pm 12.1$ | $94.4 \pm 1.5$ | $80.4 \pm 6.7$ | $24.0 \pm 11.6$ |
| Bikov (2019) | Normal | 12 (63) | 3 (16) | 10 (53) | $1.8 \pm 1.1$ | N/A | $89.8 \pm 3.1$ | $1.6 \pm 1.1$ |
|  | Mild, Moderate to Severe | 34 (77) | 8 (18) | 22 (50) | $18.8 \pm 16.3$ | N/A | $83.9 \pm 3.9$ | $15.8 \pm 15.9$ |
| Shipilsky (2018) | Normal/Mild | 199 (36) | 43 (8) | N/A | $5.9 \pm 3.8$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Moderate to Severe | 104 (51) | 22 (11) | N/A | $26.1 \pm 12.0$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Hamaoka (2018) | Mild to Moderate | 5 (30.0) | 2 (13.3) | 3 (20.0) | $20.7 \pm 8.4$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Severe | 9 (52.9) | 4 (23.5) | 5 (29.4) | $45.2 \pm 11.9$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Seo (2017) | Normal | 205 (44.5) | 62 (13.4) | 268 (58.1) | $25.46 \pm 21.10$ | N/A | $83.56 \pm 7.03$ | N/A |
|  | Mild |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Moderate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Severe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medeiros (2017) | Normal | 92 (70) | 32 (24) | 94 (77) | 1.9 (0.5-3.3) ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 96 (96-98) ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 91 (89-93) ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | N/A |
|  | Mild | 50 (82) | 15 (25) | 45 (75) | 8.3 (6.0-11.1) ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 96 (95-96) ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 85 (82-88) ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | N/A |
|  | Moderate to Severe | 20 (95) | 6 (29) | 14 (70) | 16.9 (15.4-24.5) ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | $95(94-96)^{\# \prime}$ | $80(75-84)^{\text {\# }}$ | N/A |
| Lutsey (2015) | Normal | N/A | 71 (14.0) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Mild | N/A | 90 (19.0) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Moderate | N/A | 63 (24.1) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Severe | N/A | 51 (23.8) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Luyster (2014) | Normal | 43 (71) | 10 (16) | 45 (77) | $2.7 \pm 1.1$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Mild | 65 (67) | 22 (23) | 81 (83) | $9.0 \pm 2.7$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Moderate to Severe | 71 (75) | 19 (20) | 65 (69) | $26.9 \pm 12.3$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Arik (2013) | Normal | 6 (38) | 4 (25) | 6 (38) | $2.4 \pm 1.1$ | N/A | $94 \pm 6$ | $0.7 \pm 2.7$ |
|  | Mild | 5 (36) | 2 (14) | 3 (21) | $8.0 \pm 2.0$ | N/A | $87 \pm 10$ | $2.7 \pm 3.2$ |
|  | Moderate | 4 (21) | 6 (32) | 3 (16) | $22.5 \pm 4.3$ | N/A | $77 \pm 12$ | $5.1 \pm 3.3$ |
|  | Severe | 12 (50) | 9 (38) | 9 (38) | $45.3 \pm 16.3$ | N/A | $71 \pm 12$ | $14.6 \pm 19.7$ |
| Weinreich (2013) | Normal (M) | 123 (58.9) | 27 (12.9) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Mild (M) | 230 (70.3) | 42 (12.8) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Moderate (M) | 125 (71) | 26 (14.7) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Severe (M) | 63 (79.8) | 8 (10.10) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Normal (F) | 149 (43.6) | 30 (8.8) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Mild (F) | 190 (58.6) | 30 (9.3) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Moderate (F) | 69 (61.6) | 13 (11.6) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Severe (F) | 25 (71.4) | 5 (14.3) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Matthews (2011) | Normal | 94 (42.0) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Mild to Moderate |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Severe |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Kepez (2011) | Normal | 4 (23.5) | 2 (11.8) | 2 (12.5) | N/A | $94.30 \pm 1.20$ | $94.34 \pm 1.32$ | 0.00 (1.00) ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ |
|  | Mild | 7 (31.8) | 2 (9.1) | 6 (27.3) | N/A | $94.12 \pm 1.63$ | $94.45 \pm 1.53$ | $2.00(2.25)^{\text {\# }}$ |
|  | Moderate | 7 (33.3) | 2 (9.5) | 5 (23.8) | N/A | $93.04 \pm 1.20$ | $93.58 \pm 1.41$ | 4.00 (7.00) ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ |
|  | Severe | 15 (40.5) | 7 (18.9) | 11 (29.7) | N/A | $91.20 \pm 3.40$ | $92.38 \pm 2.48$ | 13.00 (24.75) ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ |
| Soraja (2008) | Normal | 20 (40) | 2 (4) | 23 (48) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Mild, Moderate to Severe | 76 (49) | 14 (9) | 99 (64) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

OSA severity group was defined according to apnoea-hypopnea index (AHI): normal (AHI $<5$ events/h), mild (AHI $\geq 5$ to $<15$ events/h), moderate (AHI $\geq 15$ to $<30$ events $/ \mathrm{h}$ ), or severe (AHI $\geq 30$ events/h). AHI: apnoea-hypopnea index; BMI: body mass index; F : female; M : male; $\mathrm{SaO}_{2}$ : oxygen saturation; NA : not available; OSA: obstructive sleep apnoea.
"median (IQR).

| Study name $1^{\text {st }}$ author (year) | Odds <br> ratio | Lower limit | Upper limit | Z-value | p-Value |  | Odds ratio and 95\%CI |  |  |  | Relative weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kim (2020) | 1.424 | 1.174 | 1.729 | 3.58 | <. 001 |  |  |  |  |  | 33.59 |
| Bikov (2019) | 2.917 | 0.791 | 10.761 | 1.61 | 0.108 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.31 |
| Lutsey (2015) | 1.811 | 1.451 | 2.261 | 5.25 | <. 001 |  |  |  |  |  | 32.06 |
| Luyster (2014) | 1.731 | 0.917 | 3.265 | 1.69 | 0.090 |  |  |  |  |  | 13.49 |
| Arik (2013) | 2.029 | 0.582 | 7.078 | 1.11 | 0.267 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.66 |
| Soraja (2008) | 4.443 | 2.214 | 8.916 | 4.20 | <. 001 |  |  |  |  |  | 11.89 |
| Pooled | 1.896 | 1.423 | 2.526 | 4.37 | <. 001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 | 0.1 | 1 | 10 | 100 |  |
| Heterogeneity test: |  |  |  |  |  |  | HI < |  | $\geqq$ |  |  |

$Q$-value $=11.498, \mathrm{df}=5, \mathrm{p}$-value $=0.042, \mathrm{I}$-square $=56.51 \%$
(B)

| Study name $1^{\text {st }}$ author (year) | Odds ratio | Lower <br> limit | Upper limit | Z-value | p-Value |  | Odds ratio and 95\%CI |  |  |  | Relative weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kim (2020) | 1.815 | 1.427 | 2.308 | 4.86 | <. 001 |  |  |  |  |  | 44.85 |
| Lutsey (2015) | 1.602 | 1.266 | 2.027 | 3.92 | <. 001 |  |  |  |  |  | 46.75 |
| Luyster (2014) | 2.230 | 1.165 | 4.267 | 2.42 | 0.015 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.16 |
| Arik (2013) | 3.818 | 1.302 | 11.199 | 2.44 | 0.015 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.24 |
| Pooled | 1.763 | 1.501 | 2.071 | 6.90 | <. 001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 | 0.1 | 1 | 10 | 100 |  |
| Heterogeneity test: |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}<$ |  | $\geqq$ |  |  |

Q-value $=3.176, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{p}$-value $=0.365, \mathrm{l}$-square $=5.54 \%$

Figure 2. Forest plot comparing presence of CAC between (A) AHI $\geq 5$ vs. $<5$ events $/ \mathrm{h}$ and (B) AHI $\geq 15$ vs. $<15$ events $/ \mathrm{h}$. Abbreviations: $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}, 95 \%$ confidence interval of odds ratio (lower limit and upper limit).

CAC presence than the control group in patients monitored with either HSAT or in-hospital/laboratory PSG (all ORs $>1 ; p$ values $<.001$ ). The pooled result of in-hospital/laboratory PSG with regard to AHI $\geq 15$ vs. $<15$ events/h was not assessed because only one study reported such data. Pair-wise comparison showed that the association between the presence of CAC and OSA severity was significant in patients with mild and moderate OSA compared to patients without OSA (all ORs $>1 ; p$ values $\leq .001$ ). However, the significance was not observed in patients with moderate-tosevere and severe OSA compared to patients without OSA (Table 3).

## Association of OSA severity and CAC score

Six studies with complete data on CAC score for the comparison of $\mathrm{AHI} \geq 5$ (OSA group) and $\mathrm{AHI}<5$ (control group)events/h were included for meta-analysis
[16,17,20,28,29,34]. Mean CAC scores ranged from 0 to 20.9 in the control group and from 0 to 137.4 in the OSA group. A fixed-effect model was applied according to results of heterogeneity tests ( Q -value $=8.681$, $\mathrm{df}=5, p$ value $=.122$, l-square $=42.40 \%$ ). The combined effect showed that the mean CAC score was higher in OSA group than in control group (difference in means of CAC score $=42.64,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=35.34$ to 49.94, $p$ value $<.001$ ) (Figure 3(A)).

Five studies with complete data on CAC score for the comparison of $\mathrm{AHI} \geq 15$ (OSA group) and $\mathrm{AHI}<15$ (control group) events/h were included for meta-analysis [16,17,20,28,34]. Mean CAC scores ranged from 0 to 34.8 in the control group and from 0 to 96.8 in the OSA group. A random-effect model was applied according to results of heterogeneity tests ( Q -value $=$ $10.644, \mathrm{df}=4, p$ value $=.031$, l -square $=62.42 \%$ ). The combined effect showed that mean CAC score was

Table 2. Summary of primary and secondary outcomes based on OSA severity.


OSA severity group was defined according to apnoea-hypopnea index (AHI): normal ( $\mathrm{AHI}<5$ events $/ \mathrm{h}$ ), mild ( $\mathrm{AHI} \geq 5$ to $<15$ events/h), moderate (AHI $\geq 15$ to $<30$ events/h), or severe (AHI $\geq 30$ events/h).
CAC: coronary artery calcification; F: female; M: male; NA: not available; OSA: obstructive sleep apnoea.
CAC score was represented as Mean $\pm$ SD.
*mean (IQR); ${ }^{\dagger}$ median (range); ${ }^{\text {\# median (IQR). }}$
higher in the OSA group than in the control group (difference in means of CAC score $=40.67,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=$ 13.25 to $68.10, p$ value $=.004$ ) (Figure 3(B)).

Results of the subgroup analyses suggest that the OSA group might have higher mean CAC score than the control group in patients monitored with either HSAT or in-hospital/laboratory PSG (all pooled effect, differences in means $>0$ ); however, the significance was not observed in the comparison of AHI $\geq 5$ vs.
$<5$ events/h in patients using HSAT. Pair-wise comparison showed that the pooled effect was significantly different for comparisons between moderate, severe, and moderate-to-severe OSA vs. no OSA (differences in means $=46.19,86.21$, and 45.51; $p=.003,<0.001$, and 0.004, respectively); however, there was no significant difference between mild OSA and no OSA (difference in means $=11.63$, $p=.241$ ) (Table 3).

Table 3. Subgroup analysis and pair-wise comparison of presence of CAC and CAC score.

| Comparisons | Pooled statistics | Number of studies | Heterogeneity test |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Presence of CAC |  |  |  |
| Subgroup analysis |  |  |  |
| HSAT |  |  |  |
| AHI $\geq 5$ vs. $<5$ | $\mathrm{OR}=1.59,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(1.38,1.83), p<.001$ | 3 | Q -value $=2.633, p$ value $=.268, I^{2}=24.03 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{AHI} \geq 15$ vs. $<15$ | $\mathrm{OR}=1.73,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(1.47,2.04), p<.001$ | 3 | Q -value $=1.149, p$ value $=.563, I^{2}=0 \%$ |
| In-hospital/laboratory PSG |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{AH} I \geq 5$ vs. $<5$ | $\mathrm{OR}=3.54,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(2.04,6.14), p<.001$ | 3 | Q -value $=1.255, p$ value $=.534, I^{2}=0 \%$ |
| Pair-wise Comparison |  |  |  |
| Mild vs. normal | $\mathrm{OR}=1.35,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(1.14,1.58), p<.001$ | 4 | Q -value $=4.411, p$ value $=.220, I^{2}=31.98 \%$ |
| Moderate vs. normal | $\mathrm{OR}=1.69,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(1.25,2.29), p=0.001$ | 2 | Q -value $=0.002, p$ value $=.964, I^{2}=0 \%$ |
| Severe vs. normal | $\mathrm{OR}=0.81,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(0.05,12.35, p=0.879$ | 3 | Q -value $=84.315, p$ value $<.001, \mathrm{I}^{2}=97.63 \%$ |
| Moderate to severe vs. normal | $\mathrm{O}=1.56,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(0.64,3.81), p=0.329$ | 4 | Q -value $=53.489, p$ value $<.001, l^{2}=94.39 \%$ |
| CAC score |  |  |  |
| Subgroup analysis |  |  |  |
| HSAT |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{AHI} \mathrm{\geq} \geq 5$ vs. $<5$ | diff in means $=26.13,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(-6.67,58.93), p=.118$ | 3 | Q -value $=6.558, p$ value $=.038, I^{2}=69.50 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{AH} / \geq 15$ vs. $<15$ | diff in means $=42.39,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(2.64,82.15), p=.037$ | 3 | Q -value $=7.099, p$ value $=.029, I^{2}=71.83 \%$ |
| In-hospital/laboratory PSG |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{AH} / \geq 5$ vs. $<5$ | diff in means $=51.39,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(9.67,93.11), p=.016$ | 3 | Q -value $=1.949, p$ value $=.344, I^{2}=0 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{AH} / \geq 15$ vs. $<15$ | diff in means $=35.25,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(1.06,69.44), p=.043$ | 2 | Q -value $=0.848, p$ value $=0.357, I^{2}=0 \%$ |
| Pair-wise Comparison |  |  |  |
| Mild vs. normal | diff in means $=11.633,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(-7.795,31.060), p=.241$ | 5 | Q -value $=43.991, p$ value $<.001, \mathrm{I}^{2}=90.91 \%$ |
| Moderate vs. normal | diff in means $=46.194,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(15.708,76.679), p=.003$ | 3 | Q -value $=7.324, p$ value $=.026, I^{2}=72.69 \%$ |
| Severe vs. normal | diff in means $=86.211,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(45.152,127.269), p<.001$ | 3 | Q -value $=4.071, p$ value $=.131, I^{2}=50.871 \%$ |
| Moderate to severe vs. normal | diff in means $=45.512,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(15.351,81.672), p=.004$ | 5 | Q -value $=10.266, p$ value $=.036, I^{2}=61.04 \%$ |

OSA severity group was defined according to apnoea-hypopnea index (AHI): normal (AHI $<5$ events $/ \mathrm{h}$ ), mild ( $\mathrm{AHI} \geq 5$ to $<15$ events $/ \mathrm{h}$ ), moderate (AHI $\geq 15$ to $<30$ events $/ h$ ), or severe (AHI $\geq 30$ events $/ h$ ).
$\overline{C A C}$ : coronary artery calcification; diff: difference; HSAT: home sleep apnoea testing; PSG: polysomnography; OR: odds ratio.

## Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analyses were performed for the primary and secondary outcomes using a leave-one-out approach. The direction and magnitude of the combined estimates for the presence of CAC (Figure 4) and CAC score in AHI $<15$ vs. AHI $\geq 15$ events/h (Figure $5(\mathrm{~B})$ ) did not markedly differ with the removal of any one study, indicating that the meta-analysis had good reliability and that the data was not overly influenced by any given study. Pooled difference in means of CAC score in $\mathrm{AHI} \geq 5$ vs. AHI $<5$ events/h was still $>0$ despite that the difference in means of CAC score became borderline significant after the removal of Weinreich et al. [17], indicating no obvious influence on the pooled estimate (Figure 5(A)).

## Quality assessment

The quality of the included studies was evaluated using the ARHQ methodology checklist, and the results are shown in Table 4. All of the included studies reported the source of data, patient inclusion and exclusion criteria, and summarised patient response; however, the completeness of data collection was unclear. Most of the included studies indicated whether participants were consecutive and how confounding was assessed.

## Discussion

The current systematic review and meta-analysis showed that irrespective of the cut-off value of $\mathrm{AHI}(5$ or 15 events $/ \mathrm{h}$ ), patients in the OSA group had higher rate of CAC presence and mean CAC score than those in the control group. These findings suggest that regardless of the cut-off value of AHI, OSA may be associated with risk of subclinical CAD. However, the pooled results in the current study must be interpreted cautiously due to lack of adjustment for confounding factors. The subgroup analyses showed that the OSA group had higher rates of CAC presence and mean CAC scores than the control group in patients monitored with HSAT or in-hospital/laboratory PSG, with the exception of the comparison of mean CAC scores using AHI cut-off of 5events/h in patients using HSAT, which was not significant. Pair-wise comparison showed that presence of CAC was associated with mild and moderate OSA but not with severe OSA. Mean CAC scores were significantly higher in patients with moderate and severe OSA than in patients without OSA, suggesting that CAC score increases with OSA severity. Since some analyses included a small number of studies ( $<3$ studies), further studies are needed to confirm the results.

Although the present study showed that presence of OSA was associated with presence and extent of
(A)

| Study name $1^{\text {st }}$ author (year) | Difference in means | Lower limit | Upper <br> limit | Z-value | p-Value |  | Difference in means and 95\%Cl |  |  |  | Relative weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kim (2020) | 0.000 | -34.627 | 34.627 | 0.000 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.44 |
| Bikov (2019) | 116.500 | 15.185 | 217.815 | 2.254 | 0.024 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.52 |
| Luster (2014) | 22.490 | -36.816 | 81.796 | 0.743 | 0.457 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.52 |
| Weinreich (2013) | 44.760 | 37.106 | 52.414 | 11.462 | <. 001 |  |  |  |  |  | 90.98 |
| Arik (2013) | 34.850 | -21.331 | 91.031 | 1.216 | 0.224 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.69 |
| Kepez (2011) | 44.520 | -34.443 | 123.483 | 1.105 | 0.269 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.85 |
| Pooled | 42.636 | 35.336 | 49.936 | 11.447 | <. 001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -300.00 | -150.00 | 0.00 | 150.00 | 300.00 |  |
| Heterogeneity test: |  |  |  |  |  |  | AHI $<5$ |  | AHI $\geqq$ |  |  |

$Q$-value $=8.681, d f=5, p$-value $=0.122, \mathrm{l}$-square $=42.40 \%$
(B)
Heterogeneity test:

| 0.000 | -49.305 | 49.305 | 0.000 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  | 16.61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46.020 | -6.526 | 98.566 | 1.717 | 0.086 |  |  |  |  |  | 15.48 |
| 65.160 | 59.858 | 70.462 | 24.089 | <. 001 |  |  |  |  |  | 35.38 |
| 45.810 | 4.897 | 86.723 | 2.195 | 0.028 |  |  |  |  |  | 19.94 |
| 10.820 | -51.410 | 73.050 | 0.341 | 0.733 |  |  |  |  |  | 12.60 |
| 40.673 | 13.250 | 68.096 | 2.907 | 0.004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | -300.00 | -150.00 | 0.00 | 150.00 | $\xrightarrow{300.00}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{HI}<15$ |  | $\mathrm{HI} \geqq$ |  |  |

$Q$-value $=10.644, \mathrm{df}=4, \mathrm{p}$-value $=0.031, \mathrm{l}$-square $=62.42 \%$

Figure 3. Forest plot comparing CAC scores between (A) AHI $\geq 5$ vs. $<5$ events $/ \mathrm{h}$ and (B) $\mathrm{AHI} \geq 15 \mathrm{vs} .<15 \mathrm{events} / \mathrm{h}$. Abbreviations: $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}, 95 \%$ confidence interval of difference in means (lower limit and upper limit).

CAC, other cardiovascular risk factors such age, gender, BMI, diabetes, and hypertension were not considered in the meta-analysis. With regard to age, Arik et al. reported that age and AHI were independent predictors of CAC in patients with OSA [16]. The optimal cut-off values to predict CAC were age $>45$ years and $\mathrm{AHI}>16$ events/h with a sensitivity of $88.9 \%$ and $77.8 \%$ and a specificity of $54.3 \%$ and $56.5 \%$, respectively, and the combination of $\mathrm{AHI}>16$ and age $>45$ had increased specificity (70.6\%) and unchanged sensitivity (87\%) for predicting subclinical atherosclerosis [16]. Patient age in most of the studies included in the meta-analysis was greater than 45 years, except in Arik et al. [16] Kepez et al. [20] and Sorajja et al. [18], which may have been reflected in the wide error bar of calculated OR in the analysis of CAC presence in Arik et al. (Figure 2(B)). With regard to sex, Medeiros et al. demonstrated that there was an independent
association with moderate to severe OSA (AHI $\geq 15$ events $/ \mathrm{h}$ ) and the presence of CAC in middle-aged women [32]. Similarly, Weinreich et al. showed that the severity of OSA was independently associated CAC score in women of any age, whereas the association was only observed in men aged $\leq 65$ years [17]. In contrast, Seo et al. recruited predominantly male (92.8\%) subjects who were aged <65years, and the results showed that AHI and moderate to severe OSA were not associated with the presence of CAC after adjustment for BMI [19]. A similar study conducted by Kim et al. recruited middle aged-men (40-49 years old) who were classified into AHI severity quartiles [21]. The results also showed that the association between AHI in the fourth quartile and CAC was no longer significant after further adjustment for BMI. The inconsistency of results from the Seo et al. [19] and Kim et al. [21] studies may be the result of enrolment
(A)

(B)

| Removed study name $1^{\text {st }}$ author (year) | Statistics with study removed |  |  |  |  | Odds ratio and 95\% Cl with study removed |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Odds ratio | Lower limit | Upper limit | Z-value | p-Value |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kim (2020) | 1.722 | 1.386 | 2.139 | 4.91 | <. 001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lutsey (2015) | 1.918 | 1.538 | 2.391 | 5.78 | <. 001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Luyster (2014) | 1.736 | 1.470 | 2.050 | 6.51 | <. 001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arik (2013) | 1.732 | 1.472 | 2.038 | 6.61 | <. 001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pooled | 1.763 | 1.501 | 2.071 | 6.90 | <. 001 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 | 0.1 | 1 | 10 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | H < |  | $\mathrm{HI} \geqq$ |  |

Figure 4. Sensitivity analyses of presence of CAC between (A) AHI $\geq 5$ vs. $<5$ events $/ \mathrm{h}$ and (B) AHI $\geq 15$ vs. $<15$ events $/ \mathrm{h}$. Abbreviations: $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}, 95 \%$ confidence interval of odds ratio (lower limit and upper limit).
of only Asian patients $[36,37]$ and the lower prevalence of hypertension in these studies [38]. Moreover, with regard to BMI, it was reported that there was an independent association between $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 23.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and CAC regardless of OSA severity [21]. Similar results were also found among middle-aged men and women in a study published by Luyster et al., which showed that among subjects with $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, OSA severity was not associated with the presence of CAC [34]. In addition, Matthews et al. reported that such association became nonsignificant after adjusting for BMI in middle-aged men and women [35]. These findings suggest that obesity is a confounder of the association between OSA and subclinical CAD. Further research is warranted to confirm this finding and to identify other possible confounders.

Although the current study showed that patients with OSA had higher mean CAC score than those without OSA, CAC scores were presented differently among studies, including as mean $\pm$ SD, mean (IQR), median (IQR), or median (range), and the reported SD, $I Q R$, or range were extremely large (Table 2). This indicates that the distribution of CAC score within each OSA severity group was heterogeneous. Moreover, calculation of mean and SD from mean and IQR, median and IQR, or median and range may result in deviation from the variance of the data, which could introduce bias in the pooling. In addition, the correlation of AHI and CAC score was uncertain. A weak ( $r=0.342$, $p=.003$ ) or even non-linear ( $r=0.02, p=.90$ ) correlation was found between AHI values and CAC score $[16,31]$. These results suggest that CAC may be more

| Statistics with study removed |  |  |  |  |  | Difference in means and $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ with study removed |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Removed study name $1^{\text {st }}$ author (year) | Difference in means | Lower limit | Upper limit | Z-value | p-Value |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kim (2020) | 44.619 | 37.151 | 52.088 | 11.710 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bikov (2019) | 42.251 | 34.931 | 49.570 | 11.314 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Luster (2014) | 42.946 | 35.590 | 50.302 | 11.442 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weinreich (2013) | 21.220 | -3.083 | 45.524 | 1.711 | 0.087 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arik (2013) | 42.770 | 35.407 | 50.133 | 11.385 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kepez (2011) | 42.620 | 35.288 | 49.952 | 11.393 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pooled | 42.636 | 35.336 | 49.936 | 11.447 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -300.00 | -150.00 | 0.00 | 150.00 | 300.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AHI < 5 |  |  | AHI $\geqq$ |  |

(B)

Statistics with study removed


Figure 5. Sensitivity analysis of CAC score between (A) AHI $\geq 5 \mathrm{vs} .<5$ events $/ \mathrm{h}$ and (B) $\mathrm{AHI} \geq 15 \mathrm{vs} .<15 \mathrm{events} / \mathrm{h}$. Abbreviations: $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}, 95 \%$ confidence interval of difference in means (lower limit and upper limit.
influenced by the duration of morbidity attributable to sleep apnoea rather than exhibiting a temporal increase in disease severity [31]. On the other hand, log-transformed AHI was independently associated with log-transformed CAC score. There was an association between doubling of AHI with an increase of CAC score in men aged $\leq 65$ years ( $19 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=$ $-0.0008-42 \%$ ) and in women of any age ( $17 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ $=3-33 \%$ ) [17]. Although the pair-wise comparison in the current study partially showed that CAC score may increase with increased severity of OSA, significant association between CAC presence and severe OSA was not observed. A cluster analysis reporting on a very severe OSA phenotype based on AHI found that AHI had no direct link to cardiovascular diseases, and that for moderate-to-severe OSA phenotype, OSA severity was not associated with any comorbidities. The author speculated that the entity of nocturnal
desaturation might have a stronger effect on the development of comorbidities than simply the frequency of apneas and hypopneas [39]. Further studies are warranted to examine the correlation between AHI and CAC score and the impact of additional variables on CAC.

In some of the included studies, HSAT instead of the gold standard PSG was used for diagnosis of OSA. Although HSAT has been validated with acceptable performance in identifying OSA, it may misestimate AHI $[40,41,42]$. A previous study reported that for PSG, an AHI cut-off of $\geq 5$ events/h obtained the best receiver operating characteristic curve. For home respiratory polygraphy, an AHI cut-off of $\geq 10$ events/h successfully confirmed the diagnosis [40]. The present study echoed the previous study showing that the presence of CAC was increased by a factor of 3.54 in in-hospital/laboratory PSG relative to a factor of 1.59
Table 4. Quality assessment of included studies.

| First author (published year) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

in HSAT for an AHI cut-off of $\geq 5$ events/h. Moreover, mean CAC score was not significantly different in the comparison of AHI of $\geq 5$ vs. $<5$ events/h for HSAT. In addition, it was reported that AHI value for diagnosis of OSA using portable monitors ranged between 5 and 20 in different studies [43].

A previous systematic review evaluated the relationship between OSA and subclinical cardiovascular disease assessed by CAC, carotid intima-media thickness, flow-mediated dilation, and pulse wave velocity. In general, most of studies showed a correlation between markers of subclinical atherosclerosis and OSA severity. Of the six reviewed studies that used CAC to assess for subclinical atherosclerosis, four studies showed an independent correlation between AHI and CAC score, and two studies showed that the correlation became nonsignificant after adjusting for BMI or age [44]. Therefore, the findings of the previous review were consistent with those of the current study showing the positive association between the presence of OSA and subclinical CAD. Moreover, several previous meta-analyses have shown the association between OSA and subclinical CAD, asassessed by carotid intima-media thickness, flow-mediated dilation, and pulse wave velocity [45-47]. The present metaanalysis further confirmed the correlation through the assessment of CAC.

The present study has some limitations that should be considered. First, most of included studies were cross-sectional in design in which temporal or causal relationships between sleep apnoea and CAC presence cannot be determined and selection bias may occur. Second, patients with CAC scores of $>100$ were reported to have the greatest risk of coronary events [13]. The cut-off value for CAC presence was $>0$ in most of the eligible studies, and the association of low CAC scores and the risk of CAD may be questionable. Two eligible studies reported the outcomes of patients with a CAC score $>100$ [32,35] and one study reported the outcomes of patients with a CAC score $>400$ [33]. Although the study number was small, the pooled results show a glimpse that the OSA group had a higher rate of CAC presence for comparison of AHI $\geq 5$ vs. $<5$ events/h for both CAC scores $>100$ and $>400$ (Table S1). Third, as mentioned above, CAC scores reported by the included studies were in different forms and their distribution indicated high heterogeneity. Therefore, the data may have been over-analyzed for pooling, which could result in bias. Fourth, the comparison groups in the current analysis were derived from combined data of different OSA severity groups, which could also result in data over-analysis.

Further analysis such as meta-regression of the potential confounding factors was not conducted. Moreover, adjusted data reported in the included studies were not adjusted for identical confounding factors, making pooling impossible. Indeed, the best approach would be to conduct analyses using individual patient data. Lastly, the current study only considered AHI to quantify OSA severity. Several studies have reported that AHI failed to characterise the degree and duration of oxygen desaturation and cannot fully predict the symptoms and prognosis of sleep apnoea [39,48,49]. Therefore, other factors such as hypoxic burden can be introduced to better measure the severity of OSA.

In conclusion, this systematic review and meta-analysis found that the presence of CAC and CAC score may be associated with the presence of OSA. However, potential confounders such as age, gender, and BMI and the diversity of CAC scores may affect this association. Moreover, due to the limitations of the analysis of CAC score and OSA, it is recommended that other markers of subclinical CAD in addition to CAC score be considered in clinical practice when managing patients with OSA. It may be helpful for further research to explore these issues with individual patient-level meta-analysis.
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