openheart Association of hypertension with mortality in patients hospitalised with COVID-19

Kunwardeep S Bhatia,¹ Hari P Sritharan,¹ Jonathan Ciofani,¹ Justin Chia ⁽ⁱ⁾,¹ Usaid K Allahwala ⁽ⁱ⁾,¹ Karina Chui,¹ Daniel Nour,¹ Sheran Vasanthakumar,² Dhanvee Khandadai,³ Pavithra Jayadeva,⁴ Rohan Bhagwandeen,⁵ David Brieger,⁶ Christopher Choong,¹ Anthony Delaney,⁷ Girish Dwivedi,^{8,9} Benjamin Harris,¹⁰ Graham Hillis ⁽ⁱ⁾, ³ Bernard Hudson,¹¹ George Javorski,¹² Nigel Jepson,¹³ Logan Kanagaratnam,¹ George Kotsiou,¹⁴ Astin Lee,¹⁵ Sidney T Lo,¹⁶ Andrew I MacIsaac,¹⁷ Brendan McQuillan,¹⁸ Isuru Ranasinghe,^{19,20} Antony Walton,²¹ James Weaver,²² William Wilson,⁴ Andy S C Yong,²³ John Zhu,²⁴ William Van Gaal,²⁵ Leonard Kritharides,⁶ Clara K Chow ⁽ⁱ⁾,^{26,27} Ravinay Bhindi¹

ABSTRACT

Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10. 1136/openhrt-2021-001853).

To cite: Bhatia KS, Sritharan HP, Ciofani J, *et al.* Association of hypertension with mortality in patients hospitalised with COVID-19. *Open Heart* 2021;**8**:e001853. doi:10.1136/ openhrt-2021-001853

Received 9 September 2021 Accepted 15 November 2021

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Kunwardeep S Bhatia; kunwardeep.bhatia@health. nsw.gov.au **Objective** To assess whether hypertension is an independent risk factor for mortality among patients hospitalised with COVID-19, and to evaluate the impact of ACE inhibitor and angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) use on mortality in patients with a background of hypertension. **Method** This observational cohort study included all index hospitalisations with laboratory-proven COVID-19 aged ≥ 18 years across 21 Australian hospitals. Patients with suspected, but not laboratory-proven COVID-19, were excluded. Registry data were analysed for inhospital mortality in patients with comorbidities including hypertension, and baseline treatment with ACE inhibitors or ARBs.

Results 546 consecutive patients (62.9 ± 19.8 years old, 51.8% male) hospitalised with COVID-19 were enrolled. In the multivariable model, significant predictors of mortality were age (adjusted OR (aOR) 1.09, 95% Cl 1.07 to 1.12, p<0.001), heart failure or cardiomyopathy (aOR 2.71, 95% Cl 1.13 to 6.53, p=0.026), chronic kidney disease (aOR 2.33, 95% Cl 1.02 to 5.32, p=0.044) and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (aOR 2.27, 95% Cl 1.06 to 4.85, p=0.035). Hypertension was the most prevalent comorbidity (49.5%) but was not independently associated with increased mortality (aOR 0.92, 95% Cl 0.48 to 1.77, p=0.81). Among patients with hypertension, ACE inhibitor (aOR 1.37, 95% Cl 0.27 to 1.49, p=0.30) use was not associated with mortality.

Conclusions In patients hospitalised with COVID-19, preexisting hypertension was the most prevalent comorbidity but was not independently associated with mortality. Similarly, the baseline use of ACE inhibitors or ARBs had no independent association with in-hospital mortality.

BACKGROUND

By the end of January 2021, SARS-CoV-2, the causative virus of COVID-19, had infected

Key questions

What is already known about this subject?

Conflicting data have been presented on the impact of hypertension, ACE inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) on mortality in hospitalised patients with COVID-19. There is a dearth of literature exploring these outcomes within both a generalisable population and a healthcare system that have not been overwhelmed by the pandemic.

What does this study add?

► In this multicentre analysis of 21 Australian hospitals, neither hypertension nor treatment with ACE inhibitors or ARBs was independently associated with mortality. By comparison, factors commonly associated with hypertension such as increasing age, heart failure or cardiomyopathy, chronic kidney disease and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease were predictors of mortality.

How might this impact on clinical practice?

Clinicians should be aware that among patients hospitalised with COVID-19, pre-existing hypertension and treatment with ACE inhibitors or ARBs do not portend an increased risk of mortality.

over 100 million and killed over 2 million people internationally.¹ Hypertension has been recognised as the most common comorbidity among patients hospitalised with COVID-19.²⁻⁴ However, it remains unclear whether hypertension is an independent risk factor for mortality or whether the presence of hypertension is a marker of other factors that confer an adverse prognosis such as advanced age, coronary artery disease, heart failure and renal disease. This has important implications for identifying patients at high



1

Open Heart

risk of adverse outcomes and for prioritising the management of comorbidities in patients with and at risk of COVID-19. The primary aim of this study was therefore to assess whether hypertension is independently linked with increased mortality from COVID-19.

Additionally, there has been conjecture about the effects of ACE inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) on COVID-19 prognosis in light of the fact that the virus responsible for COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, binds to the ACE2 receptor in order to enter host cells.⁵ With the potential upregulation of ACE2, secondary to ACE inhibitor and ARB use,⁶⁷ there exists a possible risk of increased viral entry into host cells. However, on the other hand, previous animal studies have suggested that ACE2 may have protective effects against acute respiratory distress syndrome.⁸ Initial observational data on this matter have been conflicting.⁹¹⁰ Therefore, the secondary aim of this study was to assess the impact of ACE inhibitors and ARBs on mortality in patients with a background of hypertension admitted to hospital with COVID-19.

Australia provides a unique context to investigate these questions. Healthcare resources have been overwhelmed internationally,^{11–13} requiring stricter rationalisation of access to intensive care units (ICUs) in many regions and rapid construction of new hospitals in some settings. The extent to which this pandemic context has confounded the results of international clinical studies investigating COVID-19 outcomes is unknown. Australia has maintained control of COVID-19 case numbers such that hospital systems been able to maintain a high level of supportive care to all patients as required. The current manuscript presents analysis of the Australian Cardiovascular COVID-19 (AUS-COVID) Registry, which is the largest Australian multicentre COVID-19 cardiovascular registry of hospitalised patients.

METHODS

Trial oversight

The AUS-COVID Registry is a collaboration between 21 hospitals across Australia and was designed and overseen by a steering committee. The protocol and case report form are available online at https://wwwaus-covidcom. The registry was prospectively registered with the Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN12620000486921).

Patients

The registry included all index hospitalisations of consecutive patients aged 18 years or older with laboratoryproven SARS-CoV-2 infection entered in the AUS-COVID Registry by 22 January 2021. Patients with suspected but not laboratory-proven SARS-CoV-2 infection were excluded. Patients were also excluded if their medical or medication history was not available or if they were transferred to another hospital in which case mortality outcomes were not available. Given that many of the included sites were major tertiary centres, patients transferred from another hospital were excluded to avoid recruitment bias.

Outcomes

The registry captured over 150 datapoints extracted from the patient's medical record covering demographics, baseline comorbidities, baseline medications, laboratory results, treatment and outcomes. Potential variables for inclusion were age, sex, hypertension, coronary artery disease, heart failure or cardiomyopathy, atrial fibrillation or flutter, severe valvular disease, stroke or transient ischaemic attack (TIA), hypercholesterolaemia, diabetes mellitus, peripheral arterial disease, current or recent smoker (<1 year), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma and chronic kidney disease (estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) $<60 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$). Coronary artery disease was defined as the presence of prior myocardial infarction, percutaneous coronary intervention, coronary artery bypass grafting, angina or >50%stenosis of an epicardial vessel on CT coronary angiogram or invasive angiography based on available data on the electronic medical record. Other comorbidities were based on the documented medical history available from electronic and paper medical records.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were reported as mean (SD). Categorical variables were presented as proportions. Independent samples t-test was used to compare between group differences for normally distributed continuous variables. Pearson's X² test and Fisher's exact test were used to compare proportions between groups for categorical variables when expected cell sizes were ≥ 5 and <5, respectively. Multivariable binary logistic regression was performed to calculate adjusted ORs (aORs) for mortality. Potential variables were included in the multivariable model if they had a p value of <0.1 in the univariable model. A secondary analysis was performed in patients with pre-existing hypertension, with the use of an ACE inhibitor or ARB on admission as additional variables. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics Subscription (V.1.0.0.1508). Results were considered statistically significant if the two-sided p value was < 0.05.

Patient and public involvement

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

RESULTS

Six hundred twenty-one patients were admitted with SARS-CoV-2 infection across 21 Australian hospitals. Five hundred forty-six patients were eligible for inclusion in the primary analysis. Ten patients were excluded because they were transferred to another hospital and a further 65 patients were excluded because their medical or medication history was not available. (figure 1)

Cardiac risk factors and prevention




Figure 1 STROBE flow diagram of study population. Patient selection flow diagram. Five hundred and forty-six patients were eligible for final analysis out of 621 consecutively admitted patients. STROBE, Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology.

The mean age of included patients was $62.9 (\pm 19.8)$ years. Two hundred and eighty-three (51.8%) patients were male, 92 (16.8%) were nursing home residents and 35 (6.4%) were healthcare workers. Baseline comorbidities are presented in table 1. Two-hundred and seventy (49.5%) patients had a history of hypertension, 158 (28.9%) had hypercholesterolaemia, 143 (26.2%) had diabetes mellitus, 64 (11.7%) had coronary artery disease and 46 (8.4%) had chronic kidney disease.

Ninety-nine (18.1%) patients were admitted to the ICU; 56 (10.3%) patients were intubated; 81 (14.8%) patients died in hospital.

Hypertension was more common in patients who died (71.6% vs 45.6%, p<0.001), as was advanced age (81.7 vs 59.6 years, p<0.001), coronary artery disease (25.9% vs 9.2%, p<0.001), heart failure or cardiomyopathy (22.2% vs 3.7%, p<0.001), stroke or TIA (17.3% vs 5.6%, p<0.001), atrial fibrillation or flutter (19.8% vs 8.2%, p=0.004), severe valvular disease (6.2% vs 1.7%, p=0.031), diabetes mellitus (40.7% vs 23.7%, p=0.002), COPD (21.0 vs 6.2%, p<0.001) and chronic kidney disease (21.0 vs 6.2%, p<0.001). Sex was not significantly different between patients who died and those who survived (male 53.1% vs 51.6%, p=0.81).

The unadjusted ORs from the univariable binary logistic regression are presented in table 2. Hypertension had an unadjusted OR of 3.01 (95% CI 1.80 to 5.04, p<0.001) for in-hospital mortality. Other variables that were significant

	All patients (n=546)	Discharged (n=465)	Deceased (n=81)	P value
Mean age (SD), years	62.9 (19.8)	59.6 (19.1)	81.7 (11.3)	<0.001
Male, no (%)	283 (51.8)	240 (51.6)	43 (53.1)	0.811
Healthcare worker, no (%)	35 (6.4)	34 (7.3)	1 (1.2)	0.046
Nursing home resident, no (%)	92 (16.8)	56 (12.0)	36 (44.4)	<0.001
Hypertension, no (%)	270 (49.5)	212 (45.6)	58 (71.6)	<0.001
Coronary artery disease, no (%)	64 (11.7)	43 (9.2)	21 (25.9)	<0.001
Heart failure or cardiomyopathy, no (%)	35 (6.4)	17 (3.7)	18 (22.2)	<0.001
Atrial fibrillation or flutter, no (%)	54 (9.9)	38 (8.2)	16 (19.8)	0.004
Severe valvular disease, no (%)	13 (2.4)	8 (1.7)	5 (6.2)	0.031
Stroke or transient ischaemic attack, no (%)	40 (7.3)	26 (5.6)	14 (17.3)	<0.001
Hypercholesterolaemia, no (%)	158 (28.9)	128 (27.5)	30 (37.0)	0.086
Diabetes mellitus, no (%)	143 (26.2)	110 (23.7)	33 (40.7)	0.002
Peripheral arterial disease, no (%)	7 (1.3)	5 (1.1)	2 (2.5)	0.279
Current or recent smoker (<1 year), no (%)	25 (4.6)	22 (4.7)	3 (3.7)	1.000
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, no (%)	46 (8.4)	29 (6.2)	17 (21.0)	<0.001
Asthma, no (%)	63 (11.5)	57 (12.3)	6 (7.4)	0.259
Chronic kidney disease (eGFR $<$ 60 mL/min/1.73 m ²), no (%)	46 (8.4)	29 (6.2)	17 (21.0)	<0.001
ACE inhibitor, no (%)	85 (15.6)	61 (13.1)	24 (29.6)	< 0.001
ARB, no (%)	109 (20.0)	94 (20.2)	15 (18.5)	0.880
Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist, no (%)	18 (3.3)	13 (2.8)	5 (6.2)	0.166
Loop diuretic, no (%)	63 (11.5)	36 (7.7)	27 (33.3)	<0.001
Thiazide diuretic, no (%)	39 (7.1)	31 (6.7)	8 (9.9)	0.347
Beta blocker, no (%)	86 (15.8)	62 (13.3)	24 (29.6)	<0.001
Non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker, no (%)	7 (1.3)	6 (1.3)	1 (1.2)	1.000
Dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker, no (%)	84 (15.4)	71 (15.3)	13 (16.0)	0.868

ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

Table 2 Unadjusted ORs for in-hospital mortality by baseline characteristics				
Variable	OR (95% CI)	P value		
Age, years	1.101 (1.075 to 1.127)	<0.001		
Male	1.061 (0.661 to 1.702)	0.807		
Hypertension	3.009 (1.796 to 5.043)	<0.001		
Coronary artery disease	3.435 (1.908 to 6.182)	<0.001		
Heart failure or cardiomyopathy	7.529 (3.689 to 15.367)	<0.001		
Atrial fibrillation or flutter	2.766 (1.459 to 5.244)	0.002		
Severe valvular disease	3.758 (1.198 to 11.791)	0.023		
Stroke or transient ischaemic attack	3.528 (1.754 to 7.096)	< 0.001		
Hypercholesterolaemia	1.549 (0.944 to 2.540)	0.083		
Diabetes mellitus	2.219 (1.356 to 3.629)	0.002		
Peripheral arterial disease	2.329 (0.444 to 12.214)	0.317		
Current or recent smoker	0.774 (0.226 to 2.650)	0.684		
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	3.994 (2.077 to 7.678)	<0.001		
Asthma	0.573 (0.238 to 1.376)	0.213		
Chronic kidney disease (eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m ²)	3.994 (2.077 to 7.678)	<0.001		

eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

on the univariable analysis were age (OR 1.10, 95% CI 1.08 to 1.13, p<0.001), coronary artery disease (OR 3.44, 95% CI 1.91 to 6.18, p<0.001), heart failure or cardiomyopathy (OR 7.53, 95% CI 3.69 to 15.37, p<0.001), atrial fibrillation or flutter (OR 2.77, 95% CI 1.46 to 5.24, p=0.002), severe valvular disease (OR 3.76, 95% CI 1.20 to 11.79, p=0.023), stroke or TIA (OR 3.53, 95% CI 1.75 to 7.10, p<0.001), diabetes mellitus (OR 2.22, 95% CI 1.36 to 3.63, p=0.002), COPD (OR 3.99, 95% CI 2.08 to 7.68, p<0.001) and chronic kidney disease (OR 3.99, 95% CI 2.08 to 7.68, p<0.001).

The multivariable binary logistic regression model was statistically significant ($\chi^2(11)=136.22$, p<0.001). The model correctly classified 85.3% of cases. In the multivariable model, age (aOR 1.09, 95% CI 1.07 to 1.12, p<0.001), heart failure or cardiomyopathy (aOR 2.71, 95% CI 1.13 to 6.53, p=0.026), chronic kidney disease (aOR 2.33, 95% CI 1.02 to 5.32, p=0.044) and COPD (aOR 2.27, 95% CI 1.06 to 4.85, p=0.035) were associated with an increased risk of mortality. Hypertension was not independently associated with increased mortality (aOR 0.92, 95% CI 0.48 to 1.77, p=0.81).(figure 2) (table 3)

A secondary analysis was performed on the 270 patients with pre-existing hypertension. The mean age of these patients was 72.7 (\pm 14) years (online supplemental table 1). Fifty-eight (21.5%) patients died. The use of an ARB was less common in patients who died (20.7% vs 41.5%, p=0.004). There was no difference in use of ACE inhibitors in those who died compared with those who survived (29.3% vs 25.5%, p=0.61). In the multivariable binary logistic regression model, ACE inhibitor (aOR 1.37, 95% CI 0.61 to 3.08, p=0.61) and ARB (aOR 0.64, 95% CI 0.27 to 1.49, p=0.30) use was not associated with increased mortality (online supplemental table 3).

DISCUSSION

Hypertension

In this multicentre registry of over 500 consecutive patients hospitalised with COVID-19, hypertension was the most prevalent cardiovascular comorbidity. We found that hypertension was associated with increased mortality




Figure 2 Forrest plot of multivariable logistic regression for in-hospital mortality by baseline characteristics. Adjusted ORs (aORs) for in-hospital mortality derived from multivariable binary logistic regression. COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; TIA, transient ischaemic attack.

Table 3	Adjusted ORs for in-hospital mortality by baseline			
characteristics				

Variable	OR (95% CI)	P value
Age, years	1.093 (1.066 to 1.121)	<0.001
Hypertension	0.924 (0.483 to 1.769)	0.812
Coronary artery disease	1.217 (0.578 to 2.563)	0.604
Heart failure or cardiomyopathy	2.712 (1.127 to 6.526)	0.026
Atrial fibrillation or flutter	0.730 (0.332 to 1.608)	0.435
Severe valvular disease	1.946 (0.509 to 7.435)	0.330
Stroke or transient ischaemic attack	1.326 (0.572 to 3.074)	0.510
Hypercholesterolaemia	0.797 (0.433 to 1.467)	0.466
Diabetes mellitus	1.674 (0.911 to 3.074)	0.097
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	2.267 (1.059 to 4.850)	0.035
Chronic kidney disease (eGFR <60 mL/ min/1.73 $\mbox{m}^2\mbox{)}$	2.333 (1.022 to 5.323)	0.044

_eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

on univariable but not multivariable analysis, adjusted for age, sex and comorbidities. By comparison, cardiovascular factors commonly associated with hypertension such as age, heart failure or cardiomyopathy, chronic kidney disease and COPD were significant independent predictors of mortality.

In contrast, an early study from China found that hypertension was independently associated with a composite endpoint of ICU admission, mechanical ventilation and death (HR 1.58, 95% CI 1.07 to 2.32, p=0.022).² However, the results should be interpreted with caution since the analysis only adjusted for age and smoking status. Furthermore, decisions around ICU admission and mechanical ventilation can be subjective and may therefore differ between geographical regions and may depend on the availability of limited healthcare resources.

A further retrospective observational study based in Wuhan, China also showed that hypertension was independently associated with in-hospital mortality, even after adjusting for confounders including but not limited to age, sex, cardiovascular diseases and COPD (HR 2.12, 95% CI 1.17 to 3.82, p=0.013).¹⁴ This study, however, was based in a new emergency hospital constructed for the purpose of managing patients with COVID-19 and it is therefore unclear the extent to which these results are generalisable to the wider population treated in a non-pandemic healthcare system.

Consistent with results of our study, analysis of data from a multicentre US surveillance network for hospitalised patients with COVID-19 found that hypertension was neither associated with ICU admission (adjusted RR (aRR) 0.92, 95% CI 0.79 to 1.07) nor death (aRR 1.07, 95% CI 0.79 to 1.45) after adjustment for demographic characteristics and comorbidities including age, sex, cardiovascular disease and chronic lung disease.¹⁵ Likewise, a study of individuals in the US Department of Veterans Affairs national healthcare system found that hypertension was not associated with mortality (adjusted HR (aHR) 0.95, 95% CI 0.81 to 1.12) after adjusting for confounders including sociodemographic characteristics, comorbidities and presenting symptoms.³ Notably, however, this study was restricted to the veteran affairs system and included a high proportion of male patients, constituting 91% of the cohort. Additionally, a twocentre prospective cohort study in New York found that hypertension was associated with in-hospital mortality on univariable but not multivariable analysis (aHR 1.58, 95% CI 0.89 to 2.81) after adjusting for demographic factors and comorbidities including advanced age, sex, duration of symptoms prior to hospitalisation, cardiovascular disease and chronic lung disease.⁴ A further large retrospective study based in New York similarly found that hypertension was not associated with in-hospital mortality on multivariable analysis (aOR 0.84, 95% CI 0.65 to 1.10, p=0.214).¹⁶

ACE inhibitors and ARBs

There has been conjecture in the literature about the effect of ACE inhibitors and ARBs on COVID-19 prognosis. In the present study, multivariable analysis demonstrated no evidence of harm from use of ACE inhibitors or ARBs, which corresponds to much of the existing literature on this topic. One study based in France did, however, show that use of ACE inhibitors or ARBs was associated with higher risk of admission to ICU or death before ICU admission.¹⁰ This study was notably in a particularly elderly and unwell cohort of patients, with a median age of 73 years and mortality of 23.5% with 23 patients still in ICU at the time of analysis. In contrast, a study based in Hubei Province, China showed that after adjusting for age, gender, comorbidities and in-hospital medications, patients taking ACE inhibitors or ARBs had lower rates of all-cause mortality compared with those not taking these medications (HR 0.42, 95% CI 0.19 to 0.92, p=0.03).⁹ Similarly, in a large cohort from the French national health data system, it was found that ACE inhibitors and ARBs were each associated with lower risk of intubation or death compared with individuals using calcium channel blockers for hypertension. Furthermore, a randomised controlled trial of patients hospitalised with mild-to-moderate severity COVID-19 in Brazil showed no significant difference between continuation versus discontinuation of ACE inhibitors or ARBs on mortality.¹⁷

Other comorbidities

Consistent with our results, advanced age, COPD and chronic kidney disease have previously been shown to be robust predictors of adverse prognosis.^{3 4 15} However, the relationship between heart failure and mortality among patients hospitalised with COVID-19 has been less robustly characterised. The results of our study confirm the findings of a retrospective analysis of hospitalised patients in the USA which found that heart failure was an independent predictor of mortality (aOR 1.88, 95% CI 1.27 to 2.78, p=0.002).¹⁶ This emphasises the need to

classify patients with pre-existing heart failure as a highrisk group and prioritise them in vaccine programmes.

Limitations

The AUS-COVID Registry does not include information about race and contains insufficient data on body mass index to include these potentially significant confounders in the multivariable model. The study also does not address questions about the interaction of poorly controlled hypertension and mortality. Furthermore, the registry reports on mortality during index hospitalisation only and no long-term outcome data are available. Despite these limitations, the AUS-COVID Registry is the first and largest multicentre Australian registry focused on cardiovascular comorbidities among patients hospitalised with COVID-19 and therefore provides important insights into the risk factors for adverse prognosis.

CONCLUSION

In patients hospitalised with COVID-19, a history of COPD, heart failure or cardiomyopathy, chronic kidney disease and advanced age were independent risk factors for mortality. While hypertension was the most prevalent cardiovascular comorbidity, it was not independently associated with mortality. Similarly, the baseline use of ACE inhibitors or ARBs had no independent association with in-hospital mortality.

Author affiliations

¹Cardiology, Royal North Shore Hospital, St Leonards, New South Wales, Australia
²Cardiology, Northern Hospital Epping, Epping, Victoria, Australia

³Department of Cardiology, Royal Perth Hospital, Perth, Western Australia, Australia ⁴Cardiology, The Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

⁵Cardiology, John Hunter Hospital, New Lambton Heights, New South Wales, Australia

⁶Cardiology, Concord Repatriation General Hospital, Concord, New South Wales, Australia

⁷Intensive Care, Royal North Shore Hospital, St Leonards, New South Wales, Australia

⁸Cardiology, Harry Perkins Institute of Medical Research, Perth, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

⁹Department of Advanced Clinical and Translational Cardiovascular Imaging, Harry Perkins Institute of Medical Research, Perth, Western Australia, Australia

¹⁰Respiratory and Sleep Medicine, Royal North Shore Hospital, St Leonards, New South Wales, Australia

¹¹NSW Health Pathology, Royal North Shore Hospital, St Leonards, New South Wales, Australia

¹²Cardiology, The Prince Charles Hospital, Chermside, Queensland, Australia
¹³Cardiology, Prince of Wales Hospital and Community Health Services, Randwick, New South Wales, Australia

¹⁴Infectious Diseases and Microbiology, Royal North Shore Hospital, St Leonards, New South Wales, Australia

¹⁵Cardiology, Wollongong Hospital, Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia ¹⁶Cardiology, University of New South Wales, Liverpool Hospital, Liverpool, New South Wales, Australia

¹⁷Cardiology Department, St Vincent's Health Australia, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

¹⁸School of Medicine and Pharmacology, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia, Australia

¹⁹Cardiology, The University of Queensland, Saint Lucia, Queensland, Australia ²⁰The Prince Charles Hospital, Chermside, Queensland, Australia

²¹Cardiology, Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

²²Cardiology, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia

²³Cardiology, Concord Hospital, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
 ²⁴Cardiology, Lismore Base Hospital, Lismore, New South Wales, Australia
 ²⁵Northern Health NCHER, Epping, Victoria, Australia

²⁶Sydney Medical School, The University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

²⁷Cardiology, The George Institute for Global Health, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

Contributors All listed authors contributed to interpretation of data as well as drafting and revision of the manuscript for this study. KB, HS, WVG, LK, CKC and RB were responsible for the study design and acted as the steering committee. Statistical analysis was conducted by KB, HS, JCiofani and JChia, KB is responsible for the overall content of this article as guarantor.

Funding This study is supported by unrestricted grants from the Paul Ramsay Foundation and the Northern Sydney Local Health District.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The AUS-COVID Registry was approved by the Northern Sydney Local Health District Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC 2020/ETH00732), which granted a waiver of consent.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Justin Chia http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5357-429X Usaid K Allahwala http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7178-4744 Graham Hillis http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2417-4673 Clara K Chow http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4693-0038

REFERENCES

- 1 WHO. Who coronavirus disease (COVID-19) Dashboard, 2021. Available: https://covid19.who.int/ [Accessed 31 Jan 2021].
- 2 Guan W-jie, Liang W-hua, Zhao Y, et al. Comorbidity and its impact on 1590 patients with COVID-19 in China: a nationwide analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2020;55:2000547.
- 3 Ioannou GN, Locke E, Green P, et al. Risk Factors for Hospitalization, Mechanical Ventilation, or Death Among 10 131 US Veterans With SARS-CoV-2 Infection. JAMA Netw Open 2020;3:e2022310.
- 4 Cummings MJ, Baldwin MR, Abrams D, et al. Epidemiology, clinical course, and outcomes of critically ill adults with COVID-19 in New York City: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2020;395:1763–70.
- 5 Zhou P, Yang X-L, Wang X-G, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature* 2020;579:270–3.
- 6 Ferrario CM, Jessup J, Chappell MC, et al. Effect of angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibition and angiotensin II receptor blockers on cardiac angiotensin-converting enzyme 2. *Circulation* 2005;111:2605–10.
- 7 Deshotels MR, Xia H, Sriramula S, *et al.* Angiotensin II mediates angiotensin converting enzyme type 2 internalization and degradation through an angiotensin II type I receptor-dependent mechanism. *Hypertension* 2014;64:1368–75.
- 8 Imai Y, Kuba K, Rao S, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 protects from severe acute lung failure. *Nature* 2005;436:112–6.
- 9 Zhang P, Zhu L, Cai J, et al. Association of inpatient use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor blockers with mortality among patients with hypertension hospitalized with COVID-19. *Circ Res* 2020;126:1671–81.
- 10 Liabeuf S, Moragny J, Bennis Y, *et al.* Association between reninangiotensin system inhibitors and COVID-19 complications. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother* 2021;7:426-434.

- 11 Tanne JH, Hayasaki E, Zastrow M, *et al*. Covid-19: how doctors and healthcare systems are tackling coronavirus worldwide. *BMJ* 2020;368:m1090.
- 12 Paterlini M. On the front lines of coronavirus: the Italian response to covid-19. *BMJ* 2020;368:m1065.
- 13 Yager PH, Whalen KA, Cummings BM. Repurposing a pediatric ICU for adults. N Engl J Med 2020;382:e80.
- 14 Gao C, Cai Y, Zhang K, *et al.* Association of hypertension and antihypertensive treatment with COVID-19 mortality: a retrospective observational study. *Eur Heart J* 2020;41:2058–66.
- 15 Kim L, Garg S, O'Halloran A, et al. Risk Factors for Intensive Care Unit Admission and In-hospital Mortality Among Hospitalized Adults

Identified through the US Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)-Associated Hospitalization Surveillance Network (COVID-NET). *Clin Infect Dis* 2021;72:e206–14.

- 16 Alvarez-Garcia J, Lee S, Gupta A, et al. Prognostic Impact of Prior Heart Failure in Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;76:2334–48.
- 17 Lopes RD, Macedo AVS, de Barros E Silva PGM, *et al.* Effect of discontinuing vs continuing angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor blockers on days alive and out of the hospital in patients admitted with COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2021;325:254–64.