



Specialization of the Reiterated Copies of the Heterodimeric Integration Host Factor Genes in *Geobacter sulfurreducens*

Angel Andrade^{1,2†}, Alberto Hernández-Eligio^{1,3†}, Ana Lilia Tirado¹, Leticia Vega-Alvarado⁴, Maricela Olvera¹, Enrique Morett¹ and Katy Juárez^{1*}

¹ Departamento de Ingeniería Celular y Biocatálisis, Instituto de Biotecnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Cuernavaca, Mexico, ² Departamento de Microbiología, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Monterrey, Mexico, ³ CONACYT, Ciudad de México, Mexico, ⁴ Instituto de Ciencias Aplicadas y Tecnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad Universitaria, Ciudad de México, Mexico

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Patrick Eichenberger, New York University, United States

Reviewed by:

Liang Shi, China University of Geosciences Wuhan, China Kaoru Nakasone, Kindai University, Japan

*Correspondence:

Katy Juárez katy@ibt.unam.mx †These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbial Physiology and Metabolism, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

> Received: 05 November 2020 Accepted: 14 January 2021 Published: 02 March 2021

Citation:

Andrade A, Hernández-Eligio A, Tirado AL, Vega-Alvarado L, Olvera M, Morett E and Juárez K (2021) Specialization of the Reiterated Copies of the Heterodimeric Integration Host Factor Genes in Geobacter sulfurreducens. Front. Microbiol. 12:626443. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.626443 Integration host factor (IHF) is a widely distributed small heterodimeric protein member of the bacterial Nucleoid-Associated Proteins (NAPs), implicated in multiple DNA regulatory processes. IHF recognizes a specific DNA sequence and induces a large bend of the nucleic acid. IHF function has been mainly linked with the regulation of RpoN-dependent promoters, where IHF commonly recognizes a DNA sequence between the enhancerbinding region and the promoter, facilitating a close contact between the upstream bound activator and the promoter bound, RNA polymerase. In most proteobacteria, the genes encoding IHF subunits (*ihfA* and *ihfB*) are found in a single copy. However, in some Deltaproteobacteria, like Geobacter sulfurreducens, those genes are duplicated. To date, the functionality of IHF reiterated encoding genes is unknown. In this work, we achieved the functional characterization of the ihfA-1, ihfA-2, ihfB-1, and ihfB-2 from G. sulfurreducens. Unlike the $\Delta ihfA-2$ or $\Delta ihfB-1$ strains, single gene deletion in *ihfA-1* or *ihfB-2*, provokes an impairment in fumarate and Fe(III) citrate reduction. Accordingly, sqRT-PCR experiments showed that *ihfA-1* and *ihfB-2* were expressed at higher levels than *ihfA-2* and *ihfB-1*. In addition, RNA-Seq analysis of the Δ *ihfA-1* and Δ *ihfB*-2 strains revealed a total of 89 and 122 differentially expressed genes, respectively. Furthermore, transcriptional changes in 25 genes were shared in both mutant strains. Among these genes, we confirmed the upregulation of the pilA-repressor, GSU1771, and downregulation of the triheme-cytochrome (pgcA) and the aconitate hydratase (acnA) genes by RT-qPCR. EMSA experiments also demonstrated the direct binding of IHF to the upstream promoter regions of GSU1771, pgcA and acnA. PilA changes in $\Delta ihfA-1$ and $\Delta ihfB-2$ strains were also verified by immunoblotting. Additionally, hemestaining of subcellular fractions in $\Delta ihfA-1$ and $\Delta ihfB-2$ strains revealed a remarkable deficit of c-type cytochromes. Overall, our data indicate that at least during fumarate and Fe(III) citrate reduction, the functional IHF regulator is likely assembled by the products of *ihfA-1* and *ihfB-2*. Also, a role of IHF controlling expression of multiple genes (other than RpoN-dependent) affects G. sulfurreducens physiology and extracellular electron transfer.

Keywords: Geobacter sulfurreducens, transcriptome profile, pili, IHF, cytochrome

INTRODUCTION

Integration host factor (IHF) is a small heterodimeric DNAbinding and bending protein that promotes assembly of higherorder nucleoprotein structures involved in a variety of cellular processes, such as replication, transcription, gene expression, site-specific recombination, transposition, partition, transfer, and phage packaging (Rice et al., 1996; Ilves et al., 2004; Dorman, 2009; Groove, 2011). IHF was originally described in Escherichia coli as a factor required for the integration of bacteriophage lambda into the chromosome (Swinger and Rice, 2004). IHF belongs to the Nucleolar Associated Proteins (NAPs) family that comprises HU, Fis, and other proteins. HU is widely present in bacteria, while Fis, whose origin is the DNA binding domain of an ancestral alphaproteobacterial NtrC Enhancer-Binding Protein (EBP) (Morett and Bork, 1998), and IHF are mainly restricted to proteobacteria. Nonetheless, IHF has been found in some other bacterial clades, eukaryotic organisms, and viruses (Kamashev et al., 2017; Groove, 2011). The canonical structure of IHF consists of two paralogous subunits, $\text{IHF}\alpha$ and IHF β , encoded by the unlinked *ihfA* and *ihfB* genes (Haluzi et al., 1991; Weisberg et al., 1996). Among other roles, IHF is required for the activation of genes transcribed by RpoNcontaining RNA polymerase (Cannon et al., 1990; Hoover et al., 1990; de Lorenzo et al., 1991), including those participating in nitrogen fixation in Klebsiella pneumoniae, alginate synthesis in Pseudomonas aeruginosa, regulation of flagella genes in Caulobacter crescentus, toluene degradation in Pseudomonas putida, and cell division in Desulfovibrio vulgaris Hildenborough (Cannon et al., 1990; Santero et al., 1992; Calb et al., 1996; Delic-Attree et al., 1996; Muir and Gober, 2005; Fiévet et al., 2014). Upon binding, IHF bends the DNA, promoting the interaction between the bound upstream activator, EBP with the RpoN-RNA polymerase (Morett and Segovia, 1993). So far, IHF contribution has been evaluated only in bacterial species whose genome encodes a single copy of each IHF gene subunit (*ihfA* and *ihfB*). However, in some Deltaproteobacteria, including Geobacter sulfurreducens, multiple copies of these genes have been detected (Fiévet et al., 2014).

The Geobacter genus comprises species that are the predominant Fe(III)-reducing microorganisms in diverse subsurface environments (Holmes et al., 2004; Lovley et al., 2004). The insoluble nature of Fe(III) oxides requires that Geobacter species transfer electrons outside the cell via a long-range electron transfer mechanism, including a set of cytochromes plus extracellular conductive pili (Reguera et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2013). In addition, G. sulfurreducens can obtain energy by coupling the oxidation of organic compounds to carbon dioxide with the reduction of insoluble Fe(III), or electron transfer to electrodes, generating by this means electricity (Bond and Lovley, 2003; Lovley, 2006). Electron transfer to electrodes in Geobacteraceae has primarily been studied in G. sulfurreducens, because a genetic system and complete genome sequence are available (Coppi et al., 2001; Methé et al., 2003).

Although significant progress has been made in understanding the mechanism of electron transfer to Fe(III) in *G. sulfurreducens*,

little is known about the regulatory mechanisms involved in controlling gene expression of the multiple products involved in transferring the electrons released from the central metabolism to the outside cell environment. Moreover, *G. sulfurreducens* encodes a high number of RpoN-dependent promoters and EBPs, leading us to hypothesize that IHF might play an important role in *G. sulfurreducens* physiology and extracellular electron transfer (EET).

In this study, we evaluated the expression of the four genes of G. sulfurreducens encoding IHF subunits, ihfA-1, ihfA-2, ihfB-1, and *ihfB-2*, and their contribution during growth under fumarate and Fe(III) as electron acceptors. Accordingly, subunits encoded by *ihfA-1* along with *ihfB-2* are predicted to conform the functional G. sulfurreducens IHF protein. Notably, gene deletion of *ihfA-1* or *ihfB-2* impacts PilA production and affects the c-type cytochrome content of the periplasm, inner, and outer membrane fractions. In addition, global transcription analysis by RNA-Seq of $\Delta ihfA-1$ and $\Delta ihfB-2$ strains, compared to the wild type strain, revealed a set of 89 and 122 differentially expressed genes, respectively. The RT-qPCR analysis, confirmed the altered expression of several genes, including GSU1771, pgcA, and acnA, and also the direct binding of IHF to such promoter regions was also demonstrated through EMSA experiments. Overall, this study corroborates the relevance of IHF as a global regulator that controls essential genes in EET, pili formation, and several cell processes in G. sulfurreducens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains, Plasmids, and Culture Conditions

G. sulfurreducens wild type strain DL1 (Caccavo et al., 1994) and its derivative *ihf* mutants (**Table 1**) were routinely cultured anaerobically in either acetate-fumarate or acetate-Fe(III) citrate medium, as previously described (Coppi et al., 2001). Anoxic sterile antibiotics (200 μ gml⁻¹ kanamycin, 50 μ g ml⁻¹ spectinomycin, or 10 μ g ml⁻¹ gentamicin) were added to acetate-fumarate plates during mutant strains selection. All *G. sulfurreducens* cultures were incubated at 30°C in an anaerobic chamber containing a mixture of 20% CO₂, 80% N₂. *E. coli* strains XL1-Blue and S17-1 (**Table 1**), were used for DNA manipulations and for conjugation experiments, respectively.

In silico Analysis of IHF Proteins

IHF protein identity analyzes were performed using the BLAST program¹. Sequence alignments were performed using Clustal W^2 .

DNA Manipulation

Plasmid purification, PCR product purification, and gel extractions were performed using the following kits: the QIAprep, Spin Miniprep Kit, the Qiagen Plasmid Midi Kit, the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). Ligation reactions,

¹https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi

²https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2/

Name	Description	References				
Strains						
Geobacter sulfurreducens						
DL1	Wild type	Caccavo et al., 1994				
$\Delta ihfA-1$	DL1 with ihfA-1::km mutation	This study				
$\Delta ihfB-1$	DL1 with ihfB-1::km mutation	This study				
$\Delta ihfA-2$	DL1 with ihfA-2 deletion	This study				
∆ihfB-2 E_coli	DL1 with <i>ihfB-2::Gm</i> mutation	This study				
XL1-Blue	recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi-1 hsdR17c [F′ proAB laclq Z∆M15 Tn10]	Stratagene				
S17-1	recA pro hsdR RP4-2-Tc::Mu-Km::Tn7	Simon et al., 1983				
Plasmids						
pCR2.1 TOPO	Subcloning vector, ampicillin resistance and kanamycin resistance	Invitrogen				
рТОРО НА	pCR2.1 TOPO with <i>ihfA-1</i> gene	This study				
pTOPO HB	pCR2.1 TOPO with <i>ihfB-1</i> gene	This study				
pK18mobsacB	sacB suicide vector	Simon et al., 1983				
pK18mobsacB- ihfA2del	pK18mobsacB with flanking regions of ihfA-2	This study				
pET19b	Bacterial expression vector, ampicillin resistance	Novagen				
pETihfA1	pET19b with <i>ihfA-1</i> gene	This study				
pTrc99a-FF4	Modified from pTrc99a without Ndel site and Ncol site converted to Ndel site	Ohnishi et al., 1997				
pTrcihfB1	pTrc99a-FF4 with <i>ihfB-1</i> gene	This study				
pATBis	pTrcihfB1 with <i>ihfA-1</i> gene cloned in operon with <i>ihfB-1</i>	This study				
pATBis2	pATBis with <i>ihfA-1</i> gene cloned in operon with <i>ihfB-2</i>	This study				
pRG5.1	Bacterial expression vector	Kim et al., 2005				
pBBR1MCS-2	Bacterial cloning vector, kanamycin resistance	Kovach et al., 1994				
pBSL141	Bacterial cloning vector, gentamicin resistance	Alexeyev et al., 1995				
pRG5.1ihfB-2	pRG5.1 with <i>ihfB-2</i> gene	This study				

E. coli transformations, and other routine DNA manipulations were carried out according to the methods outlined by Sambrook et al. (1989). Restriction enzymes and T4 DNA ligase (Thermo Scientific). *G. sulfurreducens* genomic DNA was extracted using the MasterPure complete DNA and RNA purification kit (Epicenter).

RNA Purification and sqRT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from *G. sulfurreducens* DL1 strain cultivated under two different conditions, using Fe(III) citrate or fumarate as the electron acceptor. Briefly, cultures were centrifuged at 4° C for 15 min, and pellets were flash frozen and stored at -80° C. Total RNA was isolated from mid-log-phase cultures using RNeasy Mini kits (Qiagen). Quality of total RNA was assessed by agarose-formaldehyde gel electrophoresis, and the concentration was determined using a NanoDrop 2000c

(Thermo Fisher Scientific). By each RNA extraction, DNase treatment was carried out using DNase I, free RNase (Thermo Scientific). The reactions were cleaned up by the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). Total RNA was measured again to start the following steps with the same concentration. cDNA was generated by reverse transcription using 200 units of SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) and a hexamer random primer (5'NNNNNN3') under the following program: 25°C for 10 min, 42°C for 50 min, 70°C for 10 min. The cDNA obtained was quantified by NanoDrop. The cDNA was amplified for semiquantitative PCR reaction using 120 ng of cDNA, and specific oligonucleotides to the four *ihf* genes (Supplementary Table 1), using 1 unit of Phusion DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific) under the following conditions: 1 cycle, 98°C for 30 seg; 26 cycles of 98°C for 10 seg, 60°C for 30 seg, 72°C for 15 seg, and finally one last extension cycle at 72°C for 5 min. At the reaction cycles 14, 20, and 26 a sample was removed and stored at 4°C. Specific pair primers to *r16S* (Supplementary Table 1) were used as positive control (Supplementary Figure 1). Finally, all PCR samples were purified by the MiniElute PCR purification Kit (Qiagen). And, the DNA was quantified by capillary electrophoresis using the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100, DNA 1000 chip (Agilent Technologies).

Construction of IHF Mutants

Single gene disruption of G. sulfurreducens DL1 ihfA-1, ihfB-1, and *ihfB-2* (gsu1746, gsu1521, and gsu2602) was achieved by the recombinant PCR and single-step recombination method (Murphy et al., 2000; Lloyd et al., 2003). All oligonucleotides sequences are placed into Supplementary Table 1. To disrupt the ihfB-1 gene, a 2.09 kb DNA fragment was constructed by PCR in which 0.21 kb of the *ihfB-1* coding sequence (codons 8-88) were replaced with the kanamycin resistance cassette (kan^r) of pBBR1CS-2 (Table 1). This fragment consisted of 449 bp of upstream sequence plus the first 22 bp of the ihfB-1 gene (oligonucleotides HFBP1FW and NHFBP1REV), followed by the 1.1 kb from the kanr cassette (oligonucleotides NMUTBFW and NMUTBRV), and the last 43 bp of the *ihfB*-1 gene plus 479 bp of downstream sequence (oligonucleotides HFBP3FW and HFBP3REV). A similar fragment (2.07 kb) was constructed to disrupt the *ihfA-1* gene. This fragment consisted of 485 bp of upstream sequence plus the first 39 bp of the ihfA-1 gene (oligonucleotides NHFAP1FW and NHFAP1REV), followed by the 1.1 kb from the kan^r cassette (oligonucleotides NmutAFW and NmutARV), and the last 49 bp of the ihfA-1 gene and 484 bp of downstream sequence (oligonucleotides NHFAP3FW and HFAP3REV).

To disrupt the gene *ihfB-2*, a 1.7 kb DNA fragment was constructed by PCR in which 0.23 kb of the *ihfB-2* coding sequence (codons 8-86) were replaced with the gentamicin resistance cassette (gm^r) of pBSL141 (**Table 1**). This fragment consisted of 492 bp of upstream sequence together with the first 22 bp of the *ihfB-2* gene (oligonucleotides P1B2Fw and P1B2Rv), followed by the 0.7 kb from the gmr cassette (oligonucleotides P2B2HFw and P2B2HRv), plus the last 34 bp of the *ihfB-2* gene and 455 bp of downstream sequence (oligonucleotides P3B2Fw and P3B2Rv). *G. sulfurreducens* electrocompetent cells were prepared as previously described (Coppi et al., 2001), and transformed with the corresponding purified PCR fragment. A single kanamycin-resistant or gentamicin-resistant colony was selected and tested for the insertion of the cassette by PCR (**Supplementary Figure 2**).

To delete the gene *ihfA-2* (gsu2120), we used the markerless deletion method previously described (Chan et al., 2015). The flanking regions (871 bp upstream and 637 bp downstream) of *ihfA-2* were amplified with the primer sets ihfA2Fw1/ihfA2Rev2 and ihfA2Fw3/ihfA2Rev4 using G. sulfurreducens DL1 genomic DNA as the template and Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (ThermoScientific). The flanking regions of *ihfA-2* were joined in a second round of PCR, digested with BamHI and SalI, and then ligated into the same sites in pK18mobsacB (Table 1). The resulting plasmid, pK18mobsacBihfA2del, was transformed into E. coli conjugative donor strain S17-1 to conjugate into the G. sulfurreducens recipient. One milliliter of fully grown G. sulfurreducens acetate-fumarate culture was pelleted on top of 1 ml of S17-1 culture carrying the sacB-carrying plasmid, mixed on top of a 0.22 µm-pore-size filter resting on acetate-fumarate agar plates in an anaerobic chamber, and incubated for 4 h before streaking the mixture onto acetate-fumarate plates with 200 μ g ml⁻¹ of kanamycin. This procedure selected G. sulfurreducens culture with pK18mobsacBihfA2del integrated into either flanking region of the gene since the plasmid cannot replicate in G. sulfurreducens. A scarless gene deletion mutant was selected on acetate-fumarate plates containing 10% sucrose and confirmed using PCR with primers flanking the deletion site (Supplementary Figure 2).

Complementation of ∆*ihfB-2* Mutant

The *ihfB-2* gene was amplified using B2ECOFW and B2BAMRV oligonucleotides (**Supplementary Table 1**), Platinum Taq polymerase (Invitrogen), and *G. sulfurreducens* DL1 chromosomal DNA as template. The amplified fragment,

flanked with *Eco*RI/*Bam*HI restriction sites, was double digested and cloned into the same sites of the pRG5.1 plasmid (Kim et al., 2005). The resulting plasmid containing the *ihfB-2* gene was sequenced and called pRG5.1ihfB-2. The plasmid was electroporated into $\Delta ihfB-2$ mutant strain and the resulting spectinomycin resistant colonies were screened for the plasmid's presence by isolation of plasmid DNA followed by digestion with restriction enzymes.

Cytochrome c Content

The membrane fractions of *G. sulfurreducens* DL1, Δ *ihfA-1*, and Δ *ihfB-2* strains, were isolated as previously described (Kim et al., 2005). Briefly, outer membrane-enriched fractions were prepared by treating crude membranes with a sarkosyl (sodium N-laurylsarcosinate) solution (1%, wt/vol) to extract inner membrane proteins. Five microgram of periplasmic, inner, and outer membrane proteins were separated by tristricine denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and *c*-type cytochromes were detected by heme staining with N,N,N,N-tetramethylbenzidine (Thomas et al., 1976; Francis and Becker, 1984). The total protein amount at each cellular fraction was observed by Coomassie staining. The proteins were visualized with Gel Doc DZ imager (Bio-rad).

Immunoblot Analysis

Protein extraction from *G. sulfurreducens* DL1, $\Delta ihfA$ -1, and $\Delta ihfB$ -2 strains was conducted as previously reported (Hernández-Eligio et al., 2020). Afterward, 1 µg of total protein per sample was incubated with PAGE-Buffer and boiled for 10 min before separation on a 15% SDS-PAGE. After, proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Merck-Millipore) for immunoblot analysis using rabbit polyclonal antibodies raised against *G. sulfurreducens* PilA (Yi et al., 2009). Blots were blocked with 3% BSA in PBS overnight at 4°C and then incubated with a

A IHFα D. vulgaris H MSKTLTKAEIVDAIYEKTDRNRAEVKGVVESLLGIMKOAIKKDHALLISGFGKFEAYDKK 60 IHFa E. coli --MALTKAEMSEYLFDKLGLSKRDAKELVELFFEEIRRALENGEQVKLSGFGNFDLRDKN 58 IHFα1 G. sufurreducens ----MTKADIVEOIYEKIGFSKKESAELVERVFGLIKETLERGEKIKIAGFGNFVVKDKA 56 ----MTKADIVERVSDRCGISKKDSIEMVELVFSILKNTLEIGEDIKISGFGKFEIKNKH IHFα2 G. sufurreducens 56 :***:: : : : : . . : : :** .: ::.:: .. : ::***: IHFa D. vulgaris H ARKGRNPOTDETITLPPRKVVVFRLSRKFRAELNE-IHFa E. coli QRPGRNPKTGEDIPITARRVVTFRPGQKLKSRVENASPKDE 99 DRRGRNPQTGDEIIISARKILTFKPSQVLKSSINT----- 91 IHFa1 G. sufurreducens IHFα2 G. sufurreducens ARKGRNPQTGDAITIEARRILTFKPSTILKNNINS-*:::.*: . ****:* .: * : :: **Β** IHFβ E. coli MTKSELIERLATQQSHIPAKTVEDAVKEMLEHMASTLAQGERIEIRGFGSFSLHYRAPRT 60 $IHF\beta 2\ G.\ sulfurreducens\ {\tt MTKSELVEMLAEKNSWLTRKDSEMVVNIVFDSIADALKSGEKVEIRGFGSFTVRERGARE}$ 60 IHFβ1 G. sufurreducens MNKSELIEALAAEKG-LTYKKAEEVVNIVFDSMSSAMIRNERIEIRGFGSFVVKDYKSYT 59 MNKSELIRTLSEETN-IPIEEATIVVNTFIDNMKDSLLAGDRVEIRGFGSFKIKDYGGYS 59 IHFa D. vulgaris H ****: *: : . : : .*: .:: : .:: . : : : * * * * * * * * IHF $\beta E. coli$ GRNPKTGDKVELEGKYVPHFKPGKELRDRANIYG--94 IHFβ2 G. sulfurreducens ARNPKSGAIVKIPAKKTPFFKTGKELRERVNHENAD 96 IHFβ1 G. sufurreducens GRNPKTGEPIEVKPKKLPFFKVGKELKERVDGK 92 IHFa D. vulgaris H GRNPKTGKMVDVEPKRLPFFRAGKELKEFLNEQQ--93 * * . * : * * * * : : ****** :.:

FIGURE 1 Amino acid sequence alignment of IHF α and IHF β subunits of *G. sulfurreducens*, *E. coli*, and *D. vulgaris* H. **(A)** Protein sequence alignment of IHF α and IHF α 's subunits of *G. sulfurreducens*, *E. coli*, and *D. vulgaris* H. **(B)** Protein sequence alignment of IHF β and IHF β 's subunits of *G. sulfurreducens*, *E. coli*, and *D. vulgaris* H. **(B)** Protein sequence alignment of IHF β and IHF β 's subunits of *G. sulfurreducens*, *E. coli*, and *D. vulgaris* H. **(B)** Protein sequence alignment of IHF β and IHF β 's subunits of *G. sulfurreducens*, *E. coli*, and *D. vulgaris* H. The accessions numbers in NCBI database of IHF α 1, IHF α 2, IHF β 1, and IHF β 2 of *G. sulfurreducens* are AAR34895, AAR35496, AAR35123, and AAR35496, respectively. Colored amino acids have different properties: red are small or hydrophobic (including aromatic), blue is acid, magenta is basic, and green are hydroxyl, sulfhydryl, and amine. "*" indicate positions which have a single, fully conserved residue. ":" indicates conservation between groups of strongly similar properties. and "." indicates conservation between groups of weakly similar properties.

1/1,000 dilution of primary antibody for 4 h at room temperature, washed with PBS, and incubated with a 1/5,000 dilution of goat anti-rabbit alkaline phosphatase-conjugated secondary antibody for 2 h at room temperature. After being washed, blots were developed with 1-Step NBT/BCIP substrate solution following manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Scientific).

Analytical Techniques

Protein contents were estimated with the Bradford method with bovine serum albumin as the standard (Biorad). Fe(II) concentrations were determined by the ferrozine assay (Lovley and Phillips, 1988).

Expression and Purification of IHF Heterodimer

To express and purify an IHF heterodimer composed by subunits IHFα1 and IHFβ2, we constructed the pATBis2 plasmid (Table 1). First, *ihfA-1* and *ihfB-1* genes were amplified by PCR using G. sulfurreducens DL1 chromosomal DNA, Platinum taq Polymerase (Invitrogen), and PETAFW, PETARV, PETBFW, and PETBREV oligonucleotides (Supplementary Table 1). PCR products were cloned directly into pCR2.1 TOPO (Invitrogen), giving rise to pTOPO HA (*ihfA-1*) and pTOPO HB (*ihfB-1*). The ihfA-1 gene was released from pTOPO HA by digestion with NdeI and BamHI, and cloned into a similarly digested pET19b plasmid given rise to pETihfA1. The ihfB-1 gene was released from pTOPO HA by digestion with NdeI and HindIII, and cloned into a similarly digested pTrc99aFF4 plasmid given rise to pTrcihfB1. Then, *ihfA-1* gene fused with a sequence encoding six histidine codons was released from pETihfA1 by digestion with XbaI and HindIII, and cloned into a similarly digested pTrcihfB1 given rise to pATBis. On the other and, *ihfB-2* was amplified by PCR with ihfB2fw and ihfB2rev oligonucleotides (Supplementary Table 1), G. sulfurreducens DL1 chromosomal DNA, and Phusion polymerase. The PCR product was phosphorylated with PNK (ThermoScientific). By inverse PCR, ihfB-1 gene was removed from plasmid pATBis using pBisfw and pBisrev2 oligonucleotides (Supplementary Table 1) and ligated to *ihfB*-2 fragment to generate plasmid pATBis2. pATBis2 plasmid was sequenced to confirm the presence of an intact ihfB-2 and *ihfA-1* genes and transformed into E. coli XL1-Blue. Expression of the IHF heterodimer in strain XL1-Blue/pATBis2 was induced by the addition of IPTG (0.1 mM). After 5 h induction, protein purification was performed at 4°C under nondenaturing conditions using a Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid affinity chromatography (Qiagen). The eluted protein was dialyzed using a buffer (40 mM HEPES, 8 mM MgCl₂, and 50 mM KCl) and concentrated using Ultracel 3K (Amicon) at 4°C and stored at the same temperature.

RNA-Seq and Data Analysis

The *G. sulfurreducens* cells from DL1, $\Delta ihfA$ -1, and $\Delta ihfB$ -2 strain were used for RNA-Seq analysis. All experiments were performed in duplicates. For each biological sample, total RNA samples were extracted using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) and

then they were examined with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer and quantified using NanoDrop 2000c (Thermo Scientific).

The RNA-Seq was performed using RNAs extracted from two independent cultures of each strain during the exponential growth phase ($OD_{600} = 0.3$) in the NBAF medium (acetatefumarate). Illumina sequencing was performed at the UUSMD (UNAM, Mexico). RNA was processed as a previously described (Hernández-Eligio et al., 2020). Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina Genome Analyzer IIx. Differential expression analysis was performed using three methods: edgeR, DESeq, and NOISeq (Anders and Huber, 2010; Robinson et al., 2010; Tarzona et al., 2011). EdgeR and NOISeq were performed by applying TMM as the normalization method (Robinson and Oshlack, 2010). To identify differentially expressed genes, we selected those with p-value were 0.05 and logFC of 2, for each method. Finally, we considered only the genes that appeared differentially expressed in the three methods as the best candidates. The functional annotation of differentially expressed genes regarding the affected pathways was obtained from Kyoto Encyclopedia of





Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000), using R's own scripts.

Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) Assay

A subset of genes was selected to validate the quality of sequencing data by qRT-PCR. For RNA extraction, the cultures were grown in NBAF medium at 30°C and the cells were collected at the exponential growth phase ($OD_{600} = 0.3$). The mRNAs were extracted using a RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) and residual DNA was removed using DNase I (Thermo Scientific). cDNA synthesis was performed using RevertAid H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Thermo Scientific). Subsequently, the qRT-PCR was performed using a Maxima SYBR Green/ROXqPCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific) in a 96-well plate with the Light-Cycler II (Roche). Gene-specific oligonucleotides used for qRT-PCR are indicated in Supplementary Table 1. The recA was used as an internal standard gene for PCR amplification (Holmes et al., 2005). Normalized fold changes of the relative expression ratio were quantified by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). All experiments were performed in triplicates and their average values were calculated.

DNA Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays

Fragments of the regulatory region of GSU2678 (334 bp), *pgcA* (391 pb), *acnA* (242 pb), GSU1072 (*IcIR*, 510 pb), and GSU1771 (195 bp) were amplified from *G. sulfurreducens* DLI chromosomal DNA by PCR with the corresponding oligonucleotides pair (**Supplementary Table 1**). A fragment containing the regulatory region, used as a negative internal control in the DNA binding reactions, was also obtained

by PCR with IG_303F and IG_303R oligonucleotides (Hernández-Eligio et al., 2017). PCR products were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). Binding reactions were performed by mixing 100 ng of each PCR product with 100 ng of the IG_303 fragment (negative control) and increasing concentrations of purified IHF complex, in a total volume of 20 μ l of binding buffer (Martínez et al., 2014). Binding buffer contained 40 mM HEPES, 8 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.05% Nonidet P-40, and 0.1 mg ml⁻¹ BSA. Protein-DNA binding reactions were incubated at room temperature for 30 min and then electrophoretically separated in 6% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels in 0.5X Tris-borate-EDTA buffer at room temperature. The DNA fragments were stained with ethidium bromide and visualized with a Gel Doc DZ imager (Bio-rad).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

G. sulfurreducens Has Duplicated ihfA and ihfB Genes

G. sulfurreducens encodes four different subunits of the IHF heterodimer, two genes for the α subunit (*gsu1521*, named *ihfA-1*; and *gsu2120*, named *ihfA-2*), plus two for the β subunit (*gsu1746*, named *ihfB-1*; and *gsu2602*, named; *ihfB-2*). These genes are located in different *loci*, and their proteins present a moderate degree of conservation: IHF α 1 is 63% identical to IHF α 2 while IHF β 1 is 54% identical to IHF β 2. Among IHF α and IHF β sequences, identity ranges from 38 to 31%. Amino acid sequence of IHF α 1 and IHF α 2 are 49 and 47% identical to IHF α of *E. coli*, respectively; while IHF β 1 and IHF β 2 are 47 and 48% identical





with IHF β of *E. coli*, respectively (**Figure 1**). These results suggest that the gene duplication present in the Deltaproteobacteria is very ancient but occurred after the separation of the Gamma and Deltaproteobacteria lineages. *D. vulgaris* H is the only Deltaproteobacteria in which the role of IHF has been studied; in this organism, IHF participates in the expression of the *orp* genes involved in cell division (Fiévet et al., 2014).

Deletion of $\triangle ihfA-1$ or $\triangle ihfB-2$ Impairs *G. sulfurreducens* Respiration

In order to inquire into the functionality of each IHF copy and their possible physiological role, single null mutants of *ihfA-1*, *ihfA-2*, *ihfB-1*, and *ihfB-2* genes were constructed. Each strain was cultivated in a media containing different electron acceptors [fumarate or soluble Fe(III)]. In all cases, acetate was the main electron donor (**Figure 2**). Δ *ihfA-*1 and Δ *ihfB-2* strains grew slower than the wild type strain, showing an extended lag phase; in contrast, no grow differences were observed between $\Delta ihfA-2$, $\Delta ihfB-1$ and the wild type strains when fumarate was the sole electron acceptor (**Figure 2A**). Using soluble Fe(III) as a terminal electron acceptor, $\Delta ihfA-1$ strain showed an impaired Fe(III) respiration; and most significantly, $\Delta ihfB-2$ strain stuck after a slight Fe(III) reduction (**Figure 2B**). These results suggest the relevant role of IHF in electron transfer to fumarate and soluble Fe(III). In contrast, $\Delta ihfA-2$ and $\Delta ihfB-1$ reduced soluble Fe(III) at a similar rate compared to the parental strain (**Figure 2B**). Moreover, indicate that under fumarate and soluble Fe(III) reduction conditions, the functional *G. sulfurreducens* IHF heterodimer is most likely assembled by IHF α 1 and IHF β 2 subunits.

To confirm that the defective phenotype in growth and reduction of Fe(III) in $\Delta ihfB-2$ strain was due to the *ihfB-2* gene's inactivation and not for a polar effect, we carried out the complementation of this mutant strain. Plasmids pRG5.1 or pRG5.1ihfB-2 were transformed into $\Delta ihfB-2$ strain. The Fe(III) reduction of $\Delta ihfB-2$ strain was complemented to wild-type levels in the presence of pRG5.1ihfB-2 plasmid but not the pRG5.1 vector control (**Supplementary Figure 3**).

Expression Analysis of *ihf* Genes in Fumarate and Fe(III) as Electron Acceptors

Following with the analysis of duplicated *G. sulfurreducens ihf* genes, we carried out a semi-quantitative RT-PCR assay to assess a differential gene expression between *ihfA-1*, *ihfA-2*, *ihfB-1*, and *ihfB-2* (**Figure 3**). The results reveal that the four *ihf* genes are transcribed under citrate Fe(III) and fumarate reducing conditions (**Figure 3**). Nevertheless, expression levels of *ihfA-1* were 35- and 17-fold higher compared to *ihfA-2*, while *ihfB-2* showed 9- and 5-fold higher expression compared to *ihfB-1* under fumarate and citrate Fe(III) reduction conditions, respectively. Thus, these significantly different expression data along with the observed phenotypes for the single mutant strains, suggests that the IHF heterodimer in *G. sulfurreducens* is composed by the IHF α 1 and IH β 2 subunits.

Whole Genome RNA-Seq Analysis of *G. sulfurreducens* DL1 vs. $\Delta ihfA-1$ and $\Delta ihfB-2$

To gain insight into the cellular processes that IHF regulates in G. sulfurreducens, we carried out RNA-Seq experiments to identify differential gene expression in $\Delta ihfA$ -1 and $\Delta ihfB$ -2 vs. DL1 strain in acetate-fumarate medium. We only considered genes that showed differential expression by the three methods evaluated in this study, DESeq, edgeR, and NOISeq (p < 0.05and fold change >2). A total of 89 genes (73 upregulated and 16 downregulated) exhibited significant differential expression between DL1 and $\Delta ihfA-1$ strains (Supplementary Table 2), while 122 (85 upregulated and 37 downregulated) showed significant differential expression between DL1 and $\Delta ihfB-2$ strains (Supplementary Table 3). These genes were classified into 13 different functional classes (Figure 4A). The functional classes with the highest differentially expressed genes in the $\Delta ihfA$ -1 strain compared to the wild type strain were: Unknown function (25); Energy metabolism and electron transport (18); and Regulatory functions and transcription (11). On the other hand, the functional classes with the highest differential

TABLE 2 | List of genes with significant differential expression in ΔihfA-1 and ΔihfB-2 strains compared to the DL1 strain.

			Average <i>n</i> -fold change	
Regulation	Locus ID	Common name	∆ihfA-1	∆ihfB-2
Upregulated genes	GSU0050	HIRAN domain-containing protein	3.2276	2.6253
	GSU0216	Hypothetical protein	3.1211	3.1363
	GSU0469	Hypothetical protein	2.1078	3.3448
	GSU0654	Thiamin biosynthesis thiocarboxylate synthase, <i>thiF-1</i>	2.3445	3.0897
	GSU0725	Hypothetical protein	2.3397	4.05
	GSU0756	Methyl-accepting chemotaxis sensory transducer, mcp40H-24	2.1209	2.9501
	GSU0836	RNA polymerase-binding protein Rnk, mk-2	3.6744	6.6063
	GSU1072	IcIR family transcriptional regulator	2.8499	3.961
	GSU1771	DNA/RNA-binding protein	2.1474	3.2477
	GSU1943	PEP motif-containing protein exosortase substrate	2.9812	2.8307
	GSU2077	Hypothetical protein	2.4391	3.9434
	GSU2078	Rod shape determining protein RodA, <i>rodA</i>	2.1888	3.1208
	GSU2355	Hypothetical protein	2.4721	3.3426
	GSU2403	Hypothetical protein	2.757	3.3031
	GSU2410	ATP-independent chaperone, hspA-2	2.8468	6.53
	GSU2678	ATP-independent chaperone	3.5087	5.318
	GSU3514	Hypothetical protein	3.1357	2.4363
	GSU3545	Hypothetical protein	3.9183	4.3686
	GSU3546	Hypothetical protein	2.7054	2.3838
Downregulated genes	GSU0182	Murein lipoprotein	-2.0813	-2.2693
	GSU0846	Aconitate hydratase, acnA	-2.0336	-2.038
	GSU0847	Rubredoxin	-2.8882	-2.7882
	GSU0848	Ferredoxin, frx-5	-2.4185	-2.7748
	GSU1761	Lipoprotein cytochrome c, pgcA	-2.6794	-2.1816
	GSU1945	Repeat-containing protein	-2.1108	-3.2159

expression genes in the $\Delta ihfB-2$ compared to the wild type strain were: Unknown function (31); Others (20), Regulatory functions and transcription (16), and Energy metabolism and electron transport (14).

RNA-Seq analyses also revealed that 25 genes were differentially expressed in both $\Delta ihfA-1$ and $\Delta ihfB-2$ strains (19 upregulated and six downregulated) compared to DL1 (Figure 4B and Table 2). One of these genes, pgcA (GSU1761), encodes the triheme-cytochrome PgcA whose expression and presence increase during growth with Fe(III) oxide compared to Fe(III) citrate (Ding et al., 2008; Aklujkar et al., 2013). The deletion of pgcA shows a severe reduction of Fe(III) and Mn(IV) oxides but not in Fe(III) citrate and NBAF (Tremblay et al., 2011; Zacharoff et al., 2017). While the addition of purified PgcA protein to wild type and $\Delta pgcA$ strains increases the rate of Fe(III) oxides reduction, 2and 20-fold, respectively (Zacharoff et al., 2017). Other cytochromes with transcriptional changes in the $\Delta ihfA$ -1 strain compared to wild type were: omcT (GSU2503), omcS (GSU2504), GSU2937, GSU3228, GSU3232, GSU3233 (upregulated), and in the $\Delta ihfB-2$ strain were: ppcB (GSU0364), omcE (GSU0618) (upregulated) and GSU1785, GSU2725, GSU2883, GSU3274 (downregulated). Accordingly, the heme stain of subcellular fractions of $\Delta ihfA$ -1 and ihfB-2 strains revealed an extensive lack of most periplasmic, inner and outer membrane associated cytochromes compared to DL1 strain (Figure 5A). This reduced cytochrome content was not due to differences in protein loading, as validated by Coomassie Blue staining (Supplementary Figure 4). The notoriously affected cytochromes content in OM enriched fraction from $\Delta ihfA-1$ and $\Delta ihfB-2$ strains, is also in agreement with the impaired soluble Fe(III) reduction previously observed in both strains (Figure 2B).

The *acnA* (GSU0846) gene was also downregulated in $\Delta ihfA$ -1 and $\Delta ihfB$ -2 strains compared to DL1 strain. It codes for aconitate hydratase I, an enzyme that catalyzes the isomerization of citrate to isocitrate via cis-aconitate. Thus, the reduced expression of *acnA* in $\Delta ihfA$ -1 and $\Delta ihfB$ -2 strains might exert a negative effect on the tricarboxylic acids metabolism delaying the growth of both mutant strains during fumarate reduction (**Figure 2A**). However, the contribution of the other two *G. sulfurreducens* genes encoding putative aconitase hydratases (GSU1660 and GSU2445) still needs to be clarified.

Another gene that was differentially expressed in the $\Delta ihfA$ -1 and $\Delta ihfB$ -2 strains compared to the parental strain was GSU1771 (upregulated in both mutant strains). It encodes a transcriptional regulator homologous to the *Streptomyces* antibiotic regulatory protein (SARP) that regulates secondary metabolism (Tremblay et al., 2011; Reguera and Kashefi, 2019). Disruption of *G. sulfurreducens* GSU1771 gene was previously reported to have a positive effect during Fe(III) oxides reduction, and this phenotype was associated with an increased expression of the gene encoding the structural pili protein, *pilA* (Tremblay et al., 2011). Therefore, we decided to evaluate the impact of *ihfA*-1 and *ihfB*-2 deletion over PilA production by immunoblot (**Figure 5B**). Notably, PilA was not detected in $\Delta ihfB$ -1 and $\Delta ihfB$ -2 strains, contrary to the parental strain (**Figure 5B**).



GSU1072 encodes a IcIR family regulator, which is upregulated in the $\Delta ihfA$ -1 and $\Delta ihfB$ -2 strains. Proteins grouped in this family control genes whose products are involved in the glyoxylate shunt in Enterobacteriaceae, multidrug resistance, degradation of aromatics, and inactivation quorum-sensing signals, determinants of plant pathogenicity and sporulation (Molina-Henares et al., 2006). In *G. sulfurreducens*, GSU1072 controls the metabolism of hydrogen and transport of acetate by regulating the transcription of the Hya operon and the GSU1068 and GSU1070 genes (Mahadevan et al., 2008).

The expression of RpoN-dependent promoters requires efficient communication between enhancer proteins (EBPs) and bound promoter RpoN-RNAP holoenzyme, usually separated by 100–140 bp. Due to the large number of RpoNdependent promoters and different EBPs in *G. sulfurreducens*, we hypothesized that IHF participates in the expression of a large number of genes. Genome-wide microarray transcriptional profiling of a RpoN over-expression strain revealed changes in the genome expression profile of 196 genes (Leang et al., 2009). Our RNA-Seq analysis showed that 19 and 7 genes with transcriptional changes in $\Delta ihfB-2$ and $\Delta ihfA-1$ strains, respectively (**Supplementary Table 4**), also have changes in the RpoN regulon (Leang et al., 2009). Moreover, we detected 20 and 10 differentially expressed genes in the $\Delta ihfA-1$ and $\Delta ihfB-2$ strains, respectively, containing putative

Locus ID	Common name	Avg ∆ <i>ihfA-1/</i> Avg DL1	Avg ∆ <i>ihfB-2</i> /Avg DL1
GSU0846	Aconitate hydratase, acnA	0.408	0.288
GSU1771	DNA/RNA-binding protein	1.617	1.717
GSU1761	Lipoprotein cytochrome c, pgcA	0.091	0.305
GSU0421	Flagellar motor switch protein, fliM	2.713	ND
GSU0941	Nitrogen fixation sensor histidine kinase, gnfK	21.4	ND
GSU2822	Nitrogen fixation response regulator, gnfR	6.964	ND
GSU2408	Heat shock protein, Hsp20 family	4.387	ND
GSU1785	Cytochrome c	ND	0.532
GSU2723	Periplasmic sulfoxide reductase, yedY	ND	0.283
GSU1496	PilA protein, <i>pilA^a</i>	0.054	0.045

TABLE 3 Expression of genes with relevant phenotype observed in RNA-seq experiments comparing *\DeltainfB-1* and *\DeltainfB-2* vs. DL1 strains by qRT-PCR.

n-fold changes were calculated based on the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Avg, Average and ND, not determined. ^aNot determined by RNA-Seq but validated by RT-qPCR.



RpoN-promoters in their regulatory region (**Supplementary Table 5**). These data suggest that genes with transcriptional change and predicted RpoN-promoters in the $\Delta ihfA-1$ and $\Delta ihfB-2$ strains might be direct targets of regulation by IHF and RpoN. Future studies are necessary to determine their regulatory mechanisms.

qRT-PCR Gene Expression of Selected Genes

To corroborate our RNA-Seq results, some genes were selected for further validation through quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR). Selected genes encode proteins involved in electron transfer (pgcA, GSU1785, and pilA), transcriptional regulators (GSU1771, gnfR, gnfK), central metabolism (acnA), and others (GSU0421, GSU2408, and yedY) (**Table 3**). Upregulation of GSU1771 and downregulation of acnA and pgcA in $\Delta ihfA-1$ and $\Delta ihfB-2$ strains compared with wild type was confirmed by qRT-PCR. Similarly, the expression of fliM, gnfK, gnfR, and GSU2408 was high in $\Delta ihfA-1$, and low transcription of GSU1785 and GSU2723 observed in the RNA-Seq analysis of $\Delta ihfB-2$ strain was also confirmed by qRT-PCR. Furthermore, the low transcription of pilA gene in $\Delta ihfA-1$ and $\Delta ihfB-2$ strains was also corroborated (**Table 3**), being in agreement with our immunoblot results (**Figure 5B**), and with the higher expression of GSU1771 determined for both mutant strains compared to DL1 strain (**Supplementary Tables 2,3**). These data support the critical role of IHF in *G. sulfurreducens* physiology and EET.

IHFβ2 Copurified With IHFα1

Our results suggest that the products of *ihfA-1* and *ihfB-2* genes assembly the functional IHF complex in *G. sulfurreducens*, regulating the transcription of several elements responsible for the transfer of electrons to soluble and insoluble acceptors such as cytochromes and pilin. To verify the interaction between IHF α 1 and IHF β 2, both genes were cloned in tandem under the same promoter, while a sequence coding a histidine tag was added

to *ihfA-1* (see section "Materials and Methods"). After protein induction in *E. coli*, we evaluated the capability of IHF α 1 to retain the untagged IHF β 2, and co-elute from a Ni-NTA resin (**Supplementary Figure 5**). This pull-down assay corroborated the interaction between IHF α 1 and IHF β 2. The interaction of IHF β 2 with IHF α 1 was specific since no elution of IHF β 2 was observed in unbound and washed fractions. These results, along with our previous data, suggest that in *G. sulfurreducens*, IHF α 1 and IHF β 2 compose the major IHF heterodimer.

IHF Binds to the Promoter Regions of the *acnA*, *GSU1771*, *pgcA*, *GSU2678*, and *GSU1072* Genes

To assess whether the IHF directly contributes to the expression of the *acnA*, GSU1771, GSU2678, *pgcA*, and GSU1072 (*IcIR*) genes, we evaluated the binding of IHF to their promoter regions by electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). DNA fragments containing the whole intergenic regions of *acnA* (242 bp), GSU1771 (195 bp), *pgcA* (391 pb), GSU1072 (510 bp), and GSU2678 (334 bp) were amplified by PCR and subjected to EMSA with purified IHF (IHFβ2/IHF α 1). As shown in **Figure 6**, the interaction of IHF with all promoter regions was observed. These interactions were specific since no binding of IHF with the control DNA fragment was observed (*gsu303*). These results



FIGURE 7 | Putative IHF binding sites in the *acnA*, *pgcA*, GSU1771, GSU 2678, and GSU1072 (*IcIR*) promoter regions. The IHF *E. coli* binding site consensus sequence is shown. Conserved nucleotides are in bold and the percentage of similarity with respect to the consensus sequence is shown.

strongly suggest that the IHF heterodimer composed by IHF β 2 and IHF α 1 directly affects the transcription of *acnA*, GSU1771, *pgcA*, GSU1072, and GSU2678 genes through the binding to their promoter regions, and suggests that the rest of the genes with transcriptional changes in both Δ *ihfA-1* and Δ *ihfB-2* strains could be directly regulated by IHF.

Identification of IHF Binding Sites in acnA, GSU1771, pgcA, GSU2678, and GSU1072 Promoter Regions

We carried out an *in silico* analysis of *acnA*, GSU1771, *pgcA*, GSU2678, and GSU1072 promoter regions to identify potential IHF binding sites that matched the consensus binding site 5'-WATCARXXXTTR-3' (W is A or T, R is A or G) of E. coli (Hales et al., 1994), using the Virtual footprinting program³ (Figure 7). In silico analysis suggest the presence of one potential IHF binding site within the acnA promoter region (BS1), located at position -7 from the start of translation. In the promoter region of *pgcA* there are three potential IHF binding sites (BS1, BS2, BS3), located at positions -230, -249, and -275 from the start of translation, upstream of the predicted GEMM RNA motif element (-64 to -170). In the promoter region of GSU1771 three binding sites were predicted (BS1, BS2, BS3), located at -29, -76, and -143, respectively, from the start of translation. On the other hand, in the regulatory region of GSU1072 (IcIR) two possible binding sites were predicted (BS1 and BS2), located at -68 and -228 upstream of the translation start. Finally, in the regulatory region of GSU2678, there were three putative binding sites (BS1, BS2, BS3), located at -151, -171, and -195 from the start of translation.

In *D. vulgaris* H, IHF regulates transcription of the *orp1* and *orp2* genes involved in cell division; each gene has one functional binding site for IHF, and they match the consensus IHF recognition sequence of *E. coli* (Fiévet et al., 2014). Together, the results suggest that some of the predicted IHF binding sites in the regulatory regions analyzed are functional in *G. sulfurreducens*. Site-directed mutation studies on these sites are necessary to confirm this hypothesis.

CONCLUSION

In this work, we elucidated the role of IHF in electron transfer to fumarate, and soluble Fe(III) in *G. sulfurreducens*. To our knowledge, this is the first report where single *ihf* gene mutations strongly affect the cytochrome content in bacteria. In contrast to HU, another member of the DNABII family of DNA-binding proteins, IHF operates as a heterodimeric protein composed of an α and a β subunits; nevertheless, homodimers could conserve some functionality. Concerning that notion, heterologous expression *in trans* of *G. sulfurreducens ihfA-1* or *ihfB-1* (independent genes under *trc* promoter) severely affects *E. coli* growth (data not shown).

The sqRT-PCR results showed that, albeit at a different level, all four ihf genes are transcribed during fumarate and

soluble Fe(III) reduction; so it is plausible to infer that more than one possible combination between the IHF subunits eventually can occur. For instance, in the absence of each of the two most abundant subunits (IHF α 1 or IHF β 2), homodimeric or different complexes could occur. However, evaluation of stability/functionality of *G. sulfurreducens* IHF hetero- and homodimers requires to be evaluated. These results suggest that, at least under the evaluated conditions, *G. sulfurreducens* IHF heterodimeric complex is most likely composed of IHF α 1 and IHF β 2. A plausible interpretation of the *ihf* duplicity could be related to the significant ammount of RpoN-dependent promoters in *G. sulfurreducens*.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study can be found in GEO repository, to review GEO accession GSE160901: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE160901.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AA and AH-E contributed to the conceptualization, investigation, and formal analysis. KJ carried out the design, supervised, and coordinated the study. LV-A performed the RNA-Seq statistical analyses. AT and MO contributed to the plasmids construction for IHF expression and performed the EMSA assay. AA, AH-E, EM, and KJ wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was financially supported by the Council of Science and Technology of Mexico (Grants CB-179684 and CB-255476) and PAPIIT-UNAM No. IN210017. AA and AH-E were recipients of a DGAPA-UNAM and CONACyT postdoctoral fellowship, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Leticia Olvera, Paul Gaytan, Shirley Ainsworth, Eugenio Bustos, and Jorge Yañez for their technical support. Oligonucleotides and automated sequencing were performed at the Unit for DNA Sequence and Synthesis of the Instituto de Biotecnología, UNAM. Finally, we greatly acknowledge the support from the UUSMB for their contribution in sample analyses.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2021. 626443/full#supplementary-material

³http://prodoric.tu-bs.de/vfp/vfp_promoter.php

REFERENCES

- Aklujkar, M., Coppi, M. V., Leang, C., Kim, B. C., Chavan, M. A., Perpetua, L. A., et al. (2013). Proteins involved in electron transfer to Fe(III) and Mn(IV) oxides by *Geobacter sulfurreducens* and *Geobacter uraniireducens*. *Microbiology* 159, 515–535. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.064089-0
- Alexeyev, M. F., Shokolenko, I. N., and Croughan, T. P. (1995). Improved antibiotic-resistance gene cassettes and omega elements for *Escherichia coli* vector construction and in vitro deletion/insertion mutagenesis. *Gene* 160, 63–67. doi: 10.1016/0378-1119(95)00108-i
- Anders, S., and Huber, W. (2010). Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome Biol.* 11:R106.
- Bond, D. R., and Lovley, D. R. (2003). Electricity production by *Geobacter* sulfurreducens attached to electrodes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69, 1548–1555. doi: 10.1128/aem.69.3.1548-1555.2003
- Caccavo, F. Jr., Lonergan, D. J., Lovley, D. R., Davis, M., Stolz, J. F., and McInerney, M. J. (1994). *Geobacter sulfurreducens* sp.nov., a hydrogen- and acetate-oxiding dissimilatory metal-reducing microorganisms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 60, 3752–3759. doi: 10.1128/aem.60.10.3752-3759.1994
- Calb, R., Davidovitch, A., Koby, S., Giladi, H., Goldenberg, D., Margalit, H., et al. (1996). Structure and function of the *Pseudomonas putida* integration host factor. J. Bacteriol. 178, 6319–6326. doi: 10.1128/jb.178.21.6319-6326.1996
- Cannon, W. V., Kreutzer, R., Kent, H. M., Morett, E., and Buck, M. (1990). Activation of the *Klebsiella pneumoniae* nifU promoter: identification of multiple and overlapping upstream NifA binding sites. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 18, 1693–1701. doi: 10.1093/nar/18.7.1693
- Chan, C. H., Levar, C. E., Zacharoff, L., Badalamenti, J. P., and Bond, D. R. (2015). Scarless genome editing and stable inducible expression vectors for *Geobacter* sulfurreducens. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 81, 7178–7186. doi: 10.1128/aem. 01967-15
- Coppi, M. V., Leang, C., Sandler, S. J., and Lovley, D. R. (2001). Development of a genetic system for *Geobacter sulfurreducens*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67, 3180–3187. doi: 10.1128/aem.67.7.3180-3187.2001
- de Lorenzo, V., Herrero, M., Metzke, M., and Timmis, K. N. (1991). An upstream XylR- and IHF-induced nucleoprotein complex regulates the sigma54-dependent Pu promoter of TOL plasmid. *EMBO J.* 10, 1159–1167. doi: 10.1002/j.1460-2075.1991.tb08056.x
- Delic-Attree, I., Toussaint, B., Froger, A., Willison, J. C., and Vignais, P. (1996). Isolation of an IHF-deficient mutant of a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mucoid isolate and evaluation of the role of IHF in *algD* gene expression. *Microbiology* 142, 2785–2793. doi: 10.1099/13500872-142-10-2785
- Ding, Y.-H. R., Hixson, K. K., Aklujkar, M. A., Lipton, M. S., Smith, R. D., Lovley, D. R., et al. (2008). Proteome of *Geobacter sulfurreducens* grown with Fe(III) oxide or Fe(III) citrate as the electron acceptor. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1784, 1935–1941. doi: 10.1016/j.bbapap.2008.06.011
- Dorman, C. J. (2009). Nucleoid-associated proteins and bacterial physiology. Adv. Appl. Microbiol. 67, 47–64. doi: 10.1016/s0065-2164(08)01002-2
- Fiévet, A., Cascales, E., Valette, O., Dolla, A., and Aubert, C. (2014). IHF is required for the transcriptional regulation of the *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough *orp* operons. *PLoS One*. 9:e86507. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0086507
- Francis, R. T. Jr., and Becker, R. R. (1984). Specific indication of hemoproteins in polyacrylamide gels using a double-staining process. *Anal. Biochem.* 136, 509–514. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(84)90253-7
- Groove, A. (2011). Functional evolution of bacterial histone-like HU proteins. *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.* 13, 1–12.
- Hales, L. M., Gumport, R. I., and Gardner, J. F. (1994). Determining the DNA sequence elements required for binding Integration Host Factor to two different target sites. J. Bacteriol. 176, 2999–3006. doi: 10.1128/jb.176.10.2999-3006.1994
- Haluzi, H., Goitein, D., Koby, S., Mendelson, I., Teff, D., Mengeritsky, G., et al. (1991). Genes coding for integration host factor are conserved in gram-negative bacteria. J. Bacteriol. 173, 6297–6299. doi: 10.1128/jb.173.19.6297-6299.1991
- Hernández-Eligio, A., Andrade, Á, Soto, L., Morett, E., and Juárez, K. (2017). The unphosphorylated form of the PilR two-component system regulates *pilA* gene expression in *Geobacter sulfurreducens. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* Int. 24, 25693–25701. doi: 10.1007/s11356-016-6192-5
- Hernández-Eligio, A., Pat-Espadas, A. M., Vega-Alvarado, L., Huerta-Amparán, M., Cervantes, F. J., and Juárez, K. (2020). Global transcriptional analysis of *Geobacter sulfurreducens* under palladium reducing conditions reveals new key

cytochromes envolved. Appl. Microbiol. Biotech. 104, 4059–4069. doi: 10.1007/s00253-020-10502-5

- Holmes, D. E., Bond, D. R., O'Neil, R. A., Reimers, C. E., Tender, L. R., and Lovley, D. R. (2004). Microbial communities associated with electrodes harvesting electricity from a variety of aquatic sediments. *Microbial. Ecol.* 48, 178–190. doi: 10.1007/s00248-003-0004-4
- Holmes, D. E., Nevin, K. P., O'Neil, R. A., Ward, J. E., Adams, L. A., Woodard, T. L., et al. (2005). Potential for quantifying expression of the Geobacteraceae citrate synthase gene to assess the activity of Geobacteraceae in the subsurface and on Current-Harvesting electrodes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 6870–6877. doi: 10.1128/aem.71.11.6870-6877.2005
- Hoover, T. R., Santero, E., Porter, S., and Kustu, S. (1990). The integration host factor stimulates interaction of RNA polymerase with NifA, the transcriptional activator for nitrogen fixation operons. *Cell* 63, 11–22. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(90)90284-1
- Ilves, H., Hõrak, R., Teras, R., and Kivisaar, M. (2004). IHF is the limiting host factor in transposition of *Pseudomonas putida* transposon Tn4652 in stationary phase. *Mol. Microbiol.* 51, 1773–1785. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2003.03948.x
- Kamashev, D., Agapova, Y., Rastorguev, S., Talyzina, A. A., Boyko, K. M., Korzhenevskiy, D. A., et al. (2017). Comparison of histone-like HU protein DNA-binding properties and HU/IHF protein sequence alignment. *PLoS One* 12:e0188037. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0188037
- Kanehisa, M., and Goto, S. (2000). KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 28, 27–30.
- Kim, B.-C., Leang, C., Ding, Y.-H., Glaven, R. H., Coppi, M. V., and Lovley, D. R. (2005). OmcF, a putative c-type monoheme outer membrane cytochrome required for the expression of other outer membrane cytochromes in *Geobacter sulfurreducens. J. Bacteriol.* 187, 4505–4513. doi: 10.1128/jb.187.13.4505-4513. 2005
- Kovach, M. E., Phillips, R. W., Elzer, P. H., Roop, R. M. II, and Peterson, K. M. (1994). pBBR1MCS: a broad-host-range cloning vector. *Biotechniques* 16, 800– 802.
- Leang, C., Krushkal, J., Ueki, T., Puljic, M., Sun, J., Juárez, K., et al. (2009). Genomewide analysis of the RpoN regulon in *Geobacter sulfurreducens*. BMC Genomics 10:331. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-10-331
- Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. *Methods* 25, 402–408. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262
- Lloyd, J. R., Leang, C., Hodges Myerson, A. L., Coppi, M. V., Cuifo, S., Methé, B., et al. (2003). Biochemical and genetic characterization of PpcA, a periplasmic c-type cytochrome in *Geobacter sulfurreducens*. *Biochem. J.* 369, 153–161. doi: 10.1042/bj20020597
- Lovley, D. R. (2006). Bug juice: harvesting electricity with microorganisms. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 4, 497–508. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1442
- Lovley, D. R., Holmes, D. E., and Nevin, K. P. (2004). Dissimilatory Fe(III) and Mn (IV) reduction. *Adv. Microb. Physiol.* 49, 219–286. doi: 10.1016/s0065-2911(04) 49005-5
- Lovley, D. R., and Phillips, E. J. P. (1988). Novel mode of microbial energy metabolism organic carbon oxidation coupled to dissimilatory reduction of iron or manganese. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 54, 1472–1480. doi: 10.1128/aem.54.6. 1472-1480.1988
- Mahadevan, R., Yan, B., Postier, B., Nevin, K. P., Woodard, T. L., O'Neil, R., et al. (2008). Characterizing regulation of metabolism in *Geobacter sulfurreducens* through genome-wide expression data and sequence analysis. *OMICS* 12, 33– 59. doi: 10.1089/omi.2007.0043
- Martínez, L. C., Martínez-Flores, I., Salgado, H., Fernández-Mora, M., Medina-Rivera, A., Puente, J. L., et al. (2014). In silico identification and experimental characterization of regulatory elements controlling the expression of the *Salmonella csrB* and *csrC* genes. J. Bacteriol. 196, 325–336. doi: 10.1128/jb. 00806-13
- Methé, B. A., Nelson, K. E., Eisen, J. A., Paulsen, I. T., Nelson, W., Heidelberg, J. F., et al. (2003). Genome of *Geobacter sulfurreducens*: metal reduction in subsurface environments. *Science* 302, 1967–1969. doi: 10.1126/science. 1088727
- Molina-Henares, A. J., Krell, T., Guazzaroni, M. E., Segura, A., and Ramos, J. L. (2006). Members of the IclR family of bacterial transcriptional regulators function as activators and/or repressors. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 30, 157–186. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2005.00008.x

- Morett, E., and Bork, P. (1998). Evolution of new protein function: recombinational enhancer Fis originated by horizontal gene transfer from the transcriptional regulator NtrC. *FEBS Lett.* 433, 108–112. doi: 10.1016/s0014-5793(98)00888-6
- Morett, E., and Segovia, L. (1993). The sigma54 bacterial enhancer binding protein family: mechanism of action and phylogenetic relationship of their functional domains. J. Bacteriol. 175, 6067–6074. doi: 10.1128/jb.175.19.6067-6074.1993
- Muir, R. E., and Gober, J. W. (2005). Role of integration host factor in the transcriptional activation of flagellar gene expression in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *J. Bacteriol.* 187, 949–960. doi: 10.1128/jb.187.3.949-960.2005
- Murphy, K. C., Campellone, K. G., and Poteete, A. R. (2000). PCR-mediated gene replacement in *Escherichia coli*. Gene 246, 321–330. doi: 10.1016/s0378-1119(00)00071-8
- Ohnishi, K., Fan, F., Schoenhals, G. J., Kihara, M., and Macnab, R. M. (1997). The FliO, FliP, FliQ, and FliR proteins of *Salmonella typhimurium*: putative components for flagellar assembly. *J. Bacteriol.* 179, 6092–6099. doi: 10.1128/ jb.179.19.6092-6099.1997
- Reguera, G., and Kashefi, K. (2019). The electrifying physiology of Geobacter bacteria, 30 years on. Adv. Microb. Physiol. 74, 1–96. doi: 10.1016/bs.ampbs. 2019.02.007
- Reguera, G., McCarthy, K. D., Mehta, T., Nicoll, J. S., Tuominen, M. T., and Lovley, D. R. (2005). Extracellular electron transfer via microbial nanowires. *Nature* 435, 1098–1101. doi: 10.1038/nature03661
- Rice, P. A., Yang, S., Mizuuchi, K., and Nash, H. A. (1996). Crystal structure of an IHF-DNA complex: a protein-induced DNA U-turn. *Cell* 87, 1295–1306. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81824-3
- Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., and Smyth, G. K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26, 139–140. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616
- Robinson, M. D., and Oshlack, A. (2010). A scaling normalization method for differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data. *Genome Biol.* 11:R25.
- Sambrook, J., Fritsch, J. E., and Maniatis, T. (1989). Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, 2nd Edn. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Santero, E., Hoover, T. R., North, A. K., Berger, D. K., Porter, S. C., and Kustu, S. (1992). Role of integration host factor in stimulating transcription from the sigma54-dependent nifH promoter. J. Mol. Biol. 227, 602–620. doi: 10.1016/ 0022-2836(92)90211-2
- Simon, R., Priefer, U., and Pühler, A. (1983). A broad host range mobilization system for in vivo genetic engineering: transposon mutagenesis in Gramnegative bacteria. *Nat Biotechnol.* 1, 784–791. doi: 10.1038/nbt1183-784

- Smith, J. A., Lovley, D. R., and Tremblay, P.-L. (2013). Outer cell surface components essential for Fe(III) oxide reduction by *Geobacter metallireducens*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol*. 79, 901–907. doi: 10.1128/aem.029 54-12
- Swinger, K. K., and Rice, P. A. (2004). IHF and HU: flexible architects of bent DNA. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 14, 28–35. doi: 10.1016/j.sbi.2003.12.003
- Tarzona, S., García-Alcalde, F., Dopazo, J., Ferrer, A., and Conesa, A. (2011). Differential expression in RNA-Seq: a matter of depth. *Genome Res.* 21, 2213– 2223. doi: 10.1101/gr.124321.111
- Thomas, P. E., Ryan, D., and Levin, W. (1976). An improved staining procedure for the detection of the peroxidase activity of cytochrome P-450 on sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gels. *Anal. Biochem.* 75, 168–176. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(76)90067-1
- Tremblay, P. -L., Summers, Z. M., Glaven, R. H., Nevin, K. P., Zengler, K., Barrett, C. L., et al. (2011). A c-type cytochrome and a transcriptional regulator responsible for enhanced extracellular electron transfer in *Geobacter sulfurreducens* revealed by adaptive evolution. *Environ. Microbiol.* 13, 13–23. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02302.x
- Weisberg, R. A., Freundlich, M., Friedman, D., Gardner, J., Goosen, N., Nash, H., et al. (1996). Nomenclature of the genes encoding IHF. *Mol. Microbiol.* 19:642. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1996.t01-2-442924.x
- Yi, H., Nevin, K. P., Kim, B.-C., Franks, A. E., Klimes, A., Tender, L. M., et al. (2009). Selection of variant of *Geobacter sulfurreducens* with enhanced capacity for current production in microbial fuel cells. *Biosens. Bioelectron*. 24, 3498–3503. doi: 10.1016/j.bios.2009.05.004
- Zacharoff, L. A., Morrone, D. J., and Bond, D. R. (2017). Geobacter sulfurreducens extracellular multiheme cytochrome PgcA facilitates respiration to Fe(III) oxides but not electrodes. Front. Microbiol. 8:2481. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017. 02481

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Andrade, Hernández-Eligio, Tirado, Vega-Alvarado, Olvera, Morett and Juárez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.