SHORT REPORT

The Barrett-associated variants at *GDF7* and *TBX5* also increase esophageal adenocarcinoma risk

Jessica Becker^{1,2}, Andrea May³, Christian Gerges⁴, Mario Anders^{5,6}, Claudia Schmidt⁷, Lothar Veits⁸, Tania Noder⁵, Rupert Mayershofer⁹, Nicole Kreuser¹⁰, Hendrik Manner¹¹, Marino Venerito¹², Jan-Hinnerk Hofer¹³, Orestis Lyros¹⁰, Constantin J. Ahlbrand¹⁴, Michael Arras¹⁴, Sebastian Hofer¹⁴, Sophie K. M. Heinrichs^{1,2}, Katharina Weise^{1,2}, Timo Hess^{1,2}, Anne C. Böhmer^{1,2}, Nils Kosiol^{1,2}, Ralf Kiesslich¹¹, Jakob R. Izbicki¹⁵, Arnulf H. Hölscher⁷, Elfriede Bollschweiler⁷, Peter Malfertheiner¹², Hauke Lang¹⁴, Markus Moehler¹⁶, Dietmar Lorenz¹⁷, Katja Ott^{18,19}, Thomas Schmidt¹⁹, Markus M. Nöthen^{1,2}, Andreas Hackelsberger²⁰, Brigitte Schumacher^{4,21}, Oliver Pech²², Yogesh Vashist¹⁵, Michael Vieth⁸, Josef Weismüller²³, Michael Knapp²⁴, Horst Neuhaus⁴, Thomas Rösch⁵, Christian Ell³, Ines Gockel^{10,a} & Johannes Schumacher^{1,2,a}

¹Institute of Human Genetics, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

²Department of Genomics, Life & Brain Center, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

- ⁴Department of Internal Medicine II, Evangelisches Krankenhaus, Düsseldorf, Germany
- ⁵Department of Interdisciplinary Endoscopy, University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

⁶Departments of Gastroenterology and Interdisciplinary Endoscopy, Vivantes Wenckebach-Kinikum, Berlin, Germany

⁷Department of General, Visceral and Cancer Surgery, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

⁸Institute of Pathology, Klinikum Bayreuth, Bayreuth, Germany

⁹Gastroenterologie am Burgweiher, Bonn, Germany

¹⁰Department of Visceral Transplant, Thoracic and Vascular Surgery, University Hospital of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

¹¹Department of Internal Medicine II, HSK Hospital, Wiesbaden, Germany

¹²Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Infectious Diseases, Otto-von-Guericke University Hospital, Magdeburg, Germany

- ¹³Magen Darm Zentrum Wiener Platz, Cologne, Germany
- ¹⁴Department of General, Visceral and Transplant Surgery, University Medical Center, University of Mainz, Mainz, Germany
- ¹⁵Department of General, Visceral and Thoracic Surgery, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
- ¹⁶First Department of Internal Medicine, University Medical Center, University of Mainz, Mainz, Germany

¹⁷Departments of General and Visceral Surgery, Sana Klinikum, Offenbach, Germany

¹⁸Department of General, Visceral and Transplantation Surgery, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

¹⁹Department of General, Visceral and Thorax Surgery, RoMed Klinikum Rosenheim, Rosenheim, Germany

²⁰Gastropraxis, Wiesbaden, Germany

²¹Departments of Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology, Elisabeth Hospital, Essen, Germany

²²Departments of Gastroenterology and Interventional Endoscopy, St. John of God Hospital, Regensburg, Germany

²³Gastroenterologische Gemeinschaftspraxis, Koblenz, Germany

²⁴Institute for Medical Biometry, Informatics and Epidemiology, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

Keywords

Esophageal adenocarcinoma, genetic association study, *TBX*5, *GDF*7, *ALDH*1A2

Correspondence

Johannes Schumacher, Institute of Human Genetics, University of Bonn, Sigmund-Freud-Str. 25,53127 Bonn, Germany. Tel: +49 228 287 51028; Fax: +49 228 287 51011; E-mail: johannes.schumacher@uni-bonn.de

Funding Information

I.G., M.Vieth, and J.S. received support for this work from the Else Kröner Fresenius Stiftung (EKFS); Individual grant 2013_A118. M.M.N. received support for this work from the Alfried

Abstract

Barrett's esophagus (BE) and esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC) represent two stages within the esophagitis-metaplasia-dysplasia-adenocarcinoma sequence. Previously genetic risk factors have been identified that confer risk to BE and EAC development. However, to which extent the genetic variants confer risk to different stages of the BE/EAC sequence remains mainly unknown. In this study we analyzed three most recently identified BE variants at the genes *GDF7* (rs3072), *TBX5* (rs2701108), and *ALDH*1A2 (rs3784262) separately in BE and EAC samples in order to determine their risk effects during BE/EAC sequence. Our data show that rs3072 at *GDF7* and rs2701108 at *TBX5* are also associated with EAC and conclude that both loci confer disease risk also at later stages of the BE/EAC sequence. In contrast, rs3784262 at *ALDH*1A2 was highly significantly associated with BE, but showed no association with EAC. Our data do not provide evidence that the *ALDH*1A2 locus confers equal risk in early and late stages of BE/EAC sequence.

© 2016 The Authors. Cancer Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use,

distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

³Department of Medicine II, Sana Klinikum, Offenbach, Germany

Krupp von Bohlen und Halbach-Stiftung. This work contains substantial parts of the doctoral theses of C.J.A., M.A., and S.H.

Received: 15 October 2015; Revised: 16 December 2015; Accepted: 17 December 2015

Cancer Medicine 2016; 5(5):888-891

doi: 10.1002/cam4.641

^aThese authors have contributed equally.

Introduction

Barrett's esophagus (BE) is characterized by replacement of squamous epithelium by metaplasic columnar epithelium and represents a common premalignant condition affecting 1-2% of the adult population in Western developed countries [1]. Individuals with BE have a 2-4% life time risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC) [2], which presents the end point in the esophagitis-metaplasia-dysplasiaadenocarcinoma sequence. Chronic inflammation due to gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is the predominant etiologic factor for BE [3]. GERD and thereby BE risk is further influenced by hiatal hernia and obesity [3]. In addition, genetic factors play a role in BE and EAC development. So far, two genome-wide association studies (GWAS) for BE have been published. Su et al. used a discovery sample of 1852 BE cases and 5172 controls as well as several replication samples (total of 5986 patients and 12,825 controls) [4]. They identified genome-wide significant BE association at the HLA-region on chromosome 6p21 and near FOXF1 on chromosome 16q24. In a following study using 318 patients and 431 controls it has been shown that both loci confer also EAC risk [5]. The second GWAS by Levine et al. used a combined discovery BE/EAC sample consisting of 2416 BE cases and 1516 EAC cases as well as 3209 controls [6]. Their best findings were followed up in a replication sample (1633 BE/EAC patients and 6911 controls), which lead to genome-wide significant associations near CRTC1 on chromosome 19p13, BARX1 on chromosome 9q22 and FOXP1 on chromosome 3p13. Except for the finding at CRTC1 the associations near BARX1 and FOXP1 have been independently replicated in a sample of 1065 EAC cases and 1019 controls [7].

In addition to these loci, three BE associations have been published most recently [8]. The replication of the GWAS in BE by Sue et al. was extended to a total of 8306 BE cases and 15,890 controls, in which additional 65 prioritized SNPs from the discovery phase were genotyped [4]. This lead to genome-wide significant BE associations at rs3072 on chromosome 2p24 and at rs2701108 on chromosome 12q24 [8]. Within the chromosomal 2 region *GDF7* represents the most promising risk gene and *TBX5* within the chromosomal 12 region. In addition, the authors performed a meta-analysis using both published GWAS datasets and followed up the most significant associations in their replication samples. This lead to an additional genome-wide significant BE association at rs3784262 on chromosome 15q22 with *ALDH1A2* being the most promising risk gene at this locus [8].

In this study, we aimed at replicating the observed BE associations at *GDF7*, *TBX5*, and *ALDH1A2*. In addition, we tested whether the implicated loci are also conferring risk to EAC and if so, whether the risk effects differ between BE and EAC.

Material and Methods

Our sample consisted of 542 BE and 1106 EAC cases as well as 1602 controls, all of German descent. In all cases the diagnosis of BE or EAC was histopathologically confirmed. Controls were a population-based sample from the Heinz Nixdorf Recall (HNR) study, a population-based cohort to study risk factors for cardiovascular diseases [9]. All participants signed informed consent and the study was approved by ethics committees from the Universities of Bonn and Leipzig (Germany). Although none of the controls were diagnosed with EAC, they were not screened for Barrett's esophagus status. The use of unscreened controls may have led to a decrease in statistical power. However, as the prevalence of BE is only 1-2% in the general population [1], the power of the present association study should not have been substantially reduced by the use of unscreened controls [10]. In BE, 171 cases were females and 371 were males, whereas 134 EAC cases were females and 972 EAC cases males. In controls, 802 were females and 800 were males.

In patients, genotyping of all three reported BE risk variants (rs3072, rs2701108, rs3784262) was done using the Sequenom MassARRAY iPlex Gold[®] system (Sequenom, San Diego, USA). For quality control intra- and interplate duplicates were genotyped. In addition, negative controls (H₂O) were added on each 384 well plate in order to exclude contamination. Clusterplot of each SNP was visually checked and manually corrected if necessary. In controls, genotypes for all three markers were obtained from Illumina's HumanOmniExpress BeadArrays (Illumina, San Diego, USA). The genome-wide data of the control sample have been previously used in several GWAS on different traits [11–13].

All genotype data underwent different quality control steps, including Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium P > 0.001 and call rate >99%. Single-marker association analyses including sex as covariate were performed separately for

BE, EAC, and BE/EAC. In addition, for each of the three sample sets the presence of sex-specific association was tested. SAS software (SAS 8.02; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC) was used for the quality control as well as the single-marker association analyses.

Results

Table 1 shows the results of the case-control comparison in BE, EAC, and in the combined sample. In BE we could replicate the association at rs3784262 near ALDH1A2 with $P = 9.70 \times 10^{-04}$ (RR = 0.79, Table 1), the same allele was disease-conferring as previously reported [8]. In addition, the same alleles at rs3072 near GDF7 and at rs2701108 near TBX5 that conferred BE risk in the previously published study [8] were more prevalent in patients than in controls (RR = 1.05 and RR = 0.87, respectively), although this was not significant (Table 1). In EAC we found association at rs3072 near GDF7 with $P = 1.48 \times 10^{-03}$ (RR = 1.20) and at rs2701108 near *TBX5* with $P = 2.47 \times 10^{-02}$ (RR = 0.88, Table 1). Although the same allele at rs3784262 near ALDH1A2 that confers BE risk was slightly more prevalent in patients than in association was not controls, this significant $(P = 1.30 \times 10^{-01}, RR = 0.92, Table 1)$. Given the association findings obtained in the separate analyses, we found significant associations at all three loci in the combined BE/EAC sample. SNP rs3072 at GDF7 was disease associated with $P = 7.53 \times 10^{-03}$ (RR = 1.15), rs2701108 at TBX5 showed disease association with $P = 1.12 \times 10^{-02}$ (RR = 0.88), and rs3784262 at ALDH1A2 with $P = 8.06 \times 10^{-03}$ (RR = 0.88, Table 1). At all three loci, we observed no evidence for sex-specific BE or EAC risk effects (data not shown).

Discussion

BE and EAC represent two stages within the esophagitismetaplasia-dysplasia-adenocarcinoma sequence. It has been shown that genetic risk factors are relevant in the etiology of BE and EAC. However, this does not necessarily mean that genetic variants confer equal risk to all different stages of the disease sequence. In this study, we analyzed three previously published BE variants [8] in BE and EAC samples from Germany in order to determine their risk effects during BE/EAC sequence. Our data show that rs3072 at GDF7 and rs2701108 at TBX5 are also conferring risk to EAC. Although the genetic risk effect of rs2701108 was similar in BE and EAC (RR = 0.87 and RR = 0.88, respectively), the risk effect of rs3072 was even higher in EAC compared to BE (RR = 1.20 and RR = 1.05, respectively). We therefore conclude that both loci are conferring disease risk also at later stages of the BE/EAC sequence. In contrast, rs3784262 at ALDH1A2 was highly significantly BE associated ($P = 9.70 \times 10^{-04}$), but showed no association with EAC ($P = 1.30 \times 10^{-01}$), although the size of the latter sample was substantially larger (542 BE vs. 1106 EAC cases). Although many reasons may have led to an overestimated risk effect of rs3784262 in BE and an underestimated risk effect of this variant in EAC, our data do not provide evidence that this locus confer equal risk in early and late stages of the BE/EAC sequence.

Based on their genomic location and biological function, *GDF7* near rs3072, *TBX5* at rs2701108 and *ALDH1A2* near rs3784262 are all promising risk-conferring genes for BE and EAC (summarized in Palles et al. [8]). *GDF7* encodes the BMP12 protein and thereby functions in the PMP pathway that has been already implicated in BE development [14]. Among various functions *TBX5* plays

Table 1. Association results for the three previously identified BE risk SNPs [8] in 542 BE and 1106 EAC cases as well as 1602 controls of German descent.

Phenotype	SNP	Chromosome	Position (bp) ¹	Allele ²	MAF ³ (%) in cases	MAF ³ (%) in controls	RR ⁴ (95% CI)	P value	Nearby gene ⁵
BE	rs3072	2p24	20,741,887	G/A	38.1	37.0	1.05 (0.91–1.21)	5.32 × 10 ⁻⁰¹	GDF7
BE	rs2701108	12q24	113,158,644	G/A	35.5	38.6	0.87 (0.75–1.01)	6.38 × 10 ⁻⁰²	TBX5
BE	rs3784262	15q22	56,040,398	G/A	40.7	46.4	0.79 (0.68–0.91)	9.70 × 10 ⁻⁰⁴	ALDH1A2
EAC	rs3072	2p24	20,741,887	G/A	41.3	37.0	1.20 (1.07–1.34)	1.48 × 10 ⁻⁰³	GDF7
EAC	rs2701108	12q24	113,158,644	G/A	35.6	38.6	0.88 (0.78–0.98)	2.47×10^{-02}	TBX5
EAC	rs3784262	15q22	56,040,398	G/A	44.3	46.4	0.92 (0.82-1.03)	1.30 × 10 ⁻⁰¹	ALDH1A2
BE/EAC	rs3072	2p24	20,741,887	G/A	40.3	37.0	1.15 (1.04–1.27)	7.53 × 10 ⁻⁰³	GDF7
BE/EAC	rs2701108	12q24	113,158,644	G/A	35.6	38.6	0.88 (0.79–0.97)	1.12 × 10 ⁻⁰²	TBX5
BE/EAC	rs3784262	15q22	56,040,398	G/A	43.1	46.4	0.88 (0.79–0.97)	8.06×10^{-03}	ALDH1A2

¹Chromosomal position according to hg18.

²First allele represents the minor allele.

³Minor allele frequency (MAF) is given for cases and controls.

⁴Relative Risk (RR) with 95% Confidence Interval (CI) indicating the genetic effect size is given for the minor allele.

⁵Nearest gene to the associated SNPs is shown.

a role in the development of diaphragmatic musculature [15] and genetic variation influencing TBX5 may predispose to hiatus hernia and thereby GERD. ALDH1A2 encodes for an enzyme that catalyzes the synthesis of retinoic acid and may also be involved in alcohol metabolism [16] and hence be relevant for inflammation. Of note, alcohol consumption has been discussed as a risk factor for BE/ EAC [17, 18]. However, future studies (including animal models) have to show whether GDF7, TBX5 and ALDH1A2 represent the true risk-conferring genes at the disease associated loci. Furthermore, GWAS have led to the identification of more than 7 BE and EAC risk variants within the past 3 years [4-6, 8]. Aside from functional analyses in order to elucidate the pathophysiological mechanism at each implicated locus and to identify pathways in which risk genes are enriched, further GWAS and GWAS metaanalyses on larger and detailed phenotyped sample sizes with BE and EAC are needed. This also will allow for mapping of all risk variants and genes in the BE/EAC sequence in order to identify biomarkers that predict EAC conversion in future.

References

- Ronkainen, J., P. Aro, T. Storskrubb, S. E. Johansson, T. Lind, E. Bolling-Sternevald, et al. 2005. Prevalence of Barrett's esophagus in the general population: an endoscopic study. Gastroenterology 129:1825–1831.
- Jankowski, J., H. Barr, K. Wang, and B. Delaney. 2010. Diagnosis and management of Barrett's oesophagus. BMJ 341:c4551.
- Reid, B. J., X. Li, P. C. Galipeau, and T. L. Vaughan. 2010. Barrett's oesophagus and oesophageal adenocarcinoma: time for a new synthesis. Nat. Rev. Cancer 10:87–101.
- Su, Z., L. J. Gay, A. Strange, C. Palles, G. Band, D. C. Whiteman, et al. 2012. Common variants at the MHC locus and at chromosome 16q24.1 predispose to Barrett's esophagus. Nat. Genet. 44:1131–1136.
- Dura, P., E. M. van Veen, J. Salomon, R. H. te Morsche, H. M. Roelofs, J. O. Kristinsson, et al. 2013. Barrett associated MHC and FOXF1 variants also increase esophageal carcinoma risk. Int. J. Cancer 1:1751–1755.
- Levine, D. M., W. E. Ek, R. Zhang, X. Liu, L. Onstad, C. Sather, et al. 2013. A genome-wide association study identifies new susceptibility loci for esophageal adenocarcinoma and Barrett's esophagus. Nat. Genet. 45:1487–1493.
- Becker, J., A. May, C. Gerges, M. Anders, L. Veits, K. Weise, et al. 2015. Supportive evidence for FOXP1, BARX1, and FOXF1 as genetic risk loci for the

development of esophageal adenocarcinoma. Cancer Med. 4:1700–1704.

- Palles, C., L. Chegwidden, X. Li, J. M. Findlay, G. Farnham, F. Castro Giner, et al. 2015. Polymorphisms near *TBX5* and *GDF7* are associated with increased risk for Barrett's esophagus. Gastroenterology 148:367–378.
- Schmermund, A., S. Mohlenkamp, A. Stang, D. Gronemeyer, R. Seibel, H. Hirche, et al. 2002. Assessment of clinically silent atherosclerotic disease and established and novel risk factors for predicting myocardial infarction and cardiac death in healthy middle-aged subjects: rationale and design of the Heinz Nixdorf RECALL Study. Risk Factors, Evaluation of Coronary Calcium and Lifestyle. Am. Heart J. 144:212–218.
- Moskvina, V., P. Holmans, K. M. Schmidt, and N. Craddock. 2005. Design of case-controls studies with unscreened controls. Ann. Hum. Genet. 69(Pt 5):566–576.
- Gockel, I., J. Becker, M. M. Wouters, S. Niebisch, H. R. Gockel, T. Hess, et al. 2014. Common variants in the HLA-DQ region confer susceptibility to idiopathic achalasia. Nat. Genet. 46:901–904.
- Ludwig, K. U., E. Mangold, S. Herms, S. Nowak, H. Reutter, A. Paul, et al. 2012. Genome-wide metaanalyses of nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate identify six new risk loci. Nat. Genet. 44:968–971.
- Mühleisen, T. W., M. Leber, T. G. Schulze, J. Strohmaier, F. Degenhardt, J. Treutlein, et al. 2014. Genome-wide association study reveals two new risk loci for bipolar disorder. Nat. Commun. 5:3339.
- 14. Castillo, D., S. Puig, M. Iglesias, A. Seoane, C. de Bolos, V. Munitiz, et al. 2012. Activation of the BMP4 pathway and early expression of CDX2 characterize non-specialized columnar metaplasia in a human model of Barrett's esophagus. J Gastrointest Surg. 16:227–237, discussion 37.
- Mori, A. D., and B. G. Bruneau. 2004. TBX5 mutations and congenital heart disease: Holt-Oram syndrome revealed. Curr. Opin. Cardiol. 19:211–215.
- Gyamfi, M. A., M. G. Kocsis, L. He, G. Dai, A. J. Mendy, and Y. J. Wan. 2006. The role of retinoid X receptor alpha in regulating alcohol metabolism. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 319:360–368.
- Kubo, A., T. R. Levin, G. Block, G. J. Rumore, C. P. Jr Quesenberry, P. Buffler, et al. 2009. Alcohol types and sociodemographic characteristics as risk factors for Barrett's esophagus. Gastroenterology 136:806–815.
- Wong, A., and R. C. Fitzgerald. 2005. Epidemiologic risk factors for Barrett's esophagus and associated adenocarcinoma. Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 3:1–10.