

EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF NEUROSCIENCE

European Journal of Neuroscience, Vol. 30, pp. 1517–1526, 2009

doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.06939.x

NEUROSYSTEMS

Segregation of short-wavelength-sensitive (S) cone signals in the macaque dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus

Sujata Roy,¹ Jaikishan Jayakumar,¹ Paul R. Martin,^{1,2} Bogdan Dreher,^{3,4} Yuri B. Saalmann,^{1,*} Daping Hu¹ and Trichur R. Vidyasagar¹

¹Department of Optometry & Vision Sciences, University of Melbourne, Carlton, Vic. 3053, Australia

²National Vision Research Institute, Carlton, Vic., Australia

³School of Medical Sciences & Bosch Institute, University of Sydney, NSW, Australia

⁴Sydney Node of ARC Centre of Excellence in Vision Science, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

Keywords: color vision, dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus, koniocellular, macaque, short-wavelength-sensitive cones

Abstract

An important problem in the study of the mammalian visual system is whether functionally different retinal ganglion cell types are anatomically segregated further up along the central visual pathway. It was previously demonstrated that, in a New World diurnal monkey (marmoset), the neurones carrying signals from the short-wavelength-sensitive (S) cones [blue–yellow (B/Y)-opponent cells] are predominantly located in the koniocellular layers of the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN), whereas the red–green (R/G)-opponent cells carrying signals from the medium- and long-wavelength-sensitive cones are segregated in the parvocellular layers. Here, we used extracellular single-unit recordings followed by histological reconstruction to investigate the distribution of color-selective cells in the LGN of the macaque, an Old World diurnal monkey. Cells were classified using cone-isolating stimuli to identify their cone inputs. Our results indicate that the majority of cells carrying signals from S-cones are located either in the koniocellular layers or in the 'koniocellular bridges' that fully or partially span the parvocellular layers. By contrast, the R/G-opponent cells are located in the parvocellular layers. We conclude that anatomical segregation of B/Y- and R/G-opponent afferent signals for color vision is common to the LGNs of New World and Old World diurnal monkeys.

Introduction

Afferent neuronal signals in the primate visual system are conveyed in multiple parallel channels. These channels are fed by parallel arrays of retinal ganglion cells, each with its distinct functional and morphological characteristics (for review see Dacey, 1999; Masland & Martin, 2007; Werblin & Roska, 2007). The ganglion cells that project to the parvocellular and magnocellular layers of the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) have been extensively studied in both Old World and New World monkeys (Wiesel & Hubel, 1966; Dreher et al., 1976; Sherman et al., 1976; Norden & Kaas, 1978; Schiller & Malpeli, 1978; Hicks et al., 1983; Derrington & Lennie 1984; Derrington et al., 1984; Yeh et al., 1995; Martin et al., 1997; White et al., 1998; Blessing et al., 2004) and in prosimian primates (Norton & Casagrande, 1982; Irvin et al., 1986, 1993; Holdefer & Norton, 1995; Yamada et al., 1998). Linking the anatomy and function of the third (koniocellular) subdivision of the afferent visual pathway has been more problematic. Where measured, the functional properties of koniocellular cells also show

Received 19 February 2009, revised 4 August 2009, accepted 11 August 2009

great diversity (Irvin et al., 1986; Holdefer & Norton, 1995; White et al., 1998; Xu et al., 2001). Furthermore, in Old World monkeys the koniocellular layers are thin and are not clearly demarcated from the main parvocellular and magnocellular layers (Kaas et al., 1978; Hendry & Reid, 2000). The question of whether the koniocellular layers are involved in color vision has been addressed in a New World diurnal monkey, the common marmoset. In this species the koniocellular layer K3 is sandwiched between the main magnocellular and parvocellular layers of the LGN and is broad enough (Kaas et al., 1978; Spatz, 1978) to make histological reconstruction of the sites of physiological recordings relatively straightforward. These experiments showed that S-cone input cells in the marmoset LGN are segregated in koniocellular layer K3 (Martin et al., 1997; White et al., 1998; Solomon et al., 1999; Szmajda et al., 2006). In macaques, S-cone-initiated signals are known to be carried by the small bistratified ganglion cells (Dacey & Lee, 1994) and the homologous small bistratified cell in marmosets projects to layer K3 of the LGN (Szmajda et al., 2008).

One early study that characterised blue–yellow (B/Y)-opponent cells in the macaque LGN (Schiller & Malpeli, 1978) localised them within the middle two of the six main layers of the LGN. However, that study, in common with several other functional studies of the macaque LGN (Wiesel & Hubel, 1966; Dreher *et al.*, 1976; Hicks *et al.*, 1983; Derrington & Lennie 1984; Derrington *et al.*, 1984), did not specifically distinguish the koniocellular layers from the parvocellular or magnocellular layers.

Correspondence: Dr Trichur R. Vidyasagar, as above.

E-mail: trv@unimelb.edu.au

^{*}Present address: Center for the Study of Brain, Mind and Behavior, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA

Re-use of this article is permitted in accordance with the Terms and Conditions set out at http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/authorresources/onlineopen.html

1518 S. Roy et al.

Here, we have investigated the properties of cells in the macaque LGN using a battery of functional tests including cone-isolating stimuli, and performed histological reconstruction of cell locations with explicit delineation of the koniocellular regions of the LGN. We also measured the latency of cell responses (action potentials) to electrical stimulation of the optic chiasm. The distributions of electrically-evoked latencies in magnocellular and parvocellular cells are non-overlapping ranges (Dreher *et al.*, 1976; Vidyasagar *et al.*, 2002), so we asked whether the koniocellular pathway in macaques can also be distinguished in this way. We have also used the responses to sine wave-modulated grating presentations to estimate the centre and surround sizes and sensitivities of the receptive fields of the redgreen (R/G)- and B/Y-opponent cells. Preliminary results have been already published in the form of an abstract (Roy *et al.*, 2007).

Materials and methods

Anaesthesia and surgery

Electrophysiological recordings were made from four adult Macaca fascicularis (5-5.6 kg, two male and two female). Anaesthesia was induced with intramuscular administration of a mixture of ketamine hydrochloride (15 mg/kg, Ketamil; Parnell Laboratories, Australia Pty. Ltd.) and xylazine (2 mg/kg, Rompun; Bayer Australia Ltd.) and maintained initially by supplementary administration of ketamine and xylazine as required. Both cephalic veins were catheterised and the trachea was cannulated. A thermister was inserted under the right scapula for monitoring body temperature and the animal was placed in the stereotaxic frame with its vertebrae suspended to aid clearance of pulmonary secretions. After the induction of skeletal muscle paralysis with vecuronium (0.7 mg/kg i.v.; Norcuron, Organon), anaesthesia and muscle relaxation were maintained with an intravenous infusion of sufentanil (2-6 µg/kg/h; Sufentanil, Jaansen-Cilag) and vecuronium (0.2 mg/kg/h). The electrocardiogram and electroencephalogram were continually monitored to help in ensuring adequate depth of anaesthesia. Through the second venous line, a slow infusion of 5% glucose in normal saline was administered throughout the experiment to maintain a total fluid administration of ~200 mL per day. The ventilation was adjusted to maintain end-tidal CO₂ between 3.6 and 3.8%. The body temperature was kept to \sim 36°C using a servocontrolled heating blanket. Experiments were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the University of Melbourne and conformed to NIH guidelines and the Australian code of practice for the care and use of animals for scientific purposes.

Electrophysiological recordings and visual stimuli

A cranial opening was made between Horsley–Clark co-ordinates anterior 2–12 and lateral 7–15 mm. Small craniotomies were made near the optic chiasm (around Horsley–Clark co-ordinates anterior 19 and lateral 2 mm) for inserting stimulating electrodes. Epoxy-coated tungsten microelectrodes (impedance 5–12 M Ω ; Frederick Haer Co, Bowdoinham, ME, USA) were used for the extracellular recordings from single neurons in the LGN. The pupils were dilated with atropine (1%) and the eyes fitted with gas-permeable contact lenses. The position of the optic nerve head and the fovea of each eye were plotted at regular intervals during the experiment using a Fundus camera equipped with a rear-projection device. The refractive error of each eye was determined by retinoscopy and corrected with the use of appropriate lenses. A 3-mm-diameter artificial pupil was used for each eye.

After initial hand-plotting of the receptive field in relation to the fovea, drifting achromatic (luminance modulated) sine-wave gratings

were used to establish the optimal spatial and temporal frequencies and optimal direction of movement, except in cases where achromatic gratings gave poor responses. In these latter cases, the best chromatic stimulus [long-wavelength (L)-medium-wavelength (M) chromatic or S-cone-isolating; see Table 1) was used to ascertain the near-optimal spatial frequency, temporal frequency and direction of movement. The visual stimuli were generated using either a VSG Series Three or a Visage video signal generator (Cambridge Research Systems, Cambridge, UK) and presented on a Reference Calibrator Plus monitor (Barco, Kortrijk, Belgium) at a frame refresh rate of 80 Hz. The VSG system incorporates a photometric feedback system for colorimetric specification and gamma correction to allow direct specification of stimuli in Commission internationale de l'éclairage (CIE) coordinates (x, y, Y). Mean luminance was between 25 and 60 cd/m^2 . The accuracy of the system was verified with a PR-650 photometer (Photo Research, Palo Alto, CA, USA). Spatial frequency tuning functions were measured using moving sine-wave gratings of eleven different spatial frequencies (0.01, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, 2.4, 3.2, 4.8, 6.4 & 12.8 cycles/°). Nine different drift frequencies (0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16 and 32 cycles/s) were used to measure the temporal frequency tuning. The directional tuning curves were measured using drifting gratings at 22.5° steps. The gratings were presented as circular patches, 6° in diameter. Contrast sensitivity functions were derived using achromatic sine-wave gratings drifting at optimal spatial frequency and orientation, at nine different contrasts (1.56, 3.12, 6.75, 9.35, 12.5, 25, 37.5, 50 and 100%). Measurements were normally made at 4 Hz temporal frequency in order to reduce the confounding effects of centre-surround latency differences.

Spectral absorbance templates (nomograms) with peak wavelengths at 560, 530 and 430 nm were generated using a polynomial template (Lamb, 1995). Lens absorbance was corrected using published values for human lens (Wyszecki & Stiles, 1982). The effect of receptor self-screening was estimated assuming axial absorbance of 1.5% and outer segment length 20 μ m. No correction for macular pigment was made. The cone contrast for a given stimulus was calculated for each nomogram by convolution with the [x, y, Y] coordinates of the grating components via the Judd–Voss modified CIE 1931 color matching functions (Brainard, 1997).

The S-cone-isolating gratings were modulated between CIE co-ordinates (0.294, 0.268) and (0.336, 0.414) through the grey point (0.317, 0.335). The M-cone- and L- cone-selective gratings were generated using the red and green monitor phosphors. Table 1 shows the cone contrast for the visual stimuli that we used. These stimuli enabled us to characterise the cone inputs to the cells and the presence of any color opponency in the LGN cells. The reader should note that the presence of S-cone contrast in the M- and L-cone-selective stimuli is not a major issue, as other studies (Sun *et al.*, 2006a,b) and our own tests showed that all parvocellular and magnocellular cells showed little or no evidence of input from S-cones. Peristimulus time histograms (PSTHs) were built from the unit response to 2–4 s of the grating drifting at the optimum temporal frequency and repeated 3–5 times. The amplitude of

TABLE 1. Cone contrasts of the short-wavelength (S), medium-wavelength (M) and long- wavelength (L) respectively for the various stimuli used in this study

	S (423 nm)	M (530 nm)	L (558 nm)
S-cone-isolating	0.4621	0.0095	0.0013
Silent L-cone	-0.1688	-0.4736	-0.0554
Silent M-cone	0.3156	-0.0176	0.415
Achromatic	1	1	1
LM chromatic	0.078	-0.2601	0.1907

© The Authors (2009). Journal Compilation © Federation of European Neuroscience Societies and Blackwell Publishing Ltd European Journal of Neuroscience, 30, 1517–1526 the first harmonic component of the fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the PSTH was extracted to provide a measure of the cell's response. A difference-of-Gaussians (DOG) model (Rodieck, 1965) was applied to the spatial frequency response function to calculate the radius of the receptive field centre and the centre and surround sensitivities (Croner & Kaplan, 1995; Solomon *et al.*, 2002).

In two monkeys, we inserted a pair of concentric stimulating electrodes (NEX-100; Clark Electromedical Instruments, Reading, UK), one on either side of the optic chiasm, and measured the latencies of evoked action potentials (spikes) in the LGN to both ipsilateral and contralateral electrical stimulation (constant current pulses of 0.5–10 mA and duration 100–200 μ s at 0.25–1 Hz).

Histology

In each penetration one to three electrolytic lesions (6 μ A for 6 s, electrode negative) were made. At the end of the experiment, the macaque was given a lethal intravenous dose of pentobarbitone sodium (5 mL of Nembutal, 60 mg/mL; Merial Australia Pty Ltd) and transcardially perfused with 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS),

followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PBS. The brain was removed and sunk in 30% sucrose in 0.1 M phosphate buffer. Alternate frozen coronal 50-µm sections through the LGN were stained with Cresyl violet for Nissl substance. A Zeiss Axiocam digital camera was used to make digital micrographs and the stacks of images were analysed using custom software written in Matlab (Image Processing Toolbox; Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA). Each electrode track with its physiologically characterised cells was reconstructed with the help of the electrolytic lesions, microdrive readings and changes of eye laminae. All physiologically identified cells were allocated their respective laminar locations. Identification of the koniocellular zone, including the 'bridges' spanning parvocellular layers, was assisted by spatial low-pass filtering of the images using an image manipulation software (Adobe Photoshop or Matlab). Such low-pass spatial filtering (roughly equivalent to optical blurring) reduces the spatial contrast of the koniocellular layers, because the cell bodies in these layers are relatively small and their density is relatively low (LeGros Clark, 1941; von Noorden & Middleditch, 1975; Hendry, 1992; Ahmad & Spear, 1993). The effectiveness of this simple procedure is evident when one inspects Fig. 1. Low-pass-filtered regions can be objectively



FIG 1. Sequence of digital image processes carried out on (A) a Nissl-stained section to define the koniocellular layers and their 'bridges' within the LGN. (B) After applying a Gaussian filter to remove the higher spatial frequencies, (C) the low-frequency regions are segmented using an 'opening' filter. (D) This image is superimposed as the blue channel of the original image. The arrowheads in A indicate the paths of two electrode tracks. The black filled arrowhead indicates the site of an electrolytic lesion. The small yellow arrowheads in C indicate two koniocellular 'bridges' spanning the external parvocellular layers and the large white arrowheads show the positions of three B/Y cells encountered on the electrode path indicated in A.

1520 S. Roy et al.

segmented using standard Matlab image processing toolbox functions (*imfilter, imopen*; Mathworks). The borders of the koniocellular layers and their extensions along with the main parvocellular and magnocellular layers were outlined by inspection and the positions of labelled cells were reconstructed. The arrowheads in panel A in Fig. 1 indicate the paths of two electrode tracks in this section. The black filled arrowhead indicates the site of an electrolytic lesion. The yellow arrowheads in panel C indicate two koniocellular 'bridges' spanning the external parvocellular layers. The white arrowheads show the positions of three B/Y cells (the terms 'B/Y cells' and 'B/Y-opponent cells' are used interchangeably; similarly with 'R/G') encountered on the electrode path indicated in panel A.

Results

LGN cells with S-cone inputs

We recorded single-unit activity of 92 cells. The receptive fields of these cells were located between 2 and 12 degrees from the centre of the fovea. Most of the electrode tracks did not extend into the magnocellular layers, either because the line of penetration was outside the magnocellular layers or because we intentionally stopped when the physiological recordings indicated that the electrode had entered the magnocellular layers. Based upon the responses to the cone-modulating stimuli, we could identify each cell as a R/G cell (i.e., primarily antagonistic L-cone and M-cone inputs), a B/Y cell (i.e., with S-cone inputs) or an achromatic cell (i.e., primarily with inphase L-cone and M-cone inputs). We report here mainly the results related to the R/G and B/Y cell classes, which made up 88 of the 92 recorded cells. Figure 2 shows the responses of four cells (a Blue On, a Blue Off, a Red Off and a Green On) to drifting sine-wave gratings modulating the cones in three different ways. The top row shows

spatial frequency response functions of the first harmonic response to S-cone modulation, the middle row shows the responses to achromatic gratings modulating all three cone types in-phase and the lower row shows responses to L-M chromatic modulation. The two B/Y cells in the first two columns show vigorous responses to the S-cone modulation and weak responses to achromatic and L-M chromatic gratings. In contrast, the two cells whose responses are illustrated on the right show no response to S-cone-selective gratings but vigorous responses to L-M chromatic and to achromatic stimuli. These two cells were identified as typical parvocellular R/G-opponent cells, as the cone contrast in the L-M modulation stimulus was 16% of that for the achromatic stimuli, yet the response to the isoluminant chromatic stimuli was at least as vigorous as the response to achromatic contrast.

B/Y cells often respond to achromatic stimuli (Fig. 3). However, responses to S-cone-isolating stimuli were always more vigorous than responses to achromatic stimuli. Contrast sensitivity functions of both a Blue On cell (Fig. 3, bottom left) and a Blue Off cell (Fig. 3, bottom right) obtained with achromatic gratings with a spatial frequency evoking optimal responses with S-cone-isolating stimuli show that only at very high luminance contrasts do the B/Y cells show any response approaching that seen with S-cone-isolating stimuli.

Background, or maintained, activity (f0 component of the FFT) was measured at the mean screen luminance in the absence of spatial contrast. The mean \pm SD background discharge rate of R/G cells (13.19 \pm 7.74; n = 47) was not significantly different from that of B/Y cells (11.96 \pm 11.83; n = 18, P = 0.14, Wilcoxon rank-sum test).

No cells in our sample showed overt direction selectivity. However, as orientation sensitivity has been reported both for retinal ganglion (Levick & Thibos, 1982; Passaglia *et al.*, 2002) and LGN (Vidyasagar & Urbas, 1982; Shou & Leventhal, 1989; Smith *et al.*, 1990; Xu *et al.*, 2002; Tailby *et al.*, 2008) cells, we characterised orientation selectivity with an orientation index metric, which varies between zero (no



FIG 2. Classification of LGN cells. Each column shows spatial frequency transfer functions for one cell of the indicated response type. Each row shows responses to one stimulus type. The top row shows responses to stimuli modulating only the S-cones and the second row shows responses to achromatic gratings modulating all three cone types in additive phase. The lower row shows responses to R/G (L–M) chromatic modulation. The temporal frequency of the drift was 4 Hz and the orientation was optimised for each cell. The cone contrasts for each stimulus are shown in Table 1. The insets show PSTHs made with a bin width of 10 ms from the response to a low (0.01 cycles/deg) spatial frequency condition in each panel. The phase of Blue On cell responses to achromatic stimuli could be either On or Off and, in this cell, it is Off. The abscissa is 0.25 s and the ordinate 10 impulses/s. The horizontal grey lines show the amplitude of the f1 component of the FFT in the absence of spatial contrast.



FIG 3. Contrast sensitivity functions for a Blue On cell and a Blue Off cell (same cell as in Fig. 2) for achromatic gratings (bottom row) along with their responses at different spatial frequencies for S-cone-isolating and achromatic gratings (top two rows). The drift frequency used was 4 Hz for both cells. The contrast sensitivity functions were done at the optimal spatial frequency and direction of movement for each cell. The grey horizontal lines show the amplitude of the f1 component of the FFT in the absence of spatial contrast.

orientation selectivity) and unity (Levick & Thibos, 1982; Forte *et al.*, 2005). On average both B/Y and R/G populations showed mild orientation selectivity for achromatic gratings (mean orientation index for B/Y cells, 0.144 ± 0.130 , n = 9; mean for R/G cells, 0.105 ± 0.081 , n = 29; P = 0.81, Wilcoxon paired rank-sum test). A more detailed study of orientation bias and its spatial frequency dependence was not made.

Size and sensitivity of receptive field centre and surround

Using a DOG model (Rodieck, 1965) we estimated the radii and sensitivity of receptive field centre and surround from the response amplitudes obtained with achromatic sine-wave gratings of optimal spatial and temporal frequencies and orientation (Croner & Kaplan, 1995; White *et al.*, 2001). The matrix shown in Fig. 4 plots centre and surround radii, their respective sensitivities and the visual field eccentricity against each other for each of the 36 R/G-opponent cells and 16 B/Y-opponent cells for which complete data are available. The R/G-opponent cells have, on average, smaller centre radii than B/Y-opponent cells (Wilcoxon ranked-sum test, P < 0.01). This has been noted earlier for both macaques (Wiesel & Hubel, 1966; Schiller & Malpeli, 1978; Solomon *et al.*, 2005) and marmosets (Tailby *et al.*, 2008). The average centre sizes of both cell types show little change

within 10° of eccentricity, from where most of our sample comes. Centre sensitivity was also inversely proportional to the radius with a regression slope close to -2. For R/G-opponent cells, $K_c = 0.08$ and $r_c^{-2.04}$ ($r^2 = 0.94$, P < 0.01) and for B/Y-opponent cells, $K_c = 0.07$ and $r_c^{-2.14}$ ($r^2 = 0.89$, P < 0.01), where K_c is centre sensitivity and r_c is centre radius. That the slope of the regression is close to -2 indicates that the centre sensitivity is inversely proportional to the square of the radius.

For a subset of B/Y cells (n = 14) we measured spatial frequency tuning for achromatic and S-cone-isolating gratings. Four of these cells did not respond at > 5 impulses/s to any achromatic grating presented. For the remaining cells we fitted the responses to a DOG model as described above. Centre radius for achromatic gratings (0.529 ± 0.451 , n = 10) was not significantly different from the centre radius for Scone-isolating gratings (mean 0.529 ± 0.451 , n = 10; P = 0.92, Wilcoxon paired rank-sum test). The centre radii measured for achromatic and S-cone-isolating gratings were mildly correlated (correlation coefficient 0.55, P = 0.10). Consistent with results obtained from B/Y-opponent cells in marmoset LGN (Tailby et al., 2008), we did observe wide variation in the shape of the achromatic spatial tuning function. We further characterized the spatial tuning of B/Y cells using the low-cut ratio statistic (Tailby et al., 2008). The low-cut ratio is calculated as the response to the lowest frequency divided by that to the best frequency. The low-cut ratio can vary between 0 (indicating that



FIG 4. Relationships between centre radius (rc), surround radius (rs), centre sensitivity (Kc), surround sensitivity (Ks) and visual field eccentricity for R/G and B/Y cells. Radii are in degrees and sensitivity in impulses/s/deg².

responses are completely attenuated at low frequencies) and 1 (indicating that the tuning function is low pass). The B/Y cell responses to S-cone gratings was more low-pass (mean \pm SD low-cut ratio 0.998 \pm 0.005, n = 10) than the response to achromatic gratings (0.754 \pm 0.332, n = 10) but the difference was not significant (P = 0.12, Wilcoxon paired rank-sum test). We did not undertake a more detailed analysis of achromatic spatial tuning in B/Y cells.

Laminar location of color-opponent LGN cells

The locations of physiologically identified cells were located in histological sections as explained in Materials and Methods. The low-pass filtering (or just optical blurring) delineated the koniocellular extensions and bridges intruding into the parvocellular layers. Such intrusions were more common in the inner parvocellular layers, P3 and P4, and were most prominent in P4 (see Fig. 1D). Figure 5 shows four reconstructed electrode tracks in the LGN (one track from each of the four animals). In each case the B/Y-opponent cells were located in or close to the koniocellular regions identified histologically. The distribution of color-opponent cells from 15 electrode tracks in four

monkeys are pooled and shown distributed across the geniculate laminae in Fig. 6. They are identified by their laminar position, whether they were On or Off and whether they were R/G- or B/Yopponent, and placed at the relative distance from the intercalated layers. The figure also distinguishes cells that were located in koniocellular intrusions and bridges in the parvocellular laminae. We have categorised these cells as being within the koniocellular subdivision of the LGN. We restricted our analysis to cells that were recorded dorsal to the magnocellular layers. Of the 88 color-opponent cells shown in Fig. 6, four cells were excluded as they were in the koniocellular layer K2 between the two magnocellular layers. The distribution of the main cohort of the remaining 84 color-opponent cells across the laminae was analysed with reference to the cell type, i.e., whether they had On or Off centre receptive fields and whether they were R/G- or B/Y-opponent.

There were 61 cells that received antagonistic inputs from M- and L-cones and 23 cells that received inputs from S-cones. Forty-two of the R/G-opponent cells and 19 of the B/Y-opponent cells were of the On-centre type. Overall, contrary to report of Schiller & Malpeli (1978), we did not find any indication of segregation of On- and



FIG 5. Electrode tracks, one from each of the four animals, with locations of the functionally identified cells, reconstructed from three or four Nissl-stained sections. The koniocellular extensions into the parvocellular layers (into P3 in A, B and D and P4 in C) near the electrode tracks are shown, but not all such koniocellular bridges in the sections are shown in the figure. The inset provides the key for cell types. The horizontal black lines on the electrode tracks indicate the sites of electrolytic lesions.

Off-centre cells to the outer (K5, P5, K6, P6) and middle (K3, P3, K4, P4) laminae respectively ($\chi^2 = 0.505$, P = 0.48). When the R/G cells alone were analysed, a mild tendency for such segregation was seen ($\chi^2 = 2.16$, P = 0.14). Sixty-three per cent (12 out of 19) of Off-centre cells and 43% (18 out of 42) of the On-centre cells were located in the middle laminae.

The most clear-cut segregation found in our sample of geniculate cells (see Fig. 6) was with regard to the distribution of R/G-opponent cells vs. cells with S-cone inputs. They differed in two ways. First, the B/Y-opponent cells were found mostly within the middle laminae (K3-P4) rather than in the outer ones (K5-P6). While R/G-opponent cells were nearly equally common in the middle and outer layers (30 of the 61 cells being in the middle layers), most of the B/Y-opponent cells (20/23) were found in the middle layers ($\chi^2 = 9.89$, P = 0.002). Second, the B/Y cells tended to be located in the koniocellular regions of the LGN. As mentioned in Materials and Methods, the koniocellular bridges and extensions into the parvocellular layers were also included in what we term the 'koniocellular region' of the LGN. When thus analysed, 74% of the B/Y cells (17/23) were located in such koniocellular regions, whereas only 5% (3/61) of the R/Gopponent cells were located in these same regions ($\chi^2 = 43.8$, P < 0.001). This is a high degree of segregation, especially considering the errors in estimation that can be potentially introduced due to

the thinness of the koniocellular layers. The reader should note that the histological reconstructions were all done 'double-blind', i.e., cell locations were identified without knowledge of their physiological classification.

Latency of the responses of LGN neurons to electrical stimulation of their retinal afferents

In two monkeys we obtained orthodromic spike-response latencies to electrical stimulation of the optic chiasm. There was a wide variation in the orthodromic latencies for both R/G- and B/Y-opponent cell type (Fig. 7). It was not always possible to evoke orthodromic action potentials in the LGN cells with the current range we used and not all the LGN cells that could be driven by electrical stimulation were driven from both sides of the chiasm. The mean latency for contralaterally-evoked responses of B/Y-opponent cells was only slightly longer (5.22 ± 0.19 ms, mean \pm SEM; n = 5) than the mean latency for R/G-opponent cells (4.4 ± 0.09 ms, n = 6) and this difference was not statistically significant (Mann–Whitney *U*-test, P = 0.23). Similarly, only a weak trend was seen for ipsilaterally-evoked spike-response latencies (4.38 ± 0.22 ms, n = 5, vs. 3.9 ± 0.9 ms, n = 9; Mann–Whitney *U*-test, P = 0.63). In summary, although the longest-latency



FIG 6. Pooled data of the laminar distribution of cell types within the LGN from all four monkeys (n = 88). The cells are placed along the schematised depth of the LGN, roughly proportionate to their distance from the immediately ventral koniocellular layer. In the left panel, the B/Y cells are shown with Blue On cells left of the vertical line and Blue Off cells to the right. In the right panel, R/G cells are shown with Red On and Red Off left of the vertical line and Green On and Green Off to the right. Where cells were localised in the koniocellular bridges in the parvocellular layers, such bridges are shown in the figure along with the cells localised within them. All except six cells (three R/G-opponent and three B/Y-opponent) were localized in the expected eye specific layer.

cells were B/Y cells, there was extensive overlap between the B/Yand R/G-opponent cells. Therefore, we conclude that that the latencies of responses of LGN cells to electrical stimulation of the optic chiasma cannot be taken as a definitive criterion for identification of LGN cells as koniocellular or parvocellular.

Discussion

Our results suggest that the distribution of color-selective cells within the macaque's LGN follows a pattern similar to that described in the



FIG 7. Distribution of latencies of R/G-opponent and B/Y-opponent LGN cells to electrical stimulation from electrodes placed to straddle the optic chiasm. The inset provides the key to cell type (R/G- or B/Y-opponent) and latency to electrical stimulation of either ipsilateral or contralateral optic chiasm.

marmosets (Martin *et al.*, 1997; Szmajda *et al.*, 2006). The fact that the koniocellular layers of the macaque LGN are very thin and dispersed has hampered study of the functional properties of koniocellular regions in this species. Despite the noise that this anatomical 'inconvenience' introduces into the data, we find a clear segregation of cells with S-cone inputs (B/Y-opponent cells) to the koniocellular regions of the macaque's LGN. It is to be noted that we have included the koniocellular bridges within the parvocellular layers as part of our target, koniocellular, region. About 40% (9/23) of the B/Y cells were in such koniocellular extensions.

Our study has not systematically included the koniocellular layers deeper to the two magnocellular layers (K1 and K2) and any of their extensions into neighboring magnocellular regions. However, it is interesting that the only two B/Y cells recorded ventral to K3 were both found in the koniocellular layer, K2. It will be worthwhile to explore whether, similar to the dorsal layers, the ventral layers of the LGN contain koniocellular regions within them which are the targets of S-cone signals.

We found that the latency of the spike responses to electrical stimulation of the optic chiasma cannot be used as a definitive criterion to identify koniocellular inputs to the macaque LGN. Even with our limited sample, there was considerable overlap between the conduction velocities of axons of R/G-opponent and B/Y-opponent ganglion cells. A similar result was obtained by Solomon et al. (2005) who found that R/G and B/Y ganglion cells in intraocular recordings showed substantial overlap in antidromically-evoked latencies. By contrast, Irvin et al. (1986) found slow conduction velocity afferents to the interlaminar-koniocellular layers of the LGN in the nocturnal prosimian primate Galago. As this species lacks a functional S-cone pathway (Deegan & Jacobs, 1996), it can be speculated that axons of 'non-blue' koniocellular afferents have slower conduction velocity, but our sample had too few non-blue koniocellular cells to address this question. It is also worth noting that, if the assessment of conduction velocities of retinal axons is based on measurements over longer distances than those from the optic chiasm, LGN response latencies might be more useful in identification of very slowly conducting vs. slowly conducting retinal afferents, as has indeed been shown for the functional identification of retinogeniculate afferents in the cat (Cleland *et al.*, 1976).

The inverse relationship between the radii of the receptive field centre and centre sensitivity with a regression slope of -2 for all cells indicates that, as in New World diurnal monkeys (White *et al.*, 2001), the integrated responsivity of the receptive field centre is constant irrespective of the centre size. Recently, Tailby *et al.* (2008) showed that in marmosets the integrated sensitivity of Blue On cells (for S-cone-selective gratings) is higher than the integrated sensitivity of parvocellular cells (for achromatic gratings). A larger data set in the macaque may be able to show a similar difference, unless there is a genuine species variation.

Our anatomical analysis assumed that the parvocellular layers of the macaque include many extensions of the koniocellular regions, as hinted earlier on the basis of the neurochemical identification of LGN cells (Hendry & Yoshioka, 1994). We found that simple lowpass spatial filtering of Nissl-stained sections can enhance the visibility of not only the main koniocellular layers but also the koniocellular extensions into the parvocellular layers. We found that, with regard to the cone inputs, when these extensions are included as part of the koniocellular subdivision of the LGN they encompass the majority of the S-cone input cells. Nevertheless, further test of our assumption will require new experiments correlating the distribution of neurochemical markers for the koniocellular layers (see for review Hendry & Reid, 2000) with the pale regions revealed by spatial filtering. Our study was largely focused on color-opponent cells in the macaque LGN, and we did not make detailed analysis of cells showing 'non-standard' properties. Thus, our results do not preclude the possibility that the koniocellular regions of the macaque LGN may be a heteregenous population with achromatic cells intermingled with the B/Y cells.

Our study provides the most direct evidence to date in support of earlier studies (Hendry & Reid, 2000; Chatterjee & Callaway, 2003) suggesting that in macaques, as in New World monkeys, S-cone signals are carried by the koniocellular pathway. Although there is physiological evidence that many striate cortical cells with S-cone inputs may also receive magnocellular inputs (Vidyasagar et al., 2002), the separation of the B/Y-opponent and R/G-opponent cells in the LGN may have a number of functional implications. First, it provides a site for selective modulation of the B/Y pathway by extraretinal inputs, both cortical and subcortical (Casagrande et al., 2005; Schütz et al., 2008). Second, the segregation could enable the direct koniocellular projection to the middle temporal (MT) area (Sincich et al., 2004) to carry an S-cone signal to the dorsal cortical stream. There is human psychophysical evidence not only for the presence of such S-cone inputs to area MT (Morand et al., 2000; but see Riecanský et al., 2005) but also for the participation of the B/Yopponent system in directing spatial attention to a greater extent than the R/G-opponent system (Li et al., 2007). Demonstration of the segregation of the B/Y system in the Old World monkeys has also implications for studying possible differential influence of the two opponent pathways in various psychophysical phenomena and in clinical conditions such as blindsight (Weiskrantz, 2004).

Our findings support the suggestion that in all diurnal primates the chromatic channels are segregated between the koniocellular and parvocellular regions of the LGN. This means that in those primates which are dichromatic and in the forebears of all primates which were likely to have been dichromatic (Nathans, 1999), the koniocellular cells may be the only neurons that convey opponent color signals to the cortex. Thus the koniocellular pathway may be the primordial chromatic pathway in the mammalian lineage leading to trichromatic primates.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mr Pinate Aramsangrungroj for help with computer programming and Dr Péter Buzás for help with some of the experiments. The work was supported by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council grants 251 600 and 454 576.

Abbreviations

B/Y, blue-yellow; CIE, Commission internationale de l'éclairage; DOG, difference-of-Gaussians; FFT, fast Fourier transform; LGN, dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus; PSTH, peristimulus time histogram; R/G, red–green.

References

- Ahmad, A. & Spear, P.D. (1993) Effects of aging on the size, density, and number of rhesus monkey lateral geniculate neurons. J. Comp. Neurol., 334, 631–643.
- Blessing, E.M., Solomon, S.G., Hashemi-Nezhad, M., Morris, B.J. & Martin, P.R. (2004) Chromatic and spatial properties of parvocellular cells in the lateral geniculate nucleus of the marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*). J. Physiol., 557, 229–245.
- Brainard, D.H. (1997) The Psychophysics Toolbox. Spat. Vis., 10, 443-446.
- Casagrande, V.A., Royal, D.W. & Sáry, G. (2005) Extraretinal inputs and feedback mechanisms to the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN). In Kremers, J. (Ed.), *The Primate Visual System: A Comparative Approach*. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey, pp. 191–211.
- Chatterjee, S. & Callaway, E.M. (2003) Parallel colour-opponent pathways to primary visual cortex. *Nature*, 426, 668–671.
- Cleland, B.G., Levick, W.R., Morstyn, R. & Wagner, H.G. (1976) Lateral geniculate relay of slowly conducting retinal afferents to cat visual cortex. *J. Physiol.*, 255, 299–320.
- Croner, L.J. & Kaplan, E. (1995) Receptive fields of P and M ganglion cells across the primate retina. *Vision Res.*, 35, 7–24.
- Dacey, D.M. (1999) Primate retina: cell types, circuits and color opponency. Prog. Ret. Eye Res., 18, 737–763.
- Dacey, D.M. & Lee, B.B. (1994) The 'blue-on' opponent pathway in primate retina originates from a distinct bistratified ganglion cell type. *Nature*, 367, 731–735.
- Deegan, J.F. & Jacobs, G.H. (1996) Spectral sensitivity and photopigments of a nocturnal prosimian, the bushbaby (Otolemur crassicaudatis). Am. J. Primatol., 40, 55–66.
- Derrington, A.M. & Lennie, P. (1984) Spatial and temporal contrast sensitivities of neurones in lateral geniculate nucleus of macaque. J. Physiol., 357, 219–240.
- Derrington, A.M., Krauskopf, J. & Lennie, P. (1984) Chromatic mechanisms in lateral geniculate nucleus of macaque. J. Physiol., 357, 241–265.
- Dreher, B., Fukada, Y. & Rodieck, R.W. (1976) Identification, classification and anatomical segregation of cells with X-like and Y-like properties in the lateral geniculate nucleus of old-world primates. J. Physiol., 258, 433–452.
- Forte, J.D., Hashemi-Nezhad, M., Dobbie, W.J., Dreher, B. & Martin, P.R. (2005) Spatial coding and response redundancy in parallel visual pathways of the marmoset *Callithrix jacchus*. *Visual Neurosci.*, **22**, 479–491.
- Hendry, S.H.C. (1992) A neurochemically distinct third channel in the macaque lateral geniculate nucleus. In Minciacchi, D., Molinari, M., Macchi, G. & Jones, E.G. (Eds), *Thalamic Netwoks for Relay and Modulation*. Pergamon Press, Oxford, pp. 251–265.
- Hendry, S.H. & Reid, R.C. (2000) The koniocellular pathway in primate vision. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.*, 23, 127–153.
- Hendry, S.H. & Yoshioka, T. (1994) A neurochemically distinct third channel in the macaque dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus. *Science*, 264, 575–577.
- Hicks, T.P., Lee, B.B. & Vidyasagar, T.R. (1983) The responses of cells in macaque lateral geniculate nucleus to sinusoidal gratings. J. Physiol., 337, 183–200.
- Holdefer, R.N. & Norton, T.T. (1995) Laminar organization of receptive field properties in the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus of the tree shrew (Tupaiaglis belangeri). J. Comp. Neurol., 358, 401–413.
- Irvin, G.E., Norton, T.T., Sesma, M.A. & Casagrande, V.A. (1986) W-like response properties of interlaminar zone cells in the lateral geniculate nucleus of a primate (*Galago crassicaudatus*). Brain Res., 362, 254–270.
- Irvin, G.E., Casagrande, V.A. & Norton, T.T. (1993) Center/surround relationships of magnocellular, parvocellular, and koniocellular relay cells in primate lateral geniculate nucleus. *Vis. Neurosci.*, **10**, 363–373.

1526 S. Roy et al.

- Kaas, J.H., Huerta, M.F., Weber, J.T. & Harting, J.K. (1978) Patterns of retinal terminations and laminar organization of the lateral geniculate nucleus of primates. J. Comp. Neurol., 182, 517–553.
- Lamb, T.D. (1995) Photoreceptor spectral sensitivities: common shape in the long-wavelength region. *Vision Res.*, 35, 3083–3091.
- LeGros Clark, W.E. (1941) The laminar organization and cell content of the lateral geniculate body in the monkey. J. Anat., 75, 419–433.
- Levick, W.R. & Thibos, L.N. (1982) Analysis of orientation bias in cat retina. J.Physiol., 329, 243–261.
- Li, J.C.H., Sampson, G.P. & Vidyasagar, T.R. (2007) Interactions between luminance and colour channels in visual search and their relationship to parallel neural channels in vision. *Exp. Brain Res.*, **176**, 510–518.
- Martin, P.R., White, A.J., Goodchild, A.K., Wilder, H.D. & Sefton, A.E. (1997) Evidence that blue-on cells are part of the third geniculocortical pathway in primates. *Eur. J. Neurosci.*, 9, 1536–1541.
- Masland, R.H. & Martin, P.R. (2007) The unsolved mystery of vision. *Curr. Biol.*, 17, R577–R582.
- Morand, S., Thut, G., Peralta, R.G., Clarke, S., Khateb, A., Landis, T. & Michel, C.M. (2000) Electrophysiological evidence for fast visual processing through the human koniocellular pathway when stimuli move. *Cereb. Cortex*, **10**, 817–825.
- Nathans, J. (1999) The evolution and physiology of human color vision: insights from molecular genetic studies of visual pigments. *Neuron*, 24, 299– 312.
- von Noorden, G.K. & Middleditch, P.R. (1975) Histological observations in the normal monkey lateral geniculate nucleus. *Invest. Ophthalmol.*, 14, 55–58.
- Norden, J.J. & Kaas, J.H. (1978) The identification of relay neurons in the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus of monkeys using horseradish peroxidase. J. Comp. Neurol., 182, 707–725.
- Norton, T.T. & Casagrande, V.A. (1982) Laminar organization of receptivefield properties in lateral geniculate nucleus of bush baby (Galago crassicaudatus). J. Neurophysiol., 47, 715–741.
- Passaglia, C.L., Troy, J.B., Ruettiger, L. & Lee, B.B. (2002) Orientation sensitivity of ganglion cells in primate retina. *Vision Res.*, 42, 683–694.
- Riecanský, I., Thiele, A., Distler, C. & Hoffmann, K.P. (2005) Chromatic sensitivity of neurons in area MT of the anaesthetised macaque monkey compared to human motion perception. *Exp. Brain Res.*, 167, 504–525.
- Rodieck, R.W. (1965) Quantitative analysis of cat retinal ganglion cell response to visual stimuli. *Vision Res.*, 5, 583–601.
- Roy, S., Saalmann, Y., Jayakumar, J., Hu, D., Martin, P.R., Dreher, B. & Vidyasagar, T.R. (2007) Laminar distribution of functional cell classes in the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) of the macaque monkey. *7th IBRO World Congress of Neuroscience*. Melbourne, Abstract Book. pp. 308.
- Schiller, P.H. & Malpeli, J.G. (1978) Functional specificity of lateral geniculate nucleus laminae of the rhesus monkey. J. Neurophysiol., 41, 788–797.
- Schütz, A.C., Braun, D.I., Kerzel, D. & Gegenfurtner, K.R. (2008) Improved visual sensitivity during smooth pursuit eye moovements. *Nat. Neurosci.*, 11, 1211–1216.
- Sherman, S.M., Wilson, J.R., Kaas, J.H. & Webb, S.V. (1976) X-and Y-cells in the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus of the owl monkey (*Aotus trivirgatus*). *Science*, **192**, 475–477.
- Shou, T.D. & Leventhal, A.G. (1989) Organized arrangement of orientationsensitive relay cells in the cat's dorsal alteral geniculate nucleus. *J. Neurosci.*, 9, 4287–4302.
- Sincich, L.C., Park, K.F., Wohlgemuth, M.J. & Horton, J.C. (2004) Bypassing V1: a direct geniculate input to area MT. *Nat. Neurosci.*, 7, 1123–1128.
- Smith, E.L. 3rd, Chino, Y.M., Ridder, W.H. 3rd, Kitigawa, K. & Langston, A. (1990) Orientation bias of neurons in the lateral geniculate nucleus of macaque monkeys. *Vis. Neurosci.*, 5, 525–545.
- Solomon, S.G., White, A.J. & Martin, P.R. (1999) Temporal contrast sensitivity in the lateral geniculate nucleus of a New World monkey, the marmoset *Callithrix jacchus. J. Physiol.*, **517** (Pt 3), 907–917.

- Solomon, S.G., White, A.J. & Martin, P.R. (2002) Extraclassical receptive field properties of parvocellular, magnocellular and koniocellular cells in the primate lateral geniculate nucleus. J. Neurosci., 22, 338–349.
- Solomon, S.G., Lee, B.B., White, A.J., Rüttiger, L. & Martin, P.R. (2005) Chromatic organization of ganglion cell receptive fields in the peripheral retina. J. Neurosci., 25, 4527–4539.
- Spatz, W.B. (1978) The retino-geniculo-cortical pathway in *Callithrix*. I. Intraspecific variations in the lamination pattern of the lateral geniculate nucleus. *Exp. Brain Res.*, **33**, 551–563.
- Sun, H., Smithson, H.E., Zaidi, Q. & Lee, B.B. (2006a) Do magnocellular and parvocellular ganglion cells avoid short-wavelength cone input? *Vis. Neurosci.*, 23, 441–446.
- Sun, H., Smithson, H.E., Zaidi, Q. & Lee, B.B. (2006b) Specificity of cone inputs to macaque retinal ganglion cells. J. Neurophysiol., 95, 837– 849.
- Szmajda, B.A., Buzás, P., Fitzgibbon, T. & Martin, P.R. (2006) Geniculocortical relay of blue-off signals in the primate visual system. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.A.*, **103**, 19512–19517.
- Szmajda, B.A., Martin, P.R. & Grünert, U. (2008) Retinal ganglion cell inputs to the koniocellular pathway. J. Comp. Neurol., 510, 251–268.
- Tailby, C., Solomon, S.G. & Lennie, P. (2008) Functional asymmetries in visual pathways carrying S-cone signals in macaque. J. Neurosci., 28, 4078– 4087.
- Tailby, C., Szmajda, B.A., Buzás, P., Lee, B.B. & Martin, P.R. (2008) Transmission of blue (S) cone signals through the primate lateral geniculate nucleus. J. Physiol., 586, 5947–5967.
- Vidyasagar, T.R. & Urbas, J. (1982) Orientation sensitivity of cat LGN neurones with and without inputs from visual cortical areas 17 and 18, *Exp. Brain Res.*, 46, 157–169.
- Vidyasagar, T.R., Kulikowski, J.J., Lipnicki, D.M. & Dreher, B. (2002) Convergence of parvocellular and magnocellular information channels in the primary visual cortex of the macaque. *Eur. J. Neurosci.*, 16, 945– 956.
- Weiskrantz, L. (2004) Roots of blindsight. Prog. Brain Res., 144, 229-241.
- Werblin, F. & Roska, B. (2007) The movies in our eyes. Sci. Am., 296, 72-79.
- White, A.J., Wilder, H.D., Goodchild, A.K., Sefton, A.J. & Martin, P.R. (1998) Segregation of receptive field properties in the lateral geniculate nucleus of a New-World monkey, the marmoset Callithrix jacchus. J. Neurophysiol., 80, 2063–2076.
- White, A.J., Solomon, S.G. & Martin, P.R. (2001) Spatial properties of koniocellular cells in the lateral geniculate nucleus of the marmoset *Callithrix jacchus. J. Physiol.*, 533, 519–535.
- Wiesel, T.N. & Hubel, D.H. (1966) Spatial and chromatic interactions in the lateral geniculate body of the rhesus monkey. J. Neurophysiol., 29, 1115– 1156.
- Wyszecki, G. & Stiles, W.S. (1982) Color Science: Concepts and Methods, Quantitative Data and Formulae, 2nd Edn. Wiley, New York.
- Xu, X., Ichida, J.M., Allison, J.D., Boyd, J.D., Bonds, A.B. & Casagrande, V.A. (2001) A comparison of koniocellular, magnocellular and parvocellular receptive field properties in the lateral geniculate nucleus of the owl monkey (*Aotus trivirgatus*). J. Physiol., **531**, 203–218.
- Xu, X., Ichida, J., Shoastak, Y., Bonds, A.B. & Casagrande, V.A. (2002) Are primate lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) cells really sensitive to orientation or direction? *Vis. Neurosci.*, **19**, 97–108.
- Yamada, E.S., Marshak, D.W., Silveira, L.C. & Casagrande, V.A. (1998) Morphology of P and M retinal ganglion cells of the bush baby. *Vision Res.*, 38, 3345–3352.
- Yeh, T., Lee, B.B., Kremers, J., Cowing, J.A., Hunt, D.M., Martin, P.R. & Troy, J.B. (1995) Visual responses in the lateral geniculate nucleus of dichromatic and trichromatic marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*). J. Neurosci., 15, 7892– 7904.