

Citation: Manning SW, Lorentzen B, Welton L, Batiuk S, Harrison TP (2020) Beyond megadrought and collapse in the Northern Levant: The chronology of Tell Tayinat and two historical inflection episodes, around 4.2ka BP, and following 3.2ka BP. PLoS ONE 15(10): e0240799. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240799

Editor: Michael D. Petraglia, Max Planck Institute for the Science of Human History, GERMANY

Received: February 3, 2020

Accepted: October 3, 2020

Published: October 29, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Manning et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: TH, SWM, Award 895-2011-1026, Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council, Canada, https://www.sshrc-crsh.gc.ca/homeaccueil-eng.aspx. TH, University of Toronto, Canada, https://www.utoronto.ca/ SWM, Award BCS 1219315, National Science Foundation, USA, https://www.nsf.gov/ SWM, College of Arts & RESEARCH ARTICLE

Beyond megadrought and collapse in the Northern Levant: The chronology of Tell Tayinat and two historical inflection episodes, around 4.2ka BP, and following 3.2ka BP

Sturt W. Manning^{1*}, Brita Lorentzen¹, Lynn Welton^{2,3}, Stephen Batiuk², Timothy P. Harrison²

1 Cornell Tree-Ring Laboratory, Department of Classics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, United States of America, 2 Department of Near and Middle Eastern Civilizations, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, 3 Department of Archaeology, Durham University, Dawson Building, Durham, United Kingdom

* sm456@cornell.edu

Abstract

There has been considerable focus on the main, expansionary, and inter-regionally linked or 'globalising' periods in Old World pre- and proto-history, with a focus on identifying, analyzing and dating collapse at the close of these pivotal periods. The end of the Early Bronze Age in the late third millennium BCE and a subsequent 'intermediate' or transitional period before the Middle Bronze Age (~2200–1900 BCE), and the end of the Late Bronze Age in the late second millennium BCE and the ensuing period of transformation during the Early Iron Age (~1200–900 BCE), are key examples. Among other issues, climate change is regularly invoked as a cause or factor in both cases. Recent considerations of "collapse" have emphasized the unpredictability and variability of responses during such periods of reorganization and transformation. Yet, a gap in scholarly attention remains in documenting the responses observed at important sites during these 'transformative' periods in the Old World region. Tell Tayinat in southeastern Turkey, as a major archaeological site occupied during these two major 'in between' periods of transformation, offers a unique case for comparing and contrasting differing responses to change. To enable scholarly assessment of associations between the local trajectory of the site and broader regional narratives, an essential preliminary need is a secure, resolved timeframe for the site. Here we report a large set of radiocarbon data and incorporate the stratigraphic sequence using Bayesian chronological modelling to create a refined timeframe for Tell Tayinat and a secure basis for analysis of the site with respect to its broader regional context and climate history.

Introduction

Much recent scholarship has focused on identifying apparent periodic episodes of substantial and longer-lasting drought-so-called megadroughts-which arguably appear to correlate, at least approximately in temporal terms, with episodes of major collapse, change, or

Sciences, Cornell University, USA, https://as. cornell.edu/ BL, Use of the CCMR facilities, supported by NSF award DMR-1719875, for wood charcoal analysis, https://www.ccmr.cornell.edu/ The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

reorientation in human civilization in various regions of the world [1-3]. Whether mere approximate contemporaneity is indicative of explanation is another question, and recent discussions of social responses to climatic change have highlighted the unpredictability and variability of possible outcomes, precluding a simplistic association between environmental change and societal collapse [4-11]. Nonetheless, chronological resolution is an essential component of consideration of any possible relationships between environmental change and social response [12], and the absence of an appropriate close temporal association undermines many purported causal connections (e.g. [9, 13–15]). The potential importance of such historically relevant climate forcing episodes, both in explaining history, but also as laboratory of current and future relevance, should not be overlooked-and this general topic has been the subject of much recent research, as in other regions, in the Mediterranean-Near East (e.g. [1-3, 16-23), but also debate (e.g. [4-11, 24]). In several instances, the exact associations and causal connections between observed proxy evidence for climate change and human history have been demonstrated to be both complex and multi-faceted, and often not as clear in temporal or causal terms as sometimes stated by proponents of one or other specific viewpoint (e.g. [9, 14, 15]).

Two multi-century climate change episodes with special relevance to the Levant/East Mediterranean region are regularly cited and stated as occurring from (i) ca. 4200 BP/2200 BCE and (ii) 3200 BP/1200 BCE (BP here from 2000 CE) (e.g. [16–23, 25, 26]). In each instance, there is purportedly a multi-century episode of collapse, then general hiatus and transformation before a subsequent return to 'high' civilization—the Middle Bronze Age (the Middle Kingdom in Egypt, the Old Babylonian period in Mesopotamia) from around 2000/1900 BCE for the first, and the Iron Age 'renaissance' from the 9-8th centuries BCE for the second (the latter perhaps linked to a major solar irradiance minimum and climate shift [3, 27, 28, ref. 29 pp. 112–115]).

The 2200 BCE episode in the later Early Bronze Age (hereafter EB) is well-dated, both in terms of some wider proxies indicating a shift to cooler and more arid conditions, and in terms of the demise and abandonment of the formerly primate site of Tell Leilan in northern Syria just before 2200 BCE [25, 30, 31]. However, the impact of this climate event is more difficult to reconstruct in the Levant and tightly resolved higher frequency records are sparse. Recent syntheses of radiocarbon (^{14}C) evidence have decoupled the chronology of this event from the long-recognized decline in settlement during the EB IV in the southern Levant, which is now recognized to have begun several centuries earlier, ca. 2500 BCE [32, 33]. It is also increasingly evident that sites in the northern Levantine region, which were in less fragile dry-farming loci with significant access to reliable water resources in the form of karstic aquifers, were variably affected and not all collapsed [25, 34, 35]. Weiss indeed argues that the Euphrates and especially Orontes river valleys, and the area of 'karstic' springs, formed refugia during the 2200 BCE episode with growth supplemented by habitat-tracking out of other less resilient regions of northern Mesopotamia/Syria [25, 35]. He points to the take-off of Tell Tayinat as an example of this [35]. While the variable ecological context is undoubtedly relevant, other factors also appear to be in play, and not just survival in the face of climate crisis, including the re-orientation and transformation of interregional trade and local economies, and of the relevant trade routes. In particular, these processes saw Anatolia and the East Mediterranean (and so maritime connectivities, versus, or in addition to, land routes) become more important foci for the Levant in the later/late 3rd millennium BCE [36, 37]. In fact, contrary to the pattern observed in areas of northern Mesopotamia, the northern Levant witnesses a persistent tradition of urbanism continuing through and following the period at 2200 BCE [34, 38, 39]. As such, this region offers a key venue for observing alternative trajectories and responses to the 4.2k climate episode.

The 1200 BCE episode, around the close of the Late Bronze Age, involves a long-recognized widespread set of site abandonments, destructions and changes across the East Mediterranean and Levantine region, the collapse of the previous 'palatial' economies and inter-linked trading systems and an ensuing 'dark age' [11, 15, 24, 40–42]. Associated proxy climate evidence indicates another shift around this period to cooler and more arid conditions [20–23, 26, 43]. The widespread collapse of the internationalized political economies of the Late Bronze Age is real, and many sites do experience destruction, decline, or abandonment. But the collapse of the region is not total. It is increasingly clear that this episode is as much a reorientation and shift to differing social and economic models as simple collapse [11, 36], a reorganization that represents a key formative period that shapes the later developments of the Iron Age. The climate proxy data, while relatively consistent in indicating cooler, more arid conditions, are as yet poorly constrained in temporal terms in many cases, and exact associations with cultural and political developments therefore remain problematic [15].

In each of these cases there remains much to be investigated and learnt in order to be able to write a detailed history successfully and convincingly linking archaeology-history and climate. However, even more pressing is the question: what happened around and particularly after these apparent episodes of collapse/change, during the periods of ensuing transformation prior to the generally accepted return of 'high' civilization? Despite terms like megadrought and collapse, it is of course widely understood that archaeological and historical evidence continues through these episodes, at least in several areas. We consider here the northern Levantine Early Bronze Age IV as conventionally ca. 2500-2000 BCE (EB IVA ca. 2500-2300; EB IVB ca. 2300–2000 BCE) and the early Iron Age as the period during and after the 12th century BCE in the northern Levant (ca. 1200-900 BCE, Iron Age I). On the principle that both humans and nature abhor a vacuum, we may also assume a corollary if there is a climate association with the changes occurring ca. 2200 BCE and 1200 BCE (or even if there is simply a key structural change in social and economic systems). Thus, if such climate (or other) changes negatively affect one existing set of sites and their environmental and locational contexts, it is also likely that other loci may find an opportunity to fill this void and to offer 'alternative' trajectories and histories. These cases might involve societies centered on a different, more resilient, economic base-like pastoralism in the Levant [4, 44], societies constructed on differing socio-political scales (e.g. smaller units), based in less affected geographic contexts, or with access to alternative trade networks [36, 41]. In other words, we open perspective towards both resilience and alternatives to simple collapse, and more nuanced approaches that incorporate a heterogeneity of response and opportunity at local level in the face of regional-global climate processes.

The large and important site of Tell Tayinat in southeast Turkey offers one such alternative history [45–51]. In this paper, we use radiocarbon dates and analysis of these to investigate and define the chronology of the site of Tell Tayinat, and demonstrate that its substantive history of occupation(s) includes the periods of response to the 'megadrought' eras associated with both the 2200 BCE and 1200 BCE episodes. The site is largely not occupied during the 'high-civilization' periods of the Middle and Late Bronze Age. Instead, the local regional occupation in these periods is at Tell Atchana (ancient Alalakh), and the switch to Atchana from Tayinat at the end of the Early Bronze Age, followed by a return to Tayinat at the end of the Late Bronze Age, forms a local version of the wider 'alternative' paradigm of interest [45–51]. Tell Tayinat thus represents an alternative history and trajectory to the 'palatial' mainstream of the Levantine region, and a prime case study illustrating how change—whether forced by economics, climate, geography or other factors—is rarely universal. Instead, negative or positive factors affecting one set of circumstances may well have differing and even opposite effects elsewhere. The context and societal formations at Tell Tayinat—and the contrast, locally, with

Tell Atchana, and more widely with the major Middle–Late Bronze Age primate sites across the east Mediterranean and Levant—offer us one rich window into a resilient and successful response, and alternative to collapse, in the face of regional megadrought in the Old World.

Tell Tayinat

Tell Tayinat, located in the Amuq Plain of southeastern Turkey and centrally positioned in the northern Levantine region, comprises a large, low-lying mound within the flood plain of the Orontes River. Tayinat sits on the northern bend of the Orontes, downstream of its entrance into the Amuq Plain, at the point where it turns westward toward Antakya (ancient Antioch) and the Mediterranean Sea (Fig 1). The site has long been a focus of excavation and study, starting with large-scale excavations conducted by the Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago between 1935 and 1938 [52, 53]. More recently, it has been the focus of work by the Tayinat Archaeological Project (TAP) based at the University of Toronto, which began with pedestrian and geomagnetic survey between 1999-2002 [54], further geomagnetic survey in 2003 (http://sites.utoronto.ca/tap/assets/2003geomagneticsurvey_en.pdf), followed by excavations and study from 2004 onwards [45-51, 55, 56]. The earlier excavations demonstrated that the site was occupied during significant portions of the 3rd millennium BCE, from at least the EB III [52]. To date, the new excavations at the site have produced materials and contexts dating from the later part of the Early Bronze Age (EB IVA-B, late 3rd millennium BCE) and spanning the Iron Age, with significant attention paid to the monumental structures of the Iron II and Iron III levels [53, 57, 58].

Historically, the site is also well-attested. The Early Bronze Age city is perhaps the location referred to as Alalahu in records preserved on clay tablets from the late 3rd millennium BCE archive found at the site of Ebla in Syria [49, 50, 59]. For the Iron Age, interpretation of inscriptional evidence has led to suggestions that by at least the 11th century BCE, early Iron Age Tell Tayinat represented the center of a kingdom named Palastin or Walastin, with one king being a certain Taita, "Hero and King of Palastin", best known from inscriptions in the Aleppo Temple [60–63]. Later historical records, dating to the 9th-early 8th centuries BCE, refer to the kingdom as Patina or Unqi [64–66]. The Assyrians took control of the city in 738 BCE and the region became part of their province of Kinalia under an Assyrian governor [65–69].

The end of the first primary period of occupation at Tell Tayinat in the late 3rd millennium BCE corresponds in general with the period of change and re-orientation across much of the Old World from around 4200 years BP or 2200 BCE [16–19, 25]. In the northern Levant, this episode is in general associated with the EB IVB period. The overall dates for the wider period of societal change, commonly associated with a shift(s) in climate affecting especially the Old World region, are largely uncontested-although the exact timings, scale, extent, coherence and uniformity of the climate episode remains debated even in the Mediterranean-Levant region (e.g. [70-73]). Correlating with the end of the Akkadian Empire and the First Intermediate Period in Egypt, a beginning for this period of change in the later 23rd century BCE and especially around 2200 BCE is largely agreed. It is generally accepted that there is a period(s) of apparently cooler and drier conditions in this region that lasts until around the 20th century BCE (ending broadly by around 1900 BCE) [16-19, 25, 30, 31, 70-74, 77, 78]. The area for debate is exactly whether, how, and how comprehensively, the latter causes the former [4, 7–8, 16, 19, 25, 31, 35, 75, 76]. The site of Tell Leilan in northeastern Syria offers a closely resolved date for the beginning of this period [30, 31], as do a variety of proxy climate indicators [25, 70-74, 77, 78]. Its end is marked by the re-emergence of wider trade networks and state-polities (like the Middle Kingdom of Egypt, Old Babylonian Period in Mesopotamia) during the 20th century BCE.



Fig 1. Map showing the Orontes Valley of Northwest Syria and Southeast Anatolia and all the sites discussed in this study. This map was produced in ArcGIS v 10.7.1 at the CRANE funded Archaeology Centre Digital Innovation Laboratory of the University of Toronto by compiling GIS Shapefiles and Digital Elevation Data built from publicly available sources including NASA/JPL/NIMA.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240799.g001

The issue for Tell Tayinat is to position the site and its EB occupation in terms of this wider general timeframe. In contrast to regions such as northern Mesopotamia, whose chronologies have been more closely tied to the 4.2k event, and its apparent historical effects, northern Levantine chronologies generally have not accounted for this event. The general chronological framework for the northern Levant, largely based on sequences from Hama, Ebla and the early Amuq excavations [79], is generally agreed upon, with the EB IVB period conventionally dated to ca. 2300-2000 BCE. More recently, the creation of an EBA-MBA transitional subdivision, ca. 2100-2000 BCE, has been proposed [34, 80, 81]. This chronological framework, however, has been developed primarily from relative ceramic sequences and has not been anchored to ¹⁴C dates [34, 79, 82]. An independent and direct timeframe is thus lacking. More recently this situation has started to change. ¹⁴C dates pertaining to several late 3rd millennium BCE sites have been published, including Tell Mardikh/Ebla [83], Rawda [34, 84], Qatna [85] and Umm el-Marra [34]. The suggested date for the destruction of Palace G at Ebla, representing the local transition from the EB IVA to EB IVB, is suggested to date to 2367–2293 BCE, with ca. 54% probability [83], roughly in line with the conventional date for this transition, and placing the 4.2ka (2200 BCE) climate event firmly during the EB IVB period.

The Early Iron Age is more problematic. There has been a long-standing challenge resolving Iron Age chronology in the northern Levant in this period [51, 56, 86, 87]. The close of the Late Bronze Age is characterized by the collapse of the wide-reaching and literate trading systems of previous centuries [15, 40-42, 88-90]. We also lose the well-defined sequence of historical rulers from the unified New Kingdom of Egypt. The result is that archaeologists lack the confident ability to employ replicated material culture associations to tie object types, assemblages, and sites across the eastern Mediterranean to the historical chronology of Egypt. This situation has led to much scholarly uncertainty and debate over dates based on relatively scarce, ambiguous, or contradictory evidence (e.g. [56, 90]). The past couple of decades have, unsurprisingly, seen scholars trying to find alternative means to establish secure chronological timeframes for the early Iron Age in several areas of the East Mediterranean and Aegean, and have–almost inevitably–created controversy as previous hypotheses are challenged, usually by new radiocarbon evidence [86, 87, 91–97].

In the northern Levant, especially, there has been lack of both data and scholarly focus. After the collapse and transformation of the well-known Late Bronze Age civilizations and their major, often 'palatial', centers around the close of the 13th century BCE and into the early 12th century BCE [11, 15, 42, 88, 89, 90], the social, political and economic processes of the subsequent earlier Iron Age are much less well understood [51]. This is particularly true in the period stretching from the late 12th through 10th centuries BCE, where historical documentation has been scarce. Although new inscriptional evidence is beginning to provide a historical framework for this period, the precise chronology of these historical developments remains fluid, uncertain, and largely based on paleographical grounds as newly emerging finds regularly require the revision of historical chronologies and king lists [60-62, 98, 99]. In the 9th-8th centuries BCE, historical documentation becomes more frequent from Neo-Assyrian records, as a result of their increasing contacts with this region, and then their takeover and administrative control from the late 8th century BCE onward [65–68, 100]. Following the conquest of Kunulua-the ancient name in this period for Tell Tayinat-by the Assyrian ruler Tiglath-pileser III in 738 BCE, Tayinat became the capital of the Assyrian province of Kinalia [58, 64, 65, 101]. The available textual evidence suggests that in the intervening period, the larger political structures of the Late Bronze Age had vanished and in their place was a collection of relatively small territorial states [45, 46, 51, 55, 100-103]. The trajectories and chronologies of these profound changes and of the emergence of these new socio-political formations remains largely unclear.

Hence establishing a defined and robust chronology for a key site like Tell Tayinat represents a critical step towards a more nuanced understanding of this time period.

In contrast to the southern Levant and a few other areas, where the widespread use of radiocarbon evidence to address issues of Iron Age chronology has led to the development of a large dataset of chronometric evidence (e.g. [87, 91–97]), available radiocarbon data in the northern Levant is extremely scarce [15, 23, 51, 104]. This has led to the development of a series of relative regional chronologies, often employing widely varying chronological terminologies based on locally-defined or somewhat arbitrary subdivisions [86, 105–108]. These are often based on linkages in material culture and styles within the Levant and with the Aegean and Cyprus [56, 109]. As a result, the issue of chronology has been problematic and largely based on circular reasoning and imprecise criteria.

One of the key discoveries during recent excavations at Tell Tayinat is the articulation of a sequence of early Iron Age remains, after a settlement hiatus during the Middle and Late Bronze Ages, that were unattested in the earlier excavations at the site [45–47, 51, 55, 110]. With an area from ca. 12–20 hectares in extent, Tell Tayinat is one of the larger early Iron Age sites known in the eastern Mediterranean, and represents an important regional sequence for the early Iron Age in the northern Levant. As a result, we report work aimed at remedying the lack of chronological data for this region through establishing a high-resolution calendar time-scale for early Iron Age Tell Tayinat. The use of data from Tell Tayinat for the development of a regional sequence is particularly appropriate, given the important role the site played in the early development of radiocarbon dating. A sample of charcoal from Tell Tayinat in fact appeared on Libby's famous 'curve of knowns' as a measurement by the University of Chicago. Libby wrote:

"The next sample, which is marked "Tayinat," is from a house in Asia Minor which was burned in 675 B.C. It was wood from the floor of a central room in a large *hilani* ("palace") of the "Syro-Hittite" period in the city of Tayinat in northwest Persia. Its known age is 2625 ± 50 years" [111].

This statement is historic, but also both remarkable and (retrospectively) distressing. A charcoal sample of the scale required at this time for radiocarbon dating likely had a considerable number of tree-rings. Thus it could quite well today have offered a potentially important dendrochronological sample, or least a highly resolved dendro-¹⁴C-wiggle-match sample. Such a sample could have enabled precise dating (as undertaken at some other Anatolian Bronze and Iron Age cases [78, 112–116]) of some considerable value, perhaps tied to a specific construction episode at the site (its context from the floor in a central room suggests a fallen roof beam). Sadly, no charcoal recovered so far in the recent Tayinat excavations has been of anywhere near such a scale for dendrochronological analysis, and the whereabouts of Libby's original sample or any others that may have been collected in the 1930s remains unknown. The confidence expressed in the dating of the context and sample from the site is also striking, and almost certainly misplaced, given the complex history of the specific *bīt-hilāni* palace from which the sample was taken (Rooms I-J, 1st floor in Building I) (e.g., [53, 57, 117, 118]).

In view of these research opportunities and the current limitations in terms of dating, our project therefore seeks to integrate the archaeology with the radiocarbon evidence to achieve a secure timeframe for Tell Tayinat in both the late Early Bronze Age and the Early Iron Age. We use organic samples (identified wood charcoal and especially short-lived seed material) from well-defined archaeological contexts at Tell Tayinat for a program of radiocarbon dating. In particular, we have carefully selected sets of short-lived sample material where possible–offering ages contemporary with use–from stratigraphic sequences. These circumstances, where we have an archaeologically-ordered sequence of contexts and samples, allows in addition the application of Bayesian chronological modeling approaches [119–123], where prior

archaeological or historical knowledge can be integrated with the radiocarbon probabilities, in order to achieve more precise and more robust chronologies.

Materials and methods

Samples and ¹⁴C dates

We reviewed and identified the available organic sample materials from the Tell Tayinat Archaeological Project (https://tayinat.artsci.utoronto.ca/) and its excavation program. The Tayinat landowners, in particular the Kuseyri family, permitted work on their land. All necessary permits were obtained for the described study, which complied with all relevant regulations. The Directorate of Cultural Heritage and Museums of Turkey granted the research permits necessary to conduct each of the Tell Tayinat excavation seasons. All fragments of wood charcoal chosen for examination that were larger than 2 mm were fractured by hand or with a steel razor blade to create fresh transverse, radial, and tangential planes, in order to examine the wood anatomical structure. After fracturing, samples were supported in a sand bath and examined under a Motic K-400P stereo microscope at x6 to x50 magnification and an Olympus BX51 polarizing microscope at x50 to x500 magnification. The micro-anatomical features of each section were documented, photographed, and compared with those from modern reference collection materials, standard reference texts [124, 125], and the Inside-Wood online database (https://insidewood.lib.ncsu.edu/). Seed and non-wood botanical remains were identified by comparing sample morphological characteristics with modern reference materials and reference seed atlases (e.g., [126, 127]). A LEO 1550 field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) was used for high magnification observation of plant micro-features and high-quality image capture.

Following identification, we selected a number of samples (both wood charcoal and shortlived seeds) for which reasonably secure archaeological associations are available (as is inevitable at a complicated multi-period tell site with such a multi-phase stratigraphic sequence, the work of this project has in fact led to the reassessment of a few contexts-see below). The general Tell Tayinat site stratigraphic sequence is set out in Table 1; further stratigraphic description and the discussion of associated material culture can be found in [48, 50, 51, 53, 110, 118]. The samples ultimately selected for ¹⁴C dating and any comments related to their archaeological contexts are listed in Table 2. These samples were then radiocarbon dated at the Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit. Acid-Base-Acid (ABA) sample pretreatment, target preparation, and Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS) ¹⁴C dating were performed following methods described previously [128–130]. Isotopic fractionation has been corrected for employing the δ^{13} C values measured on the AMS-the quoted δ^{13} C values were measured independently on a stable isotope mass spectrometer (±0.3‰ relative to VPDB). The 49 new ¹⁴C dates acquired are listed in Table 3.

Bayesian chronological modeling

In order to best estimate and quantify the calendar age ranges for the Tayinat archaeological phases we employed Bayesian Chronological Modeling [119–123, 131], employing OxCal 4.3.2 software [119, 121, 132] (https://c14.arch.ox.ac.uk/oxcal.html), in order to integrate prior archaeological sequence information with the radiocarbon dating probabilities from the measured samples (Tables 1–3). OxCal terms such as "Sequence", "Phase", and "Boundary", are capitalized in the text and figures below. We employ the current revised northern hemisphere radiocarbon calibration dataset, IntCal20 [133]. The results for the periods under investigation are only slightly different when compared with the previous IntCal13 dataset [134] and we compare the results for Model 2 below. We also briefly discuss the issue of the potential

Table 1. The Tell Tayinat general stratigraphic sequence indicating contexts of radiocarbon dated samples. Descriptions of associated stratigraphy and material culture can be found in [48, 50, 51, 53, 110, 118]. The conventional dates for the associated periods are indicated following [105–106] for the Iron Age and [34] for the Early Bronze Age, while previously published dates for the main Tayinat phases are indicated in parentheses and italics following [53].

General Site Phases	Historical Periods [34, 105-106] and Previous Dates [53]	Field 1	Field 2	Field 3	Field 7	Comments
Modern	Modern	1	1a/b	1	1	Modern topsoil and disturbances. Includes
			1c			Chicago trenches
1	Iron III, ca. 738–600 BCE, (720–680 BCE)	2a	2a	2a?	2a	Field 1: renovation, reuse Temple II [53, 118]; Field 2: renovation, reuse Building XVI [118]
						No samples analyzed
2 Late 2	Iron II, ca. 900–738 BCE	2b	2b1-2	2b	2b	Field 1: earliest phase of Temple II? [53, 118];
	(825–720 BCE)	OxA-30320	OxA-32164, 32166, 32167, 32168, 32169	OxA- 30309		Field 2: fill immediately above stone paving outside Building XVI [<u>118</u>]
2 Late 1		GAP?	2b3		2c	Field 2: earliest phase of Building XVI, stone
			OxA- 32165 30312?			paving [<u>118</u>]
2 Middle B		GAP?	3	3a?	3	Field 2: Sounding below Building XVI [118]
			OxA-30321		OxA- 30315	
2 Middle A		2c	?			Field 1: Infill of ditch/street [118]
(2)		OxA-32170, 32171, 32172				
2 Middle A (1) and BP1	Iron I-II trans.	2d	4a	3b?	4	Field 1: Ditch and sherd paved street [118]; Field 2: Chicago Building Period 1, Building XIV [53, 118]
2 Early	Iron I, ca. 1200–900 BCE	GAP	4b-5a-5b	4?	5	Field 2: Domestic occupation cut by foundations
	(875–825 BCE)		OxA-30322, 30318			of Building XIV [118]
3		3	6		6	Field 1: Ephemeral occupation, primarily pits [51]
4		4		5?		Field 1: Major architectural phase, domestic? [51]
5a		5a	7			Field 1: Domestic occupation [51]
		OxA-30324, 30329, 30563, 30565				
5b		5b				Field 1: Domestic occupation [51]
		OxA-30310, 30311, 30324, 30563, 30565, 32141, 32142, 32143, 32162, 32163				
6a		ба				Field 1: Domestic occupation [51]
		OxA-30314, 30319, 30326, 30327, 30328, 30421				
6b		6b				Field 1: Earliest IA architecture, domestic [51,
		OxA-30317, 30323, 30421, 30564, 32140, 32139				110]
6с		6c				Field 1: Earliest IA re-occupation, no
		OxA-30313				architecture, primarily pits [51, 110]
GAP	Late Bronze Age	GAP				No occupation
GAP	Middle Bronze Age	GAP				No occupation

(Continued)

General Site Phases	Historical Periods [34, 105–106] and Previous Dates [53]	Field 1	Field 2	Field 3	Field 7	Comments
7	EBIVB, ca. 2300-2100/2000	7	8-9			Field 1: Ephemeral terminal EB occupation. No
	BC	OxA-30325, 32134, 32135, 32136, 32137, 32138, 32347				architecture [<u>48</u> , <u>50</u>]
8a		8a				Field 1: Debris associated with destruction of
		OxA-32132, 32133				FP8b structure [<u>48</u> , <u>50</u>]
8b		8b				Field 1: Construction of large structure [48, 50]
		OxA-30316				
9	EBIVA-B, ca. 2300 BC	9				Field 1: Short intermediate phase between construction phases [48, 50]
10	EBIVA, ca. 2500–2300 BC	10				Field 1: Large structure, evidence of destruction. Excavated 2017

Table 1. (Continued)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240799.t001

relevance of a small Mediterranean region growing-season offset for high-precision ¹⁴C age calibration (see below).

Where possible, we sought to employ short-lived (annual) samples for dating contexts, since these samples–if they are in their primary stratigraphic context related to human use–offer dates directly relevant to the contexts of discovery. Within OxCal, we tested the coherence of the short-lived samples with the model using the General Outlier model of OxCal [135]—labelled as "SL", for short-lived, in the OxCal runfiles in the S1 File—in which a Posterior value is calculated for each dated element versus the acceptable Prior value of 5 (that is: a 5% probability of being an outlier). We also consider the OxCal Agreement value for each individual sample (the approximate satisfactory value is 60) and for the overall model (A_{model} and A_{overall} values–again the satisfactory level is about 60). It is important to stress that each run of complicated OxCal models achieves very slightly different results, although for well-constrained model elements within such models, results typically do not vary by more than zero to a couple of years. We quote typical examples where the model converged successfully for the dated elements (Convergence, C, values of 95 or greater).

We also included a number of wood charcoal samples. These introduce issues of in-built age, which we tried to minimize by selecting (when possible) samples from juvenile stems and branches, and shorter-lived species. The expectation is that from a random population, some dated wood will be older (even much older) than the find context, but many samples will only be a little older to around about the contemporary age (whether outer rings, young trees, or branches/twigs), but with a little noise. To try to allow for and to compensate for this, we employed the Charcoal Plus Outlier model in OxCal [136, 137], allowing us to better estimate the date at which groups of charcoal samples from a context were actually used by humans, especially when information from dates on short-lived samples could also be incorporated within the relevant phase grouping. (Note: to use the Charcoal Plus Outlier model, an OxCal. prior file must first be loaded-we list the relevant file for use as /IA.prior in S1 File.) For discussion and illustration of how the use of the Charcoal Plus Outlier model (or the standard Charcoal Outlier model in OxCal [135]) is key to achieving a plausible age model for Tell Tayinat, integrating both the terminus post quem (TPQ) information (with varying lengths of the "post" in the *terminus post quem*) from long-lived charcoal samples with the contemporary age information provided by dates on short-lived samples (where they are in the correct context associations), see S2 File (and compare shifts to more recent age ranges for the wood-charcoal samples shown in S1-S3 Figs). We employ the Charcoal Plus Outlier model as more

Table 2. Samples for ¹⁴ C dating from Tell Tayinat obtained by this project. For comments on some of the sample contexts, see the notes below the table. Tayinat Ge	n-
eral Period Scheme refers to the General Site Phases as identified in Table 1. Local Field Phase refers to the individual phasing schemes devised independently for each	
excavation area, outlined in Table 1.	

Laboratory ID, OxA-	Sample ID	Area	Field. Square	Locus	Pail	Period	Local Field Phase (FP)	Tayinat General Period Scheme	Sample
30309	SA1238	3	H3.77	21	45	Iron II?	2b	2 Late 2	Olea europaea pit
30310	SA1204	1	G4.56	135	265	Iron I	5b	5b	Olea europaea pit
30311	SA1204	1	G4.56	135	265	Iron I	5b	5b	Olea europaea pit
30312	SA4778	2	G4.38	8	75	Iron II/ III?	2b3	2 Late 1 ^a	<i>Olea europaea</i> pit
30313	SA6449	1	G4.56	246	548	Iron I	6с	6c	Quercus sp.
30314	SA3329	1	G4.66	0 ^b	0 ^b	Iron I	6a	6a	Quercus section Cerris
30315	SA7829	7	G4.58	16	59	Iron II	3?	2 Middle B	Salicaceae
30316	SA6442	1	G4.55	258	604	EB IVb	8b	8b	Pinus brutia
30317	SA4791	1	G4.56	194	380	Iron I	6b	6b	Tamarix sp.
30318	SA1683	2	G4.46	11	37	Iron I	5a	2 Early	Vitis vinifera pip
30319	SA4793	1	G4.56	196	386	Iron I	6a?	6a?	Betulaceae cf. Ostrya carpinifolia
30320	SA4205	1	G4.56	188	367	Iron I	6b, but intrusive from 2b	Reassigned to 2 Late 2	Cicer arietinum seed
30321	SA7839	2	G4.48	38	130	Iron II	3	2 Middle B	Bark
30322	SA792	2	G4.35	18	66	Iron I	5b	2 Early	Pinus brutia
30323	SA4749	1	G4.56	194	381	Iron I	6b	6b	Tamarix sp.
30324	SA1202	1	G4.56	112	245	Iron I	5a/b	5a/b	Betulaceae
30325	SA3091	1	G4.55	154	298	EB IVb	7	7	Pinus brutia
30326	SA1236	1	G4.56	127	225	Iron I	6a	6a	Fraxinus sp.
30327	SA3959	1	G4.56	174	330	Iron I	6a	6a	Betulaceae cf. Ostrya carpinifolia
30328	SA3959	1	G4.56	174	330	Iron I	6a	6a	Betulaceae cf. Ostrya carpinifolia
30329	SA1200	1	G4.56	98	170	Iron I	5a?	5a?	Evergreen Quercus
30421	SA4198	1	G4.56	181	359	Iron I	6a/b?	6a/b?	Rhamnus / Phillyrea
30563	SA1199	1	G4.56	112	177	Iron I	5a/b	5a/b	Olea europaea pit
30564	SA4805	1	G4.56	206	410	Iron I	6b	6b	Pinus brutia
30565	SA1210	1	G4.56	112	245	Iron I	5a/b	5a/b	Deciduous Quercus
32132	SA5307	1	G4.55	271	496	EB IVb	8a	8a	Olea europaea pit
32133	SA5339	1	G4.55	271	507	EB IVb	8a	8a	Olea europaea pit
32134	SA3977	1	G4.55	216	369	EB IVb	7	7	Olea europaea pit
32135	SA6479	1	G4.56	252	559	EB IVb	7	7	Olea europaea pit
32136	SA6533	1	G4.56	270	601	EB IVb	7	7	Olea europaea pit
32137	SA6466	1	G4.56	249	554	EB IVb	7	7	Olea europaea pit
32138	SA6497	1	G4.56	261	578	EB IVb	7	7	Olea europaea pit
32139	SA5113	1	G4.56	214	435	Iron I	6b (but residual?)	6b (but residual?)	Olea europaea pit
32140	SA5533	1	G4.56	232	494	Iron I	6b, but intrusive from 5b	Reassigned to 5b	Olea europaea pit
32141	SA1973	1	G4.56	119	226	Iron I	5b	5b	Olea europaea pit
32142	SA1974	1	G4.56	143	271	Iron I	5b	5b	Olea europaea pit
32143	SA1975	1	G4.56	135	268	Iron I	5b	5b	Olea europaea pit
32162	SA1976	1	G4.56	138	275	Iron I	5b	5b	Olea europaea pit
32163	SA1976	1	G4.56	138	275	Iron I	5b	5b	Olea europaea pit
32164	SA5076	2	G4.37	7	23	Iron II/ III?	2b1	2 Late 2	Olea europaea pit

(Continued)

Laboratory ID, OxA-	Sample ID	Area	Field. Square	Locus	Pail	Period	Local Field Phase (FP)	Tayinat General Period Scheme	Sample
32165	SA5311	2	G4.37	7	37	Iron II/ III?	2b1 (but residual?)	2 Late 1 ^a	<i>Olea europaea</i> pit
32166	SA5313	2	G4.48	27	95	Iron II/ III?	1c ^C	2 Late 2	<i>Olea europaea</i> pit
32167	SA5458	2	G4.28	6	45	Iron II/ III?	2b1	2 Late 2	<i>Olea europaea</i> pit
32168	SA2859	2	G4.47	5	37	Iron II/ III?	2b1	2 Late 2	Olea europaea pit
32169	SA4777	2	G4.38	11	76	Iron II/ III?	2b1	2 Late 2	<i>Olea europaea</i> pit
32170	SA2309	1	G4.66	81	204	Iron II	2c	2 Middle A2	Olea europaea pit
32171	SA2306	1	G4.65	94	244	Iron II	2c	2 Middle A2	Olea europaea pit
32172	SA2907	1	G4.66	88	228	Iron II	2c	2 Middle A2	Olea europaea pit
32347	SA3975	1	G4.55	232	393	EB IVb	7	7	Olea europaea pit

Table 2. (Continued)

^a SA4778 was from the surface of the central room of Temple XVI, whose latest use phase should be Iron III based on the historically dated tablet found within it [68]. However, we regard this sample and also SA5311 as likely residual material belonging to the earlier use of this temple space and not from its very last phase of use. Hence these samples are assigned to Phase 2 Late 1.

^b This sample (outer rings) was from a larger wood sample extracted from the balk; hence it is designated as Locus 0, but it is equivalent to G4.66 Locus 33 and hence Phase 6a.

^c This sample was excavated from a locus identified as fill from the Chicago excavation trench and hence was assigned to FP1c (modern); however, this sample lay immediately above the stone paving and produces a date consistent with other samples from the same context (Phase 2 Late 2), hence for modelling purposes we treat it as Phase 2 Late 2 in the Tayinat general sequence.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240799.t002

appropriate for the reasons outlined in the studies cited [136, 137], however, very similar results are obtained with the OxCal Charcoal Outlier model [135], as we illustrate in the case of our Model 2, see <u>S3 File</u>. Our stated calendar date ranges are thus estimates of the dated periods or episodes but, where a range of charcoal samples alone are involved, these likely still include some aspect of a *terminus post quem* (TPQ) range, and thus might be described as estimates of a close TPQ and/or the date range. Where the identical sample was dated twice we combined the two measurements into a single weighted average value [138].

Four samples require comment. Sample SA4205 (date OxA-30320) was recorded as from a Phase 6b (Iron Age I) context, but is clearly an intrusive Iron Age II sample as evident from the ¹⁴C age. After re-examination of the excavation records, it was determined to be intrusive from the immediately overlying foundations of a late Iron II building (Building II) that were cutting into these FP6b levels, and is therefore (re-)assigned to Phase 2 Late 2. This datum is shown in purple in the models below to show it was reassigned. Sample SA5311 (date OxA-32165) came from a Phase 2 Late 2 context, but its ¹⁴C age is a little older than the other Phase 2 Late 2 samples. However, based on examination of site records, we believe that, like SA4778 (OxA-30312), this sample in fact comes from earlier activity within Phase 2 Late overall-Phase 2 Late 1—versus the final use of this area in the subsequent Phase 2 Late 2 and so is residual material in terms of Phase 2 Late 2. We have thus reassigned the sample to Phase 2 Late 1. It is shown in purple in the models below to indicate that it was residual and reassigned. Sample SA5113 (date OxA-32139) yields a ¹⁴C age that is around 1000 years older than the other short-lived samples from its supposed Phase 6b context. This situation likely indicates that it is, unrecognized at the time of excavation, (highly) residual material, likely originating from underlying EB IVB layers. It is thus shown in orange in the models below. Finally, Sample

Laboratory ID	Sample ID	Tayinat General Period Scheme	Sample	14 C Age $\pm 1\sigma$	δ ¹³ C‰
				(years BP)	
OxA-30309	SA1238	2 Late 2	Olea europaea pit	2519±26	-21.8
OxA-30310	SA1204	5b	Olea europaea pit	2810±28	-23.4
OxA-30311	SA1204	5b	Olea europaea pit	2806±31	-23.1
OxA-30312	SA4778	2 Late 1	Olea europaea pit	2679±28	-20.9
OxA-30313	SA6449	6с	Quercus sp.	3038±28	-25.9
OxA-30314	SA3329	6a	Quercus section Cerris	2948±26	-25.8
OxA-30315	SA7829	2 Middle B	Salicaceae	2679±27	-30.0
OxA-30316	SA6442	8b	Pinus brutia	4048±29	-23.4
OxA-30317	SA4791	6b	Tamarix sp.	2962±27	-26.2
OxA-30318	SA1683	2 Early	Vitis vinifera pip	2837±27	-26.5
OxA-30319	SA4793	6a?	Betulaceae cf. Ostrya carpinifolia	2918±27	-26.8
OxA-30320	SA4205	Reassigned to 2 Late 2	Cicer arietinum seed	2546±27	-24.6
OxA-30321	SA7839	2 Middle B	Bark	2739±26	-24.6
OxA-30322	SA792	2 Early	Pinus brutia	3047±26	-24.0
OxA-30323	SA4749	6b	Tamarix sp.	2929±28	-26.6
OxA-30324	SA1202	5a/b	Betulaceae	2821±26	-26.6
OxA-30325	SA3091	7	Pinus brutia	3871±29	-24.1
OxA-30326	SA1236	6a	Fraxinus sp.	2808±29	-25.7
OxA-30327	SA3959	6a	Betulaceae cf. Ostrya carpinifolia	2896±26	-25.2
OxA-30328	SA3959	6a	Betulaceae cf. Ostrya carpinifolia	2891±27	-25.4
OxA-30329	SA1200	5a?	Evergreen Quercus	2829±27	-23.7
OxA-30421	SA4198	6a/b?	Rhamnus/Phillyrea	2872±31	-23.4
OxA-30563	SA1199	5a/b	Olea europaea pit	2857±27	-23.6
OxA-30564	SA4805	6b	Pinus brutia	2882±26	-24.0
OxA-30565	SA1210	5a/b	Deciduous Quercus	2861±27	-24.7
OxA-32132	SA5307	8a	Olea europaea pit	3861±31	-20.2
OxA-32133	SA5339	8a	Olea europaea pit	3799±28	-20.1
OxA-32134	SA3977	7	Olea europaea pit	3737±29	-22.5
OxA-32135	SA6479	7	Olea europaea pit	3784±30	-22.3
OxA-32136	SA6533	7	Olea europaea pit	3830±29	-21.4
OxA-32137	SA6466	7	Olea europaea pit	3765±30	-22.1
OxA-32138	SA6497	7	Olea europaea pit	3697±29	-20.6
OxA-32139	SA5113	6b (but residual?)	Olea europaea pit	3717±30	-21.8
OxA-32140	SA5533	6b (reassigned to 5b)	Olea europaea pit	2806±30	-21.4
OxA-32141	SA1973	5b	Olea europaea pit	2886±28	-21.2
OxA-32142	SA1974	5b	Olea europaea pit	2805±30	-21.2
OxA-32143	SA1975	5b	Olea europaea pit	2786±29	-22.3
OxA-32162	SA1976	5b	Olea europaea pit	2839±26	-20.6
OxA-32163	SA1976	5b	Olea europaea pit	2811±27	-20.7
OxA-32164	SA5076	2 Late 2	Olea europaea pit	2516±26	-23.2
OxA-32165	SA5311	2 Late 1	Olea europaea pit	2732±27	-21.7
OxA-32166	SA5313	2 Late 2	Olea europaea pit	2506±25	-20.8
OxA-32167	SA5458	2 Late 2	Olea europaea pit	2545±25	-23.2
OxA-32168	SA2859	2 Late 2	Olea europaea pit	2511±25	-21.8
OxA-32169	SA4777	2 Late 2	Olea europaea pit	2486±26	-22.1
OxA-32170	SA2309	2 Middle A2	Olea europaea pit	2814±26	-21.8

Table 3. ¹⁴C dates on the samples in <u>Table 2</u>.

(Continued)

Laboratory ID	Sample ID	Tayinat General Period Scheme	Sample	$^{14}C Age \pm 1\sigma$	δ ¹³ C‰
				(years BP)	
OxA-32171	SA2306	2 Middle A2	Olea europaea pit	2784±27	-19.7
OxA-32172	SA2907	2 Middle A2	Olea europaea pit	2798±27	-20.9
OxA-32347	SA3975	7	<i>Olea europaea</i> pit	3772±26	-19.5

Table 3. (Continued)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240799.t003

SA5533 (date OxA-32140), which was assigned to FP6b, was identified as an outlier in this phase. Upon re-examination of the field records, it was determined that the area in which this sample was excavated was noted at the time of excavation as belonging to a later intrusive pit cutting FP6b levels, and which was assigned a new locus number the following day. This sample should therefore be considered to originate from pit locus 233/234, and has thus been re-assigned to FP5b for modelling purposes.

We built an initial model incorporating all the data available and the stratigraphic information summarized in the Tayinat General Period Scheme in Table 1: Model 1. We explicitly do not make historical assumptions. Thus we do not assume that the transition from Phase 2 to Phase 1 marks the Assyrian conquest in 738 BCE—rather, we run the models with the ¹⁴C data and stratigraphic knowledge we have independently, and then consider where an event like the Assyrian conquest likely occurred in terms of the site sequence. Without specific confirmatory evidence, it is dangerous (and often circular) in practice and in theory to assume an historical association with any particular destruction or stratigraphic change [139, 140]. Similarly, we also make no assumptions based on existing dates derived from assessments from relative chronologies. As noted above, these are largely based on flexible or circular reasoning. Even in recent historic periods, uncritical dating based on artefact presence/absence is fraught with problems around availability, consumer choice, curation, time-lags and the nature of disposal, and can easily end up being misleading (e.g. [141, 142]). We instead employ the stratigraphic sequence and then let the ¹⁴C data directly describe the temporal scale.

There are two exceptions to the preceding general statements. First, although we do not assume that the transition from Phase 2 to Phase 1 is coeval with the Assyrian conquest of 738 BCE, it is nonetheless historically attested knowledge that after 738 BCE the Assyrians ruled at Tayinat (Kunulua). Thus it is reasonable to regard some part of the final phase at Tayinat as dating after 738 BCE; hence we use an After command within Phase 1 (Iron III) where we have no ¹⁴C data. The second element of historical knowledge is that because of the presence of a text with Esarhaddon's adê of 672 BCE inside a Phase 1 temple at Tayinat [65, 68, 143], we may regard the end of the Tayinat occupation sequence as at least after 672 BCE—again we employ an After command in the OxCal code. In reality, it is possible that occupation at Tayinat continued for some decades (or more) after 672 BCE, maybe towards ~600 BCE. However, we currently lack any ¹⁴C data or other secure historical date. Thus the dating model ends at present more or less immediately after 672 BCE for lack of any quantifiable information.

The available stratigraphic information pertains only to the level of the site Phases recognized (see <u>Table 1</u> for current Tell Tayinat relative stratigraphic sequence). Within each Phase we adopt the conservative assumption that the samples are random samples from a uniform distribution, and so could come from any point within the Phase with equal probability: a uniform prior assumption. An obvious exception are the two dates on short-lived samples (olive pits) from the period 8a "destruction event", which we might assume to lie at the close of Phase 8a. However, these are the only data for Phase 8a (so we lack a 'distribution')–hence we use the weighted average value of these two dates as the date of the 8a destruction event. One of the short-lived samples in our dataset, OxA-32139 (sample SA5113), noted above, stands out as a complete outlier with the General Outlier model in OxCal [135] with a Prior of (the maximum value) 100 > Posterior value of 5 (and an OxCal Agreement value, A, less than 6, well below the satisfactory threshold value of >60). This sample, found in a Phase 6b context, is (as noted above) approximately 1000 years older and would likely seem to be a residual sample from the known underlying Early Bronze IV strata in this excavation unit. Notably OxA-30320 and OxA-32140, which we re-assigned (see above), and the 'problematic' sample just noted (OxA-32139)-all unrecognized at the time of excavation-come from period 6b and from field square G4.56 in Area 1. One is clearly residual from earlier layers (OxA-32139), one is clearly intrusive from later levels (OxA-30320), and one was confirmed as intrusive from (somewhat later) FP5b levels based on excavation records (OxA-32140). This reflects the challenging nature of the excavation contexts in this excavation square, which displayed frequent pitting activities and some disturbance from the foundations of later Iron II constructions. Most interestingly, as regards associations with regional climate change history and environmental contexts, the Tell Tayinat occupation sequence, as currently represented by the TAP excavations, includes EB IVB levels, followed by reoccupation spanning the Iron Age I-III, with no intervening Middle or Late Bronze Age contexts (see above). Thus residual EBA material in an otherwise Iron Age context is possible, due to the absence of intervening strata. A few instances of somewhat later Iron Age materials occurring as intrusives into early Iron Age contexts are a predictable issue of concern in a multi-period excavation like Tell Tayinat, particularly in an area cut by the foundations of later Iron Age constructions. We exclude OxA-32139 from the remainder of our analyses. The revised model (minus OxA-32139), Model 2, was then employed for the site dating. OxCal runfiles for Model 1 and Model 2 are listed in S1 File.

Results

We first considered Model 1, which employs all the data, and then the very slightly revised Model 2 removing the outlier, OxA-32139, as noted above. Model 1 does not quite achieve satisfactory OxCal A_{model} and A_{overall} values given the outlier just noted (typically ~59–60), with one very low individual OxCal Agreement value (<6) for OxA-32139. The Model 1 run values quoted in <u>Table 4</u> and the model run shown in <u>S1</u> and <u>S2</u> Figs achieved satisfactory Convergence (C) values \geq 95 for all elements. However, it should be noted that, especially without use of a high initial kIterations value, runs of Model 1 in a number of cases fail to achieve \geq 95 Convergence values for some of the late elements in the model (Phase 2 Late 1 onwards). This problem usually does not occur once OxA-32139 is excluded in Model 2. Fig 2 shows the Phase 6 part of Model 1 and indicates the extreme outlier date of OxA-32139 on sample SA5113. For the full Model 1, see <u>S1 File</u>, <u>S1</u> and <u>S2</u> Figs. The placement of the Model 1 dated elements versus IntCal20 (and with the previous IntCal13 shown for comparison) is shown in <u>S3 Fig</u>.

Figs 3 and 4 show Model 2. This is a revision of Model 1 removing OxA-32139. Model 2 achieves acceptable OxCal agreement index values overall, with A_{model} around 81 and $A_{overall}$ around 81, above the accepted threshold value of 60 (typical values based on several runs). Usually all elements now achieve satisfactory Convergence values \geq 95. There are no outliers among the dates on short-lived samples above the 6% level. These are very minor discrepancies, and the outlier modeling slightly down-weights their influence. We thus employ this model as our best estimate for the Tell Tayinat chronology. The calendar age ranges for various of the elements from both Model 1 and Model 2 are detailed in Table 4. They are very similar.

Discussion

The EB IV dates indicate that the terminal EB occupation at Tell Tayinat lies in the 23rd to 22nd centuries BCE. This suggests that the site was active as the 4.2 ka climate event began

Table 4. Selected modeled calendar age ranges from the models and outputs shown in Figs 3–5. TPQ refers to a date solely from a long-lived sample(s). Typical results shown; very small variations (often of around 1 year) occur between different model runs (we illustrate by giving results from a very similar but different model run in S3 File, where a number of start/end dates for some of the ranges are 1 year different). For comparison of the results for Model 2 with the previous IntCal13 [134], see below in Table 6. Whole ranges only are listed (compare with S3 File where sub-ranges are listed). The Time Span Phases 4&3 Date estimate combines the separate start (Phase 4) and end (Phase 3) Date estimates in the model.

Ameded > Amede		Model 1 w	vith all data,	Model 2 exclu	Model 2 excluding OxA-32139,		
68.2% hpd95.4% hpd68.2% hpd95.4% hpdDate BCEDate BCEDate BCEDate BCEPhase 8b EB IVB TPQ2518-23302585-22432517-23312585-2245Phase 8b, EBIVB Destruction Event2335-22112397-20202335-22112396-2020Phase 7 Date Estimate2219-21402282-20742219-21402219-21402219-2140Boundary End Phase 72186-21042200-20052187-21042199-21062199-2106Phase 6a, ino I TPQ1311-11641180-11031309-11591379-1101Phase 6a Date Estimate1124-10451181-10231122-10451187-1023Phase 6a Date Estimate10050-10061086-9391052-10061088-992Phase 5a Date Estimate1008-9871010-9701008-9871010-970Phase 5a Date Estimate999-9751006-952998-9761006-952Phase 5a Date Estimate997-921987-951997-921987-951Phase 2 Early Date Estimate970-931982-894971-931982-894Phase 2 Middle A 2 Date Estimate970-839910-828900-839910-828Phase 2 Middle A 2 Date Estimate900-839910-828901-836968-786Phase 2 Middle B Date Estimate972-753971-753971-753971-753Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate772-753772-753971-753971-753Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate774-743771-721764-743771-721Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate778-738778-733		A _{model} ~59), A _{overall} ~60	A _{model} ~81,	A _{overall} ~80–81		
Date BCEDate BCEDate BCEDate BCEPhase 8b EB IVB TPQ2518-23302518-23432517-23312585-2245Phase 8b, EBIVB Destruction Event2335-22112397-20202335-22112396-2020Phase 7 Date Estimate2219-21402282-20742219-21402281-2074Boundary End Phase 72186-21042200-20052187-21042199-2006Phase 6, Iron ITPQ1311-11641380-11031309-11591379-1101Phase 6, Drate Estimate1124-10451181-10231122-10451176-1023Phase 6, Drate Estimate1050-10061086-9931052-10061089-992Phase 5, Date Estimate1008-9871010-9701008-9871010-970Phase 5, Date Estimate999-9751006-952998-9761010-970Phase 5, Date Estimate997-921987-951997-921987-951Phase 2, Date Estimate997-931982-894971-931982-894Phase 2, Date Estimate900-839910-828900-839910-828Phase 2, Diddle A 2 Date Estimate900-839910-828900-839910-828Phase 2, Diddle B 2, Estimate972-753971-753971-753971-753Phase 2, Date Estimate772-753772-753971-753971-753Phase 2, Date Estimate772-753772-753971-753971-753Phase 2, Date Estimate778738738738738Phase 2, Date Estimate778778-764771-723771-723Phase 2,		68.2% hpd	95.4% hpd	68.2% hpd	95.4% hpd		
Phase 8b EB IVB TPQ2518–23302585–22432517–23312585–2245Phase 8a, EBIVB Destruction Event2335–22112337–22022335–22112396–2202Phase 7 Date Estimate2219–21402282–20742219–21402281–2074Boundary End Phase 72186–21042200–20052187–21042199–2006Phase 6c, Iron I TPQ1311–11641380–11031309–11591379–1101Phase 6b Date Estimate1124–10451181–10231122–10451176–1023Phase 6a TPQ and/or Date1005–10061086–9931052–10061088–992Phase 5a Date Estimate1008–9871019–9701008–9871019–970Phase 5a Date Estimate999–9751006–952998–9761006–952Phase 5a Date Estimate997–921987–951997–920Phase 2 Early Date Estimate970–931961–866955–911982–884Phase 2 Middle A1 –No Data955–911961–866955–911961–866Phase 2 Middle A1 –No Data900–839910–828900–839910–828Phase 2 Middle A1 –No Data955–915933–841926–855933–841Phase 2 Middle B Date Estimate900–839910–828900–839910–828Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate972–733772–733771–735791–735Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate772–733793–733772–733771–721Asyrian Conquest778778–733771–723771–721Asyrian Conquest672–669674–668672–669674–668 </th <th></th> <th>Date BCE</th> <th>Date BCE</th> <th>Date BCE</th> <th>Date BCE</th>		Date BCE	Date BCE	Date BCE	Date BCE		
Phase 8a, EBIVB Destruction Event2335-22112335-22112335-22112396-2202Phase 7 Date Estimate2219-21402228-20742219-21402281-2074Boundary End Phase 72186-21042200-20052187-21042199-2006Phase 6c, Iron I TPQ1311-11641380-11031309-11591379-1101Phase 6b Date Estimate1124-10451181-10231122-10451176-1023Phase 6a TPQ and/or Date1005-10061086-9931005-210061089-992Phase 5b Date Estimate1008-9871019-9701008-9871019-970Phase 5a Date Estimate999-9751006-952998-9761006-952Phase 2 Early Date Estimate970-931982-894971-931982-894Phase 2 Early Date Estimate925-5911961-866955-911961-866Phase 2 Middle A1 -No Data955-911961-866933-841910-828Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate920-855933-841926-855933-841Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate972-753793-733772-753791-735Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate772-753793-733772-753791-735Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate772-753793-733772-753791-735Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1764-743771-622764-743771-721Asyrian Conquest738738738738738Boundary End Tayinat Sequence672-669674-668672-669674-668	Phase 8b EB IVB TPQ	2518-2330	2585-2243	2517-2331	2585-2245		
Phase 7 Date Estimate2219-21402282-20742219-21402281-2074Boundary End Phase 72186-21042200-20052187-21042199-2006Phase 6c, Iron I TPQ1311-11641380-11031309-11591379-1101Phase 6b Date Estimate1124-10451181-10231122-10451176-1023Phase 6a TPQ and/or Date10050-10061086-9931052-10061089-992Phase 5b Date Estimate1008-9871019-9701008-9871019-970Phase 5a Date Estimate999-9751006-952998-9761006-952Time Span Phases 4&3 -No Samples987-951997-921987-951997-920Phase 2 Early Date Estimate970-931982-894971-931982-894Phase 2 Middle A1 -No Data955-911961-866955-911961-866Phase 2 Middle B Date Estimate900-839910-828900-839910-828Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate900-839910-828868-766866-766Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate772-753793-733772-753791-735Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1764-743771-672764-743771-721Assyrian Conquest738738738738738	Phase 8a, EBIVB Destruction Event	2335-2211	2397-2202	2335-2211	2396-2202		
Boundary End Phase 72186–21042200–20052187–21042199–2006Phase 6c, Iron I TPQ1311–11641380–11031309–11591379–1101Phase 6b Date Estimate1124–104511181–10231122–10451176–1023Phase 6a TPQ and/or Date1050–10061086–9931052–10061089–992Phase 5b Date Estimate1008–98710109–9701008–9871019–970Phase 5b Date Estimate999–9751006–952998–9761006–952Phase 5a Date Estimate997–921987–951997–920Phase 2 Early Date Estimate970–931982–894971–931Phase 2 Middle A1 –No Data955–911961–866955–911961–866Phase 2 Middle A2 Date Estimate900–839910–828900–839910–828Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate900–839910–828900–839910–828Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate772–753793–733772–753791–735Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1764–743771–721764–743771–721Asyrian Conquest672–669674–668672–669674–668	Phase 7 Date Estimate	2219-2140	2282-2074	2219-2140	2281-2074		
Phase 6c, Iron I TPQ1311-11641380-11031309-11591379-1101Phase 6b Date Estimate1124-10451181-10231122-10451176-1023Phase 6a TPQ and/or Date10050-10061086-9931052-10061089-992Phase 5b Date Estimate1008-9871019-9701008-9871019-970Phase 5a Date Estimate999-9751006-952998-9761006-952Phase 5a Date Estimate997-921987-951997-920Phase 2 Early Date Estimate970-931982-894971-931982-894Phase 2 Middle A1 - No Data955-911961-866955-911961-866Phase 2 Middle A2 Date Estimate900-839910-828900-839910-828Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate900-839910-828868-766836-782868-766Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate772-753793-733772-753791-735Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1764-743771-672764-743771-721Assyrian Conquest672-669672-669674-668672-669674-668	Boundary End Phase 7	2186-2104	2200-2005	2187-2104	2199-2006		
Phase 6b Date Estimate1124-10451181-10231122-10451176-1023Phase 6a TPQ and/or Date1006-10061086-9931052-10061089-992Phase 5b Date Estimate1008-9871019-9701008-9871019-970Phase 5a Date Estimate999-9751006-952998-9761006-952Phase 2 Mark Base 4&3 - No Samples987-951997-921987-951997-920Phase 2 Early Date Estimate970-931982-894971-931982-894Phase 2 Larly Date Estimate925-911961-866955-911961-866Phase 2 Middle A1 - No Data920-835933-841926-855933-841Phase 2 Middle B Date Estimate900-839910-828900-839910-828Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate836-782868-766836-782868-766Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate772-753773-733772-753791-735Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1764-743771-672764-743771-721Asyrian Conquest738738738738738	Phase 6c, Iron I TPQ	1311-1164	1380-1103	1309-1159	1379-1101		
Phase 6a TPQ and/or Date1050–10061086–9931052–10061089–992Phase 5b Date Estimate1008–9871019–9701008–9871019–970Phase 5a Date Estimate999–9751006–952998–9761006–952Time Span Phases 4&3 - No Samples987–951997–921987–951997–920Phase 2 Early Date Estimate970–931982–894971–931982–894Phase BP1, Chicago, and Phase 2 Middle A1 – No Data955–911961–866955–911961–866Phase 2 Middle A2 Date Estimate900–839910–828900–839910–828Phase 2 Middle B Date Estimate900–839910–828900–839910–828Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate900–839910–828868–766836–782868–766Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate772–753773–733772–753791–735Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1764–743771–672764–743771–721Assyrian Conquest738738738738738	Phase 6b Date Estimate	1124-1045	1181-1023	1122-1045	1176-1023		
Phase 5b Date Estimate 1008–987 1019–970 1008–987 1019–970 Phase 5a Date Estimate 999–975 1006–952 998–976 1006–952 Time Span Phases 4&3 -No Samples 987–951 997–921 987–951 997–920 Phase 2 Early Date Estimate 970–931 982–894 971–931 982–894 Phase BP1, Chicago, and Phase 2 Middle A1 –No Data 955–911 961–866 955–911 961–866 Phase 2 Middle A2 Date Estimate 925–855 933–841 926–855 933–841 Phase 2 Middle B Date Estimate 900–839 910–828 900–839 910–828 Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate 836–782 868–766 836–782 868–766 Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate 772–753 793–733 772–753 791–735 Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1 764–743 771–672 764–743 7718 Assyrian Conquest 738 738 738 738 738	Phase 6a TPQ and/or Date	1050-1006	1086-993	1052-1006	1089-992		
Phase 5a Date Estimate999-9751006-952998-9761006-952Time Span Phases 4&3 -No Samples987-951997-921987-951997-920Phase 2 Early Date Estimate970-931982-894971-931982-894Phase BP1, Chicago, and Phase 2 Middle A1 -No Data955-911961-866955-911961-866Phase 2 Middle A2 Date Estimate900-839910-828900-839910-828Phase 2 Middle B Date Estimate900-839910-828900-839910-828Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate836-782868-766836-782868-766Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate772-753793-733772-753791-735Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1764-743771-672764-743771-721Assyrian Conquest738738738738738Boundary End Tayinat Sequence672-669674-668672-669674-668	Phase 5b Date Estimate	1008-987	1019-970	1008-987	1019–970		
Time Span Phases 4&3 -No Samples987-951997-921987-951997-920Phase 2 Early Date Estimate970-931982-894971-931982-894Phase BP1, Chicago, and Phase 2 Middle A1 -No Data955-911961-866955-911961-866Phase 2 Middle A2 Date Estimate925-855933-841926-855933-841Phase 2 Middle B Date Estimate900-839910-828900-839910-828Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate836-782868-766836-782868-766Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate772-753793-733772-753791-735Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1764-743771-672764-7437738738Boundary End Tayinat Sequence672-669674-668672-669674-668	Phase 5a Date Estimate	999–975	1006-952	998–976	1006-952		
Phase 2 Early Date Estimate 970-931 982-894 971-931 982-894 Phase BP1, Chicago, and Phase 2 Middle A1 -No Data 955-911 961-866 955-911 961-866 Phase 2 Middle A2 Date Estimate 925-855 933-841 926-855 933-841 Phase 2 Middle B Date Estimate 900-839 910-828 900-839 910-828 Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate 836-782 868-766 836-782 868-766 Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate 772-753 793-733 772-753 791-735 Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1 764-743 771-672 764-743 771-721 Assyrian Conquest 738 738 738 738 Boundary End Tayinat Sequence 672-669 674-668 672-669 674-668	Time Span Phases 4&3 –No Samples	987-951	997-921	987-951	997-920		
Phase BP1, Chicago, and Phase 2 Middle A1 – No Data 955–911 961–866 955–911 961–866 Phase 2 Middle A2 Date Estimate 925–855 933–841 926–855 933–841 Phase 2 Middle B Date Estimate 900–839 910–828 900–839 910–828 Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate 836–782 868–766 836–782 868–766 Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate 772–753 793–733 772–753 791–735 Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1 764–743 771–672 764–743 771–721 Assyrian Conquest 738 738 738 738 738 Boundary End Tayinat Sequence 672–669 674–668 672–669 674–668	Phase 2 Early Date Estimate	970-931	982-894	971-931	982-894		
Phase 2 Middle A2 Date Estimate 925-855 933-841 926-855 933-841 Phase 2 Middle B Date Estimate 900-839 910-828 900-839 910-828 Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate 836-782 868-766 836-782 868-766 Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate 772-753 793-733 772-753 791-735 Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1 764-743 771-672 764-743 771-721 Assyrian Conquest 738 738 738 738 Boundary End Tayinat Sequence 672-669 674-668 672-669 674-668	Phase BP1, Chicago, and Phase 2 Middle A1 -No Data	955-911	961-866	955-911	961-866		
Phase 2 Middle B Date Estimate 900–839 910–828 900–839 910–828 Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate 836–782 868–766 836–782 868–766 Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate 772–753 793–733 772–753 791–735 Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1 764–743 771–672 764–743 771–721 Assyrian Conquest 738 738 738 738 Boundary End Tayinat Sequence 672–669 674–668 672–669 674–668	Phase 2 Middle A2 Date Estimate	925-855	933-841	926-855	933-841		
Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate 836-782 868-766 836-782 868-766 Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate 772-753 793-733 772-753 791-735 Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1 764-743 771-672 764-743 771-721 Assyrian Conquest 738 738 738 738 Boundary End Tayinat Sequence 672-669 674-668 672-669 674-668	Phase 2 Middle B Date Estimate	900-839	910-828	900-839	910-828		
Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate 772-753 793-733 772-753 791-735 Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1 764-743 771-672 764-743 771-721 Assyrian Conquest 738 738 738 738 738 Boundary End Tayinat Sequence 672-669 674-668 672-669 674-668	Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate	836-782	868-766	836-782	868-766		
Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1 764-743 771-672 764-743 771-721 Assyrian Conquest 738 738 738 738 Boundary End Tayinat Sequence 672-669 674-668 672-669 674-668	Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate	772-753	793–733	772–753	791–735		
Assyrian Conquest 738 738 738 738 Boundary End Tayinat Sequence 672-669 674-668 672-669 674-668	Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1	764-743	771-672	764-743	771-721		
Boundary End Tayinat Sequence 672-669 674-668 672-669 674-668	Assyrian Conquest	738	738	738	738		
	Boundary End Tayinat Sequence	672–669	674–668	672–669	674–668		

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240799.t004

 $(23^{rd} \text{ century BCE})$ and likely continued at least into the early part of the following century. We have relatively few data as yet from the more substantial earlier phases of occupation, including the construction of a major structure in FP8b [48, 50], for which only a *terminus* post quem can be provided. The destruction of this structure, however, appears to be dated somewhere between 2300-2200 BCE, relatively early in the EB IVB period. Furthermore, no dates have yet been obtained from the recently excavated preceding levels, most notably from another substantial construction that appears to have been destroyed by fire and has been tentatively dated to the EB IVA period (FP10). More data, however, pertains to the terminal phases of EB occupation, after the destruction of the FP8 structure. The final EB IV Phase 7, in particular, exhibits some spread in 14 C ages (Figs 3 and 5) among the olive pits represented, with two (OxA-32138, OxA-32134) perhaps indicating a date range around/after 2100 BCE. Phase 7 appears to represent a relatively drawn out period of reducing circumstances at the site following the destruction of the more substantial architecture of Phase 8. We lack any constraint on the end of Phase 7, since there is then a gap in site occupation. The end Boundary could reach, in round terms, to ~2100 BCE at 68.2% hpd and ~2000 BCE at 95.4% hpd. The data to hand suggest that Tell Tayinat Phase 7 occupation likely ran at least well into the 22nd century BCE, and perhaps further.

This phase is associated with the decline and eventual abandonment of the site at the end of the phase, representing the terminal EB occupation at the site, after which occupation shifts to the neighboring site of Tell Atchana (ancient Alalakh) for the Middle and Late Bronze Ages, beginning in the terminal phases of the EB. This abandonment thus appears to occupy a period

Boundary St	art Phase 6c [C:99]					
Phase Phase	e 6c, Iron I					
R_Date Ox	A-30313 SA6449 F	P6c charcoal Quer	cus sp. [A:101 C:10	00 0:100/100]		
Boundary Tr	ansition 6c to 6b [C	99]				
Phase Phase	e 6b and 6a, Iron I					
Sequence						
Phase Ph	ase 6a/b Samples					
R_Date	ФхА-30421 SA4198	FP6a/6b charcoal	Rhamnus sp. [A:1	07 C:100 O:100/10	0]	
Sequence	6b to 6a, Iron I					
Phase Ph	ase 6b, Iron I					
R_Date	ФхА-30317 SA4791	FP6b charcoal Ta	marix sp. [A:104 C	:100 O:100/100]		
R_Date	ФхА-30323 SA4749	FP6b charcoal Ta	marix sp. [A:107 C	:100 O:100/100]		
R_Date	ФхА-30564 SA4805	FP6b charcoal Pir	us brutia [A:90 C: ⁻	100 0:100/100]		
R_Date RESIDU	0xA-32139 SA5113 AL OR PRE-6c??	3 FP6b seeds Oliv A:6 C:100 O:100/5	e			
Phase 6	b Date Estimate [C:	100]	-			
Boundary	Transition 6b to 6a	[C:100]				
Phase Ph	ase 6a, Iron I					
R_Date	ФхА-30319 SA4793	FP6a? charcoal B	etulaceae cf. Ostry	a car [A:105 C:100	O:100/100]	
R_Date	ФхА-30326 SA1236	FP6a charcoal Fra	axinus sp. [A:56 C:	100 O:100/100]		-
R_Comb	oine SA3959 [A:101	C:100 O:1/5]				
R_Date	OxA-30327 SA395	9 FP6a charcoal B	etulaceae cf. Ostry	a car		
R_Date	OxA-30328 SA395	9 FP6a charcoal B	etulaceae cf. Ostry	a car		
R_Date	ФхА-30314 SA3329	FP6a charcoal Qu	ercus sect. Cerris	[A:89 C:100 O:100,	(100]	
Phase 6	a TPQ and/or Date	Estimate [C:100]				
Date Estim	ate Phase 6b + 6a i	ogether [C:100]				•]
Boundary Tr	ansition 6 to 5 [C:10	00]				4
35	500 30	00 25	00 20	00 15	00 10	00

OxCal v4.3.2 Bronk Ramsey (2017); r:5 Atmospheric data from Reimer et al (2020);

Modelled date (BCE)

Fig 2. The portion of Model 1 showing the period 6 data to illustrate the very large outlier OxA-32139 on sample SA5113 (see text). This sample is excluded from the remainder of the modeling in our study. Data from OxCal 4.3.2 and IntCal20 with calibration curve resolution set at 1 year. The OxCal Agreement (A) values, the Posterior v. Prior values from the OxCal General Outlier model (O) for the short-lived samples, and the Convergence (C) values are all shown. The dates on wood charcoal samples with the Charcoal Outlier Plus model applied always have an outlier value of 100/100. The light-shaded red probability distributions for each dated sample are the non-modeled calibrated age probability distributions for each sample in isolation. The dark red probability distributions are the modeled (posterior density) calendar age probability distributions. The lines under each probability distribution indicate the modeled 68.2% and 95.4% highest posterior density (hpd) ranges. (Note: OxCal from version 4.4.1 uses 68.3% hpd ranges, however, since we employed OxCal 4.3.2 in this paper, we list 68.2% ranges following OxCal version 4.3.2.).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240799.g002

around and shortly after the onset of the 4.2 ka cultural-climate episode. It has been increasingly recognized that this climate episode did not significantly impact sites in the northern Levant, and specifically sites in the Euphrates and Orontes river valleys, in the same manner as observed in northern Mesopotamia [34, 38]. This does not contradict the general climate shift to more arid conditions observed in a number of records, including directly from barley finds from archaeological sites in the region [144]. But it does highlight that such a general regional impact has very different effects locally, depending on a range of human, geographic/environmental and technological factors [144]. Even during the climate episodes around 4200 BP (~2200 BCE) and 3200 BP (~1200 BCE), barley grains from coastal sites, for example, show little substantive drought stress [144]. In the northern Levant, in particular, it has been proposed that the karstic geology of these regions and their resulting access to underground aquifers as more stable water sources in times of drought, positioned them as "refugia" for "habitat-tracking populations" in times of climate crisis [25, 145, see also ref. 35]. Favorable physiographic and edaphic features, or irrigation technology and low-risk, sustainable agricultural practices may also mitigate local impacts.

Such comparatively favorable conditions, as well as possible mitigation strategies, may be reflected in the archaeobotanical evidence from Tayinat. In both FP8 and FP7, barley and free-threshing wheat are well-represented, and emmer wheat is also present in significant quantities [48, 146, 147]. Although barley is the dominant cereal crop, the frequencies of (more water-demanding) wheat are notably higher compared to sites further east, which typically relied much more heavily on barley [148]. This suggests better water availability in the Amuq compared to other inland areas in the late 3rd millennium, but the frequency of emmer may simultaneously represent a strategy aimed at minimizing yield variation. Similarly, Tayinat displays very high ubiquities in FPs 8–7 for a range of water-demanding species such as olive and grape, particularly when compared to other inland sites [146, 147]. Notably, no significant shift in species representation appears between FP8 and FP7 [147]. Carbon isotope analysis of cereal grains in addition indicates no evidence of drought stress in either barley or wheat during either phase, and crops may in fact be slightly better watered in FP7 compared to FP8 [147].

On the other hand, the zooarchaeological assemblages associated with FP8 and FP7 show notable differences [48]. Sheep and goat have a greater focus in absolute numbers compared to pig and cattle in FP7 in comparison to FP8, although cattle appear to have contributed the most significant amount of meat to the diet in both phases. Kill-off patterns for sheep and goat suggest a mixed animal management strategy was employed in both phases, with meat, milk and wool all likely playing a significant role. Notably, hunting is frequent in FP8, but becomes much less common in FP7. Hunting in FP8 focused on red deer (*Cervus elaphus*), although roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*), gazelle and hare/rabbit are also present. Similarly, fish is found in very high proportions in FP8, and although it remains high in FP7 it declines significantly. Similar patterns are seen in bird, turtle and amphibian remains, although these are all found in much lower numbers than fish.

DxCal v4.3.2 Bronk Ramsey (2017); r:1 Atmospheri	c data from Reimer et al (2020);			
Sequence Tavinat Model [Amodel:81]				
Boundary Start Tavinat Sequence IC:	51			
Phase Phase 10 EB IVa - No Data	-1			
Boundary Transition Phase 10 to 9 IC.	1001			
Phase Phase 9 EVIVa-b - No Data				
Boundary Transition Phase 9 to Phase	8b [C:100]			
Phase Phase 8b EB IVb	. ,			
R Date OxA30316 SA6442 FP8b ch	arcoal Pinus brutia [A:109	C:100 O:100/1001		
Boundary Transition Phase 8b to 8a [0	:100]			
Phase Phase 8a EBIVb	-			
R_Combine 8a destruction event [A:	102 C:100 O:4/5]			
R_Date 0xA32132 SA5307 FP8a s	eeds Olive			
C R_Date 0xA32133 SA5339 FP8a s	eeds Olive		-))
Boundary Transition Phase 8 to 7 [C:1	00]			
Phase Phase 7, EB IVb				
R_Date O*A30325 SA3091 FP7 cha	rcoal Pinus brutia [A:86 C:	100 O:100/100]		
R_Date O*A32134 SA3977 FP7 see	ds Olive [A:115 C:100 O:4)	[5]		
R_Date OxA32135 SA6479 FP7 see	ds Olive [A:109 C:100 O:4)	(5)	_	
R_Date OxA32136 SA6533 FP7 see	ds Olive [A:90 C:100 O:5/5			
R_Date 0xA32137 SA6466 FP7 see	as Olive [A:122 C:100 O:4)	[5]		
R_Date 0xA32138 SA6497 FP7 see	as Olive [A:68 C:100 O:5/5			
R_Date 0xA32347 SA3975 FP7 see	as Olive [A:116 C:100 O:4]	5]		
Priase / Date Estimate [C:100]				
Boundary End Phase 7 [C:99]				
Boundary Start Phase 6c [C:99]				
Phase Phase 6c, Iron I		0.400 0.400/4001		
R_Date OxA-30313 SA6449 FP6c Cl	arcoal Quercus sp. [A:101	C.100 O.100/100J)
Boundary Hansilion 6C to 6D [C.99]				
Phase Phase 6b and 6a, Iron I				
Deace Calle Samples				
P Date OxA 20421 SA4108 EPG	/6h characal Phampus an	[A:107 C:100 O:100/100]		
<u>C R_Dale OXA-30421 SA4196 FP68</u>	760 charcoar Rhamhus sp.	[A. 107 C. 100 O. 100/100]		
Bhase Bhase 6b Iron I				
P Date Ov A 20217 SA4701 EPG	charcoal Tamarix on [A:1	04 0:100 0:100/1001		
$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} $	charcoal Tamarix sp. [A.1	07 C 100 C 100/100]		
$\frac{1}{R} Date OxA-30564 SA4805 EP61$	charcoal Pinus sp. [A:00]	$2.100 \times 100 \times 100 \times 100$		
Phase 6h Date Estimate [C:100]	Charcoart inus sp. [A.90	5. 100 C. 100/100j		
Boundary Transition 6b to 6a IC:10	01			
Phase Phase 6a Iron I	4 <u>7</u>			
R Date OxA-30319 SA4793 EP6	? charcoal Betulaceae cf	Ostrva car [A·105 C·100 C	100/1001	
R Date OxA-30326 SA1236 FP6	charcoal Fraxinus sp. IA:	56 C:100 O:100/1001		
R Combine SA3959 [A:99 C:100	0:4/51			
R Date OxA-30327 SA3959 FP6	a charcoal Betulaceae cf.	Ostrva car		
R Date OxA-30328 SA3959 FP6	a charcoal Betulaceae cf.	Ostrva car		J
R Date OxA-30314 SA3329 FP6a	charcoal Quercus sect. C	erris [A:89 C:100 O:100/10	01	
Phase 6a TPQ and/or Date Estim	ate [C:100]			J]
Date Estimate Phase 6b + 6a togeth	er [C:100]			
Boundary Transition 6 to 5 [C:100]			-4	-
Phase Phase 5b and 5a, Iron I				
Sequence				
Phase Phase 5a/b, Iron I				
R_Date OxA-30324 SA1202 FP5a	/b charcoal Betulaceae [A	94 C:100 O:100/100]		-
R_Date OxA-30565 SA1210 FP5a	/b charcoal Quercus sp. d	eciduous [A:119 C:100 O:1	00/100]	<u> </u>
L <u><i>R_Date OxA-30563 SA1199 FP5a</i></u>	/b seeds Olive [A:108 C:10	0 0:4/5]		
Sequence 5b to 5a				
Phase Phase 5b, Iron I				
R_Combine SA1204 olive seeds	A:93 C:100 O:4/5]			
R_Date OxA-30310 SA1204 FP	b charred seeds Olive			
R_Date OxA-30311 SA1204 FP	b charred seeds Olive	0.400.0.0/51		
R_Date OxA-32141 SA1973 FP5k	charred seeds Olive [A:40	0 C:100 O:6/5]		
R_Date OxA-32142 SA1974 FP5k	cnarred seeds Olive [A:10	13 C:100 O:4/5]		
R_Date 0xA-32143 SA1975 FP5l	cnarred seeds Olive [A:73	6 C:100 O:4/5]		
R_Compine SA19/6 olive seeds [A:121 C:100 O:3/5]			
R_Date 0xA-32162 SA19/6 FP	b charred seeds Olive			
R Date OxA-32163 SA19/6 FP	reasoning of Fhangela Olive	- [A:404 0:400 0:0/F]		
R_Date OxA-32140 SA5533 FP61	reassigned of seeds Oliv	e [A.104 C.100 O.3/5]		
Boundary Transition 5h to 5c (C:100]	07			
				- 11
Boundary mansition ob to ba [0.10	14			

Modelled date (BCE)

Fig 3. Model 2 (excluding OxA-32139): Bayesian chronological model for Tell Tayinat Iron Age sequence, part 1. The A_{model} and A_{overall} values are satisfactory versus the threshold value of 60 (Table 4). Data from OxCal 4.3.2 and IntCal20 with calibration curve resolution set at 1 year. The Individual OxCal Agreement values (A), the Posterior v. Prior values from the OxCal General Outlier model for the short-lived samples (O), and the Convergence (C) values are all shown. The wood charcoal samples with the Charcoal Plus Outlier model applied all have a Posterior/Prior value of 100/100. The light-shaded red probability distributions for each dated sample are the non-modeled calibrated age probability distributions for each sample in isolation. The dark red probability distributions are the modeled calendar age probability distributions. The line under each probability distribution indicates the modeled 95.4% highest posterior density (hpd) range. Cyan color indicates an OxCal Date estimate query for a Phase (this quantifies the time period within the start and end Boundary for the relevant Phase).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240799.g003

The results suggest a much more varied subsistence strategy in FP8, relying on a combination of domestic livestock and significant supplementation from hunting, fishing and fowling. In FP7, in contrast, hunting and fishing decrease notably and reliance on livestock increases. This is particularly true of sheep, goat and cattle, although pig declines slightly in FP7. Despite the decline visible architecturally after the destruction of the major building at the end of FP8, these observations suggest that FP7's subsistence economy became more intensively focused on domestic livestock than in the preceding period.

Overall, despite their approximate temporal coincidence, a direct causal relationship between Tayinat's late EB decline and this climate episode is difficult to support, as it seems much more likely that the site failed to recover from its destruction during the preceding century (or more-since the length of Phase 7 is unclear). This destruction is positioned alongside evidence for similar destruction levels in the northern Levant, most notably at the major regional center of Tell Mardikh-Ebla, where the Palace G complex was destroyed ~2350-2300 BCE [83]. The agents responsible for such destructions remain debated [149-151], but in the aftermath, Ebla was rapidly reconstructed and regained its status as a primary regional center, although perhaps on a more modest scale than observed in the preceding period [34, 39, 152]. Tayinat, in contrast, although re-occupied, does not seem to have recovered its primate position in the region, with the principal settlement shifting to nearby Tell Atchana, which then remained the central settlement throughout the Middle and Late Bronze Ages. This shift, in contrast to wider regional reconstructions of major climatic crisis, has often been postulated as the result of local factors, such as a decisive shift in the course of the Orontes River [153, 154]. Indeed, in light of the close proximity of Tell Tayinat and Tell Atchana, this change may be more reflective of a local political and spatial reorganization than a major break in settlement.

Our Iron Age data and modeling provide a refined and robust absolute timeframe for the early Iron Age at Tell Tayinat, independent of cultural and historical assumptions, running from around the 12th through mid-8th centuries BCE (<u>Table 4</u>, Figs <u>3</u> and <u>4</u>). The site's earliest Iron Age occupation thus lies squarely in the period following the collapse of the Late Bronze Age ca. 1200 BCE, and represents an alternative model and context for this period of transformation and climate challenge in the Old World [51]. Discussion here will focus primarily on the dates from the early Iron Age (i.e. Iron I-early Iron II, ca. 12th-9th centuries BCE, Table 4); further discussion of the late Iron II dates here will await a future publication about the Iron II-III transition at the site (i.e. 8th-7th centuries BCE) [155]. The dates calculated are consistent with the broad chronological sequence constructed based on linkages to Aegeanizing ceramics of the Late Helladic (LH) IIIC tradition [45, 46, 51, 55, 56]. The earliest levels (Field Phase [FP] 6c), which largely precede the widespread use of Aegeanizing LH IIIC-style ceramics at the site [51, 110], begin in the 12th century BCE. Aegeanizing influences begin to appear in the late 12th century (FP6b) and proliferate in the 11th century (FP6a-5), continuing in declining frequencies into the mid-10th century (FP4-3, 2 Early) [51, 56, 110]. These dates are consistent with a more or less conventional chronology and do not support recent suggestions for much earlier (or 'higher') dates for the end of the Late Bronze Age (and the LH IIIB to LH IIIC

OxCal v4.3.2	2 Bronk Ramsey (2017); r:	1 Atmospheric data from	Reimer et al (2020);			
Bound	ary Transition 6 to 5 IC	1001			-	
Phase	Phase 5h and 5a Iron	100				
Filase	Thase 50 and 5a, iron	1				
Sequ	ience					
Pna Pna	ase Phase 5a/b, Iron I					
	Date OxA-30324 SA1	202 FP5a/b charcoal E	Betulaceae [A:94 C:10	0 0:100/100]		
R	2_Date OxA-30565 SA1	210 FP5a/b charcoal (Quercus deciduous [A:	120 C:100 O:100/100		
	Date OxA-30563 SA1	199 FP5a/b seeds Oliv	re [A:111 C:100 O:1/5]	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
Sequ	ence 5b to 5a					
Ph	ase Phase 5h Iron I					
	Combine SA1204 eliv	a acada [A:06 C:100 (-0/51			
	Combine SA1204 Oliv	e seeds [A:96 C:100 C	0.0/5]			
	R_Date OxA-30310 SA	1204 FP5b charred se	eds Olive			
	R_Date OxA-30311 SA	1204 FP5b charred se	eds Olive —			
R	Date OxA-32141 SA1	973 FP5b charred see	ds Olive [A:42 C:100 (0:2/51		
	Date OxA-32142 SA1	974 FP5b charred see	ds Olive IA 106 C 100	0.0/51		
	Data 0x1-321/3 SA1	075 EP5h charred soc	de Olivo 14:75 C:100	0.1/51		
	Cambing CA1076 alin	STOTT OD CHAITED SEC	0.0/51	5. 115]		
	Combine SA 1978 Oliv	e seeds [A:125 C:100	0.0/5]			
	R_Date OxA-32162 SA	1976 FP5b charred se	eds Olive			
	<u>R_Date OxA-32163 SA</u>	1976 FP5b charred se	eds Olive -			
<i>R</i>	Date OxA-32140 SA5	533 FP6b reassigned	5b seeds Olive [A:107	C:100 O:0/5]		
	hase 5b Date Estimate	IC:1001	_			
Bo	undary Transition 5h to	5a [C:100]				
	ase Phase 5a Iron I					
	Dete Ord 20000 044			00 0.400 0.400/400		
	Luate OXA-30329 SA1	200 FP5a? charcoal G	uercus evergreen [A:1	06 0:100 0:100/100]		
R	_Date OxA-30318 SA1	683 FP5a? Early char	red seeds Vitis vinifera	? [A:131 C:100 O:0/5]		
$ \cup P$	hase 5a Date Estimate	[C:100]				 J
Date	Estimate Phase 5b + 5	a together [C:100]				
Bound	ary End Phase 5 IC:10	01				
Round	any Start Phases 4 to 2	No Data IC:1001				
Dhar	Phases 4 to 2 No Do	100 Data [0.100]				
Phase	mases 4 to 3 - No Dat	d				
Sequ	lence					
Dai	te Estimate Phase 4 - N	o Data [C:100]			_	
Dai	te Estimate Phase 3 - N	lo Data [C:100]				
Bound	ary End Phase 3 IC:10	07				
Bound	any Start Phase 2 Early	10:001				
Dhasa	ary Start Flase 2 Larly	[0.99]				
Phase	mase 2 Early, end from					
$ R_D$	ate OxA-30322 SA/92	P4b-5a-5b charcoal i	Pinus brutia [A:89 C:99	0:100/100		
Phas	e 2 Early Date Estimate	e [C:99]				
Bound	ary End 2 Early [C:99]			_		
Bound	arv Start BP1 and 2 Mi	ddle A(1) - Iron II IC:99	7			
Phase	BP1 Trans Iron I-II C	hicago Excavations an	d 2 Middle A(1) Iron II	- No Data		
Data	of Missing BP1 Phase	Chicago Excavation	No Data IC:001			
Dale	WINSSING DE LEMASE	- Chicago Excavations	s - NO Dala [0.99]			
	E.C. I. OARLIN. AVAN	NI D I TO 001				
Date	Estimate 2 Middle A(1)	- No Data [C:99]				
Date Bound	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99]				
Date Bound Bound	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] le A2, Iron II [C:99]				
Date Bound Bound Phase	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2. Iro	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] le A2, Iron II [C:99] n II		-		
Date Bound Bound Phase	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0x4-32170 SA2300	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] le A2, Iron II [C:99] n II EP2c (Middle) Seeds	Olive [4:43 C:99 O:34	51		
Date Bound Bound Phase R_Da	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate OxA-32170 SA2306	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] le A2, Iron II [C:99] n II 2 FP2c (Middle) Seeds 5 EP2c (Middle) Sondo	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/	5)		
Date Bound Bound Phase R_Da	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate OxA-32170 SA2309 ate OxA-32171 SA2309	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds 5 FP2c (Middle) Seeds	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1	5] 5]		
Date Bound Phase R_Da R_Da	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate OxA-32170 SA2305 ate OxA-32171 SA2305 ate OxA-32172 SA2907	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] le A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/	5] /5] 5]		
Date Bound Bound Phase R_Da R_Da R_Da R_Da	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Midd ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate OxA-32170 SA2300 ate OxA-32171 SA2300 ate OxA-32172 SA2907 ate OxA-30321 SA7835	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II a FP2c (Middle) Seeds f FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c Middle B? charc	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1/ Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/ pal Bark [A:95 C:99 O:	5] /5] 5] 0/5]		
Date Bound Bound Phase R_Da R_Da R_Da R_Da	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2309 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-30321 SA7839 ate 0xA-30321 SA7839 ate 2 Middle A(2) Date E	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99]	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1/ Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O.	5) /5] 5] 0/5]		
Date Bound Bound Phase R_Da R_Da R_Da R_Da R_Da Bound	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2305 ate 0xA-32171 SA2306 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 ate 2 Middle A(2) Date E ary Transition 2 Middle	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II I FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds I FP2 Middle B S charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B (C:98)	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O.	5] /5] 5] 0/5]		
Date Bound Bound Phase R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di Bound	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Midd ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32172 SA2907 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 are 2 Middle A(2) Date E ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B Iron II	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] le A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 (Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98]	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O	5] /5] 5] 0/5]		
Date Bound Phase R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di Bound Phase	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Midd ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2305 ate 0xA-32171 SA2305 ate 0xA-30321 SA7833 ate 0xA-30321 SA7833 ate 2 Middle A(2) Date E ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, 100 II ate 0xA-30315 SA7831	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 (Middle S? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98]	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O.	5] /5] 5] 0/5]		
Date Bound Phase R_Da R_Da R_Da R_Da Bound Phase R_Da	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2305 ate 0xA-32171 SA2306 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 a 2 Middle A(2) Date E ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 a 2 Middle B, Date Feff	-No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] 5 FP3a ? 2 Middle B? c mate [C:98]	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A:	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] -		
Date Bound Phase R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di Phase Bound Phase R_Das Phase	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Midd ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32172 SA2907 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 ary Transition 2 Middle ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 are 2 Middle B Date Estim	- No Data [C:99] die A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? charc nate [C:98]	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1/ Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O harcoal Salicaceae [A:	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] -		
Date Bound Phase R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-30321 SA7833 a 2 Middle A(2) Date E ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 e 2 Middle B Date Estin ary Transition 2 Middle	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? c nate [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99]	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O: harcoal Salicaceae [A:	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] -		
Date Bound Bound Phase R_Di R_Di R_Di Phase Bound Phase R_Di Bound Phase Bound Phase	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 ary Transition 2 Middle A Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 a 2 Middle B, Date Esti ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II	-No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] 5 FP3a? 2 Middle B? c mate (C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99]	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1 oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A:	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] -		
Date Bound Phase R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di Phase Bound Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Midd ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-3021 SA7835 ate 2 Middle A(2) Date E ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 2 Middle B Date Estin ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ate 0xA-30312 SA4776	- No Data [C:99] die A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? c nate [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP2 Late 1 charred s	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1/ Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O harcoal Salicaceae [A: peds Olive [A:129 C:1]	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] -		
Date Bound Phase R_Di R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] B to 2 Late 1 Charred s FP2 Late 2 Seeds O()	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: peds Olive [A:129 C:1 ve RESIDUAL?? assid	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 00 O:0/5] med 2 Late 1? [A:95 G	:100 0:1/5]	
Date Bound Phase R_D: R_D: Phase R_D: Phase R_D: Phase R_D: Phase R_D: Phase R_D: Phase	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Midd ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 ary Transition 2 Middle ary Transition 2 Middle de 0xA-30315 SA7825 ary Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ary Middle B Date Estim ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311	-No Data [C:99] die A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? c mate [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP2 Late 1 charred s FP2 Late 1 charred s FP2 Late 2 charced Sol te [C:100]	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1 oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: harcoal Salicaceae [A: veds Olive [A:129 C:1] ve RESIDUAL?? assig	5] 5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 90 O:0/5] 90 O:0/5] 90 O:0/5]	:100 0.1/5]	
Date Bound Phase R_DL Phase R_DC Phase Bound Phase R_DC Phase R_DC Phase Bound	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-30321 SA7833 ate 2 Middle A(2) Date E ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ate 1 Date Estima ate Transition 2 I ate 1	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds i FP2c (Middle) Seeds i FP2c Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] i FP3a? 2 Middle B? c nate [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] i FP2 Late 1 charred s i FP2 Late 2 Seeds Ol te [C:100] to 2 Late 2 (C:00] to 2 Late 2 (C:00]	Olive [A:43 C:99 O.3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O.1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O.1/ pal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: harcoal Salicaceae [A: veds Olive [A:129 C:1] ve RESIDUAL?? assig	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 90 O:0/5] 90 O:0/5] 90 O:0/5]	2:100 O.1/5)	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_Du R_Du Phase R_Du Phase R_Du Phase R_Du Phase R_Du Phase Bound Phase R_Du Phase Bound	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2305 ate 0xA-32171 SA2306 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 ate 0xA-30321 SA7825 ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] 5 FP3a? 2 Middle B? c mate [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] 3 FP2 Late 1 charred s FP2 Late 2 Seeds Oli te [C:100] to 2 Late 2 [C:99]	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: harcoal Salicaceae [A: ve RESIDUAL?? assig	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 00 O:0/5] 00 O:0/5] 00 O:0/5]	:100 0:1/5]	
Date Bound Phase R_DA R_DA R_DA Phase R_DA Phase R_DA Phase R_DA Phase R_DA Phase R_DA Phase	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Midd ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ary Transition 2 Late 1 Phase 2 Late 2	- No Data [C:99] die A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? c nate [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds Oli te [C:100] to 2 Late 2 [C:99]	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1 oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: harcoal Salicaceae [A: ve RESIDUAL?? assig	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 00 O:0/5] med 2 Late 1? [A:95 C	2:100 0:1/5]	
Date Bound Phase R_DU R_DU Phase R_DU Phase R_DU Phase R_DU Phase R_DU Phase R_DU Phase R_DU Phase R_DU Phase R_DU	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-302171 SA2800 ate 0xA-302172 SA2907 ate 0xA-30312 SA7825 ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ate 0xA-30302 SA1235	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle B) Ceds FP2 Middle B [C:98] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP2 Late 1 charred s FP2 Late 2 Seeds Oli te [C:100] to 2 Late 2 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 charred s FP2 Late 2 charred s	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1/ Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1/ ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9:	5] /5] 5/ 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 9 O:0/5] 9 O:0/5]	2:100 0:1/5]	
Date Bound Phase R_Di R_Di R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di R_Di Phase R_Di R_Di Phase R_Di R_Di Phase R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-30321 SA7839 ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30309 SA1238 ate 0xA-30309 SA1238	-No Data [C:99] -No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] B to 2 Late 1 charred s FP2 Late 1 charred s FP2 Late 2 ch	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1 oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1 ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 C	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 00 O:0/5] med 2 Late 1? [A:95 C 9 O:0/5] charred seeds?? [A:14	2:100 O:1/5]	
Date Bound Phase R_DL Phase R_DC Phase Bound Phase R_DC Phase Bound Phase R_DC Phase R_DC R_DC R_DC R_DC R_DC R_DC R_DC R_DC	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 ate 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30312 SA7825 ate 0xA-30312 SA7825 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30309 SA1235 ate 0xA-30320 SA4205 ate 0xA-32164 SA5076	No Data [C:99] die A(1) [C:99] die A(2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP2 Late 1 charred s FP2 Late 2 Seeds Ol ta [C:100] to 2 Late 2 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 charred s FP6b INTRUSIVE R8 FP2 Late 2 Seeds Ol FP2 Late 2 Seeds Ol FP2 Late 2 Seeds Ol FP2 Late 2 Charred s FP6L Late 2 Charred s FP6b INTRUSIVE R8 FP2 Late 2 Seeds Ol FP2 Late 2 Charred s FP6L Late 2 Charred s FP6L Late 2 Charred s FP6L Late 2 Seeds Ol FP2 Late 2 Seeds Ol FP2 Late 2 Seeds Ol FP2 Late 2 Charred s FP6L Late 2 Charred s FP6L Late 2 Seeds Ol FP2 Late 2 Seeds Ol	Olive [A:43 C:99 O.3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O.1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O.1/ pal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: peds Olive [A:129 C:1 ve RESIDUAL?? assigned SASSIGNED 2 Late 2 C ve [A:130 C:99 O.0/5]	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 00 O:0/5] med 2 Late 1? [A:95 C 9 O:0/5] charred seeds?? [A:14	2:100 O:1/5) 3 C:99 O:0/5)	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_D, R_D, Phase R_D, Phase R_D, Phase R_D, Phase R_D, Phase R_D, Phase R_D, R_D, R_D, R_D, R_D, R_D, R_D, R_D,	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-30311 SA7835 ate 0xA-30311 SA7835 ate 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ate 0xA-30309 SA1238 ate 0xA-30309 SA1238 ate 0xA-30309 SA1238 ate 0xA-32166 SA5311	-No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? c mate [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI te [C:100] to 2 Late 2 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI Seeds OI Seed	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:129 C:1 ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 ve [A:1130 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:114 C:99 O:1/5]	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 00 O:0/5] med 2 Late 1? [A:95 C 9 O:0/5] charred seeds?? [A:14	2:100 O:1/5] 3 C:99 O:0/5]	
Date Bound Phase R_D. R_D. R_D. Phase R_D. Phase R_D. Phase R_D. Phase R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D.	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Midd ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 ary Transition 2 Middle ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30320 SA4205 ate 0xA-30320 SA4205 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5375 ate 0xA-32166 SA5375	-No Data [C:99] -No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] b to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP3a? 2 Middle B? c mate [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP2 Late 1 charred s FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI te [C:100] to 2 Late 2 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:13 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1 oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1 ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 ve [A:130 C:99 O:0/5] ve [A:114 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:114 C:99 O:0/5]	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 	:100 O:1/5]	
Date Bound Phase R_Di R_Di Phase Bound Phase R_Di Phase Bound Phase R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_DI R_DI R_DI R_DI R_DI R_DI R_DI R_DI	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32172 SA2907 ate 0xA-30312 SA7825 ate 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 2 Middle B Date Esti ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ary Transition 2 Late 1 Phase 2 Late 2 ate 0xA-30309 SA1236 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313	- No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] de A(2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c Middle B? Charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B [C:98] FP2 Late 1 Charred S FP2 Late 1 Charred S FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI te [C:100] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP2	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1/ Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1/ ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 (ve [A:130 C:99 O:0/5] ve [A:114 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:143 C:90 O:0/5]	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 9 O:0/5] med 2 Late 1? [A:95 C 9 O:0/5] sharred seeds?? [A:14	2:100 O:1/5]	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_D. R_D. Phase R_D. Phase R_D. Phase R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D.	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32172 SA2907 ate 0xA-30312 SA782 ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30309 SA1238 ate 0xA-30309 SA1238 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ate 0xA-32165 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5456 ate 0xA-32168 SA2856 ate 0xA-32168 SA2856	-No Data [C:99] -No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP3a? 2 Middle B? c mate [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 charred s FP2 Late 1 charred s FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI te [C:100] to 2 Late 2 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP2 Lat	Clive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1 oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1 ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 ve [A:130 C:99 O:0/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:0/5] ve [A:142 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:122 C:99 O:15]	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 00 O:0/5] med 2 Late 1? [A:95 C 9 O:0/5] charred seeds?? [A:14	3 C:99 O:0/5]	
Date Bound Phase R_DL Phase R_DC Phase Bound Phase R_DC Phase R_DC Phase R_DC R_DC R_DC R_DC R_DC R_DC R_DC R_DC	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 ate 2 Middle A(2) Date E aty Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30312 SA7825 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30309 SA1235 ate 0xA-30309 SA1235 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA42855 ate 0xA-32168 SA42855 ate 0xA-32168 SA42855 ate 0xA-32168 SA42855 ate 0xA-32168 SA42855 ate 0xA-32168 SA42855	No Data [C:99] die A(1) [C:99] die A(2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI te [C:100] FP2 Late 2 Charred s FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP2 La	Olive [A:43 C:99 O.3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O.1 Olive [A:15 C:99 O.1/ pal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1 ve RESIDUAL?? assig eads Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 C ve [A:130 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:85 C:99 O:1/5]	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 00 O:0/5] med 2 Late 1? [A:95 C 9 O:0/5] charred seeds?? [A:14	2:100 O:1/5) 3 C:99 O:0/5)	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_D. Phase R_D. Phase R_D. Phase R_D. Phase R_D. Phase R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D.	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32172 SA2907 ate 0xA-30315 SA7822 ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7822 ate 0xA-30316 SA7822 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ate 0xA-30309 SA1238 ate 0xA-30309 SA1238 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA2835 ate 0xA-32166 SA2855 ate 0xA-32166 SA2855 ate 0xA-32166 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32169 SA4777 ate 2 Late 2 Date Estimate of 2 Late 2 Date Estimate ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32169 SA4777 ate 2 Late 2 Date Estimate ate 0xA-32168 SA2855	-No Data [C:99] -No Data [C:99] -dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II -FP2c (Middle) Seeds FF22 (Middle) Seeds FF2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FF23a? 2 Middle B? c nate [C:98] FF24 Late 1 charred s FF24 Late 1 charred s FF24 Late 2 Seeds OI te (C:100] FF24 Late 2 Seeds OI FF24 Late 2 Seeds O	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:175 C:99 O:1 oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1 ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 ve [A:113 C:99 O:0/5] ve [A:114 C:99 O:0/5] ve [A:142 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:85 C:99 O:1/5]	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 9 O:0/5] 9 O:0/5] charred seeds?? [A:14	2:100 O:1/5]	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_D: R_D: Phase R_D: Phase R_D: Phase R_D: Phase R_D: R_D: R_D: R_D: R_D: R_D: R_D: R_D:	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2306 ate 0xA-32171 SA2306 ate 0xA-32171 SA2306 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 ate 2 Middle A(2) Date E ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 a 2 Middle B, Date Estin ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA5311 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30310 SA1233 ate 0xA-30309 SA1233 ate 0xA-32165 SA5313 ate 0xA-32164 SA5076 ate 0xA-32164 SA5076 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0x	-No Data [C:99] -No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP2 Late 1 charred s FP2 Late 2 Seeds Ol ta [C:100] to 2 Late 2 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds Ol FP2 Late	Olive [A:43 C:99 O.3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O.1 Olive [A:15 C:99 O. Parcoal Salicaceae [A: Barcoal Salicaceae [A: Peds Olive [A:129 C:1] ve RESIDUAL?? assig Peds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 Ve [A:130 C:99 O:0/5] Ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5] Ve [A:85 C:99 O:1/5]	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] – 00 O:0/5] med 2 Late 1? [A:95 C 9 O:0/5] charred seeds?? [A:14	::100 O::1/5]	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_Di R_Di Phase Bound Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase Bound Phase R_Di Phase Bound Phase R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32172 SA2907 ate 0xA-30321 SA7832 ate 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 2 Middle B Date Esti ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ate 0xA-30310 SA7825 ate 0xA-30165 SA5311 ate 0xA-30309 SA1238 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32168 SA2856 ate 0xA-32168 SA2856 ate 0xA-32169 SA4777 ate 2 Late 2 Date Estima ary Transition Phase 2 Phase 1, Iron III - No F	No Data [C:99] die A(1) [C:99] die A(2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] FP3a? 2 Middle B? (C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? C:98] FP2 Late 1 Charred s FP2 Late 1 Charred s FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP2 Late 2 Seed FP2 Late 2 Seed FP2 Late 2 Seed FP2 Late FP2 Late	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:15 C:99 O:1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1 ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 I ve [A:130 C:99 O:0/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:152 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:85 C:99 O:1/5]	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 00 O:0/5] med 2 Late 1? [A:95 C 9 O:0/5] harred seeds?? [A:14	2:100 O:1/5]	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_Di R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2305 ate 0xA-32171 SA2306 ate 0xA-32172 SA2907 ate 0xA-30312 SA7835 ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30320 SA4205 ate 0xA-30309 SA1238 ate 0xA-32166 SA5311 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5315 ate 0xA-32166 SA5455 ate 0xA-32166 SA5455 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32169 SA4777 at 2 Late 2 Date Estimat ary Transition Phase 2 Phase 1, Iron III - No E Phase 1, Iron III - No L	-No Data [C:99] -No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? c mate [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI te [C:100] to 2 Late 2 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI te [C:100] to 2 Late 2 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP3 FP3 FP3 FP3 FP3 FP3 FP3 FP3	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:13 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:13 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1 oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1 ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 ve [A:130 C:99 O:0/5] ve [A:142 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:122 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:85 C:99 O:1/5]	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 20 O:0/5] 20 O:0/5] 20 O:0/5] 20 O:0/5] 20 O:0/5] 20 O:0/5] 20 O:0/5] 20 O:0/5]	: 100 O: 1/5] 3 C:99 O:0/5]	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_D. R_D. Phase Bound Phase R_D. Phase Bound Phase R_D. Phase R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D.	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-30321 SA7835 a 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30312 SA7825 a 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ary Transition 2 Late 1 Phase 2 Late 2 ate 0xA-30309 SA1236 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32169 SA4777 ate 2 Late 2 Date Estima ary Transition Phase 2 Phase 1, Iron III - No E	No Data [C:99] die A(1) [C:99] die A(2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FF2c (Middle) Seeds FF2c (Middle) Seeds FF2c (Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B [C:98] FP2 Late 1 charred s FF2 Late 2 Seeds OI te [C:100] FP2 Late 2 Charred s FF2 Late 2 Seeds OI FF2 Late 2 Seed FF2 Late 2 Seed FF2	Olive [A:43 C:99 O.3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O.1/ Olive [A:15 C:99 O.1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1] ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 EASSIGNED 2 Late 2 C ve [A:130 C:99 O:0/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:85 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:85 C:99 O:1/5]	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 90 O:0/5] 9 O:0/5] 9 O:0/5] charred seeds?? [A:14	2:100 O.1/5) 3 C:99 O.0/5]	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_D. R_D. Phase R_D. Phase R_D. Phase R_D. Phase R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D.	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32172 SA2907 ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 2 Middle B, Date Esti- ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ate 0xA-30309 SA1238 ate 0xA-30309 SA1238 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA2855 ate 0xA-32166 SA2855 ate 0xA-32166 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-	-No Data [C:99] -No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? c mate [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP2 Late 1 charred s FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI te [C:100] to 2 Late 2 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP2 Late 2	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:13 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1 ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 ve [A:113 C:99 O:0/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:142 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:85 C:99 O:1/5]	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 9 O:0/5] 9 O:0/5] charred seeds?? [A:14 p5]	2:100 O:1/5]	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_DL R_DL Phase Bound Phase R_DL Phase Bound Phase R_DL R_DL R_DL R_DL R_DL R_DL R_DL R_DL	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ale OxA-32170 SA2300 ale OxA-32171 SA2300 ale OxA-32171 SA2300 ale OxA-32172 SA2907 ale OxA-30321 SA7835 are 2 Middle A(2) Date E ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ale OxA-30315 SA7825 a 2 Middle B, Date Estin ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estin ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estin ary Transition 2 Late 1 Phase 2 Late 2 ale OxA-30310 SA4776 ale OxA-32165 SA5311 ary Transition 2 Late 1 Phase 2 Late 2 ale OxA-30309 SA1233 ale OxA-32166 SA5313 ale OxA-32166 SA5313 ale OxA-32166 SA5315 ale OxA-32167 SA5455 ale OxA-32167 SA5455 ale OxA-32168 SA2855 ale OxA-32168 SA2855 ale OxA-32169 SA4777 ary 1 Late 2 Date Estima ary Transition Phase 2 Phase 1, Iron III - No E Estimate Iron III Includ Assyrian Conquest 738	No Data [C:99] die A(1) [C:99] die A(2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI te [C:09] FP2 Late 2 Charred s FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP2 Lat	Olive [A:43 C:99 O.3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O.1/ Olive [A:15 C:99 O.1/ pal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1] ve RESIDUAL?? assig eads Olive [A:134 C:9: ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 C ve [A:130 C:99 O.1/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O.1/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O.1/5] ve [A:85 C:99 O.1/5] of Conquest Phase [C: 20]	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 00 O:0/5] 9 O:0/5] 9 O:0/5] charred seeds?? [A:14	2:100 O:1/5]	
Date Bound Phase R_Di R_Di Phase R_Di R_Di Phase R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32172 SA2907 ate 0xA-30312 SA7822 ate 0xA-30312 SA7822 ate Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7822 ate 0xA-30315 SA7822 ate 0xA-30316 B Date Estima ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ate 0xA-30310 SA1732 ate 0xA-30300 SA1232 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ate 0xA-32165 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA2532 ate 0xA-32166 SA2532 ate 0xA-32166 SA2532 ate 0xA-32168 SA2552 ate 0xA-3	No Data [C:99] die A(1) [C:99] die A(1) [C:99] il (F2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle B? Charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? Charc stimate [C:98] FP2 Late 1 Charred S FP2 Late 1 Charred S FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI te [C:100] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP2 Late 2 Seed FP2 Late FP2 Late FP2 Late FP2	Olive [A:43 C:99 O.3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O.1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1/ ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 (ve [A:130 C:99 O:0/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:0/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:152 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:85 C:99 O:1/5] n Conquest Phase [C: 00] ruction Temple XVI [C	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 00 O:0/5] med 2 Late 1? [A:95 C 9 O:0/5] sharred seeds?? [A:14	2:100 O:1/5]	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_Di R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di Phase R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di R_Di	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2305 ate 0xA-32171 SA2306 ate 0xA-32171 SA2306 ate 0xA-32172 SA2907 ate 0xA-30312 SA7835 a 2 Middle A(2) Date E ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30320 SA4205 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ate 0xA-30309 SA1238 ate 0xA-30309 SA1238 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5455 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32169 SA4777 ate 2 Late 2 Date Estimate ary Transition Phase 2 Phase 1, Iron III - No E Assyrian Conquest 738 Date Assyrian Conquest 73	-No Data [C:99] -No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2 (Middle) Seeds FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? c mate [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI te [C:100] to 2 Late 2 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI te [C:100] to 2 Late 2 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP2 Late	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:175 C:99 O:1 oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1 ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 ve [A:130 C:99 O:0/5] ve [A:132 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:142 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:142 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:142 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:142 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:185 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:85 C:90 O:1/	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 10 O:0/5] 10 O:0/5] 9 O:0/5] 9 O:0/5] 10 charred seeds?? [A:14 10 charred seeds?? [A:14]	3 C:99 O:0/5]	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_DL R_DL R_DL R_DL R_DL R_DL R_DL R_DL	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-30321 SA7832 ate 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30312 SA7825 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-30312 SA4776 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ary Transition 2 Late 1 Phase 2 Late 2 ate 0xA-30320 SA4206 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 at	No Data [C:99] die A(1) [C:99] die A(2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] FP3a? 2 Middle B? C:98] FP2 Late 1 charred s FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI te [C:100] to 2 Late 2 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP2	Olive [A:43 C:99 O.3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O.1/ olive [A:15 C:99 O.1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1] ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 EASSIGNED 2 Late 2 C ve [A:130 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:145 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:15 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:162 C:99 C:1/5] ve [A:162 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:162 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:162 C:90 C:1/5] ve [A:162 C:90 C:1/5]	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 20 O:0/5] 20	2:100 0:1/5) 3 C:99 0:0/5]	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_D. R_D. Phase R_D. Phase R_D. Phase R_D. Phase R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D. R_D.	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32172 SA2907 ate 0xA-30312 SA7825 ate 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 0xA-30316 SA7825 ate 0xA-30312 SA4775 ate 0xA-30309 SA1235 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ate 0xA-30309 SA1235 ate 0xA-32165 SA5312 ate 0xA-32165 SA5313 ate 0xA-32165 SA5313 ate 0xA-32165 SA5313 ate 0xA-32165 SA5313 ate 0xA-32165 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA2855 ate 0xA-32166 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA	No Data [C:99] No Data [C:98] No	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1 Olive [A:175 C:99 O:1 oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1 ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 ve [A:113 C:99 O:0/5] ve [A:114 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:15 C:99 O:1/5] n Conquest Phase [C: 00] ruction Temple XVI [C VI - No Data Temple XVI - after Es	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 00 O:0/5] yned 2 Late 1? [A:95 C 9 O:0/5] yharred seeds?? [A:14 p5] 95] 96] arhaddon's adê of 672	2:100 O:1/5]	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_DL R_DL Phase R_DL Phase Bound Phase R_DL R_DL R_DL R_DL R_DL R_DL R_DL R_DL	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ale OxA-32170 SA2300 ale OxA-32171 SA2300 ale OxA-32171 SA2300 ale OxA-32172 SA2907 ale OxA-30321 SA7835 ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ale OxA-30312 SA7825 a 2 Middle B, Iron II ale OxA-30312 SA7785 ale OxA-30312 SA4778 ale OxA-30312 SA4778 ale OxA-30312 SA4778 ale OxA-30312 SA4778 ale OxA-30312 SA4778 ale OxA-32165 SA5311 ary Transition 2 Late 1 Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate ale OxA-30320 SA4208 ale OxA-32166 SA5313 ale OxA-32166 SA5313 ale OxA-32166 SA5313 ale OxA-32167 SA5456 ale OxA-32168 SA2855 ale OxA-32168 SA2855 ale OxA-32169 SA47777 ary Late 2 Date Estimate ary Transition Phase 2 Phase 1, Iron III - No E Estimate Iron III Includin Final Activity - Includin Final Activity - Includin Final Activity - Includin Final Activity - Includin	No Data [C:99] die A(1) [C:99] die A(2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B? (C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI ta [C:00] FP2 Late 2 Charred s FP2 Late 2 Charred s FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP2	Olive [A:43 C:99 O.3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O.1/ olive [A:15 C:99 O.1/ pal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1] ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 c ve [A:130 C:99 O.1/5] ve [A:12 C:99 O.1/5] ve [A:12 C:99 O.1/5] ve [A:22 C:99 O.1/5] ve [A:22 C:99 O.1/5] ve [A:23 C:99 O.1/5] n Conquest Phase [C: 00] ruction Temple XVI [C VI - No Data Temple XVI - after Es	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 20 O:0/5] 20	2:100 O:1/5]	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_DD R_DD R_DD R_DD R_DD R_DD R_DD R_D	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32172 SA2907 ate 0xA-30321 SA7832 at 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 2 Middle B Date Esti ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 0xA-30316 SA5311 ate 0xA-30309 SA1238 ate 0xA-302165 SA5311 ate 0xA-32165 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32168 SA2856 ate 0xA-32168 SA2856 ate 0xA-32168 SA2856 ate 0xA-32168 SA2856 ate 0xA-32169 SA4777 a 2 Late 2 Date Estimate ary Transition Phase 2 Phase 1, Iron III - No II Estimate Iron III Includ Assyrian Conquest 733 Date Assyrian Conquest 734 Date Assyrian Conquest 735 Date Assyrian Conquest 735 Date Assyrian Conquest 735 Date Assyrian Conquest 735 Date Assyrian Conquest 736 Date Assyrian Conquest 736	No Data [C:99] No Data [C:99] die A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] FP3a? 2 Middle B? C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? C:98] FP2 Late 1 Charred s FP2 Late 1 Charred s FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI te [C:100] to 2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O:1/ Olive [A:175 C:99 O: harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1 ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 I ve [A:130 C:99 O:0/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:155 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:85 C:99 O:1/5] n Conquest Phase [C: 00] ruction Temple XVI [C XVI - No Data Temple XVI - after Es	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 00 O:0/5] med 2 Late 1? [A:95 C 9 O:0/5] charred seeds?? [A:14 25] 25] 25]	2 BCE inside temple [C	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_DU Phase R_DU Phase R_DU Phase R_DU Phase R_DU Phase R_DU Phase R_DU R_DU R_DU R_DU R_DU R_DU R_DU R_DU	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Middl Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ate 0xA-32170 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32171 SA2300 ate 0xA-32172 SA2907 ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 2 Middle B, Iron II ate 0xA-30315 SA7825 ate 0xA-30305 SA1238 ate 0xA-30305 SA1238 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ate 0xA-32165 SA5311 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32166 SA5313 ate 0xA-32168 SA2855 ate 0xA-32168 SA2	- No Data [C:99] - No Data [C:99] dle A(1) [C:99] e A2, Iron II [C:99] n II 1 FP2c (Middle) Seeds 1 FP2c (Middle) Seeds 1 FP2c (Middle) Seeds 1 FP2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B [C:98] 1 FP3a? 2 Middle B? c mate [C:98] 1 FP2 Late 1 charred s 1 FP2 Late 1 Charred s 1 FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI 1 FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI 2 FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI 3 FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI 4 FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI 5 FP2 Late 2 FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI 5 FP2 Late 2	Olive [A:43 C:99 O:3/ Olive [A:13 C:99 O:1/ Olive [A:75 C:99 O:1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1/ ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNED 2 Late 2 ve [A:113 C:99 O:0/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5]	5] /5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 00 O:0/5] ined 2 Late 1? [A:95 C 9 O:0/5] charred seeds?? [A:14 95] :96] arhaddon's adê of 672	2:100 O:1/5]	
Date Bound Bound Phase R_DD R_DD Phase R_DC Phase Bound Phase R_DC Phase R_DC Phase R_DC Phase R_DC Phase R_DC Phase R_DC R_DC R_DC R_DC R_DC R_DC R_DC R_DC	Estimate 2 Middle A(1) ary End BP1 and 2 Mid ary Start Phase 2 Midd Phase 2 Middle A2, Iro ale OxA-32170 SA2300 ale OxA-32171 SA2300 ale OxA-32171 SA2300 ale OxA-30321 SA7835 a 2 Middle A(2) Date E ary Transition 2 Middle 2 Middle B, Iron II ale OxA-30312 SA7825 a 2 Middle B, Date Estin ary Transition 2 Middle Phase 2 Late 1, Iron II ale OxA-30312 SA4775 ale OxA-30312 SA4775 ale OxA-30312 SA4775 ale OxA-30312 SA4775 ale OxA-32165 SA5311 ary Transition 2 Late 1 Phase 2 Late 2 ale OxA-30320 SA4205 ale OxA-32166 SA5313 ale OxA-32166 SA5313 ale OxA-32168 SA2855 ale OxA-3000 SA1232 ale OxA-3000 S	No Data [C:99] die A(1) [C:99] die A(2, Iron II [C:99] n II FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle) Seeds FP2c (Middle B? charc stimate [C:99] A to 2 Middle B? (C:98] FP3a? 2 Middle B? charc stimate [C:98] B to 2 Late 1 [C:99] FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI te [C:100] FP2 Late 2 Charred s FP2 Late 2 Charred s FP2 Late 2 Charred s FP2 Late 2 Seeds OI FP	Olive [A:43 C:99 O.3/ Olive [A:113 C:99 O.1/ oal Bark [A:95 C:99 O. harcoal Salicaceae [A: eeds Olive [A:129 C:1 ve RESIDUAL?? assig eeds Olive [A:134 C:9 ASSIGNE [A:134 C:9 EASSIGNE 2 Late 2 C ve [A:130 C:99 O:1/5] ve [A:143 C:99 O:1/5]	5] /5] 5] 0/5] 61 C:98 O:100/100] - 20 O:0/5] 20 O:0/5] 20 O:0/5] 20 O:0/5] 20 O:0/5] 21 C:98 O:100/100] - 21 C:98 O:100/	2:100 O.1/5) 3 C:99 O:0/5) 2 BCE inside temple [C	

Modelled date (BCE)

Fig 4. Model 2 (excluding OxA-32139): Bayesian chronological model for Tell Tayinat Iron Age sequence, part 2. Otherwise, see caption to Fig

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240799.g004

transition) [97]. We place Tell Tayinat Phase 6b-a and its assemblage and associations with the Late Helladic IIIC tradition [51, 56, 110] from the late 12th century BCE onwards, in line with other recent ¹⁴C based work in the Aegean and East Mediterranean [94, 96, 156–158].

The original excavations at the site produced a monumental structure known as Building XIV that was assigned to Building Period 1 [53]. The current excavations have identified the foundations of this structure [45-47, 118], but have not yet produced any datable contexts for radiocarbon analysis. The stratigraphic position of the foundational remains of Building XIV, however, allow the proposition of a narrow date range, based on the model presented here, in the mid-late 10th century BCE, contemporary with Phase 2 Middle A1. The latter phase is associated in Field 1 with the construction of a major ditch and sherd-paved street, located immediately to the south of Building XIV [118]. The material assemblage associated with this building and with the street contains Red-Slipped Burnished Ware, whose fluorescence is conventionally dated to the Iron Age II, although only small quantities were found [118]. Instead, the ceramic assemblage for phases 2 Middle A(1) and 2 Middle A(2) is dominated by plain wares, suggesting a date between Phases 2 Middle A and 2 Middle B (ca. 900 BCE) for the Iron I-II transition. Samples analyzed that post-date the Iron I-II transition at the site all originate from the Iron II occupation at Tell Tayinat, ending in Phase 2 Late 2. This appears likely to precede closely the 738 BCE date when the Assyrians conquered the site and assumed its control [65, 66, 118]. The modelled dates for Phase 2 Late (Table 4) are consistent with this assessment. The Date estimate is 772-753 BCE (68.2% hpd) and 791-735 BCE (95.4% hpd), indicating the period lies shortly before the Assyrian conquest. The Boundary estimate for the Phase 2 to 1 transition, 764-743 BCE (68.2% hpd), 771-721 BCE (95.4% hpd) is either just before, or at about the same time as, the Assyrian conquest. We currently lack any ¹⁴C data from Phase 1 to clarify the dating after Phase 2. It seems inherently likely that the Phase 2 to 1 transition probably is associated with the Assyrian conquest and associated changes following 738 BCE and this assumption appears compatible with the available ¹⁴C-based timeframe.

No Iron III context has yet been the subject of ¹⁴C dating, but the dates might thus be anticipated to fall in the period of Assyrian control following 738 BCE and must continue until after at least 672 BCE [66, 118, 143].

Our chronology allows comparison of some persons known from the epigraphic record and likely linked with names thought to refer to Tell Tayinat or its territory [47, 60-62] (see Table 5). The Aleppo Citadel inscription of king Taita, hero and ruler of Palistin, is dated to the 11^{th} century BCE on the basis of paleography and iconography [60–62]. The currently proposed chronological scheme would link this ruler to Tayinat Phases 6a to 5. A putative second Taita, reconstructed from inscriptional evidence from Meharde and Sheizar, has been attributed on similar grounds to the (early?) 10th century BCE [62]. This ruler would thus most likely be associated with the later Iron I materials at the site (FPs 4-3). As such, contrary to earlier assumptions, the reigns of these rulers likely preceded the monumental constructions of Building Period 1 (Buildings XIII and XIV). This time period is designated Phase 2 Middle A (1). Dates are estimated within the model from the surrounding data and constraints, since there are no ¹⁴C data for this period, at about 955–911 BCE, 68.2% hpd, and 961–866 BCE, 95.4% hpd (Table 4). Any potential monumental constructions associated with the reigns of the earlier 10th century rulers remain to be discovered. Other possible 10th century rulers attested on the Arsuz stelae [62, 98], notably Suppiluliuma (I), who claims to have conducted a war in Cilicia, may be more plausibly related to the construction of the monumental structures



OxCal v4.3.2 Bronk Ramsey (2017); r:1 Atmospheric data from Reimer et al (2020);

Fig 5. The Tell Tayinat Phase 7 data from Model 2 in Fig 3 shown in more detail. The lines under each probability distribution indicate the modeled 68.2% and 95.4% highest posterior density (hpd) ranges.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240799.g005

associated with Building Period 1 in the mid-late 10th century BCE. These prominent figures also appear to be associated with a significant reconfiguration of urban space at the site, and an expansion of their kingdom's territorial extent. By the 9th century BCE, Tell Tayinat had become the city of Kunulua, the apparent royal city of the Neo-Hittite kingdom of Patina (or Unqi) [47, 61, 159]. The ruler Suppiluliuma (II), attested in a monumental inscription recently discovered at Tell Tayinat, likely corresponds to the Sapalulme mentioned in the campaign records of Shalmaneser III [62, 63, 159, 160]. Qalparunda, a ruler of Patina, paid tribute to Shalmaneser III in both 857 and 853 BCE, and may correspond to the Halparuntiyas mentioned in Tayinat Inscription 1 [47, 159–161]. These two rulers would likely correspond with the time of Phase 2 Middle B.

Here too, a direct association between various reconstructions of 13th-10th centuries BCE climatic crisis in the eastern Mediterranean and Near East (e.g. [3, 20–23, 26, 43, 162–164]) and the trajectory of development at the site of Tell Tayinat is difficult to discern. The decline of the Late Bronze Age settlement of Tell Atchana begins at least a century earlier than the proposed major crisis that occurs ca. 1200 BCE and afterwards, and the site's occupation shrinks significantly during the 13th century BCE [165, 166]. In contrast, during the proposed Early Iron Age shift to more arid conditions, the site of Tell Tayinat appears to flourish [51, 110]. Historical records suggest the formation and expansion of a major political entity centered around the Amuq Plain and Tell Tayinat during the 11th-10th centuries BCE [60–62], the height of the reconstructed climate crisis. Although monumental architecture contemporary to the earliest historically attested rulers has not yet been uncovered by the current excavations, the archaeological evidence suggests a prosperous settlement that remained tied into long-

Table 5. Suggested correlations between Tayinat Phases, absolute dates as reconstructed here by Bayesian modelling of ¹⁴C dates, rulers attested in historical sources, and conventional northern Levantine Iron Age periodization. For Iron I, alternating pale orange and white coloration denotes the four-period division as outlined in [51]; for Iron II-III, gray and white coloration denotes the separation between Tayinat Phases.

Absolute Dates (BCE)	Tayinat Phases	Historically Attested Kings, after [62]	General Iron Age Periodization, modified after [105, 106]
Early 12 th century	6с		Iron IA
Mid-12 th century			
Late 12 th century	6b		
Early 11 th century	6a		Iron IB
Mid-11 th century		Taita I	
Late 11 th century	5b		
	5a		
Early 10 th century	4	Taita II	Iron IC
	3		
Mid-10 th century	2 Early	Manana	
Late 10 th century	2 Middle A(1), BP1	Suppiluliuma I	Iron I-II Transition
	2 Middle A(2)	Halparuntiya I	
Early 9 th century	2 Middle B	Lubarna I?	
Mid-9 th century		Suppiluliuma II (Sapalulme)	Iron IIA
		Qalparunda II	
Late 9 th century	2 Late 1	Lubarna II, Surri/Sasi	
Early 8 th century	2 Late 2		Iron IIB
Mid-8 th century			
Late 8 th century	1 (not dated)	Assyrian Conquest (738 BCE)	Iron III

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240799.t005

distance trade networks. Major monumental constructions and a significant reorganization of urban space are attested in contexts now dated to the late 10th century (Building Period 1, Phase 2 Middle A(1)), culminating in the formation of the major Neo-Hittite royal city of Kunulua in the 9th century BCE. In combination with the historical evidence, this suggests that the rise of Tayinat, and the foundations of the later Iron Age social and political institutions at the site, had their origins during a period frequently defined (elsewhere in the wider region) as one of crisis and collapse.

The archaeological evidence from Tayinat points toward a variety of mechanisms that may have contributed to this Iron Age fluorescence. The stability of the location of the central settlement in the Amuq in the south-central part of the plain throughout the Bronze and Iron Ages suggests that the short-distance shift in site location from Tell Atchana to Tayinat during the 12th century BCE could not have been a response to large-scale climatic degradation or to major shifts in economic networks. Rather, it seems likely to represent an adaptive response to locally changing conditions, which may have included a shift in the course of the Orontes River [153–154]. An Orontes paleo-channel identified between the sites of Tayinat and Atchana has been suggested to date to the Iron Age, and the shift of the main settlement to the north bank of the river would have maximized the site's access to agricultural land in the plain north of the river [154]. Furthermore, the site of Tayinat al-Saghir, a small mound artificially constructed between Tayinat and Atchana at some point during the Iron Age, may represent a quay that would have allowed the main settlement to control riverine traffic, cementing its economically strategic position [118].

Palaeobotanical evidence likewise provides additional detail to this complex picture. Crop isotope data from Tayinat suggest minimal evidence for drought stress during the early Iron Age, particularly for barley, and generally suggest improvement in crop water status over the course of the Iron I [147, 167]. Furthermore, continued cultivation of water-demanding species such as grape, olive and fig at Tayinat during the Iron I does not suggest that water availability was a significant issue [167]. These arboricultural taxa reach their highest ubiquities and frequencies in FP6 and generally decline somewhat thereafter [51]. Free-threshing wheat also remains the most frequent crop plant throughout the Iron I, although barley increases in ubiquity during FPs 6–5 [51]. This increased focus on free-threshing wheat has been suggested to represent a labor optimization strategy [167]. Emmer is notably more ubiquitous during the Iron I than in the preceding LBA or during the later parts of the Iron Age, which may represent a strategy employed to minimize the risk associated with fluctuations in yield [51]. The frequency of large-seeded vetches such as bitter vetch (Vicia) and grass pea (Lathyrus) are similarly interpreted as indicative of a risk-spreading strategy that prevents crop failures, stabilizes crop yields and maintains soil fertility [167]. In the Iron Age, the frequent co-occurrence in northern Levantine sites of a focus on free-threshing wheat and large vetches has been interpreted as an attempt to achieve balance between the water input and labor requirements of agricultural production [167].

The zooarchaeological evidence points to a reasonably consistent strategy of animal raising through the Iron I, although differences exist between FP6b-a and the later phases of the Iron I. Pig consumption is at its most frequent during FP6b-a, although cattle consistently represents the most significant contributor of meat to the diet [51]. Mortality curves for sheep and goat in the Iron I suggest strategies aimed at exploitation of secondary products (dairy and particularly wool/hair), and there is evidence for significant textile production beginning already in the late 12th century [51, 110]. Hunting evidence is found in very low frequencies in all phases, while fishing is noticeably more frequent in FP6b-a compared to later Iron I phases [51].

In addition to the political decline of Alalakh during the 13th century BCE, textual sources suggest that problems with grain production and/or distribution may have resulted in the

diversion of local agricultural products to elsewhere within the Hittite Empire [15, 42, 168], as well as a concurrent decline in regional population within the Amuq as a result of Hittite deportations that preceded the climate crisis [168]. The frequencies of wild taxa associated with moist environments suggest a wetter environment at Tayinat during FP6 [51, 147]. The Amuq has historically experienced a fluctuating degree of marshiness [118, 153], and this may explain the increased appearance of fish in the zooarchaeological assemblage during the same period [51]. In contrast, FPs 5-3 are associated with wild plant taxa that may indicate an expansion in agricultural area during the late 11th-10th centuries BCE through the use of new arable fields that were likely left uncultivated during the 12th-early 11th centuries BCE [51]. This suggests an agricultural extensification strategy during this time that may be related to increasing population in the Amuq Plain (and stable political-economic circumstances), as identified from settlement data [51, 56, 169], and which may reflect increasing urbanization connected to the formation of the kingdom of Palistin. Indeed, comparison of the apparent lower town plans extant and accessible via geophysics at Tell Tayinat would suggest that Tayinat records long-term organic town growth through the course of the Iron Age, whereas the nearby site of Zincirli, in contrast, perhaps largely records a new post-Assyrian conquest layout [169]. This may indicate only limited post-Assyrian-conquest changes beyond the monumental constructions of the elite zone in the upper city at Tayinat [118].

As suggested for the late EB period (see above), the local environment (through a combination of the Orontes River and the karstic geology) may have provided a suitable context in this region for greater resilience despite the arguments and evidence for climate change (more arid conditions) following ~3200 BP (~1200 BCE). Crop isotope data from Tayinat mentioned above are consistent with broader regional patterns that suggest the Orontes and coastal regions were somewhat less affected by drought stress [144, 167]. Comparative studies would also indicate that the nature of the association between climate change and social change is, predictably, complex and contingent on the nature and history of the relevant societies and their specific vulnerabilities (e.g. evolved socio-environmental mis-matches [170]). In particular, climate change alone is often not the key element that overcomes societal resilience; rather, it is sharp, volatile, fluctuations with a duration of several years that seem the greater threat to established complex agrarian societies in the preindustrial period (e.g. [29, 171–176]).

A final issue is the robustness of the ¹⁴C calibration record with respect to the Tell Tayinat samples. The new IntCal20 [133] ¹⁴C dataset is greatly enhanced (in terms of data for several periods, and quality) compared with IntCal13 [134]. However, for the periods of time relevant to Tell Tayinat and its dated elements, there are in fact only relatively small changes (see S3 Fig) and no major new underlying data contributions. Table 6 compares the results for Model 2 (in Table 4) with the same model run with IntCal13 (an example is cited with good OxCal A_{model} and A_{overall} values and all Convergence, C, values \geq 95 –note that many runs do not achieve good Convergence in the final part of the model unless the kIterations value is increased, from about or after Phase 2 Late 1). There are few substantial differences; date ranges are largely similar.

A potentially greater concern is recent work indicating the possible relevance at times of a modest/small Mediterranean growing season-related ¹⁴C offset for high-resolution calendar age determinations from the lower elevation Mediterranean region [177–180]. There is an intra-annual (i.e. seasonal) atmospheric ¹⁴C cycle, with a winter low and a summer high. Thus plants growing (and photosynthesizing) in the Mediterranean region at lower elevations in the winter through spring period (and stopping growth by the summer) may yield a recognizably slightly different ¹⁴C history versus the IntCal record derived from trees from central and northern Europe and North America that grow primarily from later spring and right through the summer [179, 180]. However, the fact that the overwhelming majority of the short-lived

	Model 2 IntCal20, A _{model} 81, A _{overall} 81		Model 2 IntCal13 A _{model} 71, A _{overall} 73		Model 2 Hd GOR A _{model} 88, A _{overall} 88	
	68.2% hpd	95.4% hpd	68.2% hpd	95.4% hpd	68.2% hpd	95.4% hpd
	Date BCE	Date BCE	Date BCE	Date BCE	Date BCE	Date BCE
Phase 8b EB IVB TPQ	2517-2331	2585-2245	2518-2328	2587-2251	2519-2330	2588-2250
Phase 8a, EBIVB Destruction Event	2335-2211	2396-2202	2332-2215	2388-2203	2332-2215	2389-2203
Phase 7 Date Estimate	2219-2140	2281-2074	2223-2141	2280-2074	2223-2141	2281-2074
Boundary End Phase 7	2187-2104	2199-2006	2187-2101	2199-2012	2187-2101	2199-2009
Phase 6c, Iron I TPQ	1309-1159	1379–1101	1304-1158	1377-1101	1308-1138	1400-1084
Phase 6b Date Estimate	1122-1045	1176-1023	1121-1047	1173-1023	1105-1025	1162-1003
Phase 6a TPQ and/or Date	1052-1006	1089-992	1051-1005	1085-992	1037-994	1078-981
Phase 5b Date Estimate	1008-987	1019-970	1005-986	1017-973	996-976	1007-953
Phase 5a Date Estimate	998-976	1006-952	995-976	1004-958	986-955	991-940
Time Span Phases 4&3 -No Samples	987-951	997-920	985-954	995-932	971-928	981-905
Phase 2 Early Date Estimate	971-931	982-894	968-936	981-908	945-899	963-878
Phase BP1, Chicago, and Phase 2 Middle A1 -No Data	955-911	961-866	949-917	963-877	909-864	938-853
Phase 2 Middle A2 Date Estimate	926-855	933-841	927-897	934-850	889-843	926-835
Phase 2 Middle B Date Estimate	900-839	910-828	902-854	913-833	855-827	886-820
Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate	836-782	868-766	843-789	871-770	828-781	848-763
Phase 2 Late 2 Date Estimate	772-753	791-735	776–752	797–735	769-750	788-731
Boundary Transition Phase 2 to 1	764-743	771-721	764-742	767-721	759-739	766–717
Assyrian Conquest	738	738	738	738	738	738
Boundary End Tayinat Sequence	672-669	674-668	672-669	674-668	672-669	674-668

Table 6. Comparison of the modeled calendar age ranges from Model 2 with IntCal20 [133] (Table 4) versus runs of Model 2 using the previous IntCal13 calibration curve [134] and the Hd GOR Mediterranean dataset [180]. Data from example runs with satisfactory A_{model} and $A_{overall}$ values (>60) and with all dated elements with satisfactory Convergence values (>95). Whole ranges listed. Phase 4 and 3 Date estimates combined as start Phase 4 to end Phase 3.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240799.t006

samples in this Tayinat study are olive pits (~86% of such samples) likely partly mitigates this issue. Unlike many trees or cereals and other field crops in lower elevation eastern Mediterranean environments, olive fruit grow from later spring through the autumn and hence comprise a ¹⁴C record that is only partly (not largely) out of kilter with the IntCal record. Grapes also grow through, and are harvested late in the summer to start of autumn, and again, in contrast with field crops like cereals, thus minimize any likely growing season offset [179–181] (in all, olive pits and a grape seed include 89% of the short-lived samples dated at Tayinat). Nonetheless, for interest, we compare the Model 2 results run against the Mediterranean-Anatolian Hd Gordion (GOR) dataset [180] in Table 6. This Hd GOR record is only a sketch for the Mediterranean—much more work is needed—and lacks data for the EB part of the Tayinat record and ends during the period of the late Tayinat samples. Thus it offers only a partial indication of possible differences. The Hd GOR record is largely similar to IntCal20 for most periods, and some of the changes in IntCal20, versus IntCal13, reduce what were previously further instances of differences when comparing the Hd GOR record versus IntCal13 [180], for example especially in the earlier 16th century BCE (see the region labelled 1 in <u>S4 Fig</u>). Nonetheless, within general similarity, there are some periods, notably at the times of reversals and plateaus in the radiocarbon record, where the Hd GOR record exhibits some offset. Two examples are indicated (labelled as 2, 3) in S4 Fig. A possible additional area of minor offset might also exist at the reversal/plateau covering the earlier to mid-9th century BCE labelled with the? in S4 Fig. The last two of these offsets could have minor relevance and effect on the Tayinat dates—but, noting that the types of short-lived samples dated likely minimize any effect (see above), this is likely insignificant.

As with the IntCal13 model, a number of model runs fail to achieve satisfactory Convergence values especially for the last part of the model from the Phase 2 Late 1 Date Estimate onwards unless the kIterations value is increased. Over multiple runs, there is also more noise in the late part of the model. The results in Table 6 are for a typical successful run with good Convergence. The results are generally similar to those from IntCal20 and IntCal13. However, in line with the observations of a small growing season offset issue and its possible consequences [177-180], we notice some modest effects, and especially during periods of reversals and plateaus in the radiocarbon calibration curve [179, 180]. For the periods where the Hd GOR [180] dataset applies (thus only for Tayinat Phases 6 onwards), the date ranges for some of the Tayinat phases (from Phase 6c to Phase 2 Middle B) are a little later, variously by around a decade to several decades considering the 68.2% hpd ranges. Consistent with previous observations [179, 180], the largest shift indications (of ~12-47 years in the 68.2% hpd ranges) occur in the 10th and early to mid-9th centuries BCE when there are reversals in the ¹⁴C calibration curve [133, 134] (S4 Fig). In view of the comments above about olive fruit and grapes, the effective (i.e. real) offset for Tell Tayinat is likely a little smaller. Nevertheless, this exercise highlights an area of possible minor chronological variation. Where this offset does apply, the effect is to achieve slightly later (more recent) calendar age estimates (something of potential relevance to debates over Iron Age chronology in the southern Levant, for example [179]). The very substantial change in atmospheric radiocarbon levels (the steep slope in the calibration curve) from the late 9th through mid-8th centuries BCE (linked with a major change in radiocarbon production and thence changes in solar activity processes [182]) (S4 Fig) clarifies that, regardless of any minor variations, the Tayinat Phase 2 Late 2 data are mid-8th century BCE and thus likely represent the last pre-Assyrian conquest (738 BCE) phase at the site.

Conclusions

The absolute dating of the later Early Bronze Age and earlier Iron Age occupation periods at Tell Tayinat and associated northern Levantine sites has been the subject of debate and ambiguity for many years. The regional chronological frameworks for the northern Levant during both periods have never been adequately addressed in absolute chronological terms, but rather have been largely based on relative chronologies derived from regional ceramic sequences. The chronology of the early Iron Age, in particular, has been linked only approximately to material and stylistic associations and thence to debates in other areas, in both the Aegean and the wider East Mediterranean, concerning the centuries following the collapse of the 13th century BCE palace-era Late Bronze Age civilizations. Our chronology, based on the integration of the archaeological sequence at Tell Tayinat with radiocarbon dates, provides for the first time a directly relevant, refined, and robust timeframe for this important site and its region. The chronological framework thus developed places the two major occupation phases at Tell Tayinat firmly within temporal contexts relevant to ongoing debates about two periods of supposed climate crisis (around and following ca. 4200 BP/2200 BCE and around and following ca. 3200 BP/ 1200 BCE). The complex and contrasting responses observed at Tell Tayinat during these two transformative periods positions the site as a locus strategic to understanding the diverse 'alternative' developmental trajectories observed during these two intermediate eras.

Supporting information

S1 File. OxCal Runfiles for Model 1 and Model 2 and the.prior File for the Charcoal Plus Outlier model. (PDF)

S2 File. Comparison of a portion of Model 2 (from Phases 6c through 5a) run without the application of the Charcoal Plus Outlier model (A) versus a run with the Charcoal Plus Outlier model applied (B) to illustrate the effect and importance of the Charcoal Plus Outlier model in order to achieve a likely and appropriate age model for Tell Tayinat integrating both data on long-lived charcoal samples (offering various TPQ ranges) and data on short-lived samples which (if in correct context association) offer contemporary age estimates. (PDF)

S3 File. Results for the selected elements of Model 2 as listed in Table 4 comparing the outcomes from a different model run (some results vary by typically around 1 year) with the Charcoal Plus Outlier model (as in the main text and Table 4) versus the same model run alternatively with the Charcoal Outlier model [135]. The Charcoal Plus Outlier version has just three elements with OxCal Agreement values <60 (e.g., typical example, OxA-30326 @56.5%, OxA-32141 @39.6%, OxA-32170 @41.4%), whereas the Charcoal Outlier version has four elements <60 (e.g., typical example, OxA-30326 @26.6%, OxA-32141 @36.1%, OxA-32170 @ 36.9%, and OxA-30315 @53.3%). The date ranges for the selected elements shown are nonetheless very similar. Whereas whole ranges are listed in Table 4, here sub-ranges are detailed where present. (PDF)

S1 Fig. Model 1: Bayesian chronological model for Tell Tayinat Iron Age sequence, part 1. Data from OxCal 4.3.2 [121, 132, 135] and IntCal20 [133] with calibration curve resolution set at 1 year. The Individual OxCal Agreement values (A), the Posterior v. Prior values from the OxCal General Outlier model for the short-lived samples (O), and Convergence values (C) are all shown. The wood charcoal samples with the Charcoal Plus Outlier model applied all have a Posterior/Prior value of 100/100. The light-shaded red probability distributions for each dated sample are the non-modeled calibrated age probability distributions for each sample in isolation. The dark red probability distributions are the modeled calendar age probability distributions. The lines under each probability distribution indicate the modeled 68.2% and 95.4% highest posterior density (hpd) ranges. Cyan color indicates the start and end Boundaries of the model. Green color indicates the Boundaries calculated within the Tell Tayinat Sequence. Blue color indicates an OxCal Date estimate for a Phase. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Model 1: Bayesian chronological model for Tell Tayinat Iron Age sequence, part 2. Otherwise, see captions to Fig 3, S1 Fig. The line under each probability distribution indicates the 95.4% hpd range. (TIF)

S3 Fig. Model 1 ¹⁴C dated elements (see <u>S1</u> and <u>S2</u> Figs) shown placed against the IntCal20 [133] calibration curve (and with the previous IntCal13 calibration curve [134] shown for comparison).

(TIF)

S4 Fig. The Heidelberg (Hd) Gordion (GOR) ¹⁴C dataset [180], 1σ , shown placed against IntCal20 [133] (to achieve satisfactory $A_{model}/A_{overall}$ values for a wiggle-match against IntCal20 after removing the 14 largest outliers in the dataset). The IntCal13 calibration curve [134] is shown for comparison. The labels indicate: 1. a region in the 16th century BCE where previously there was an offset between the Hd GOR dataset and IntCal13 [180] but which is now largely removed with the revised IntCal20 dataset; 2. and 3. two regions (reversals and/or plateaus in the calibration curve) where there appear to be positive offsets between the Hd GOR data and IntCal20; and? another reversal and plateau where there is perhaps a small difference between the Hd GOR dataset and IntCal20. A. shows overall comparison, B. shows detail for the mid-12th to 8th centuries BCE. (TIF)

Acknowledgments

This work is a contribution from the CRANE project (https://www.crane.utoronto.ca/). We thank all our excavation collaborators and the team at the Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit. We thank the Directorate of Cultural Heritage and Museums of Turkey, which has graciously awarded the research permits necessary to conduct each of the Tell Tayinat excavation seasons. We thank the ministry representatives who have supported the campaigns each season. We thank the directors and staff of the Antakya Museum, and the Tayinat landowners, in particular the Kuseyri family, who have generously permitted work on their land. All necessary permits were obtained for the described study, which complied with all relevant regulations. BL thanks the Cornell Center for Materials Research Shared Facilities for use of facilities for organic materials identification work.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Sturt W. Manning, Timothy P. Harrison.

Formal analysis: Sturt W. Manning, Brita Lorentzen, Lynn Welton, Stephen Batiuk, Timothy P. Harrison.

Funding acquisition: Sturt W. Manning, Timothy P. Harrison.

- Investigation: Sturt W. Manning, Brita Lorentzen, Lynn Welton, Stephen Batiuk, Timothy P. Harrison.
- Methodology: Sturt W. Manning, Brita Lorentzen, Lynn Welton, Stephen Batiuk, Timothy P. Harrison.
- Project administration: Sturt W. Manning, Timothy P. Harrison.

Resources: Sturt W. Manning, Timothy P. Harrison.

Supervision: Sturt W. Manning, Timothy P. Harrison.

- Writing original draft: Sturt W. Manning, Brita Lorentzen, Lynn Welton, Stephen Batiuk, Timothy P. Harrison.
- Writing review & editing: Sturt W. Manning, Brita Lorentzen, Lynn Welton, Stephen Batiuk, Timothy P. Harrison.

References

- 1. Weiss H, editor. Megadrought and Collapse. From Early Agriculture to Angkor. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017.
- 2. Cook ER, Seager R, Kushnir Y, Briffa KR, Büntgen U, Frank D, et al. Old World megadroughts and pluvials during the Common Era. Science Advances 2015; 1: e1500561. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv. 1500561 PMID: 26601136
- 3. Sinha A, Kathayat G, Weiss H, Li H, Cheng H, Reuter J, et al. Role of climate in the rise and fall of the Neo-Assyrian Empire. Science Advances 2019; 5: eaax6656. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aax6656 PMID: 31763452
- 4. Wossink A. Challenging Climate Change. Competition and Cooperation Among Pastoralists and Agriculturalists in Northern Mesopotamia (c. 3000-1600 BC). Leiden: Sidestone Press; 2009.

- McAnany PA, Yoffee N. 2010. Why we question collapse and study human resilience, ecological vulnerability and the aftermath of empire. In McAnany PA, Yoffee N, editors. Questioning Collapse: Human Resilience, Ecological Vulnerability, and the Aftermath of Empire. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010. pp. 1–17.
- Butzer KW. Collapse, environment, and society. PNAS 2012; 109:3632–3639. https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.1114845109 PMID: 22371579
- Butzer KW, Endfield GH. Critical perspectives on historical collapse. PNAS 2012; 109:3628–3631. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1114772109 PMID: 22371580
- Ur J. Urban adaptations to climate change in Northern Mesopotamia. In Kerner S, Dann RJ, Bangsgaard P, editors. Climate and Ancient Societies. Copenhagen: University of Copenhagen Press; 2015. pp. 69–95.
- Kintigh KW, Ingram SE. Was the drought really responsible? Assessing statistical relationships between climate extremes and cultural transitions. Journal of Archaeological Science 2018; 89: 25– 31.
- 10. Yoffee N, editor. The Evolution of Fragility: Setting the Terms. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2019.
- 11. Middleton GD, editor. Collapse and Transformation: The Late Bronze Age to Early Iron Age in the Aegean. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2020.
- Roberts N. Holocene climate change and archaeological implications, with particular reference to the East Mediterranean region. In Kerner S, Dann RJ, Bangsgaard P, editors. Climate and Ancient Societies. Copenhagen: University of Copenhagen Press; 2015. pp. 27–39.
- Armit I, Swindles GT, Becker K, Plunkett G, Blauauw M. Rapid climate change did not cause population collapse at the end of the European Bronze Age. PNAS 2014; 111: 17045–17049. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1408028111 PMID: 25404290
- 14. Flohr P, Fleitmann D, Matthews R, Matthews W, Black S. Evidence of resilience to past climate change in Southwest Asia: Early farming communities and the 9.2 and 8.2 ka events. Quaternary Science Reviews 2016; 136:23–39.
- Knapp AB, Manning SW. Crisis in Context: The end of the Late Bronze Age in the eastern Mediterranean. American Journal of Archaeology 2016; 120: 99–149.
- Weiss H, Courty M-A, Wetterstrom W, Guichard F, Senior L, Meadow R, et al. The genesis and collapse of third millennium North Mesopotamian civilization. Science 1993; 261:995–1004. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.261.5124.995</u> PMID: 17739617
- Dalfes HN, Kukla G, Weiss H, editors. Third Millennium BC climate change and the Old World Collapse. Proceedings of NATO ASI Series I. vol. 49. Berlin: Springer Verlag; 1997.
- Cullen HM, deMenocal PB, Hemming S, Hemming G, Brown FH, Guilderson T, et al. Climate change and the collapse of the Akkadian empire: evidence from the deep sea. Geology 2000; 28: 379–382.
- Kaniewski D, Van Campo E, Guiot J, Le Burel S, Otto T, Baeteman C. Environmental roots of the Late Bronze Age crisis. PLoS ONE 2013; 8(8): e71004. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0071004</u> PMID: 23967146
- 21. Langgut D, Finkelstein I, Litt T. Climate and the Late Bronze collapse: new evidence from the southern Levant. Tel Aviv 2013; 40: 149–175.
- 22. Finné M, Holmgren K, Shen C-C, Hu H-M, Boyd M, Stocker S. Late Bronze Age climate change and the destruction of the Mycenaean Palace of Nestor at Pylos. PLoS ONE 2017; 12(12): e0189447. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189447 PMID: 29281669
- Kaniewski D, Marriner N, Bretschneider J, Jans G, Morhange C, Cheddadi R, et al. 2019. 300-year drought frames Late Bronze Age to Early Iron Age transition in the Near East: new palaeoecological data from Cyprus and Syria. Regional Environmental Change, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-018-01460-w
- 24. Middleton G. Understanding Collapse: Ancient History and Modern Myths. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2017.
- Weiss H. 4.2 ka BP Megadrought and the Akkadian Collapse. In Weiss H, editor. Megadrought and Collapse. From Early Agriculture to Angkor. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017. pp. 93–160.
- Kaniewski D, Van Campo E. 3.2 ka BP Megadrought and the Late Bronze Age Collapse. In Weiss H, editor. Megadrought and Collapse. From Early Agriculture to Angkor. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017. pp. 161–182.

- 27. van Geel B, Buurman J, Waterbolk HT. 1996. Archaeological and palaeoecological indications for an abrupt climate change in The Netherlands and evidence for climatological teleconnections around 2650 BP. Journal of Quaternary Science 1996; 11: 451–460.
- van Geel B, Bokovenko NA, Burova ND, Chugunov KV, Dergachev VA, et al. Climate change and the expansion of the Scythian culture after 850 BC: a hypothesis. Journal of Archaeological Science 2004; 31: 1735–1742.
- Manning SW. The Roman world and climate: context, relevance of climate change, and some issues. In Harris WV, editor, The Ancient Mediterranean Environment between Sciences and History; Leiden: Brill; 2013. pp. 103–170.
- Weiss H, Manning SW, Ristvet L, Mori L, Besonen M, McCarthy A, et al. Tell Leilan Akkadian imperialization, collapse and short-lived reoccupation defined by high-resolution radiocarbon dating. In Weiss H, editor. Seven Generations Since the Fall of Akkad. Studia Chaburensia 3. Weisbaden: Harrassowitz Verlag; 2012. pp. 163–192.
- Manning SW. Comments on climate, intra-regional variations, chronology, the 2200 B.C. horizon of change in the East Mediterranean region, and socio-political change on Crete. In Höflmayer F, editor. The Early/Middle Bronze Age Transition in the Ancient Near East: Chronology, C14, and Climate Change. Oriental Institute Seminars 11. Chicago: The Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago; 2017. pp. 443–483.
- **32.** Regev J, de Miroschedji P, Greenberg R, Braun E, Greenhut Z, Boaretto E. Chronology of the Early Bronze Age in the southern Levant: new analysis for a high chronology. Radiocarbon 2012; 54(3–4): 525–566.
- 33. Höflmayer F. Dating catastrophes and collapses in the ancient Near East: the end of the first urbanization in the southern Levant and the 4.2 ka B.P. event. In Nigro L, editor. Overcoming Catastrophes: Essays on Disastrous Agents Characterization and Resilience Strategies in Pre-classical Southern Levant. Rome "La Sapienza" Studies on the Archaeology of Palestine & Transjordan 11. Rome: Università di Roma "La Sapienza". pp. 117–140.
- Schwartz GM. Western Syria and third- to second-millennium B.C. transition. In Höflmayer F, editor. The Early/Middle Bronze Age Transition in the Ancient Near East: Chronology, C14, and Climate Change. Oriental Institute Seminars 11. Chicago: The Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago; 2017. pp. 87–128.
- 35. Weiss H. The northern Levant during the Intermediate Bronze Age: altered trajectories. In Killebrew AE, Steiner M, editors. The Oxford Handbook of the Archaeology of the Levant: c.8000-332 BCE. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014; pp. 367–387.
- Broodbank C. The Making of the Middle Sea: A History of the Mediterranean From the Beginning to the Emergence of the Classical World. London: Thames and Hudson; 2013. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> watres.2013.09.026 PMID: 24091188
- **37.** Knapp AB. Seafaring and Seafarers in the Bronze Age Eastern Mediterranean. Leiden: Sidestone Press; 2018.
- Morandi Bonacossi D. The northern Levant (Syria) during the Middle Bronze Age. In Killebrew AE, Steiner M, editors. The Oxford Handbook of the Archaeology of the Levant: c. 8000–332 BCE. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014; pp. 414–433.
- 39. Matthiae P. The IIIrd millennium in north-western Syria: stratigraphy and architecture. In Orthmann W, al-Maqdissi M, Matthiae P, editors. Archéologie et Histoire de la Syrie I: La Syrie de l'époque néolithique à l'âge du fer. Schriften zur vorderasiatischen Archäologie 1/1. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz; 2013. pp. 35–48.
- Ward WA, Joukowsky MS. Editors. The Crisis Years: The 12th Century B.C.E. from Beyond the Danube to the Tigris. Dubuque, Ia.: Kendall-Hunt; 1992.
- **41.** Drews R. The End of the Bronze Age: Changes in Warfare and the Catastrophe of ca. 1200 B.C.E. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1993.
- 42. Cline EH. 1177 B.C.: The Year Civilization Collapsed. Princeton: Princeton University Press; 2014.
- **43.** Neugebauer I, Brauer A, Schwab MJ, Dulski P, Frank U, Hadzhiivanova E, et al. 2015. Evidences for centennial dry periods at ~3300 and ~2800 cal. Yr BP from micro-facies analyses of the Dead Sea sediments. The Holocene 2015; 25: 1368–13711-14.
- 44. Wilkinson TJ, Philip G, Bradbury J, Dunford R, Donoghue D, Galiatsatos N, et al. Contextualizing early urbanization: settlement cores, early states and agro-pastoral strategies in the Fertile Crescent during the fourth and third millennia BC. Journal of World Prehistory 2014; 27(1): 43–109.
- **45.** Harrison TP. Lifting the veil on a "Dark Age": Ta'yinat and the North Orontes Valley during the Early Iron Age. In Schloen D, editor. Exploring the Longue Durée: Essays in Honor of Lawrence E. Stager. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns; 2009. pp. 171–184.

- 46. Harrison TP. The Late Bronze/Early Iron Age transition in the northern Orontes Valley. In Venturi F, editor. Societies in Transition. Evolutionary Processes in the Northern Levant between Late Bronze Age II and Early Iron Age. Bologna: CLUEB; 2010. pp. 83–102.
- Harrison TP. Tayinat in the Early Iron Age. In Yener KA, editor. Across the Border: Late Bronze-Iron Age Relations Between Syria and Anatolia. Amsterdam: Peeters Press; 2013. pp 61–87.
- Welton L, Batiuk S, Harrison TP, Lipovitch DR, Capper M. Tell Tayinat in the late third millennium: recent investigations of the Tayinat Archaeological Project, 2008–2010. Anatolica 2011; 37: 147–185.
- **49.** Welton L. The Amuq Plain and Tell Tayinat in the third millennium BCE: the historical and socio-political context. Journal of the Canadian Society for Mesopotamian Studies 2011; 6: 15–27.
- Welton L. Revisiting the Amuq Sequence: A preliminary investigation of the EBIVB ceramic assemblage from Tell Tayinat. Levant 2014; 46: 339–370.
- Welton L, Harrison T, Batiuk S, Ünlü E, Janeway B, Karakaya D, et al. 2019. Shifting networks and community identity at Tell Tayinat in the Iron I (ca. 12th to Mid 10th Century B.C.E.). American Journal of Archaeology 2019; 123: 291–333.
- 52. Braidwood RJ, Braidwood LS. Excavations in the Plain of Antioch: An Archaeological Survey. Oriental Institute Publications 48. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1960.
- 53. Haines RC. Excavations in the Plain of Antioch II: The Structural Remains of the Later Phases: Chatal Hoyuk, Tell al-Judaidah, and Tell Ta'yinat. Oriental Institute Publications 92. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1971.
- Batiuk S, Harrison TP, Pavlish L. The Tayinat Survey, 1999–2002. In Yener KA, editor. The Amuq Valley Regional Projects, Volume 1. Surveys in the Plain of Antioch and Orontes Delta, Turkey, 1995–2002. Chicago: Oriental Institute, University of Chicago; 2005. pp.171–192.
- 55. Harrison TP. Neo-Hittites in the "Land of Palistin": renewed investigations at Tell Tayinat on the Plain of Antioch. Near Eastern Archaeology 2009; 72(4): 174–189.
- 56. Janeway B. Sea Peoples of the Northern Levant? Aegean-Style Pottery from early Iron Age Tell Tayinat. Studies in the Archaeology and History of the Levant. Harvard Semitic Museum Publications. Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns: 2017.
- Osborne JF. Communicating power in the Bīt-Hilāni palace. Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research 2012; 368: 29–66.
- Harrison TP. The Neo-Assyrian governor's residence at Tell Ta'yinat. Bulletin of the Canadian Society for Mesopotamian Studies 2005; 40: 23–33.
- Archi A. Alalah al tempo del regno di Ebla. In Morandi Bonacossi D, Rova E, Veronese F, Zanovello P, editors. Tra Oriente e Occidente: Studi in onore di Elena di Filippo Balestrazzi. Padova: SARGON Editrice e Libreria; 2006; pp. 2–5.
- Hawkins JD. Cilicia, the Amuq and Aleppo: new light in a Dark Age. Near Eastern Archaeology 2009; 72(4): 164–173.
- 61. Hawkins JD. The inscriptions of the Aleppo Temple. Anatolian Studies 2011; 61: 35–54.
- 62. Weeden M. After the Hittites: The kingdoms of Karkamish and Palistin in northern Syria. Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies 2013; 56(2): 1–20.
- 63. Weeden M. The land of Walistin at Tell Tayinat. NABU 2015; 2015(2): 65-66.
- 64. Harrison TP. Tell Tayinat and the kingdom of Unqi. In Daviau PMM, Wevers JW, Weigl M, editors. The World of the Arameans II: Studies in History and Archaeology in Honour of Paul-Eugène Dion. Journal for the Study of the Old Testament Supplement 325. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press; 2001. pp. 115–132.
- Harrison TP, Osborne JF. Building XVI and the Neo-Assyrian sacred precinct at Tell Tayinat. *Journal of Cuneiform Studies* 2012; 64: 125–143.
- 66. Harrison TP. The Neo-Assyrian provincial administration at Tayinat (ancient Kunalia). In MacGinnis J, Wicke D, Greenfield T, editors. The Provincial Archaeology of the Assyrian Empire. McDonald Institute Monographs. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2016. pp.253–264.
- Lauinger J. Some preliminary thoughts on the tablet collection in Building XVI from Tell Tayinat. Journal of the Canadian Society for Mesopotamian Studies 2011; 6: 5–14.
- Lauinger J. Esarhaddon's succession treaty at Tell Tayinat: text and commentary. Journal of Cuneiform Studies 2012; 64: 87–123.
- Harrison TP. Articulating Neo-Assyrian imperialism at Tell Tayinat. In Rutz MT, Kersel MM, editors. Archaeologies of Text. Archaeology, Technology, and Ethics. Oxford: Oxbow; 2014. pp. 80–96.
- Mayewski PA, Rohling EE, Stager JC, Karlén W, Maasch KA, Meeker LD, et al. Holocene climate variability. Quaternary Research 2004; 62: 243–255.

- 71. Bini M, Zanchetta G, Perşoiu A, Cartier R, Català A, Cacho I, et al. The 4.2 ka BP Event in the Mediterranean region: an overview. Climate of the Past 2019; 15: 555–577.
- Kaniewski D, Marriner N, Cheddadi R, Guiot J, Van Campo E. The 4.2 ka BP event in the Levant. Climate of the Past 2018; 14: 1529–1542.
- 73. Carolin SA, Walker RT, Day CC, Ersek V, Sloan RA, Dee MW, et al. Precise timing of abrupt increase in dust activity in the Middle East coincident with 4.2 ka social change. PNAS 2019; 116: 67–72. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1808103115 PMID: 30584111
- 74. Walker MJC, Berkelhammer M, Björck S, Cwynar LC, Fisher DA, Long AJ, et al. Formal subdivision of the Holocene Series/Epoch: a discussion paper by a working group of INTIMATE (Integration of icecore, marine and terrestrial records) and the subcommission on Quaternary stratigraphy (International Commission on Stratigraphy). Journal of Quaternary Science 2012; 27: 649–649.
- 75. Moeller N. The First Intermediate Period: a time of famine and climate change? Ägypten und Levante 2015; 15: 153–167.
- 76. Morris E. Ancient Egyptian exceptionalism: fragility, flexibility and the art of not collapsing. In Yoffee N., editor. The Evolution of Fragility: Setting the Terms. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2019. pp. 61–87.
- 77. Salzer MW, Bunn AG, Graham NE, Hughes MK. Five millennia of paleotemperature from tree-rings in the Great Basin, USA. Climate Dynamics 2014; 42: 1517–1526.
- 78. Manning SW, Dee MW, Wild EM, Bronk Ramsey C, Bandy K, Creasman PP, et al. High-precision dendro-¹⁴C dating of two cedar wood sequences from First Intermediate Period and Middle Kingdom Egypt and a small regional climate-related ¹⁴C divergence. Journal of Archaeological Science 2014; 46: 401–416.
- Cooper L. The northern Levant (Syria) during the Early Bronze Age. In Killebrew AE, Steiner M, editors. The Oxford Handbook of the Archaeology of the Levant: ca. 8000–332 BCE. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014. pp. 278–291.
- 80. Dornemann R. Evidence from two transitional periods, Early Bronze IV and Iron I, at Tell Qarqur, Syria. In Kühne H, Czichon RM, Kreppner FJ, editors. Proceedings of the 4th International Congress on the Archaeology of the Ancient Near East, 29 March-3 April 2004, Freie Universität Berlin, Volume II: Social and Cultural Transformation: The Archaeology of Transitional Periods and Dark Ages. Excavation Reports. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz; 2008. pp. 81–96. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2008-1081337 PMID: 18671196
- Sconzo P. Ceramics. In Finkbeiner U, Novák M, Sakal F, Sconzo P, editors. Associated Regional Chronologies for the Ancient Near East and the Eastern Mediterranean, Volume IV: Middle Euphrates. Turnhout: Brepols; 2015. pp. 85–202.
- 82. Mazzoni S. The ancient Bronze Age pottery tradition in northwestern central Syria. In al-Maqdissi M, Matoïan V, Nicolle C, editors. Céramique de l'âge du bronze en Syrie, I: La Syrie du sud et la vallée de l'Oronte. Bibliothèque archéologique et historique 161. Beirut: Institut Français d'Archéologie du Proche-Orient; 2002. pp. 69–96.
- Calcagnile L, Quarta G, D'Elia M. Just at That Time: 14C determinations and analysis from EBIVA layers. In Matthiae P, Marchetti N, editors. Ebla and Its Landscape: Early State Formation in the Ancient Near East. Walnut Creek: Left Coast Press; 2013. pp. 450–458.
- 84. Brochier JÉ. A cataclysm in the steppe? Environmental history of al-Rawda, an ephemeral town in the arid Syrian margins at the end of the third millennium. In Castel C, Meyer J-W, Quenet P, editors. Origins, Structure, Development and Sociology of Circular Cities of Early Bronze Age Syria. Subartu XLII. Begijnhof: Brepols; 2020.
- **85.** Morandi Bonacossi D. Excavations on the acropolis of Mishrifeh, Operation J. A new Early Bronze Age III–Iron Age III sequence for central inner Syria, part 1: stratigraphy, chronology and architecture. Akkadica 2008; 129(1): 55–127.
- Gilboa A, Sharon I. An archaeological contribution to the Early Iron Age chronological debate: alternative chronologies for Phoenicia and their effects on the Levant, Cyprus, and Greece. Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research 2003; 332: 7–80.
- Levy TE, Higham T, editors. The Bible and Radiocarbon Dating: Archaeology, Text and Science. London: Equinox; 2005.
- Liverani M. The collapse of the Near Eastern regional system at the end of the Bronze Age: the case of Syria. In Rowlands M, Larsen MT, Kristiansen K, editors. Centre and Periphery in the Ancient World. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987. pp. 66–73.
- 89. Liverani M. International Relations in the Ancient Near East, 1600–1100 BC. Houndsmills and New York: Palgrave; 2001.

- Murray SC. The Collapse of the Mycenaean Economy. Imports, Trade, and Institutions 1300–700 BCE. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Sharon I, Gilboa A, Jull AJT, Boaretto E. Report on the first stage of the Iron Age dating project in Israel: supporting a Low Chronology. Radiocarbon 2007; 49: 1–46.
- 92. van der Plicht J, Bruins HJ, Nijboer AJ. The Iron Age around the Mediterranean: a high chronology perspective from the Groningen radiocarbon database. Radiocarbon 2009; 51: 213–242.
- Bruins HJ, Nijboer AJ, van der Plicht H. Iron Age Mediterranean chronology: a reply. Radiocarbon 2011; 53: 199–220.
- Fantalkin A, Finkelstein I, Piasetzky E. Iron Age Mediterranean chronology: a rejoinder. Radiocarbon 2011; 53: 179–198.
- **95.** Rose CB, Darbyshire G, editors. The New Chronology of Iron Age Gordion. Gordion Special Studies VI. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology; 2011.
- 96. Toffolo MB, Fantalkin A, Lemos IS, Felsch RCS, Niemeier W-D, Sanders GDR et al. Towards an absolute chronology for the Aegean Iron Age: new radiocarbon dates from Lefkandi, Kalapodi and Corinth. PLoS ONE 2013; 8(12): e83117; https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083117 PMID: 24386150
- 97. Wardle K, Higham T, Kromer B. Dating the end of the Greek Bronze Age: a robust radiocarbon-based chronology from Assiros Toumba. PLoS ONE 2014; 9(9): e106672; <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0106672</u> PMID: 25222862
- Dinçol B, Dinçol A, Hawkins JD, Peker H, Öztan A, Çelik Ö. Two new inscribed Storm-god stelae from Arsuz (İskanderun): ARSUZ 1 and 2. Anatolian Studies 2015; 65:59–77.
- Dinçol A, Dinçol B, Hawkins JD, Marchetti N, Peker H. A new stele from Karkemish: at the origins of the Suhi-Katuwa Dynasty. In Marchetti N, editor. Karkemish: An Ancient Capital on the Euphrates. Bologna: Ante Quem; 2014. pp. 127–137.
- 100. Röllig W. 2013. History of the Neohittite and Aramaean States and the Assyrian conquest. In Orthmann W, Matthiae P, al-Maqdissi M, editors. Archéologie et Histoire de la Syrie I: La Syrie de l'époque néolithique à l'âge du fer. Weisbaden: Harrassowitz Verlag; 2013. pp. 461–472.
- Harrison TP. The evidence for Aramaean cultural expansion in the Amuq Plain. Bulletin of the Canadian Society for Mesopotamian Studies 2001; 36: 135–143.
- 102. Hawkins JD. 2002. Anatolia: the end of the Hittite Empire and after. In Braun-Holzinger EA, Matthäus H, editors. Die nahöstlichen Kulturen und Griechenland an der Wende vom 2. Zum 1. Jahrtausend v. Chr. Kontinuität und Wandel von Strukturen und Mechanismen kultureller Interaktion. Möhnesee: Bibliopolis; 2002. pp. 143–151.
- **103.** Osborne JF. Sovereignty and territoriality in the city-state: a case study from the Amuq Valley, Turkey. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology 2013; 32: 774–790.
- 104. Kaniewski D, Paulissen E, Van Campo E, Weiss H, Otto T, Bretschneider J, et al. 2010. Late second– early first millennium B.C.E. abrupt climate changes in coastal Syria and their possible significance for the history of the eastern Mediterranean. Quaternary Research 2010; 74: 207–215.
- 105. Mazzoni S. Syria and the periodization of the Iron Age: a cross-cultural perspective. In Bunnens G, editor. Essays on Syria in the Iron Age. Ancient Near Eastern Studies Supplement 7. Louvain: Peeters; 2000. pp. 31–59.
- **106.** Mazzoni S. Syria and the chronology of the Iron Age. In ISIMU: Revista sobre Oriente Próximo y Egipto en la antigüedad 3. Madrid: Universidad Autónoma de Madrid; 2000. pp. 121–137.
- 107. Sader H. The northern Levant during the Iron Age I period. In Killebrew AE, Steiner M, editors. The Oxford Handbook of the Archaeology of the Levant: c. 8000–332 BCE. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014. pp. 601–623.
- 108. Mazzoni S. The Aramaean states during the Iron Age II-III periods. In Killebrew AE, Steiner M, editors. The Oxford Handbook of the Archaeology of the Levant: c. 8000–332 BCE. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014. pp. 683–705.
- **109.** Lehmann G. Decorated pottery styles in the northern Levant during the Early Iron Age and their relationship with Cyprus and the Aegean. Ugarit Forschungen 2008; 39: 487–550.
- 110. Welton L. A new start or business as usual? Evidence from the earliest Iron Age I levels at Tell Tayinat. In Charaf H, Welton L, editors. The Iron Age I in the Levant. A View from the North: Part I. Archaeology & History in the Lebanon 2019; 50–51: 70–92.
- 111. Libby WF. Radiocarbon dating. Science 1961; 133: 621–629. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.133. 3453.621 PMID: 13761865
- Griggs CB, Manning SW. A reappraisal of the dendrochronology and dating of Tille Höyük (1993). Radiocarbon 2009; 51:711–720.

- 113. Manning SW, Kromer B, Bronk Ramsey C, Pearson CL, Talamo S, Trano N et al. ¹⁴C record and wiggle-match placement for the Anatolian (Gordion area) juniper tree-ring chronology ~1729 to 751 cal BC, and typical Aegean/Anatolian (growing season related) regional ¹⁴C offset assessment. Radiocarbon 2010; 52: 1571–1597.
- 114. Manning SW, Kromer B. Radiocarbon dating Iron Age Gordion and the Early Phrygian destruction in particular. In: Rose CB, Darbyshire G, editors. The New Chronology of Iron Age Gordion. Gordion Special Studies VI. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology; 2011. pp. 123–153.
- 115. Manning SW, Griggs CB, Lorentzen B, Barjamovic G, Ramsey CB, Kromer B et al. Integrated treering-radiocarbon high-resolution timeframe to resolve earlier second millennium BCE Mesopotamian chronology. PLoS ONE 2016; 11(7): e0157144. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0157144 PMID: 27409585
- 116. Czichon RM, Klinger J, Hnila P, Mielke DP, Böhm H, Forster C et al. Archäologische Forschungen am Oymaağaç Höyük/Nerik 2011–2015. Mitteilungen der Deutschen Orient-Gesellschaft zu Berlin 2016; 148: 5–141.
- 117. Pucci M. Functional Analysis of Space in Syro-Hittite Architecture. Oxford: BAR; 2008.
- 118. Osborne J, Harrison T, Batiuk S, Welton L, Dessel JP, Denel E, et al. Urban Built environments of the early First Millennium BCE: results of the Tayinat Archaeological Project, 2004–2016. Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research 2019; 382: 261–312.
- Bronk Ramsey C. Radiocarbon calibration and analysis of stratigraphy: the OxCal program. Radiocarbon 1995; 37: 425–430.
- 120. Bayliss A, Bronk Ramsey C. Pragmatic Bayesians: a decade of integrating radiocarbon dates into chronological models. In Buck CE, Millard AR, editors. Tools for Constructing Chronologies. London: Springer; 2004. pp 25–41.
- 121. Bronk Ramsey C. Bayesian analysis of radiocarbon dates. Radiocarbon 2009; 51: 337–360.
- **122.** Bayliss A. Rolling out revolution: using radiocarbon dating in archaeology. Radiocarbon 2009; 51: 123–147.
- Hamilton WD, Krus AM. The myths and realities of Bayesian chronological modeling revealed. American Antiquity 2018; 83: 187–203.
- 124. Akkemik Ü, Yaman B. Wood Anatomy of Eastern Mediterranean Species. Remagen-Oberwinter: Verlag Kessel; 2012.
- 125. Fahn A, Werker E, Baas P. Wood Anatomy and Identification of Trees and Shrubs from Israel and Adjacent Regions. Jerusalem: Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities; 1986.
- **126.** Davis PH, editor. The Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands. Vols. 1–10. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 1965–1988.
- 127. Güner A, Özhatay N, Ekim T, Baser KHT, editors. The Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands. Vol. 11 (supplement 2). Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 2000.
- 128. Brock F, Higham TFG, Ditchfield P, Bronk Ramsey C. Current pretreatment methods for AMS radiocarbon dating at the Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit (ORAU). Radiocarbon 2010; 52: 103–112.
- 129. Dee M, Bronk Ramsey C. Refinement of Graphite Target Production at ORAU, Proceedings of 8th AMS Conference Vienna, Elsevier Science Publishers. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B 2000; 172: 449–453.
- Bronk Ramsey C, Higham T, Leach P. Towards high-precision AMS: progress and limitations. Radiocarbon 2004; 46: 17–24.
- Bayliss A. Quality in Bayesian chronological models in archaeology. World Archaeology 2015; 47: 677–700.
- Bronk Ramsey C. Methods for summarizing radiocarbon datasets. Radiocarbon 2017; 59(2): 1809– 1833.
- Reimer PJ, Austin WEN, Bard E, Bayliss A, Blackwell PG, Bronk Ramsey C, et al. The IntCal20 Northern Hemisphere radiocarbon age calibration curve (0–55 kcal BP). Radiocarbon 2020; 62(4): 725– 757. https://doi.org/10.1017/RDC.2020.41
- **134.** Reimer PJ, Bard E, Bayliss A, Beck JW, Blackwell PG, Bronk Ramsey C, et al. IntCal13 and Marine13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0–50,000 years cal BP. Radiocarbon 2013; 55: 1869–1887.
- **135.** Bronk Ramsey C. Dealing with outliers and offsets in radiocarbon dating. Radiocarbon 2009; 51: 1023–1045.
- 136. Dee M, Wengrow D, Shortland A, Stevenson A, Brock F, Girdland Flink G, et al. An absolute chronology for early Egypt using radiocarbon dating and Bayesian statistical modeling. Proceedings of the Royal Society A 2013; 469: 20130395.

- Dee M, Bronk Ramsey C. High-precision Bayesian modeling of samples susceptible to inbuilt age. Radiocarbon 2014; 56: 83–94.
- Ward GK, Wilson SR. Procedures for comparing and combining radiocarbon age determinations: a critique. Archaeometry 1978; 20: 19–31.
- 139. Snodgrass A. Archaeology. In Crawford M, editor. Sources for Ancient History. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 1983. pp 137–184.
- 140. Forsberg S. Near Eastern Destruction Datings as Sources for Greek and Near Eastern Iron Age Chronology: Archaeological and Historical Studies: the Cases of Samaria (722 BC) and Tarsus (696 BC). Boreas 19. Uppsala: Uppsala University; 1995.
- 141. Adams WH. Dating historical sites: the importance of understanding time lag in the acquisition, curation, use, and disposal of artifacts. Historical Archaeology 2003; 37: 38–64.
- 142. Manning SW, Birch J, Conger MA, Dee MW, Griggs C, Hadden CS, et al. Radiocarbon re-dating of contact-era Iroquoian history in northeastern North America. Science Advances 2018; 4: eaav0280. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aav0280 PMID: 30525108
- 143. Fales FM. After Ta'yinat: the new status of Esarhaddon's adê for Assyrian political history. Revue d'assyriologie et d'archéologie orientale 2012; 106: 133–158.
- 144. Riehl S, Pustovoytov KE, Weippert H, Klett S, Hole F. Drought stress variability in ancient Near Eastern agricultural systems evidenced by δ¹³C in barley grain. PNAS 2014; 111: 12348–12353. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1409516111 PMID: 25114225
- 145. Weiss H. Global megadrought, societal collapse and resilience at 4.2–3.9 ka BP across the Mediterranean and west Asia. PAGES Magazine 2016; 24(2): 62–63.
- 146. Capper MM. Urban Subsistence in the Bronze and Iron Ages: The Palaeoethnobotany of Tell Tayinat, Turkey. MA Thesis, Simon Fraser University; 2012.
- 147. Karakaya D. Botanical Aspects of the Environment and Economy at Tell Tayinat from the Bronze to Iron Ages (ca. 2.200–600 BCE), in south-central Turkey. Ph.D. Dissertation, Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen; 2019.
- 148. Riehl S. Archaeobotanical evidence for the interrelationship of agricultural decision-making and climate change in the ancient Near East. Quaternary International. 2009; 197: 93–114.
- Archi A, Biga MG. A victory over Mari and the fall of Ebla. Journal of Cuneiform Studies 2003; 55: 1– 44.
- Matthiae P. Crisis and collapse: similarity and diversity in the three destructions of Ebla from EB IVA to MBII. Scienze dell'Antichità 2009; 15: 43–83.
- Durand J-M. Sargon a-t-il détruit la ville de Mari? Revue d'Assyriologie et d'Archéologie Orientale 2012; 106: 117–132.
- **152.** Dolce R. The archaeology of a long lasting power: the Ebla paradigm. A synthesis. Studi Micenei ed Egeo-Anatolici 2009; 51: 251–278.
- 153. Casana J, Wilkinson TJ. Settlements and landscapes in the Amuq Region. In Yener KA, editor. The Amuq Valley Regional Projects, Volume 1: Surveys in the Plain of Antioch and Orontes Delta, Turkey, 1995–2002. Oriental Institute Publications 131. Chicago: Oriental Institute; 2005; pp. 25–66.
- 154. Casana J, Gansell AR. Surface ceramics, off-site survey, and floodplain development at Tell Atchana (Alalakh). In Yener KA, editor. The Amuq Valley Regional Projects, Volume 1: Surveys in the Plain of Antioch and Orontes Delta, Turkey, 1995–2002. Oriental Institute Publications 131. Chicago: Oriental Institute; 2005; pp. 153–170.
- 155. Snow H, Batiuk S. The University of Chicago's Excavations at Tell Ta'yinat, Amuq Plain, Southeastern Turkey. Chicago: The Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago Press; forthcoming.
- **156.** Weninger B, Jung R. Absolute chronology of the end of the Aegean Bronze Age. In: Deger-Jalkotzy S, Bächle AE, editors. LH III C Chronology and Synchronisms III: LH III C Late and the Transition to the Early Iron Age. Vienna: Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften; 2009. pp. 373–416.
- 157. Toffolo MB, Arie E, Martin MAS, Boaretto E, Finkelstein I. Absolute chronology of Megiddo, Israel, in the Late Bronze and Iron Ages: high-resolution radiocarbon dating. Radiocarbon 2014; 56: 221–244.
- 158. Manning SW, Kearns C, Lorentzen B. Dating the end of the Late Bronze Age with radiocarbon: some observations, concerns, and revisiting the dating of Late Cypriot IIC to IIIA. In: Fischer PM, Bürge T, editors. "Sea Peoples" Up-to-Date. New Research on Transformation in the Eastern Mediterranean in the 13th-11th Centuries BCE. Vienna: Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften; 2017. pp. 95–110.
- **159.** Harrison TP. Recent discoveries at Tayinat (ancient Kunulua/Calno) and their Biblical implications. In Maier CM, editor. Congress Volume Munich 2013. Leiden: Brill; 2014. pp. 396–425.
- Grayson AK. The Royal Inscriptions of Mesopotamia, Assyrian Periods, Volume 3: Assyrian Rulers of the Early First Millennium BC II (858–745 BC). Toronto: University of Toronto Press; 1996.

- Hawkins JD. Corpus of Luwian Inscriptions 1: Inscriptions of the Iron Age (3 vols.). Berlin: de Gruyter; 2000.
- Weiss B. The decline of Late Bronze Age civilization as a possible response to climatic change. Climatic Change 1982; 4: 173–198.
- 163. Neumann J, Parpola S. Climatic change and the eleventh-tenth-century eclipse of Assyria and Babylonia. Journal of Near Eastern Studies 1987; 46: 161–182.
- **164.** Drake BL. The influence of climatic change on the Late Bronze Age Collapse and the Greek Dark Ages. Journal of Archaeological Science 2012; 39: 1862–1870.
- 165. Yener KA. New Excavations at Alalakh: The 14th-12th centuries B.C. In Yener KA, editor. Across the Border: Late Bronze-Iron Age Relations between Syria and Anatolia. Leuven: Peeters; 2013. pp. 11– 36.
- 166. Montesanto M, Pucci M. The Iron Age at Alalakh. In Charaf H, Welton L, editors. The Iron Age I in the Levant. A View from the North: Part I. Archaeology & History in the Lebanon 2019; 50–51: 93–135.
- 167. Karakaya D, Riehl S. Subsistence in post-collapse societies: patterns of agroproduction from the Late Bronze Age to the Iron Age of the Northern Levant and beyond. In Charaf H, Welton L, editors. The Iron Age I in the Levant. A View from the North: Part I. Archaeology & History in the Lebanon 2019; 50–51: 136–163.
- 168. Singer I. A political history of Ugarit. In: Watson WGE, Wyatt N, editors. Handbook of Ugaritic Studies. Handbuch der Orientalistik 1, Der Nahe und Mittlere Osten 39. Leiden: Brill; 1999. pp. 603–733.
- Osborne JF. Exploring the lower settlements of Iron Age capitals in Anatolia and Syria. Antiquity 2017; 91: 90–107.
- Marston J. Modeling resilience and sustainability in ancient agricultural systems. Journal of Ethnobiology 2015; 35: 585–605.
- 171. Buckley BM, Anchukaitis KJ, Penny D, Fletcher R, Cook ER, Sano M, et al. Climate as a contributing factor in the demise of Angkor, Cambodia. PNAS 2010; 107: 6748–6752. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0910827107 PMID: 20351244
- 172. Büntgen U, Tegel W, Nicolussi K, McCormick M, Frank D, Trouet V, et al. 2500 years of European climate variability and human susceptibility. Science 2011; 331: 578–582. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1197175 PMID: 21233349
- 173. McCormick M, Büntgen U, Cane MA, Cook ER, Harper K, Huybers P, et al. Climate change during and after the Roman Empire: reconstructing the past from scientific and historical evidence. Journal of Interdisciplinary History 2012; 43(2): 169–220.
- 174. Kennett DJ, Marwan N. Climatic volatility, agricultural uncertainty, and the formation, consolidation and breakdown of preindustrial agrarian states. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A 2015; 373: 20140458.
- 175. Manning SW. Some perspectives on the frequency of significant, historically forcing drought and subsistence crises in Anatolia and region. In Holt E, editor. Water and Power in Past Societies. IEMA Proceedings, volume 7. Albany: State University of New York Press; 2018. pp. 279–295.
- 176. Weiberg E, Finné M. Resilience and persistence of ancient societies in the face of climate change: a case study from Late Bronze Age Peloponnese. World Archaeology 2018; 50: 584–602.
- 177. Dee MW, Brock F, Harris SA, Bronk Ramsey C, Shortland AJ, Higham TFG, et al. Investigating the likelihood of a reservoir offset in the radiocarbon record for ancient Egypt. Journal of Archaeological Science 2010; 37: 687–693.
- 178. Bronk Ramsey C, Dee MW, Rowland JM, Higham TFG, Harris SA, Brock F, et al. Radiocarbon-based chronology for Dynastic Egypt. Science 2010; 328: 1554–1557. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.</u> 1189395 PMID: 20558717
- 179. Manning SW, Griggs C, Lorentzen B, Bronk Ramsey C, Chivall D, Jull AJT, et al. Fluctuating radiocarbon offsets observed in the southern Levant and implications for archaeological chronology debates. PNAS 2018; 115: 6141–6146. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1719420115 PMID: 29844183
- Manning SW, Kromer B, Cremaschi M, Dee MW, Friedrich R, Griggs C, et al. Mediterranean radiocarbon offsets and calendar dates for prehistory. Science Advances 2020; 6: eaaz1096. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1126/sciadv.aaz1096 PMID: 32206721</u>
- 181. Eyre CJ. The agricultural cycle, farming, and water management in the ancient Near East. In Sasson JM, Baines J, Beckman G, Rubinson KS, editors. Civilizations of the ancient Near East. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons; 1995. Vol. 1 pp. 175–189.
- 182. Jull AJT, Panyushkina I, Miyake F, Masuda K, Nakamura T, Mitsutani T, et al. More rapid ¹⁴C excursions in the tree-ring record: a record of different kind of solar activity at about 800 BC? Radiocarbon 2018; 60: 1237–1248.