

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

- Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, et al. A trial of lopinavir-ritonavir in adults hospitalized with severe Covid-19 [e-pub ahead of print]. N Engl J Med. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001282, accessed 29 March 2020.
- Avery RK, Lonze BE, Kraus ES, Marr KA, Montgomery RA. Severe chronic norovirus diarrheal disease in transplant recipients: clinical features of an under-recognized syndrome [e-pub ahead of print]. Transpl Infect Dis. doi: 10.1111/tid.12674, accessed 29 March 2020.
- Carbone J, Sarmiento E, Del Pozo N, et al. Restoration of humoral immunity after intravenous immunoglobulin replacement therapy in heart transplant recipients with post-transplant antibody deficiency and severe infections. Clin Transpl 2012;26:E277-83.

COVID-19 and transplant research from China: An ethical dilemma



Wendy A. Rogers, BM.BS, BA Hons, PhD, MRCGP, FRACGP,^{a,b} and Jacob Lavee, MD^{c,d}

From the ^aDepartment of Philosophy, Macquarie University, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; ^bDepartment of Clinical Medicine, Macquarie University, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; ^cHeart Transplantation Unit, Sheba Medical Center, Ramat Gan, Israel; and the ^dTel Aviv University Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv, Israel.

The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation (JHLT) has maintained a policy of not publishing papers that do not comply with the 2007 ethics statement of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT), which states: "Obtaining organs for transplantation from the bodies of executed prisoners contravenes the principle of voluntary donation."¹ Authors are required to indicate that their research complies with the ISHLT policy. The clause pertaining to executed prisoners is particularly significant for Chinese authors, as China is the only country where widespread, state-sanctioned procurement of organs from prisoners has occurred and may still be occurring.^{2,3}

The JHLT recently published a paper related to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic that does not conform to the guidance,⁴ describing the outcomes of 2 heart transplant recipients in China who were infected with COVID-19. One patient received their allograft in 2003, a time when the vast majority of organs came from executed prisoners.³ The second patient received their transplant in 2017. It is claimed that since January 2015, all organs in China have been procured from volunteers. Recent investigations, however, have found that data about volunteer donor programs may be unreliable,³ and there is no conclusive evidence that forced organ removal from prisoners has ceased.²

Owing to the lack of compliance with the ISHLT ethical guidance, should this paper have been published? The argument in favor of the publication of research of questionable ethics rests on the potential benefit of the research in terms of scientific value and the potential to save lives or decrease suffering in other patients. According to this argument, the greater the value of the research, the greater the imperative to publish, notwithstanding ethical breaches. This argument supports publication of this paper as it provides the first, and therefore unique, information about COVID-19–infected heart transplant recipients. Against this, we must consider

the potential damage done by publishing unethical research, as publication may serve to minimize ethical breaches involved. Taking organs from non-consenting prisoners who may have been killed and their organs recovered is a terrible wrong that goes to the core of transplant ethics, violating the dead donor rule and the imperative for voluntary consent for organ donation. Publishing the paper may implicitly sanction the underlying practice. In addition, such publication makes those who use the results of that research morally complicit by becoming entangled in the underlying ethical breaches.⁵ We assume that the JHLT valued the information in this paper to the extent that they felt it outweighed the harms of publication. Recognizing the tension, the Editor agreed to include a note about the ethical breach, as follows:

Editor's Note: The article published from China may include patients transplanted at a time when concerns existed with unethical procurement of organ donors, and therefore may represent a violation of the publication policy. However, the editors have chosen to override this aspect due to the critical importance of the information provided in such a paper for the benefit and help of our patients while recognizing the dignity of those from whom the unethical organs were most probably obtained.

The note appears on the journal webpage dedicated to COVID-19 and cardiothoracic transplant⁶ and also in the published version of these papers.

In our view, the standard for publishing research that involves organs taken from executed prisoners should be exceptionally high. We can expect to see multiple papers from China reporting on various transplant-related aspects of COVID-19,7 requiring Editors to be alert to potential ethical breaches. However, this scrutiny is not evident. For example, Transplantation published a Global Transplantation COVID Report that fails to note that data from China almost certainly includes that derived from unethical transplantations.⁸ Opening up prestigious publication platforms such as this journal to papers that are in breach of the ISHLT ethical statement sends a strong message that the journal's ethical standards are open to exceptions (albeit during distinctly unique times). We must beware of turning an ethical blind eye to obtain apparently unique knowledge and seek ways of sharing knowledge that does not confer the prestige of publication on authors involved in ethical breaches.

References

- International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. ISHLT Statement on Transplant Ethics. Available at: https://ishlt.org/publicationsresources/professional-resources/standards-guidelines/health-policyguidance-documents.
- China Tribunal. Judgment. Available at: https://chinatribunal.com/ final-judgment-report/.
- Robertson MP, Hinde RL, Lavee J. Analysis of official deceased organ donation data casts doubt on the credibility of China's organ transplant reform. BMC Med Eth 2019;20:79. Available at: https://bmcmedethics. biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12910-019-0406-6.

- Li F, Cai J, Dong N. First cases of COVID-19 in heart transplantation from China [e-pub ahead of print]. J Heart Lung Transplant 2020. Available at: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.healun.2020.03.006. accessed 15 April 2020.
- 5. Higgins W, Rogers W, Ballantyne A, Lipworth W. Against the use and publication of research using unethically procured data: the case of Chinese transplant research. J Med Eth 2020, (in press).
- The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation. COVID-19 and cardiothoracic transplantation. Available at: https://www.jhltonline.org/content/covid-19.
- Ren Z, Hu R, Wang Z, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of heart transplant recipients during the 2019 coronavirus outbreak in Wuhan, China: A descriptive survey report [e-pub ahead of print]. J Heart Lung Transplant 2020. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. healun.2020.03.008.
- Ahn C, Amer H, Anglicheau D, et al. Global transplantation COVID report March 2020 [e-pub ahead of print]. Transplantation 2020. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1097/TP.00000000003258.