DOI: 10.1111/1759-7714.14093

CASE REPORT

WILEY

A case of lung adenocarcinoma with a novel *CD74-ROS1* fusion variant identified by comprehensive genomic profiling that responded to crizotinib and entrectinib

Mizuha Haraguchi Has	higuchi ¹ 💿 Takashi	Sato ^{1,2,3} Rinako	Watanabe ¹
Junko Kagyo ¹ Ton	10hiko Matsuzaki ⁴	Hideharu Domoto ⁵	Terufumi Kato ⁶
Yoshiro Nakahara ^{2,6}	Tomoyuki Yokose ⁷	Yukihiko Hiroshim	a ⁸ Tetsuya Shiomi ¹

¹Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Department of Medicine, Keiyu Hospital, Yokohama, Japan

²Department of Respiratory Medicine, Kitasato University School of Medicine, Sagamihara, Japan

³Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Department of Medicine, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

⁴Department of Surgery, Keiyu Hospital, Yokohama, Japan

⁵Department of Pathology, Keiyu Hospital, Yokohama, Japan

⁶Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Kanagawa Cancer Center, Yokohama, Japan

⁷Division of Pathology, Kanagawa Cancer Center, Yokohama, Japan

⁸Center for Cancer Genome Medicine, Kanagawa Cancer Center, Yokohama, Japan

Correspondence

Takashi Sato, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Kitasato University School of Medicine, 1-15-1 Kitasato, Minami-ku, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 252-0374, Japan. Email: tsato@med.kitasato-u.ac.jp

[Correction added on 4 August 2021, after first online publication: the first author's surname has been corrected from 'Hashguchi' to 'Hashiguchi'.]

Abstract

ROS1 rearrangements are found in 1–2% of patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. The detection of the rearrangements is crucial since clinically effective molecular targeted drugs are available for them. We present a case of lung adenocarcinoma with a previously unknown *ROS1-CD74* fusion variant, *CD74* exon 3 fused to *ROS1* exon 34, which was not detected by a conventional RT-PCR-based test for *ROS1* fusion gene detection but identified by hybrid capture-based next-generation sequencing. This tumor responded to crizotinib initially and to entrectinib after relapse with brain metastasis, indicating the oncogenic activity of this novel fusion variant.

KEYWORDS

CD74-ROS1 fusion variant, crizotinib, entrectinib, lung adenocarcinoma, next-generation sequencing

INTRODUCTION

ROS1 rearrangements are found in 1–2% of patients with non-small-cell lung cancer¹ and so far 24 partner genes for the rearrangements have been identified.^{2,3} We need to identify these rearrangements since patients with the rearrangements gain significant benefits from molecular targeted drugs such as crizotinib,⁴ ceritinib,⁵ lorlatinib,⁶ and entrectinib.⁷

Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) using specific primers has been used for the detection of *ROS1* rearrangements. The commercial OncoGuide[®]AmoyDx[®] (Amoy Diagnostics, Xiamen, China) can detect already-known 15 *ROS1* fusion variants by RT-PCR. On the other hand, next-generation sequencing (NGS) has become a useful tool in clinical settings for comprehensive analysis of cancers to identify druggable targets. Relatively rare *ROS1* fusions have been identified by NGS.^{8,9} Here, we present a case of lung adenocarcinoma with a novel breakpoint of *CD74-ROS1* fusion gene which was not detected by the PCR-based OncoGuide[®]AmoyDx[®] but was identified by the NGS-based FoundationOne[®] CDx (Foundation Medicine, Cambridge, MA, USA).

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2021 The Authors. *Thoracic Cancer* published by China Lung Oncology Group and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

2505



FIGURE 1 Clinical course after diagnosis. Chest computed tomography scans and brain magnetic resonance imaging scans (a) at the time of diagnosis, (b) 4 years after the diagnosis when 43 cycles of maintenance chemotherapy with pemetrexed and bevacizumab were completed, (c) at the time of recurrence 9 months after salvage surgery for the primary tumor, (d) before administration of crizotinib, (e) after 1 month of crizotinib treatment, (f) after 2 months of crizotinib treatment, and (g) after 1 month of entrectinib treatment. (h) Timeline of treatments and serum carbohydrate antigen 19–9 (CA19-9) levels. CBDCA, carboplatin; PEM, pemetrexed; BEV, bevacizumab; DOC, docetaxel; RAM, ramucirumab

CASE REPORT

A 38-year-old female never-smoker presented with enlargement of cardiac silhouette on a chest x-ray, and a chest computed tomography (CT) scan showed a small lung nodule in the left upper lobe inferior lingular segment, bilateral enlargement of mediastinal lymph nodes, and significant pericardial effusion (Figure 1(a)). A transbronchial tumor biopsy and additional examinations revealed clinical stage IVA (T1aN3M1a) lung adenocarcinoma. *EGFR* mutations and *ALK* translocation were not detected from the biopsy specimens. After partial response by five cycles of first-line chemotherapy with cisplatin, pemetrexed, and bevacizumab, maintenance chemotherapy with pemetrexed and bevacizumab was started. Complete response was obtained after two cycles of the maintenance therapy.

Four years after the first diagnosis, when 43 cycles of the maintenance chemotherapy were completed, a chest CT scan showed a small shadow at the primary lesion (Figure 1 (b)). Salvage partial lobectomy was performed and viable cancer cells were confirmed in the resected specimens. *ROS1* fusion transcripts were not detected from the specimens by using the OncoGuide[®]AmoyDx[®] kit. They were also negative for *BRAF* mutations.

Nine months after the operation, her lung cancer relapsed with bilateral mediastinal and hilar lymphadenopathy, multiple bone metastases, and multiple brain metastases (Figure 1(c)). Several symptomatic brain metastases were treated with stereotactic irradiation and second-line chemotherapy with docetaxel and ramucirumab was started. Meanwhile, we undertook NGS analysis of the surgical specimens with FoundationOne®CDx and this revealed a novel CD74-ROS1 rearrangement. This novel fusion variant C3R34 was generated by the fusion of exon 3 of CD74 on chromosome 5q to exon 34 of ROS1 on chromosome 6q (Figure 2(a)). When we examined ROS1 protein expression by immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining with anti-ROS1 (D4D6[®]) rabbit monoclonal antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), cancer cells in the surgical specimens were strongly stained with this antibody (Figure 2(b),(c)). Based on these results, she was treated with oral crizotinib since at this point new asymptomatic brain metastases had appeared despite shrinkage of the enlarged mediastinal and hilar lymph nodes (Figure 1(d)). After 1 month, a chest CT scan showed further shrinkage of the mediastinal and hilar lymph nodes, and a head magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed disappearance of untreated brain metastases (Figure 1(e)). However, 2 months after administration of crizotinib, another asymptomatic small brain metastasis had appeared while lymph node metastases and bone metastases remained stable (Figure 1(f)). Next, we switched crizotinib to entrectinib. After 1 month, a head MRI showed disappearance of the new brain metastasis again (Figure 1(g)). Carbohydrate antigen 19-9 shown in Figure 1(h) was considered to reflect her disease activity.



HASHIGUCHI ET AL.

DISCUSSION

In this case, we identified a novel CD-74-ROS1 fusion variant C3R34 in a patient with lung adenocarcinoma. We were able to detect this fusion variant by NGS after a negative result of testing for ROS1 rearrangements with a PCR-based method. While known breakpoints of CD74-ROS1 fusion gene are exon 6 of CD74 and exon 34 of ROS1 (C6R34), exon 6 of CD74 and exon 32 of ROS1 (C6R32), exon 7 of CD74 and exon 32 of ROS1 (C7R32), to the best of our knowledge C3R34 has not been previously reported.

ROS1 encodes a receptor tyrosine kinase that is a single-pass transmembrane protein with an intracellular C-terminal tyrosine kinase domain and a large extracellular N-terminal domain.¹⁰ In detail, exons 1–34 encode an extracellular domain, exons 34 and 35 encode a transmembrane domain, and exons 35-42 encode an intracellular tyrosine kinase domain. It has been reported that expression of ROS1 fusions leads to oncogenic transformation both in vitro and in vivo,^{11,12} and constitutive kinase activation is thought to be a main part of their oncogenic activities.¹³ However, neither the normal function of native ROS1 with its unidentified ligand nor mechanisms of dysregulated kinase activity by rearranged ROS1 is still unclear. The CD74 gene encodes the type II integral membrane glycoprotein CD74, which is a single-pass transmembrane protein with an intracellular N-terminal domain and works as a surface-binding receptor for migration inhibitory factor (MIF), proinflammatory cytokine.¹⁴ Among nine exons of the CD74 gene, exons 1 and 2 encode intracellular and transmembrane domains while exons 3-8 encode an extracellular domain.¹⁵ Therefore, this C3R34 fusion protein is supposed to contain the tyrosine kinase and transmembrane domains of ROS1, and the intracellular and transmembrane domains of CD74. Based on the predicted structure of the fusion protein, we speculated that it had potential oncogenic activity for which targeted therapies might be effective. In fact, we were able to confirm that crizotinib and entrectinib were both effective against this tumor, although crizotinib was less effective on brain metastasis. Since the site of tumor progression after starting crizotinib was only the brain, we speculated that early resistance to crizotinib in this case was probably due to its poor penetration through the blood-brain barrier.¹⁶⁻¹⁹

Importantly, we detected this fusion variant by applying hybrid capture-based NGS. While PCR-based approaches for clinical use such as OncoGuide®AmoyDx® and amplicon sequencing-based NGS systems such as Oncomine[®] Dx Target Test can detect only known fusion variants, FoundationOne®CDx can detect unknown variants of the CD74-ROS1 fusion gene when the breakpoints are either within introns 6 to 8 of CD74 or within introns 31 to 35 of ROS1, which enabled us to identify the new CD74-ROS1 fusion variant C3R34 in this case. Clinicians should be aware of the differences in testing systems for the detection of gene rearrangements.

Despite the identification of this novel fusion variant, whether this is actionable by ROS1-targeted therapy remains to be seen. In this regard, we observed overexpression of ROS1 protein by IHC staining of the tumor tissue. IHC

analyses using the anti-ROS1 rabbit monoclonal antibody (D4D6[®]) have shown promise for accurate identification of ROS1-rearranged cancers.²⁰ Taken together with the prediction of the fusion gene structure described above, we considered that this case was treatable by the ROS1-targeted drugs. Indeed, we could observe the efficacy of crizotinib and entrectinib in this case.

In summary, we present a case of lung adenocarcinoma with a novel *CD74-ROS1* fusion variant C3R34 which was not detected by conventional PCR-based testing but identified by hybrid capture-based NGS, confirming an important role for the diagnostic application of NGS in precision medicine.

CONFLICT OT INTEREST

Dr. Hashiguchi reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, outside the submitted work. Dr. Sato reports personal fees from Chugai Pharmaceutical, personal fees from Bristol Myers Squibb, and personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work. Dr. Kato reports grants and personal fees from Abbvie, grants and personal fees from Amgen, grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca, grants and personal fees from Bristol Myers Squibb, grants and personal fees from Chugai, grants and personal fees from Eli Lilly, grants and personal fees from Merck Biopharma, grants and personal fees from MSD, grants and personal fees from Novartis, grants and personal fees from Ono, grants and personal fees from Pfizer, grants and personal fees from Taiho, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Daiichi-Sankyo, personal fees from Nippon Kayaku, personal fees from Takeda, and grants from Regeneron, outside the submitted work. Dr. Nakahara reports personal fees from MSD, personal fees from Ono Pharmaceutical, personal fees from Chugai Pharmaceutical, grants and personal fees from Eli Lilly, grants and personal fees from Bristol-Myers Squibb, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, and grants from Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited, outside the submitted work. Dr. Shiomi reports personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Bristol Myers Squibb, personal fees from Chugai Pharmaceutical, personal fees from Pfizer, and personal fees from Taiho, outside the submitted work. The remaining authors declare no conflict of interest.

ORCID

Mizuha Haraguchi Hashiguchi ២ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4698-1655

Takashi Sato D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2338-1665

REFERENCES

- Bergethon K, Shaw AT, Ou SH, et al. ROS1 rearrangements define a unique molecular class of lung cancers. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30:863–70.
- Ou SI, Nagasaka M. A catalog of 5' fusion partners in ROS1-positive NSCLC circa 2020. JTO Clin Res Rep. 2020;1:1–5.
- Kohno T, Nakaoku T, Tsuta K, Tsuchihara K, Matsumoto S, Yoh K, et al. Beyond ALK-RET, ROS1 and other oncogene fusions in lung cancer. Transl Lung Cancer Res. 2015;4:156–64.

- Shaw AT, Ou SH, Bang YJ, et al. Crizotinib in ROS1-rearranged nonsmall-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med. 2014;371:1963–71.
- Lim SM, Kim HR, Lee JS, Lee KH, Lee YG, Min YJ, et al. Open-label, multicenter, phase II study of ceritinib in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer harboring ROS1 rearrangement. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35: 2613–8.
- Shaw AT, Felip E, Bauer TM, Besse B, Navarro A, Postel-Vinay S, et al. Lorlatinib in non-small-cell lung cancer with ALK or ROS1 rearrangement: an international, multicentre, open-label, single-arm first-in-man phase 1 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2017;18:1590–9.
- Drilon A, Siena S, Ou SI, et al. Safety and antitumor activity of the multitargeted pan-TRK, ROS1, and ALK inhibitor entrectinib: combined results from two phase I trials (ALKA-372-001 and STARTRK-1). Cancer Discov. 2017;7:400–9.
- Zhu YC, Zhou YF, Wang WX, Xu CW, Zhuang W, du KQ, et al. CEP72-ROS1: a novel ROS1 oncogenic fusion variant in lung adenocarcinoma identified by next-generation sequencing. Thorac Cancer. 2018;9:652–5.
- Xu S, Wang W, Xu C, Li X, Ye J, Zhu Y, et al. ROS1-ADGRG6: a case report of a novel ROS1 oncogenic fusion variant in lung adenocarcinoma and the response to crizotinib. BMC Cancer. 2019;19:769.
- Robinson DR, Wu YM, Lin SF. The protein tyrosine kinase family of the human genome. Oncogene. 2000;19:5548–57.
- 11. Gu TL, Deng X, Huang F, Tucker M, et al. Survey of tyrosine kinase signaling reveals ROS kinase fusions in human cholangiocarcinoma. PLoS One. 2011;6:e15640.
- Takeuchi K, Soda M, Togashi Y, Suzuki R, Sakata S, Hatano S, et al. RET, ROS1 and ALK fusions in lung cancer. Nat Med. 2012;18: 378–81.
- El-Deeb IM, Yoo KH, Lee SH. ROS receptor tyrosine kinase: a new potential target for anticancer drugs. Med Res Rev. 2011;31: 794–818.
- Leng L, Metz CN, Fang Y, Xu J, Donnelly S, Baugh J, et al. MIF signal transduction initiated by binding to CD74. J Exp Med. 2003;197: 1467–76.
- 15. Liu YH, Lin JY. Recent advances of cluster of differentiation 74 in cancer. World J Immunol. 2014;4:174–84.
- Fischer H, Ullah M, de la Cruz CC, Hunsaker T, Senn C, Wirz T, et al. Entrectinib, a TRK/ROS1 inhibitor with anti-CNS tumor activity: differentiation from other inhibitors in its class due to weak interaction with P-glycoprotein. Neuro Oncol. 2020;22:819–29.
- Costa DB, Kobayashi S, Pandya SS, Yeo WL, Shen Z, Tan W, et al. CSF concentration of the anaplastic lymphoma kinase inhibitor crizotinib. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29:e443–5.
- Alexander D, Salvatore S, Rafal D, et al. Entrectinib in ROS1 fusionpositive non-small-cell lung cancer: integrated analysis of three phase 1-2 trials. Lancet Oncol. 2020;21:261–70.
- Dziadziuszko R, Krebs MG, De Braud F, et al. Updated integrated analysis of the efficacy and safety of Entrectinib in locally advanced or metastatic ROS1 fusion-positive non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2021;39:1253–63.
- Yoshida A, Tsuta K, Wakai S, Arai Y, Asamura H, Shibata T, et al. Immunohistochemical detection of ROS1 is useful for identifying ROS1 rearrangements in lung cancers. Mod Pathol. 2014;27:711–20.

How to cite this article: Hashiguchi MH, Sato T, Watanabe R, Kagyo J, Matsuzaki T, Domoto H, et al. A case of lung adenocarcinoma with a novel *CD74-ROS1* fusion variant identified by comprehensive genomic profiling that responded to crizotinib and entrectinib. Thorac Cancer. 2021;12:2504–7. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1111/1759-7714.14093

WILEY.