


ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Genetic parameters of growth traits and carcass weight of New Zealand white rabbits in a tropical dry forest area

Donicer Eduardo Montes-Vergara¹ , Darwin Yovanny Hernandez-Herrera¹ , Naudin Alejandro Hurtado-Lugo² 

¹Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, University of Sucre, Sincelejo, Colombia

²University Francisco de Paula Santander Ocaña, Ocaña, Norte de Santander, Colombia

ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of this study was to estimate the heritability (h^2), repeatability (r), and correlations (r_{xy}) in some traits of zootechnical interest in a population of New Zealand white rabbits of a tropical dry forest area.

Materials and Methods: Three mating groups were formed, each one of 1 male and 70 females. The traits evaluated were litter size at birth (LB), born alive (BA), born dead (BD), litter weight born alive (LW), litter weight at weaning (LWW), weaning weight (WW), slaughter weight (SW), and carcass weight (CW). Weaning took place at 42 days, and the fattening phase lasted 60 ± 3 days. A descriptive statistical study was carried out on the study variables. Paternal heritability was estimated (h_f^2) and maternal (h_m^2), repeatability, rabbit index IC, and Pearson's correlations (r_{xy}) between traits. The descriptive statistics showed high variation for the BD traits.

Results: The values of the productivity found were similar to those presented in studies around the world. h^2 presented magnitudes between low and medium. h_f^2 ranged between 0.09 and 0.42 and between 0.11 and 0.45 for h_m^2 . In general, the values of h_m^2 were higher than the values of h_f^2 . The r values for the traits LB, BA, LW, LWW, and SW presented low magnitude, while it was medium for WW and CW. From the values of r , IC was calculated for each of the rabbits, allowing their categorization, which will be used in future selection plans. r_{xy} among the variables ranged from -0.01 to 0.860 . They were generally positive and mostly not significant ($p > 0.05$); they took a magnitude from low to moderate, except for the correlation between LB and BA.

Conclusion: The production of rabbits under tropical conditions is similar to other reports. The genetic parameters evaluated were medium-to-low, indicating a robust non-additive gene and/or environmental effect.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received July 29, 2021

Revised August 24, 2021

Accepted August 26, 2021

Published September 20, 2021

KEYWORDS

Productive traits; tropical climate; genetic correlation; heritability; repeatability



© The authors. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)

Introduction

Rabbit production is an economical alternative in rural and urban areas of the tropics, so its productivity is limited by the climatic conditions themselves [1]. In Colombia, rabbit production systems present little information on the genetic material available for production, which has caused producers to subjectively select replacement animals [2]. In animal genetic improvement programs, quantitative tools are applied that facilitate the selection of the best animals based on their breeding values to increase their productive and reproductive efficiency genetically [3]. In rabbits worldwide, in several productive variables,

parent and offspring measurements, genetic and environmental parameters have been determined that influence the system's productivity [4–6].

Estimation of heritability (h^2), repeatability (r), and correlations (r_{xy}) among the traits of economic importance at the zootechnical level is that these define the appropriate selection method; they also constitute determining factors in the selection response. For this reason, their estimation must be as precise as possible [7]. Thus, it is necessary to carry out genetic evaluation work of the reproducers, which requires characterized populations and large genetic variability. On this aspect, the success of

Correspondence Darwin Yovanny Hernandez-Herrera ✉ darwin.hernandez@unisucra.edu.co 📧 Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, University of Sucre, Sincelejo, Colombia.

How to cite: Montes-Vergara DE, Hernandez-Herrera DY, Hurtado-Lugo NA. Genetic parameters of growth traits and carcass weight of New Zealand white rabbits in a tropical dry forest area. *J Adv Vet Anim Res* 2021; 8(3):471–478.

the selection and improvement programs depends greatly [8].

There is very little research on rabbit farming in Colombia, so there is a need to carry out research projects that allow producers to implement more specialized production systems [2]. This will enable the establishment of future genetic selection programs to increase productivity [4,9]. In this sense, the objective of this work was to estimate the heritability, repeatability, and correlations in some traits of zootechnical interest in a population of New Zealand white (NZW) rabbits in a tropical dry forest area.

Materials and Methods

Study location

The present study was carried out in the experimental farm “Los Pericos” of the Universidad de Sucre, located in the municipality of Sampués, Colombia (9°15' North and 71°22'54" West), at an altitude of 202 masl, an area belonging to the tropical dry forest [10] or alternative-hydrogen tropical zonobiome [11]. The average temperature is 27°C, with a maximum of 32°C and a minimum of 21°C. The average precipitation, relative humidity, and wind speed values are 1,200 mm, 75%, and 10.2 km/h, respectively.

Experimental animals and procedures

The current study was approved by the Committee of Animal Care and Welfare, Sucre University, Colombia (approval number 13-2019). The animals were raised on a closed farm with natural ventilation. The males were housed in a single cylindrical cage 70 cm in diameter and 1 m high. The females were housed individually in galvanized metal cages with dimensions 50 × 90 × 35 cm, duly equipped with nests, feeders, and automatic drinkers, obeying a flatdeck system. The feeding of all rabbits was based on a commercial diet with 17% crude protein, 2.5% fat, 12% ash, 13% moisture, 15% fiber, and 3,200 kcal/kg of metabolizable energy with water *ad libitum*.

The population of rabbits used consisted of animals of the NZW breed, obtained under a planned reproductive scheme during 2019 and 2020. Three males and 210 females were used. Three mating groups were formed containing 70 females, and 1 male was presented to the corresponding male in a nested design. The breeding stallion was assumed as the father of the entire litter. Pregnancy was determined by palpation 10 days after mating. Non-pregnant females were covered again 12 h later, with the same male. The kits were identified, following racial patterns and groups. Weaning was carried out at 42 days, then grouped by sex and taken to cages for the fattening phase of 60 ± 3 days. The animals fasted for 12 h before sacrifice. After fasting, the rabbits were weighed (SW) on a precision

analytical balance (± 1 gm). The animals were stunned by electronarcosis and slaughtered by slitting the throat; the skin, head, legs were removed, and viscera [2]. The resulting carcasses were weighed.

Analysis of data

The productive parameters evaluated were litter size at birth (LB), born alive (BA), born dead (BD), litter weight born alive (LW), litter weight at weaning (LWW), weaning weight (WW), slaughter weight (SW), and carcass weight (CW). A descriptive analysis was carried out, in which the means, standard error, and coefficients of variation (CV) were estimated for the variables LB, BA, BD, LW, LWW, WW, SW, and CW. In addition, the assumptions of normality, independence, and homoscedasticity were validated using different procedures of the statistical program SAS (2021), where no significant deviations were found from the assumptions ($p > 0.05$).

Analysis of variance was carried out for all variables, using the ProcGLM procedure of SAS (2021), to measure the significance of the fixed effects considered on the respective parameters studied, using the linear additive model as follows:

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + A_i + B_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}$$

where Y_{ijk} is the observed value of the variables studied (LB, BA, BD, LW, LWW, WW, SW, and CW), μ is the effect of the general mean of the traits studied, A_i corresponds to the random effect of the i -th parent (1:3), B_{ij} is the random effect of the j -th mother paired with the i -th father (1:21), and ε_{ijk} is the random error.

Using the SAS MIXED procedure, heritability (h^2), paternal and maternal, was estimated from the variance component of the father and mother, using the following formulas [7]:

$$h_f^2 = \frac{4\sigma_f^2}{\sigma_f^2 + \sigma_m^2 + \sigma_w^2}; \quad h_m^2 = \frac{4\sigma_m^2}{\sigma_f^2 + \sigma_m^2 + \sigma_w^2}$$

where h_f^2 and h_m^2 are the paternal and maternal heritabilities, respectively; σ_f^2 is the variance component of the parent; σ_m^2 is the variance component of the mother; and σ_w^2 is the variance component within the progeny. The standard error of h^2 was calculated with the following formula:

$$SE(h^2) = 4 \sqrt{\frac{2(N-1)(1-t)^2 [1 + (k-1)t]^2}{k^2(N-S)(S-1)}}$$

where t is $(h_f^2)^{1/4}$ or $(h_m^2)^{1/4}$, depending on the case; k is the mean number of progenies per breeder; and N and S are the total number of records and the total number of

reproducers (father or mother depending on the case), respectively.

Repeatability and its standard error were estimated from the variance components between and within rabbits, obtained by the SAS MIXED procedure, and thus:

$$r = \frac{\sigma_m^2}{\sigma_m^2 + \sigma_e^2}; \quad SE(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2(1-r)^2 [1+(k-1)r]^2}{k(k-1)(N-1)}}$$

where r is the repeatability, $SE(r)$ is the standard error of repeatability, σ_m^2 is the variance component of the mother, σ_e^2 is the variance component within mothers (error), and N and S are the numbers of rabbits and measurements per individual, respectively [7]. The repeatability values were used to estimate the index of rabbit female (IC) of each of the traits studied, in order to maintain the mothers with the highest genotypic value, due to their productive capacity in future births by applying the following equation [7]:

$$IC = \bar{X}_p + \frac{nr}{1+(n-1)r}(\bar{X}_i - \bar{X}_p)$$

where IC is the doe index, \bar{X}_p is the mean of the group studied for a particular trait, \bar{X}_i is the mean of the rabbit for a particular trait, n is the number of records for each rabbit, and r is the repeatability value. From the ICs for each variable, the rabbits were classified from highest to lowest to establish which animals could be destined to produce the future breeders of the farm.

Finally, the correlation coefficient between the different traits studied was estimated through Pearson's correlation, using the following formula [12]:

$$r_{\hat{y}_i y_i} = \frac{\text{covarianza}(\hat{y}_i, y_i)}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\hat{y}_i}^2 \sigma_{y_i}^2}}$$

where $r_{\hat{y}_i y_i}$ is the correlation coefficient and \hat{y}_i y y_i are the predicted and observed phenotypic values, respectively.

Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics for the traits studied. The traits, in general terms, presented CV lower than 20%, similar to that presented by Okoro et al. [13] and El-Atrouny et al. [9]. However, in variable BD, the CV value exceeded 70%. These indices allow identifying the traits of most significant interest to be used in genetic improvement programs in rabbits since they quantify the variability between individuals. However, it is necessary to determine what proportion of this variation is due to genetic effects.

The mean LB value obtained here was higher than that presented by Gambo et al. [4] and Adeolu et al. [8], but lower than the reports by Badawy et al. [14] and Pycha et al.

[15]. The differences found between the different reports for BL may be due to the disparity in the conception rate and the maternal effect, which is generally determined by the number of eggs matured, fertilized, and implanted by the doe [8]. In this sense, it has been determined that the size of the litter has a significant effect on the future performance of the litter [16], so the lower the number of kits per mother, the higher the birth weight, body weight gain, and growth rate [4].

The result of BA and DB were similar to those reported in the literature for the same breed by Pycha et al. [15], although Pollesel et al. [16] have reported values higher than those found in this study. It has been reported that animals with higher birth weights have higher body weight at the first service and during their entire productive cycle [17]. In addition, it correlated low birth weights with lower survival, although many other environmental factors exacerbate this effect. Agea et al. [18] reported higher weights for the LW variable, and Fadare and Fatoba [19] presented lower weights than those found in this work.

From the results presented in Table 1, it is inferred that the average weight of the kits at birth was 49.75 gm. Agea et al. [18] point out that kits weighing less than 50 gm require temperature control in the nest and greater consumption of colostrum, in favor of adequate nutrition, which is summarized in greater maternal ability. Thus, the time of calving, milk intake, and the lactation parity status of the female affect the probability of survival and other factors.

The LWW is determined by the number of kits born and the mother's milk capacity since she maintains the homogeneous weight in the litter; reduces competition in the udder, and increases its viability [9]. The LWW in this study was similar to that of Agea et al. [18] and lower than the finding of El-Dehadi [3]. Then, the weight of the kits at weaning (WW) depends on the size of the litter, the weight being lower when the litter size is high [20]; from Table 1, it is inferred that the average size of the litter at weaning was 5.68 kits, with a WW of 423.7 ± 14.5 gm. This

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of litter traits in a population of NZW rabbits in a tropical dry forest area.

Litter traits	No. of data	Mean (± SE)	CV%
LB (n)	210	6.9 ± 0.94	18.4
BA (n)	1,330	6.33 ± 0.79	12.5
BD (n)	140	0.58 ± 0.21	72.0
LW (gm)	210	314.7 ± 13.2	15.1
LWW (gm)	210	2,407.9 ± 22.7	13.9
WW (gm)	1,280	423.7 ± 14.5	11.3
SW (gm)	1,280	2,347.3 ± 32.3	10.8
CW (gm)	1,280	1,281.6 ± 19.6	13.1

SE: standard error. CV: coefficient of variation.

weight was similar to that presented by Agea et al. [18] and Khan et al. [5], but lower than those found by Amao [21] and Fang et al. [22]. The differences observed in the literature can be attributed to the mother's milk yield, maternity capacity, and the management during rearing in each farm.

The SW was similar to previous reports [2,23], higher than those presented by Sánchez et al. [24], but lower than those presented by Matics et al. [25]. The variation between the stated averages is due to differences in breeds, temperature, season, slaughter age, and food quality. It has also been shown that ambient temperature over thermoneutrality values reduces feed consumption and, consequently, decreases growth speed [25]. The CW for the studied rabbits was found within the range of 1,250–1,480 gm/animal, stated by several authors [2,23]. However, authors such as Matics et al. [25], Rasskazova et al. [26], and Ayyat et al. [27] found higher CW in a range oscillating between 1,700 and 1,890 gm/animal. Rotımı et al. [28] suggested that the differences in weights before slaughter and in the hot carcass could be attributed to age, sex, breed, feeding conditions, and management to which rabbits are subjected on the farm to the slaughter method. The above is a possible explanation for the differences found in the literature reports.

Table 2 shows the values of h^2 and r for the LB, BA, BD, LW, LWW, WW, SW, and CW traits. The calculated values of h^2 had a magnitude between low to medium, oscillating between 0.09 and 0.42 when it was estimated from the paternal component (h_p^2) and between 0.11 and 0.45 when estimated from the maternal component (h_m^2). In general, the values of h_m^2 were higher than the values of h_p^2 . In this sense, Sorhue et al. [29] observed similar behavior and suggest the improvement of these, using progeny tests or the analysis of the siblings, since h^2 depends on the variance of the population. Estimates dropped from h^2 allow inferring that the variation due to environmental factors or the non-additive effects of genes (dominance, over-dominance, and epistasis) is probably higher than their additive effects. On the contrary, estimates of h^2 of high magnitudes reveal a strong additive genetic effect [6].

The estimate of h^2 obtained for LB in the present work is similar to those reported in the literature [30]. However, Adeolu et al. [8] found higher values, and El-Atrouny et al. [9] and Kosba et al. [31] reported lower values. h^2 of BA was considered to be of low magnitude. Heritability estimates for this range vary considerably in the literature, reporting from low to moderate values [6,32,33]. About the BD traits, h^2 estimated was higher than those reported previously [6,14], using different estimation models. The values of h^2 estimated for LW and LWW were considered means, with a greater magnitude than that reported by Peiró et al. [34] and Gharib et al. [35] and with a lower value than that presented by Fayeye and Ayorinde [36]. h^2 values estimated

Table 2. Estimates of heritability, repeatability, and standard errors for litter traits of NZW rabbits in a tropical dry forest area.

Litter traits	$h_p^2 \pm SE$	$h_m^2 \pm SE$	$r \pm SE$
LB (n)	0.09 ± 0.15	0.13 ± 0.16	0.12 ± 0.09
BA (n)	0.11 ± 0.23	0.12 ± 0.11	0.15 ± 0.10
BD (n)	0.09 ± 0.22	0.25 ± 0.12	0
LW (gm)	0.35 ± 0.22	0.42 ± 0.31	0.23 ± 0.32
LWW (gm)	0.42 ± 0.18	0.45 ± 0.32	0.18 ± 0.09
WW (gm)	0.26 ± 0.12	0.29 ± 0.21	0.38 ± 0.14
SW (gm)	0.10 ± 0.16	0.16 ± 0.17	0.21 ± 0.11
CW (gm)	0.09 ± 0.13	0.11 ± 0.15	0.42 ± 0.12

h_p^2 : paternal heritability; h_m^2 : maternal heritability; r : repeatability; SE: standard error.

for WW and SW were similar to previous reports [9, 37]. On the other hand, estimates reported by Peiró et al. [34], Badawy et al. [14], and Ezzeroug et al. [32] had lower magnitudes. On the contrary, Moustafa et al. [38] found higher values of 0.47 and 0.33 for WW and SW, respectively. The found value of h^2 for CW is considered low, and a similar value was reported previously [39]. Superior reports have been mentioned by Hervé et al. [40].

The great variation found in the values of h^2 , which are presented in the literature and what is presented here, justifies the effect of the non-additive gene action and the environment on the evaluated traits. In this regard, Garcia et al. [33] also indicate that the size of the evaluated population, the different managements given in each farm, the productive capacity of each mother, the bodyweight of the adults, the growth rate, the maturity at the time of slaughter, the ages at weaning and slaughter, and the prediction models used for the calculation are factors that explain these differences.

A measure of the similarity of the performance of individuals in successive litters throughout the yield cycle is repeatability. It depends on both genotypes and environmental factors [15]. Estimates of r for the evaluated traits ranged between 0.12 and 0.42 (Table 2). r for traits LB, BA, LW, LWS, and SW presented a low magnitude, indicating a strong influence of environmental factors. Similar results for various litter-related traits were presented by Pycha et al. [15], Behiry et al. [30], and Karim et al. [41]. For the WW and CW traits, the values obtained from r tend to be moderate; similar reports were presented previously [3,9].

From r values for traits LB, BA, LW, LWW, WW, SW, and CW, the IC was calculated for each of the rabbits under study (Table 3). This value allowed to classify and identify the best rabbits according to the traits under investigation. The IC score indicates that a female rabbit in the following calving period can repeat its productivity, and the average production of the evaluated variable may be higher than

Table 3. Classification of rabbits according to IC in relation to the variables LB, BA, LW, LWW, WW, SW, and CW.

Order	LB		BA		LW		LWW		WW		SW		CW	
	Doe	IC	Doe	IC	Doe	IC	Doe	IC	Doe	IC	Doe	IC	Doe	IC
1	C6	7.12	1A	6.6	6A	320.98	2A	2,925.5	2B	478.2	C4	2,442.1	2B	1,309.3
2	C5	7.12	4A	6.4	C1	319.37	6A	2,925.2	C5	477.8	C5	2,397.4	C5	1,307.2
3	C2	7.12	5A	6.4	4B	318.68	7A	2,924.6	3A	473.3	C7	2,389.6	7B	1,298.6
4	C1	7.12	3B	6.4	C2	317.07	1A	2,923.9	7A	470.2	C2	2,379.1	7A	1,297.4
5	5A	7.12	4B	6.4	C3	317.07	4B	2,922.5	3B	470.2	6A	2,370.1	4A	1,297.0
6	4B	7.12	C1	6.4	7B	316.38	4A	2,921.4	4A	469.5	C6	2,361.1	1B	1,297.0
7	1A	7.12	C2	6.4	6B	315.92	C7	2,921.4	C6	468.7	2A	2,358.1	6B	1,297.0
8	C7	7.0	C5	6.4	C4	315.92	1B	2,920.1	4B	467.9	4A	2,358.1	C7	1,292.9
9	C4	7.0	C6	6.4	3B	314.77	6B	2,918.3	6B	466.4	1A	2,353.9	3A	1,288.8
10	C3	7.0	2A	6.3	C5	314.77	3B	2,914.7	1B	465.7	3B	2,353.9	6A	1,288.8
11	7B	7.0	3A	6.3	1A	314.54	7B	2,909.3	C2	462.6	C3	2,353.9	5A	1,284.7
12	7A	7.0	7A	6.3	2B	314.08	C3	2,907.5	5A	461.9	C1	2,349.3	4B	1,284.7
13	4A	7.0	2B	6.3	3A	313.62	3A	2,905.7	5B	461.5	7A	2,337.1	1A	1,280.6
14	3B	7.0	5B	6.3	5A	313.62	5A	2,900.3	6A	460.3	5B	2,337.1	5B	1,280.6
15	2B	7.0	7B	6.3	7A	313.62	2B	2,900.3	C4	460.3	3A	2,322.0	C6	1,280.6
16	2A	7.0	C3	6.3	5B	313.62	5B	2,891.3	C7	459.6	5A	2,320.3	C3	1,270.3
17	5B	6.88	C4	6.3	C7	313.62	C6	2,890.4	7B	458.8	7B	2,320.3	C2	1,258.0
18	3A	6.88	C7	6.3	C6	312.47	C2	2,889.9	1A	458.1	2B	2,316.1	C1	1,256.0
19	1B	6.88	6A	6.1	4A	310.17	C4	2,884.1	C3	447.0	6B	2,298.3	2A	1,247.8
20	6B	6.76	1B	6.1	2A	309.48	C1	2,880.4	2A	446.7	4B	2,290.5	3B	1,243.7
21	6A	6.76	6B	6.1	1B	309.02	C5	2,873.2	C1	443.6	1B	2,276.2	C4	1,243.7

IC: rabbit index; LB: litter size at birth; BA: born alive; LW: litter weight born alive; LWW: litter size at weaning; WW: weaning weight; SW: slaughter weight; CW: carcass weight.

that of its population. In this sense, superior rabbits will have a higher IC score than their reference population [9]. The CI estimates are helpful for a programmed selection, which helps predict the correlative response to the selection. This ultimately helps to choose the breeding system to follow for future improvement and increasing genetic gain. This index enables making changes in the population dynamics due to selection, pressure, and slaughter. It can be used as an alternative for the choice of breeders on farms where genetic values are not available [42].

Table 4 shows the estimation of the correlations between the variables under study, taking father and mother as a source. The estimates ranged from -0.01 to 0.860; they were generally positive and mostly not significant ($p > 0.05$); they took a low-to-moderate magnitude, except for LB and BA, which presented high values. The variables LB and BA, LB and BD, and WW and CW were positive, with statistically significant results ($p < 0.05$) for both sources (father and mother). The correlation estimates between LB and BA and LB and BD were within the ranges reported in the literature [9,33,43]. However, it must be considered that in large litters, mean LW decreased and

that LW is related to various patterns associated with maternal ability and productive and reproductive traits [16,17]. The value of the correlation between WW and CW can be considered relevant; similar results were reported by Badawy et al. [14] and Peiró et al. [34], who consider that values greater than 0.30 are considered relevant since it represents less than 10% of the variance of a characteristic explained by the other, as well as the probability of similarity. The relationship between the SW and CW variables was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$), similar to that found previously [2,28,44,45].

Conclusion

The reproductive and productive performance of NZW rabbits reared in tropical conditions did not show marked differences with reports worldwide. The repeatability estimates for all the studied traits maintained a low to moderate magnitude, evidencing the need to increase the number of observations to improve the precision in the estimation. Low magnitude heritability indicates that they can be enhanced by progeny registration or sibling testing.

Table 4. Estimate of phenotypic correlation for litter traits of NZW rabbits in a tropical dry forest area.

Litter traits	LB	BA	BD	LW	LSD	WW	SW	CW
LB	1.00							
BA	0.86*	1.00						
	0.79*							
BD	0.55*	0.04	1.00					
	0.49*	0.06						
LW	0.01	0.02	-0.02	1.00				
	0.03	0.03	-0.04					
LWW	-0.39	-0.28	-0.31	-0.2	1.00			
	-0.45	-0.32	-0.43	-0.16				
WW	-0.08	0.02	-0.18	-0.22	-0.06	1.00		
	-0.06	0.04	-0.19	-0.18	-0.03			
SW	0.25	0.24	0.09	0.15	-0.4	-0.16	1.00	
	0.19	0.31	0.06	0.12	-0.36	-0.11		
CW	-0.22	0.24	0.04	-0.14	0.18	0.56*	0.44*	1.00
	-0.19	0.28	0.02	-0.12	0.21	0.51*	0.42*	

LB: litter size at birth; BA: born alive; LW: litter weight born alive; LWW: litter size at weaning; WW: weaning weight; SW: slaughter weight; CW: carcass weight. First value: sire component, second value, dam component.

*Correlations are significant ($p < 0.05$).

The magnitudes of repeatability were low to moderate. Its applicability allowed the rabbits to be scored according to the IC and establish a classification used to select the best animals. The selection in characteristics with positive genetic correlation will lead to a progress in genetics on the other traits. It is the case between SW and CW which implies that SW could be used as a selection criterion for the characteristics of the carcass. On the contrary, the negative correlations indicate that selecting one of the characteristics could decrease the other.

List of Abbreviations

LB: litter size at birth; BA: born alive; LW: litter weight born alive; LWW: litter size at weaning; WW: weaning weight; SW: slaughter weight; CW: carcass weight; h^2_p : paternal heritability; h^2_m : maternal heritability; r : repeatability.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the directors of the Faculty of Agricultural Sciences of the University of Sucre for providing the information required for data collection.

Conflict of interest

According to the declaration of authors, they have no conflict of interests individual or institution.

Authors' contributions

MVD and HHD participated in the development of the research and in the writing of the manuscript. HLN supervised the analysis and contributed to the translation of the manuscript.

References

- [1] Safwat AM, Sarmiento-Franco L, Santos-Ricalde R, Nieves D. Effect of dietary inclusion of *Leucaena leucocephala* or *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal on performance of growing rabbits. *Trop Anim Health Prod* 2014; 46:1193–98; <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-014-0626-1>
- [2] Montes-Vergara D, Lenis VC, Hernández-Herrera D. Prediction of carcass weight and yield in New Zealand rabbits from body measurements. *Rev MVZ Cordoba* 2020; 25(3):e1990; <https://doi.org/10.21897/rmvz.1990>
- [3] El-Deghadi A. Genetic evaluation of some doe, litter and lactation traits of New Zealand white rabbits. *Egypt J Rabbit Sci* 2019; 29(1):23–43; <http://doi.org/10.21608/EJRS.2019.45672>
- [4] Gambo D, Ismail B, Mundi I, Abdullahi J, Yahaya A. Effect of litter size on birth weight and growth performance of non-descript rabbits reared in Lafia, Nasarawa State. *Nig J Anim Sci Tech* 2020; 3(1):17–24; <http://njast.com.ng/index.php/home/article/view/56>. (Accessed on May 2021).
- [5] Khan NN, Hamadani A, Dar Eajaz, Mir Shabir, Bukhari S, Shah R, et al. Breed effect and effect of non-genetic factors on performance traits of wool type Angora rabbits in an organized farm of Kashmir. *Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci* 2020; 9(6):676–81; <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.906.086>
- [6] Nagar ELA, Sánchez J, Ragab M, Mínguez C, Baselga M. Genetic variability of functional longevity in five rabbit lines. *Animal* 2020; 14(6):1111–19; <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731119003434>

- [7] Ossa SG. Mejoramiento genético animal aplicado a los sistemas de producción de carne. Editorial Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia, pp 150–3, 2017.
- [8] Adeolu A, Oleforuh-Okoleh V, Mathew W, Onyeneke R, Nwose N, Oko-lsu A, et al. Genetic parameters for pre-weaning litter traits in heterogeneous population of rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) raised in the humid tropics. *Indian J Anim Res* 2019; 54:1206–09; <https://doi.org/10.18805/ijar.B-1124>
- [9] El-Atrouny M, Habashy W. Correlated response on litter traits and milk yield in new zeland white rabbits selected for litter size at birth. *Egypt Poult Sci J* 2020; 40(3):599–612; <https://doi.org/10.21608/EPSJ.2020.114314>
- [10] Holdridge LR. Life zone ecology. 1st edition, San José, Costa Rica: Tropical Science Center, San José, Costa Rica, 1982 p, 1967.
- [11] Alvarado-Solano D, Otero J. Distribución espacial del bosque seco tropical en el Valle del Cauca, Colombia. *Acta Biol Colomb* 2015; 20(3):141–53; <https://doi.org/10.15446/abc.v20n3.46703>
- [12] Nguyen N, Farkas J, Szendrő Z, Nagy I. Genetic evaluation of litter size traits in pannon large rabbits. *Anim Sci Pap Rep* 2017; 35(2):181–92.
- [13] Okoro VMO, Ogondu UE, Ukoli IC, Anyanwu GA, Chikaire J, Raji AO, et al. Estimation of heritability and repeatability for pre-weaning and post-weaning litter weight of unselected domestic rabbits in south eastern Nigeria. *Int J Agricul Fore* 2012; 2(1):7–10; <https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijaf.20120201.02>
- [14] Badawy AY, Peiró R, Blasco A, Santacreu MA. Correlated responses on litter size traits and survival traits after two-stage selection for ovulation rate and litter size in rabbits. *Animal* 2019; 13(3):453–59; <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731118002033>
- [15] Pycha J, Zatoń-Dobrowolska M, Pałka S, Kmiecik M. The influence of maternal and paternal components and breeding season on the reproductive results of New Zealand White and Californian female rabbits. *Scient Annals Polish Soc Anim Prod* 2020; 16(1):37–49; <https://doi.org/10.5604/01.3001.0014.0503>
- [16] Pollesel M, Tassinari M, Frabetti A, Fornasini D, Cavallini D. Effect of does parity order on litter homogeneity parameters. *Ital J Anim Sci* 2020; 19(1):1188–94; <https://doi.org/10.1080/1828051X.2020.1827990>
- [17] Szendro Z, Cullere M, Atkári T, Zotte A. The birth weight of rabbits: influencing factors and effect on behavioural, productive and reproductive traits: a review. *Livest Sci* 2019; 230:103841; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2019.103841>
- [18] Agea I, García M, Blasco A, Argente M. Litter survival differences between divergently selected lines for environmental sensitivity in rabbits. *Animals* 2019; 9(9):603; <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9090603>
- [19] Fadare AO, Fatoba TJ. Reproductive performance of four breeds of rabbit in the humid tropics. *Livest Res Rural Develop* 2018; 30(7), Article #114. Available via <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd30/7/delod30114.html> (Accessed May 2021).
- [20] Massoud E, Goda W, Teheb H, El-Banna R. The influence of dietary zinc oxide nanoparticles on zootechnical performance and biometric indices of growing New Zealand White rabbits. *Vet Med J Giza* 2021; <https://doi.org/10.21608/VMJG.2021.158008>
- [21] Amao SR. Effects of breed and parity on reproductive performance of rabbits reared in southern savanna zone of Nigeria. *JSTE* 2020; 4(10):114–23. Available via <http://nsukjste.com/details/a02491737d012abe212d3129b5dd42617277025cfd91aded-943ba8a4a3aaf0b6> (Accessed May 2021).
- [22] Fang S, Chen X, Pan J, Chen Q, Zhou L, Wang Ch, et al. Dynamic distribution of gut microbiota in meat rabbits at different growth stages and relationship with average daily gain (ADG). *BMC Microbiol* 2020; 20(116):1–13; <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-020-01797-5>
- [23] Kowalska D, Gugołek A, Strychalski J. Evaluation of slaughter parameters and meat quality of rabbits fed diets with silkworm pupae and mealworm larvae meals. *Annals Anim Sci* 2020; 20(2):551–64; <https://doi.org/10.2478/aoas-2019-0080>
- [24] Sánchez-Bustos K, Escobar-Escobar N, Castro-Ruiz S. Nutritional supplementation with non-conventional food resources and its effect on the productive parameters in rabbits. *Entramado* 2021; 17(1):262–70; <https://doi.org/10.18041/1900-3803/entramado.1.7278>
- [25] Matics Z, Gerencsér Z, Kasza R, Terhes K, Nagy I, Radnai I, et al. Effect of ambient temperature on the productive and carcass traits of growing rabbits divergently selected for body fat content. *Animal* 2021; 15(2):1–7; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.animal.2020.100096>
- [26] Rasskazova NT, Tsoy ZV, Pulnits AK. Productivity of rabbits when using the drug “KED+IBA” in their diets. *Earth Environ Sci* 2020; 677. Available via <https://iopscience.iop.org/issue/1755-1315/677/4> (Accessed May 2021).
- [27] Ayyat M, Abd El-Latif K, Helal A, Al-Sagheer A. Interaction of supplementary L-carnitine and dietary energy levels on feed utilization and blood constituents in New Zealand White rabbits reared under summer conditions. *Trop Anim Health Prod* 2021; 53(2):279; <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-021-02723-1>
- [28] Rotimi E, Usman H, Aliyu A. Carcass characteristics of rabbits raised in the semi-arid region of Nigeria. *Mku J Agric Sci* 2021; 26(1):93–7; <https://doi.org/10.37908/mkutbd.781072>
- [29] Sorhue GU, Akporhwarho PO, Udeh I, Mmereole FUC. Estimates of genetic parameters of litter size traits at birth and weaning in domestic rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) raised in Anwai community, South Nigeria. *Rabbit Gen* 2013; 3(1):7–14. Available via <http://www.rg.bioflux.com.ro/home/volume-3-1-2013/> (Accessed May 2021).
- [30] Behiry F, Shabaan H, Abdel-Kafy E. Characterization, genetic evaluation, and genetic trends for some reproductive traits of baladi black rabbits does. *Egypt Poult Sci J* 2021; 41(1):189–208; <https://doi.org/10.21608/EPSJ.2021.160065>
- [31] Kosba M, Aboissa H. Characterization, genetic evaluation, and genetic trends for some rep. *Egypt Poultry Sci J* 2021;41; <https://doi.org/10.21608/EPSJ.2021.64614.1147>
- [32] Ezzeroug R, Belabbas R, Argente MJ, Berbar A, Diss S, Boudjella Z, et al. Genetic correlations for reproductive and growth traits in rabbits. *Can J Anim Sci* 2020; 100:317–22; <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjas-2019-0049>
- [33] Garcia ML, Argente MJ. The genetic improvement in meat rabbits. IntechOpen, 2020. Available via <https://www.intechopen.com/online-first/the-genetic-improvement-in-meat-rabbits> (Accessed May 2021).
- [34] Peiró R, Badawy AY, Blasco A, Santacreu MA. Correlated responses on growth traits after two-stage selection for ovulation rate and litter size in rabbits. *Animal* 2019; 13(11):2457–62; <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731119001423>
- [35] Gharib M, El-Deighadi A, Al-Nasr M, Yonan G, Salem M, Mawla L. Genetic parameters of body weight and measurements traits in baladi black rabbits. *Egypt J Rabbit Sci* 2020; 30(2):111–23; <https://doi.org/10.21608/EJRS.2020.151899>
- [36] Fayeye TR, Ayorinde KL. Heritability and repeatability estimates for birth and weaning characteristics in domestic rabbit. *Inter J Agri Vet Sci* 2016; 2:11–7; <http://doi.org/10.18819/ijavs.2016.1547>
- [37] Juárez JD, Marco-Jiménez F, Lavara F, Vicente J. Rederivation by cryopreservation of a paternal line of rabbits suggests exhaustion of selection for post-weaning daily weight gain after 37 generations. *Animals* 2020; 10(1436):1–15; <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10081436>
- [38] Moustafa H, El-Raffa A, Shebl M, El-Delebschany A, El-Sayed N. Genetic evaluation of some economic traits in a maternal line of rabbits. *Egypt Poultry Sci J* 2014; 34(1):85–98; <https://doi.org/10.21608/EPSJ.2014.5308>
- [39] Nagy I, Kover G, Farkas J, Szendro Z, Čurik I. Estimation of dominance effects for reproductive, growth and carcass traits of Pannon

- White rabbits. *J Central Eu Agricul* 2019; 20(2):581-4; <https://doi.org/10.5513/JCEA01/20.2.2553>
- [40] Hervé G, Mickaël M, Jacques H, Mélanie G. Genetic analysis for production and health traits in a commercial rabbit line. 69. Annual Meeting of the European Federation of Animal Science (EAAP), Dubrovnik, Croatia, 2018, 705 p. Available via <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02737210> (Accessed May 2021).
- [41] Karim K, Mehdi S, Graham S, Zhiquan W, Younes M. Genetic and phenotypic parameters for litter size, survival rate, gestation length, and litter weight traits in American mink. *J Anim Sci* 2018; 96(7):2596-606; <https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/sky178>
- [42] Zaharaddeen MM, Kabir M. Repeatability estimates and principal component analysis of birth and weaning traits in domestic rabbits. *Int J Res Agricul Fores* 2018; 5(4):7-12.
- [43] Belabbas R, García M, AinBaziz H, Berbar A, Argente M. Litter size component traits in two Algerian rabbit lines. *World Rabbit Sci* 2021; 29(1):51-8; <https://doi.org/10.4995/wrs.2021.14247>
- [44] Sam IM, Essien CA, Ekpo JS. Phenotypic correlation and carcass traits prediction using live body weight in four genetic groups of rabbit raised in tropical rain - forest zone of Nigeria. *Nigerian J Anim Sci* 2020; 22(2):48-56. Available via <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/tjas/article/view/200507> (Accessed May 2021).
- [45] Wahyono T, Sadarman S, Handayani T, Trinugraha A, Priyoatmojo D. Evaluasi performa karkas kelinci lokal dan New Zealand white jantan pada berat potong yang Berbeda. *J Peternakan* 2021; 18(1):51-60; <https://doi.org/10.24014/jupet.v18i1.11523>