

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/radcr



Case report

Terminal ileal micro-perforation from an ingested fish bone incidentally diagnosed with ¹¹¹In-leukocyte scintigraphy in an asymptomatic patient

Connor D. Crowley, MD, William J. Rieter, MD, PhD*, Leonie Gordon, MBChB

Department of Radiology and Radiological Science, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC 29425

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 June 2021 Accepted 1 July 2021

Keywords: Indium-111 Leukocytes White blood cells Fish bone Perforation SPECT/CT

ABSTRACT

¹¹¹In-leukocyte scintigraphy has generally been considered a sensitive diagnostic test for the evaluation of infectious or inflammatory processes in the abdomen due to a lack of normal physiologic bowel activity. Herein we present a case of a patient status post endovascular repair of an abdominal aortic aneurysm for which an ¹¹¹In-leukocyte scan was ordered to assess for graft infection. Planar imaging revealed an abnormal focus of radiotracer activity in the right lower quadrant of the abdomen. A SPECT-CT obtained to further delineate the anatomic location of abnormal activity showed abnormal leukocyte activity localizing to the terminal ileum secondary to a micro-perforation from an ingested fishbone. This case underscores the principle that in the absence of known intra-abdominal pathology, ¹¹¹In-leukocyte activity in the abdomen should always be considered abnormal, and that further evaluation with dedicated cross-sectional imaging should be performed to assist with the localization of abnormal activity.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of University of Washington. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Introduction

¹¹¹In-leukocyte scintigraphy has generally been considered a sensitive diagnostic test for the evaluation of infectious or inflammatory processes in the abdomen due to a lack of normal physiologic bowel activity. Protocols vary, but the most common method involves performing in vitro labeling of the patient's leukocytes before injecting the labeled cells intravenously. Subsequently, gamma camera whole-body planar imaging is acquired. Additional spot views can be obtained as necessary, and single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) combined with computed tomography (CT) of the same body region is often utilized to further localize any abnormal activity seen on planar imaging. As with many nuclear medicine imaging agents, ¹¹¹In-leukocyte scintigraphy offers

E-mail address: rieter@musc.edu (W.J. Rieter).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radcr.2021.07.003

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Radiology and Radiological Science, Medical University of South Carolina, 96 Jonathan Lucas Street, MSC 323, Suite 210 CSB, Charleston, SC 29425.

^{1930-0433/© 2021} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of University of Washington. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

the advantage of imaging a physiologic process with the ability to relate these findings to patient anatomy.

Case report

A 77-year-old with a history of an 11 cm abdominal aortic aneurysm status post endovascular repair was found to have an enlarging aneurysmal sac on a routine surveillance CT angiogram. No obvious endoleak was identified; however, there was a new irregular soft tissue density with adjacent fat stranding along the wall of the excluded aneurysmal sac that was concerning for impending rupture. Although the patient was asymptomatic and laboratory values were normal at the time, they were referred for an ¹¹¹In-leukocyte scan to exclude infection as the source of this abnormality. An anterior whole body planar ¹¹¹In-leukocyte emission scan showed no abnormal activity in the central abdomen to suggest a graft or focal aortic infection. However, there was a subtle focus of increased radiotracer activity within the right lower quadrant of the abdomen, as well as subtle activity extending along the course of colon (Fig. 1A). A SPECT/CT of the abdomen and pelvis was subsequently acquired to better evaluate the source of this activity. An axial fused SPECT/CT image showed a focus of increased ¹¹¹In-leukocyte activity in the terminal ileum that corresponded to the abnormality seen on the planar image. No activity was associated with the region of soft tissue thickening and adjacent retroperitoneal fat stranding along the left anterolateral aspect of the excluded aneurysmal sac (Fig. 1B). Dedicated axial CT images of the terminal ileum showed a linear hyperdensity within the bowel with associated wall thickening, inflammatory fat stranding, and multiple adjacent locules of extra-luminal gas (Fig. 1C-1D). Given the constellation of findings, the patient was diagnosed with an ileal micro-perforation from an ingested fish bone. Fortunately, the patient remained asymptomatic and could be managed conservatively. A magnified axial CT image of the terminal ileum acquired at follow-up 3 months later showed resolution of the ileal inflammation, two contained micro-perforations, and an absence of the previously visualized fish bone (Fig. 1E).

Discussion

Although intestinal perforation is a rare complication of foreign body ingestion, fish bones are the most common cause when it does occur [1-3]. Once a bone passes through the stomach, perforation can occur anywhere along length of the bowel, but typically occurs in areas of acute angulation such as the ileocecal or rectosigmoid junctions [1,4]. Bowel perforation leading to acute abdomen results in significant morbidity and mortality both in the acute and chronic settings, and therefore timing of early detection and prompt management is crucial as it relates to patient outcomes. Computed tomography (CT) is the preferred modality to evaluate these patients with suspected complications of foreign body ingestion [5]. CT findings can be very subtle, and in the absence of obvious secondary signs of inflammation, the physiologic significance of foreign body ingestion can be unclear. As such, this case illustrates an exceedingly rare diagnosis made via ¹¹¹In-leukocyte scintigraphy, which is even rarer in an asymptomatic individual, and showed evidence of active inflammation on scintigraphy despite no obvious secondary signs of inflammation on CT alone. When the diagnosis is unclear or when there is uncertainty about the significance of focal abdominal activity on scintigraphy, SPECT/CT should be utilized to localize the source of abnormal activity [6]. Furthermore, this case highlights the importance of recognizing that ¹¹¹In-leukocyte activity within the abdomen, no matter how subtle, is abnormal, and may represent a potential source of patient morbidity [7-9].



Fig. 1 – ¹¹¹In-leukocyte whole-body planar image (A) showing a subtle focus of abnormal radiotracer activity in the right lower quadrant of the abdomen (arrowhead). Axial SPECT-CT (B) and axial CTA (C) images show an absence of radiotracer activity at the region of concern identified on recent CT angiogram (arrow); however, there is abnormal radiotracer activity localizing to the terminal ileum (arrowhead). Magnified axial CT image of the terminal ileum (D) shows a linear hyperdensity representing a fishbone with associated inflammation and locules of extraluminal gas. Magnified axial CT at follow-up 3 months after initial imaging (E) shows absence of the previously identified fishbone with resolution of the inflammation and locules of extraluminal gas.

Declarations of interest

No conflicts of interest.

Patient consent

Per the "Non-identifiable images" section of Elsevier Policy, written consent was not obtained, as only non-identifiable images were used.

REFERENCES

- Venkatesh SH, Kumar N, Karaddi V. CT findings of accidental fish bone ingestion and its complications. Diagnostic Interv Radiol 2016;22:156–60. doi:10.5152/dir.2015.15187.
- [2] Goh KPB, Tan Y-M, Lin S-E, et al. CT in the Preoperative Diagnosis of Fish Bone Perforation of the. Am J Roentgenol 2006;187:710–14. doi:10.2214/AJR.05.0178.

- [3] Bathla G, Teo LL, Dhanda S. Pictorial essay: Complications of a swallowed fish bone. Indian J Radiol Imaging 2011;21:63–8. doi:10.4103/0971-3026.76061.
- [4] Ward E, Killeen R, Campbell N, Torreggiani WC. Abdominal CT findings in small bowel perforation. Br J Radiol 2009;82:522–3. doi:10.1259/bjr/13739225.
- [5] Coulier B, Tancredi MH, Ramboux A. Spiral CT and multidetector-row CT diagnosis of perforation of the small intestine caused by ingested foreign bodies. Eur Radiol 2004;14:1918–25. doi:10.1007/s00330-004-2430-1.
- [6] Erba PA, Israel O. SPECT/CT in infection and inflammation. Clin Transl Imaging 2014;2:519–35. doi:10.1007/s40336-014-0092-9.
- [7] Carrier L, Picard D, Chartrand R, Dionne D. Abdominal patterns of indium-111 labeled leukocyte imaging. Clin Nucl Med 1986;11:153–7. doi:10.1097/00003072-198603000-00003.
- [8] Baba AA, McKillop JH, Cuthbert GF, Neilson W, Gray HW, Anderson JR. Indium 111 leucocyte scintigraphy in abdominal sepsis. Do the results affect management? Eur J Nucl Med 1990;16:307–9. doi:10.1007/BF00842785.
- [9] Lewis SS, Cox GM, Stout JE. Clinical utility of indium 111-labeled white blood cell scintigraphy for evaluation of suspected infection. Open Forum Infect Dis 2014;1:ofu089. doi:10.1093/ofid/ofu089.